

Evaluating winter precipitation over the western Himalayas in a high-resolution Indian regional reanalysis using multisource climate datasets

Article

Accepted Version

Nischal, Attada, R. and Hunt, K. M. R. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1480-3755 (2022) Evaluating winter precipitation over the western Himalayas in a highresolution Indian regional reanalysis using multi-source climate datasets. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 61 (11). pp. 1613-1633. ISSN 1558-8432 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-21-0172.1 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/106218/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-21-0172.1

Publisher: American Meteorological Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Evaluating Winter Precipitation over the Western Himalayas in a High-Resolution Indian Regional Reanalysis using multi-source climate datasets

Nischal¹, Raju Attada^{1*}, Kieran M. R. Hunt²

¹Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and

Research Mohali, Punjab, India

²National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Meteorology, University of Reading,

UK

Revision Submitted to Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology

*Corresponding author:

Raju Attada Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, India. Email: rajuattada@iisermohali.ac.in 1

ABSTRACT

2 Considerable uncertainties are associated with precipitation characteristics over the western 3 Himalayan region (WHR). These are due to typically small-scale but high intensity storms caused 4 by the complex topography which are und-er-resolved by a sparse gauge network. Additionally, 5 both satellite and gauge precipitation measurements remain subject to systematic errors, typically 6 resulting in underestimation over mountainous terrains. Reanalysis datasets provide prospective 7 alternative but are limited by their resolution, which has so far been too coarse to properly resolve 8 orographic precipitation. In this study, we evaluate and cross-compare Indian Monsoon Data 9 Assimilation and Analysis (IMDAA), the first high-resolution (12 km) regional reanalysis over 10 India, against various precipitation products during winter season over WHR. We demonstrate 11 IMDAA's efficiency in representing winter precipitation characteristics at seasonal, diurnal, 12 interannual scales, as well as heavy precipitation associated with western disturbances (WDs). 13 IMDAA shows closer agreement to other reanalyses than to gauge-based and satellite products in 14 error and bias analysis. Although depicting higher magnitudes, its fine resolution allows a much 15 closer insight into localized spatial patterns and diurnal cycle, a key advantage over other datasets. 16 Mean winter precipitation over WHR shows a significant decreasing trend in IMDAA, despite no 17 significant trend in the frequency of WDs tracked in either IMDAA or ERA5. The study also 18 exhibits the potential use of IMDAA for characterizing winter atmospheric dynamics, both for 19 climatological studies and during WD activity such as localized valley winds. Overall, these 20 findings highlight the potential utility for IMDAA in conducting monitoring and climate change 21 impact assessment studies over the fragile western Himalayan ecosystem.

22 Keywords: Precipitation, Western Himalayas, IMDAA reanalysis, Western Disturbances

23

24 **1. Introduction**

25 North India receives one-third of its annual precipitation (Dimri et al., 2016; Hunt et al., 2018) 26 during the winter season (December through March), in the form of snowfall and rainfall, primarily 27 associated with synoptic-scale extra-tropical cyclonic systems, known as western disturbances 28 (WDs) (Lang and Barros, 2004; Dimri and Mohanty, 2009; Yadav et al., 2013; Dimri, 2013). 29 These extra-tropical cyclonic storms typically originate over the Mediterranean region and travel 30 eastward along the subtropical westerly jet (Dimri et al., 2016, Hunt et al., 2018). Moreover, winter 31 WDs are further known to contribute to approximately 40-50% of the total annual precipitation 32 over the western Himalayas (Madhura et al., 2015; Cannon et al., 2015; Krishnan et al., 2019). 33 Winter precipitation is an important source of irrigation for Rabi crops and plays a critical role in 34 recharging the water resources in the northern plains as well as maintaining the snow cover of the 35 western Himalayan glaciers, which feed major north Indian rivers (Yadav et al., 2013; Dimri et 36 al., 2015). Accurate and precise measurement of precipitation is essential for studies involving 37 monitoring and assessing climate change impacts (Hussain et al., 2017). Most products, including 38 gauge-based, satellite, and reanalysis datasets, often face difficulty estimating orographic 39 precipitation over the Himalayas (Sun *et al.*, 2018), since the complex and steep orography of the 40 Himalayas substantially modulates the spatio-temporal variability of regional precipitation on fine 41 scales (Andermann et al., 2011). Thus, reliable precipitation measurements for such complex and 42 heterogeneous landscapes demand high-resolution datasets.

Conventionally, in-situ observational data – i.e. gauges – are considered to be one of the
most reliable and accurate measurements for precipitation fields at a point scale (e.g. Wang *et al.*,
2019). However, uncertainties resulting from measurement errors (e.g. Ye *et al.*, 2004), missing
data, insufficient spatial and temporal coverage, etc. (Dahri *et al.*, 2021) offer significant

47 challenges for station-based data analysis. Furthermore, underestimation of precipitation is a key 48 issue associated with rain gauges (e.g. Immerzeel et al., 2015). This can be caused by instrument 49 wetting, pre-measurement evaporation (WMO, 2008), and wind-driven under-catchment, which 50 enhances up to 50 % during snowfall (Dahri et al., 2018, Baudouin et al., 2020). In addition, the 51 network of in-situ observations over the WHR is quite sparse due to varying topography and land 52 cover such as bare rocky surfaces where the possibility of natural hazards including rock falls, 53 avalanches or glacial lake outburst floods create difficulties for gauge installation (e.g. Juen 2006; 54 Carey 2010). Moreover, the spatial distribution of snow cover increases over the region during the 55 winter season and data is missing when stations are buried under snow (e.g. Joshi and Ganju, 2010; 56 Escher-Vetter et al., 2012; Cullen and Conway, 2015; Choudhury et al. 2021). Moreover, 57 conventional rain gauges struggle to measure snowfall accurately (Strangeways 2004). Such 58 adverse conditions combined with large orographic variability make it difficult to set up and 59 maintain a dense precipitation-gauge network. As a result, these gauges are generally placed at the 60 foot of the mountains or in valleys, which are relatively drier than elevated regions (Singh and 61 Kumar, 1997; Winiger et al. 2005; Dimri and Ganju 2007; Immerzeel et al., 2015; Dahri et al., 62 2018), thus introducing additional uncertainties into gridded datasets, apart from the ones added 63 due to interpolation. Overall, the discontinuity and insufficiency of available observational data 64 reduces the representativeness of gridded observational precipitation products over the region and 65 hinders the production of accurate precipitation estimates and subsequent climate change impact 66 assessment studies over the area.

67 Various remotely sensed and reanalysis precipitation products have been used to 68 compensate for these disadvantages. Often, however, these datasets differ considerably in their 69 spatiotemporal resolution, making intercomparison challenging (Andermann *et al.*, 2011). Satellite

4

70 precipitation estimates are indirect and often associated with a large degree of variability (Sun et 71 al., 2018). Although precipitation retrieval techniques in satellite products have evolved a lot in 72 recent decades (Maggioni et al., 2016), the reliability and degree of precision for these datasets in 73 mountainous regions are still questionable (e.g. Meng et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2015, Xu et al., 2017). 74 An underestimation of orographic precipitation is quite common in infrared (IR) retrievals, given 75 their inability to capture light precipitation events. Detection of cold season orographic 76 precipitation is also challenging for passive microwave retrievals (e.g. Derin and Yilmaz, 2014). 77 In addition, satellite-based microwave retrievals of precipitation rates are inaccurate above snow 78 cover (Derin et al., 2016). Moreover, errors associated with sampling, geo-referencing, and applied 79 algorithms lead to various uncertainties and affect the accurate estimation of precipitation at higher 80 elevations (Hussain et al., 2017). Besides, these products require rain-gauge calibration implying 81 a dependence on the quality and density of station data (Baudouin et al. 2020), and thus associated 82 discrepancy gets enhanced over orographic regimes like WHR, where station coverage is quite 83 sparse. In addition, these gridded datasets are typically available only at relatively coarse 84 resolutions, where the leeward and windward sides of mountain areas are generally embedded into 85 a single gridbox, exacerbating the unrepresentative nature of and uncertainties associated with 86 these measurements.

87 Reanalysis datasets provide prospective alternatives for estimating precipitation. These are 88 produced by assimilating observations from a wide range of sources into numerical weather 89 prediction models to generate atmospheric and surface fields. They provide significant advantages 90 in terms of data consistency, homogeneity and coherency, which makes them suitable for 91 atmospheric and climate research (Dee *et al.*, 2014). Globally, reanalysis datasets have been 92 extensively used in precipitation studies owing to their homogeneous nature (Trenberth and Guillemot, 1998; Bengtsson *et al.*, 2004; Bao and Zhang, 2013; Murakami 2014). However, the spatial resolution (more than tens of kilometres) of global reanalysis datasets is often inadequate for effectively capturing localized and regional precipitation distribution, specifically over complex topography, and thus relatively high-resolution regional reanalysis datasets are required to adequately represent regional hydroclimate (Wang *et al.*, 2019; Ashrit *et al.*, 2020).

98 High-resolution data is particularly important in regions with complex topography due to 99 large spatial variability (Gampe et al., 2017). Various studies have highlighted the enhancement 100 of temporal and intensity-related variability associated with WDs over the Himalayan regions due 101 to increased baroclinicity with adverse implications in terms of increased frequency and duration 102 of extreme precipitation events (e.g. Madhura et al., 2015; Midhuna et al., 2020). Such events are 103 often a result of supportive synoptic and mesoscale atmospheric conditions prevailing over the 104 region and the interaction of other processes, including intraseasonal oscillations, local convective 105 dynamics (Gouda et al., 2018) and orographic forcing. The localized nature of these events hinders 106 their accurate assessment in coarse resolution datasets. Therefore, high resolution datasets are 107 crucial for precise understanding of extreme weather related hydrometeorological hazards such as 108 landslides, avalanches and floods over complex topography.

