

Soil hydrology in the Earth system

Article

Accepted Version

Vereecken, H., Amelung, W., Bauke, S. L., Bogena, H., Brüggemann, N., Montzka, C., Vanderborght, J., Bechtold, M., Blöschl, G., Carminati, A., Javaux, M., Konings, A. G., Kusche, J., Neuweiler, I., Or, D., Steele-Dunne, S., Verhoef, A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9498-6696, Young, M. and Zhang, Y. (2022) Soil hydrology in the Earth system. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 3. pp. 573-587. ISSN 2662-138X doi: 10.1038/s43017-022-00324-6 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/105378/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00324-6

Publisher: Nature

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 Title: Soil hydrology in the Earth system 2 3 Author(s): Harry Vereecken⁺, Agrosphere Institute, IBG-3, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 4 52428 Jülich, Germany, h.vereecken@fz-juelich.de, phone: +49 2461 61 6392, Fax+49 2461 5 61-1768 6 7 Wulf Amelung Wulf, Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation (INRES) - Soil 8 Science and Soil Ecology, University of Bonn, Germany, wulf.amelung@uni-bonn.de, phone: 9 +49 228 732780, fax: +49 228 732782 10 11 Sara L. Bauke, Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation (INRES) - Soil Science and 12 Soil Ecology, University of Bonn, Germany, sarabauke@uni-bonn.de, phone: +49 228 13 732965, fax: +49 228 732782 14 15 Heye Bogena, Agrosphere Institute, IBG-3, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52428 Jülich, 16 Germany, h.bogena@fz-juelich.de, phone: +49 2461 61 6392, Fax: +49 2461 61-1768 17 18 Nicolas Brüggemann, Agrosphere Institute, IBG-3, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52428 19 Jülich, Germany, n.brueggemann@fz-juelich.de, phone: +49 2461 61 8643, Fax: +49 2461 20 61-1970 21 22 Carsten Montzka, Agrosphere Institute, IBG-3, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52428 23 Jülich, Germany, c.montzka@fz-juelich.de, phone: +49 2461 61 6392, Fax: +49 2461 61-1768 24 Jan Vanderborght, Agrosphere Institute, IBG-3, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52428 25 26 Jülich, Germany, j.vanderborght@fz-juelich.de, phone: +49 2461 61 6392, Fax+49 2461 61-27 1768 28 29 Michel Bechtold, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, KU Leuven, Belgium, 30 michel.bechtold@kuleuven.be, phone: +32 471 740655, fax: +32 163 21957 31 32 Günter Blöschl, Institute of Hydraulic and Water Resources Engineering, Technische 33 Universitat Wien, Karlsplatz 13/222, 1040, Vienna, Austria, bloeschl@hydro.tuwien.ac.at, 34 phone: +431 58801-22315 35 36 Andrea Carminati, Dep. of Environmental Systems Science, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland, 37 andrea.carminati@usys.ethz.ch, phone: +41 44 633 61 60 38 39 Mathieu Javaux, Earth and Life Institute, Environmental Sciences, Université Catholique de 40 Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, mathieu.javaux@uclouvain.be, phone +32 10 47 37 08 41 42 Alexandra G. Konings, Department of Earth System Science, Stanford, California, US, 43 konings@stanford.edu, phone: +1 650 736-2083 44 45 Jürgen Kusche, Institute of Geodesy and Geoinformation, University of Bonn, Nussallee 17, 46 53115 Bonn, Germany, kusche@geod.uni-bonn.de, phone: +49-228-73-2629, fax: +49-228-47 73-3029

48	
49	
50	Insa Neuweiler, Hannover University, Institut für Strömungsmechanik und Umweltphysik im
51	Bauwesen, Hannover University, Germany, neuweiler@hydromech.uni-hannover.de, phone:
52	+ 49 511 762 3567, fax: +49 511 762 3777
53	
54	Dani Or, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH Zurich), Zurich, Switzerland,
55	dani.or@env.ethz.ch and Division of Hydrologic Sciences, Desert Research Institute, Reno,
56	NV, USA dani.or@dri.edu, phone: +1 775 409 2275
57 58	Sucan Staple Dupper Department of Conscience and Remote Sensing TU Dolft The
58 59	Susan Steele-Dunne, Department of Geoscience and Remote Sensing, TU Delft, The Netherlands, S.C.Steele-Dunne@tudelft.nl
60	
61	Anne Verhoef, Department of Geography and Environmental Science, The University of
62	Reading, Reading, UK, a.verhoef@reading.ac.uk, phone: +44 1183786074
63	heading, heading, on, avernoel@reading.ac.ak, phone. 144 1100700074
64	Michael Young, Bureau of Economic Geology, Jackson School of Geosciences, University of
65	Texas at Austin, USA, michael.young@beg.utexas.edu, phone: +1 512 475 8830
66	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
67	Yonggen Zhang, School of Earth System Science, Tianjin University, China,
68	ygzhang@tju.edu.cn, phone: +86 17695926877, fax: +86 22 27405051
69	
70	⁺ email: h.vereecken@fz-juelich.de
71	

72

73 **0. Abstract**

74

75 Predicting the impact of land use and climate change on the Earth system hinges on credible 76 representation of soil hydrological processes (SHP), adequate availability of parameters and 77 hydrological states and inclusion of key soil properties. There is increasing evidence that 78 extreme events such as droughts and high intensity precipitation, and land use changes, 79 affect fundamental hydrological processes such as infiltration and runoff generation. In this 80 review, we analyse the influence of soil structure on SHP, critically evaluate the 81 parameterization of soil hydrologic properties and their importance in representing the 82 terrestrial water cycle and highlight the key role of soil hydrology in the functioning of 83 carbon-rich soils and in linking the water and carbon cycles. It emerges that linking soil 84 hydrology and pedology will lead to better understanding critical zone processes, especially 85 in tropical regions. Further, we discuss the role of local scale hydrological processes in 86 understanding root water uptake, vegetation and groundwater dynamics and feedbacks. 87 These processes control and modulate the impact of extreme events such as droughts, 88 floods and heatwaves and they are essential to assess drought and flooding. Finally, new 89 emerging technologies such as wireless and automated sensing approaches, soil moisture 90 observation through novel synthetic aperture radars satellites, big data analysis and machine learning approaches offer unique opportunities to advance soil hydrology. 91

92 **1.** Introduction

93 The terrestrial water cycle is subject to rapid changes, resulting in an increase of extreme 94 events such as frequent and intense droughts, floods and heat waves that promote 95 wildfires, cause crop failure and threaten communities in arid regions¹⁻⁴. SHP play an 96 important role in modulating the rates by which the Earth system is pushed towards its 97 boundaries within which mankind can operate safely⁵. These processes are confined to a 98 thin layer of soil which stores only 0.05% of the total freshwater on Earth, yet supports 70% 99 of the annual terrestrial evaporation and transpiration flux⁶. This thin skin plays a pivotal 100 role in supporting life in natural and managed ecosystems. The partitioning of incident 101 radiation and precipitation on the land surface and into fluxes of energy, water and matter 102 from terrestrial surfaces is controlled by SHP^{7,8}. The SHP comprise the storage of water in 103 the subsurface down to the groundwater, also termed vadose zone, evapotranspiration, 104 infiltration, redistribution, drainage, capillary rise and runoff (Fig.1).

105

106 The partitioning of precipitation at the land surface into water that infiltrates into the soil 107 and surface runoff is strongly controlled both by soil structure and soil moisture content. 108 Root water uptake processes that impact the transpiration of water are modulated by the 109 soil water status and by the properties of soil. The groundwater level is determined by the 110 fluxes in the water balance and impacts the partitioning of energy at the soil surface⁷. 111 Through capillary rise, groundwater provides soil water that can be used by plants, while 112 deep rooting plants can also access groundwater directly. Soil hydrology controls root water 113 uptake and thus evapotranspiration⁹ which constitutes the second largest flux in the soil 114 water balance.

115

116 The global increase in droughts and floods in the last decade pointed out the need to 117 improve our understanding and parameterization of SHP at catchment, river basin and continental scales^{10,11}. Current approaches in hydrological and land surface modeling still 118 119 have room to improve SHP description and parameterization including estimation of soil 120 hydraulic properties using pedotransfer functions (PTF) and describing SHP in carbon rich soils^{8,12,13} and tropical soils¹⁴. SHP also modulate the impact of climate change on terrestrial 121 122 ecosystems and control feedback mechanisms between the water, energy and carbon and 123 nitrogen cycles^{7,15,16}. However, soils differ in properties such as texture, organic matter, and 124 structure but also their spatial distribution and the vegetation cover affect SHP, resulting in 125 differences in the provision of soil moisture supply to crops, infiltration and runoff¹⁷. 126 Regional impacts of climate change on the land surface also challenges soil hydrology to 127 expand beyond the soil profile or pedon scale (Fig. 1). The critical zone concept (CZC) 128 addresses this challenge by framing soils in a landscape and regional context and analyzing 129 SHP from the bottom of the groundwater through the vadose zone, and vegetation up into 130 the atmosphere¹⁸.

131

132 In this review, we highlight the role of soil hydrology in the Earth system. We discuss key soil 133 properties that influence SHP, the estimation of soil hydraulic parameters and highlight the 134 links between water and carbon cycles with a focus on carbon-rich soils. We demonstrate the importance of local scale SHP in understanding root water uptake, vegetation and groundwater dynamics and feedbacks. We explore the role of SHP in controlling and modulating the impact of extreme events such as droughts, floods and heatwaves and how soil hydrology contributes to assessing drought and floods. Finally, we explore the potential of new emerging technologies for advancing the field of soil hydrology.

140

141 **1.** Soil properties and hydrology

All water fluxes depicted in Fig. 1 are strongly controlled by physical, chemical and biological properties of soils. Primarily, physical properties such as soil texture and bulk density have widely been used to parametrize soil hydraulic properties in land surface models (LSM) by using PTF^{8,19}. There is, however, increasing awareness that other pedological properties and

- 146 processes also affect soil hydraulic properties and thus soil water dynamics (Text box 1).
- 147

Based on this awareness, hydropedology was introduced²⁰ two decades ago with the aim of 148 149 integrating hydrological and pedological knowledge to better understand and predict SHP at 150 the landscape scale. Later on, hydropedology²¹ was embedded in the CZC, which allowed addressing SHP at and beyond the pedon scale and to frame local processes such as bypass 151 152 flow, water accessibility and hydrophobicity in a landscape context. It also allows to 153 consider effects of soil structure, spatially varying soil horizons and anisotropy on local and 154 non-local water flow. Remarkably, soil structure and related hydraulic properties, which 155 have evolved slowly over decades to millenia, are sensitive to changes in land management 156 and global change and can therefore rapidly change²² (Fig.2).

157

158 **2.1 Soil structure**

159 Soil structure is a key property that is lacking in current hydrological, land surface and Earth 160 system models. Soil structure describes the spatial arrangement of particles in soil, which 161 determines pore size distribution, connectivity and tortuosity. At the microscale, soil water 162 flux is controlled by aggregation processes: organic gluing agents such as extracellular 163 polymeric substances and microbial gums, and inorganic cementing agents like carbonate 164 precipitates and oxy-hydroxides bind primary particles to form clay- and silt-sized organo-165 mineral complexes (< 20 µm diameter). With adherence to fungal hyphae and fine roots, soil 166 further clusters into micro- and macroaggregates (20-250 μ m and > 250 μ m respectively) and finally peds^{23,24}. The voids or pores existing within and in-between the aggregates are 167 usually small (up to a few μm in diameter) and of high tortuosity²⁵. These pores mainly 168 169 contribute to capillary water flow in the soil matrix and thus to its hydraulic conductivity and 170 water retention within the soil profile²⁴. They generally indirectly affect infiltration, as it 171 depends on the initial soil water content at the onset of infiltration processes⁸, but they can 172 dominate near-surface water flow processes in older, structured soils.

Soil structure formation differs among different soil groups (Text box 1). In Phaeozems, Chernozems or Luvisols with silty texture, the biological formation of macropores by plants is stabilized by, e.g., earthworms^{26,27} and other burying animals. In Vertisols, elevated clay contents promote crack formation, especially in dry conditions, enabling rapid bypass flow of precipitation until these cracks close again during soil rewetting. Preferential flow can significantly change groundwater recharge²⁸; but in Planosols, Stagnosols or Plinthosols 179 root-restricting layers can induce anisotropies and impair vertical water flow. In Leptosols, 180 high stone contents funnel infiltrating water into smaller volumes²⁹, and crusts build up 181 following particle dispersion after heavy rain and/or due to high salt contents. Further, 182 specific SHP prevail in organic soils, such as bogs and fens and folic Histosols, which have a 183 high capacity to store plant-available water but have a different connection to groundwater 184 (section 2.3).

185

186 Natural soil structure forming processes create larger scale pores in between 187 macroaggregates and peds. These macropores include cracks formed by shrinkage in clayey 188 soils due to soil drying, but in many terrestrial systems, vegetation and soil fauna are two of 189 the main factors in macropore formation. Both root systems and burrowing activity of the 190 soil fauna (Fig. 2) create such biopores, which in contrast to the above-described inter- and 191 intra-aggregate pores, are wider in diameter (up to several mm or even cm), have low tortuosity and often connect the soil surface with the subsoil to a depth of several 192 193 metres^{30,31} (Fig. 1). In loamy and silty soils, in particular, the accumulation and persistence of 194 macropores alters SHP and gas exchange significantly. Under most soil conditions, 195 macropores are drained and contribute to enhanced gas exchange pathways in the soil. 196 During intense precipitation events, however, water-filled macropores can contribute to 197 rapid infiltration and transmission of water through the soil profile via preferential flow 198 pathways³¹⁻³³.

199 Natural soil structure formation takes decades to centuries, yet it may be disrupted by a 200 single tillage or erosion event with significant ramifications for soil functioning and carbon 201 storage. Agronomic management of soil structure, for example, has been practiced since the 202 dawn of civilization producing short lived and fragile seedbed for crops³⁴. Tillage induces 203 loss of macroporosity, interrupts pore continuity, and potentially forms compacted plough 204 pans that impede root growth and vertical water fluxes. Tilled soil surfaces are prone to 205 aggregate slaking during heavy rain, causing the clogging of fine pores and formation of 206 surface crusts³⁵. The degree to which these processes occur varies with tillage and land-use practices^{36,37}. However, the largely unknown time scales of aggregates and macro-porosity 207 208 turnover challenge assumptions of stable pore-size distributions used in SHP modelling.

