

# *An insight into the mechanisms underpinning the anti-browning effect of Codium tomentosum on fresh-cut apples*

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Augusto, Ana, Simões, Tiago, Novais, Sara C., Mitchell, Geoffrey, Lemos, Marco F. L., Niranjana, Keshavan and Silva, Susana F. J. (2022) An insight into the mechanisms underpinning the anti-browning effect of *Codium tomentosum* on fresh-cut apples. *Food Research International*, 161. 111884. ISSN 0963-9969 doi:  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2022.111884> Available at  
<https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/106977/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2022.111884>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **An insight into the mechanisms underpinning the anti-browning effect of *Codium***  
2 ***tomentosum* on fresh-cut apples**

3 Ana Augusto<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Tiago Simões<sup>1</sup>, Sara C. Novais<sup>1</sup>, Geoffrey Mitchell<sup>3</sup>, Marco F.L. Lemos<sup>1</sup>,  
4 Keshavan Niranjana<sup>2</sup>, Susana F.J. Silva<sup>1</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup> MARE—Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, ESTM, Politécnico de Leiria, 2520-630 Peniche,  
6 Portugal

7 <sup>2</sup> Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, RG6 6AH, Reading,  
8 United Kingdom

9 <sup>3</sup> Centre for Rapid and Sustainable Product Development (CDRsp), Politécnico de Leiria, 2430-028 Marinha  
10 Grande, Portugal

11 \*Corresponding author: E-mail address: ana.l.augusto@ipleiria.pt (A. Augusto)

12 **Abstract**

13 This work focuses on understanding the action of a novel seaweed extract with anti-browning  
14 functionality in fresh-cut apples. Organic fresh-cut apples were coated by immersion in an  
15 aqueous *Codium tomentosum* seaweed extract (0.5 % w/v), packaged under ambient  
16 atmospheric conditions in plastic bags, and stored at 4 °C for 15 days. Browning-related  
17 enzymatic activities, as well as targeted gene expression related to superficial browning, were  
18 monitored immediately after coating and followed at five-day intervals, until a final storage  
19 period of 15 days. Gene expression was particularly affected one hour after coating application  
20 (day 0), with no expression registered for peroxidase (*mdPOD*) and phenylalanine ammonia-  
21 lyase (*mdPAL*) genes in the coated samples. A reduction in polyphenol oxidase expression levels  
22 was also observed. After 15 days of storage, the coated samples developed lower browning  
23 levels and presented distinctly lower activities of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase - the  
24 oxidative enzymes predominantly involved in enzymatic browning. The observed post-coating  
25 suppression of *mdPAL* and *mdPOD* expression, and reduction in *mdPPO* expression, suggest that

26 the seaweed *C. tomentosum* extract delays the activation of these genes, and decreases  
27 enzymatic activity, which in turn accounts for the coating's anti-browning effect.

28

29 **Keywords:** Fruit, post-harvest, seaweed, coating, RNA extraction, gene expression, peroxidase  
30 activity.

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

## 47 1. Introduction

48 Apple (*Malus domestica*) is a fruit with a high economic value. Recently data suggests that 17  
49 million tonnes of apples were produced in Europe in 2019 and the cost per tonne was 664 USD  
50 dollars (FAO, 2021). Apples are generally consumed as a whole fruit, but more recently there  
51 has been a rapid growth in the consumption of ready-to-eat fresh cut apples mainly due to the  
52 convenience it offers. Fresh-cut apples are made by washing the whole fruit followed by cutting,  
53 treating with a dip-solution and packaging (Tarancón et al., 2021; Yousuf et al., 2019). Fresh-cut  
54 processing demands a high standard of preparation and handling in order to prevent rapid  
55 deterioration due to microbial spoilage and browning (Yousuf et al., 2019).

56 In the production of fresh-cut apples, the mechanical damage induced by peeling and slicing  
57 operations triggers the onset of surface browning, which is a mechanistically complex process  
58 (Chen et al., 2021). Surface browning results in a loss of both nutritional and organoleptic  
59 quality, leading to a decline in consumer acceptance and commercial value (Rasouli & Koushesh  
60 Saba, 2018). Browning can be caused by enzymatic activity as well as by non-enzymatic  
61 reactions. The Maillard reaction, which is non enzymatic in nature and depends on the apple  
62 sugar content and ascorbic acid concentrations (Paravisini & Peterson, 2018), can potentially  
63 cause browning of fresh-cut apples but its contribution to browning in the case of fresh-cut  
64 apples is arguable (Paravisini & Peterson, 2018). On the other hand, enzymatic mechanisms  
65 which cause superficial browning in fresh-cut apples have been widely studied and reported in  
66 literature (Chen et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2020; Toivonen & Brummell, 2008). In whole apples,  
67 cellular organelles are compartmentalized, and metabolic pathways occur without external  
68 interference. However, cutting disrupts cellular membranes, causing enzymes like polyphenol  
69 oxidase (PPO, EC 1.10.3.1) and peroxidase (POD, EC 1.11.1.7) to come into contact with  
70 substrates thereby triggering browning (Toivonen & Brummell, 2008). More specifically, these  
71 enzymes catalyse the oxidation of phenolic compounds into quinones, which in turn undergo

72 condensation reactions which result in browning (Chen et al., 2021; Oliveira et al., 2021; Rasouli  
73 & Koushesh Saba, 2018; Toivonen & Brummell, 2008). The presence and concentration of  
74 phenolic compounds ranging between 60 and 220 mg per 100 g of apple are considered to be  
75 key factors for pro-oxidative enzyme activity (Zhu et al., 2020). It is therefore necessary to  
76 develop technological solutions to inhibit such enzyme mediated browning processes in fresh-  
77 cut apples.

78 Edible coatings formulated with active ingredients have been widely used as agents to prevent  
79 the development of enzyme-mediated browning (Maringgal et al., 2020). Active substances in  
80 the coatings may be chemically synthetic, like ascorbic acid (E300), calcium ascorbate (E302),  
81 and hydrogen sulphide or obtained from sources of natural origin, such as *Aloe vera*, lemongrass  
82 oil or edible seaweed extracts (Augusto et al., 2016; Carocho et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2021;  
83 Maringgal et al., 2020). Augusto et al. (2016) and Augusto et al. (2022a) reported on the efficacy  
84 of a green edible seaweed extract - *Codium tomentosum* - to reduce browning and microbial  
85 spoilage in fresh-cut apples and pears, without influencing the organoleptic quality of the fresh-  
86 cut products as evidenced through sensory triangular tests (Augusto et al., 2022b). The activities  
87 of PPO and POD in fresh-cut apples were assessed over a storage period of 20 days and a  
88 reduction of 36 % and 87 %, respectively, was observed (Augusto et al., 2016). In the case of  
89 fresh-cut pears, the samples treated with the seaweed extract solution were found to exhibit  
90 significantly lower rates of superficial browning than samples coated with an ascorbic acid-based  
91 synthetic formulation widely used in industry (Augusto et al., 2022a). Even though the efficacy  
92 of seaweed extracts have been conclusively established, the mechanism of their action is not  
93 clearly understood. This work aims to assess the possible mechanism underpinning anti-  
94 browning functionality of this extract, exploring the relation between gene expression  
95 regulation, oxidative-enzymes activities, and browning development in fresh-cut apples.

96

97        **2. Materials and Methods**

98        *2.1. Seaweed extraction and sample coating*

99        An aqueous seaweed extract was produced as the anti-browning coating for fresh-cut apples.  
100        Dry *Codium tomentosum* (particle size with an average of 1.5 mm) was extracted in a 1:15 ratio  
101        of biomass: deionized water. The extraction was conducted away from light for 3 hours under  
102        constant stirring (1500 rpm) at room temperature. Subsequently, the mixture was filtered,  
103        centrifuged and freeze-dried as described in Augusto et al. (2016.) The extract obtained was  
104        kept away from light until use.