109Recently, the first high resolution (12 km) regional atmospheric reanalysis dataset, Indian110Monsoon Data Assimilation and Analysis (IMDAA), focusing on the South Asian region, has been111released (Rani *et al.*, 2021). This state-of-the-art reanalysis is generated by the National Centre for112Medium Range Weather Forecasting (NCMRWF) in collaboration with the India Meteorological113Department (IMD) and UK Met Office under the National Monsoon Mission project, Government114of India. The reanalysis spans the modern meteorological satellite era (1979–present) and outputs115atmospheric data at 63 vertical levels. This is now one of the highest resolution regional reanalyses

available over India, providing the important advantage of improved representation of orographic features. Ashrit *et al.* (2020) and Aggarwal *et al.* (2022) explored the efficiency of IMDAA in representing precipitation characteristics as well as atmospheric thermodynamics and circulation during the Indian summer monsoon, but wintertime precipitation characteristics are yet to be evaluated using IMDAA.

121 Some validation studies of different multi-source datasets have been carried out previously 122 over the Himalayan region. Andermann et al. (2011) evaluated various precipitation datasets along 123 the Himalayan front and reported a significant variation in performance among the evaluated 124 datasets along the orography, however, the datasets show higher consistency, with respect to each 125 other, along with the lower relief realms. Palazzi et al. (2013) showed that various category gridded 126 precipitation datasets adequately captured the interannual variability of precipitation over the 127 Hindukush-Karakoram region. Dahri et al. (2018) reported underestimation in the rain gauge 128 measurements in the high-altitude Indus basin during the winter season and underpinned the 129 necessity of bias adjustment to reduce errors. A cross-validation for different categories of 130 precipitation datasets in the Indus River basin was performed by Baudouin et al. (2020), reporting 131 a large difference in average precipitation between the rain gauge and the reanalyses, most likely 132 resulting from opposite biases from both dataset types and not only from the reanalysis, as often 133 suggested. While precipitation in ERA-Interim (ERAI) is found to be well correlated with 134 observational data across the Karakoram (Immerzeel et al., 2015; Dahri et al., 2016), Hussain et 135 al. (2017) reported a poor spatial correlation for TRMM-3B42 with in-situ observations, however, 136 an increase in the correlation was observed with decreasing temporal resolution from daily to 137 monthly scale. Furthermore, it was found that the estimation of summer precipitation compared to 138 winter precipitation in TRMM-3B42 was more accurate in the Hindu Kush-Karakoram Himalayan

region. The effect of elevation on the performance of gridded precipitation datasets has also been observed (Andermann *et al.*, 2011; Hussain *et al.*, 2017). A statistical performance evaluation study for different precipitation gridded datasets was conducted by Kanda *et al.* (2020), which highlighted the need for bias correction in different datasets over the WHR.

143 The present study focuses on evaluating winter precipitation variability using high 144 resolution Indian reanalysis (IMDAA, 1979-2018) over diurnal, subseasonal, seasonal and 145 interannual timescales across the WHR. In this study, we will cross-compare and validate the 146 performance of IMDAA against a range of different precipitation datasets from various sources, 147 including gridded observational data, satellite-based and reanalysis products. The results will then 148 be contextualised by comparing the western Himalayan atmospheric dynamics in IMDAA and the 149 fifth generation European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Reanalysis 150 (ERA5) dataset (Hersbach et al., 2018) during the winter monsoon, with an additional focus on 151 the trend and variability of WDs.

152 **2. Data and Methods**

153 a. Data

Our study focuses on the validation of IMDAA using different categories of gridded precipitation datasets, discussed below, and summarized in Table 1, for the respective periods of their availability from 1979-2018 during the winter season (December to March) over the WHR (29°N-37.5°N and 72.5°E-80.5°E, see Figure 1a). The selection criteria for different categories of datasets in this evaluation study are the availability of long-term (~20 years) precipitation estimates, and at least daily temporal resolution, which is consistent with the availability period of IMDAA. The types of datasets evaluated in the present study include reanalysis products, satellite and gridded observational datasets, as well as combinations thereof. We also use various atmospheric fields
from IMDAA and ERA5 for the assessment of wintertime meteorological conditions.

163 1) Gauge-based Datasets

164 Three commonly used daily precipitation datasets generated through the interpolation of only rain-165 gauge measurements have been utilised in our study. IMD precipitation data (0300 UTC-0300 166 UTC) provides daily gridded rainfall (Pai et al., 2014) generated from a dense network of 6955 167 rain gauge stations with varying periods of availability. Daily rainfall estimates are interpolated 168 from gauges to a 0.25°×0.25° grid, following Shepard (1968). However, the station network suffers 169 from low spatial coverage of gauges over the western Himalayan belt, with almost non-existent 170 stations over the rugged terrains of the upper Himalayan and Karakoram ranges. We selected another gauge-based daily precipitation product (0000 UTC-0000 UTC) provided by the Climate 171 172 Prediction Centre (CPC) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) which 173 is constructed from a global gauge-network of around 30,000 stations (Xie et al., 2007; Chen et 174 al., 2008). However, limited rain-gauge stations have been considered from the WHR. Covering 175 an extended period of over 50 years, the Asian Precipitation Highly-Resolved Observational Data 176 Integration towards Evaluation of Water Resources (APHRODITE) dataset provides long-term 177 daily precipitation data (0000 UTC-0000 UTC) generated from a dense network of in-situ rain 178 gauges (5000–12000 stations), interpolated at a resolution of 0.05° with an orographic correction 179 for precipitation, and further re-gridded to 0.25°×0.25° resolution using area-weighted mean 180 (Yatagai et al., 2012). Our study combines APHRO V1101 (1951-2007) and its extended version 181 APHRO-V1101EX R1 (2007-2015) to obtain long term precipitation records over the region, 182 following previous literature (Ji et al., 2020; Guan et al., 2020; Lalande et al., 2021; Liaqat et al., 183 2021; Phung et al., 2021).

184 **2) Reanalysis Datasets**

185 Precipitation estimates in different reanalyses can vary from each other based on the assimilation 186 scheme used, the underlying model (including parameterizations) and assimilated observations. 187 The Indian Monsoon Data Assimilation and Analysis (IMDAA) is a high resolution (12km) 188 regional atmospheric reanalysis over the South Asian region, developed by NCMRWF in 189 collaboration with UK Met Office and IMD. IMDAA obtains lateral boundary conditions from 190 ERAI and precipitation estimates are generated by the Unified atmospheric model and the four-191 dimensional variational (4D-Var) data assimilation technique, which assimilates various 192 conventional and satellite observations from the ECMWF, NCMRWF and IMD archives, 193 including surface observations (land and ocean), aircraft data, upper air observations from 194 radiosondes and pilot balloons. However, no precipitation measurements are assimilated in 195 IMDAA. For further information, the reader is referred to Rani et al. (2021). Our study utilizes 196 IMDAA-generated precipitation at 0000 UTC. The dataset provides advantages in better 197 representation of orographic features owing to its high resolution.

198 We also used a state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset, ERA5 (0000 UTC-0000 UTC), 199 developed by ECMWF with a new version of their NWP model (IFS Cycle 41r2; Hersbach et al., 200 2020). Using 4D-Var, ERA5 assimilates observations from the ECMWF data archive, National 201 Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP), as well as other conventional datasets such as ISPD 202 and ICOADS, satellite observations, and precipitation measurements. ERAI, an older ECMWF 203 reanalysis product (Dee et al., 2011) and predecessor of ERA5 has also been used in this study, 204 but only for tracking WDs since it is used to provide lateral boundary conditions in IMDAA. In 205 addition, NCEP's Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR, 0000 UTC-0000 UTC) is used, 206 which provides precipitation estimates using a coupled atmosphere–ocean model comprising the Global Forecast System and the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory Modular Ocean Model
(Saha *et al.*, 2010, 2014).

Another reanalysis used for validation is the Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications version-2 (MERRA-2, 0000 UTC-0000 UTC), published by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)'s Global Modeling and Assimilation Office. This reanalysis is generated using the Goddard Earth Observing System Model-5, which assimilates various land surface and satellite observations. The advanced data assimilation techniques used in MERRA-2 provide an advantage over topographic regions with sparse gauges, though an underestimation for winter seasonal precipitation has been reported (Hamal *et al.*, 2020).

216 3) Satellite and Merged Datasets

Our study also utilises five satellite datasets. The widely used Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission
(TRMM)-Multi-Satellite Precipitation Analysis (0300 UTC-0300 UTC), is developed through a
collaboration between NASA and Japan's National Space Development Agency. It combines
precipitation data from various satellite instruments (TRMM Microwave Imager, Precipitation
Radar, Visible and IR Scanner, Special Sensor Microwave Imager), blended with geostationary IR
data, with further calibration using monthly gauge data (Huffman *et al.*, 2007).

We also used the Precipitation Estimation from Remotely Sensed Information using Artificial Neural Networks-Climate Data Record (PERSIANN-CDR) developed by NOAA in collaboration with the Centre for Hydrometeorology and Remote Sensing, University of California, Irvine. The precipitation estimates (0000 UTC-0000 UTC) are generated by applying the PERSIANN algorithm on GridSat-B1 IR satellite data followed by a training of the artificial neural network using NCEP Stage IV hourly precipitation data and finally calibrating and adjustment of biases is done using the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) monthly

230 precipitation dataset (GPCPv2.2). Daily precipitation records are also produced by GPCP Version 231 1.3 (0000 UTC-0000 UTC), from the World Climate Research Programme, by merging estimates 232 from IR, microwave, and sounder data of precipitation-related satellites and gauge-based analyses. 233 A merged high-resolution satellite product, Integrated MultisatellitE Retrievals (V3) for 234 Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM), generates precipitation estimates (0000 UTC-0000 235 UTC) by the day-1 IMERG algorithm, through intercalibrating, merging, and interpolating 236 microwave and IR estimates of GPM satellite constellation with gauge-based observational data 237 (Huffman et al., 2015). The data is specifically useful over regions with a lack of ground-based 238 precipitation-measuring instruments.

