

# School environments and obesity: a systematic review of interventions and policies among school-age students in Latin America and the Caribbean

Article

Accepted Version

Vega-Salas, M. J., Murray, C., Nunes, R. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0829-4130, Hidalgo-Arestegui, A., Curi-Quinto, K., Penny, M. E., Cueto, S., Lovegrove, J. A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7633-9455, Sanchez, A. and Vimaleswaran, K. S. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8485-8930 (2023) School environments and obesity: a systematic review of interventions and policies among schoolage students in Latin America and the Caribbean. International Journal of Obesity, 47. pp. 5-16. ISSN 1476-5497 doi: 10.1038/s41366-022-01226-9 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/108349/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41366-022-01226-9

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group



All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

## www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

### CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

#### 1 School environments and obesity: A systematic review of interventions and policies

#### 2 among school age students in Latin America and the Caribbean

- 3 María Jesús Vega-Salas<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Claudia Murray<sup>3\*</sup>, Richard Nunes<sup>3</sup>, Alessandra Hidalgo-Arestegui<sup>2</sup>, Katherine Curi-Quinto<sup>4</sup>,
- 4 Mary E. Penny<sup>4</sup>, Santiago Cueto<sup>5,6</sup>, Julie Anne Lovegrove<sup>2,7</sup>, Alan Sánchez<sup>5</sup>, Karani Santhanakrishnan Vimaleswaran<sup>2,7</sup>
- 5 \**Corresponding authors:* <u>muvega@uc.cl / c.b.murray@henley.reading.ac.uk</u>

#### 6 Affiliations

- 7 <sup>1</sup> Carrera de Nutrición y Dietética, Departamento de Ciencias de la Salud, Facultad de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad
- 8 Católica de Chile, Santiago 7820436, Chile
- <sup>2</sup> Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading,
   Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6DZ, UK
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>3</sup> Department of Real Estate and Planning, Henley Business School, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6UD, UK
- 12 <sup>4</sup> Instituto de Investigación Nutricional (IIN), Lima 15024, Peru
- <sup>5</sup> Grupo de Análisis para el Desarrollo (GRADE), Lima 15063, Peru
- <sup>6</sup> Departamento de Psicología, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Peru, Lima 15088, Peru
- <sup>7</sup> Institute for Food, Nutrition and Health (IFNH), University of Reading RG6 6AH, UK
- 16

#### 17 Competing Interests

18 The authors declare no competing interests.

Background: The rapid rise in obesity rates among schoolchildren in Latin America and the Caribbean
(LAC) could have a direct impact on the region's physical and mental health, disability, and mortality.
This review presents the available interventions likely to reduce, mitigate and/or prevent obesity
among schoolchildren in LAC by modifying the food and built environments within and around
schools.

Methods: Two independent reviewers searched five databases: MEDLINE, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Scopus and LILACS for peer-reviewed literature published since 1<sup>st</sup> January 2000 to September 2021; searching and screening prospective studies published in English, Spanish and Portuguese. This was followed by data extraction and quality assessment using the Cochrane risk-ofbias tool (RoB 2) and the Risk of Bias in Non-Randomized Studies of Interventions (ROBINS-I), adopting also the PRISMA-2020 guidelines. Due to the heterogeneity of the intervention's characteristics and obesity-related measurements across studies, a narrative synthesis was conducted.

Results: 1 342 research papers were screened, and nine studies were included; four in Mexico, and one each in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, and Ecuador. Four studies reported strategies for modifying food provision; four other targeted the built environment, (modifying school premises and providing materials for physical activity); a final study included both food and built environment intervention components. Overall, two studies reported that the intervention was significantly associated with a lower increase over time in BMI/obesity in the intervention against the control group. The remaining studies were non-significant.

Conclusions: Data suggests school environmental interventions, complementing nutritional and physical education, can contribute to reduce incremental childhood obesity trends. However, evidence of the extent to which food and built environment components factor into obesogenic environments, within and around school grounds is inconclusive. Insufficient data hindered any urban/rural comparisons. Further school environmental intervention studies to inform policies for preventing/reducing childhood obesity in LAC are needed. 44 Introduction

45 Globally, childhood overweight and obesity rates has increased substantially over recent decades (1). 46 In Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC), three out of ten children aged 5 to 19 years-old are living 47 with overweight or obesity (2). The consequences of childhood obesity have been well studied and 48 include detrimental health (3), cognitive development and educational attainment (4), and increased 49 risk of developing cardiovascular diseases and obesity in adulthood (5,6). The rapid nutritional 50 transition in the LAC region due to urbanization, economic growth and transformation of broad food 51 systems (7)(8), has had a direct effect on the rising childhood obesity rates. Dietary changes, including 52 higher intakes of energy-dense and low-nutrient-density foods such as sugar-sweetened beverages as 53 well as the lower intakes of vegetables and legumes, and higher physical inactivity and sedentary 54 behaviours (SB) among children and adolescents in LAC have contributed to the rapid increase in 55 obesity and overweight among children and adolescents (9).

56 Obesogenic environments, defined as "the sum of influences that the surroundings, opportunities, or 57 conditions of life have on promoting obesity in individuals or populations" (10), have impacted 58 children and adults across the world. Previous systematic reviews have focused primarily on assessing 59 the association between the neighbourhood food and built environment (BE), and adiposity and/or 60 weight status among children and adults (11-14). However, as children spend much of their weekday 61 time at schools, and a large proportion of their energy intake and expenditure occurs in this setting 62 (15); more information is needed about the role of schools in childhood obesity. This is the context 63 for our LAC-focused systematic review that provides a valuable contribution, particularly given that 64 several studies suggest that developing interventions at the school-level can contribute to prevention and/or reduction in overweight and/or obesity among children and adolescents (16,17). 65

School-based interventions have mostly focused on improving the nutritional education curriculum
by delivering workshops and information (booklets, pamphlets, posters) for improving dietary
behaviours, and increasing physical activity (PA) and/or reduce sedentary behaviours (SB) by

69 modifying physical education (PE) sessions (18). Several systematic reviews including mostly high-70 income countries, have reported inconsistent results of the effectiveness of only educational 71 interventions at preventing increases in body weight status (19–21), but some reductions in adiposity 72 or body composition measurements have been reported (18,22–24). Interventions combining diet and 73 PA components, targeting the school and home settings and with longer follow-up, tend to be more 74 successful in preventing or managing weight gain, compared to single component or setting and with 75 a shorter intervention length (19,23,25). Most of the reviews assessing the effectiveness of school-76 based interventions do not analyse the results according to school level (22.24). A large review 77 separated results between preschool and school-based (primary to secondary school) interventions, 78 however, few studies were conducted among preschool settings to provide any conclusion (25). Two 79 reviews including mostly primary school-aged children found some positive evidence for educational 80 interventions at reducing but not preventing childhood obesity (18,23).