105        Organically produced apples (*Malus domestica*, var. 'Fuji') without any post-harvest treatment  
106        were supplied from a Portuguese producer - Campotec S.A. Prior to the coating application, fruit  
107        with similar weight and maturation stage (total soluble solid content of  $15.78 \pm 0.40$  %; and pH  
108        of  $4.08 \pm 0.14$ ), were firstly disinfected by a 2-min immersion in a solution containing 2% of  
109        sodium hypochlorite, and then washed with deionized water and manually sliced. Each apple  
110        was cut into 6 to 8 slices, each slice weighing 16 g on average. In a preliminary study, four coating  
111        concentrations were evaluated: 0.25 %, 0.50 %, 0.75 % and 1 % (w/v). Following a storage for 9  
112        days at 4°C, the concentration of 0.50 % (w/v) gave higher protection against browning  
113        development. Thus, in the present study, the slices were coated by immersion for 5 min in a  
114        solution containing 0.5 % (w/v) of the previously prepared seaweed extract dissolved in  
115        deionized water. The immersion time of 5 mins is consistent with the times followed in industrial  
116        practice. For comparison, a control treatment, comprising only immersion in deionized water,  
117        was adopted. After coating, the excess coating solution was drained, and samples were  
118        packaged in plastic bags (low density polyethylene – LDPE zip-seal bags) and sealed to avoid  
119        external contamination and to restrict air circulation during the storage period. Each sliced apple  
120        was considered to be a replicate, giving in a total 6 replicates per treatment. To promote the  
121        natural development of browning, treated and control samples were stored for 15 d at  $4 \pm 2$  °C,

122 with analyses being conducted every 5 days (i.e. on 0, 5, 10, and 15 days). The time taken to  
123 slice, coat, package and store apples was around one hour. The aforementioned “0 day”  
124 corresponded to the time point just before the slices went into storage. Physicochemical  
125 parameters were evaluated in samples every 5 days. The analyses of browning compound  
126 absorbance, enzyme activities, and gene expression were undertaken on samples which were  
127 removed from storage at the stipulated time and frozen at -80 °C.

## 128 *2.2. Browning compounds absorbance*

129 Browning compounds absorbance was determined according to Paravisini and Peterson (2018)  
130 and Shao et al. (2018). In brief, 2 g of frozen apple slice was homogenised with 14 mL of  
131 deionized water (×10/25 Homogenizer, Ystral, Germany), followed by a 1-hour incubation period  
132 at room temperature. The mixture was centrifuged at 1000 × g for 5 min, after which 5 mL was  
133 collected from the supernatant, mixed with 96 % ethanol and re-centrifuged. The absorbance of  
134 the supernatant was measured at 440 nm in a microplate reader (4 wells per sample) (Epoch2  
135 Microplate reader, Biotek, USA). Results are expressed in absorbance units. Six control slices and  
136 six coated samples were withdrawn for analysis at each point of storage time (n = 6).

## 137 *2.3. Physicochemical analysis*

138 To assess the treatment effects on fresh samples, the sample colour was measured with a focus  
139 on the browning index development (BI) and colour changes ( $\Delta E^*$ ). Since colour measurement  
140 is non-destructive, the same samples were followed over the storage period. In order to avoid  
141 randomness in superficial colour development, 15 specific points were selected on the surface  
142 and the colour of these samples were followed during storage (Supplementary data, Figure S1).  
143 The average value of colour measured at each of the 15 points was considered for calculations.  
144 Browning Index (BI) and  $\Delta E^*$  were evaluated as described by Lante et al. (2016) and Augusto et  
145 al. (2016), respectively. The  $\Delta E^*$  was calculated by the difference between an individual sample  
146 and the gold standard defined as the colour parameters of a sliced apple analysed immediately



147 after cutting ( $L^* = 79.81$ ;  $a^* = 0.90$ ;  $b^* = 24.46$ ), and results are expressed as colour changes  
148 ( $\Delta E^*$ ). Browning index results are expressed as browning index. For each storage condition, six  
149 different apple slices were analysed ( $n = 6$ ).

150

## 151 2.4. Gene expression

### 152 2.4.1. RNA Extraction, purification, and quality assessment

153 Total RNA was isolated from each apple replicate using an adapted methodology from a CTAB-  
154 based protocol, optimizing for maximum yields concomitant with higher qualities of the  
155 extracted RNA (Gambino et al., 2008).

156 Firstly, 100 mg of frozen sample was ground to a powder with liquid nitrogen and transferred  
157 immediately to a 2 mL microtube with 650 mg of 1.4 mm zirconium oxide beads. While avoiding  
158 sample from thawing, a volume of 650  $\mu$ L extraction buffer containing 2 % of PVP-40, 0.05 % of  
159 spermidine, 2 %  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, and 1 % IGEPAL<sup>®</sup> CA-630 (NP-40) in cetyltrimethylammonium  
160 bromide extraction buffer (CTAB) was added, followed by homogenization in a bead beater using  
161 2 cycles of 45 s at 6200 rpm with an interval of 5 s between cycles (Precellys Evolution, Bertin,  
162 France). To promote protein degradation, 36  $\mu$ L of 20 g L<sup>-1</sup> of proteinase K was immediately  
163 added, and the mixture was gently stirred by inversion, followed by a 10-min incubation at 70  
164 °C and 1800 rpm (Thermomixer Comfort, Eppendorf, Germany). Afterwards, a centrifugation  
165 step was performed for 5 min at 13000  $\times$  g (4 °C). The supernatant was then collected and mixed  
166 with 530  $\mu$ L of the mixture chloroform/isoamyl alcohol 24:1 (v/v) and stirred by inversion. To  
167 promote phase separation, a new centrifugation step was performed at 12000  $\times$  g for 15 min (4  
168 °C). The aqueous phase was then transferred, and the RNA was precipitated with 100 %  
169 isopropyl alcohol (proportion of 1:1 to the aqueous phase) for 20 min at room temperature,  
170 followed by a second centrifugation step. The resulting pellet was washed twice with 600  $\mu$ L of

171 75 % ethanol, stirred by inversion and centrifuged for 5 min. After the complete removal of  
172 ethanol, the RNA was re-suspended in 10  $\mu$ L of DNase/ RNase-free water.

173 Next, and according to the manufacturer's instructions, pooled RNA samples were purified using  
174 the RNA Clean & Concentrator™-5 Kit from Zymo Research, including the DNase treatment to  
175 remove traces of gDNA. In the final step, RNA was eluted with 15  $\mu$ L of DNase/ RNase-free  
176 water. Total RNA concentration, as well as DNA concentration for possible gDNA contamination,  
177 were determined with a fluorimeter, following the manufacturer instructions (Qubit® 2.0  
178 Fluorometer, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). Contamination with protein, polysaccharides, and  
179 other RNA contaminants was verified using a micro-spectrophotometer Nanodrop 2000  
180 (Thermo Scientific, USA) by measuring the absorbance at 230 nm, 260 nm, and 280 nm and  
181 evaluating their ratios. Total RNA integrity was assessed after electrophoresis on a 1 % (w/v)  
182 agarose gel. Due to the still low RNA extraction yields obtained from the maximum biomass  
183 possible for each reaction (100 mg), five extractions of each apple replicate sample were  
184 performed and pooled, giving a final RNA amount of 666 ng in average for each pooled sample.

#### 185 2.4.2. cDNA synthesis and qPCR amplification

186 iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) was used for the first-strand cDNA synthesis, following the  
187 manufacturer's instructions. To this end, 150 ng of total RNA was reverse-transcribed in a total  
188 volume of 20  $\mu$ L. Samples without reverse transcriptase were also amplified to assess possible  
189 interference of gDNA contamination on the RT-PCR amplification.

190 Next, to evaluate the effect of the seaweed extract on gene expression of browning-related  
191 enzymes (*mdPPO*, *mdPOD*, *mdPAL*), anti-oxidative enzymes (*mdSOD*, *mdCAT*, *mdDHAR*), and cell-  
192 wall related enzymes (*mdPME*, *md $\alpha$ -Af*), the expression of those eight target genes and a  
193 reference/housekeeping gene (*mdH1*) was quantified. Oligo Explorer software (version 1.1.2,  
194 Gene Link™) was used to design primer sequences based on the available gene sequences in NCBI  
195 for this species (Table 1). Primer efficiency (E) was calculated according to the equation:  $E = (10^{-\Delta C_t})$

196  $10^{1/(\text{slope}) - 1} \times 100$ , where the slope is obtained from the standard curve of sample serial dilution.