Lastly, we also use the Climate Hazards Group InfraRed Precipitation with Station data (CHIRPS). This is a merged, daily, land-only precipitation product (0000 UTC-0000 UTC) by the U.S. Geological Survey in association with Earth Resources Observation and Science Centre and is prepared by merging 0.05°×0.05° and 0.25°×0.25° resolution satellite IR cold cloud duration measurements with in-situ gauge observations.

b. *Methodology*

245 1) EVALUATION INDICES

Generally, precipitation datasets are utilised without any modifications or prior adjustments for analysing localized precipitation patterns, and it has been now established that the spatial resolution strongly affects dataset performance (Zandler *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, interpolation techniques can generate substantial variations and uncertainties in the computed statistical metrics, especially when precipitation is considered over a complex region. Therefore, we choose to include this information in our evaluation, since the focus is on whether there are advantages offered by the high resolution of IMDAA. We thus compare different datasets in their native resolutions

253 without any interpolation, similar to previous literature (e.g. Dinku et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2015; 254 Beck et al., 2017; Bayissa et al., 2017; Zandler et al., 2019). Different statistical metrics, including 255 mean, standard deviation, coefficient of variation and pattern correlation coefficient (PCC), have 256 been computed to understand how the representation of regional precipitation varies between 257 datasets. All the metrics have been calculated for a common time period (2000–2015), using 258 regionally averaged seasonal mean precipitation at the native spatial resolution of each product, 259 except PCC, for which datasets have been re-gridded using bilinear interpolation to IMDAA's 260 resolution. We also investigate the total number of heavy precipitation events per year exceeding selected percentile (90th, 95th and 99th) thresholds in each dataset. The number of events has been 261 262 counted by considering precipitation estimates from all grids between the period 2000-2015, therefore, the datasets have been re-gridded here to a common resolution of 0.25°, to avoid 263 264 additional counts from higher resolution datasets.

To quantify IMDAA's skill, we further computed different skill scores of the model agreement with the data, using area-averaged (though at original spatial resolution) daily time series of precipitation in different datasets for a common time period 2000-2015. The definitions for commonly known evaluation indices such as correlation coefficient, root mean square difference (RMSD), mean absolute difference (MAD) and BIAS have been summarized in the Appendix, except for less widely used metrics including index of agreement (IOA), adjusted Rsquared, and pattern correlation coefficient (PCC), which are discussed below.

(i) Index of agreement

Index of agreement (IOA) is widely used to measure how well model-produced estimates simulate
observed data (Willmott 1981) and thus has been used here to quantify the similarity between
IMDAA and other datasets (Gebregiorgis *et al.*, 2018). It is defined as:

276
$$IOA = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (|y_i - \bar{x}| + |x_i - \bar{x}|)^2)},$$

Where, n is the total number of observations (daily time series of precipitation), y_i are the values for target dataset (i.e., IMDAA) and, x_i and \underline{x} are the values and mean for the corresponding evaluation dataset, respectively.

280 *(ii) Adjusted R-squared*

Adjusted R-squared is a statistical measure to indicate the amount of variance in the dependent variable by the regression model in the population by replacing biased estimators with their unbiased counterparts (Karch 2020). It considers the number of variables in the predictor (IMDAA) and penalizes the supplementary explanatory variables by adjusting the degrees of freedom while estimating the error variance. It provides the statistical quantification of variability amounts associated with a dataset compared to a reference dataset with an adjustment for bias. Additional details have been provided in the Appendix.

288 *(iii) Pattern Correlation Coefficient (PCC)*

PCC is the Pearson product-moment coefficient of linear correlation between two variables with
values at corresponding locations on two different maps (Anand *et al.*, 2018).

291 2) CLIMATOLOGY, VARIABILITY AND TRENDS

The spatial distribution of seasonal (DJFM) winter precipitation climatology and variability in all datasets, considering their respective native spatial resolution and temporal availability between 1979–2018 over the study region has been investigated. Full available time periods for each dataset between 1979-2018 have been considered for the evaluation of the geographical distribution of precipitation estimates, since spatial patterns of precipitation tend to be less affected by the 297 averaging period. We further analysed spatial patterns of seasonal mean precipitation differences 298 in IMDAA with respect to different datasets, after rescaling all datasets to the grid used in IMDAA. 299 The spatial patterns on trends of seasonal mean precipitation are computed through seasonal mean 300 precipitation series at each grid point for different precipitation products for a common period of 301 35 years (1984–2018), at their native spatial resolutions, using the non-parametric Mann-Kendall 302 test (Roxy *et al.*, 2015). The datasets with at least an available time period of 35 years have been 303 selected for spatial trends considering that at least 30 years or more is a minimal period to provide 304 considerable climatological trend information. To investigate the interannual variability of the 305 seasonal mean precipitation, standardized precipitation anomalies over the WHR have been 306 utilized to categorize excess and deficit precipitation years, considering the standardized anomalies 307 exceeding ± 0.5 , respectively.

308 3) IDENTIFICATION OF WDs

309 The tracking of WDs over the study region was carried out using the WD tracking algorithm from 310 Hunt et al. (2018). The algorithm involves the computation of mean relative vorticity in the 450-311 300 hPa layer followed by filtration of short-wavelength noise using the desired spectral truncation 312 (T63 and T42) and further identification of positive-definite vorticity regions to determine the 313 centroid locations for each candidate WD. The linkage of these centroids in time, with steering 314 wind-biased distance constraints, allows the identification of potential WD tracks. Lastly, the 315 database is refined by rejecting those tracks which do not pass-through north India (20°N–36.5°N, 316 60°E–80°E), last less than 48 hours, or dissipate at a point westward of their genesis. WDs were 317 tracked in IMDAA (1980-2018) and ERA5 (1979-2018) at spectral truncations of T42 and T63 318 and in ERAI (1979-2015) at T63. The interannual frequency of identified winter WDs was 319 compared for IMDAA (T42 and T63), ERA5 (T42 and T63) and ERAI to analyse the interannual 320 variability of WDs at seasonal scale. ERAI is used as a lateral boundary condition in both IMDAA

321 (Rani *et al.*, 2021) thus, ERAI tracks have also been considered to analyse the frequency of WDs.

- 322 The T63 ERAI tracks are identical to those used in Hunt *et al.* (2018).
- 323 4) WINTER ATMOSPHERIC DYNAMICS

In order to evaluate the performance of IMDAA in capturing the mean circulation features during the winter season, the climatology of various atmospheric variables such as upper-level winds (200 hPa), outgoing longwave radiation (OLR), geopotential heights (200 hPa, 500 hPa, 850 hPa) and 2-m air temperature have been compared with ERA5. Further, the fidelity of IMDAA in simulating localized dynamics associated with WD activity over the WHR has been examined through a case study for an intense WD which affected WHR during 16–19 February 2003 and caused widespread precipitation over the region.

331 3. Results and Discussion

332 a. Climatology and Variability

333 The spatial distribution of multi-year seasonal mean precipitation in different gridded datasets over 334 WHR is presented in Figure 1 and regionally averaged seasonal precipitation estimates, including 335 mean and variability for a common temporal period (2000–2015) are provided in Table 2. Table 2 336 also details the pattern correlations and the number of heavy precipitation events (exceeding the 90th, 95th, and 99th percentiles) during the common period. Considerable heterogeneity for mean 337 338 winter precipitation amounts and variability is evident among different categories of datasets 339 (Table 2), with all reanalyses (IMDAA, ERA5, CFSR, MERRA-2) showing higher magnitudes 340 than the satellite products (GPCP, TRMM, PERSIANN, CHIRPS, IMERG) and gauge-based 341 datasets (IMD, CPC, APHRODITE). IMDAA, with a mean of 2.38 mm/day and standard deviation 342 of 0.74 mm/day (Table 2), shows a good agreement overall with the other reanalyses ERA5 and 343 CFSR, albeit with a slightly higher magnitude. Among the reanalyses, MERRA-2 has the lowest 344 mean and largest variability, which might be associated with the use of dry-biased CPC data for 345 surface precipitation flux (Reichle et al., 2017; Baudouin et al., 2020). The realistic representation 346 of the mean amounts of winter precipitation of IMDAA is evident through its observed similarity 347 to the ERA5 reanalysis, which has been revealed to perform best with observations in the 348 surrounding upper Indus Basin in previous studies (Baudouin et al., 2020; Dahri et al., 2021). It is 349 evident that all reanalyses, including IMDAA, are wetter and exhibit large spatial variability 350 compared to other types of datasets. Among the observational datasets, mean precipitation in 351 IMDAA is closest to IMD, followed by APHRODITE (Table 2), whereas it shows largest 352 differences compared to CPC. Insufficient observations and uncertainties arising from 353 interpolation techniques generally lead to dry biases in observational datasets (e.g. Dahri et al., 354 2021). An overall general agreement within the satellite data category can be observed. However, 355 IMDAA shows an overestimation compared to satellite products, the exception being IMERG with 356 higher resolution. The precipitation amount and variability for IMERG is highest among satellite 357 datasets, much closer to IMDAA. As satellite observations generally exhibit higher accuracy for 358 convective precipitation over flat terrains (Ebert et al., 2007; Baudouin et al., 2020), their 359 usefulness is limited over the elevated western Himalayan terrain that typically receives more 360 stratiform precipitation (Fig. S2).

Wide discrepancies in the geographical seasonal mean precipitation patterns (Fig. 1) among the different categories of datasets can be seen, though with an agreement across the regions of the highest precipitation – the lower Himalayas and foothills. As indicated by the statistics (Table 2), IMDAA stands much closer to all reanalyses compared to other data categories in depicting 365 regional spatial precipitation patterns (Fig. 1), having lower spatial precipitation differences with 366 IMDAA (Fig. 2). The IMD observational dataset shows a similar spatial distribution of 367 precipitation magnitude in the lower Himalaya and the western Karakoram range, but considerable 368 discrepancies are noticeable along the foothills and in eastern Ladakh (Fig. 1), where the IMD data 369 set has a marked positive difference compared to all other data sets (Fig. 2). Since IMD includes 370 almost no measurements over these locations (Kishore et al., 2016), the precipitation estimates 371 here are derived from extrapolation of higher values downslope, resulting in higher precipitation 372 estimates. Relatively wetter patterns (Fig. 1) and a large positive difference are seen in IMDAA 373 (Fig. 2) compared to APHRODITE and CPC, both of which rely on the WMO Global 374 Telecommunication System, which covers few observations in WHR, generally collected from 375 stations present over dry valley locations in the study region, thus, additionally suffering from 376 under-catchment of solid precipitation at higher altitudes (Palazzi et al., 2013; Dahri et al., 2018). 377 This makes the validation of IMDAA precipitation over this region using gauge-based datasets 378 challenging. IMDAA exhibits a larger magnitude of precipitation than all the satellite datasets 379 (Table 3, Fig. 2), with the smallest differences over the foothills in IMERG, which has a similar 380 resolution to IMDAA. However, it can be noted from the previous studies (Dahri et al., 2021; 381 Baudouin et al., 2020) that precipitation from satellite products exhibit drier biases over the region. 382 Various uncertainties in terms of interpolation algorithms, calibration limitations due to poor 383 coverage of rain-gauge measurements, and inability to accurately retrieve orographic precipitation 384 have been denoted as causes for the same (Hussain et al., 2017). However, differences in 385 precipitation magnitude are mainly found over lower Himalayas and foothills in IMDAA with all 386 datasets (Fig. 2). These regions receive maximum precipitation during winter, thus, such 387 differences highlight discrepancies and limitations associated with available data over the region.