81 Systematic reviews focusing on school environments are more limited compared with those focusing 82 only on educational components. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis assessed the 83 effectiveness of the school food environment for preventing childhood obesity (26). Results showed 84 that interventions including a food environment component had a significant and meaningful effect 85 on adiposity (BMI z-score). This review included worldwide intervention studies published in 86 English, identifying only one conducted in a LAC country and used a broad definition of food 87 environment, including social marketing and changes to the schools' dietary guidelines, together with 88 interventions targeting the food provision and the nutritional composition of food available at schools. 89 A previous review assessing only isolated school food environment interventions (regulations and 90 food provision) in the US and UK, concluded that the two interventions included, were successful in 91 preventing increases in BMI in the treatment group (27).

92 The different definitions of school food environments provided by previous reviews included all food93 and drink available to students within the school (27), and all information influencing food choice

94 and physical aspects of the food environment, such as availability and accessibility of food within 95 spaces, infrastructure and conditions within or around schools (26). Our review uses the International 96 Network for Food and Obesity/non-communicable diseases Research, Monitoring and Action 97 Support (INFORMAS) framework (28). We also identified dimensions from the WHO School Policy 98 Framework (29) to define the BE within and around the educational premises affecting PA and/or SB 99 to prevent/reduce childhood overweight or obesity. Both frameworks provide a comprehensive and 100 internationally agreed definition for understanding the influence of school environments in childhood 101 obesity. Furthermore, interventions targeting specific aspects of school's food and BE can provide 102 low-cost and easily scaled-up strategies for tackling childhood obesity (27). Making our review 103 particularly relevant for policymakers looking to capitalise on evidence from already available 104 intervention studies.

To this end, our aim is to systematically assess the effectiveness of interventions and policies targeting the school environments for preventing/reducing overweight or obesity among schoolchildren in LAC. In particular, we aim to answer the question: Are school environment interventions/policies effective in the reduction/prevention of obesity and/or overweight among school-age students from LAC? When available, effectiveness will be compared according to the environmental intervention type (food and/or BE), intervention length, and participant's gender and age groups.

111 Methods

112 The protocol for this systematic review was registered in PROSPERO (<u>CRD42021285247</u>), and we

113 followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (30)

114 (Figure 1 and Supplementary Material – Table 1).

115 Eligibility

116 Given our focus in LAC, peer reviewed literature published in English, Spanish and Portuguese, from

117 1st January 2000 to September 2021 were eligible for inclusion. Prospective studies, including

118 interventional study designs containing randomised/non-randomised controlled trials (RCTs and non-

RCTs) and, cohort studies comparing changes in overweight and/or obesity measurements, after a
school environment intervention/policy had been implemented, were included.

121 Search strategy

122 The team conducted searches in duplicate in five electronic databases: MEDLINE (via PubMed),

123 Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Scopus and the Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences

124 Literature (LILACS). Search terms and strategies for each database are in Supplementary Material –

125 Tables 2-7. Retrieved reports were stored in EPPI-Reviewer (31) and duplicates identified and

126 excluded. We hand-searched relevant systematic reviews' references and included research papers to

- 127 identify and incorporate relevant additional studies.
- 128 Screening, data extraction and quality assessment

MJV-S and AH-A, both fluent in English and Spanish as well as a good level of Portuguese, conducted title and abstract screening and full-text selection in duplicate. They also pilot tested the first 200 titles and abstracts, obtaining a moderate inter-rate agreement between reviewers (Kappa=0.53) (32,33). Clarifications were made to the inclusion criteria with the whole team and the remaining title and abstract screening completed, obtaining an excellent agreement rate (Kappa=0.74). All discrepancies and full texts in Portuguese were discussed with a third reviewer fluent in this language (RN).

136 Data extraction was performed independently (MJV-S and AH-A) in EPPI-Reviewer using a piloted 137 coding tool and included the following data: publication details (authors, title, journal, year of 138 publication), study details (study design, RCT characteristics (grouping, randomization, allocation), 139 sampling method, country, school setting, school area (urban/rural), data collection date (baseline and 140 follow-up)), participant information (age, school level, gender/sex, ethnicity, and socioeconomic 141 characteristics, number of participants at baseline and follow-up), intervention details (type of 142 intervention, components, duration, theory), outcome data (measurement type, data collection tool, 143 baseline and follow-up measurements), and effectiveness of intervention. Authors from five studies

were contacted for clarifications and one sent the required information (34,35). When results werepresented in plots only, the software Plot Digitizer was used for extracting data (36).

146 Study quality assessment was undertaken independently (MJV-S and AH-A) by using the Cochrane 147 Risk of Bias tool for cluster-RCT (RoB 2 C-RCT) (37,38), and the Risk of Bias in Non-Randomized 148 Studies of Interventions (ROBINS-I) (39) for non-randomised trials. Studies were graded as low, 149 unclear or high risk of bias. For C-RCTs, six domains were assessed: randomization, timing of 150 identification and recruitment of participants, deviations from intended interventions, missing 151 outcome data, measurement of outcomes and selection of the reported result. For non-RCTs, seven 152 domains were assessed: confounding, selection of participants, classifications of interventions, 153 deviations from intended interventions, missing data, measurement of outcomes and selection of the 154 reported result. Risk of bias assessment by domains for each individual studies is then presented in 155 plots (40).

156 Types of interventions

157 All interventions, including the introduction of policies, and/or regulations aiming at modifying 158 obesity/overweight by changing food and/or BE within and around the schools were included. Food 159 environment dimensions were defined by the INFORMAS framework (28): food composition, 160 labelling, marketing, provision, retail, prices and, trade and investments. These dimensions can 161 influence population health, diet and body weight, and can be modified by public and private sector 162 policies. Additionally, we used the WHO School Policy Framework (29) to define two dimensions of 163 the BE: educational buildings and facilities, and walking and cycling infrastructure from and to the 164 educational establishment. Studies assessing interventions at the close proximity to schools were 165 included if conducted within one-mile radius around the perimeter of the educational establishment. 166 Interventions regardless length of follow-up were included. To avoid duplication of data analysis, 167 only the most recent follow-up time including the population relevant to this review was included in 168 the results.