197 The specificity of each primer set in producing a single and specific amplification product was  
198 assessed through melting curves analysis. To reject possible amplification resulting from gDNA  
199 contamination, as well as primer dimers formation, different controls were performed: replicate  
200 samples without the reverse transcriptase addition (-RT controls) and non-template control  
201 replicates (NTC) (Taylor et al., 2019). For the qPCR amplification reactions, 2  $\mu\text{L}$  of DNA template,  
202 2  $\mu\text{L}$  of each respective forward and reverse primer, 4  $\mu\text{L}$  of DNase/RNase free water and 10  $\mu\text{L}$   
203 of iTAQ™ Universal SYBR® Green Supermix were added (final volume of 20  $\mu\text{L}$ ). The thermal  
204 cycling protocol comprised a first step of 30 s at 95 °C, followed by 60 cycles of a combined  
205 denaturation (5 s at 95 °C) and annealing (30 s at 60 °C) steps. The presence of the desired  
206 amplicon was verified by the melt curves which consisted in the measurement of fluorescence in  
207 a range from 65 to 95 °C in each increase of 0.5 °C for 5 s. Amplification reactions were performed  
208 in triplicates for all samples, using 96-well plates (Biorad, Multiplate® PCR Plates™), on a  
209 thermocycling CFX Connect™ Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad). The relative expression ( $\Delta\Delta\text{CT}$ )  
210 of the target genes was normalized by the expression of the reference gene (*mdH1*) (Storch et  
211 al., 2015), using the software CFX Connect™ Real-Time System (Biorad, USA) and following an  
212 adaptation of the equation developed by Pfaffl (2007) as:  $\Delta\Delta\text{CT} = [ \text{TG} E^{(\text{CT}_{\text{min TG}} - \text{CT}_{\text{value TG}})} / \text{RG} E^{(\text{CT}_{\text{min RG}} - \text{CT}_{\text{value RG}})}$   
213  $]$ , where E is the efficiency of each target (TG) and reference gene (RG). The  
214 results are expressed as relative expression. Despite samples were kept in storage conditions for  
215 15 days, gene expression analysis was performed only on 0, 5 and 10 days, since the molecular  
216 effects that lead to the enzymatic alterations and visual effects at 15 days are expected to occur  
217 sooner in time.

218

219

220

221 *2.5. Enzymatic activities*

222 The activity of four enzymes - polyphenol oxidase (PPO), peroxidase (POD), pectin  
223 methylesterase (PME), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) was determined, and frozen samples  
224 from coated and control groups were processed as described below.

225 To evaluate the efficacy of the seaweed extract to prevent browning development in coated and  
226 control apple slices, enzymatic activities were evaluated, namely polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and  
227 peroxidase (POD) - two enzymes which the extract appears to influence (Augusto et al., 2016),  
228 and be mainly responsible for the enzymatic browning in fresh-cut apples (Toivonen &  
229 Brummell, 2008). The evaluation of PPO and POD activities followed the procedure described in  
230 Augusto et al. (2022a). Briefly, frozen samples were homogenised in 50 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> sodium  
231 phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) (50 g L<sup>-1</sup>) and the supernatant  
232 collected for the enzyme's determination and protein quantification. For POD determination,  
233 the reaction was followed at 470 nm and catalysed by mixing the enzymatic extract with a  
234 solution containing 1 % of guaiacol and 0.3 % of hydrogen peroxide in 0.05 mol L<sup>-1</sup> of sodium  
235 phosphate buffer (pH 6.5). On the other hand, a solution of 20 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> catechol in 5 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>  
236 sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7) was used as substrate mixture for the determination of PPO,  
237 and the reaction absorbance read at 400 nm. The enzymatic activities were expressed as U per  
238 kilogram total soluble protein, U kg<sup>-1</sup>. Protein was quantified following the Bradford  
239 methodology (Bradford, 1976).

240 The activity of the cell-wall related enzyme pectin methylesterase (PME) was measured  
241 following the methodology described by Augusto et al. (2022a), and results were expressed as  
242 U per kilogram protein, U kg<sup>-1</sup>. Before PME determination, frozen apple slices were used for a  
243 second extraction using an extraction buffer containing 1.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup> of NaCl and 2.5 w/v of PVP  
244 (pH 7.5) as described by Augusto et al. (2022a). The supernatant collected for the enzyme  
245 determination and protein quantification. The enzymatic reaction was measured

246 spectrophotometrically at 610 nm, by mixing the enzyme extract with a substrate solution with  
247 0.01 % of bromothymol blue and 5 g L<sup>-1</sup> citrus pectin in 0.003 mol L<sup>-1</sup> sodium phosphate buffer  
248 (pH 7.5).

249 The antioxidant enzyme superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was also evaluated. A third  
250 enzymatic extraction was carried out for SOD determination. An adapted protocol from Collazo  
251 et al. (2018), Li et al. (2019), and Wei et al. (2019) was followed. Five g of frozen apple slice was  
252 homogenised with 10 mL of chilled 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 20  
253 g L<sup>-1</sup> of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), 2 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> dithiothreitol (DTT), and 0.1 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>  
254 ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA). To remove larger particles, the mixture was filtrated  
255 through a cheesecloth, followed by a 30 min centrifugation at 14000 × g (4 °C), obtaining a clear  
256 supernatant ready to be used for SOD determination. A volume of 50 µL of enzyme extract was  
257 mixed with 150 µL of a subtract mixture composed of 50 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> sodium phosphate buffer (pH  
258 7.8) with 13 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> methionine, 75 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> NBT (nitrotetrazolium blue chloride), 10 µmol L<sup>-1</sup>  
259 EDTA and 2 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> riboflavin. The plate was incubated under fluorescent light for 10 min, and  
260 absorbance was read at 560 nm, before and after incubation. At the same time, and to discard  
261 possible interferences on the final absorbance determination, an identical plate was incubated  
262 in the dark (blank). SOD specific activity was expressed as U kg<sup>-1</sup> of fresh weigh, U kg<sup>-1</sup>, where  
263 one unit (U) of the enzyme activity was defined as the photoreduction inhibition of NBT by 50  
264 %.

265 All enzymatic activities were performed in six biological replicates for each storage condition (n  
266 = 6), in triplicates, using an Epoch2 Microplate reader (Biotek, USA). In all the enzymatic  
267 determinations, a control assay was performed using the homogenization buffer instead of the  
268 enzymatic extract.

269

270

271 *2.6. Statistical analysis*

272 To assess the seaweed extract effects on the development of superficial browning and on gene  
273 expression of the sliced apples, generalized linear models (GLMs) with logarithm link functions  
274 were used. Based on the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) and likelihood ratio tests (LRT), the  
275 best fitting models were chosen. For pairwise post hoc comparisons, the least significant  
276 difference (LSD) was run to test for individual differences between the factor levels. GLMs were  
277 performed in IBM SPSS Statistics version 27. CANOCO version 4.5 package was used for the  
278 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) design.

279 **3. Results and Discussion**

280 In fresh-cut apple processing, as an immediate response to the cutting process, the antioxidant  
281 system is activated by increasing the relevant enzyme activities like superoxide dismutase (SOD)  
282 and catalase (CAT). Consequently, there is an increase in the activity of browning-related  
283 enzymes like POD and PPO, which act on the phenolic substrates that have leaked from cell  
284 vacuole to result in superficial browning (Chen et al., 2021). Changes on the visual appearance  
285 of fresh-cut apples are mainly driven by the variation in enzyme activities that are preceded by  
286 metabolic responses occurring at a cellular level which regulate gene expression and protein  
287 levels (Lemos, 2021; Simões et al., 2019). The results here discussed are presented respecting  
288 the sequential events related to browning development (gene expression proceeded by the  
289 functional activity). These sequential events were then related between them and are also here  
290 discussed.

