

Are you as old as your arteries? Comparing arterial aging in Japanese and European patient groups using cardio ankle vascular index

Article

Accepted Version

Kirkham, F. A., Mills, C. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8313-3700, Fantin, F., Tatsuno, I., Nagayama, D., Giani, A., Zamboni, M., Shirai, K., Cruickshank, J. K. and Rajkumar, C. (2022) Are you as old as your arteries? Comparing arterial aging in Japanese and European patient groups using cardio-ankle vascular index. Journal of Hypertension, 40 (9). pp. 1758-1767. ISSN 1473-5598 doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000003214 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/106492/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HJH.00000000003214

Publisher: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other



copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Abstract word count - 249 words Text word count – 2779 words Tables – 4

Figures – 2

Supplementary files – 3

- 1 **TITLE PAGE**
- 2 Are you as old as your arteries? Comparing arterial aging in Japanese and European patient groups
- 3 using CAVI
- 4 Short title - Arterial aging in Japanese vs European groups
- 5 **Authors**
- FRANCES A KIRKHAM1 (MBBChir), CHARLOTTE MILLS2 (PhD), FRANCESCO FANTIN3 (PhD), ICHIRO 6
- TATSUNO^{4,6} (PhD), DAIJI NAGAYAMA^{5,6} (PhD), ANNA GIANI³ (MD), MAURO ZAMBONI³ (MD), KOHJI 7
- 8 SHIRAI⁷ (PhD), JOHN KENNEDY CRUICKSHANK² (PhD), CHAKRAVARTHI RAJKUMAR^{1, 8} (PhD)
- 9 **Affiliations**
- 10 1 – University Hospitals Sussex NHS Foundation Trust
- 11 2 - King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
- 12 3 - Department of Medicine, Section of Geriatric Medicine, University of Verona, Italy
- 4 Chiba Prefectural University of Health Sciences, Japan 13
- 14 5 -Nagayama Clinic, Japan
- 15 6 - Toho University Medical Center, Sakura Hospital, Japan
- 16 7 - Mihama Hospital, Japan
- 17 8 - Brighton and Sussex Medical School, University of Sussex
- 18 **Funding**
- 19 For the UK cohort – British Geriatrics Society and Dunhill Medical Trust
- 20 For the Japanese cohort – no funding was provided for this study.
- 21 For the Italian cohort – no funding was provided for this study.
- 22 Conflicts of interest – none
- 23 We certify that this work is A) novel. This is the first study to directly compare raw data on arterial
- 24 stiffness between a European and Japanese group, showing higher levels of CAVI in the Japanese
- 25 group and differences across sexes and levels of cardiovascular risk.
- 26 Corresponding author - Professor Rajkumar Dept Academic Geriatrics, Audrey Emerton Building,
- 27 Royal Sussex County Hospital, Eastern Road, Brighton, BN2 5BE c.rajkumar@bsms.ac.uk
- 28 Key words – arterial stiffness, cardio-ankle vascular index, vascular aging, international
- 29 comparison
- 30 **Key Points** – Arterial stiffness was higher in Japanese patient groups than Europeans while
- 31 controlling for cardiovascular risk factors.

32 - Europeans had greater increases in arterial stiffness with age in healthy individuals, particularly for 33 males. - The addition of cardiovascular risk factors had a greater impact on arterial aging in the Japanese 34 35 36 Why does this paper matter? - This is the first study to directly compare raw data on arterial 37 stiffness between a European and Japanese group, showing higher levels of CAVI in the Japanese 38 group and differences across sexes and levels of cardiovascular risk. This offers insight into the 39 different patterns of cardiovascular disease seen across these geographic regions and highlights the 40 potential role of CAVI in demonstrating cardiovascular risk beyond traditional risk factors. Arterial 41 stiffness differs across geographic regions, as does the relationship between vascular compliance 42 with age and cardiovascular risk factors. Our study suggests that there may be inherent differences 43 in vascular structure and function between groups from different geographic regions, controlling for 44 conventional cardiovascular risk factors, which may partly explain differences in cardiovascular 45 outcomes. 46 47 **Author's roles** 48 49 KIRKHAM - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and 50 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript. 51 MILLS – study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript 52 53 FANTIN - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and interpretation 54 of data, and preparation of manuscript 55 TATSUNO - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and 56 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript 57 NAGAYAMA - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and 58 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript 59 GIANI - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and interpretation of 60 data, and preparation of manuscript 61 ZAMBONI - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and 62 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript

SHIRAI - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and interpretation of

CRUICKSHANK - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and

RAJKUMAR - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and

63

64

65

66

67

68

data, and preparation of manuscript

interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript

interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript

70 Background Most comparisons of arterial stiffness between ethnic groups focus on pulse wave 71 velocity. This study used the cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) in European compared to Japanese 72 individuals to investigate how cardiovascular risk factors affect arterial aging across geographic 73 regions. 74 Methods 494 European and 1044 Japanese individuals underwent measurements of CAVI, blood 75 pressure and information on cardiovascular risk factors. Both datasets included individuals with 0-5 76 cardiovascular risk factors. 77 Results Average CAVI was higher in the Japanese than the European group in every age category, 78 with significant differences up to 75 years for males and 85 for females. The correlation of CAVI with 79 age, controlled for cardiovascular risk factors, was slightly higher in Japanese females (r=0.594 vs 80 Europeans r=0.542) but much higher in European males (r=0.710 vs Japanese r=0.511). There was a 81 significant correlation between CAVI and total cardiovascular risk factors in the Japanese (r=0.141, 82 p<0.001) but not the European group. On linear regression, average CAVI was significantly 83 dependent on age, sex, diabetes, BMI, SBP and geographic region. When divided into 'healthy' vs 84 'high risk', the healthy group had a steeper correlation with age for Europeans (r=0.644 vs Japanese 85 r=0.472, Fisher's Z p<0.001), whereas in the high-risk group, both geographic regions had similar 86 correlations. 87 Conclusion Japanese patient groups had higher arterial stiffness than Europeans, as measured by 88 CAVI, controlling for cardiovascular risk factors. Europeans had greater increases in arterial stiffness 89 with age in healthy individuals, particularly for males. However, cardiovascular risk factors had a 90 greater impact on the Japanese group. 91 92 Condensed abstract (95 words) 93 This study of 494 European and 1044 Japanese individuals used the cardio-ankle vascular index 94 (CAVI) in European compared to Japanese individuals to investigate how cardiovascular risk factors 95 affect arterial aging across regions. 96 Average CAVI was higher in the Japanese than the European group in every age category. The 97 correlation of CAVI with age, controlled for cardiovascular risk factors, was slightly higher in 98 Japanese females (r=0.594 vs Europeans r=0.542) but much higher in European males (r=0.710 vs 99 Japanese r=0.511). On linear regression, average CAVI was significantly dependent on age, sex, 100 diabetes, BMI, SBP and geographic region. 101 102 103 104 Key words – arterial stiffness, cardio-ankle vascular index, vascular aging, international 105 comparison 106