169 Outcomes

170 All kind of overweight and/or obesity measurements, including those derived from weight and height

171 (e.g., body mass index -BMI-, standard deviation scores -SDS-, Z-score, prevalence of overweight

172 and obesity, ponderal index); waist circumference and body fat (e.g., body fat percentage, intra-

abdominal fat, subcutaneous fat, visceral fat, skin-fold thickness), were included.

174 Data analysis

We performed a narrative synthesis containing the summary of findings over the effect of interventions on obesity-related measurements, reporting effectiveness of interventions either as mean difference, risk ratio or odds ratio, accordingly to the type of measurement reported in each individual study. We summarised data according to the intervention components reported by each study, classifying it either as a food or, a built and physical environmental intervention. Due to the large heterogeneity in intervention components and multiple outcomes measured across studies, a meta-analysis was not feasible.

182 Results

183 Figure 1 shows the PRISMA 2020 flow diagram (30) used for the process of the study selection. The 184 search strategies retrieved 1 329 unique titles and abstracts, and 13 records were added from searching 185 the reference list of relevant reviews and of the included research papers. In total, we assessed 40 full 186 texts for eligibility and nine studies were included. One study was conducted in 2005 (41), another in 187 2008 (42), and the remaining seven were conducted after 2010. Four studies were in Mexico, while 188 individual studies were in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, and Ecuador. Seven studies included 189 girls and boys from primary education, and two included adolescents from lower secondary education 190 (34,43), classified according the International Standard Classification of Education (44). Sample sizes 191 at baseline varied from 168 to 2 682 children, and 120 to 1 224 at follow-up.

Seven studies used a cluster-randomised controlled trial (C-RCT) design, and another two a longitudinal quasi-experimental design (LQE). Clusters selected (schools) varied from 1 per intervention and 1 per control, to 30 schools in each group. All studies compared changes in control and treatment groups between baseline and follow-up. Follow-up measurements varied from ten weeks to three years. Table 1 summarises the study characteristics of the seven studies.

In terms of bias, six out of the seven C-RCT presented a low risk of bias, and one presented some concerns due to the reporting of outcomes. The two LQE studies presented serious concerns related to baseline and time-varying confounding. Figures 2 and 3 summarise the risk of bias assessment.

Overall, four studies reported intervention components for modifying the food environments and four studies, the BE (Table 2). Only one study reported components for both food and BE interventions (45). Obesity-related outcomes were heterogeneous across the studies, including reports of BMI, BMI z-score and, overweight and/or obesity prevalence. The following sections present a detailed description of the design and results of included interventions targeting a) the food environment b) BEs, and c) a combination of food and BEs.

206 Food environments

207 Four studies included intervention components targeting the food environments of the schools. 208 Following the INFORMAS dimensions, four studies targeted the food provision by increasing the 209 availability of healthy products, while one limited the sales of high-energy and unhealthy foods (45). 210 Only the study by Ramírez-López et al., (2005)(41) assessed one component intervention, targeting 211 the food composition of free school breakfasts, while the remaining three studies had several other 212 intervention components, including strategies around nutritional and PE. Some studies reported more 213 than one obesity-related measurement; BMI outcomes and BMI z-score were both reported together 214 by two studies, body fat percentage and fat-free body mass was presented in one study, and 215 overweight and obesity prevalence was reported by one study. One study was conducted in urban 216 areas (Rosario), one in a rural setting (Metropolitan region of Santiago), one in a semi-rural (State of Mexico), and a final one comprising a large region (the State of Sonora) and including both rural andurban contexts.

219 The cluster RCT by Alvirde-García (2013) (46) included students aged 9-10 years-old at baseline 220 attending five semi-rural schools from the State of Mexico. The food provision component included 221 a modification to the food items offered in school canteens by increasing the availability of fruits and 222 vegetables and products low in saturated fat and sugar. Additionally, the intervention included a 223 nutritional and PA education component, delivering workshops with parents, school staff and school 224 vendors, and booklets for students to complement their school curriculum. Results showed a similar 225 (average) increase of BMI over time in the treatment group compared to the control group during the 226 first two years, but a significantly lower rate of increase in (average) BMI among those in the 227 treatment group, compared to the control group, for the third year of the intervention  $(1.6 \pm 1.9 \text{ vs.})$ 228  $1.9 \pm 1.7$  Kg/m<sup>2</sup>, p<0.01). Despite both groups decreasing their energy intakes over time, on the third 229 year this decrease was significantly higher among the intervention or treatment group compared with 230 the control one (-756 kcal/d, p < 0.05).

231 The longitudinal quasi-experimental study by González et al., (2014) (47) included preschool to 8<sup>th</sup> 232 grade students (4-15 years-old) from six schools located in rural areas of the Metropolitan region of 233 Santiago, Chile. All students from five schools located in the same municipality received the year-234 long intervention, whereas students from one school at a different municipality were assigned to a 235 control group. Students from the treatment group received fruits 3 times-per-week and a fruit basket 236 was given to the family at the end of the year. This intervention also included workshops with 237 nutritional education material for the students, their parents, and teachers. At the end of the year, 238 results did not show any significant change in body weight status among participants in the control 239 or treatment groups. However, the intervention was successful in increasing frequency of daily 240 intakes of fruits, vegetables, dairy products, pulses and fish, but was ineffective for reducing 241 consumption of unhealthy foods such as chips, hotdogs and pizza. Authors highlight the lack of

10

increase in overweight or obesity status in treatment groups, considering the higher intake of healthyproducts that might contribute to increasing energy intakes.

The year-long quasi-experimental study by Ramírez-López et al., (2005) (41) included 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> grade 244 245 students (6-10 years-old) from urban and rural areas in the state of Sonora, Mexico. The intervention 246 assessed the effect of a national-and-state-funded free school breakfast (FSB) programme on obesity, 247 body composition and cardiovascular risk, compared to non-beneficiaries'. This was the only study 248 assessing one intervention component (i.e., provision of a free school breakfast). Results showed that 249 FSB beneficiaries did not differ in overweight or obesity prevalence, BMI, or in body fat percentage, 250 to those in the control group at the end of the 9-month intervention. Similarly, no major differences 251 between groups were reported for total cholesterol, triglycerides, and glucose.