291 *3.1. Colour development*

292 The visual colour changes and reduction in browning are decisive factors establishing the  
293 efficacy of the seaweed extract. Browning development in fresh-cut apples can be determined  
294 by different methodologies, including spectrometric techniques and colour measurements.

295 In this study, the formation of browning compounds was determined as a function of storage  
296 time and the results, expressed as browning absorbance, browning index and colour ( $\Delta E$ ), can  
297 be seen in Figure 1 A-C. In general, in browning absorbance results (Figure 1A) comparing the  
298 data on days 0 and 15 there was no differences between the seaweed coated and the control  
299 group. The absorbance seems to decrease on day 5 but increase after 10 days, to reach similar  
300 values as day 0. In the case of the seaweed extract coated group, an increase in absorbance  
301 was detected between days 0,10 and 15 days. Despite the observed increase in browning  
302 absorbance, the values for seaweed coated samples were lower than those for the control  
303 samples. These results clearly establish the efficacy of the seaweed extract coating on fresh-cut  
304 apples to inhibit browning, which has been associated with the presence of polysaccharides in  
305 the extract composition, mainly sulphated polysaccharides, which are already known to be  
306 present in green seaweeds, including *Codium* species (Fawzy, 2020). It is believed that these  
307 polysaccharides protect the cell wall membrane against external damages inflicted by the  
308 cutting process (Augusto et al., 2018; Augusto et al., 2022a). Preliminary studies based on FTIR  
309 analysis suggest amide, methyl, and sulphate as the main functional groups present in the  
310 seaweed extract. Additionally, FITR analysis also points to the presence of high carbohydrate  
311 content. A more detailed study, including chromatographic and spectroscopic techniques, is  
312 necessary to corroborate these findings and to better describe the extracts composition, which  
313 is on-going.

314 The results of colorimetric measurements in terms of browning index (BI) and total colour  
315 differences ( $\Delta E$ ) are presented in Figure 1 B-C. Lower browning index values were observed in  
316 samples coated with the seaweed extract, compared to control samples (Figure 1 B). As with the  
317 results of absorbance at 440 nm (Figure 1 A), the data on browning index also confirm the  
318 efficacy of seaweed coating treatment. The colour parameter  $\Delta E$  increased between day 0 and  
319 15 of storage, although the increase was much less pronounced in samples coated with the  
320 seaweed extract ( $\Delta E_{15}$  control =  $9.75 \pm 4.29$ ; seaweed extract =  $6.22 \pm 2.88$ ). These changes are

321 consistent with the results of browning index (Figure 1B) and browning compounds absorbance  
322 (Figure 1A) since the development of browning colour has a strong bearing on the final colour  
323 of the fresh-cut apples. The differences between coated and uncoated samples are more  
324 pronounced on day 10 of storage, where control samples presented higher values of  $\Delta E$  ( $\Delta E_{10} =$   
325  $8.77 \pm 1.15$ ) than samples coated with the seaweed extract ( $\Delta E_{10} = 4.93 \pm 1.15$ ). Similar results  
326 were obtained by Augusto et al. (2016) in fresh-cut apple slices and puree treated with a solution  
327 of *C. tomentosum* extract. The same authors also observed a reduction in the development of  
328 superficial browning in fresh-cut pears treated with a coating solution containing 0.5 % w/v of  
329 *C. tomentosum* extract (Augusto et al., 2022a). In both studies, the mechanisms underlying the  
330 reduced browning in apple and pear coated with the seaweed extract were unknown, thus, the  
331 present study goes beyond the anti-browning effect of this seaweed-based coating, trying to  
332 understand the mechanism of action behind these effects.

### 333 3.2. Gene expression

334 Three of the studied target genes, namely *mdPAL*, *mdPOD*, and *mdPPO*, were initially selected as  
335 they are believed to be implicated in the mechanisms of browning in fresh-cut apples and linked  
336 between them through phenylpropanoid pathway (Chen et al., 2021). It is through this pathway  
337 that PPO and POD substrates, the phenolic acid compounds, are produced. Phenylpropanoids are  
338 secondary metabolites that derive from phenylalanine and tyrosine amino acids. Chemically,  
339 these compounds can be divided into five sub-groups: flavonoids, monolignols, stilbenes,  
340 coumarins, and phenolic acids, with the last sub-group representing the main substrates of PPO  
341 enzyme (Chen et al., 2021; Deng & Lu, 2017). Considered the main key factor for the  
342 phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, the enzyme phenylalanine ammonialyase (PAL) plays a primary  
343 role in the phenylpropanoid pathway, regulating indirectly the production of phenolic substrates  
344 (Chen et al., 2021; Dou et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021b). Among phenolic compounds that can be  
345 found in apples, chlorogenic acid and procyanidin are the main phenolic substrates of PPO



346 enzyme (Treutter, 2001). Briefly, in the presence of phenolic substrates and oxygen, the activity  
347 of PPO causes the generation of brown pigments. Firstly, the hydroxylation of monophenols to  
348 *o*-diphenols is catalysed by PPO followed by the oxidation of *o*-diphenols, resulting in the  
349 formation of *o*-quinones compounds which are responsible for the coloured brown spots in fresh-  
350 cut apples (Chen et al., 2021; Rasouli & Koushesh Saba, 2018). Although being a controversial  
351 subject, POD action in apple browning is believed to be a result of phenolic substrates oxidation,  
352 a reaction catalysed by the presence of hydrogen peroxide, which provides the free radical  
353 hydrogen essential for the enzyme activity (Chen et al., 2021; Oliveira et al., 2021).

354 In the present study, expression levels (*rE*) results of the genes encoding the mentioned  
355 browning-related enzymes PAL, POD, and PPO, after 0, 5, and 10 days of storage time, can be  
356 seen in Figure 2 A-C. Despite the lack of statistical differences between samples coated with the  
357 seaweed extract and control from day 0, it was possible to observe a different response trend  
358 in time between the control group and the seaweed extract group for these three genes. In the  
359 control treatment, expression levels tended to increase between day 0 d and 5, followed by a  
360 tendency for decreased or stabilized values at 10 days of storage (Figure 2). On the other hand,  
361 in the samples coated with the seaweed extract it was not possible to detect any amplification  
362 signal for *mdPAL* and *mdPOD* at day 0 (Figures 2 A and B), which expression only started to be  
363 visible after 5 days and with a tendency to increase between day 5 and 10 of storage for both  
364 genes. This same pattern of response was observed for *mdPPO*, although in this case some low  
365 expression values could be detected at day 0 in the extract coated samples (Figure 2C). For the  
366 three genes there was also an overall tendency pattern for higher expression of all of them in  
367 control samples at days 0 and 5 in comparison to the seaweed extract coated samples, revealing  
368 a possible seaweed extract early inhibition interference on the expression of those genes.  
369 Although the non-detected *mdPAL* expression at day 0, in samples coated with the seaweed  
370 extract (Figure 2A), after 5 days of storage, gene expression is identical in the two sets of  
371 samples. But, at 10 days, samples coated with the seaweed extract seem to present increased

372 expression levels comparatively to control. As observed for *mdPPO*, the results suggest that  
373 *mdPAL* reached its higher average expression levels earlier in control samples ( $rE = 0.89$ ), on day  
374 5, while the transcript level of treated samples reached its highest detected mean expression  
375 levels on day 10 ( $rE = 0.98$ ). Regarding *mdPOD*, similar results to those described for *mdPAL* were  
376 observed, with even more pronounced evidence of the seaweed extract influence on gene  
377 expression (Figure 2B). At day 5, the average transcript levels of control samples ( $rE = 1.15$ ) were  
378 considerably higher than those of treated samples ( $rE = 0.22$ ).