Higher pattern correlations (Table 2, Fig. 1) between IMDAA and all other datasets are observed, least being for GPM-IMERG, indicating an agreement on the spatial patterns of seasonal mean precipitation for IMDAA with other datasets, even though significant differences in magnitudes are present. Additionally, the spatial patterns of solid precipitation distributions in IMDAA are similar to independent MODIS-satellite snow cover fractions (Fig. S6).

393 Summing up, the gridded products provide inconsistent precipitation amounts over the 394 region, but agree on the areas with the highest precipitation. Since different dataset categories are 395 generated with different input data and dissimilar developmental methods, presence of such similar 396 signals relates to the depiction of actual situation (Baudouin et al., 2020). Unlike satellite and 397 gauge-based products, reanalysis products are developed with different data assimilation 398 techniques and distinct atmospheric models (Ghodichore et al., 2018) and are known to provide a 399 better depiction of frontal system precipitation in the winter season, specifically over high 400 elevations (Dahri et al., 2021; Beck at al., 2019), compared to other two product categories which 401 generally underestimate precipitation in such cases. IMDAA performs well in simulating regions 402 with precipitation maxima and allows a much closer look at the localized precipitation distribution 403 over the region. However, reanalyses generally tend to produce higher precipitation magnitudes 404 along with a depiction of larger variability, which in fact is highest in IMDAA, highlighting a key 405 limitation of the current IMDAA reanalysis.

Adjusted-R² values (Table 3) are computed for area-weighted daily precipitation values during 2000-2015 and significance has been tested using Mann-Kendall test at a confidence level of 95%. These also underpin the observed discrepancies among different datasets over the study, with large variation for values observed over the panels of different datasets. Here, we carry out a cross-validation by examining the association between individual datasets by using each dataset

411 as a reference. Highest agreements are observed within the reanalyses; however, MERRA-2 shows 412 lesser association supporting the negative differences observed earlier (Table 2). Observational 413 datasets IMD and CPC also show good agreement with each other, however, APHRODITE 414 diverges from the group and is also the dataset showing the least association with all other 415 categories too. Satellite products are in good agreement with each other and generally with datasets 416 from other categories too, except for MERRA-2 and APHRODITE; both of which also have lower 417 fitness magnitudes with all other datasets. The reanalyses, IMDAA, ERA5 and CFSR, depict a 418 significant relationship with all the datasets, specifically with each other and IMD. Considering 419 that reanalyses and observations generally rely on different data sources and developmental 420 methods, a significant relationship among these is a sign of quality of the datasets (Baudouin et 421 al., 2020), thus, implying the reliability of their precipitation estimates.

422 The total number of heavy precipitation events per winter season exceeding the 90th, 95th, and 99th percentiles (considering the wide range of discrepancies for precipitation amounts across 423 424 different datasets) considering all grid locations for each dataset during the period 2000-2015 have 425 also been evaluated (Table 2). For each dataset (re-gridded to common resolution of 0.25°), the 426 precipitation values during the common period are collapsed into a single vector to which the 427 percentile thresholds computed from area-averaged daily precipitation time series (2000-2015) are 428 applied and totals counts exceeding the thresholds are selected. It is to be noted that since large 429 uncertainties remain over the region regarding precipitation amounts, we use the percentile 430 thresholds rather than actual values. Overall, the obtained counts indicate close agreement of 431 IMDAA with all reanalyses. However, the number of events in MERRA-2 have lower counts 432 compared to other reanalyses at all three thresholds. An overall agreement can be observed within 433 the satellite category among TRMM, CHIRPS and PERSIANN-CDR, exceptions being IMERG

434 and GPCP (highest and lowest resolution data among satellite products, respectively), though 435 slight overestimation in IMDAA with respect to all satellite datasets (except IMERG) can be 436 observed. However, gauge-based datasets exhibit a sharp disagreement among each other and with 437 respect to other data categories too for all percentiles, with APHRODITE showing a sharp 438 overestimation as compared to all the evaluated datasets, including IMDAA.

439 The wintertime precipitation over the WHR comprises both snowfall and rainfall, with 440 snowfall constituting almost 80% of the total observed precipitation (e.g. Krishnan *et al.*, 2019). 441 Therefore, the differences between mean rainfall and snowfall in IMDAA and ERA5 have also 442 been analyzed. Compared to ERA5, IMDAA shows higher amount of snowfall (~3 mm/day) over 443 the Greater Himalayas and some regions of the Lower and Karakoram Himalayas (Fig. S1). The 444 variation in rainfall between IMDAA and ERA5 shows larger variations in IMDAA over some 445 regions of lower Himalayas. Along the foothills, the differences in rain and snow often have 446 different signs, implying that local temperature differences play a role.

447 The analysis of interannual variability patterns of seasonal mean winter precipitation in 448 different datasets has been used to identify pluvial and dry years (Fig. 3). IMDAA is able to 449 reproduce the pluvial and deficit precipitation years, corresponding well with other datasets. All 450 reanalyses as well as PERSIANN-CDR and IMD datasets depict an enhancement in the frequency 451 of dry periods in recent years. IMDAA, along with other datasets, agrees that 2001 was one of the 452 driest years. Decadal variability is evident in most of the analyzed datasets, which might be 453 attributable to forcing by large scale tropical climate drivers such as Arctic Oscillation, North 454 Atlantic Oscillation and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (e.g. Roy 2006). Overall, the interannual 455 variability of wet and dry years in IMDAA is in good agreement with the other datasets, 456 particularly IMD and ERA5.

457 *b.* Trends

458 Seasonal mean precipitation trends over the study region as a whole (Fig. 4i-k) reveals close 459 agreement among reanalyses, depicting similar values of mean and decreasing trend – although 460 IMDAA is the only one among them in which that trend is significant at the 95% confidence level 461 (Mann-Kendall test). IMDAA agrees with the gauge-based dataset IMD, with a significant 462 negative trend, but the trends for remaining gauge- and satellite-based datasets are not significant 463 and disagree with each other even on their sign. The spatial patterns of these trends computed over 464 a common period of 35 years (1984–2018) for eight datasets (Fig. 4a-h) corroborate the area-465 weighted trends in the region. Most of the datasets agree on a negative trend over WHR, which is 466 strongest in IMDAA, IMD, and CFSR, but there is disagreement in terms of regional trends in 467 both the CHIRPS and CPC datasets, which are both predominated by positive trends. It is worth 468 noting that the observed negative trend for seasonal winter precipitation in most of the datasets 469 well agrees with the station based decreasing trends by Shekhar et al. (2010, 2017) who found 470 decreasing precipitation rates at different point stations over the region, confirming the reliability 471 of IMDAA data for trend analysis studies. The trend is statistically significant along the foothills 472 and lower Himalayan belt in IMDAA; mostly over western Jammu and Kashmir and western 473 Ladakh in CFSR; and in IMD, over eastern Himachal Pradesh and eastern and central Ladakh. 474 ERA5 agrees strongly with IMDAA on the spatial focus of negative trend, but without any 475 statistical significance. In contrast, positive precipitation trends observed in CPC and CHIRPS 476 show disagreement on where those trends are significant, and PERSIANN-CDR and MERRA-2 477 have regions of both significant positive and negative precipitation trends but disagree with each 478 other on the sign of those trends. A strong positive and statistically significant precipitation trend 479 is visible in CPC over Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand, whereas CFSR shows a strong yet statistically insignificant trend is observed over some regions of eastern Jammu and Kashmir. The decreasing spatial and temporal trends in the majority of the evaluated datasets support the earlier observed increasing frequency of dry periods in recent years. Earlier, Dimri and Dash (2012) decreasing trend of winter precipitation over the WHR, and a decrease in the winter snowfall has also been reported by Shekhar *et al.* (2010). Overall, the diversity in winter precipitation trends among datasets is largely due to methodological constraints associated with respective dataset development.

487 *c. Seasonal cycle of Precipitation*

488 A fine representation of seasonal variability in any dataset holds key significance as the seasonal 489 cycle of precipitation has important dynamical implications. Therefore, we also investigated the 490 seasonal cycle of winter precipitation over the study region by comparing area-averaged daily 491 climatology in all datasets for a common period of 2000-2015 for their individual spatial 492 resolutions (Figure 5). All reanalyses, including IMDAA, are in close agreement with each other 493 on the seasonal evolution of precipitation, with very little differences observed at daily 494 climatological scales. However, although gauge-based observations show differences in 495 magnitude, the representation of subseasonal variability is quite similar, with a notably stronger 496 agreement between IMD and IMDAA, compared to other gauge products. IMDAA overestimates 497 the magnitude of the seasonal cycle relative to all the satellite products, with least differences with 498 respect to IMERG, however, they do agree with each other on the form of the seasonal cycle.

Summarising so far, IMDAA is in agreement with all other reanalysis datasets, particularly
 ERA5. All datasets agree that the heaviest precipitation falls in February and the weakest is in
 December. Furthermore, the results reveal that all reanalyses and the gauge-based IMD dataset
 produce similar patterns of regional daily precipitation variability.