209

210 **2.2 Soil hydrological parameterization**

A reliable parameterization of soil hydraulic properties is critical for SHP representation in soil water balance models, hydrological models, land surface models (LSM), and climate models and ESM^{8,38}. In these models, the fluxes and states of soil water are mostly described by Richards Equation (Eq.1) which links Darcy-Buckingham flux law with conservation of mass:

216

$$217 \quad \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = -div.\,\vec{q} - S \tag{1}$$

218

219 where $\vec{q} = -K(h, \theta)\nabla(h + z)$ with \vec{q} the Darcy flux, *div* is the divergence operator describing the local sinks of \vec{q} , h is the soil matric potential, z the vertical coordinate and 220 221 $K(h, \theta)$ the soil hydraulic conductivity tensor which becomes a scalar quantity, $K(h, \theta \equiv K)$ 222 for isotropic one-dimensional domains, and S describes a general external sink-source term 223 such as root water uptake. Frequently used numerical model codes to solve Richards 224 equation have been extensively reviewed³⁹. The use of Richards' equation requires explicit 225 knowledge of key soil hydraulic functions: the soil moisture retention $\theta(h)$ and K. These 226 characteristic functions describe the volumetric water content or K as functions of soil water 227 tension (matric potential). The choice of hydraulic functions and associated parameters 228 have a significant impact on model performance in terms of water fluxes in the soil water balance, and model numerical stability⁴⁰. Moreover, spatial variability of soil hydraulic 229 230 parameters has to be accounted for to correctly describe SHP. The determination of these 231 functions for larger scale approaches remains an ongoing challenge.

232

Direct measurements of soil hydraulic properties are often difficult and time-consuming^{41,42}, 233 234 and impossible at larger spatial scales. PTF were therefore developed to estimate soil 235 hydraulic parameters, as well as parameters in equations related to soil heat flow, and 236 biogeochemical parameters from readily available soil properties such as soil texture, bulk 237 density, and organic carbon content¹⁹. Text box 1 shows how PTF based on simple soil 238 properties translate this information in soil hydraulic parameters that can be used to 239 estimate SHP such as soil water storage, infiltration and evapotranspiration. In several 240 cases, however, the use of PTF can lead to inaccurate or even false parametrizations of the 241 functions used to describe the soil hydraulic properties. Several reasons account for such 242 failure. The determination of basic and hydraulic soil properties is frequently conducted with different measurement methods^{19,43}, thus producing systematic biases, and 243 244 inconsistent results^{43,44}. Therefore, it is critical to standardize and unify measurement 245 methods and protocols. Soil structure is not explicitly represented in soil hydraulic functions and related PTF development⁴⁵. Such limitations have prompted efforts to revise the soil-246 centered framework by considering environmental covariates that modify soil structure and 247 properties such as vegetation cover and type^{33,46}, and climatic soil forming processes that 248 alter clay type^{47,48}. These local variations not encapsulated in the standard texture-based 249 250 PTF offer a means to improve soil hydraulic parameterization and potentially improve the 251 representation of hydrologic processes in LSM. Further options to account for soil structure 252 in PTF include the incorporation of geometrical properties of structured soils derived from 253 non-invasive techniques such as Micro-Computed Tomography or Magnetic Resonance 254 Imaging⁴⁹, and applying machine learning methods to adapt to soil-class-specific 255 information within continuous PTF^{50,51}. Also, a poor representation of specific soil properties 256 such as the distribution of soil organic matter significantly affect modeling of hydraulic 257 functions, in particular in peatlands and carbon-rich permafrost soils.

But further efforts are needed to improve the description of SHP processes in models using 258 259 PTF. While dual-modal and multi-modal hydraulic functions have already been developed^{52,53}, they are currently not used in LSM and reliable PTF for these functions are 260 261 not yet available. Moreover, it is important to take into account the effect of rock or gravel 262 content on soil hydraulic properties⁵⁴ as this is generally overlooked in most PTF. In 263 addition, there is a need for unifying theoretical soil physical approaches, which requires 264 fully coupling soil hydraulic, thermal and gas flow properties ^{55,56}. This would allow for a 265 more consistent description of interactions and feedbacks between the soil water balance, 266 the thermal regime, and the carbon fluxes in LSM. Ideally, multi-scale PTF should be 267 developed that can be used seamlessly from the soil profile to the global scale, building for 268 example on the development^{57,58} of multiscale Bayesian neural network based PTF, which allow upscaling and downscaling of soil hydraulic parameters. 269

Most models rely on a single set of PTF to estimate soil hydraulic properties^{19,59}. This often results in statistical bias, underestimation PTF uncertainty, and overconfidence in the predictive ability of PTF. To alleviate such bias, ensemble PTFs that unify multiple sets of PTFs are recommended^{59,60}.

- 274 In addition, most of the measurements for PTF parameterization originate from arable land 275 and have been developed for temperate regions. These PTF frequently fail in fine-textured soils of the tropics and subtropics^{14,61}. Due to absence of glaciation, these soils are highly 276 277 weathered, and in Ferralsols and Acrisols low-activity clays dominate the mineral 278 composition (Text box 1). These clays react with oxides and form pseudo-silt and pseudo-279 sand, i.e., a micro-aggregated structure that the hydrology of silty or sandy sites. With some 280 additional macroaggregates formed with inputs of soil organic matter as found in Cambisols, 281 the parameters used to describe the soil hydraulic properties of tropical soils generally differ 282 from those of respective soils in temperate climates^{14,62}. Therefore, there is an urgent need 283 for PTF development for soils that formed below natural vegetation and consider different regions^{63,64}. 284
- Finally, PTF assume that estimated properties are constant in time. Yet we know that properties like saturated *K* and porosity vary not only in space but also in time, due to land management⁴³. The next generation of PTFs should therefore account for this temporal dependence.
- 289

290 **2.3 Carbon-rich soils**

The SHP of carbon-rich soils feature specific properties, which are of fundamental importance for their carbon sink function but challenging to be represented in LSM. Across the globe, carbon-rich soils are unevenly distributed. Particularly, many permafrost soils are rich in organic carbon and store an estimated 1700 Pg of carbon, twice as much as carbon storage in the atmosphere^{65,66}. Large areas on terrestrial Earth are covered by permafrost, accounting for 13.9 x 10⁶ km² in the Northern hemisphere alone⁶⁷. Part of the carbon-rich soils (mainly in permafrost regions but also elsewhere) are classified as peatlands. These cover 3 % of the global land surface only, but store approximately 644 Pg C⁶⁸, and a significant portion of near-surface freshwater with intimate atmospheric exchange.

300 A key controlling factor on soil moisture dynamics in carbon-rich soils is exerted by the 301 shallow groundwater level in peat and permafrost soils. Because of their high content of 302 organic matter, carbon-rich soils have frequently total pore volumes of 70 to > 90%, and 303 pore sizes reaching 5 mm⁶⁹. This high macroporosity dampens groundwater level 304 fluctuations and thus importantly stabilizes the wet conditions that are critical to inhibit 305 aerobic soil organic matter decomposition. The shallow groundwater conditions are further 306 supported by the low K of deeper organic soil layers or the flow barrier of the permafrost 307 layer that limit the drainage losses and causes trapping of rain, snow melt or run-on water⁷⁰.

308 The factors leading to shallow groundwater levels are currently significantly altered either 309 directly or indirectly by humans. In dry conditions, the structure of the soil organic matter 310 of carbon-rich soils substantially changes due to microbial decomposition and irreversible 311 compaction⁶⁹. The soils lose their high water storage capacity and thus groundwater level 312 fluctuations are amplified which eventually further enhances decomposition. In its 313 extremes, these alterations in structure of organic soils can be observed in peatlands that 314 were directly drained by humans and in which the enhanced decomposition causes peatlands to be global hotspots of greenhouse gas emissions⁶⁸. Another threat to the 315 316 shallow groundwater levels of carbon-rich soils is exerted by ongoing permafrost thaw that 317 may increase drainage losses and also initiate a negative feedback loop between soil 318 moisture and decomposition⁷¹.

319 Despite the critical role of SHP for the carbon cycle of carbon-rich soils, specific SHP for such 320 soils are currently only beginning to be implemented in a sophisticated manner in LSM and 321 climate models^{13,72}. It has been noted that conventional hydrological concepts for 322 groundwater that are based on the TOPMODEL⁷³ and that relate subgrid-scale topography 323 to groundwater table (GW) and soil moisture variability, fail in the extensive flat terrains 324 typical of most peat and carbon-rich permafrost soils and miss critical small-scale processes 325 relevant to shallow GW conditions^{70,74}. In response, modules to simulate the shallow GW and other specific features of peat and carbon-rich permafrost soils were added to a 326 327 number of LSM^{70,74,75}. To advance their reliability, the community currently faces two major 328 challenges.

First, there is a lack of spatial input data for peatlands and carbon-rich permafrost soils that could be used to parameterize spatially variable soil hydraulic properties and lateral water fluxes. About half of the carbon-soils classified as peatlands are bogs and in contrast to fens, by definition are solely fed by rainwater and do not depend on water inputs from surface water or the aquifer underlying the peat layer. Given the lack of spatial input on the distribution of bogs and fens, current peat-specific global land model implementations either assume all peatlands to be either bogs⁷⁴ or fens⁷⁶.

Second, the hydraulic properties of peat and carbon-rich permafrost soils are dynamic at 336 337 different timescales, which critically control their resilience to short- and long-term changes 338 in boundary conditions^{69,71}. In addition, the thermal soil properties affect freeze-thaw cycles 339 with strong implications for soil water flow dynamics⁷⁷. Soil moisture fluctuations can cause 340 reversible changes in soil properties due to swelling and shrinking, but there are also 341 irreversible changes to hydraulic properties caused by cryoturbation, permafrost thawing or 342 enhanced peat degradation in response to climate change or direct anthropogenic 343 disturbance. These changes are typically accompanied by a change in vegetation that is the 344 main substrate provider for the future organic layers. The implementation of these key 345 ecohydrological feedbacks will be critical in simulating trends over multiple decades^{78,79}.

346 We recommend that future research on the hydrology of carbon-rich soils should put 347 specifically emphasize conducting detailed field studies in data scarce regions, such as large 348 parts of tropical⁸⁰ and permafrost peatlands⁷¹, to understand and quantify the variability of 349 local feedback mechanisms. Besides there is the need to combine remote sensing data on 350 hydrology⁸¹, vegetation and peatland type^{82,83} with soil hydrological models to eventually 351 constrain the spatial variability of parameters. Finally, this approach will contribute to 352 adequately simulating the feedback loops between water, energy, and biogeochemical 353 cycles on Earth.

354

355 **3. Local scale hydrology**

356 Soils play an important role in buffering the precipitation (P) signal and storing incoming 357 water. How water is transferred to deeper soil layers or kept in the upper soil layers 358 depends on soil hydraulic properties. At the scale of soil pedon, a field, or a forest stand, the 359 moisture status of soils, the vegetation and the GW dynamics impact each other. In respect to vegetation growth, the uptake of water by plant roots, described by the sink term S in 360 361 Eq.(1) controls transpiration (T) fluxes. The proportion of S with respect to P varies with 362 climate, vegetation type, and the soil properties. Global averages of the ratio of evapotranspiration (ET) to P, ET/P, on land vary between 0.6 and 0.7^{84,85}. The partitioning of 363 ET into evaporation (E) and T are much more uncertain, and estimates of global terrestrial 364 T/ET ratios range between 0.25 and 0.6, but local ratios vary almost across the entire range 365 366 between 0 and 1⁸⁶. Accurate estimation of T is, however, important to assess the impact of 367 land use or land cover changes on the soil water and to determine how the soil water 368 balance may change with changing climate. Since T is related to carbon assimilation, 369 accurate predictions of T fluxes are also of relevance for the terrestrial carbon cycle and the 370 water-use efficiency of terrestrial vegetation. In the following, we discuss how climate, soil, 371 and vegetation properties, with a focus on root properties, influence each other and the soil 372 water balance components. Fig. 3 illustrates the different processes and interactions 373 between soil, vegetation and groundwater.

374

375 **3.1 Root water uptake in soils**

376 T is driven by the available energy that can be used for evaporating water, that is T demand, 377 and is downregulated by stomatal closure that responds to the energy required to extract 378 water from the soil, that is T supply. The simplest models of T supply from root water 379 uptake (RWU) use a stress function that express how the ratio of T supply to T demand 380 declines with decreasing fraction of total available water in the root zone, that is the water 381 stored in the root zone at water potentials between -10 kPa for sandy soils or -30 kPa for 382 silty soils (field capacity) and -1500 kPa (permanent wilting point). However, since they only 383 consider soil water content, they lack a direct sensitivity to T demand which is 384 overcompensated by oversensitivity to soil moisture, making predictions of the impact of globally increasing T demand on T and vegetation stress uncertain⁸⁷. The inclusion of plant 385 386 hydraulics in the soil-plant atmosphere systems allows estimating the leaf water potential 387 needed to sustain a given transpiration rate for a given soil water distribution. Since 388 stomatal regulation depends on leaf water status, soil-plant-hydraulic models 389 mechanistically link stomatal regulation to soil drying⁸⁸.

390 Typically, soil moisture is non-uniformly in the root zone and the distribution of roots and 391 water in the root zone affects the total RWU. The extent to which roots can shift water 392 extraction to wetter zones (RWU compensation) and can redistribute water from wet to dry 393 soil zones bypassing the soil (root water redistribution and hydraulic lift) is a hydraulic 394 process that is driven by water potential gradients and depends on soil and root hydraulic properties^{89,90}. Text box 2 gives more details about soil-root hydraulic properties and how 395 396 they can be represented and simplified in soil-plant hydraulic models. Reported magnitudes 397 of these water transfers⁹¹ range from 0.04 to 3 mm d⁻¹ and they can delay stomatal closure 398 by several weeks and maintain T by vegetation that accesses deeper groundwater during 399 drought spells. In addition, it plays an important role in soil biogeochemical cycles, as it 400 prevents surface layers from drying out causing a strong reduction in microbial activity⁹².