379 In the present study, and although no statistical differences were identified between control  
380 and treated samples for *mdPPO* expression within the same time-period, nor between control  
381 treatments through time, the extract treatment significantly inhibited *mdPPO* expression at day  
382 0 in comparison with the following days (Figure 2C). As previously mentioned, it is believed that  
383 the expression of *mdPPO* is associated with browning development, and therefore the inhibition  
384 of *mdPPO* gene expression may be directly related to browning reduction in fresh-cut apples  
385 (Chen et al., 2021). After treating fresh-cut apples with hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ), Chen et al. (2021)  
386 observed a positive correlation between the significant reduction of *mdPPO* expression and  
387 fresh-cut apples browning. Moreover, this inhibition was also observed in other food matrices,  
388 namely in white button mushrooms treated with the amino acid ergothioneine (Qian et al.,  
389 2021). White button mushrooms are prone to lose their original characteristics after harvesting,  
390 and when submitted to fresh-cut practices, the intensity of tissue browning increase drastically.  
391 The authors described a down-regulation in the expression of genes encoding browning-related  
392 enzymes as PPO, thereby reducing the enzymatic activity, which resulted in samples with fewer  
393 colour changes (Qian et al., 2021).

394 Overall, the seaweed extract addition seems to interfere with the transcription processes of  
395 *mdPPO*, *mdPOD*, and *mdPAL*, with a greater influence on *mdPAL* and *mdPOD* transcripts. This  
396 influence may lead to a delay in the transcription, resulting in lower values of gene amplification

397 at the beginning of the storage. These results also highlight the involvement and relevance of  
398 *mdPOD* and *mdPAL* in the regulation of fresh-cut apple browning, instead of a single gene  
399 regulation like *mdPPO*. Only recently, Chen et al. (2021), Qian et al. (2021), and Liu et al. (2021a),  
400 relaunched the debate on the correlation between POD and PAL activities and browning  
401 development of fresh-cut fruit and vegetables. The results discussed in the present study allow  
402 to hypothesise that in the presence of the seaweed extract, the observed resistance to browning  
403 development can also be highly mediated by PAL and POD, instead of a single PPO-browning  
404 mediation. This is also, to the best knowledge, the first report where the possible involvement  
405 of PAL on browning reduction of fresh-cut apples coated with the seaweed extract is  
406 documented and discussed, fostering pertinence of further complementary studies to further  
407 access the mechanisms underlying on fresh-cut apples browning. Additionally, in fruit and  
408 vegetables, the induction of browning-related enzymes is a fast mechanism, and with the  
409 injuries caused by cutting, this process can be almost instantaneous in fresh-cut fruit (Liu et al.,  
410 2021a). This fact can support the observed differences in gene expression at day 0, with the  
411 samples coated with the seaweed extract presenting overall lower expression levels, possibly  
412 contributing to the protection conferred by the coating in the deteriorative processes, which is  
413 not possible to obtain using water in the control treatment.

414 In fresh-cut processing, besides the changes in expression of browning-related genes (discussed  
415 above), other protective processes may be occurring, such as the detoxification of superoxide  
416 radicals that are converted into hydrogen peroxide by superoxidase dismutase (SOD). The  
417 activity of SOD during induced stress prevents the accumulation of free radicals, as reactive  
418 oxygen species (ROS), in the cell, contributing to cell protection (Rasouli & Koushesh Saba, 2018).  
419 In apples, catalase (CAT) is also involved in the scavenging of ROS avoiding oxidative damages in  
420 cells (Li et al., 2019), converting H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> into water (Abdelhai et al., 2019). Besides SOD and CAT,  
421 dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR) is also indirectly implicated in the antioxidant mechanisms,  
422 particularly in the reduction of dehydroascorbate (DHA) into *L*-ascorbate (Davey et al., 2000; Do

423 et al., 2016), thus turning *L*-ascorbate re-available for the antioxidant system to mitigate  
424 excessive ROS levels.

425 The relative expression of the selected encoding genes for the antioxidant system-related  
426 enzymes *mdSOD* and *mdDHAR* can be observed in Figure 3 A-B (*mdCAT* was not possible to  
427 determine as further detailed below).

428 Considering the results of relative expression of *mdSOD* (Figure 3A), it is possible to observe that  
429 samples coated with the seaweed extract solution showed an overall trend for higher expression  
430 levels than control samples, through the 10 days of storage. In both control and seaweed extract  
431 coating samples, there was an increase in expression at day 5 (mean *rE* = 0.89 to 1.55 in control  
432 and mean *rE* = 1.12 to 1.66 in seaweed extract samples), followed by a decrease in control  
433 samples at day 10, which was not observed in seaweed extract samples. In the work by Liu et al.  
434 (2021a), the authors demonstrated that changes in the eggplant redox state, namely the delay  
435 of antioxidant genes transcription, could be associated with the development of fresh-cut  
436 eggplant browning. The observed differences in *mdSOD* transcript levels in the present study  
437 may thus suggest that the seaweed extract can induce the antioxidant system in fresh-cut  
438 apples, namely SOD activity, contributing to the browning resistance of samples coated with the  
439 seaweed extract (Liu et al., 2021a). Concerning *mdDHAR* relative expression (Figure 3B), overall,  
440 a tendency for lower expression levels in the seaweed extract group can be observed during the  
441 storage period, with a substantially lower relative expression (*rE* = 0.89) at day 5, when  
442 compared to control samples (*rE* = 1.22). These results suggest that the ascorbic acid recycling  
443 pathway is not activated at the same level in the seaweed extract samples as is in control  
444 samples. Although more studies are needed, increased expression of *mdDHAR* is expected to  
445 represent a protective response of the organism to ensure DHAR levels after apple slicing, which  
446 in the seaweed extract group may not be so relevant given the other protective actions in place  
447 against oxidative and deteriorating processes, as previously discussed.

448 Cell wall modifications involve multiple enzymes, and in fresh-cut apples, pectin methylesterase  
449 (PME) and  $\alpha$ -arabinofuranosidase ( $\alpha$ -Af) are two of the enzymes responsible for membrane  
450 integrity (Liu et al., 2021b). The study of these enzymes is important to understand their  
451 influence on browning development since, when active, these enzymes lead to cell membrane  
452 degradation, promoting the contact between phenolic substrates such as chlorogenic acid  
453 (stored in the vacuoles) and PPO stored in the plastid, leading to the production of quinones and  
454 melanin, and resulting in the dark areas on the fruit (Toivonen & Brummell, 2008; Wang et al.,  
455 2021). In this study (Figure 3C), while in the control group a sharp increase of *mda-Af* expression  
456 was observed after 5 days of storage (average  $rE = 0.78$  at day 0 to  $rE = 1.16$  at day 5), followed  
457 by a decrease in expression at day 10 to a mean relative expression of 0.71, the presence of the  
458 seaweed extract in fresh-cut apples suggests an overall lower transcription of *mda-Af*. In coated  
459 samples, no differences were observed in transcript values during the storage period, although  
460 it is possible to observe a slight incremental tendency in expression along the storage period,  
461 but never reaching the same maximum values as in the control group, even later in time (Figure  
462 3C). Liu et al. (2021b) reported that the textural quality of fresh-cut apple during cold storage  
463 was maintained by the repression of *mda-Af* transcript levels, which was associated with a  
464 treatment composed by  $1.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  of aqueous ozone for 5 min. In the present study, the  
465 seaweed extract seems to inhibit the transcription of *mda-Af*, contributing to the cell wall  
466 integrity maintenance.

467 Concerning *mdPME* and *mdCAT*, while there was an attempt to determine the expression of  
468 those genes, it was not possible to detect any amplification in both cases under the studied  
469 conditions, even with different sets of primers and performing a higher number of qPCR cycles  
470 (Table 1). As stated in the material and methods section, given the low yield of RNA retrieved  
471 from this type of samples, only 150 ng of total RNA were used as template for the first-strand  
472 cDNA synthesis, which may have contributed to the difficulty in detecting amplification of genes  
473 with very low transcription activities (Taylor et al., 2019).

474

### 475 3.3. Biochemical validation

476 With the fresh-cut processing, the first functional changes are observed at the biochemical level,  
477 where shifts in enzyme activities and protein levels can be directly linked to further visual effects  
478 as surface browning development. To proceed with the functional validation of effects through  
479 biochemical evaluations, and thus confirming the effect of the seaweed extract coating on the  
480 enzymatic activities of fresh-cut apples, the activities of PPO, POD, SOD, and PME enzymes were  
481 assessed (Figure 4 A-D).