503 d. Skill Scores

504 Various statistical skill scores (Table 4) have been computed for area-weighted daily time series 505 of precipitation for statistical evaluation of IMDAA in comparison to other datasets. IMDAA 506 shows a good correlation with all the datasets (r>0.6), with the highest correlations found among 507 the reanalysis products (r>0.9), followed by gridded observational datasets IMD, CPC and satellite 508 datasets (r>0.7). IMDAA again depicts the lowest correlation with APHRODITE among all 509 datasets, in agreement with earlier computed statistics. Higher correlations among independent 510 datasets with different data sources and generation methods, are indicators of better precipitation 511 estimates in both the datasets (Baudouin et al., 2020), thus good correlations obtained for IMDAA 512 highlight the reliability of its area-mean precipitation estimates. Overall, IMDAA has IOA values 513 closer to 1 with most datasets, with the highest values among reanalyses, supported by low RMSD, 514 MAD and bias with respect to ERA5 and CFSR, but MERRA-2 again diverges from the group. 515 IMDAA has an index of agreement greater than 89% with all reanalyses, around 71% with satellite 516 products, and greater than 70% with gridded gauge-based datasets. IMDAA shows relatively larger 517 positive differences (following Fig. 2) and higher error magnitudes with the gauge-based and 518 satellite datasets. A strong agreement between IMDAA and IMD is evident through all indices, 519 including highest correlation (0.90) and lowest RMSD, MAD and mean difference (bias). Indeed, 520 IMDAA shows positive differences in comparison to all the datasets, supporting the earlier 521 observed higher magnitudes of precipitation in IMDAA over other datasets (Fig. 2).

Fig. 6 shows the spatial maps of observed point-to-point correlation for daily precipitation climatology between IMDAA and each of the other datasets for 2000–2015 at each grid point, after regridding all datasets to a common spatial resolution of IMDAA. We see a high degree of point-to-point correlation for all reanalyses over the majority of the region, with slightly lower 526 correlation with MERRA-2 over the Himalayan foothills. IMDAA shows a comparatively weak 527 pointwise correlation with many of the satellite datasets – TRMM, IMERG and GPCP; however, 528 the pointwise correlation is better between with PERSIANN-CDR and CHIRPS over the upper 529 Himalayan ranges. Among the observational products, IMDAA has a good correlation with IMD 530 and CPC over most of the study region, although some divergence from the group is evident in 531 APHRODITE, verifying the earlier obtained statistical metrics. It is promising that the region of 532 greatest agreement, especially among the reanalyses, is along the western Himalayas – implying 533 the existence of a common dynamical source of precipitation (e.g. WDs) in this region to which 534 the reanalyses respond relatively robustly; although it is also possible that this agreement is due to 535 common sources of biases between the reanalyses, such as the interaction between parameterised 536 convection and the orography.

537 e. Diurnal cycle of Precipitation

538 The diurnal cycle of different types of precipitation is one of the fundamental aspects of variability 539 but it is often neglected in validation studies. For those datasets with hourly data, we can also 540 compare their representation of the diurnal cycle of winter precipitation over the study region (Fig. 541 7). Realistic representation of the diurnal cycle of precipitation in reanalyses is often challenging 542 due to their reliance on convective parameterization, which typically causes precipitation to occur 543 too early in the day (Dirmeyer et al., 2012). Among the four reanalyses considered here, IMDAA 544 performs best when compared with IMERG, capturing the early morning maximum along much 545 of the western Himalayas and relatively consistent late afternoon peak over the plains south of the 546 Himalaya, both of which are missed to at least some degree by the other reanalyses. IMDAA struggles to simulate the correct diurnal cycle over Tibetan Plateau, with the peak occurring near 547 548 local midnight rather than the local noon seen in IMERG or mid-afternoon in the other reanalyses.

549 This is a region where much of the precipitation occurs in the form of stratiform from the 550 underlying model (Fig. S2), and thus generated by the microphysics scheme. Given, however, that 551 the peaks in both stratiform and convective precipitation (Fig. S3) appear mistimed here (at least 552 compared with ERA5), this may be a result of IMDAA assimilating far fewer observations outside 553 of India, rather than problems with the model physics. Analysis of precipitation contribution by 554 convective and stratiform fractions reveal a dominance by stratiform precipitation over the 555 Karakoram and Greater Himalayas in both IMDAA and ERA5, whereas the lower Himalayas 556 experience a mixed proportion of both types of precipitation (Fig. S2). The Himalayan foothills 557 and the plains observe more contribution through convective precipitation.

558 f. Western Disturbances

559 WDs are upper-level synoptic-scale cyclonic perturbations in the subtropical jet and are the 560 primary contributors of wintertime precipitation over the WHR. About 80% of observed winter 561 precipitation here occurs during days when a WD is active (Midhuna et al., 2020), with the 562 remaining 20% typically being contributed by local scale convective systems. Given the general 563 agreement (Fig. 4) among datasets, including IMDAA, that winter precipitation is declining, and 564 that a large majority of winter precipitation occurs on WD days, we might ask whether the two are 565 linked by a decline in WD frequency. To test this, WDs were tracked in both ERA5 and IMDAA 566 datasets, using two values of spectral truncation (T42 and T63) to account for potential differences 567 in feature size. The trends of tracked WD frequency in these four datasets, along with an additional 568 set of T63 tracks computed using ERAI for Hunt et al. (2018), are shown in Figure 8(a). All 569 datasets show a weak and insignificantly increasing trend of winter WDs in the recent decades. An 570 agreement in the pattern of timeseries is observed for coarser grid resolution (T42), but with 571 differences in respective magnitude. The comparatively finer resolution truncation (T63) shows a 572 similarity in patterns for most years but IMDAA generally has more interannual variability 573 compared with ERA5. ERAI also has a similar interannual pattern, but with a reduced count 574 compared to the higher resolution reanalyses. The weaker, insignificant increase for WD frequency 575 indicates that seasonal occurrences of WDs has been almost constant during the last few decades, 576 or that the effect of interannual variability in WD frequency is much higher than the long-term 577 trends. Generally, the trend significance is measured against internal variability, the fact that the 578 trend fails a significance test indicates that the linear trend is weak compared to interannual 579 variability. Moreover, the standard deviation of the seasonal totals is clearly larger than the trend. 580 However, significant decreasing trend of seasonal mean precipitation has been observed (Fig. 4). 581 Similar results have been reported by Shekhar et al. (2010), concluding that seasonal (November-582 April) occurrences of WDs (1984/85-2007/08) have less effect on snowfall patterns over the 583 western Himalayas, however, a decreasing trend for number of snowfall days was observed in their 584 study. On the contrary, Cannon et al. (2015) reported an increase in WD occurrences in the region, 585 while Madhura et al. (2015) highlighted an increase in the interannual variability of WD frequency 586 in recent decades. Our results highlight the challenges that remain in determining recent trends in 587 WD activity, a fact which is highlighted by disagreement between earlier studies.

Further, we carried out the analysis of WD-day precipitation composites (Fig. 8b-p) which show that IMDAA performs well in capturing spatial precipitation details when compared with ERA5, though the two reanalyses have higher magnitudes when compared with IMD. IMD shows slight variations in terms of precipitation amount and location, which could be a result of the sparse gauge density over the Himalayas. There is some variation across the season, with all three datasets agreeing that February sees the heaviest WD-day precipitation and December the lightest, in agreement with the results for the climatology discussed earlier. 595 WD-associated seasonal and monthly precipitation fractions have been plotted by dividing 596 the total precipitation observed during WD-days by the total seasonal precipitation over the study 597 region. The results reveal that 60–90% of wintertime precipitation over the Himalayan region can 598 be attributed to WD activity (Fig. 9), in agreement with previous studies (e.g. Hunt et al., 2019). 599 IMDAA shows a strong agreement with IMD and ERA5, but the higher resolution of IMDAA 600 provides the advantage of a more detailed look into the localized precipitation fractions over the 601 domain, revealing elongated structures orientated northwest-southeast. These features are parallel 602 to the orography and have maximum values on the southern side of local ridges, consistent with 603 WDs bringing moisture flux from the southwest and orographic forcing from regional topography. 604 The attributable fractions for rain and snow (Fig. S4) in IMDAA and ERA5 reveal the dominance 605 of snowfall over the Greater and Karakoram Himalayas, whereas rainfall being the main observed 606 form of precipitation during wintertime over the lower Himalayas and foothills. IMDAA is able to 607 capture the localized variations in WD attributed precipitation percentages owing to its high 608 resolution, whereas ERA5 shows a comparatively homogeneous pattern.

609 g. Evaluation of dynamical and thermodynamic conditions

610 As we have seen, winter precipitation over the WHR is primarily associated with WDs. As they 611 are embedded in the large scale sub-tropical westerly jet (200 hPa), WD activity depends 612 significantly on its position and intensity (Krishnan et al., 2019). A comparison of winds at 200 613 hPa for IMDAA with ERA5 shows that IMDAA is realistic in capturing the wintertime subtropical 614 westerly jet (SWJ) over the region (Fig. 10g-i). However, IMDAA produces a weaker SWJ over 615 WHR (Fig. 10i). An assessment of winter-mean climatological conditions of 2-m air temperature 616 (Fig. 10a-c), OLR (Fig. 10d-f) and geopotential heights (Fig. S5) in IMDAA compared to ERA5 617 has been carried out. IMDAA captures the spatial patterns of temperature and OLR over WHR 618 and shows potential in finely representing these dynamical features compared to ERA5. However, 619 IMDAA shows warmer temperatures (statistically significant differences) over the lower 620 Himalayas and foothills extending up to northeastern Himalayas and slightly colder temperatures 621 over some western regions of Greater Himalayas, central Tibetan plateau and WHR compared to 622 ERA5 (Fig. 10c). During winter, low OLR values are noticeable over WHR in both IMDAA (Fig. 623 10d) and ERA5 (Fig. 10e). Generally, lower magnitudes of mean OLR are observed over the region 624 during winter as compared to other seasons owing to the influence of convective activity and cloud 625 formation, although climatological mean OLR is also lower here due to the higher underlying 626 orography. IMDAA exhibits slightly higher OLR magnitudes than ERA5 along the south-eastern 627 Ladakh region and over the Himalayan foothills, extending up to the northeastern Himalayas. The 628 patterns of mean geopotential height (Fig. S5) at different pressure levels over the WHR during 629 the winter season seem to be well represented in both IMDAA and ERA5 with slightly higher 630 magnitudes in IMDAA over WHR. To sum up, IMDAA is capable of representing seasonal mean 631 dynamical and large-scale circulation patterns during winter.