401

402 **3.2 Soil, climate and vegetation properties**

403 In order to adapt to the dynamics of available soil water and T demand, vegetation properties are strongly interlinked with soil properties, climate, and management. 404 405 Ecohydrological models that solve a stochastic root-zone soil water balance⁹³, use two 406 dimensionless numbers to characterize its dependence on soil, vegetation, and climate 407 properties. These are the number of average daily rainfall events required to fill the plant accessible soil water reservoir and either the Budyko⁹⁴ dryness index (long term potential ET 408 409 to precipitation rate) or the ratio of the time to deplete the plant accessible water reservoir 410 by potential ET to the characteristic time between rainfall events. Such models can predict 411 the change in vegetation properties as a function of soil and climate and assess the 412 development of vegetation in the course of climate change. When coupled to an optimization of the carbon cost for root development, stochastic eco-hydrological 413 414 models^{95,96}, could reproduce the relation between root zone depth, climate and soil type, 415 with deeper roots in seasonally dry, semiarid to humid tropical regions and less likely in medium textured soils⁹⁷. 416

417

418 Infiltration of surface runoff (run-on), and capillary rise from groundwater also contribute to 419 root zone soil moisture. In addition to climate, soil type and depth, and topography, GW 420 table depth is important to predict and produce global maps of root distributions⁹⁸. Runoff-421 run-on processes as well as groundwater recharge and flow are scale-dependent lateral flow 422 processes that both determine and are influenced by vegetation growth, composition, and 423 patterning^{99,100}. Soil E, infiltration, and runoff from non-vegetated surfaces play a crucial 424 role in the ecohydrology, vegetation patterning and water balances of catchments in arid 425 and semi-arid regions. These processes are controlled by soil surface hydraulic properties 426 that depend on soil structure. Aggregate destruction and crust building by rain splash on 427 barely vegetated soil surfaces reduces the infiltration capacity leading to increased surface 428 runoff. In contrast infiltration capacity, run-on, and preferential flow reducing water losses 429 through E from the soil surface, are larger in vegetated patches with macropores created by 430 roots and soil fauna and water repellency due to increased organic matter input²². 431 Manipulation of these processes by changing soil surface hydraulic properties is the basis of 432 water harvesting and water saving methods in dryland agriculture that focus on the 433 reduction of soil E from bare soil and increasing run-on and infiltration. However, near 434 surface soil structure and soil hydraulic properties vary strongly with depth and time which complicates accurate prediction and simulation of soil E¹⁰¹ and rapid infiltration. 435

436

437 Data on root distributions are scarce and models often underestimate the rooting depth. 438 This is especially true in stony soils and (weathered) bedrocks, from which plants can also extract water^{102,103}. In addition to root distributions, plants can also adapt root hydraulic 439 440 traits such as xylem cavitation resistance to adapt to environmental conditions. To access 441 strongly bound soil water, desert shrub species develop higher cavitation resistances in 442 loamy than in sandy soils¹⁰⁴. The differentiation of root systems of different species to 443 access specific subsurface niches¹⁰⁵ and interactions from deep rooting species facilitating 444 water uptake from wet deep soil layers to shallower, drier layers with subsequent water uptake by species with shallow root systems ⁹¹are used to explain the higher resilience and 445 productivity of mixed ecosystems¹⁰⁶. But the mechanisms and conditions under which mixed 446 447 species perform better than homogeneous systems are context-dependent and not fully 448 understood^{107,108}. Higher productivity can lead to an 'overcrowding effect' which reduces 449 resilience to drought. Mechanistic modelling of RWU in these complex ecosystems is 450 important for a better understanding of the belowground competition for and facilitating water uptake¹⁰⁹. Yet, upscaled relations between soil moisture distribution and RWU of 451 452 different species or individuals sharing the same land surface and soil volume and that are 453 derived in a bottom-up approach based on canopy and root hydraulic traits are still lacking.

454

455 **3.3 Vegetation and groundwater feedbacks**

456 Changes in vegetation and land cover impact water, energy and carbon exchanges between 457 the land surface and the atmosphere. Vegetation cover reduction leads to an increase of soil 458 E. Since the travel distance of water to the surface where E takes place is much larger than 459 to the absorbing root surfaces in the root zone, the water storage that can be depleted by 460 soil E is much smaller than what can be extracted by plant roots. As a consequence, a 461 decrease in vegetation cover generally leads to a decrease in ET losses, an increase in 462 groundwater recharge and runoff, larger warming of the land surface, and higher air 463 temperatures near the surface.

464 Soil surface and root zone drying are mitigated by upward capillary flow from the 465 subsurface. It sustains ET during dry spells and decreases groundwater recharge on a longer time scale and it depends on the wetness of the subsurface and ultimately on the GW 466 467 depth. The non-linear dependence of soil hydraulic properties on soil water content is 468 propagated into a non-linear relation between GW depth, subsurface moisture content, and 469 upward capillary flow. For GW depths above roughly 1 m, the root zone stays wet and ET is 470 controlled by the available energy whereas GWs deeper than 10 m have no influence on 471 root zone wetness and land surface-atmosphere interactions¹¹⁰. The depth range over 472 which GW depth influences land surface atmosphere interactions depends on the soil 473 hydraulic properties and the rooting depth. Steady upward capillary flow at typical potential 474 ET rates can be maintained over a few cm in sandy and heavy clays soils up to roughly 1 m in 475 loamy soils¹¹¹. Rooting depth can adapt to the specific site conditions and to changes in GW depth that are not too fast or too strong and do not exceed adaption rate (root growth rate) 476 477 and the cost-benefit ratio of this adaptation¹¹².

478

479 **4. Large scale impact of soil hydrology**

480

Soil hydrology plays a central role in shaping the impacts of climate change on terrestrial 481 482 ecosystems, not only at the local scale but also at much larger scales due to the close 483 interaction between the land surface and the atmosphere at all scales. In addition, SHP are 484 central to the feedback effects of the land surface on the Earth's climate system¹¹³. In the 485 following section, we will explore these feedback processes in more detail, as well as the 486 effects of extreme climate events. Finally, we will address the importance of terrestrial 487 water storage (TWS) in deeper soil layers and its more precise quantification for the 488 response of the terrestrial system to climate change.

489

490 **4.1 Climate system feedbacks**

491 An important aspect of changes in SHP as well as whole ecosystem processes caused by land 492 use, land-use change and climate change is their feedback to the climate system via direct 493 and tele-connected processes, leading to large uncertainty in regional climate 494 predictability¹¹⁴. For example, increased soil moisture can trigger precipitation events, 495 especially under spatially heterogeneous soil moisture conditions, with precipitation 496 preferentially falling on dry patches of land¹¹⁵. Following the same observed trend, 497 increased deforestation has led to large changes in precipitation patterns in Rondônia, 498 Brazil, in the range of $\pm 25\%$ between the upwind and downwind parts of the deforested 499 area relative to the mean precipitation of the entire area ¹¹⁶. Agricultural intensification, 500 especially in combination with irrigation, may lead to cooling at the subcontinental scale 501 due to increased ET and persistent changes in atmospheric circulation and moisture 502 transport, as observed, for example, for the U.S. Midwest¹¹⁷. In contrast, drought at the 503 regional, continental and global scale is exacerbated by the feedbacks of decreasing soil 504 moisture on land surface temperature and relative humidity, leading to a decrease in P, 505 which in turn exacerbates this feedback loop¹¹⁸.

506 Long-term simulations with fully-coupled land-atmosphere-climate models revealed a 507 strong positive relationship between heatwave intensity and drought severity for waterlimited regions, such as the southwestern U.S.A.¹¹⁹ and the Mediterranean¹²⁰. However, a 508 509 strong link and feedback loop between precipitation and soil moisture has also been 510 identified for wetter regions such as the tropics¹²¹. It is important to note that major soil 511 moisture perturbations can last much longer than the cause of the perturbations and 512 therefore also represent a long-term feedback on the climate system¹²². Ultimately, these 513 multiple interactions and feedbacks between soil, land surface, and atmosphere can be 514 summarized as a negative soil feedback loop between soil moisture and temperature, that 515 is, a decrease in soil moisture leads to an increase in temperature, and a positive feedback 516 loop between soil moisture and precipitation, that is, an increase in soil moisture leads to an 517 increase in precipitation⁷.

518

519 Local SHP play an important role in controlling and modulating the impact of extreme 520 events, such as high intensity rainfall as well as prolonged droughts and heat waves, on the 521 land surface but also the consequences caused by sea level rise on soils in coastal areas, 522 such as saltwater intrusion and inundation. Changes in infiltration capacity at the land 523 surface, loss of soil porosity and a decrease in soil organic matter caused, for example, by 524 changes in land use (such as deforestation due to agricultural intensification) and land cover 525 (for example by surface sealing due to urbanization) may lead to an increased likelihood of large-scale flooding and soil erosion¹²³. 526

527

528 To project the behavior of floods, as well as extreme low flow conditions, into the future, it 529 is essential to attribute such changes to their driving processes. The predominant 530 mechanism of runoff generation is overland flow when rainfall intensity exceeds the infiltration capacity at the soil surface¹²⁴. In this context, infiltration capacity is highly 531 532 susceptible to land-use changes, such as those associated with more intensive agriculture¹²⁵. 533 On the other hand, flooding in larger watersheds is usually caused by storms of lower 534 intensity and longer duration¹²⁶, which generate surface runoff through the mechanism of 535 saturation excess when the water table reaches the soil surface. This mechanism is 536 controlled more by soil depth and less by land-use change, which explains the decreasing 537 importance of land-use change with increasing scale.

538

539 Extreme events may also alter intrinsic soil properties that control SHP. Prolonged droughts 540 can promote macropore formation, primarily through the formation of cracks in clay-rich 541 soils¹²⁷. Changes in effective porosity due to climate change would result in changes in 542 saturated soil K ranging from -55 to +34 percent in five different physiographic regions in 543 the USA, depending on whether climate change results in an increase or decrease in precipitation at the regional scale¹²⁸. High intensity rainfalls may lead to sealing of the soil 544 545 surface, a reduction of soil porosity and thus a reduction in infiltration capacity of soils. This 546 reduction in infiltration capacity may cause increased overland flow and soil erosion.

- 547
- 548

549 **4.2 Terrestrial water storage**

550 The dynamics of subsurface and groundwater storage are important not only for the 551 impacts of climate change on terrestrial systems and their feedback to the climate system, but also for the conservation and sustainable use of the world's freshwater resources¹²⁹, 552 and for the coupling of water and carbon cycles¹³⁰. However, these dynamics are currently 553 not well understood¹³¹, and cannot be well constrained by observations except in the 554 555 regions with shallow soils. To infer the terrestrial water budget, information is needed on 556 water storage and residence time also at depths below the vadose zone. While surface soil 557 moisture, temperature and Pion can be measured at the land surface with sensors or from 558 satellite-based systems, the major obstacle to understanding water availability dynamics at 559 depth has been the lack of observational capabilities. As a result, long-term changes in TWS were often simply assumed to be zero, for example in water balance models¹³². Since 2002, 560 561 the gravity satellite missions GRACE and GRACE-FO have provided global observations of 562 TWS anomalies. Because of the measurement principle, TWS refers to water storage in all 563 compartments, including rivers, lakes and reservoirs, canopy water, and atmospheric 564 moisture (the latter removed in data analysis). Only temporal anomalies are observed and 565 referenced to a long-term average, and due to sensor limitations, the data products provide 566 monthly averages and an effective resolution of about 300 km¹³³. Water balance can be inferred with GRACE/GRACE-FO data¹³⁴ for catchments down to 100.000 km². Extreme 567 events are recorded^{130,135}, but are difficult to interpret due to coarse data resolution. 568 569

570 In general, soil moisture dynamics exhibits an increasing phase shift and decreasing 571 amplitudes with depth. Combining soil water and soil temperature measurements with 572 GRACE data in the central U.S., over 40% of the variability in water storage of the 573 unsaturated zone was found to occur below 75 cm, while groundwater storage calculated as 574 the residual had a variability that was well correlated and comparable in magnitude to soil moisture variability in the uppermost 4 m¹³⁶. Combining GRACE data with observed and 575 576 gridded Fluxnet ET data improved the simulation of soil E in the Community Land model 577 (CLM) by replacing an empirical parameterization of soil resistivity with a mechanistic 578 formulation in which soil E is controlled by the diffusion rate of water vapor through a dry 579 surface layer¹³⁷.

580

581 Significant improvements in simulating soil water availability in the root zone of grasslands 582 and croplands were also reported by jointly assimilating satellite soil moisture products and 583 GRACE data into an ecohydrological model¹³⁸. This assimilation resulted in a better 584 agreement between vegetation response and soil water availability in the root zone, 585 suggesting the potential for model tuning and better prediction of vegetation conditions. 586 Recently, it was demonstrated that merging GRACE and satellite soil moisture data with LSM 587 can improve the estimation of moisture profiles in mountainous areas and can be successfully used as a predictor in global landslide models¹³⁹. 588

589

590 It is known from GRACE/GRACE-FO that TWS is not in equilibrium at decadal time scales for 591 natural and anthropogenic reasons^{129,140}. On the global scale, the variability of water stored 592 on continents responds strongly to the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO), resulting in 593 pronounced sea-level declines. For example, the exceptional sea-level drop in 2011 was 594 explained by Australia's endorheic hydrology responding to intense rainfall¹⁴¹. The GRACE 595 data have shown that hydrology models underestimate decadal trends, while better 596 representing seasonal dynamics, and they have helped identify the need for better 597 representation of soil column depth and layers, snow storage, and groundwater storage 598 changes in coupled climate models¹⁴².

599

606

600 **5. Emerging technologies**

To adequately inform soil hydrological and land surface models and to better use existing observational capabilities, there is a need for improved data acquisition, data curation and analytical tools. Here we present an overview of the status of modern sensing technologies, citizen science approaches, cyber infrastructures and global data cubes to advance our understanding of SHP at all scales.