69

107

Abstract 249 words

Introduction

108

109

Thomas Sydenham famously purported that "a man is as old as his arteries" [1]. In the past 30 years, burgeoning understanding of the biomechanical configuration and function of the heart and great vessels has focused on clinical consequences including the fragmentation of elastic tissue by cyclical pulsatile stress and, thus, implications for blood pressure (BP) [2], cardio- and cerebro-vascular disease [3]. Since the development of carotid to femoral pulse wave velocity, PWV has been

The impact of age on cardiovascular health has been the source of inquisition for centuries, since Sir

- pronounced as the gold standard in assessment of arterial stiffness due to its well-documented
- correlation with cardiovascular disease outcomes [4]. However, difficulties inherent to the
- calculation of PWV relate to the heterogeneity of vessel stiffness along the arterial tree, relative
- differences in method and its intrinsic dependence on blood pressure [5, 6]. More recently,
- 119 recommendations have been made for standardisation of measurements and reference values to
- 120 guide clinical use [7].
- 121 The cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) was established as an alternative to PWV, ostensibly less
- 122 blood pressure dependent and incorporating the ascending aorta to give a whole body estimation of
- the vascular stiffness parameter β [8]. Traditionally, CAVI has been advantageous compared to PWV
- as it has been found to be relatively independent of blood pressure at the time of measurement [9,
- 10]. However, Spronck et al recently suggested that there may be an inherent dependence on BP
- and thus proposed a novel formula for calculating CAVI to reduce the impact of transient BP
- fluctuations [11]. This has since been countered by Shirai et al [12]. Proposed clinical applications of
- 128 CAVI have concentrated on recognition and diagnosis of cardiovascular disease, with various
- significant studies exploring its association with cardiovascular risk factors [13], largely in Asian
- patient groups. In one study, a CAVI value over 9.0 was found to be associated with a level of
- coronary artery stenosis of at least 75% [14]. It is known that CAVI increases with age and a
- comprehensive study of over 32,000 participants demonstrated the relationship between CAVI and
- 133 biological age in a Japanese population in order to propose potential cut-off points for use in clinical
- practice [15]. Whether different cut offs should be established for different geographical populations
- has not yet been established, as it is as yet unclear how CAVI changes with age in different groups
- and the potential genetic and environmental factors which may contribute to this.
- 137 Differences in cardiovascular risk between ethnic groups have been demonstrated across geographic
- regions [16]. Studies have examined differences in arterial compliance between ethnic groups living
- in the same country, finding higher levels of PWV and augmentation index in South Asians compared
- to Europeans [17]. However, while some age-specific reference values have been proposed for CAVI
- in a random sample of Europeans [18], compared to published data from Japan, the association with
- age and cardiovascular risk factors has not been fully evaluated in a Caucasian compared to a
- 143 Japanese patient group.
- 144 This study measured CAVI in 494 European individuals, including the UK and Italy, and 1044
- Japanese individuals. Both datasets included individuals with 0 to 5 cardiovascular risk factors. Our
- aim was to assess the changes in arterial stiffness with age in a European group compared to a
- Japanese group, and to investigate how cardiovascular risk factors affect these correlations in
- 148 patients from different geographic regions.

Methods

- 150 Data on CAVI and cardiovascular risk factors were collected from multiple sites across Europe
- 151 (London, South East England and Italy) and Japan (Toho University Medical Center, Sakura Hospital,

- 152 Japan). Patients were recruited for data collection based on a range of cardiovascular risk factors
- including pre-diabetes and cerebrovascular events, as well as healthy individuals. The total patient
- number was 494 from Europe (222 female, 272 male) and 1044 from Japan (519 female, 525 male).
- 155 This included 83 age- and sex-matched individuals with no cardiovascular risk factors from each
- 156 geographic region. The remaining participants had a range of cardiovascular risk factors, defined as:
- Body mass index (BMI) greater than 30 for Caucasian patients, BMI 25 or greater for Asian patients,
- 158 clinic or ambulatory average blood pressure of 140/90 or more, total serum cholesterol ≥ 6.2
- millimoles per litre (mmol/l) (240 milligrams per decilitre, mg/dl) [19], fasting plasma glucose ≥
- 160 7.0mmol/l (126mg/dl) [18] or 2–h plasma glucose ≥ 11.1mmol/l (200mg/dl) [20], previously
- diagnosed hypertension, hyperlipidaemia or Diabetes Mellitus, known current or former smoker.
- The average age of participants was 63.9 ±11.4 (mean ±SD), ranging from 16-93 years. The baseline
- characteristics of the population are presented in Table 1.
- 164 Inclusion and exclusion criteria varied according to the data source, as six different trials contributed
- data to the databank. See Appendix 1 for details. Written informed consent was obtained from all
- 166 participants.

169

- 167 The following were measured: medical history and cardiovascular risk factors, BMI, CAVI using
- 168 VaSera VS-1500N® (Fukuda Denshi, Japan); brachial blood pressure using OMRON705-IT.