632 h. Case Study for western disturbance over WHR

633 As one of the potential major advantages of the higher resolution of IMDAA is its ability to better 634 capture local orographically-driven dynamics, we also explore the representation of such dynamics 635 during the passage of WD over WHR. Here, this is accomplished through analysis of a case study 636 for an intense WD that occurred during 16-19 February 2003, which affected WHR and caused 637 widespread precipitation over the region. Overcast skies and enhanced cloud cover associated with 638 deeper convective activity are key features observed during the passage of WD over WHR (e.g. 639 Rao and Rao 1971), which is noticeable in satellite imagery from NASA EOSDIS (Fig. 11a-d). 640 IMDAA is realistically representing the daily evolution of the total cloud cover associated with the intense WD over WHR (Fig. 11e-h), further supported by abrupt negative OLR anomalies (Fig. 11i) during the period indicating increased atmospheric convection. This convective activity plays an essential role in developing secondary circulations during the propagation of WDs and results in heavy localized precipitation over the WHR (e.g. Dimri *et al.*, 2016; Hunt *et al.*, 2019). Our results demonstrate the ability of high resolution IMDAA in representation of realistic evolution of the WD induced cloud cover patterns compared to remotely sensed observations.

647 Furthermore, the analysis of regional valley wind systems during the given period has been 648 carried out to validate the representation of local scale circulation patterns in IMDAA compared 649 to ERA5, which highlights the advantages offered by its high resolution. Figure 12 shows the 650 spatial and temporal patterns of local scale 10-m wind patterns at two different valley sites (the 651 Suru valley in Jammu and Kashmir and the Spiti Valley in Himachal Pradesh) before, during, and 652 after the passage of WD over WHR. IMDAA (Fig 12b) shows high fidelity in capturing the local 653 scale circulation features at the two valley sites, correctly capturing the maximum intensity of 654 winds during the in contrast to the more spatially homogeneous ERA5 wind speed.

655 The temporal evolution of these valley winds during the passage of WD is shown for both 656 valley sites in Fig. 12g-h, where an abrupt increase in magnitudes of valley wind speed is observed 657 on 16 February at both locations. This variability is captured in IMDAA, but not in ERA5 where 658 the wind speed is roughly constant during the passage of the WD. Additionally, the effect of the 659 WD passing over the study region is dynamically characterized by an increase of the local 660 minimum temperature (Fig. 12i-j) and a drop in the surface pressure (Fig. 12k-l), which 661 corroborates previous studies (e.g. Rao and Rao, 1971; Singh et al., 2019). Overall, it is clear that 662 IMDAA shows high fidelity in representing local scale wind responses associated with WD 663 activity over WHR, a key advantage offered by its high resolution.

664 **4. Summary and Conclusions**

This study evaluated winter precipitation and its variability and trends in the recently introduced
high resolution reanalysis IMDAA using various gridded, satellite, and reanalysis datasets over
the western Himalayan region between 1979–2018, as per their respective period of availability.
Based on the findings, the following conclusions can be made:

669 1. IMDAA captures the spatial variability of winter precipitation over the western Himalayas 670 well, on both seasonal and interannual scales, and has climatological precipitation statistics 671 that are very similar to the other reanalyses considered. However, it shows higher 672 precipitation amounts compared to other datasets along the lower Himalayas and foothills. 673 2. IMDAA agrees with the other reanalysis datasets in showing a slight decline in winter 674 precipitation over the western Himalayas over recent decades, though it is the only 675 reanalysis dataset in which that trend is significant, in accordance with trends reported in 676 earlier studies in individual station data (Shekhar et al., 2010, 2017). The IMD gauge-based 677 precipitation dataset also has a significant decline over the same period, but no other gauge-678 based or satellite products have significant trends when averaged over the whole western 679 Himalayan region.

3. Tracking of WDs carried out in both ERA5 and IMDAA reanalyses showed a weak insignificant increase in frequency over the study period (1979–2018). This agrees with previous reanalysis-based studies; however, several observation-based studies have reported a recent decline in WD frequency. Our results, thus, highlight the challenges that remain in determining recent trends in WD activity over the region, making it an important area of further work.

4. IMDAA shows potential in reproducing climatological winter circulation patterns and
surface conditions. However, the validation of high-resolution features such as valley wind
speeds and local dynamics during the passage of WDs over the region strongly emphasizes
advantages offered by IMDAA's high resolution compared to ERA5. The dataset showed
high potential in representing more localized ridge- and valley-scale features, offering a
better characterization of regional dynamics.

692 In summary, we acknowledge that low density of gauges and complex orography leads to high 693 discrepancies and uncertainties in the available data products over WHR. Overall, reanalyses 694 - including IMDAA - suffer from precipitation overestimation likely owing to errors in the 695 representation of parameterized convection. However, the findings in this study emphasize the 696 benefits provided by the high-resolution model and output of IMDAA, including 697 understanding the complex interplay between terrain and mountain meteorology over WHR, 698 even with its higher precipitation magnitudes. The reanalysis shows high fidelity in simulating 699 local-scale dynamics as well as large scale circulation features responsible for winter 700 precipitation over the region. Such findings strongly underpin the capabilities of IMDAA in 701 exploring the winter monsoon and its variability and in analysing the meteorological precursors 702 of precipitation extremes. Overall, IMDAA, despite its amplified magnitudes, is useful for 703 precipitation climatology, interannual variability and synoptic meteorology over WHR, 704 however, is still unable to capture diurnal peak precipitation realistically over the Tibetan 705 Plateau. Even with a resolution of 0.12° (~12 km), IMDAA still does not adequately resolve 706 regional orography, and this is likely to continue to result in discrepancies in precipitation 707 magnitude over the region.

708 Moreover, large uncertainties remain in understanding the spatio-temporal precipitation in 709 the WHR due to limited observations and thus, it becomes hard to obtain benchmark 710 precipitation trends, as well as verification of spatial precipitation amounts in IMDAA. Further 711 work is needed to constrain historical trends in winter precipitation in this region and link those 712 trends to changes in synoptic-scale activity, such as western disturbances. Finally, we note that 713 high-resolution simulations cannot replace ground-based in-situ observations and the lack of 714 gauge data over such terrains with high spatial variability adds challenges for accurate 715 precipitation measurements, which can be tackled through increased coverage of in-situ 716 stations over the region.

717 Acknowledgments.

718 This research work was supported by Science and Engineering Research Board, Department of 719 Science and Technology, Government of India under the "Start-up Research Grant (SRG) scheme" 720 (Grant reference: SRG/2020/001857). Authors gratefully acknowledge NCMRWF, Ministry of 721 Earth Sciences, Government of India, for IMDAA reanalysis. IMDAA reanalysis was produced 722 under the collaboration between UK Met Office, NCMRWF, and IMD with financial support from 723 the Ministry of Earth Sciences, under the National Monsoon Mission programme. Nischal 724 gratefully acknowledges the financial assistance from the Prime Minister's Research Fellowship 725 (PMRF), Ministry of Education, Government of India. KMRH is supported through the Weather 726 and Climate Science for Service Partnership (WCSSP) India, a collaborative initiative between the 727 Met Office, supported by the U.K. Government's Newton Fund, and the Indian Ministry of Earth 728 Sciences (MoES). The authors acknowledge and appreciate the editor and anonymous reviewers 729 for their constructive feedback, which helped in improving the quality of our work.

730 Data Availability Statement

731 All the data used in this study is publicly available and accessible. IMDAA data is available on the 732 RDS NCMRWF portal at https://rds.ncmrwf.gov.in/datasets. ECMWF fifth generation (ERA5) 733 be https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysisdata can accessed through 734 datasets/era5. NCEP Climate Forecast System data is provided at https://cfs.ncep.noaa.gov/cfsr 735 and MERRA-2 is available at https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2. The gauge-based 736 datasets accessed from be can 737 https://www.imdpune.gov.in/Clim Pred LRF New/Grided Data Download.html (IMD), 738 https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.cpc.globalprecip.html (CPC) and 739 http://aphrodite.st.hirosaki-u.ac.jp/products.html (APHRODITE). GPCP satellite data is available 740 https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/climate-data-records/precipitation-gpcp-daily at and 741 TRMM-3B42 at https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/. The remaining datasets used in the study are 742 accessible publicly https://www.chc.ucsb.edu/data (CHIRPS), at 743 https://gpm.nasa.gov/data/directory (GPM-IMERG) and https://chrsdata.eng.uci.edu/ 744 (PERSIANN-CDR), respectively. WD days during DJFM over the study region are quantified 745 based on Indian Daily Weather Reports (IDWR) issued by the IMD.

746

747 **APPENDIX**

748 *Evaluation indices*

The quantitative assessment of IMDAA in comparison to different datasets was carried out using a series of statistical indicators and skill scores for the daily time series of winter precipitation. The indices used for statistical evaluation of the performance of IMDAA with other datasets are discussed below where n is the total number of observations (daily time series of precipitation), x_i depicts the value for respective evaluation dataset, y_i depicts the value for IMDAA dataset, <u>x</u> is the mean for evaluation dataset values and y is the mean for IMDAA dataset values.

Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) is a quantitative measure of strength of linear agreement between two datasets which ranges from -1 to +1, with positive values indicating a positive correlation and vice versa.

758
$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$
(1)

759

The Root Mean Square Difference (RMSD) is a measure of overall difference associated with residuals in a predictor dataset in comparison to the validation dataset, whereas relative RMSD (rRMSD) is a normalized variant of RMSD with respect to the mean of the validation dataset. Lower values of RMSD and rRMSD indicate stronger association between datasets.

764
$$RMSD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2}{n}}$$
(2)

765
$$RMSDrel = \frac{RMSD}{\bar{x}} * 100$$
(3)

Mean Absolute Difference (MAD) is a measure of the accuracy of a predictor dataset in terms of
average magnitude of errors present in the predictions in comparison to the validation dataset.
rMAD is a normalized variant of MAD.

769
$$MAD = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - y_i|$$
(4)

$$770 rMAD = \frac{MAD}{\bar{x}} * 100 (5)$$

BIAS is the quantitative measure to define the tendency of underestimation or overestimation in a
dataset with respect to a validation dataset where the negative values indicate underestimation and
vice versa.

774
$$BIAS = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i)$$
(6)

775
$$rBIAS = \frac{BIAS}{\underline{x}} * 100 \tag{7}$$

776 Adjusted R-squared is defined as:

777
$$Adj. R^2 = 1 - (1 - R^2) \frac{n-1}{n-k-1}$$

where, k is the number of independent variables and n is the number of observations.