482 The progress of PPO activity during the 15 days of storage period can be observed in Figure 4A.  
483 Overall, during the storage, samples coated with the seaweed extract solution had a lower PPO  
484 activity comparatively to control group. In more detail, control samples presented an increment  
485 in PPO activity between 20 % and 50 % in relation to the seaweed extract group, with this  
486 difference more evidenced at day 5. The observed difference in PPO activity at day 5, correspond  
487 to the same time-point where a higher expression of the corresponding gene *mdPPO* was  
488 detected (Figure 2C), thus indicating that the increased transcription resulted in higher  
489 translation and PPO activity. In turn, the lower activity detected in the seaweed extract group in  
490 relation to control could also be a direct effect of the overall lower *mdPPO* transcription values  
491 verified in the coated samples (Figure 2C). The seaweed extract effect on PPO activity observed  
492 in the present study can be corroborated by previous studies, where a reduction in PPO activity  
493 was described in fresh-cut apple (Augusto et al., 2016) and pear (Augusto et al., 2022a) coated  
494 with a solution containing 0.5 % w/v of *C. tomentosum* extract.

495 As expected, POD activity presented an increase between days 0 and 15 of storage in both  
496 control and seaweed extract groups (Figure 4B). Despite the observed POD increment in both  
497 sample groups, overall samples coated with the seaweed extract present a tendency of lower  
498 values of activity over the storage period. It is at day 10 that the difference between the two set

499 of samples is more denoted, where the enzyme activity was considerably lower in the seaweed  
500 extract group ( $19.10 \times 10^3 \text{ U kg}^{-1}$  in contrast with  $3.70 \times 10^3 \text{ U kg}^{-1}$  in the seaweed extract group).  
501 These results strengthen those reported in previous studies conducted by Augusto et al. (2016)  
502 and Augusto et al. (2022a), documenting less POD activity in fresh-cut apples and pears coated  
503 with a seaweed extract solution and stored for 20 days and 15 days, respectively. As described  
504 for PPO, gene expression may also justify the lower values of POD activity in the seaweed extract  
505 group. In line with the observed transcription results (Figure 2B), the great disparity in POD  
506 values between the two sets of samples could be an effect of changes at the transcriptional  
507 level. A more detailed study comprising different phenolic substrates and the activity of PPO and  
508 POD will allow a better understanding of the mechanisms here proposed.

509 The effect of the seaweed extract on SOD activity was also evaluated (Figure 4C). To the best  
510 knowledge, this was the first report about the seaweed extract effect in SOD activity of fresh-  
511 cut apples. No differences were observed along sampling time-points between control group  
512 and samples coated with the seaweed extract. These results contrast with those presented by  
513 Chen et al. (2021), where the authors described a higher antioxidant capacity allegedly mediated  
514 by SOD activity, which resulted in a lower intensity of browning in fresh-cut apples. While in  
515 *mdSOD* gene expression (Figure 3A), differences between control and seaweed extract groups  
516 were detected, these did not affect the enzymatic level. The results suggest that at the  
517 biochemical level, the activity of SOD was not affected by adding a coating solution containing a  
518 seaweed extract. At the molecular level, the results may suggest a possible activation of the  
519 antioxidant mechanism, supported by the induction of CuZn SOD (Figure 3A), but this induction  
520 was not possible to verify at the enzymatic level considering that all types of SOD are present in  
521 the homogenate that is used for SOD determination. Additionally, a more detailed study linking  
522 the anti-oxidative and browning inducing enzyme activities, the production of compounds that  
523 resulted from oxidative stress in the presence of the seaweed extract will give more information  
524 about the extract mode of action.

525 The biochemical activity of PME was determined and results shown in Figure 4D. Both sampling  
526 groups presented a similar behaviour during the first 10 days of storage, including the sharp  
527 increase in PME activity of about 87 % between days 0 and 5. However, on day 10, control  
528 samples further increased their PME activity contrarily to the seaweed extract group that  
529 maintained the previous levels of activity. The efficacy of the seaweed extract to decrease PME  
530 activity of fresh-cut pears (Augusto et al., 2022a) and apples (Augusto et al., 2022b) was  
531 previously evaluated, and both studies suggested that the seaweed extract had influence in the  
532 reduction of PME activity. The results here presented may reinforce this positive influence on  
533 the activity PME, preventing the cell wall degradation and contributing to the cell integrity  
534 maintenance (Liu et al., 2021b).

#### 535 *3.4. Principal Component Analysis*

536 A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed considering the results obtained for the  
537 13 parameters through assessments of gene expression, enzymatic activities, and colour  
538 evaluations (Figure 5). The first and second principal components (PC1 and PC2) are represented  
539 in the X and Y axis respectively and account for 88 % of data explanation (PC1: 59.7 % and PC2:  
540 28.3 %), representing the largest fraction of variability. The proximity of the encoding genes for  
541 PPO and POD (*mdPPO* and *mdPOD*) vectors to control samples at day 5, reinforces what was  
542 previously seen that in this storage time and group of samples, the results were mostly  
543 characterized by the increase of *mdPPO* and *mdPOD* expression levels (Figure 2 B-C), with a  
544 negligible effect on seaweed extract samples group (observed by the 90 ° angle with *mdPPO* and  
545 *mdPOD* vectors). Only at day 10, it is possible to observe a greater influence of these genes in  
546 the seaweed extract samples, which further suggests a possible delay in gene transcription in  
547 samples coated with the seaweed extract solution, thus resulting in a lower browning rates and  
548 lower enzymatic activity, as previously reported in Figures 1 A-C and 4 A-B. This hypothesis - the  
549 delay in browning development in the seaweed extract samples group, can be strengthened by



550 the strong proximity between the vectors of colour evaluation and browning compounds with  
551 the control group vector at day 10. Once again and considering the right angle between these  
552 parameters to the seaweed extract group vector, results suggest a lower influence of browning  
553 parameters on the coated samples results. With time, namely at day 15, the overall differences  
554 between the two sets of samples are smaller, and mostly characterized by the activity of PPO  
555 and POD. However, the lower angle between control samples and enzyme activities vectors may  
556 suggest a stronger influence of PPO and POD on the results of these groups rather than in the  
557 seaweed extract group, resulting in higher browning in non-treated samples, as observed in  
558 Figure 1.

559 Thus, the mechanism of action of the seaweed extract involves the reduction in the expressions  
560 of *mdPPO* and *mdPOD* as observed in the first five sampling days. Since these genes are known  
561 to trigger the browning processes in fresh-cut fruits, the lower gene expression may explain the  
562 significant decrease in the activities of PPO and POD, which results in lower rates of browning.  
563 Additionally, the seaweed extract addition seems to have a greater influence on the activity of  
564 POD than on PPO, which can be related to the apparent reduction in the *mdPOD* expression  
565 observed at the beginning of the storage period.

#### 566 **4. Conclusions**

567 This study elucidates the possible mechanisms by which a coating of seaweed extract *C.*  
568 *tomentosum* acts to reduce browning in fresh-cut apples. At a molecular level, the coating  
569 delayed the stimulation of the encoding genes for the main browning related enzymes namely  
570 PPO, POD and PAL. The coating effect is visible since day 0, where *mdPPO* gene expression was  
571 considerably lower in apple slices coated with the seaweed extract than in control samples. In  
572 the same period, was not possible to detect any amplification signal for *mdPAL* and *mdPOD*.  
573 Only after a period of 10 days of storage, the levels of transcripts in the seaweed extract samples  
574 group reach identical values to control samples at day 5, suggesting a delay in gene expression.

575 The coating functionality was confirmed by the activity of PPO and POD that followed the same  
576 trend as the gene expression results, which lead to a lower browning development in fresh-cut  
577 apples coated with the seaweed extract.

578 **Declarations of interest:** none

579 **Author contributions:** **Ana Augusto:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing -  
580 Original Draft; **Tiago Simões:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - Review  
581 & Editing; **Sara C. Novais:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing; **Marco**  
582 **F. Lemos:** Writing - Review & Editing; **Geoffrey Mitchell:** Supervision; Writing - Review & Editing;  
583 **Keshavan Niranjana:** Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing, **Susana F.J. Silva:**  
584 Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing, Funding acquisition.