Statistical analysis

- Data are presented as mean +/- standard deviation with frequencies for each age group across
- 171 geographic regions. We used a paired t test and ANOVA to compare data between groups and
- bivariate Pearson correlation analyses to look at the correlation between arterial stiffness and age,
- 173 calculated separately for each sex and corrected for cardiovascular risk factors. Correlations were
- 174 compared between groups using two-tailed Fisher's Z transformation. We stratified patients into
- two groups based on the number of cardiovascular risk factors the 'healthy' group consisted of
- patients with 0-2 cardiovascular risk factors, while the 'high-risk' group consisted of patients with 3-5
- 177 cardiovascular risk factors. We performed a subgroup analysis to assess the relationship of CAVI with
- aging in diabetic individuals from both geographic regions. We used simple linear regression
- analyses to look at the impact of ethnic group and cardiovascular risk factors on CAVI. We used
- binary logistic regression to look at the impact of average CAVI and cardiovascular risk factors on
- predicting ethnic group. We used a general linear model to evaluate the impact of different age and
- 182 geographic groups on CAVI. CAVIO was calculated according to the method described by Spronck et
- al. [11], adjusting for diastolic pressure to produce a pressure-normalised equation for CAVI
- calculation. Statistical analyses were performed using a statistical software package (SPSS Version
- 24) and a p value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

- The average age of the European group was 65.95 (±11.9) vs 62.92 (±10.9) in the Japanese group.
- 188 There were also significant differences in the distribution of cardiovascular risk factors, with the UK
- group having more hypertension, smokers and higher BMI while the Japanese group had more
- 190 hyperlipidaemia, diabetes and marginally higher diastolic BP (Table 1). There was no significant
- difference in systolic BP or sex between groups. In the whole dataset, average CAVI was higher in the
- 192 Japanese group than the European group in every age group for both males and females. The
- differences were significant on ANOVA and independent samples t test up to the age of 75 years for
- males and 85 years for females (Table 2). The correlation of CAVI with age, controlled for
- cardiovascular risk factors, was slightly higher in the Japanese group for females (r=0.594, p<0.001 vs

196 Europeans r=0.542, p<0.001) but much higher in the European group for males (r=0.710, p<0.001 vs 197

Japanese r=0.511, p<0.001). On two-tailed Fisher's Z transformation, this was statistically significant

198 for males with p<0.001 but not females.

199 When looking at the relationship of CAVI to cardiovascular risk factors, there was a significant

200 correlation in the Japanese group after correction for sex (r=0.141, p<0.001) but no significant

correlation in the European group (Figure 1). When divided into 'healthy' (0-2 cardiovascular risk 201

factors) vs 'high risk (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors), the Japanese group had significantly higher

CAVI in both groups up to the age of 75 years, however the European group overtook the Japanese

group in the healthy group over this age (Table 3). In the healthy group, there was a much steeper

205 correlation with age for Europeans (r=0.644 vs Japanese r=0.472, p<0.001 on two-tailed Fisher Z

206 transformation) after correction for sex, whereas in the high-risk group, both geographic regions had

207 similar correlations of CAVI and age (Europeans r=0.657 vs Japanese r=0.619, non-significant on

208 Fisher Z transformation). In the healthy group, there was a larger difference in correlation with age

for males, with a much steeper correlation in European males (p<0.001), whereas in the high-risk

210 group, the difference was most pronounced in females, with Japanese females having a steeper

211 correlation with age (p=0.06) (Figure 2).

212 On linear regression, average CAVI was significantly dependent on age, sex, diabetes, BMI, SBP and

213 geographic region (Table 4). In the European group, CAVI was significantly dependent on age, sex,

214 diabetes, BMI and systolic BP. in the Japanese group, CAVI was significantly dependent on age, sex,

215 diabetes, and systolic BP (Supplementary Material - Appendix 2a and b). On binary logistic

216 regression, average CAVI was a significant predictor of geographic region with OR 1.154 (95%

217 confidence interval 1.013-1.315, p=0.031) (Supplementary Material – Appendix 2c). On subgroup

218 analysis, this remained significant for males but became non-significant for females.

Discussion

202

203 204

209

219

220

224

Geographic differences in arterial stiffness:

221 Cardiovascular risk varies across populations from different geographic regions, with previous

222 studies showing higher stroke and ischaemic heart disease mortality in South Asian groups

223 compared to White British [16], and higher stroke mortality with lower coronary heart disease in

Japan compared to the US and Europe [21]. Ethnic differences in PWV have been demonstrated in

225 migrant populations to the UK, suggesting that arterial stiffness may be a key factor explaining

226 differences in cardiovascular outcomes beyond conventional cardiovascular risk factors [22].

227 However, the Helius study found that ethnic differences in PWV within the Dutch population were

228 predominately explained by conventional risk factors [23]. In the US, a large population-based

229 cohort study, the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study, has shown significant differences

230 between African-American and Caucasian-American groups including stiffer carotid arteries [24] and

231 higher cfPWV [25]. The relatively recent development of CAVI as a widely used measure of arterial

232 stiffness means that there are comparatively few in-depth studies using CAVI to assess these

233 differences. As CAVI is operator and blood pressure independent, this has the potential to offer an

234 easily-accessible tool for clinicians in assessing cardiovascular risk and thus understanding the

235 changes in CAVI that occur with age and cardiovascular risk in different cohorts could aid this

236 assessment.