779 **REFERENCES**

- Aggarwal, D., R. Attada., K.K. Shukla, R. Chakraborty, and R.K. Kunchala, 2022: Monsoon precipitation
 characteristics and extreme precipitation events over Northwest India using Indian high-resolution
- 782 regional reanalysis. *Atmos. Res.*, 267, 105993, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105993.
- Anand, A. S. K. Mishra, S. Sahany, M. Bhowmick, J.S. Rawat, and S. K. Dash, 2018: Indian summer
 monsoon simulations: Usefulness of increasing horizontal resolution, manual tuning, and semiautomatic tuning in reducing present-day model biases. *Sci. rep.*, 8(1), 1-14.
- Andermann, C., S. Bonnet, and R. Gloaguen, 2011: Evaluation of precipitation data sets along the
 Himalayan front. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 12(7), Q07023.
- Ashrit, R., and Coauthors, 2020: IMDAA Regional Reanalysis: Performance Evaluation during Indian
 Summer Monsoon Season. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 125(2), e2019JD030973,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030973.

- Bao, X., and F. Zhang, 2013: Evaluation of NCEP-CFSR, NCEP-NCAR, ERA-Interim, and ERA-40
 Reanalysis Datasets against Independent Sounding Observations over the Tibetan Plateau. J.
 Climate, 26(1), 206-214.
- Baudouin, J. P., M. Herzog, and C. A. Petrie, 2020: Cross-validating precipitation datasets in the Indus
 River basin. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 24(1), 427-450, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-427-2020.
- Bayissa, Y., T. Tadesse, G. Demisse and A. Shiferaw, 2017: Evaluation of Satellite-Based Rainfall
 Estimates and Application to Monitor Meteorological Drought for the Upper Blue Nile Basin,
 Ethiopia. *Remote Sens.*, 669.
- Beck, H. E. and Co-authors, 2017: Global-scale evaluation of 22 precipitation datasets using gauge
 observations and hydrological modeling. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 21, 6201–6217.
- Bengtsson, L., S. Hagemann, and K. I. Hodges, 2004: Can Climate Trends be calculated from Re-Analysis
 data?. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 109(D11111).
- Cannon, F., L. M. Carvalho, C. Jones, and B. Bookhagen, 2015: Multi-annual variations in winter westerly
 disturbance activity affecting the Himalaya. *Climate Dyn.*, 44(1-2), 441-455.
- 805 Carey, M., 2010: In the Shadow of Melting Glaciers. Climate Change and Andean Society; Oxford
 806 University Press: Oxford, UK, 288.
- Chen, M., P. Xie, and Coauthors, 2008: CPC Unified Gauge-based Analysis of Global Daily Precipitation.
 Western Pacific Geophys. Meeting, Cairns, Australia, 29 July-1 August, 2008.
- Choudhury, A., A. C. Yadav, and S. Bonafoni, 2021: A response of snow cover to the climate in the
 northwest himalaya (NWH) using satellite products. *Rem. Sens.*, 13(4), 655.
- 811 Cullen, N. J. and J. P. Conway, 2015: A 22-month record of surface meteorology and energy balance from
 812 the ablation zone of Brewster Glacier, New Zealand. J. Glaciol., 61, 931–946.

- B13 Dahri, Z. H., and Co-authors, 2021: Spatio-temporal evaluation of gridded precipitation products for the
 high-altitude Indus basin. *Int. J. Climatol.*, 41(8), 4283-4306.
- Dahri, Z. H., E. Moors, F. Ludwig, S. Ahmad, Khan, A. Khan, I. Ali, and P. Kawat, 2018: Adjustment of
 measurement errors to reconcile precipitation distribution in the high-altitude Indus basin. *Int. J. Climatol.*, 38.
- Bahri, Z. H., F. Ludwig, E. Moors, B. Ahmad, A. Khan, and P. Kabat, 2016: An appraisal of precipitation
 distribution in the high-altitude catchments of the Indus basin. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 548, 289-306.
- Bee, D. P., M. Balmaseda, G. Balsamo, R. Engelen, A. J. Simmons, and J.-N. Thépaut, 2014: Toward a
 consistent reanalysis of the climate system. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 95(8), 1235–1248.
- Dee, D. P., S. M. Uppala, A. J. Simmons, P. Berrisford, P. Poli, S. Kobayashi, and F. Vitart, 2011: The
 ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 137(656), 553-597.
- Derin, Y., and K. K. Yilmaz, 2014: Evaluation of multiple satellite-based precipitation products over
 complex topography. *J. Hydrometeor.*, 15(4), 1498-1516.
- Berne, Y., E. Anagnostou, A. Berne, M. Borga, B. Boudevillain, W. Buytaert, and K. K. Yilmaz, 2016:
 Multiregional satellite precipitation products evaluation over complex terrain. *J. Hydrometeor.*, 17(6), 1817-1836.
- Binri, A. P., 2013: Intraseasonal oscillation associated with the Indian winter monsoon. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 1189–1198.
- Birri, A. P., and A. Ganju, 2007: Wintertime seasonal scale simulation over Western Himalaya using
 RegCM3. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 164(8), 1733-1746.
- Binri, A. P., and S. K. Dash, 2012: Wintertime climatic trends in the western Himalayas. *Climate Change*, **111(3)**, 775-800.

- Binri, A. P., and U. C. Mohanty, 2009: Simulation of mesoscale features associated with intense Western
 Disturbances over western Himalayas. *Meteorol. Appl.*, 16(3), 289-308.
- Birros, J. Ridley, U. C. Mohanty, T. Yasunari, and D. R. Sikka,
 2015: Western Disturbances: A review. *Rev. Geophys.*, 53(2), 225–246.
- B40 Dimri, A. P., T. Yasunari, B. S. Kotlia, U. C. Mohanty, and D. R. Sikka, 2016: Indian winter monsoon:
 Present and past. *Earth Sci. Rev.*, 163(2), 297-322.
- Birku, T., S. J. Connor, P. Ceccato, and C.F. Ropelewski, 2008: Comparison of global gridded precipitation
 products over a mountainous region of Africa. *Int. J. Climatol.*, 28,1627–1638.
- B44 Dirmeyer, P. A., and Coauthors, 2012: Simulating the diurnal cycle of rainfall in global climate models:
 resolution versus parameterization. *Climate Dyn.*, **39(1)**, 399-418.
- 846 Ebert, E. E., J. E. Janowiak, and C. Kidd, 2007: Comparison of near-real-time precipitation estimates from
 847 satellite observations and numerical models. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 88(1), 47-64.
- 848 Escher-Vetter, H., and Co-authors, 2012: Hydrological and Meteorological Records from the Vernagtferner

Basin—Vernagtbach Station, for the Years 1970 to 2001. PANGAEA: Bremen, Germany.

- Forsythe N., S. Blenkinsop, and H. J. Fowler, 2015: Exploring objective climate classification for the
 Himalayan arc and adjacent regions using gridded data sources. *Earth Syst. Dyn.*, 6(1), 311–326.
- Funk, C., and Coauthors, 2015: The climate hazards infrared precipitation with stations-a new environmental record for monitoring extremes. *Sci. Data*, **2**, 150066.
- Gampe, D., and R. Ludwig, 2017: Evaluation of gridded precipitation data products for hydrological
 applications in complex topography. *Hydrology*, 4(4), 53.

856	Gebregiorgis, A. S., and Co-authors, 2018: To what extent is the day 1 GPM IMERG satellite precipitation
857	estimate improved as compared to TRMM TMPA-RT?. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 123(3), 1694-
858	1707.

- Gelaro, R., and Coauthors, 2017: The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications,
 Version 2 (MERRA2). J. Climate, 30(14), 5419-5454.
- Ghodichore, N., R. Vinnarasi, C. T. Dhanya, and S.B. Roy, 2018: Reliability of reanalyses products in
 simulating precipitation and temperature characteristics over India. *J. Earth Syst. Sci.*, **127(8)**, 121.
- Gouda, K. C., S. Nahak, and P. Goswami, 2018: Evaluation of a GCM in seasonal forecasting of extreme
 rainfall events over continental India. *Wea. Climate Extrem.*, 21, 10-16.
- Guan, X., and Co-authors, 2020: Evaluation of Precipitation Products by Using Multiple Hydrological
 Models over the Upper Yellow River Basin, China. *Remote Sens.*, 12(24), 4023.
- Hamal, K., and Coauthors, 2020: Evaluation of MERRA-2 Precipitation Products Using Gauge Observation
 in Nepal. *Hydrology*, 7(3), 40, https://doi.org/10.3390/hydrology7030040.
- 870 Hersbach, H., and Coauthors, 2020: The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 146(730),
 871 1999-2049. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803.
- Huffman, G. J., and Coauthors, 2007: The TRMM Multisatellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA): QuasiGlobal, Multiyear, Combined-Sensor Precipitation Estimates at Fine Scales. *J. Hydrometeor.*, 8(1),
 38-55.
- Huffman, G. J., and Coauthors, 2015: NASA Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) Integrated MultisatellitE Retrievals for GPM (IMERG). Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD)
 Version, 4, 26.

- Huffman, G. J., R. F. Adler, M. M. Morrissey, D. T. Bolvin, S. Curtis, R. Joyce, B. McGavock, and J.
 Susskind, 2001: Global precipitation at One-degree Daily Resolution from Multi-satellite
 Observations. J. Hydrometeor., 2(1), 36-50.
- Hunt, K. M. R., A. G. Turner, and L. C. Shaffrey, 2018: The evolution, seasonality and impacts of western
 disturbances. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 144(710), 278-290.
- Hunt, K. M. R., A. G. Turner, and L. C. Shaffrey, 2019: Representation of Western Disturbances in CMIP5
 Models. J. Climate, 32(7), 1997-2011.
- Hussain, S., X. Song, G. Ren, I. Hussain, H. Dongmei, and M. H. Zaman, 2017: Evaluation of gridded
 precipitation data in the Hindu Kush–Karakoram–Himalaya mountainous area. *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 62(14), 2393-2405.
- Immerzeel, W. W., N. Wanders, A. F. Lutz, J. M. Shea, and M. F. P. Bierkens, 2015: Reconciling highaltitude precipitation in the upper Indus basin with glacier mass balances and runoff. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 19(11), 4673-4687.
- Ji, X., and Co-authors, 2020: Evaluation of bias correction methods for APHRODITE data to improve
 hydrologic simulation in a large Himalayan basin. *Atmos. Res.*, 242, 104964.
- Joshi, J. C., and A Ganju, 2010: Use of objective analysis to estimate winter temperature and precipitation
 at different stations over western Himalaya. *J. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 119(5), 597-602.
- Juen, I., 2006: Glacier Mass Balance and Runoff in the Cordillera Blanca, Peru. Ph.D. Thesis, University
 of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria.
- Kanda, N., H. S. Negi, M. S. Rishi, and A. Kumar, 2020: Performance of various gridded temperature and
 precipitation datasets over Northwest Himalayan Region. *Environ. Res. Commun.*, 2(8), 085002,
 https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ab9991.
- 900 Karch, J., 2020: Improving on Adjusted R-Squared. Collabra: Psychology, 6(1), 45.