585 **Appendix A.** Supplementary data

586 **Acknowledgements**

587 The authors wish to acknowledge the support of Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT),  
588 through the strategic project UIDB/04292/2020 and UIDP/04292/2020 granted to MARE, the  
589 project LA/P/0069/2020 granted to the Associate Laboratory ARNET, and the grant awarded to  
590 Ana Augusto (SFRH/BD/131465/2017). The authors also wish to acknowledge the support of the  
591 project through the COMPETE-Operational Competitiveness Programme, the European Union  
592 through EASME Blue Labs project AMALIA, Algae-to-Market Lab IdeAs  
593 (EASME/EMFF/2016/1.2.1.4/03/SI2.750419) and to the ORCHESTRA project- add-value to  
594 ORCHards through the full valorisation of macroalgae (POCI-01-0247-FEDER-070155), co-  
595 funded by FEDER- European Regional Development Fund, within Portugal 2020 Programme,  
596 through COMPETE 2020 Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização and  
597 national funds through FCT.

598

599 **References**

600

601 Abdelhai, M. H., Zhang, Q., Zhao, L., Komla Mahunu, G., Musa, A., Yang, Q., Adwoa Serwah, N.,  
602 & Zhang, H. (2019). Effects of baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.) in combination with  
603 *Sporidiobolus pararoseus* Y16 on the activities of the defense-related enzymes and the  
604 expression levels of defense-related genes of apples. *Biological Control*, *139*, 104094.  
605 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2019.104094>

606 Augusto, A., Dias, J. R., Campos, M. J., Alves, N. M., Pedrosa, R., & Silva, S. F. J. (2018). Influence  
607 of *Codium tomentosum* extract in the properties of alginate and chitosan edible films.  
608 *Foods*, *7*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods7040053>

609 Augusto, A., Miranda, A., Costa, L., Pinheiro, J., Campos, M. J., Raimundo, D., Pedrosa, R.,  
610 Mitchell, G., Niranjana, K., & Silva, S. F. J. (2022b). A pilot plant scale testing of the  
611 application of seaweed-based natural coating and modified atmosphere packaging for  
612 shelf-life extension of fresh-cut apple. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 1–11.  
613 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.16630>

614 Augusto, A., Miranda, A., Crespo, D., Campos, M. J., Pedrosa, R., Mitchell, G., Niranjana, K., &  
615 Silva, S. F. J. (2022a). Preservation of fresh-cut Rocha Pear using *Codium tomentosum*  
616 extract. *LWT- Food Science and Technology*, *1551*.  
617 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112938>

618 Augusto, A., Simões, T., Pedrosa, R., & Silva, S. F. J. (2016). Evaluation of seaweed extracts  
619 functionality as post-harvest treatment for minimally processed Fuji apples. *Innovative*  
620 *Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, *33*, 589–595.  
621 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2015.10.004>

622 Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram

623 quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*.  
624 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697\(76\)90527-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3)

625 Carocho, M., Morales, P., & Ferreira, I. C. F. R. (2018). Antioxidants: Reviewing the chemistry,  
626 food applications, legislation and role as preservatives. *Trends in Food Science and*  
627 *Technology*, 71, 107–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.11.008>

628 Chen, C., Jiang, A., Liu, C., Wagstaff, C., Zhao, Q., Zhang, Y., & Hu, W. (2021). Hydrogen sulfide  
629 inhibits the browning of fresh-cut apple by regulating the antioxidant, energy and lipid  
630 metabolism. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 175, 111487.  
631 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2021.111487>

632 Collazo, C., Giné-Bordonaba, J., Aguiló-Aguayo, I., Povedano, I., Bademunt, A., & Viñas, I. (2018).  
633 *Pseudomonas graminis* strain CPA-7 differentially modulates the oxidative response in  
634 fresh-cut 'Golden delicious' apple depending on the storage conditions. *Postharvest*  
635 *Biology and Technology*, 138, 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2017.12.013>

636 Davey, M. W., Van Montagu, M., Inzé, D., Sanmartin, M., Kanellis, A., Smirnoff, N., Benzie, I. F.  
637 F., Strain, J. J., Favell, D., & Fletcher, J. (2000). Plant L-ascorbic acid: Chemistry, function,  
638 metabolism, bioavailability and effects of processing. *Journal of the Science of Food and*  
639 *Agriculture*, 80, 825–860. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(20000515)80:7<825::AID-JSFA598>3.0.CO;2-6)  
640 [0010\(20000515\)80:7<825::AID-JSFA598>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(20000515)80:7<825::AID-JSFA598>3.0.CO;2-6)

641 Deng, Y., & Lu, S. (2017). Biosynthesis and regulation of phenylpropanoids in plants. *Critical*  
642 *Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 36, 257–290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2017.1402852>

643 Do, H., Kim, I. S., Jeon, B. W., Lee, C. W., Park, A. K., Wi, A. R., Shin, S. C., Park, H., Kim, Y. S., Yoon,  
644 H. S., Kim, H. W., & Lee, J. H. (2016). Structural understanding of the recycling of oxidized  
645 ascorbate by dehydroascorbate reductase (OsDHAR) from *Oryza sativa L. japonica*.  
646 *Scientific Reports*, 6, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19498>

647 Dou, Y., Routledge, M. N., Gong, Y., Godana, E. A., Dhanasekaran, S., Yang, Q., Zhang, X., & Zhang,  
648 H. (2021). Efficacy of epsilon-poly-L-lysine inhibition of postharvest blue mold in apples and  
649 potential mechanisms. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *171*, 111346.  
650 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2020.111346>

651 Fawzy, M.A. (2020). Biosorption of copper ions from aqueous solution by *Codium vermilara*:  
652 Optimization, kinetic, isotherm and thermodynamic studies. *Advanced Powder*  
653 *Technology*, *31*, 3724-3735. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appt.2020.07.014>

654 FAO of the United Nations (2021). *FAOSTAT*. Retrieved July 13, 2021, from  
655 <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/PP>

656 Gambino, G., Perrone, I., & Gribaudo, I. (2008). A rapid and effective method for RNA extraction  
657 from different tissues of grapevine and other woody plants. *Phytochemical Analysis*, *19*,  
658 520–525. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pca.1078>

659 Lante, A., Tinello, F., & Nicoletto, M. (2016). UV-A light treatment for controlling enzymatic  
660 browning of fresh-cut fruits. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, *34*, 141–  
661 147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2015.12.029>

662 Lemos, M. F. L. (2021). Biomarker Studies in Stress Biology: From the Gene to Population , from  
663 the Organism to the Application. *Biology*, *10*, 1340.  
664 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10121340>

665 Li, H., Fan, Y., Zhi, H., Zhu, Y., Liu, Y., & Wang, Y. (2019). Influence of fruit stalk on reactive oxygen  
666 species metabolism and quality maintenance of peach fruit under chilling injury condition.  
667 *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *148*, 141–150.  
668 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2018.10.018>

669 Liu, C., Chen, C., Zhang, Y., Jiang, A., & Hu, W. (2021a). Aqueous ozone treatment inhibited  
670 degradation of cellwall polysaccharides in fresh-cut apple during cold storage. *Innovative*

671 *Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 67, 102550.  
672 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2020.102550>

673 Liu, X., Zhang, A., Shang, J., Zhu, Z., Li, Y., Wu, X., & Zha, D. (2021b). Study on browning  
674 mechanism of fresh-cut eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) based on metabolomics,  
675 enzymatic assays and gene expression. *Scientific Reports*, 11, 1–13.  
676 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86311-1>

677 Maringgal, B., Hashim, N., Mohamed Amin Tawakkal, I. S., & Muda Mohamed, M. T. (2020).  
678 Recent advance in edible coating and its effect on fresh/fresh-cut fruits quality. *Trends in*  
679 *Food Science and Technology*, 96, 253–267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.12.024>

680 Oliveira, F. K., Santos, L. O., & Buffon, J. G. (2021). Mechanism of action, sources, and application  
681 of peroxidases. *Food Research International*, 143, 110266.  
682 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110266>

683 Oliveira, M. F. K., Santos, L. O., & Buffon, J. G. (2021). Mechanism of action, sources, and  
684 application of peroxidases. *Food Research International*, 143, 110266.  
685 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110266>

686 Paravisini, L., & Peterson, D. G. (2018). Role of reactive carbonyl species in non-enzymatic  
687 browning of apple juice during storage. *Food Chemistry*, 245, 1010–1017.  
688 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.11.071>

689 Pfaffl, M. W. (2007). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT–PCR.  
690 *Nucleic Acids Research*, 29, 16–21.