607 **5.1 Sensing soil hydrology**

608 Information on soil water content, temperature, matric potential and other states requires a 609 variety of established and novel technologies that capture their high degree of variability in time and space¹⁴³. Established in-situ point methods include electromagnetic approaches to 610 611 measure in situ water content, for example time domain reflectometry (TDR)¹⁴⁴, time 612 domain transmission (TDT)¹⁴⁵, and capacitance¹⁴⁶ and impedance sensors¹⁴⁷, while other point-based approaches use thermal soil properties (thermal pulse sensors)¹⁴⁸. In-situ 613 614 sensed soil moisture has been coupled with the remote sensing data to acquire large scale 615 soil profile moisture variation using physically based methods¹⁴⁹, data assimilation methods¹⁵⁰, (semi-) empirical methods¹⁵¹, data-driven methods¹⁵², and statistical 616 methods¹⁵³. 617

618

619 Field-scale soil moisture measurements can be obtained by non-invasive methods, such as 620 cosmic-ray neutron sensing (CRNS), Global Navigation Satellite System Reflectometry (GNSS-R), gamma-ray monitoring, and ground penetrating radar (GPR)¹⁵⁴. Regional to global 621 coverage of near-surface soil moisture content is usually achieved with satellite-based 622 623 sensors such as the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS), Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP), Advanced Scatterometer (ASCAT)¹⁵⁵, Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer 624 (AMSR-E/AMSR-2) with a resolution of tens of kilometers¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁵⁸. Through multi-sensor 625 integration higher resolutions¹⁵⁹ or global long-term (1978-now) products¹⁶⁰ are generated. 626 Native finer resolution data (tens of meters) involve synthetic aperture radars (SAR) such as 627 ESA's Sentinel-1¹⁶¹ and JAXA's ALOS-2¹⁶². The upcoming SAR missions NISAR (NASA ISRO 628 629 Synthetic Aperture Radar)¹⁶³, and ROSE-L (Radar Observing System for Europe at L-band)¹⁶⁴ operate at longer wavelengths than previous SAR sensors which monitor soil moisture over 630 631 a depth of about 5 cm. Soil moisture information down to a depth of about 25 cm will be provided by P-band sensors used by BIOMASS mission¹⁶⁵ and the SigNals Of Opportunity: P-632 633 band Investigation (SNOOPI). The latter exploits transmissions from telecommunications satellites reflected at the Earth's surface to retrieve soil moisture¹⁶⁶. Similarly, the Global
Navigation Satellite Systems-Reflectometry (GNSS-R) concepts use navigation signals of
opportunity to perform scatterometry with ground-based¹⁶⁷ or space-borne receivers¹⁶⁸.
The relatively lower cost of sensors that take advantage of such existing 'signals of
opportunity' theoretically enables more frequent observations by making it cost-effective to
fly a large number of sensors.

640

641 **5.2 Monitoring networks and citizen science**

642 Understanding the impact of anthropogenic change on SHP and designing adaptation strategies requires long-term observations^{169,170}. The concept of soil hydrologic in-situ 643 644 monitoring networks is increasingly relevant for a range of environmental issues¹⁷¹, leading to an increasingly multi-disciplinary focus of long-term observatories¹⁷⁰, often coordinated 645 as networks^{172,173}. Ongoing national and international observatory networks that include soil 646 hydrological observations are Critical Zone Observatories (CZO)^{172,174}, NEON (National 647 Ecological Observatory Network)¹⁷⁵, TERENO (Terrestrial Environmental Observatories)¹⁷⁶, 648 649 TERN (Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network)¹⁷⁷, and ISMN (International Soil Moisture 650 Network)¹⁷⁸ providing in-situ soil moisture data from 2842 stations worldwide. These 651 networks can be supported by public participation of non-scientists known as Citizen Science (CS)¹⁷⁹. CS ranges from community-based data collection to Internet-based 652 653 execution of various scientific tasks, with the help of large numbers of volunteers and crowd-sourcing^{180,181}. Recent sensor development, data processing and visualization have 654 655 opened new opportunities for engaging the public in scientific research¹⁸². For example, low-cost, low-maintenance soil moisture sensors have enabled the development of large-656 scale public sensor networks¹⁸³. Another recent CS project used human perception to 657 evaluate similarity and dissimilarity between spatial patterns in the simulation results of a 658 hydrologic model¹⁸⁴. It was shown that human perception in distinguishing between 659 660 similarity and dissimilarity provides additional information that is valuable for model 661 diagnosis. CS is typically staff intensive and requires proper training and education of those involved¹⁸¹ as well as openness to data sharing¹⁸⁵. Techniques are being developed to assess 662 and increase the accuracy of crowdsourced environmental data¹⁸⁶. 663

664

665 **5.3 Cyber infrastructure and big data**

Cyber-physical infrastructures provide solutions for the integrated management of 666 667 heterogeneous data resources such as live sensors, sensor models, simulation systems; 668 collaborative observation systems based on multiple platforms such as wireless sensing 669 networks, remote sensing, and methods for scalable processing and fusion of multi-sourced 670 environmental data (Fig. 4). Cyber-physical infrastructures improve environmental research 671 by combining different types of data such as real-time wireless sensor network data with 672 global remote sensing data. They also become important in the framework of the Internet of Things (IoT)¹⁸⁷, which provides real-time environmental data, enabling large-scale 673 networks and possibly continental coverage in the near future¹⁸⁸. Global internet access is 674

675 being pursued via high altitude balloons, solar planes, and hundreds of planned satellite launches, providing a means to exploit the IoT¹⁸⁹. Such global access will enable real-time 676 collection of data from billions of smartphones or from remote research platforms and 677 678 adequate cyber-physical infrastructures are essential to manage the petabytes of data that 679 could be produced in the future by such systems. This presents a unique opportunity to gain 680 new insights that advance fundamental aspects of soil science. However, given the discrete 681 and irregular nature of the associated data, this will require a radical rethinking of how we deploy and use these new observing systems¹⁸⁹, and the cyber tools needed to harmonize 682 683 and synthesize these unstructured data into a comprehensive picture of Earth system 684 processes and properties.

For decades, a huge amount of data related to soil hydrology has been recorded by 685 satellites, monitoring networks, and governments. However, these data is often 686 687 underutilized due a lack of availability, discoverability, accessibility, storage capacities, 688 processing methods, visualization and dissemination tools, or high performance computing 689 facilities with low usability levels. Here, public Analysis Ready Data (ARD) repositories with 690 the possibility to apply new processing and analysis methods ideally with affordable processing power are needed¹⁹⁰. Both public and private entities invest in this field of big 691 692 data accessibility and cloud computing, for example DIAS (Data and Information Access 693 Service) the European Commission, Theia in France, BDAP (Big Data Analytics Platform) of 694 the Joint Research Center, (Copernicus Data and Exploitation Platform – DE) of the German 695 Aerospace Center, Google Earth Engine, and Open Data on Amazon Web Services, just to 696 name a few. Furthermore, there is a growing recognition that data storage principles are 697 needed to enable reuse and repurposing of data; for example, the FAIR principles 698 (findability, accessibility, interoperability, reusability) are now being adopted in many 699 venues.

Basic land surface data is typically available on cloud platforms, and sometimes also soil 700 701 moisture information, but more detailed soil hydrology data need to be processed with new 702 approaches. Here, portable and efficient software container solutions like Docker and 703 kubernetes¹⁹¹ can be implemented, as well as interactions with scripts of common 704 languages such as python and R via application programing interfaces (API) performed. 705 These solutions open also the potential to apply deep learning methods, to perform 706 advanced analytics approaches similar to those used for the SoilGrids250m soil information 707 data such as random forest, gradient boosting or multinomial logistic regression 708 techniques¹⁹². For example, training environmental monitoring data to point-scale in situ 709 soil measurements could provide spatial maps at sufficient accuracy for further 710 implementation in regional or global soil hydrological simulations. Moreover, methods for 711 generating new soil hydrological understanding may benefit from a combination of both process and empirical modelling¹⁹³. The wealth of data being generated provides news 712 713 opportunities to explore novel data analysis methods. Machine learning approaches (MLA) 714 such as artificial neural networks and support vector machines have been widely used in the 715 past decades to simulate various hydrological processes, including soil water dynamics^{194,195}. 716 In addition, MLA have been successfully applied to the prediction of soil moisture using 717 remote sensing data^{196,197}. It is important that such models are first trained on a training

data set, which should contain as much data and conditions as possible, so that they can also take unusual events into account and achieve good prediction accuracy. Given suitable input data, machine learning approaches can also be used for irrigation planning and agricultural water resource management¹⁹⁸.

- 722
- 723

724 **6. Outlook**

725

726 In the last two decades, the field of soil hydrology has evolved to a research field that not 727 only studies local scale SHP but also embraces the challenge of quantifying and 728 understanding the influence of SHP at catchment, regional and continental scale. This 729 increase in scale requires a better understanding of a broad variety of processes and 730 phenomena ranging from biogeochemical and hydrological processes to extreme events 731 such as drought, heat waves and floods amplified by climate change. These advances have 732 been made possible by an unprecedented increase in measuring capabilities empowered by 733 novel remote sensing technologies and new ground-based technologies to measure key soil 734 hydrological properties such as soil moisture. Daily, and even sub-daily, global observations 735 such as soil moisture and ET (evapotranspiration), are now a reality. Research activities in 736 the near future should comprise a better use of observational capabilities to inform soil 737 hydrological and LSM predicting SHP. A combination of CS approaches, cyberinfrastructures 738 and global data cubes will advance our understanding of SHP at all scales, if leveraged 739 appropriately. To this end, big SHP data need to be integrated to continuously improve the 740 accuracy of the derived information, which is of key importance to reduce the significant 741 uncertainties that are still present in soil hydrology models used to predict effects of global 742 environmental change on terrestrial systems. Machine learning tools are expected to be 743 pivotal in this integration

744 In the future, soil hydrologists will increasingly need to address challenges related to 745 adapting land management in the frame of the ongoing climate and land use change. The 746 warming of our planet also strongly affects large permafrost regions in the Northern 747 Hemisphere. More than ever a better understanding and description of key SHP such as 748 infiltration, evapotranspiration and its separation in E and T as well as the accurate 749 estimation and forecasting of soil moisture dynamics is needed to assess the future release 750 potential of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases and the complex feedbacks this invokes 751 between the various biochemical cycles and the water- and energy cycles. The predictions of 752 hydrological and biogeochemical processes using LSM as part of global climate models 753 strongly depends on how soils and SHP are being characterized and parameterized. Despite 754 its importance, the role of soil structure and its dynamic impact on SHP and soil 755 biogeochemical processes have been almost completely neglected, and a closer cooperation 756 between soil scientists and global land surface and climate modelers is urgently needed.

- 757
- 758
- 759

760 References

761

- Coumou, D. & Rahmstorf, S. A decade of weather extremes. *Nature Climate Change*2, 491-496, doi:10.1038/nclimate1452 (2012).
- Hallegatte, S., Green, C., Nicholls, R. J. & Corfee-Morlot, J. Future flood losses in major coastal cities. *Nature Climate Change* 3, 802-806, doi:10.1038/nclimate1979 (2013).
- 7673Lehner, F. et al. Projected drought risk in 1.5 degrees C and 2 degrees C warmer768climates. Geophys Res Lett 44, 7419-7428, doi:10.1002/2017gl074117 (2017).
- 769 4 Ortiz-Bobea, A., Ault, T. R., Carrillo, C. M., Chambers, R. G. & Lobel, D. B.
 770 Anthropogenic climate change has slowed global agricultural productivity growth.
 771 *Nature Climate Change* 11, 306-U328, doi:10.1038/s41558-021-01000-1 (2021).
- Rockstrom, J. *et al.* Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for
 Humanity. *Ecology and Society* 14 (2009).
- Programme, U. W. W. A. *The United Nations world water development report 2018: nature-based solutions for water.* (2018).
- 776 7 Seneviratne, S. I. *et al.* Investigating soil moisture-climate interactions in a changing
 777 climate: A review. *Earth-Science Reviews* 99, 125-161,
 778 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.02.004 (2010).
- 779 8 Vereecken, H. et al. Infiltration from the Pedon to Global Grid Scales: An Overview 780 and Outlook for Land Surface Modeling. Vadose Zone 18. J781 doi:10.2136/vzj2018.10.0191 (2019).
- Jung, M. *et al.* Recent decline in the global land evapotranspiration trend due to
 limited moisture supply. *Nature* 467, 951-954, doi:10.1038/nature09396 (2010).
- Saini, R., Wang, G. L. & Pal, J. S. Role of Soil Moisture Feedback in the
 Development of Extreme Summer Drought and Flood in the United States. *Journal of Hydrometeorology* 17, 2191-2207, doi:10.1175/jhm-d-15-0168.1 (2016).
- Bloschl, G. *et al.* Changing climate shifts timing of European floods. *Science* 357, 588-590, doi:10.1126/science.aan2506 (2017).
- Vereecken, H. *et al.* Soil hydrology: Recent methodological advances, challenges, and
 perspectives. *Water Resources Research* 51, 2616-2633, doi:10.1002/2014wr016852
 (2015).
- Sapriza-Azuri, G., Gamazo, P., Razavi, S. & Wheater, H. S. On the appropriate definition of soil profile configuration and initial conditions for land surfacehydrology models in cold regions. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 22, 32953309, doi:10.5194/hess-22-3295-2018 (2018).
- Hodnett, M. G. & Tomasella, J. Marked differences between van Genuchten soil
 water-retention parameters for temperate and tropical soils: a new water-retention
 pedo-transfer functions developed for tropical soils. *Geoderma* 108, 155-180,
 doi:10.1016/s0016-7061(02)00105-2 (2002).
- 80015Lohse, K. A., Brooks, P. D., McIntosh, J. C., Meixner, T. & Huxman, T. E.801Interactions Between Biogeochemistry and Hydrologic Systems. Annual Review of802Environment and Resources803doi:10.1146/annurev.environ.33.031207.111141 (2009).
- 80416Green, J. K. *et al.* Large influence of soil moisture on long-term terrestrial carbon805uptake. *Nature* 565, 476-+, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0848-x (2019).
- Lin, H. *et al.* Hydropedology: Synergistic integration of pedology and hydrology.
 Water Resources Research 42, doi:10.1029/2005wr004085 (2006).