- Kishore, P., S, Jyothi, G. Basha, S. Rao, M. Rajeevan, I. Velicogna, and T.C. Sutterley, 2016: Precipitation
 climatology over India: validation with observations and reanalysis datasets and spatial trends. *Climate Dyn.*, 46(1-2),541–556.
- 904 Krishnan, R., T. P. Sabin, R. K. Madhura, R. K. Vellore, M. Mujumdar, J. Sanjay, S. Nayak, and M.
- Rajeevan, 2019: Non-monsoonal precipitation response over the Western Himalayas to climate
 change. *Climate Dyn.*, 52(7), 4091-4109.
- Lalande, M., M. Ménégoz, G. Krinner, K. Naegeli, and S. Wunderle, 2021: Climate change in the High
 Mountain Asia in CMIP6. *Ear sys. dyn.*, 12(4), 1061-1098.
- Lang, T. J., and A. P. Barros, 2004: Winter storms in Central Himalayas, *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*, 82(3), 829–
 844.
- Liaqat, M. U., G. Grossi, S. ul Hasson, and R. Ranzi, 2022: Characterization of Interannual and Seasonal
 Variability of Hydro-Climatic Trends in the Upper Indus Basin. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 147, 1163–
 1184, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-021-03850-3.
- Liu, M. and Co-authors, 2015: Evaluation of high-resolution satellite rainfall products using rain gauge data
 over complex terrain in southwest China. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 119, 203–219.
- Madhura, R. K., R. Krishnan, J. V. Revadekar, M. Mujumdar, and B. N. Goswami, 2015: Changes in
 western disturbances over the Western Himalayas in a warming environment. *Climate Dyn.*, 44,
 1157-1168.
- Maggioni, V., Meyers, P., & Robinson, M. (2016). A review of merged high resolution satellite
 precipitation product accuracy during the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM)-Era.
 Journal of Hydrometeorology, 17(4), 1101–1117.
- Meng, J., L. Li, Z. Hao, J. Wang, and Q. Shao, 2014: Suitability of TRMM satellite rainfall in driving a
 distributed hydrological model in the source region of Yellow River. *J. Hydrol.*, 509, 320-332.

924	Midhuna, T. M., P. Kumar, and A. P., Dimri, 2020: A new Western Disturbance Index for the Indian winter
925	monsoon. J. Earth Syst. Sci., 129, 59, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-019-1324-1.
926	Murakami, H., 2014: Tropical cyclones in reanalysis data sets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(6), 2133-2141.
927	Nguyen, P., and Coauthors, 2019: The CHRS Data Portal, an easily accessible public repository for
928	PERSIANN global satellite precipitation data. Sci. Data, 6(1), 180296.
929	Nitu, R. and, Co-authors., 2018: WMO Solid Precipitation Intercomparison Experiment (SPICE) (2012-
930	2015). Instruments and Observing Methods Report No. 131, World Meteorological Organization,
931	Geneva. https://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/www/IMOP/publications-IOM-series.html.
932	Pai, D. S., L. Sridhar, M. Rajeevan, O. P. Sreejith, N. S. Satbhai, and B. Mukhopadhyay, 2014:
933	Development of a new high spatial resolution $(0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ})$ long period (1901-2010) daily gridded
934	rainfall data set over India and its comparison with existing data sets over the
935	region. Mausam, 65(1), 1-18.
936	Palazzi, E., J. V. Hardenberg, and A. Provenzale, 2013: Precipitation in the Hindu-Kush Karakoram
937	Himalaya: Observations and future scenarios. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 118(1), 85-100.
938	Phung, D., T. Nguyen-Huy, N.N. Tran, D.N. Tran, S. Nghiem, N.H. Nguyen, and T. Bennett, 2021:
939	Hydropower dams, river drought and health effects: A detection and attribution study in the lower

- 940 Mekong Delta Region. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **32**, 100280.
- Rani S. I., T. Arulalan, J. P. George, E. N. Rajagopal, R. Renshaw, A. Maycock, D. M. Barker, and M.
 Rajeevan, 2021: IMDAA: High-Resolution Satellite-Era Reanalysis for the Indian Monsoon
 Region, J. Climate, 34(12), 5109-5133, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0412.1.
- Rao, B. V., and Rao, T. S., 1971: A theoretical and synoptic study of western disturbances. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 90(1), 193-208.

- Reichle, R. H., Q. Liu, R. D. Koster, C. S. Draper, S. P. Mahanama, and G. S. Partyka, 2017: Land surface
 precipitation in MERRA-2. *J. Clim.*, **30(5)**, 1643-1664.
- Roxy, M., K. Ritika, P. Terray, R. Murtugudde, A. Karumuri and B. N. Goswami, 2015: Drying of Indian
 subcontinent by rapid Indian Ocean warming and a weakening land-sea thermal gradient. Nat.
 Commun., 7423 (2015).
- Roy, S. S., 2006: The impacts of ENSO, PDO, and local SSTs on winter precipitation in India. Phy. Geog.,
 27(5), 464-474.
- Saha, S., and Coauthors, 2010: The NCEP Climate Forecast System Reanalysis. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 91(8), 1015-1058.
- Saha, S., and Coauthors, 2014: The NCEP Climate Forecast System Version 2. J. Climate, 27(6), 21852208.
- 957 Shekhar M. S., H. Chand, S. Kumar, K. Srinivasan, and A. Ganju, 2010: Climate change studies in the
 958 western Himalaya; *Ann. Glaciol.*, 51(54), 105–112.
- Shekhar, M. S., N. N. Rao, S. Paul, S.C. Bhan, G. P. Singh, and A. Singh, 2017: Winter precipitation
 climatology over Western Himalaya: Altitude and Range wise study. *J. Ind. Geophys. Union*,
 21(2),148-152.
- Singh, D., A. Kumar, M. S. Shekhar, and S. Garg, 2019: The impacts of the approaching western
 disturbances (WDs) on the surface meteorological variables over the north-west Himalaya (NWH),
 India. J. Earth. Syst. Sci., 149.
- Singh, P., and N. Kumar, 1997: Effect of orography on precipitation in the western Himalayan region. J. *Hydrol.*, 199(1-2), 183-206.
- 967 Strangeways, I., 2004: Improving precipitation measurement. Int. J. Climatol., 24(11), 1443–1460.

- Sun, Q., C. Miao, Q. Duan, H. Ashouri, S. Sorooshian, and K.-L. Hsu, 2018: A Review of Global
 Precipitation Data Sets: Data Sources, Estimation, and Intercomparisons. *Rev. Geophys.*, 56(1), 79107.
- 971 Trenberth, K. E., and C. J. Guillemot, 1998: Evaluation of the atmospheric moisture and hydrological cycle
 972 in the NCEP/NCAR reanalyses. *Climate Dyn.*, 14(3), 213-231.
- Wang, Y., J. Chen, and D. Yang, 2019: Bayesian Assimilation of Multiscale Precipitation Data and Sparse
 Ground Gauge Observations in Mountainous Areas. *J. Hydrometeor.*, 20(8), 1473-1494.
- 975 Willmott, C. J., 1981: On the validation of models. *Phys. geo.*, **2(2)**, 184-194.
- Winiger, M. Y., M. Gumpert, and H. Yamout, 2005: Karakorum–Hindukush–western Himalaya: assessing
 high-altitude water resources. *Hydrol. Process.*, 19(12), 2329-2338.
- Xia, T., Z. J. Wang, and H. Zheng, 2015: Topography and Data Mining Based Methods for Improving
 Satellite Precipitation in Mountainous Areas of China. *Atmos.*, 6(8), 983-1005.
- Xie, P., A. Yatagai, M. Chen, T. Hayasaka, Y. Fukushima, C. Liu, and S. Yang, 2007: A gauge-based
 analysis of daily precipitation over East Asia, *J. Hydrometeor.*, 8, 607-626.
- Xu, R., F. Tian, L. Yang, H. Hu, H. Lu, and A. Hou, 2017: Ground validation of GPM IMERG and TRMM
 3B42V7 rainfall products over southern Tibetan Plateau based on a high-density rain gauge
- 984 network. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., **122(2)**, 910-924.
- Yadav R. K., D. A. Ramu, and A. P. Dimri, 2013: On the relationship between ENSO patterns and winter
 precipitation over North and Central India. *Glob. Planet. Change*, 107, 50-58.
- Yadav, R. K., R. Kumar, and M. Rajeevan, 2009: Increasing influence of ENSO and decreasing influence
 of AO/NAO in the recent decades over northwest India winter precipitation. J. Geophys. Res.:
 Atmos., 114(D12).

- Yatagai, A., K. Kamiguchi, O. Arakawa, A. Hamada, N. Yasutomi, and A. Kitoh, 2012: APHRODITE:
 Constructing a Long-Term Daily Gridded Precipitation Dataset for Asia Based on a Dense Network
 of Rain Gauges. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 93(9), 1401-1415.
- Ye, B., D. Yang, Y. Ding, T. Han, and T. Koike, 2004: A bias-corrected precipitation climatology for
 china. J. Hydrometeor., 5(6), 1147–1160.
- Zandler, H., I. Haag, and C. Samimi, C., 2019: Evaluation needs and temporal performance differences of
 gridded precipitation products in peripheral mountain regions. *Nature:Sci. rep.*, 9(1), 1-15.