691 Qian, X., Hou, Q., Liu, J., Huang, Q., Jin, Z., Zhou, Q., Jiang, T., & Zheng, X. (2021). Inhibition of  
692 browning and shelf life extension of button mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) by  
693 ergothioneine treatment. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 288, 110385.  
694 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110385>

695 Rasouli, M., & Koushesh Saba, M. (2018). Pre-harvest zinc spray impact on enzymatic browning  
696 and fruit flesh color changes in two apple cultivars. *Scientia Horticulturae*, *240*, 318–325.  
697 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.06.053>

698 Shao, L. Le, Wang, X. L., Chen, K., Dong, X. W., Kong, L. M., Zhao, D. Y., Hider, R. C., & Zhou, T.  
699 (2018). Novel hydroxypyridinone derivatives containing an oxime ether moiety: Synthesis,  
700 inhibition on mushroom tyrosinase and application in anti-browning of fresh-cut apples.  
701 *Food Chemistry*, *242*, 174–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.054>

702 Simões, T., Novais, S. C., Natal-da-Luz, T., Devreese, B., de Boer, T., Roelofs, D., Sousa, J. P., van  
703 Straalen, N. M., & Lemos, M. F. L. (2019). Using time-lapse omics correlations to integrate  
704 toxicological pathways of a formulated fungicide in a soil invertebrate. *Environmental*  
705 *Pollution*, *246*, 845–854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.12.069>

706 Storch, T. T., Pegoraro, C., Finatto, T., Quecini, V., Rombaldi, C. V., & Girardi, C. L. (2015).  
707 Identification of a novel reference gene for apple transcriptional profiling under  
708 postharvest conditions. *PLoS ONE*, *10*, 1–15.  
709 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120599>

710 Tang, T., Xie, X., Ren, X., Wang, W., Tang, X., Zhang, J., & Wang, Z. (2020). A difference of  
711 enzymatic browning unrelated to PPO from physiology, targeted metabolomics and gene  
712 expression analysis in Fuji apples. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *170*, 111323.  
713 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2020.111323>

714 Tarancón, P., Fernández-Serrano, P., & Besada, C. (2021). Consumer perception of situational  
715 appropriateness for fresh, dehydrated and fresh-cut fruits. *Food Research International*,  
716 *140*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.110000>

717 Taylor, S. C., Nadeau, K., Abbasi, M., Lachance, C., Nguyen, M., & Fenrich, J. (2019). The ultimate  
718 qPCR experiment: producing publication quality, reproducible data the first time. *Trends in*

719 *Biotechnology*, 37, 761–774. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2018.12.002>

720 Toivonen, P. M. A., & Brummell, D. A. (2008). Biochemical bases of appearance and texture  
721 changes in fresh-cut fruit and vegetables. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 48(1), 1–  
722 14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.09.004>

723 Treutter, D. (2001). Biosynthesis of phenolic compounds and its regulation in apple. *Plant*  
724 *Growth Regulation*, 34(1), 71–89. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013378702940>

725 Wang, H., Wang, S., Fan, M. M., Zhang, S. H., Sun, L. L., & Zhao, Z. Y. (2021). Metabolomic insights  
726 into the browning of the peel of bagging ‘Rui Xue’ apple fruit. *BMC Plant Biology*, 21(1), 1–  
727 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-021-02974-y>

728 Wei, M., Ge, Y., Li, C., Han, X., Qin, S., Chen, Y., Tang, Q., & Li, J. (2019). G6PDH regulated NADPH  
729 production and reactive oxygen species metabolism to enhance disease resistance against  
730 blue mold in apple fruit by acibenzolar-S-methyl. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 14,  
731 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2018.05.017>

732 Yousuf, B., Deshi, V., Ozturk, B., & Siddiqui, M. W. (2019). Fresh-cut fruits and vegetables: Quality  
733 issues and safety concerns. In *Fresh-Cut Fruits and Vegetables: Technologies and*  
734 *Mechanisms for Safety Control*. Elsevier Inc. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816184-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816184-5.00001-X)  
735 5.00001-X

736 Zhu, D., Shen, Y., Wei, L., Xu, L., Cao, X., Liu, H., & Li, J. (2020). Effect of particle size on the  
737 stability and flavor of cloudy apple juice. *Food Chemistry*, 328.  
738 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126967>

739

740

741



742

743

744

#### 745 **Figure Captions**

746 **Figure 1.** Boxplots of the **(A)** browning compounds absorbance at 440 nm (calculated by  
747 spectrophotometry), **(B)** browning index (BI, calculated by colour parameters), and **(C)** colour  
748 changes ( $\Delta E$ ) between fresh-cut apples coated with seaweed extract vs control treatments.  
749 Samples were stored for 15 days (d) at 4 °C, with periodic samplings on days 0, 5, 10 and 15.  
750 Boxplots with different letters represent significantly different values (ANOVA, GLM, LSD test, p  
751 < 0.05).

752 **Figure 2.** Relative expression of the browning-related genes **(A)** phenylalanine ammonialyase  
753 (*mdPAL*), **(B)** peroxidase (*mdPOD*), and **(C)** polyphenol oxidase (*mdPPO*) in fresh-cut apples  
754 coated with seaweed extract vs control treatments. Samples were stored for 10 days (d) at 4 °C,  
755 with periodic samplings on days 0, 5, and 10. Boxplots with different letters represent  
756 significantly different values (ANOVA, GLM, LSD test, p < 0.05).

757 **Figure 3.** Relative expression of the browning-related genes **(A)** superoxidase dismutase  
758 (*mdSOD*), **(B)** dehydroascorbate reductase (*mdDHAR*), and **(C)**  $\alpha$ -arabinofuranosidase (*md $\alpha$ -Af*)  
759 in fresh-cut apples coated with seaweed extract vs control treatments. Samples were stored for  
760 10 days (d) at 4 °C, with periodic samplings on days 0, 5, and 10. Boxplots with different letters  
761 represent significantly different values (ANOVA, GLM, LSD test, p < 0.05).

762 **Figure 4.** The activities of **(A)** polyphenol oxidase (PPO), **(B)** peroxidase (POD), **(C)** superoxide  
763 dismutase (SOD), and **(D)** pectin methylesterase (PME) in fresh-cut apples coated with seaweed  
764 extract vs control treatments. Samples were stored for 15 days (d) at 4 °C, with periodic

765 samplings on days 0, 5, 10, and 15. Boxplots with different letters represent significantly  
766 different values (ANOVA, GLM, LSD test,  $p < 0.05$ ).

767 **Figure 5.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of the different responses determined in fresh-cut  
768 apples coated with seaweed extract vs control treatment, at the different storage times (0, 5,  
769 10, and 15 days, d). *mdPPO* and PPO = polyphenol oxidase gene expression and enzyme activity,  
770 respectively; *mdPOD* and POD = peroxidase gene expression and enzyme activity, respectively;  
771 *mdPAL* = phenylalanine ammonialyase gene expression; *mdSOD* and SOD = superoxidase  
772 dismutase gene expression and enzyme activity, respectively; *mdDHAR* = dehydroascorbate  
773 reductase gene expression; *md $\alpha$ -Af* =  $\alpha$ -arabinofuranosidase gene expression; PME = Pectin  
774 methylesterase activity; BC = browning compounds;  $\Delta E$  = Colour changes; BI = Browning index.