237 Our study found higher levels of arterial stiffness in Japanese individuals at all age groups for both

238 sexes, with regression analyses suggesting these differences could not be explained by differences in

239 cardiovascular risk factors. This is in keeping with comparisons by Wohlfahrt et al, who compared CAVI data from a random sample of the Czech population with published Japanese data, but only up to the age of 65 years [18]. Although there were fewer participants in the oldest age group in our study, the addition of participants up to the age of 85y+ adds significantly to the existing knowledge along with the analysis of health and high risk groups. In contrast, a study comparing healthy Japanese and US adults found that there were similar rates of aging between the two counties, except for females, where Japanese females exhibited slower arterial stiffening [26]. However, this study only went up to the age of 69 years using carotid-femoral and brachial-ankle PWV and did not address the impact of cardiovascular risk factors. Our study suggests that there may be inherent differences in vascular structure and function between groups from different geographic regions, controlling for conventional cardiovascular risk factors, which may explain differences in cardiovascular outcomes, although whether these are environmental or genetic is yet to be determined.

In one study, family history of stroke but not ischaemic heart disease was shown to be associated with higher arterial stiffness in Japanese patients, independent of other risk factors [27]. Genetic influences on cardiovascular risk have been noted to differ between the two regions, for example ABO gene variants in lipid profiling were included in risk profiling for Japanese but not Caucasian groups [28]. Similarly, some polymorphisms have been linked to arterial stiffness in European American groups but not Japanese [29]. More studies are needed to pinpoint specific genetic differences between the populations if a genetic cause is suspected. As the changing cardiovascular profile of Japanese individuals continues, it is likely we will be able to assess whether increasing environmental similarity to European counterparts may be borne out in a more similar level of cardiovascular risk or whether remaining differences can be attributed to some of these purported genetic elements.

Changes in CAVI with age and cardiovascular risk factors:

CAVI increases with biological age, as has been shown in numerous populations, but the factors that influence the gradient of this relationship are not yet fully understood. For CAVI, comparisons have been presented between Japanese and Chinese populations [30], and published data is available from multiple trials in Japan [17] and Korea [31], showing the increase of CAVI with age and association with cardiovascular risk factors. In a Korean population, the correlation with age was stronger for females compared to males, which was echoed in our findings for the Japanese group, but the opposite to our European group which had a stronger correlation for males.

A study of Japanese participants demonstrated the influence of cardiovascular risk factors on CAVI [32], but this has not been previously established in a UK group. In studies of ethnic differences within the UK population, the impact of cardiovascular risk factors on arterial stiffness, measured through central pulse pressure, has been shown to be greater in individuals of South Asian origin compared to European and African Caribbean [33]. Our study showed that the slope of CAVI vs age was steeper for Europeans in the healthy group, suggesting that baseline changes in arterial stiffness with age are more pronounced in Europeans but that cardiovascular risk factors have a bigger effect in the Japanese group. While currently the mortality from cardiovascular disease is lower in Japan than Europe, with increasing levels of cardiovascular risk factors in Japan, these findings suggest such trends may change in the near future, as the influence of this increased risk on outcomes becomes evident. A CAVI of 8 or more has been proposed as a cut off for using CAVI in secondary prevention of cardiovascular events in an Asian population [34] and a similar value for a UK group should be determined to enable its use in clinical practice.

As there was a high prevalence of diabetes in the Japanese group, analysis was performed on just the diabetic participants to see if the difference in prevalence of diabetes was responsible for the differences between geographic groups. On binary logistic regression, average CAVI was a significant predictor of geographic region for both diabetic individuals and non-diabetic individuals with OR for Japanese vs European of 1.399 for diabetics (95% CI 1.142-1.715, p=0.001) and 1.362 for non-diabetics (95%CI 1.180-1.572, p<0.001).On linear regression, average CAVI amongst diabetics was significantly dependent on geographic region (p<0.001), age, sex and systolic BP. These results suggest that the differences in levels of diabetes between groups were not responsible for the overall results.

CAVI vs CAVIO for comparing ethnic groups:

CAVI's independence from blood pressure at the time of measurement has long been purported to be one of its main advantages when compared to pulse wave velocity. However, this has been the source of much debate in recent years, with work by Spronck et al [11] suggesting a new formula is required to calculate a CAVI value that is truly blood pressure independent, substituting diastolic blood pressure for a reference pressure. However, this was refuted by Shirai et al [12], commenting that the aforementioned Japanese studies had already demonstrated CAVI's independence from BP, suggesting that both the original and new formula are valid methods for calculating CAVI. Our study showed similar differences between geographic groups and correlations for both CAVI and CAVIO, thus the calculation of CAVIO did not change our results (Supplementary Material – Appendix 3). The ARIC study suggested that CAVI was less useful as a short term prognostic indicator compared to cfPWV, and found no difference between CAVI and CAVIO in predicting cardiovascular outcomes [35], but did not look directly at the use of different measures in comparing arterial aging between ethnic groups. The optimal tool for assessing arterial stiffness across geographic regions has yet to be determined, but the operator-independence of CAVI make it a useful tool for making direct comparisons, and our results suggest no advantage to CAVIO in this context.

CAVI and CAVI0 are based on stiffness index b and a wave equation derived from Newton's second law. The difference between CAVI and CAVI0 is that CAVI employs b over a range of diastolic to systolic pressures and CAVI0 employs b at diastolic pressure. If the length of the arterial pathway being measured is short enough, diastolic pressure would not significantly change. However, it is known that diastolic pressure decreases, and systolic pressure increases from the origin of the aorta to the peripheral arteries. Therefore, in case of the long arterial pathway, adoption of one point of diastolic pressure only becomes less accurate as a reference value representing the entire length of the pathway. In facts, The CAVI values of the Japanese hypertensive group were higher than those of healthy group in both men and women, but the CAVI0 values in young women of the hypertensive group was significantly lower than that of the corresponding healthy group [12].

The main component of CAVIO is composed of PWV²/diastolic pressure, so it is supposed that CAVIO is overly negatively influenced by diastolic pressure. This might be the reason for lower CAVIO with higher diastolic pressure and higher CAVIO with lower diastolic pressure.