252 The cluster RCT by Rausch Herscovici et al., (2013) (42) included students aged 9-11 years-old 253 attending six schools from urban areas in Rosario, Argentina. The food provision intervention 254 modified the school canteen options to include healthy food items (fruits, orange juice and low-sugar 255 cereal). Additionally, the intervention included three nutritional and PE workshops for children and 256 one for parents. Results after 6 months showed no significant difference in BMI between the 257 intervention and control groups. However, girls in the experimental group (not the boys) increased 258 consumption of some healthy foods targeted by the intervention (skim milk and orange juice), 259 compared to their control group counterparts.

260 Built environments

Among the four interventions targeting the BE, one study intervened the school playground, and three studies provided materials for promoting PA within the school premises. Studies could report more than one obesity-related measurements; BMI was reported by one study, BMI z-score was reported in three studies, and overweight and obesity prevalence was reported in another study. Four studies included schools located in urban areas (in the secondary cities of Cuenca and Fortaleza, and the capital city of Bogota), while only one study covered both rural and urban areas (State of Sonora). 267 The 3-year cluster RCT by Andrade et al., (2014) (43) included 12 and 13 year-old adolescents 268 attending 20 schools from urban areas of Cuenca, Ecuador, and involved a BE intervention with a 269 walking trail drawn on the playground in the second year of the intervention. Other components 270 included nutritional and physical education (PE) materials (booklets and posters), workshops for 271 adolescents and their parents, and the organisation of social events with famous athletes. After 3 years 272 of intervention, no effects were reported for mean BMI z-score or prevalence of overweight between 273 control and treatment groups. However, students in the treatment group showed a positive effect on 274 physical fitness parameters (vertical jump and speed shuttle run) and a higher percentage met the PA 275 recommendations (60 min of MVPA/day), compared to students allocated to the control groups (6 vs. 276 18 percentage points, p <0.01).

277 The 4-month cluster RCT by Barbosa Filho et al., (2017) (34,35) involved 11 to 13 year-old 278 adolescents in six schools from urban areas of Fortaleza, Brazil. The BE intervention offered space 279 and PA equipment (balls, rackets, mini courts) to promote PA during free time. Other components 280 involved health and PE training and materials (booklets, interactive media, posters) for teachers to 281 include in the school curriculum, pamphlets to students and parents. After four months, no significant 282 effects were reported for BMI, overweight or obesity prevalence. However, the intervention was 283 successful in increasing MVPA time, number of PA, and time spent in PA games per week (control= 284 -75.15, -0.25, -28.30; intervention= 127.92 0.63, 92.01, respectively).

The cluster RCT by Gutiérrez-Martínez et al., (2018) (48) included 10-year-old students in three schools (two treated and one control) from urban areas in Bogotá, Colombia. Both treatment groups received PA equipment (ribbons, balls, hoops, stairs, parachute, and mats) to support PA during recess. Additionally, a PE instructor delivered 30 standardised PA activities lasting 20' each throughout the 10-week intervention period. Additionally, participants in one of the treatment groups received daily SMS messages to promote extra-curricular PA and healthy nutrition. Results suggested there were no effects on BMI z-score or body fat percentage over the 10-week intervention period. Nevertheless, the intervention was successful in increasing MVPA and reducing SB minutes amongparticipants in the treatment groups compared to those in the control one.

294 Finally, the 6-month cluster RCT by Shamah-Levy et al., (2012) (49) included 10 to 12 year-old 295 students in six schools from both urban and rural areas in the State of Mexico. The treatment group 296 received PA equipment (balls, ropes, and hoops) to support PA during recess over a 6-month 297 intervention period. Other components included nutrition and PA education through workshops and 298 materials (booklets, puppet show, advertising, banners) for students, parents, and school staff. 299 Canteen personnel attended workshops aimed at promoting the daily sales of fruit, vegetables, and 300 water. Results suggested a small but significant reduction in the probability of students in the 301 treatment group to shift from the overweight to the obesity category after 6 months, compared to the 302 ones in the control group (OR=0.68; p=0.01). However, no significant differences were reported 303 for both groups (control and intervention) in the probabilities of shifting from the normal to 304 overweight category after the intervention period. Overall, the intervention was relatively effective 305 in maintaining BMI among children in the treatment group.

306 Food and built environments

The 18-month intervention reported by Safdie et al., (2013) (45) involved 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grade children 307 308 (9-10 years-old at baseline) attending 27 schools from urban areas of Mexico City. This study is the 309 only one including food as well as BE strategies, among other intervention components. Additionally, 310 the strategy was implemented in two treatment groups, basic and plus, with the latter having all the 311 same activities than the first, plus extra components implemented with additional financial investment 312 and human resources. This cluster RCT mixed different strategies, including the modification over 313 the food provision in school canteens by limiting the availability of sugar-sweetened beverages (SSB) 314 and the sales of energy-dense foods at the school canteens during the two-years for the plus group, 315 and only during the second year for the basic one. It also included improvement of the school premises 316 and provision of sports equipment for promoting the use of PA areas for two years in two different 317 treatment groups (basic and plus). Games and sports courts were drawn on the ground, and each 318 school received PA equipment (balls, ropes, nets, and elastic bands) to support PE classes and PA 319 during recess and free time. Other components included promoting the availability of healthy food 320 (fruits, vegetables, and non-fried dishes) and beverages (water) within school premises, reducing the 321 number of eating opportunities, while providing nutritional and PA education by delivering 322 workshops and pamphlets to students, parents, school staff and vendors. The intervention also 323 included strategies for promoting PA during recess, among other activities. A small, yet non-324 significant reduction in the prevalence of overweight and obesity was reported for children from 325 control and interventions groups (basic and plus) during the first year (19.5 vs 17; 11.9 vs 11.3; 12 vs 326 11.2%, respectively). Conversely, a slight increase in the prevalence was reported at the beginning of 327 year 2 for control and basic treatment groups, but not for the plus group (17.9, 12.1, 10.7%, 328 respectively). Only children in the basic treatment groups reported a small but non-significant 329 reduction in overweight and obesity prevalence during the second year (12.1% and 10.9%, 330 respectively). In contrast, a small but significant BMI reduction was reported for control and plus 331 groups in year 1 (19.9 to 18.4, and 20 to 18.5%, respectively). However, an inverse direction was 332 reported for all in year 2, with small but non-significant increases in BMI across all groups (control 333 =18.9 to 19.1; basic= 20.1 to 20.4; plus= 18.7 to 19%). Therefore, the small-in-magnitude changes 334 presented in overweight and obesity prevalence and BMI across the intervention period cannot be 335 associated with the study intervention as similar changes were reported in control and interventions 336 groups between baseline and follow-up periods. Yet, the intervention was effective at increasing 337 intakes in recommended food and beverages and decreasing unhealthy ones, together with significant 338 increases in PA (e.g., increases in steps taken by the students), among both treatment groups, 339 compared to the control.