- Brooks, P. D. *et al.* Hydrological partitioning in the critical zone: Recent advances and opportunities for developing transferable understanding of water cycle dynamics. *Water Resources Research* 51, 6973-6987, doi:10.1002/2015wr017039 (2015).
- 811 19 Van Looy, K. *et al.* Pedotransfer Functions in Earth System Science: Challenges and
 812 Perspectives. *Reviews of Geophysics* 55, 1199-1256, doi:10.1002/2017rg000581
 813 (2017).
- 814 20 Bouma, J. Hydropedology as a powerful tool for environmental policy research.
 815 *Geoderma* 131, 275-286, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2005.03.009 (2006).
- Lin, H. Earth's Critical Zone and hydropedology: concepts, characteristics, and advances. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 14, 25-45, doi:10.5194/hess-14-25-2010 (2010).
- Robinson, D. A. *et al.* Global environmental changes impact soil hydraulic functions
 through biophysical feedbacks. *Global Change Biology* 25, 1895-1904,
 doi:10.1111/gcb.14626 (2019).
- Young, I. M. & Crawford, J. W. Interactions and self-organization in the soil-microbe
 complex. *Science* **304**, 1634-1637, doi:10.1126/science.1097394 (2004).
- Totsche, K. U. *et al.* Microaggregates in soils. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 181, 104-136, doi:10.1002/jpln.201600451 (2018).
- Peth, S. *et al.* Three-dimensional quantification of intra-aggregate pore-space features
 using synchrotron-radiation-based microtomography. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 72, 897-907, doi:10.2136/sssaj2007.0130 (2008).
- Athmann, M. *et al.* Six months of L-terrestris L. activity in root-formed biopores
 increases nutrient availability, microbial biomass and enzyme activity. *Applied Soil Ecology* 120, 135-142, doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.08.015 (2017).
- Wendel, A. S., Bauke, S. L., Amelung, W. & Knief, C. Root-rhizosphere-soil
 interactions in biopores. *Plant and Soil*, doi:10.1007/s11104-022-05406-4.
- Fatichi, S. *et al.* Soil structure is an important omission in Earth System Models. *Nature Communications* 11, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14411-z (2020).
- Bornemann, L., Herbst, M., Welp, G., Vereecken, H. & Amelung, W. Rock 836 29 Fragments Control Size and Saturation of Organic Carbon Pools in Agricultural 837 838 Topsoil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 75, 1898-1907, 839 doi:10.2136/sssaj2010.0454 (2011).
- Kautz, T. *et al.* Contribution of anecic earthworms to biopore formation during
 cultivation of perennial ley crops. *Pedobiologia* 57, 47-52,
 doi:10.1016/j.pedobi.2013.09.008 (2014).
- Katuwal, S. *et al.* Linking air and water transport in intact soils to macropore characteristics inferred from X-ray computed tomography. *Geoderma* 237, 9-20, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.08.006 (2015).
- 846 32 Jarvis, N. J. A review of non-equilibrium water flow and solute transport in soil 847 macropores: principles, controlling factors and consequences for water quality. 848 Soil doi:10.1111/j.1365-European Journal of Science **58**. 523-546. 849 2389.2007.00915.x (2007).
- Bonetti, S., Wei, Z. W. & Or, D. A framework for quantifying hydrologic effects of
 soil structure across scales. *Communications Earth & Environment* 2,
 doi:10.1038/s43247-021-00180-0 (2021).
- 853 34 Or, D., Keller, T. & Schlesinger, W. H. Natural and managed soil structure: On the
 854 fragile scaffolding for soil functioning. *Soil & Tillage Research* 208,
 855 doi:10.1016/j.still.2020.104912 (2021).

- 856 35 Awadhwal, N. K. & Thierstein, G. E. SOIL CRUST AND ITS IMPACT ON CROP
 857 ESTABLISHMENT A REVIEW. Soil & Tillage Research 5, 289-302,
 858 doi:10.1016/0167-1987(85)90021-2 (1985).
- Bronick, C. J. & Lal, R. Soil structure and management: a review. *Geoderma* 124, 322, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.03.005 (2005).
- Konstanting
 Konstanting
- 865 38 Vereecken, H. *et al.* Modeling Soil Processes: Review, Key Challenges, and New
 866 Perspectives. *Vadose Zone J* 15, doi:10.2136/vzj2015.09.0131 (2016).
- 39 Zha, Y. Y. *et al.* Review of numerical solution of Richardson-Richards equation for
 868 variably saturated flow in soils. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews-Water* 6,
 869 doi:10.1002/wat2.1364 (2019).
- Weihermuller, L. *et al.* Choice of Pedotransfer Functions Matters when Simulating
 Soil Water Balance Fluxes. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 13,
 doi:10.1029/2020ms002404 (2021).
- Toth, B. *et al.* New generation of hydraulic pedotransfer functions for Europe. *European Journal of Soil Science* 66, 226-238, doi:10.1111/ejss.12192 (2015).
- Zhang, Y. G. & Schaap, M. G. Weighted recalibration of the Rosetta pedotransfer
 model with improved estimates of hydraulic parameter distributions and summary
 statistics (Rosetta3). *J. Hydrol.* 547, 39-53, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.01.004 (2017).
- Vereecken, H. *et al.* Using Pedotransfer Functions to Estimate the van GenuchtenMualem Soil Hydraulic Properties: A Review. *Vadose Zone J* 9, 795-820,
 doi:10.2136/vzj2010.0045 (2010).
- 44 Zhang, Y. G. & Schaap, M. G. Estimation of saturated hydraulic conductivity with
 pedotransfer functions: A review. *J. Hydrol.* 575, 1011-1030,
 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.058 (2019).
- Romero-Ruiz, A., Linde, N., Keller, T. & Or, D. A Review of Geophysical Methods
 for Soil Structure Characterization. *Reviews of Geophysics* 56, 672-697,
 doi:10.1029/2018rg000611 (2018).
- 46 Gupta, S., Lehmann, P., Bonetti, S., Papritz, A. & Or, D. Global Prediction of Soil
 888 Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity Using Random Forest in a Covariate-Based
 889 GeoTransfer Function (CoGTF) Framework. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth*890 Systems 13, doi:10.1029/2020ms002242 (2021).
- 47 Lehmann, P. *et al.* Clays are not created equal: how clay mineral type affects soil parameterization. *Geophys. Res. Let.* 48, e2021GL095311, doi:10.1029/2021GL095311 (2021).
- 48 Gupta, S. *et al.* Global Mapping of Soil Water Characteristics Parameters Fusing
 895 Curated Data with Machine Learning and Environmental Covariates. *Remote Sensing*896 14, doi:10.3390/rs14081947 (2022).
- Rabot, E., Wiesmeier, M., Schluter, S. & Vogel, H. J. Soil structure as an indicator of
 soil functions: A review. *Geoderma* 314, 122-137,
 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.11.009 (2018).
- Schaap, M. G., Leij, F. J. & van Genuchten, M. T. Neural network analysis for
 hierarchical prediction of soil hydraulic properties. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 62, 847-855, doi:10.2136/sssaj1998.03615995006200040001x (1998).
- 90351Elshorbagy, A. & Parasuraman, K. On the relevance of using artificial neural904networks for estimating soil moisture content. J. Hydrol. 362, 1-18,905doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.08.012 (2008).

- 90652Durner, W. HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY ESTIMATION FOR SOILS WITH907HETEROGENEOUS PORE STRUCTURE. Water Resources Research 30, 211-223,908doi:10.1029/93wr02676 (1994).
- 90953Li, Y. & Vanapalli, S. K. A novel modeling method for the bimodal soil-water910characteristiccurve.ComputersandGeotechnics138,911doi:10.1016/j.compgeo.2021.104318 (2021).
- 54 Dai, Y. J. *et al.* A Global High-Resolution Data Set of Soil Hydraulic and Thermal
 913 Properties for Land Surface Modeling. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth*914 *Systems* 11, 2996-3023, doi:10.1029/2019ms001784 (2019).
- 55 Lu, N. & Dong, Y. Closed-Form Equation for Thermal Conductivity of Unsaturated
 Soils at Room Temperature. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 141, doi:10.1061/(asce)gt.1943-5606.0001295 (2015).
- He, H. L., Dyck, M. & Lv, J. L. A new model for predicting soil thermal conductivity
 from matric potential. *J. Hydrol.* 589, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125167 (2020).
- Jana, R. B. & Mohanty, B. P. Enhancing PTFs with remotely sensed data for multiscale soil water retention estimation. *J. Hydrol.* **399**, 201-211,
 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.12.043 (2011).
- 92358Jana, R. B., Mohanty, B. P. & Springer, E. P. Multiscale Bayesian neural networks for924soil water content estimation.Water Resources Research 44,925doi:10.1029/2008wr006879 (2008).
- 92659Guber, A. K. *et al.* Multimodel Simulation of Water Flow in a Field Soil Using927Pedotransfer Functions. Vadose Zone J 8, 1-10, doi:10.2136/vzj2007.0144 (2009).
- 60 Zhang, Y. G., Schaap, M. G. & Wei, Z. W. Development of Hierarchical Ensemble
 929 Model and Estimates of Soil Water Retention With Global Coverage. *Geophys Res*930 Lett 47, doi:10.1029/2020gl088819 (2020).
- Ottoni, M. V., Ottoni, T. B., Schaap, M. G., Lopes-Assad, M. & Rotunno, O. C.
 Hydrophysical Database for Brazilian Soils (HYBRAS) and Pedotransfer Functions for Water Retention. *Vadose Zone J* 17, doi:10.2136/vzj2017.05.0095 (2018).
- 62 Lehmann, P. *et al.* Clays Are Not Created Equal: How Clay Mineral Type Affects
 935 Soil Parameterization. *Geophys Res Lett* 48, doi:10.1029/2021gl095311 (2021).
- Jarvis, N., Koestel, J., Messing, I., Moeys, J. & Lindahl, A. Influence of soil, land use
 and climatic factors on the hydraulic conductivity of soil. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 17, 5185-5195, doi:10.5194/hess-17-5185-2013 (2013).
- Minasny, B. & Hartemink, A. E. Predicting soil properties in the tropics. *Earth-Science Reviews* 106, 52-62, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.01.005 (2011).
- 941
 65
 Friedlingstein, P. et al. Global Carbon Budget 2020. Earth System Science Data 12, 3269-3340, doi:10.5194/essd-12-3269-2020 (2020).
- Hugelius, G. *et al.* A new data set for estimating organic carbon storage to 3m depth
 in soils of the northern circumpolar permafrost region. *Earth System Science Data* 5,
 393-402, doi:10.5194/essd-5-393-2013 (2013).
- 94667Obu, J. et al. Northern Hemisphere permafrost map based on TTOP modelling for9472000-2016 at 1 km(2) scale. Earth-Science Reviews 193, 299-316,948doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.04.023 (2019).
- 94968Leifeld, J. & Menichetti, L. The underappreciated potential of peatlands in global950climate change mitigation strategies. Nature Communications 9, doi:10.1038/s41467-951018-03406-6 (2018).
- Rezanezhad, F. *et al.* Structure of peat soils and implications for water storage, flow
 and solute transport: A review update for geochemists. *Chemical Geology* 429, 75-84,
 doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.03.010 (2016).

- 95570Andresen, C. G. *et al.* Soil moisture and hydrology projections of the permafrost956region a model intercomparison. *Cryosphere* 14, 445-459, doi:10.5194/tc-14-445-9572020 (2020).
- Hugelius, G. *et al.* Large stocks of peatland carbon and nitrogen are vulnerable to
 permafrost thaw. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117, 20438-20446,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1916387117 (2020).
- 961 72 Slater, A. G. & Lawrence, D. M. Diagnosing Present and Future Permafrost from 962 Climate Models. *Journal of Climate* 26, 5608-5623, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-12-00341.1 963 (2013).
- 964 73 Beven, K. J. & Kirkby, M. J. Towards a simple, physically based, variable
 965 contributing area model of catchment hydrology. *Bulletin*
- 966 of the International Association of Scientific Hydrology 24, 43-69 (1979).
- 967 74 Bechtold, M. *et al.* PEAT-CLSM: A Specific Treatment of Peatland Hydrology in the
 968 NASA Catchment Land Surface Model. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth*969 *Systems* 11, 2130-2162, doi:10.1029/2018ms001574 (2019).
- Wania, R., Ross, I. & Prentice, I. C. Integrating peatlands and permafrost into a dynamic global vegetation model: 1. Evaluation and sensitivity of physical land surface processes. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 23, doi:10.1029/2008gb003412 (2009).
- Qiu, C. J. *et al.* ORCHIDEE-PEAT (revision 4596), a model for northern peatland
 CO2, water, and energy fluxes on daily to annual scales. *Geoscientific Model Development* 11, 497-519, doi:10.5194/gmd-11-497-2018 (2018).
- 977 77 Dai, Y. J. *et al.* Evaluation of Soil Thermal Conductivity Schemes for Use in Land
 978 Surface Modeling. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 11, 3454-3473,
 979 doi:10.1029/2019ms001723 (2019).
- 78 Chadburn, S. E. *et al.* Impact of model developments on present and future simulations of permafrost in a global land-surface model. *Cryosphere* 9, 1505-1521, doi:10.5194/tc-9-1505-2015 (2015).
- 983 79 Waddington, J. M. *et al.* Hydrological feedbacks in northern peatlands. *Ecohydrology* 984
 8, 113-127, doi:10.1002/eco.1493 (2015).
- 98580Apers, S. et al. Tropical peatland hydrology simulated with a global land surface986model. Earth and Space Science Open Archive, doi:10.1002/essoar.10507826.1987(2021).
- 81 Bechtold, M. *et al.* Improved groundwater table and L-band brightness temperature
 989 estimates for Northern Hemisphere peatlands using new model physics and SMOS
 990 observations in a global data assimilation framework. *Remote Sensing of Environment*991 246, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2020.111805 (2020).
- Mahdianpari, M. *et al.* The Third Generation of Pan-Canadian Wetland Map at 10 m
 Resolution Using Multisource Earth Observation Data on Cloud Computing Platform. *Ieee Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*4, 8789-8803, doi:10.1109/jstars.2021.3105645 (2021).
- Rasanen, A. *et al.* Detecting northern peatland vegetation patterns at ultra-high spatial resolution. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation* 6, 457-471, doi:10.1002/rse2.140 (2020).
- 999 84 Oki, T. & Kanae, S. Global Hydrological Cycles and World Water Resources. *Science* 313, 1068-1072, doi:10.1126/science.1128845 (2006).
- 1001 85 Trenberth, K. E., Smith, L., Qian, T., Dai, A. & Fasullo, J. Estimates of the Global
 1002 Water Budget and Its Annual Cycle Using Observational and Model Data. *Journal of*1003 *Hydrometeorology* 8, 758-769, doi:10.1175/jhm600.1 (2007).