Limitations

This was a cross-sectional study so we cannot draw conclusions about causality. Although we included a large sample size, there were relatively few participants with no cardiovascular risk factors, so our conclusions cannot be definitive regarding the impact of aging on arterial stiffness in purely healthy individuals. However, as the majority of participants were in older age groups, we do

not feel this limits the applicability of our findings, as this is an accurate representation of the variety of risk factors present in the aging population and thus pertinent in a real-world context. Due to the nature of international collaboration, the recruitment methods for each databank varied. However, strict definitions of cardiovascular risk factors were defined before the study and agreed by all participating institutions, and these were considered and adjusted for in all analyses. Equally, as CAVI is operator-independent and the VaSera machines were used by all participating institutions, there should be no confounding due to instrumentation bias. We chose to focus purely on CAVI in this study, as extensive evidence exists on comparing arterial stiffness across regions using PWV and CAVI's operator-independence makes it ideal for international collaboration in this manner. The high number of diabetic participants in the Japanese group may represent a confounding factor, however on subgroup analyses of diabetic groups, this did not significantly affect our results as discussed. Similarly, the differing definition and prevalence of BMI between the regions was determined based on international guidance for the regions in question to be representative of the clinical utility of these measures in the locality in question.

Conclusion

We found that arterial stiffness is higher in Japanese patient groups than Europeans, as measured by CAVI while controlling for cardiovascular risk factors. Europeans had greater increases in arterial stiffness with age in healthy individuals, particularly for males. However, the addition of cardiovascular risk factors had a greater impact on the Japanese group. Further studies looking deeper into the possible differences between these geographic areas may help to explain the results and the differential patterns of cardiovascular disease seen across regions. Future trials should address the association with clinical outcomes in long term prospective studies to assess prognostic value of measures of arterial stiffness in different geographically-determined patient groups.

Acknowledgements none

Source of Funding

- For the Japanese cohort no funding was provided for this study
- 355 For the UK cohort British Geriatrics Society and Dunhill Medical Trust
- 356 For the Italian cohort no funding was provided for this study

Conflict of interest/disclosure none

Novelty and Significance

What is new

- This is the first study to directly compare raw data on arterial stiffness between a European and
- Japanese group, showing higher levels of CAVI in the Japanese group and differences across sexes
- and levels of cardiovascular risk.

What is relevant

367 368 369	This offers insight into the different patterns of cardiovascular disease seen across these geographic regions and highlights the potential role of CAVI in demonstrating cardiovascular risk beyond traditional risk factors.
370	Summary
371 372	Arterial stiffness differs across geographic regions, as does the relationship between vascular compliance with age and cardiovascular risk factors.
373	
374	

375 References

- 376 [1] Quoted in Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine, vol. IV, 1928
- 377 [2] O'Rourke M, Arterial stiffness, systolic blood pressure, and logical treatment of arterial
- 378 hypertension. Hypertension 1990;15 :339–347
- 379 [3] Mattace-Raso; et al.Arterial Stiffness and Risk of Coronary Heart Disease and Stroke: The
- 380 Rotterdam Study. Circulation 2006;113: 657–663
- 381 [4] Sutton-Tyrrell K, Najjar SS, Boudreau RM et al. Elevated aortic pulse wave velocity, a marker of
- 382 arterial stiffness, predicts cardiovascular events in well-functioning older adults. Circulation
- 383 2005;111:3384–3390.
- 384 [5] Laurent S, Boutouyrie P, Asmar R et al. Aortic stiffness is an independent predictor of all-cause
- and cardiovascular mortality in hypertensive patients. Hypertension 2001;37:1236–1241.
- 386 [6] DeLoach SS, Townsend RR. Vascular stiffness: its measurement and significance for epidemiologic
- and outcome studies. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2008; 3:184-192.
- 388 [7] Boutouyrie P, Vermeersch SJ, et al. Determinants of pulse wave velocity in healthy people and in
- the presence of cardiovascular risk factors: 'establishing normal and reference values'. European
- 390 Heart Journal 2010;31;2338-2350
- [8] Shirai K, et al. Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) as a novel indicator of arterial stiffness: theory,
- evidence and perspectives. J Atheroscler Thromb 2011 18: 924-938.
- 393 [9] Sun C-K. Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) as an indicator of arterial stiffness. Integrated Blood
- 394 Pressure Control. 2013;6:27-38. doi:10.2147/IBPC.S34423
- 395 [10] Shirai K, Utino J, Otsuka K, Takata M (2006) A novel blood pressure-independent arterial wall
- 396 stiffness parameter; cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI). J Atheroscler Thromb 13: 101-107.
- 397 [11] Spronck B et al, Arterial stiffness index beta and cardio-ankle vascular index inherently depend
- on blood pressure but can be readily corrected. J Hypertens (Jan 2017) 35:98-104
- 399 [12] Shirai K, Suzuki K, Tsuda S, Shimizu K, Takata M, Yamamoto T, Maruyama M, Takahashi K.
- 400 Comparison of Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index (CAVI) and CAVI 0 in Large Healthy and Hypertensive
- 401 Populations. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2019;26(7):603-615. doi: 10.5551/jat.48314.
- 402 [13] Kotani K, Remaley AT (2013) Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index (CAVI) and its Potential Clinical
- 403 Implications for Cardiovascular Disease. Cardiol Pharmacol 2: 108. doi:10.4172/2329-6607.1000108
- 404 [14] Izuhara M, Shioji K, Kadota Y, Baba O, Takeuchi Y, Uegaito T, Mutsuo S, Matsuda M: Relationship
- of cardiovascular index to carotid and coronary arteriosclerosis. Circ J, 2008; 72: 1762-1767
- 406 [15] Namekata T, Suzuki K, Ishizuka N, Shirai K. Establishing baseline criteria of cardio-ankle vascular
- index as a new indicator of arteriosclerosis: a cross-sectional study. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2011;
- 408 11:51.
- 409 [16] Wild SH, Fischbacher C, Brock A, Griffiths C, Bhopal R. Mortality from all causes and circulatory
- disease by country of birth in England and Wales 2001-2003. J Public Health (Oxf). 2007;29(2):191-8.
- 411 [17] Faconti L, Nanino E, Mills CE, Cruickshank KJ. Do arterial stiffness and wave reflection underlie
- 412 cardiovascular risk in ethnic minorities? JRSM Cardiovasc Dis 2016; 5:2048004016661679.