340 Discussion

341 Our review found only nine studies assessing school-based interventions including components for 342 modifying the food and BE within and outside primary and secondary schools in LAC. From this 343 pool, we are unable to conclude that children's exposure to environmental interventions resulted in 344 changes to obesity-related measurements. Albeit, two studies (46,49) showed some results related to 345 the prevention of obesity. Both were implemented in the State of Mexico, the first one in semi-rural 346 areas (46) while the second one targeting both rural and urban areas (49). It is not clear why this 347 geographical concentration appears in our results; it could be speculated that the region has a higher 348 obesity rate compared to other regions in our review -i.e., it already starts from a high rate of obesity 349 and thus impact is easily detected. Notwithstanding, with such differences in the interventions' 350 design, this cannot be evidenced and therefore must remain as a hypothesis for further studies.

351 The remaining seven studies did not present any significant changes in overweight or obesity-related 352 measurements between control and treatment groups. However, all eight studies assessing 353 intermediate outcomes contributing to prevent obesity on the long term reported some positive results, 354 such as decreases in energy intakes (46) and in sedentary behaviour (48), increases in fruit and 355 vegetable intake (47), healthy products (42,45), physical fitness (43), MVPA minutes (48,53), and 356 steps taken (45). Our findings are similar to previous intervention studies reviews from the Global 357 North, reporting improved dietary behaviours and increasing PA albeit inconclusive regarding the 358 effects over obesity-related measures (54–56). Notwithstanding, a recent review and meta-analysis 359 including studies worldwide and using a wider definition of school food environments reported a 360 meaningful effect of interventions to reduce adiposity (-0.12, 95% CI: 0.15-0.10) (26). Overall, all 361 but two studies were classified as showing a low risk of bias, with the remaining two as with moderate 362 risk (41,46), and all but three studies (43,45,46) had 1-year or shorter follow-up measurements, which 363 could have weakened or biased our results. However, these studies are examples of the relatively few

number of studies assessing interventions in LAC, providing valuable information concerning the
 study design and methodological implications for future research teams.

366 In terms of scientific research and evidence mapping, our systematic review revealed the low number 367 of peer-review articles assessing the effectiveness of food and BE interventions in schools for 368 preventing/reducing childhood overweight and obesity in LAC. Previous reviews (57,58) have 369 primarily encountered interventions relying on educational components (e.g., nutritional education 370 and modifications to PE sessions), and not environmental components. We also identified helpful 371 methodological implications for future interventions in the region, for example, the need for a longer 372 follow-up (beyond a 1-year horizon), and targeting both, the food and BEs. They should also assess 373 mediating outcomes (changes in dietary and PA behaviours) and distal ones (obesity-related 374 measures) when planning intervention strategies.

375 Our review has uncovered five studies targeting the BE, all within school boundaries, therefore not 376 covering the 1-mile radius from the school as per our protocol. This is disappointing, particularly 377 considering the positive impact that active commuting has in preventing obesity in schools (59–61). 378 Studies promoting BE interventions outside schools, such as active commuting, requires organising 379 multiple stakeholders (e.g., schools, councils, policymakers, and/or researchers), which might need 380 more funding (62). Considering shortage of funding for research and development in LAC, with only 381 0.67% of its GPD allocated to it (63) and mostly from the public sector (64), interventions connecting 382 different stakeholders and with a longer follow-up can face financial barriers. More research 383 investment from governments and/or other funders could foster multi-stakeholder collaboration and 384 design ambitious interventions, at the neighbourhood scale.

Moreover, most of the studies included here targeted urban areas, and even those targeting rural or semirural areas were in large metropolitan regions (Santiago de Chile and State of Mexico). Considering that food provision in rural areas in LAC is generally more expensive than in urban locations (due to transport and logistic costs) (65), we hoped to find interventions conducted in more distinctive urban and rural settings. Expecting therefore to find contrasting results based on locations but the lack of published research on more typical rural landscapes has hampered any conclusive findings. However, this research gap does highlight the need for more interventions targeting the built and food environments in rural areas for preventing and reducing childhood obesity.

393 Our review has made a positive contribution to science and policymaking by updating the available 394 evidence, even though included prospective studies only captured cluster-RCTs and LQE 395 interventions excluding pre-and post-policy outcome evaluations related to childhood obesity. Only 396 one LQE study in our pool assessed a state-wide school feeding programme, showing no difference 397 between those receiving a free-school breakfast in any obesity-related measurement to those who did 398 not. (41). It is in this area where our review also highlights a lack of policy evaluation studies reporting 399 obesity-related outcomes. Indeed, 13 LAC countries have regulated the sale of food and beverages in 400 schools (66), and four countries including Chile, Costa Rica, Ecuador and Uruguay, have 401 implemented national policies aiming to restrict food marketing of unhealthy foods within school 402 premises (67). Only two countries, Chile and Mexico (66), have performed policy evaluations 403 regarding restrictions of unhealthy product sales in schools, reporting positive results for reducing 404 their availability in school kiosks in Chile (68) and for decreasing energy intake in children who only 405 consumed food purchased at school in Mexico (69).

406 The case of Chile is a unique example within the region for implementing, in 2016, a mandatory and 407 comprehensive policy for reducing consumption of unhealthy products, and reducing and preventing 408 obesity by including mandatory front-of-package warning labels, limiting advertising, and prohibiting 409 school sales of products high in calories, sodium, sugar, or saturated fat (70). Recent policy 410 evaluations have reported positive outcomes for reducing the consumption and exposure to television 411 advertising of unhealthy products among pre-school children (71) and for households reducing 412 purchases of unhealthy products (72). However, no peer-reviewed policy evaluation in Chile has yet 413 assessed the effect over obesity-related outcomes. A good example of a pre-and post-evaluation of an

414 obesity prevention policy and its effectiveness in changing obesity-related measurements is the 415 impact assessment of the sugar-sweetened beverages (SSB) tax in Mexico and its role in decreasing 416 overweight or obesity prevalence among adolescents (73). Considering as stated above that research 417 funding is scarce, future research should test the effectiveness of these policy-related interventions 418 by conducting rigorous RCTs at a small-scale; and use this evidence to decide whether scaling-up is 419 worthwhile. Scientists should exploit the opportunities presented by such policy changes and test their 420 effect on changes in childhood obesity-related outcomes. The outcomes of such pre-and post-421 evaluations will take time but would at least inform governments if policy fixes are needed.