- 1004 86 Rothfuss, Y. *et al.* Reviews and syntheses: Gaining insights into evapotranspiration
 1005 partitioning with novel isotopic monitoring methods. *Biogeosciences* 18, 3701-3732,
 1006 doi:10.5194/bg-18-3701-2021 (2021).
- 1007 87 Liu, Y., Kumar, M., Katul, G. G., Feng, X. & Konings, A. G. Plant hydraulics accentuates the effect of atmospheric moisture stress on transpiration. *Nature Climate Change* 10, 691-695, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0781-5 (2020).
- 101088Anderegg, W. R. L. *et al.* Plant water potential improves prediction of empirical1011stomatal models. *PLOS ONE* **12**, e0185481, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.01854811012(2017).
- 101389Katul, G. G. & Siqueira, M. B. Biotic and abiotic factors act in coordination to1014amplify hydraulic redistribution and lift. New Phytologist 187, 4-6 (2010).
- 1015 90 Quijano, J. C. & Kumar, P. Numerical simulations of hydraulic redistribution across
 1016 climates: The role of the root hydraulic conductivities. *Water Resources Research* 51, 8529-8550, doi:10.1002/2014wr016509 (2015).
- 1018 91 Neumann, R. B. & Cardon, Z. G. The magnitude of hydraulic redistribution by plant roots: a review and synthesis of empirical and modeling studies. *New Phytologist* 194, 337-352, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04088.x (2012).
- 102192Quijano, J. C., Kumar, P. & Drewry, D. T. Passive regulation of soil biogeochemical1022cycling by root water transport. Water Resources Research 49, 3729-3746,1023doi:10.1002/wrcr.20310 (2013).
- 102493Porporato, A., Daly, E. & Rodriguez-Iturbe, I. Soil water balance and ecosystem1025response to climate change. Am Nat 164, 625-632, doi:10.1086/424970 (2004).
- 1026 94 Budyko, M. I. *Climate and Life*. (Academic Press, 1974).
- Laio, F., D'Odorico, P. & Ridolfi, L. An analytical model to relate the vertical root 1027 95 1028 distribution climate and soil properties. Geophys to Res Lett 33, 1029 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027331 (2006).
- 103096Schenk, H. J. The shallowest possible water extraction profile: A null model for1031global root distributions. Vadose Zone J 7, 1119-1124, doi:10.2136/vzj2007.01191032(2008).
- 103397Schenk, H. J. & Jackson, R. B. Mapping the global distribution of deep roots in1034relation to climate and soil characteristics. Geoderma 126, 129-140,1035doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.11.018 (2005).
- Fan, Y., Miguez-Macho, G., Jobbagy, E. G., Jackson, R. B. & Otero-Casal, C.
 Hydrologic regulation of plant rooting depth. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 1038
 10572-10577, doi:10.1073/pnas.1712381114 (2017).
- 103999Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., D'Odorico, P., Porporato, A. & Ridolfi, L. On the spatial and1040temporal links between vegetation, climate, and soil moisture. Water Resources1041Research 35, 3709-3722, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/1999WR900255 (1999).
- 1042100Rietkerk, M., Dekker, S. C., Ruiter, P. C. d. & Koppel, J. v. d. Self-Organized1043Patchiness and Catastrophic Shifts in Ecosystems. Science 305, 1926-1929,1044doi:doi:10.1126/science.1101867 (2004).
- 1045 101 Assouline, S., Narkis, K., Gherabli, R., Lefort, P. & Prat, M. Analysis of the impact of
 1046 surface layer properties on evaporation from porous systems using column
 1047 experiments and modified definition of characteristic length. *Water Resources*1048 *Research* 50, 3933-3955, doi:10.1002/2013wr014489 (2014).
- 1049 102 Brunet, P., Clement, R. & Bouvier, C. Monitoring soil water content and deficit using
 1050 Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) A case study in the Cevennes area, France.
 1051 J. Hydrol. 380, 146-153, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.10.032 (2010).
- 1052103Estrada-Medina, H., Graham, R. C., Allen, M. F., Jiménez-Osornio, J. J. & Robles-1053Casolco, S. The importance of limestone bedrock and dissolution karst features on

- 1054tree root distribution in northern Yucatán, México. Plant and Soil 362, 37-50,1055doi:10.1007/s11104-012-1175-x (2013).
- 1056104Sperry, J. S. & Hacke, U. G. Desert shrub water relations with respect to soil1057characteristics and plant functional type. Functional Ecology 16, 367-378,1058doi:https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2002.00628.x (2002).
- 105 Brum, M. *et al.* Hydrological niche segregation defines forest structure and drought
 1060 tolerance strategies in a seasonal Amazon forest. *Journal of Ecology* 107, 318-333,
 1061 doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13022 (2019).
- 1062 106 Hildebrandt, A. in *Forest-Water Interactions* (eds Delphis F. Levia *et al.*) 319-348
 1063 (Springer International Publishing, 2020).
- 1064107Ammer, C. Diversity and forest productivity in a changing climate. New Phytologist1065**221**, 50-66, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15263 (2019).
- 1066108Grossiord, C. Having the right neighbors: how tree species diversity modulates1067drought impacts on forests. New Phytologist 228, 42-49,1068doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15667 (2020).
- 1069109Fisher, R. A. et al. Vegetation demographics in Earth System Models: A review of1070progress and priorities. Global Change Biology 24, 35-54,1071doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13910 (2018).
- 1072 110 Maxwell, R. M. & Kollet, S. J. Interdependence of groundwater dynamics and land1073 energy feedbacks under climate change. *Nat. Geosci.* 1, 665-669,
 1074 doi:doi:10.1038/ngeo315 (2008).
- 1075 111 Lehmann, P., Assouline, S. & Or, D. Characteristic lengths affecting evaporative
 1076 drying of porous media. *Phys. Rev. E* 77, doi:056309
- 1077 10.1103/PhysRevE.77.056309 (2008).
- Naumburg, E., Mata-gonzalez, R., Hunter, R. G., McLendon, T. & Martin, D. W. 1078 112 1079 Phreatophytic Vegetation and Groundwater Fluctuations: A Review of Current 1080 Research and Application of Ecosystem Response Modeling with an Emphasis on 1081 Great Basin Vegetation. Environmental Management 35, 726-740, 1082 doi:10.1007/s00267-004-0194-7 (2005).
- 1083 113 Stephens, C. M., Lall, U., Johnson, F. M. & Marshall, L. A. Landscape changes and their hydrologic effects: Interactions and feedbacks across scales. *Earth-Science* 1085 *Reviews* 212, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103466 (2021).
- 1086 114 Roe, G. H., Feldl, N., Armour, K. C., Hwang, Y. T. & Frierson, D. M. W. The remote impacts of climate feedbacks on regional climate predictability. *Nat. Geosci.* 8, 135-139, doi:10.1038/ngeo2346 (2015).
- 1089 115 Guillod, B. P., Orlowsky, B., Miralles, D. G., Teuling, A. J. & Seneviratne, S. I.
 1090 Reconciling spatial and temporal soil moisture effects on afternoon rainfall. *Nature*1091 *Communications* 6, doi:10.1038/ncomms7443 (2015).
- 1092 116 Khanna, J., Medvigy, D., Fueglistaler, S. & Walko, R. Regional dry-season climate
 1093 changes due to three decades of Amazonian deforestation. *Nature Climate Change* 7, 200-+, doi:10.1038/nclimate3226 (2017).
- 1095117Mueller, N. D. et al. Cooling of US Midwest summer temperature extremes from
cropland intensification. Nature Climate Change 6, 317-+, doi:10.1038/nclimate2825
(2016).1097(2016).
- 1098118Berg, A. *et al.* Land-atmosphere feedbacks amplify aridity increase over land under1099global warming. *Nature Climate Change* 6, 869-+, doi:10.1038/nclimate3029 (2016).
- 1100119Cheng, L. Y., Hoerling, M., Liu, Z. Y. & Eischeid, J. Physical Understanding of1101Human-Induced Changes in US Hot Droughts Using Equilibrium Climate1102Simulations. Journal of Climate 32, 4431-4443, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-18-0611.1 (2019).

- 1103 120 Zittis, G., Hadjinicolaou, P. & Lelieveld, J. Role of soil moisture in the amplification
 1104 of climate warming in the eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East. *Climate*1105 *Research* 59, 27-37, doi:10.3354/cr01205 (2014).
- 1106121May, W. et al. Contributions of soil moisture interactions to climate change in the1107tropics in the GLACE-CMIP5 experiment. Climate Dynamics 45, 3275-3297,1108doi:10.1007/s00382-015-2538-9 (2015).
- 1109122Stacke, T. & Hagemann, S. Lifetime of soil moisture perturbations in a coupled land-
atmosphere simulation. *Earth System Dynamics* 7, 1-19, doi:10.5194/esd-7-1-20161111(2016).
- 1112123Bloschl, G. et al. Increasing river floods: fiction or reality? Wiley Interdisciplinary1113Reviews-Water 2, 329-344, doi:10.1002/wat2.1079 (2015).
- 1114 124 Bloschl, G. *et al.* The Hydrological Open Air Laboratory (HOAL) in Petzenkirchen: a
 1115 hypothesis-driven observatory. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 20, 227-255,
 1116 doi:10.5194/hess-20-227-2016 (2016).
- 1117 125 Rogger, M. *et al.* Land use change impacts on floods at the catchment scale:
 1118 Challenges and opportunities for future research. *Water Resources Research* 53, 5209-5219, doi:10.1002/2017wr020723 (2017).
- 1120 126 Viglione, A. *et al.* Attribution of regional flood changes based on scaling fingerprints.
 1121 *Water Resources Research* 52, 5322-5340, doi:10.1002/2016wr019036 (2016).
- 1122 127 Zeng, H. *et al.* Drought-Induced Soil Desiccation Cracking Behavior With
 1123 Consideration of Basal Friction and Layer Thickness. *Water Resources Research* 56,
 1124 doi:10.1029/2019wr026948 (2020).
- 1125128Hirmas, D. R. et al. Climate-induced changes in continental-scale soil macroporosity1126may intensify water cycle. Nature 561, 100-+, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0463-x1127(2018).
- 1128
 129
 Rodell, M. *et al.* Emerging trends in global freshwater availability. *Nature* 557, 650

 1129
 +, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0123-1 (2018).
- Humphrey, V., Gudmundsson, L. & Seneviratne, S. I. Assessing Global Water
 Storage Variability from GRACE: Trends, Seasonal Cycle, Subseasonal Anomalies
 and Extremes. *Surveys in Geophysics* 37, 357-395, doi:10.1007/s10712-016-9367-1
 (2016).
- 1134 131 Papa, F. & Frappart, F. Surface Water Storage in Rivers and Wetlands Derived from
 1135 Satellite Observations: A Review of Current Advances and Future Opportunities for
 1136 Hydrological Sciences. *Remote Sensing* 13, doi:10.3390/rs13204162 (2021).
- 1137 132 Chen, X., Alimohammadi, N. & Wang, D. B. Modeling interannual variability of seasonal evaporation and storage change based on the extended Budyko framework.
 1139 Water Resources Research 49, 6067-6078, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20493 (2013).
- 1140133Tapley, B. D. et al. Contributions of GRACE to understanding climate change.1141Nature Climate Change 9, 358-369, doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0456-2 (2019).
- 1142 134 Springer, A., Eicker, A., Bettge, A., Kusche, J. & Hense, A. Evaluation of the Water
 1143 Cycle in the European COSMO-REA6 Reanalysis Using GRACE. *Water* 9, doi:10.3390/w9040289 (2017).
- 1145 135 Kusche, J., Eicker, A., Forootan, E., Springer, A. & Longuevergne, L. Mapping
 1146 probabilities of extreme continental water storage changes from space gravimetry.
 1147 *Geophys Res Lett* 43, 8026-8034, doi:10.1002/2016gl069538 (2016).
- 1148 136 Swenson, S., Famiglietti, J., Basara, J. & Wahr, J. Estimating profile soil moisture and groundwater variations using GRACE and Oklahoma Mesonet soil moisture data.
 1150 Water Resources Research 44, doi:10.1029/2007wr006057 (2008).
- 1151137Swenson, S. C. & Lawrence, D. M. Assessing a dry surface layer-based soil resistance1152parameterization for the Community Land Model using GRACE and FLUXNET-

- 1153MTE data.Journal ofGeophysicalResearch-Atmospheres119,1154doi:10.1002/2014jd022314 (2014).
- 1155 138 Tian, S. Y., Renzullo, L. J., van Dijk, A., Tregoning, P. & Walker, J. P. Global joint assimilation of GRACE and SMOS for improved estimation of root-zone soil moisture and vegetation response. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 23, 1067-1158 1081, doi:10.5194/hess-23-1067-2019 (2019).
- 1159 139 Felsberg, A. *et al.* Global Soil Water Estimates as Landslide Predictor: The Effectiveness of SMOS, SMAP, and GRACE Observations, Land Surface Simulations, and Data Assimilation. *Journal of Hydrometeorology* 22, 1065-1084, doi:10.1175/jhm-d-20-0228.1 (2021).
- 1163140Eicker, A., Forootan, E., Springer, A., Longuevergne, L. & Kusche, J. Does GRACE1164see the terrestrial water cycle "intensifying"? Journal of Geophysical Research-1165Atmospheres 121, 733-745, doi:10.1002/2015jd023808 (2016).
- 1166
 141
 Fasullo, J. T., Boening, C., Landerer, F. W. & Nerem, R. S. Australia's unique

 1167
 influence on global sea level in 2010-2011. Geophys Res Lett 40, 4368-4373,

 1168
 doi:10.1002/grl.50834 (2013).
- 1169 142 Jensen, L., Eicker, A., Dobslaw, H., Stacke, T. & Humphrey, V. Long-Term Wetting
 1170 and Drying Trends in Land Water Storage Derived From GRACE and CMIP5
 1171 Models. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 124, 9808-9823,
 1172 doi:10.1029/2018jd029989 (2019).
- 1173143Vereecken, H. et al. On the value of soil moisture measurements in vadose zone1174hydrology: A review. Water Resources Research 44, doi:10.1029/2008wr0068291175(2008).
- 1176 144 Robinson, D. A., Jones, S. B., Wraith, J. M., Or, D. & Friedman, S. P. A Review of Advances in Dielectric and Electrical Conductivity Measurement in Soils Using Time Domain Reflectometry. *Vadose Zone J* 2, 444-475, doi:10.2136/vzj2003.0444 (2003).
- 1179 145 Blonquist, J. M., Jones, S. B. & Robinson, D. A. A time domain transmission sensor
 1180 with TDR performance characteristics. *J. Hydrol.* **314**, 235-245,
 1181 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.04.005 (2005).
- 146 Kojima, Y. *et al.* Low-Cost Soil Moisture Profile Probe Using Thin-Film Capacitors
 1183 and a Capacitive Touch Sensor. *Sensors* 16, doi:10.3390/s16081292 (2016).
- 1184 147 Ojo, E. R. *et al.* Calibration and Evaluation of a Frequency Domain Reflectometry
 1185 Sensor for Real-Time Soil Moisture Monitoring. *Vadose Zone J* 14,
 1186 doi:10.2136/vzj2014.08.0114 (2015).
- 1187 148 Campbell, G. S., Calissendorff, C. & Williams, J. H. PROBE FOR MEASURING
 1188 SOIL SPECIFIC-HEAT USING A HEAT-PULSE METHOD. Soil Science Society of America Journal 55, 291-293, doi:10.2136/sssaj1991.03615995005500010052x
 1190 (1991).
- 1191 149 Manfreda, S., Brocca, L., Moramarco, T., Melone, F. & Sheffield, J. A physically 1192 based approach for the estimation of root-zone soil moisture from surface 1193 measurements. Earth Hydrology and System Sciences 18. 1199-1212, 1194 doi:10.5194/hess-18-1199-2014 (2014).
- 1195 150 Han, X. J. *et al.* Joint Assimilation of Surface Temperature and L-Band Microwave
 1196 Brightness Temperature in Land Data Assimilation. *Vadose Zone J* 12, doi:10.2136/vzj2012.0072 (2013).
- 1198151Albergel, C. *et al.* From near-surface to root-zone soil moisture using an exponential1199filter: an assessment of the method based on in-situ observations and model1200simulations. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 12, 1323-1337, doi:10.5194/hess-120112-1323-2008 (2008).