- 413 [18] Wohlfahrt P, Cífková R, Movsisyan N, Kunzová Š, Lešovský J, Homolka M, et al. Reference values
- of cardio-ankle vascular index in a random sample of a white population. J Hypertens.
- 415 2017;35(11):2238-44.
- 416 [19] Roth GA, Fihn SD, Mokdad AH, Aekplakorn W, Hasegawa T, Lim SS. High total serum cholesterol,
- 417 medication coverage and therapeutic control: an analysis of national health examination survey data
- 418 from eight countries. Bull World Health Organ. 2011;89(2):92-101.
- 419 [20] World Health Organization, International Diabetes Federation. Definition and diagnosis of
- 420 diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia: report of a WHO/IDF consultation. Geneva,
- 421 Switzerland: World Health Organization,; 2006. Available from:
- 422 http://www.who.int/diabetes/publications/diagnosis diabetes2006/en/.
- 423 [22] Iso H. Lifestyle and cardiovascular disease in Japan. J Atheroscler Thromb 2011; 18:83-88
- 424 [23] Snijder MB, Stronks K, Agyemang C, Busschers WB, Peters RJ, van den Born BJ. Ethnic
- differences in arterial stiffness the Helius study. Int J Cardiol 2015; 191:28-33.
- 426 [24] Din-Dzietham R, Couper D, Evans G, Arnett DK, Jones DW. Arterial stiffness is greater in African
- 427 Americans than in whites: evidence from the Forsyth County, North Carolina, ARIC cohort. Am J
- 428 Hypertens. 2004;17(4):304-13.
- 429 [25] Meyer ML, Tanaka H, Palta P, Cheng S, Gouskova N, Aguilar D, et al. Correlates of Segmental
- 430 Pulse Wave Velocity in Older Adults: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. Am J
- 431 Hypertens. 2016;29(1):114-22.
- 432 [26] Tanaka H, Miyachi M, Murakami H, Maeda S, Sugawara J. Attenuated Age-Related Increases in
- 433 Arterial Stiffness in Japanese and American Women. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015;63(6):1170-4.
- 434 [27] Uemura H, Katsuura-Kamano S, Yamaguchi M, Nakamoto M, Hiyoshi M, Arisawa K. Family
- history of stroke is potentially associated with arterial stiffness in the Japanese population. Arch
- 436 Cardiovasc Dis. 2014 Dec;107(12):654-63. doi: 10.1016/j.acvd.2014.07.047. Epub 2014 Sep 16. PMID:
- 437 25241219
- 438 [28] Tada H, Kawashiri MA., Nomura A, Teramoto R, Hosomichi K, Nohara A, et al. Oligogenic familial
- 439 hypercholesterolemia, LDL cholesterol, and coronary artery disease. J. Clin. Lipidol. 2018;12:1436–
- 440 1444. doi: 10.1016/j.jacl.2018.08.006
- 441 [29] Yuan M, Ohishi M, Ito N, et al. (2006). Genetic influences of beta-adrenoceptor polymorphisms
- on arterial functional changes and cardiac remodeling in hypertensive patients. Hypertension
- 443 Research, 29(11), 875-881.
- 444 [30Wang H et al. Comparative study of cardio-ankle vascular index between Chinese and Japanese
- healthy subjects. Clin Exp Hypertens. 2014;36(8):596-601. doi: 10.3109/10641963.2014.897715
- 446 [31] Choi SY et al. Age associated increase in arterial stiffnesss measured according to the cardio-
- ankle vascular index without blood pressure changes in healthy adults. Journal of Atherosclerosis
- 448 and Thrombosis. Vol. 20 (2013) No. 12 p. 911-923
- [32] Namekata T, Shirai K, Tanabe N, Miyanishi K, Nakata M, Suzuki K, et al. Estimating the extent of
- 450 subclinical arteriosclerosis of persons with prediabetes and diabetes mellitus among Japanese urban
- workers and their families: a cross-sectional study. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2016; 16:52.

452 [33] Park CM, Tillin T, March K, Jones S, Whincup PH, Mayet J, et al. Adverse effect of diabetes and 453 hyperglycaemia on arterial stiffness in Europeans, South Asians, and African Caribbeans in the SABRE 454 study. J Hypertens. 2016;34(2):282-9. 455 [34] Saiki, A., Ohira, M., Yamaguchi, T., Nagayama, D., Shimizu, N., Shirai, K., & Tatsuno, I. New Horizons of Arterial Stiffness Developed Using Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index (CAVI). Journal of 456 457 atherosclerosis and thrombosis. 2020; 27(8), 732-748. https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.RV17043 458 [35] Kim ED, Ballew SH, Tanaka H, Heiss G, Coresh J, Matsushita K. Short-Term Prognostic Impact of 459 Arterial Stiffness in Older Adults Without Prevalent Cardiovascular Disease. Hypertension. 460 2019;74(6):1373-82. 461 462 **Figure Legends** 463 Figure 1 – Box plot of changes in average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) with age stratified by 464 number of cardiovascular risk factors 465 Figure 1a - Japanese group 466 Figure 1b - European group 467 Figure 2 – Scatter plots showing change in average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) with age for Europeans vs Japanese in healthy and high-risk groups 468 469 2a) Healthy group (0-2 cardiovascular risk factors) – male 470 2b) Healthy group (0-2 cardiovascular risk factors) – female 471 2c) High-risk group (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors) - male 472 2d) High-risk group (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors) – female 473 474 475 476