422 This lack of peer-reviewed policy evaluations suggests that there could be a disconnect between the 423 scientific community and policymakers. A finding that can be attributed to a potential publication 424 bias within our study based on the exclusion of grey literature (e.g., technical reports). 425 Notwithstanding, the question is, are scientist producing sufficient and adequate evidence for 426 policymakers? Some evidence from studies reviewed here shows positive results in intermediate 427 outcomes, such as reduction of sedentary behaviour and increase in fruit and vegetable intake as 428 reported above. Yet, it seems peer-reviewed studies are not assessing changes in obesity-related 429 measurements before/after policies are implemented and therefore, policymakers do not seem to have 430 the relevant evidence on the effectiveness of policies targeting childhood obesity in LAC. Decision-431 makers need evaluations of the short-term and long-term impact of childhood obesity prevention 432 policies targeting school environments for reducing/preventing obesity, vis-à-vis the assessment of 433 intermediate obesity determinants.

434 Considering that several countries in LAC are facing a double burden of obesity and 435 undernutrition(74), this potential disconnect between the scientific community and policymakers is 436 concerning, particularly considering the current COVID-19 pandemic. Indeed, the already large 437 disparities in obesity rates and in behaviours contributing to obesity (diet, PA and sedentary 438 behaviour) in LAC (75–78), predominantly affecting economically disadvantaged populations, has 439 placed a disproportionate burden on these groups during the pandemic (79). Due to disruptions in 440 food supply chains, decreases in income and reductions in PA due to lockdowns (80,81), it is expected 441 that obesity rates across the continent will be impacted. Furthermore, the pandemic also has 442 highlighted this science and policy disconnect, particularly considering the emergency response 443 measures coming from some LAC governments at the start of the pandemic (such as Brazil and 444 Mexico) (82,83). Despite the large and conclusive scientific evidence suggesting effective measures 445 for mitigating contagion (e.g., use of facemasks and social distancing), some countries simply ignored 446 the science. Academics working on other pressing issues such as climate change, are already 447 concluding that scientific evidence is more effective when academics and policymakers engage 448 (84,85). LAC governments must take steps in bridging the science and policy gap, ensuring that 449 policies are independently evaluated and peer-reviewed before upscaling.

450 Strengths and Limitations

The main methodological limitations arise from the different sources of heterogeneity we encountered
among the included studies. We list below the sources and their effect on our review or the studies
themselves.

454 The first source is the high heterogeneity in reporting outcome measurements and measures of error. 455 Studies reported different cut-off points and operationalisations for obesity-related outcomes (e.g., 456 BMI, BMI z-score, overweight and/or obesity prevalence). Some presented results as mean 457 differences; others reported averages or prevalence and others compared the frequency of these 458 changes. Additionally, only two reported straightforward measurements of variability for the effect 459 changes (standard deviations, standard error, or confidence intervals). The second source is the high 460 heterogeneity in study designs, age groups and types of intervention. A third source is the use of 461 multiple intervention components used by the included studies, which might have influenced the lack 462 of conclusive results. Together with modifications to the food and/or, BEs, interventions combined 463 strategies by including nutrition and PA education, and/or changes to PE sessions. These components

464 are delivered by different strategies, such as providing materials within the school curriculum, 465 presenting workshops for students, parents and school staff, and the use of social marketing strategies 466 (e.g., pamphlets and posters), among others. This multiplicity prevents us from clearly identify if the 467 intervention effects can be attributed to the inclusion of changes to the food or BEs in schools or to 468 other types of intervention strategies. Only one study presented a food environmental strategy in 469 isolation, and therefore, we cannot easily compare the effects of the different strategies. Altogether, 470 these three sources of heterogeneity prevented us from quantitatively pooling data for a meta-analysis.

471 Likewise, the variable duration of interventions may have had an impact on the extent to which 472 obesity-related measurements were affected. Six interventions lasted less than an academic year (<9 473 months), one lasted two years (18 months) and two lasted more than 3 years (28 months). Despite 474 most of the interventions reporting positive results on some intermediate outcomes (diet, PA and SB), 475 most failed to find any significant difference in measurements of obesity between intervention and 476 control groups. Furthermore, all studies had small sample sizes (i.e., a reduced number of treated and 477 non-treated schools). It is possible that some of these interventions might have been successful but 478 that the effects might not have been large enough to be detected. Future interventions should consider 479 a larger number of schools (based on power size calculations) and longer follow-up periods in their 480 design, ensuring more conclusive findings on long term obesity changes.

481 Conclusion

This review synthesised, for the first time, the effectiveness of interventions targeting the food and BEs in schools to prevent/reduce childhood obesity in LAC. Due to the high heterogeneity in study design and reporting outcomes, results were inconclusive. However, no study in our review reported a significant increase in BMI or obesity prevalence when interventions included modifications to the food and/or BEs.

In terms of evidence mapping, we revealed the low number of peer-review articles assessing theeffectiveness of food and built and school environment interventions for preventing and reducing

childhood overweight and obesity in LAC. Furthermore, we also have detected a complete absence
of studies assessing the BE outside school buildings, for example encouraging of active school
commute.

492 Our conclusion is more concerning, as it points to a lack of policy evaluations from countries that 493 have implemented policies, vis-à-vis a lack of adequate policy-informing evidence in countries where 494 academics are active on obesity-related research, suggesting there is a potential disconnect between 495 science and policymaking. With three out of ten children aged 5 to 19 years-old living with 496 overweight or obesity in LAC countries, further funding to fund studies aiming to prevent and reduce 497 childhood obesity in school settings in the region is needed. Notwithstanding, the production of 498 evidence means little if science and policy operate in silos with little co-production of knowledge to 499 better understand the food and BE factors that underpin LAC's obesogenic environments where 500 children learn, play and grow.

- 501 Acknowledgements
- 502 We are grateful to all the authors who responded our request for data for inclusion in our review.

503 Funding

- 504 This review was funded by PROCIENCIA (CONCYTEC/FONDECYT) grant number 030-2019, the
- 505 British Embassy, the MRC grant (MR/S024778/1) and Newton Fund; and the Old Dart Foundation
- 506 The funders had no role in the design, collection, analysis, or interpretation of the present study, or in 507 the decision to publish.