- 1202 152 Zhang, N., Quiring, S., Ochsner, T. & Ford, T. Comparison of Three Methods for
 1203 Vertical Extrapolation of Soil Moisture in Oklahoma. Vadose Zone J 16,
 1204 doi:10.2136/vzj2017.04.0085 (2017).
- 1205 153 Tian, J. *et al.* Estimation of subsurface soil moisture from surface soil moisture in cold mountainous areas. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 24, 4659-4674, doi:10.5194/hess-24-4659-2020 (2020).
- 1208 154 Bogena, H. R. *et al.* Emerging methods for non-invasive sensing of soil moisture dynamics from field to catchment scale: A review. *WIREs Water* 2, 635–647, doi:10.1002/wat2.1097 (2015).
- 1211 155 Wagner, W. *et al.* The ASCAT Soil Moisture Product: A Review of its Specifications,
 1212 Validation Results, and Emerging Applications. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift* 22, 5-33,
 1213 doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2013/0399 (2013).
- 1214 156 Bartalis, Z. *et al.* Initial soil moisture retrievals from the METOP-A Advanced 1215 Scatterometer (ASCAT). *Geophys Res Lett* **34**, doi:10.1029/2007gl031088 (2007).
- 1216 157 Parinussa, R. M., Holmes, T. R. H., Wanders, N., Dorigo, W. A. & de Jeu, R. A. M. A
 1217 Preliminary Study toward Consistent Soil Moisture from AMSR2. *Journal of* 1218 *Hydrometeorology* 16, 932-947, doi:10.1175/jhm-d-13-0200.1 (2015).
- 1219 158 Babaeian, E. *et al.* Ground, Proximal, and Satellite Remote Sensing of Soil Moisture.
 1220 *Reviews of Geophysics* 57, 530-616, doi:10.1029/2018rg000618 (2019).
- 1221 159 Fang, B., Lakshmi, V., Bindlish, R. & Jackson, T. J. Downscaling of SMAP Soil
 1222 Moisture Using Land Surface Temperature and Vegetation Data. *Vadose Zone J* 17,
 1223 doi:10.2136/vzj2017.11.0198 (2018).
- 1224 160 Dorigo, W. *et al.* ESA CCI Soil Moisture for improved Earth system understanding:
 1225 State-of-the art and future directions. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 203, 185-215,
 1226 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2017.07.001 (2017).
- 1227 161 Bauer-Marschallingere, B. *et al.* Toward Global Soil Moisture Monitoring With 1228 Sentinel-1: Harnessing Assets and Overcoming Obstacles. *Ieee Transactions on* 1229 *Geoscience and Remote Sensing* **57**, 520-539, doi:10.1109/tgrs.2018.2858004 (2019).
- 1230 162 Izumi, Y. *et al.* Potential of soil moisture retrieval for tropical peatlands in Indonesia
 1231 using ALOS-2 L-band full-polarimetric SAR data. *International Journal of Remote*1232 Sensing 40, 5938-5956, doi:10.1080/01431161.2019.1584927 (2019).
- 1233163Kim, S. B. & Liao, T. H. Robust retrieval of soil moisture at field scale across wide-1234ranging SAR incidence angles for soybean, wheat, forage, oat and grass. Remote1235Sensing of Environment 266, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2021.112712 (2021).
- 1236 164 Davidson, M., Gebert, N. & Giulicchi, L. in *EUSAR 2021; 13th European Conference*1237 on Synthetic Aperture Radar. 1-2.
- 1238 165 Tabatabaeenejad, A. *et al.* Assessment and Validation of AirMOSS P-Band Root1239 Zone Soil Moisture Products. *Ieee Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*1240 58, 6181-6196, doi:10.1109/tgrs.2020.2974976 (2020).
- 1241166Garrison, J. L. et al. in 2021 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing1242Symposium IGARSS. 164-167.
- 1243 167 Vey, S., Guntner, A., Wickert, J., Blume, T. & Ramatschi, M. Long-term soil
 1244 moisture dynamics derived from GNSS interferometric reflectometry: a case study for
 1245 Sutherland, South Africa. *Gps Solutions* 20, 641-654, doi:10.1007/s10291-015-04741246 0 (2016).
- 1247168Camps, A. et al. Sensitivity of GNSS-R Spaceborne Observations to Soil Moisture1248and Vegetation. Ieee Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and1249Remote Sensing 9, 4730-4742, doi:10.1109/jstars.2016.2588467 (2016).
- 1250 169 Peters, D. P. C., Loescher, H. W., SanClements, M. D. & Havstad, K. M. Taking the 1251 pulse of a continent: expanding site-based research infrastructure for regional- to

- 1252
 continental-scale ecology. Ecosphere 5, art29, doi:https://doi.org/10.1890/ES13

 1253
 00295.1 (2014).
- 1254 170 Bogena, H. R. *et al.* The TERENO-Rur Hydrological Observatory: A Multiscale
 1255 Multi-Compartment Research Platform for the Advancement of Hydrological
 1256 Science. *Vadose Zone J* 17, doi:UNSP 180055, 10.2136/vzj2018.03.0055 (2018).
- 1257 171 Tetzlaff, D., Carey, S. K., McNamara, J. P., Laudon, H. & Soulsby, C. The essential
 1258 value of long-term experimental data for hydrology and water management. *Water*1259 *Resources Research* 53, 2598-2604, doi:10.1002/2017wr020838 (2017).
- 1260 172 Brantley, S. L. *et al.* Designing a network of critical zone observatories to explore the
 1261 living skin of the terrestrial Earth. *Earth Surface Dynamics* 5, 841-860,
 1262 doi:10.5194/esurf-5-841-2017 (2017).
- 1263173Cosh, M. H. *et al.* Developing a strategy for the national coordinated soil moisture1264monitoring network. Vadose Zone J 20, doi:10.1002/vzj2.20139 (2021).
- 1265 174 White, T. *et al.* in *Developments in Earth Surface Processes* Vol. 19 (eds John R.
 1266 Giardino & Chris Houser) 15-78 (Elsevier, 2015).
- 1267 175 Loescher, H. W., Kelly, E. F. & Russ, L. in *Terrestrial Ecosystem Research*1268 *Infrastructures: Challenges and Opportunities* (eds A. Chabbi & Henry W.
 1269 Loescher) Ch. 2, (CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, 2017).
- 1270 176 Zacharias, S. *et al.* A Network of Terrestrial Environmental Observatories in Germany. *Vadose Zone J* 10, 955-973, doi:10.2136/vzj2010.0139 (2011).
- 1272 177 Thurgate, N., A.J. Lowe and T.F. Clancy. in *Terrestrial Ecosystem Research* 1273 *Infrastructures: Challenges, New developments and Perspectives* (ed A. Chabbi and
 1274 Loescher H.) 427-448 (CRS Press, 2017).
- 1275 178 Dorigo, W. *et al.* The International Soil Moisture Network: serving Earth system
 1276 science for over a decade. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 25, 5749-5804,
 1277 doi:10.5194/hess-25-5749-2021 (2021).
- 1278 179 Silvertown, J. A new dawn for citizen science. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 24, 467-471, doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.017 (2009).
- 1280180Dickinson, J. L. *et al.* The current state of citizen science as a tool for ecological1281research and public engagement. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 10, 291-1282297, doi:10.1890/110236 (2012).
- 1283181Gura, T. Citizen science: Amateur experts. Nature 496, 259-261, doi:10.1038/nj7444-1284259a (2013).
- 1285 182 Buytaert, W. *et al.* Citizen science in hydrology and water resources: opportunities for
 1286 knowledge generation, ecosystem service management, and sustainable development.
 1287 *Frontiers in Earth Science* 2, doi:10.3389/feart.2014.00026 (2014).
- 1288183Kovács, K. Z. et al. Citizen observatory based soil moisture monitoring the GROW1289example.HungarianGeographicalBulletin68,119-139,1290doi:10.15201/hungeobull.68.2.2 (2019).
- 1291 184 Koch, J. & Stisen, S. Citizen science: A new perspective to advance spatial pattern 1292 evaluation in hydrology. *Plos One* **12**, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0178165 (2017).
- 1293 185 Li, X. *et al.* Boosting geoscience data sharing in China. *Nat. Geosci.* 14, 541-542, doi:10.1038/s41561-021-00808-y (2021).
- 1295186Cheng, K., Quan, S. & Yan, J. in 2021 IEEE 24th International Conference on1296Computer Supported Cooperative Work in Design (CSCWD).855-860.
- 1297 187 Atzori, L., Iera, A. & Morabito, G. Understanding the Internet of Things: definition,
 1298 potentials, and societal role of a fast evolving paradigm. *Ad Hoc Networks* 56, 122140, doi:10.1016/j.adhoc.2016.12.004 (2017).
- 1300188Wang, J. Y., Wang, X. Z. & Wu, Q. Core network service model and networking1301scheme oriented NB-IoT. *Telecommunications Science* 149-151 (2017).

- 1302189McCabe, M. F. et al. The future of Earth observation in hydrology. Hydrology and1303Earth System Sciences 21, 3879-3914, doi:10.5194/hess-21-3879-2017 (2017).
- 1304 190 Gomes, V. C. F., Queiroz, G. R. & Ferreira, K. R. An Overview of Platforms for Big
 1305 Earth Observation Data Management and Analysis. *Remote Sensing* 12,
 1306 doi:10.3390/rs12081253 (2020).
- 1307191Shah, J. & Dubaria, D. in 9th IEEE Annual Computing and Communication1308Workshop and Conference (CCWC).184-189 (2019).
- 1309192Hengl, T. et al. SoilGrids250m: Global gridded soil information based on machine1310learning. Plos One 12, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169748 (2017).
- 1311193Searle, R. *et al.* Digital soil mapping and assessment for Australia and beyond: A1312propitious future. *Geoderma Regional* 24, doi:10.1016/j.geodrs.2021.e00359 (2021).
- 1313194Jiang, H. L. & Cotton, W. R. Soil moisture estimation using an artificial neural1314network: a feasibility study. Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing 30, 827-839,1315doi:10.5589/m04-041 (2004).
- 1316 195 Yu, Z. B. *et al.* A multi-layer soil moisture data assimilation using support vector machines and ensemble particle filter. *J. Hydrol.* 475, 53-64, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.08.034 (2012).
- 1319196Ahmad, S., Kalra, A. & Stephen, H. Estimating soil moisture using remote sensing1320data: A machine learning approach. Advances in Water Resources 33, 69-80,1321doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2009.10.008 (2010).
- 1322 197 Schonbrodt-Stitt, S. *et al.* Statistical Exploration of SENTINEL-1 Data, Terrain
 1323 Parameters, and in-situ Data for Estimating the Near-Surface Soil Moisture in a
 1324 Mediterranean Agroecosystem. *Frontiers in Water* 3, doi:10.3389/frwa.2021.655837
 1325 (2021).
- 1326198Karandish, F. & Simunek, J. A comparison of numerical and machine-learning1327modeling of soil water content with limited input data. J. Hydrol. 543, 892-909,1328doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.11.007 (2016).
- 1329199Zhang, X. et al. Geospatial sensor web: A cyber-physical infrastructure for geoscience1330research and application. Earth-Science Reviews185, 684-703,1331doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.07.006 (2018).
- 1332200Amelung, W. et al. Towards a global-scale soil climate mitigation strategy. Nature1333Communications 11, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18887-7 (2020).
- 1334
 201
 Landsberg, J. J. & Fowkes, N. D. WATER-MOVEMENT THROUGH PLANT

 1335
 ROOTS. Annals of Botany 42, 493-508, doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a085488

 1336
 (1978).
- Meunier, F., Draye, X., Vanderborght, J., Javaux, M. & Couvreur, V. A hybrid analytical-numerical method for solving water flow equations in root hydraulic architectures. *Appl. Math. Model.* 52, 648-663, doi:10.1016/j.apm.2017.08.011
 (2017).
- 1341 203 Doussan, C., Pagès, L. & Vercambre, G. Modelling of the Hydraulic Architecture of 1342 Root Systems: An Integrated Approach to Water Absorption—Model Description.
 1343 Annals of Botany 81, 213-223, doi:10.1006/anbo.1997.0540 (1998).
- 1344204Couvreur, V., Vanderborght, J., Beff, L. & Javaux, M. Horizontal soil water potential1345heterogeneity: simplifying approaches for crop water dynamics models. *Hydrol. Earth*1346Syst. Sci. 18, 1723-1743, doi:10.5194/hess-18-1723-2014 (2014).
- 1347 205 Vanderborght, J. *et al.* From hydraulic root architecture models to macroscopic
 1348 representations of root hydraulics in soil water flow and land surface models.
 1349 *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 25, 4835-4860, doi:10.5194/hess-25-48351350 2021 (2021).