477 Table 1 – baseline demographics

Parameter	All (n=1538) Mean (±SD)	European group (n=494) Mean (±SD)	Japanese group (n=1044) Mean (±SD)	P value
Age (years)	63.89 (±11.36)	65.95 (±11.93)	62.92 (±10.95)	<0.001
Sex (%M:%F)	52:48	55:45	50:50	0.08
Hypertension (%)	66	72	64	0.001
Diabetes (%)	43	18	55	<0.001
Hyperlipidaemia (%)	56	44	61	<0.001
Current or former smoker (%)	28	43	21	<0.001
Body Mass Index	25.4 (±4.9)	28.7 (±5.4)	23.9 (±3.8)	<0.001
Brachial systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	137.2 (±20.9)	138.5 (±18.3)	136.7 (±22.0)	0.12
Brachial diastolic bloods pressure (mmHg)	80.2 (±11.5)	78.3 (±11.0)	81.1 (±11.6)	<0.001
Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI)	9.133 (±1.439)	8.936 (±1.468)	9.227 (±1.416)	<0.001
CAVI0	15.105 (±4.099)	14.709 (±4.048)	15.255 (±4.110)	0.024

480 Table 2 – Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) comparison between geographic regions across age

481 groups

482 Table 2a – female

Female		European		Japanese		
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N	Average CAVI ±SD	P value	
Under 55	28	7.12 ±1.0	97	7.94 ±1.1	0.000	
55-64	56	8.43 ±1.2	188	8.76 ±1.0	0.033	
65-74	99	8.84 ±1.0	165	9.47 ±1.2	0.000	
75-84	30	9.34 ±1.3	65	10.10 ±1.3	0.009	
85+	9	10.13 ±1.2	4	11.22 ±1.2	NS	

Table 2b – male

Male		European			
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N	Average CAVI ±SD	P value
Under 55	47	7.48 ±0.9	96	8.41 ±1.3	0.000
55-64	62	8.70 ±1.3	167	9.09 ±1.1	0.022
65-74	96	9.40 ±1.1	196	9.85 ±1.4	0.006
75-84	52	10.50 ±1.3	58	10.51 ±1.8	NS
85+	15	10.54 ±2.0	8	10.55 ±0.7	NS

Table 3 – Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) comparison between geographic regions according to level of cardiovascular risk

Table 3a – comparison of participants who had between 0-2 cardiovascular risk factors (healthy group, n=901)

		European			
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N Average CAVI ±SD		P value
Under 55	35	7.30 ±1.1	88	8.08 ±1.2	0.001
55-64	79	8.55 ±1.2	210	8.80 ±1.0	0.072
65-74	137	9.08 ±1.0	201	9.47 ±1.3	0.003
75-84	56	10.04 ±1.4	70	9.94 ±1.7	NS
85+	17	10.69 ±1.6	8	10.56 ±0.8	NS

Table 3b – comparison of participants who had between 3-5 cardiovascular risk factors (high-risk group, n= 637)

		European			
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N	Average CAVI ±SD	P value
Under 55	40	7.38 ±0.8	105	8.25 ±1.2	0.000
55-64	39	8.63 ±1.3	145	9.10 ±1.1	0.024
65-74	58	9.19 ±1.3	160	9.94 ±1.2	0.000
75-84	26	10.15 ±1.5	53	10.76 ±1.3	0.060
85+	7	9.65 ±2.0	4	11.21 ±1.0	NS

Table 4 – linear regression with average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) as the dependent factor

Variable	Unstandardised B	Standard	95%	95%	Significance
		Error	Confidence	Confidence	
			Interval	Interval	
			(Lower)	(Upper)	
Age*	.066	.003	0.060	0.071	.000*
Sex*	.398	.060	0.280	0.516	.000*
Hypertension	.092	.075	055	0.238	.220
Diabetes*	.479	.064	0.354	0.604	.000*
Hyperlipidaemia	.090	.060	-0.028	0.208	.136
Smoking	.087	.069	-0.047	0.221	.204
Body Mass Index*	036	.007	-0.051	-0.022	.000*
Average lying systolic	.011	.002	0.008	0.014	.000*
blood pressure*					
Geographic region *	.205	.081	0.047	0.364	.011*

^{*}statistically significant at the p<0.05 level

Figure 1 – Box plot of changes in average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) with age stratified by number of cardiovascular risk factors Figure 1a – Japanese group Figure 1b – European group Figure 2 – Scatter plots showing change in average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) with age for Europeans vs Japanese in healthy and high-risk groups 2a) Healthy group (0-2 cardiovascular risk factors) - male 2b) Healthy group (0-2 cardiovascular risk factors) – female 2c) High-risk group (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors) – male 2d) High-risk group (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors) – female

Supplementary Material

541

542

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

555

556

557

558

561

562

563

564

565

566567

570

571

572

573574

577

Appendix 1 – inclusion and exclusion criteria

- Brighton and Sussex CMV Vasc trial used an existing patient research cohort and acquired new participants via GPs.
- Inclusion criteria: 1) White British females and males, 2) Aged 60+.
 - Exclusion criteria: 1) immunodeficiency 2) organ transplantation, 3) use of immunosuppressive or immunomodulating drugs within the last year, 4) cancer or treatment within the previous 5 years, 5) insulin dependent diabetes mellitus 6) renal failure (estimated GFR < 20 ml/min), 7) severe liver disease 8) endocrine disorders, 9) autoimmune disease 10) dementia/mental incompetence, 11) alcohol or drug abuse, 12) Inability to lie flat (required for PWV). Participants with acute infections or very recent infections had appointments rescheduled for at least 4 weeks after full recovery.
- Brighton and Sussex Arterial Stiffness In lacunar Stroke and TIA (ASIST) trial recruited participants from TIA clinic or inpatients.
 - Inclusion criteria: 1) Age 40 years and above (to exclude atypical or rare causes of TIA/stroke). 2) Confirmed diagnosis of TIA or lacunar stroke
 - Exclusion criteria: 1) Malignancy, with current active treatment, 2) Patient unable to give informed consent
- King's College diabetes trial recruited participants from Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and surrounding between 2013-2015
 - Inclusion criteria: 1) age 18-80 years, 2) previously clinically diagnosed T2DM (n=96) or at risk of T2DM (any of body mass index (BMI) ≥27 kg/m2, a positive family history or glucose intolerance 2 hours after 75 g challenge) (n=58)
 - Exclusion criteria: 1) chronic illness of any type likely to interfere with participation, 2) previous adverse reaction to either drug, known allergy to beetroot, 3) impaired renal function (eGFR < 45 mL min-1), 4) HbA1c >11 % = 97 mM/M, 5) pregnant or breast feeding and 6) atrial fibrillation.
- Toho University, Sakura Medical Center (Chiba, Japan) recruited outpatients with metabolic disorders underwent CAVI measurement.
 - Inclusion criteria: 1) Japanese females and males, 2) Aged 15-92 years, 3) Previously clinically diagnosed T2DM, hypertension and/or hyperlipidaemia.
 - Exclusion criteria: 1) Patients with low ankle brachial index (< 0.9), 2) past history of cerebro-cardiovascular events, 3) atrial fibrillation, 4) malignancy, with current active treatment, and 5) Patients unable to give informed consent.
- 575 Cardio-vascular disease and cancer screening program organized by the Japan Health Promotion 576 Foundation.
 - Inclusion criteria: 1) Japanese females and males, 2) Aged 16-91 years.