#### 508 Authorship

509 C.M., R.N. and V.K.S. conceived the review. C.M., R.N., V.K.S. and M.J.V-S. designed the 510 methodology for the systematic review. M.J.V-S. led and conducted the literature search, screening, 511 data extraction, risk of bias assessment, data analysis and interpretation, and writing of the first draft 512 of the manuscript. A.H-A. was the second reviewer and contributed to searches, screening, data 513 extraction, risk of bias assessment, and data analysis. R.N. was involved in resolving disagreements 514 during screening processes. C.M. critically revised and edited the final draft of the manuscript. R.N., 515 A.H-A, K.C-Q., M.E.P., S.C., J.A.L., A.S., and V.K.S., provided comments, revised the manuscript, 516 and approved the final version. M.J.V-S. and C.M. confirm they had full access to the data in the 517 study and final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

#### 518 References

- Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al. Global, regional,
   and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013:
   a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 2014 Aug
   30;384(9945):766–81.
- 523 2. Fondo de las Naciones Unidas para la Infancia. El sobrepeso en la niñez: Un llamado para la
  524 prevención en América Latina y el Caribe [Internet]. Ciudad de Panamá; 2021 [cited 2021 Oct
  525 27]. Available from: www.unicef.org/lac
- 526 3. Ebbeling CB, Pawlak DB, Ludwig DS. Childhood obesity: public-health crisis, common sense
  527 cure. Lancet. 2002 Aug 10;360(9331):473–82.
- 528 4. Segal AB, Huerta MC, Aurino E, Sassi F. The impact of childhood obesity on human capital
  529 in high-income countries: A systematic review. Obes Rev. 2021 Jan 1;22(1):e13104.
- 5. Park MH, Falconer C, Viner RM, Kinra S. The impact of childhood obesity on morbidity and
  mortality in adulthood: a systematic review. Obes Rev. 2012 Nov 1;13(11):985–1000.
- 532 6. Singh AS, Mulder C, Twisk JWR, Mechelen W Van, Chinapaw MJM. Tracking of childhood
  533 overweight into adulthood: a systematic review of the literature. Obes Rev. 2008 Sep
  534 1;9(5):474–88.
- 535 7. Popkin BM, Reardon T. Obesity and the food system transformation in Latin America. Obes
  536 Rev. 2018;19(8):1028–64.
- 8. Popkin BM, Gordon-Larsen P. The nutrition transition: worldwide obesity dynamics and their
  determinants. Int J Obes. 2004 Nov 15;28(S3):S2–9.
- 539 9. Corvalán C, Garmendia ML, Jones-Smith J, Lutter CK, Miranda JJ, Pedraza LS, et al.
  540 Nutrition status of children in Latin America. Obes Rev. 2017 Jul;18:7–18.

23

- 541 10. Swinburn B, Egger G, Raza F. Dissecting Obesogenic Environments: The Development and
  542 Application of a Framework for Identifying and Prioritizing Environmental Interventions for
  543 Obesity. Prev Med (Baltim). 1999 Dec 1;29(6):563–70.
- 544 11. Townshend T, Lake A. Obesogenic environments: current evidence of the built and food
  545 environments: Perspect Public Health. 2017 Jan 11;137(1):38–44.
- Feng J, Glass TA, Curriero FC, Stewart WF, Schwartz BS. The built environment and obesity:
  A systematic review of the epidemiologic evidence. Health Place. 2010 Mar 1;16(2):175–90.
- Mackenbach JD, Rutter H, Compernolle S, Glonti K, Oppert JMM, Charreire H, et al.
  Obesogenic environments: a systematic review of the association between the physical
  environment and adult weight status, the SPOTLIGHT project. BMC Public Health. 2014 Mar
  6;14(1):1–15.
- Li Y, Luo M, Wu X, Xiao Q, Luo J, Jia P. Grocery store access and childhood obesity: A
  systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2021 Feb 1;22(S1):e12945.
- 554 15. Story M, Kaphingst KM, French S. The Role of Schools in Obesity Prevention. Futur Child.
  555 2006;16(1):109–42.
- Fondo de las Naciones Unidas para la Infancia (UNICEF). El rol de la escuela en la prevención
  del sobrepeso y la obesidad en estudiantes de América Latina y el Caribe [Internet]. 2021
  [cited 2021 Oct 29]. Available from: https://www.unicef.org/lac/media/29016/file/LACROEl-rol-de-la-escuela-en-la-prevencion-del-sobrepeso.pdf
- Foster GD, Sherman S, Borradaile KE, Grundy KM, Veur SS Vander, Nachmani J, et al. A
  Policy-Based School Intervention to Prevent Overweight and Obesity. Pediatrics. 2008 Apr
  1;121(4):e794–802.
- 563 18. Sbruzzi G, Eibel B, Barbiero SM, Petkowicz RO, Ribeiro RA, Cesa CC, et al. Educational

24

564 interventions in childhood obesity: A systematic review with meta-analysis of randomized 565 clinical trials. Prev Med (Baltim). 2013 May 1;56(5):254-64. 566 Wang Y, Cai L, Wu Y, Wilson RF, Weston C, Fawole O, et al. What childhood obesity 19. 567 prevention programmes work? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2015 Jul 568 1;16(7):547-65. 569 Kropski JA, Keckley PH, Jensen GL. School-based Obesity Prevention Programs: An 20. 570 Evidence-based Review. Obesity. 2008 May 1;16(5):1009-18. 571 21. Guerra PH, Silveira JAC da, Salvador EP, da Silveira JAC, Salvador EP. Physical activity and 572 nutrition education at the school environment aimed at preventing childhood obesity: 573 evidence from systematic reviews. J Pediatr (Rio J) [Internet]. 2016 Jan 1 [cited 2021 Oct 574 29];92(1):15–23. Available from: 575 http://www.scielo.br/j/jped/a/myYFxpvHnLB5V7HVX9Z7txm/abstract/?lang=en 576 22. Lavelle H V., Mackay DF, Pell JP. Systematic review and meta-analysis of school-based 577 interventions to reduce body mass index. J Public Health (Bangkok). 2012 Aug 1;34(3):360-9. 578 579 23. Liu Z, Xu HM, Wen LM, Peng YZ, Lin LZ, Zhou S, et al. A systematic review and meta-580 analysis of the overall effects of school-based obesity prevention interventions and effect 581 differences by intervention components. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2019 Oct 29;16(1):1-12. 582 24. Sobol-Goldberg S, Rabinowitz J, Gross R. School-based obesity prevention programs: A 583 meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Obesity [Internet]. 2013 Dec 1 [cited 2021 Nov 584 1];21(12):2422-8. Available from: 585 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/oby.20515 586

25. Bleich SN, Vercammen KA, Zatz LY, Frelier JM, Ebbeling CB, Peeters A. Interventions to 587 prevent global childhood overweight and obesity: a systematic review. Lancet Diabetes
588 Endocrinol. 2018 Apr 1;6(4):332–46.