- de Jong van Lier, Q., van Dam, J. C., Durigon, A., dos Santos, M. A. & Metselaar, K.
 Modeling Water Potentials and Flows in the Soil–Plant System Comparing Hydraulic
 Resistances and Transpiration Reduction Functions. *Vadose Zone J* 12, doi:10.2136/vzj2013.02.0039 (2013).
- Carminati, A., Zarebanadkouki, M., Kroener, E., Ahmed, M. A. & Holz, M.
 Biophysical rhizosphere processes affecting root water uptake. *Annals of Botany* 118, 561-571, doi:10.1093/aob/mcw113 (2016).
- 1358208Landl, M. et al. Modeling the Impact of Rhizosphere Bulk Density and Mucilage1359Gradients on Root Water Uptake. Frontiers in Agronomy 3,1360doi:10.3389/fagro.2021.622367 (2021).
- 1361209Carminati, A. *et al.* Do roots mind the gap? *Plant and Soil* **367**, 651-661,1362doi:10.1007/s11104-012-1496-9 (2013).
- 1363210Salmon, Y. et al. Drought impacts on tree phloem: from cell-level responses to
ecological significance. Tree Physiology **39**, 173-191, doi:10.1093/treephys/tpy1531365(2019).
- 1366 211 Pandey, R., Vengavasi, K. & Hawkesford, M. J. Plant adaptation to nutrient stress.
 1367 *Plant Physiology Reports* 26, 583-586, doi:10.1007/s40502-021-00636-7 (2021).
- 1368212Baatz, R. et al. Reanalysis in Earth System Science: Toward Terrestrial Ecosystem1369Reanalysis.Reviews of Geophysics59, e2020RG000715,1370doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2020RG000715 (2021).59, e2020RG000715,
- 1371 213 Mahdavi-Amiri, A., Harrison, E. & Samavati, F. Hexagonal connectivity maps for
 1372 Digital Earth. *International Journal of Digital Earth* 8, 750-769,
 1373 doi:10.1080/17538947.2014.927597 (2015).
- 1374214Bowater, D. & Stefanakis, E. An open-source web service for creating quadrilateral1375grids based on the rHEALPix Discrete Global Grid System. International Journal of1376Digital Earth 13, 1055-1071, doi:10.1080/17538947.2019.1645893 (2020).
- 1377215Arrouays, D. et al. in Advances in Agronomy, Vol 125 Vol. 125 Advances in1378Agronomy (ed D. L. Sparks) 93-+ (2014).
- 1379 216 Enescu, II *et al.* Hypercube-Based Visualization Architecture for Web-Based
 1380 Environmental Geospatial Information Systems. *Cartographic Journal* 52, 137-148,
 1381 doi:10.1080/00087041.2015.1119469 (2015).
- 1382217Yao, X. C. *et al.* Enabling the Big Earth Observation Data via Cloud Computing and1383DGGS: Opportunities and Challenges. *Remote Sensing* 12, doi:10.3390/rs120100621384(2020).
- 1385 Highlighted references: None
- Acknowledgements: Wulf Amelung, Nicolas Brüggemann, Carsten Montzka, Jan Vanderborght, and
 Harry Vereecken acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under
 Germany's Excellence Strategy, EXC- 2070–390732324–PhenoRob. Wulf Amelung, Heye Bogena,
 Nicolas Brüggemann, Carsten Montzka, Jan Vanderborght, and Harry Vereecken acknowledge
 support from the Terrestrial Environmental Observatories, TERENO, funded by the Helmholtz–
 Gemeinschaft, Germany. This research was also funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft
 (DFG, German Research Foundation) SFB 1502/1–2022 Projektnummer: 450058266
- 1394

1395Authors contributions: H.V., W.A., S.B., H.B., N.B., C.M., and J.V. led the conceptualization, writing1396and figure drafting.

1397 D.O., M.B., G.B., A.C., A.K., J.K., I.N., S.S., A.V., M.Y. and Y.Z. supported in equal parts the 1398 conceptualization, writing and figure drafting

1399	
1400	Competing interest: None
1401	
1402	Key points:
1403	
1404	• Local scale soil hydrological processes regulate climatic effects on the global terrestrial water
1405	cycle
1406	• Regional scale soil hydrology is modulated by land-use and climate change effects on soil
1407	structure
1408	• Global scale soil hydrology benefits from emerging technologies and big data analysis but
1409	still faces parametrization challenges from specific soil processes
1410	• Specific soil hydrological processes prevail in distinct soil groups like permafrost and peat
1411	soils
1412	
1413	Figures legends and boxes:
1414	
1415	Fig. 1: The soil hydrological system from the pore to global scale. At the pore scale, capillary and
1416	molecular forces act on the pore soil water. At the soil profile or pedon scale, hydrological processes
1417	include drainage, evapotranspiration, soil water storage, capillary rise, and runoff generation.
1418	Typically, water flows either through the matrix or through preferential flow paths such as
1419	macropores and cracks. At the regional scale, similar processes occur but in addition water is now
1420	routed through the landscape. At the global scale, SHP can influence larger scale atmospheric
1421	processes such as droughts and convective rainfall events caused by feedbacks and teleconnections
1422	but they also modulate the impact of extreme events.
1423	
1424	Fig. 2: Time scales and soil structure forming processes. (a) soil genesis that can be different in
1425	different climates and soil forming processes; (b) natural soil structure at hydrologic time scales; (c)
1426	managed soil structure at agronomic time scales.
1427	Fig. 2. Effect of acil and accieture status on units flama in the acil alast sustant. Fig. 2.
1428 1429	Fig. 3: Effect of soil properties and moisture status on water fluxes in the soil-plant system. Fig. 3a
1429	sketches the water fluxes during a dry period and Fig. 4b for a precipitation event in sandy soils (left) and loamy soils (right) with and without vegetation. During dry periods (fig. 3a), more water is lost
1430	by transpiration from vegetated areas than by evaporation from the soil surface in non-vegetated
1432	areas since vegetation can extract water from deeper soil layers. This leads to larger groundwater
1432	recharge in non-vegetated areas. In sandy soils, evaporation losses are lower than in loamy soils due
1434	to smaller capillary forces in sandy soils. Capillarity sustains larger upward flows from the
1435	groundwater to the root zone in loamy than in sandy soils and deep root systems act as hydraulic
1436	lifts that take up water from deeper and wetter soil layers and release it into shallower and drier
1437	layers. Loss of soil structure in non-vegetated areas leads to less infiltration and more run-off from
1438	non-vegetated surfaces during precipitation events. Biopores and soil structure that is stabilized by
1439	organic matter input in vegetated areas increase the infiltration capacity of vegetated areas where
1440	water can be transferred rapidly by preferential flow to deeper soil layers. After a precipitation event
1441	(Fig. 3b), water is redistributed faster and to deeper soil layers by matrix flow in sandy than in loamy
1442	soils. To access this redistributed water, vegetation develops deeper roots in sand than in loamy
1443	soils.

1444

Fig. 4: **The four key elements for cyber-physical infrastructures**. It shows the role of wireless sensor networks (adapted from¹⁹⁹) in providing soil hydrological information that can be injected into models using data assimilation methods or data-driven approaches.

1448

1449 Text box 1: The diversity of soils and PTF

1450 Soils strongly differ in their formation factors, land cover and composition that greatly affect their 1451 hydraulic properties. Currently, we employ easy-to-measure soil physical properties such as texture, 1452 bulk density and organic matter in PTF used to estimate soil hydraulic properties (fig. 3). This 1453 approach tacitly assumes dominance of these attributes in determining soil hydraulic properties and 1454 applies auxiliary simplifying assumptions of homogeneity, unimodality of pore size distribution, while 1455 ignoring differences in rock fragments, mineralogy, chemical and biological properties. We thus 1456 expect improvements in PTF-based soil hydraulic properties with future inclusion on nuanced differences in soils and their specific properties⁴⁷. Examples for soil groups²⁰⁰ with pronounced 1457 1458 properties not yet accounted for in PTF are:

- Formation and persistence of preferential flow paths due to animal burrows common in
 silty soils such as Phaeozems, Chermozems, or Luvisols; persistent unless disturbed by
 management;
- 1462•Temporal formation of preferential flow paths due to swelling and shrinking processes in1463Vertisols caused by the presence of three-layer-clay minerals;
- Good drainage in Ferralsols and Acrisols due to pseudo-aggregate formation from two layer clay minerals and oxides, as well as in some Andosols exhibiting low bulk density;
- Low water storage capacity in Leptosols due to percentages of rock fragments, affecting
 both the soil hydraulic and thermal properties which are therefore frequently not
 effectively parameterized;
- High water storage capacity in Histosols due to high organic matter contents;
- Crust formation in, for example, Gypsisols or clayey Solonetzs and clayey Solonchaks,
 distorting infiltration patterns;
- Dense subsoil layers leading to stagnant water in Planosols, Stagnosols, or Plinthosols
- 1473

1474 Hence, next generation PTF will be required to integrate specific rock fragments, mineralogical, 1475 biological and chemical interactions that alter soil hydraulic properties^{28,33}. To facilitate such 1476 progress current databases used to develop PTF must be expanded to include physical, chemical and 1477 biological properties of the above-mentioned soil groups, which are typically found in large parts of 1478 Africa, South America, India, the Middle East, Japan, China and Australia. First attempts have been 1479 made with a dedicated hydrophysical data base to develop PTF for tropical soils in Brazil⁶¹; 1480 unfortunately, adequate high-resolution data are frequently missing for other parts of the tropical 1481 and subtropical world, such as in Africa.



1482

1483 Text box 1 figure: The concept of PTF. It shows how PTF are being used to predict soil hydraulic 1484 properties from soil properties for Europe as a basis for estimating large scale soil hydrological 1485 processes, such as water storage, infiltration, evapotranspiration, drainage, and runoff. The 1486 hydraulic conductivity (bottom middle panel), K, indicates the ease with which water can flow in the 1487 soil: the value of this parameter will decrease rapidly with decreasing θ . Together with the gradient 1488 in hydraulic potential (∇ (h + z)), with h being determined by the water retention curve and z the 1489 vertical coordinate, K determines the flow of water in the soil, thereby affecting the processes of 1490 infiltration, redistribution and drainage, as well as root water uptake and evaporation. The 1491 information contained in the water retention curve (top middle panel) also provides the models with 1492 parameters that determine how much water a certain soil can hold in its pore system (the available 1493 volumetric water content, AWC) and how easy it is for the roots to take up this water (that is, how 1494 tightly the water is being held in the pores).

1495

1496 Text box 2: Soil-plant hydraulics

Parameterizing root hydraulic properties in plant hydraulic models remains challenging. A common simplification neglects the resistance to axial flow in the root system. But, for deep roots water uptake does not increase with root length since axial conductance becomes limiting²⁰¹. Approaches to simulate RWU which account for the distribution of radial and axial conductance in root system networks²⁰² have been developed²⁰³. Using upscaling approaches, information about root architecture and root hydraulic traits can be ingested directly into larger scale soil-plant hydraulic models^{204,205}.

1504 The resistance to flow from bulk soil to root surfaces through the so-called rhizosphere becomes increasingly important when the soil dries out²⁰⁶. Root exudates and mechanical effects of root 1505 1506 growth influence the hydraulic properties of the rhizosphere and consequently RWU^{207,208}. An 1507 additional complexity is that the conductivity of the root-soil interface is reduced when roots and 1508 soil shrink during soil drying and contact to the soil is lost²⁰⁹. How plants engineer the rhizosphere 1509 and its impact on SHP is a multifaceted problem that includes micro-scale soil and root mechanics 1510 and hydraulics. These small-scale processes are key to understanding how plants affect soil structure 1511 and infiltration processes, which are important feedback mechanisms that structure and sustain 1512 vegetation in water limited ecosystems. 1513

- 1514 The adaptation of vegetation and its hydraulic properties to environmental conditions referred to as
- 1515 plant plasticity can be predicted based by invoking optimisation principles, but it remains unclear
- 1516 why they apply when natural selection is not a mechanism for optimisation. Unravelling the
- mechanisms that couple growth and stress physiology and plant hydraulics will be crucial for a mechanistic modelling of plant and vegetation plasticity. This coupling entails the coupling of
- 1519 phloem carbon transport and xylem water flow, and how they respond to changing environmental
- 1520 conditions²¹⁰ as well as a comprehensive understanding of how changing environmental conditions
- 1521 in the soil are sensed by plants²¹¹ and signalled between the plant organs or individual plants.
- 1522
- 1523

1524 Text box 3: The soil data hypercube

1525 The confluence of rapidly expanding Earth observing platforms at all scales, availability of massive 1526 computational resources and the urgent need to provide information for increasingly complex and 1527 highly resolved Earth system models create unprecedented opportunities for individual characterization of every grid of the Earth surface²¹². The hypercube approach stacks gridded 1528 1529 geospatial data according to standardized global coordinates such as DGSS (DGGSs)²¹³ and adding a 1530 z-dimension for various information layers that incorporate localized legacy-data, vegetation, 1531 geomorphic, climate and other environmental attributes, and, of course, soil variables at different 1532 depths (Fig. 5). This data structure provides unique opportunities for data fusion and temporal 1533 information assimilation to derive parameters or variables, and enhance the quality of inputs to EMS 1534 applications especially as novel machine learning approaches can be used to impose physical 1535 constraints and extract auxiliary information for the representation of SHP. Combined with modern 1536 data cube geospatial data management and analysis software, such as provided by the Open Data 1537 Cube (ODC) initiative, and unique indexing of grid cells down to 150 m resolution²¹⁴. This realizes the 1538 vision of Digital Earth and populating of every grid cell with soil and hydrologic information unique to 1539 each grid cell and location on the planet²¹⁵.



Different data sources/maps (e.g. soil moisture, geology, vegetation, topography)

Data cube that combines different data layers with a common coordination system

- 1540 1541
- 1542 Text box 3 Figure Basic principle of a data cube. It links different data layers (e.g. soil moisture,
- 1543 geology, vegetation, topography) with a common coordinate system.

1545 For example, we envision the development of the next generation PTF and geomorphic functions in 1546 their geo-referenced and local attribute-based context to greatly enhance SHP-related information 1547 and offer a path for continual improvement as more information enters into the local hypercube. 1548 The richness of information and advanced analytical methods will supersede our present non-1549 referenced generic attribute-based PTFs and offer local and updatable referenced hydrologic and 1550 surface information at an ever-increasing resolution and expanding temporal record²¹⁵. For effective 1551 exploration, management, querying, and updating the massive geospatial information, the 1552 community will need to embrace hypercube-based visualization²¹⁶, that extends traditional space-1553 time cubes into higher dimensions spanned by contemporary soil and environmental information 1554 (Fig. 5). Recent developments point to the central role of cloud computing in management, 1555 extraction and direct simulation of spatial data (Google Earth Engine)²¹⁷. The potential for rich soil 1556 (and environmental) information unique to a location, where local and extrapolated new 1557 measurements and observations are harmonized and integrated using ensemble machine learning 1558 tools to continuously update and improve data quality and derived parameters, holds great promise 1559 for reducing uncertainties of present Earth system models.

1560

1544