•	Exclusion criteria: 1) Subjects with low ankle brachial index (< 0.9), 2) taking any medication
	and had no history of heart disease, hypertension, stroke, diabetes, nephritis or gout, 3)
	malignancy, with current active treatment, and 4) Patients unable to give informed consent.

Appendix 2 – additional regression tables

2a) Linear regression with average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) as the dependent factor for European group

Variable	Unstandardised B	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval (Lower)	95% Confidence Interval (Upper)	Significance
Age*	.198	.014	.170	.226	.000*
Sex*	.863	.303	.267	1.459	.005*
Hypertension*	1.305	.397	.523	2.086	.001*
Diabetes*	1.387	.401	.599	2.175	.001*
Hyperlipidaemia	.052	.311	561	.664	.868
Smoking	217	.301	808	.374	.470
Body Mass Index*	191	.033	256	125	.000*
Average lying systolic blood pressure*	.043	.009	.024	.061	.000*

^{*}statistically significant at the p<0.05 level

2b) Linear regression with average CAVI as the dependent factor for Japanese group

Variable	Unstandardised B	Standard	95%	95%	Significance
		Error	Confidence	Confidence	
			Interval	Interval	
			(Lower)	(Upper)	
Age*	.193	.010	.174	.212	.000*
Sex*	.728	.212	.313	1.144	.001*
Hypertension	065	.252	559	.429	.796
Diabetes*	1.711	.204	1.311	2.110	.000*
Hyperlipidaemia	.105	.208	304	.514	.615
Smoking	.183	.258	323	.689	.477
Body Mass Index	037	.028	091	.018	.186
Average lying systolic	.045	.005	.034	.055	.000*
blood pressure*					

^{*}statistically significant at the p<0.05 level

2c) Binary logistic regression with geographic region as the dependent factor

Variable	Exp (B)	95%	95%	Significance
		Confidence	Confidence	
		Interval	Interval	
		(Lower)	(Upper)	
Age*	.935	.919	.951	.000*
Sex	1.073	.785	1.467	.659
Hypertension*	4.162	2.989	5.794	.000*
Diabetes	1.043	.712	1.526	.829
Hyperlipidaemia	.137	.096	.195	.000*
Smoking	.363	.267	.494	.000*
Body Mass Index*	.726	.697	.757	.000*

Average CAVI*	1.154	1.013	1.315	.031*
Average lying systolic	1.000	.991	1.008	.935
blood pressure				

Appendix 3 – CAVIO comparison between geographic regions across age groups

3a) Female

Female	European		Japanese		
Age group	N	Average CAVI0 ±SD	N	Average CAVIO ±SD	P value
Under 55	14	10.0 (1.8)	97	11.8 (2.4)	0.009
55-64	44	13.1 (2.8)	188	13.9 (2.8)	0.078
65-74	79	14.5 (2.8)	165	16.0 (3.7)	0.001
75-84	26	16.4 (3.9)	65	18.6 (4.2)	0.025
85+	7	19.5 (4.4)	4	23.2 (4.3)	NS

3b) Male

Male	European		Japanese		
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N	Average CAVI ±SD	P value
Under 55	39	10.7 (1.8)	96	12.8 (3.1)	<0.001
55-64	56	13.4 (3.4)	167	14.4 (3.0)	0.054
65-74	84	15.3 (3.2)	196	16.9 (4.2)	0.003
75-84	37	19.4 (3.7)	58	19.3 (4.9)	NS
85+	12	21.2 (3.6)	8	19.6 (3.0)	NS

3c) Comparison of correlation with age between CAVI and CAVIO in subgroups corrected for cardiovascular risk factors

Subgroup	r for CAVI	p for CAVI	r for CAVIO	p for CAVIO
European males	0.701	<0.001	0.710	<0.001
Japanese males	0.511	<0.001	0.523	<0.001
European females	0.594	<0.001	0.601	<0.001
Japanese females	0.542	<0.001	0.548	<0.001

3d) Comparison of correlation with cardiovascular risk factors between CAVI and CAVIO in subgroups corrected for sex

Subgroup	r for CAVI	p for CAVI	r for CAVIO	p for CAVIO
European group	0.131	NS	-0.031	NS
Japanese group	0.111	<0.001	0.141	<0.001

3e) Comparison of correlation with age between CAVI and CAVIO in subgroups based on cardiovascular risk factors – healthy group =0-2 risk factors, high-risk group = 3-5 risk factors

Subgroup	r for CAVI	p for CAVI	r for CAVIO	p for CAVIO
European healthy	0.644	<0.001	0.635	<0.001
group				
Japanese healthy	0.472	<0.001	0.494	<0.001
group				
European high-risk	0.657	<0.001	0.654	<0.001
group				
Japanese high-risk	0.619	<0.001	0.611	<0.001
group				