- 589 26. Pineda E, Bascunan J, Sassi F. Improving the school food environment for the prevention of
  590 childhood obesity: What works and what doesn't. Obes Rev. 2021 Feb 1;22(2).
- 591 27. Driessen CE, Cameron AJ, Thornton LE, Lai SK, Barnett LM. Effect of changes to the school
  592 food environment on eating behaviours and/or body weight in children: a systematic review.
  593 Obes Rev. 2014 Dec 1;15(12):968–82.
- Swinburn B, Sacks G, Vandevijvere S, Kumanyika S, Lobstein T, Neal B, et al. INFORMAS
  (International Network for Food and Obesity/non-communicable diseases Research,
  Monitoring and Action Support): overview and key principles. Obes Rev. 2013 Oct;14(1,
  SI):1–12.
- World Health Organization. School policy framework: implementation of the WHO global
  strategy on diet, physical activity and health [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2021 Aug 4]. Available
  from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43923
- 601 30. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The 602 PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 603 2021 Mar 29 2021 12];372. Available [Internet]. [cited Oct from: 604 https://www.bmj.com/content/372/bmj.n71
- 60531.Thomas J., Brunton J. EPPI-Reviewer: advanced software for systematic reviews, maps and606evidence synthesis [Internet]. London: EPPI-Centre Software, UCL Social Research Institute;6072020[cited2021Nov16].Availablefrom:608https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Default.aspx?tabid=1913
- 609 32. Higgins JPT., Thomas J., Chandler J., Cumpston M., Li T., Page MJ., et al. Cochrane

- 610 Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 16]. 611 Report No.: 6.2. Available from: www.training.cochrane.org/handbook 612 Orwin R. Evaluating coding decisions. In: Cooper H, Hedges L, editors. The handbook of 33. 613 research synthesis. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation; 1994. 614 34. Filho VCB, Da Silva KS, Mota J, Beck C, Da Silva Lopes A. A Physical Activity Intervention 615 for Brazilian Students From Low Human Development Index Areas: A Cluster-Randomized 616 Controlled Trial. J Phys Act Heal. 2016 Nov 1;13(11):1174-82. 617 Barbosa Filho VC, da Silva KS, Mota J, Vieira NFC, Gubert F do A, Lopes A da S. "For 35. 618 whom was it effective?" Moderators of the effect of a school-based intervention on potential 619 physical activity determinants among Brazilian students. Prev Med (Baltim). 2017 Apr 620 1;97:80–5. 621 Cochrane Training. Extracting data from figures using software [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2021 36. 622 Oct 15]. Available from: https://training.cochrane.org/resource/extracting-data-figures-using-623 software-webinar 624 37. Eldridge S, Campbell MK, Campbell MJ, Drahota AK, Giraudeau B, Reeves BC, et al.
- Bedruge S, Campbell MK, Campbell MJ, Drahota AK, Ghaudeau B, Reeves BC, et al.
  Revised Cochrane risk of bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) Additional considerations
  for cluster-randomized trials (RoB 2 CRT) [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Oct 26]. Available
  from: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1yDQtDkrp68\_8kJiIUdbongK99sx7RFI-/view
- 38. Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane
  Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011 Oct
  18;343(7829).
- 39. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINSI: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ. 2016 Oct

633

12;355:i4919.

- 40. McGuinness LA, Higgins JPT. Risk-of-bias VISualization (robvis): An R package and Shiny
  web app for visualizing risk-of-bias assessments. Res Synth Methods. 2021 Jan 1;12(1):55–
  636 61.
- 637 41. Ramírez-López E, Grijalva-Haro MI, Valencia ME, Ponce JA, Artalejo E. Impacto de un
  638 programa de desayunos escolares en la prevalencia de obesidad y factores de riesgo
  639 cardiovascular en niños sonorenses. Salud Publica Mex. 2005;47(2):126–33.
- Rausch Herscovici C, Kovalskys I, Jose De Gregorio M. Gender differences and a schoolbased obesity prevention program in Argentina: a randomized trial. Rev Panam SALUD
  PUBLICA-PAN Am J PUBLIC Heal. 2013;34(2):75–82.
- Andrade S, Lachat C, Ochoa-Aviles A, Verstraeten R, Huybregts L, Roberfroid D, et al. A
  school-based intervention improves physical fitness in Ecuadorian adolescents: a clusterrandomized controlled trial. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2014 Dec 10;11(153):1–17.
- 44. UNESCO Institute for Statistics. International Standard Classification of Education ISCED
  2011 [Internet]. Montreal, Canada; 2012 [cited 2021 Dec 2]. Available from:
  http://www.uis.unesco.org
- 649 45. Safdie M, Jennings-Aburto N, Lévesque L, Janssen I, Campirano-Núñez F, López-Olmedo N,
  650 et al. Impact of a school-based intervention program on obesity risk factors in Mexican
  651 children. Salud Publica Mex. 2013;55 Suppl 3:374–87.
- 46. Alvirde-García U, Rodríguez-Guerrero AJ, Henao-Morán S, Gómez-Pérez FJ, AguilarSalinas CA. Resultados de un programa comunitario de intervención en el estilo de vida en
  niños. Salud Publica Mex. 2013;55(supl 3):S406–14.
- 47. González G CG, Zacarías H I, Domper R A, Fonseca M L, Lera M L, Vio del R F. Evaluación

- de un programa de entrega de frutas con educación nutricional en escuelas públicas rurales de
  la Región Metropolitana, Chile. Rev Chil Nutr. 2014 Sep 1;41(3):228–35.
- Gutiérrez-Martínez L, Martínez RG, González SA, Bolívar MA, Estupiñan OV, Sarmiento
  OL. Effects of a strategy for the promotion of physical activity in students from Bogotá. Rev
  Saude Publica. 2018 Jul 26;52.
- 49. Shamah Levy T, Morales Ruán C, Amaya Castellanos C, Salazar Coronel A, Jiménez Aguilar
  A, Méndez Gómez Humarán I. Effectiveness of a diet and physical activity promotion strategy
  on the prevention of obesity in Mexican school children. BMC Public Heal 2012 121. 2012
  Mar 1;12(1):1–13.
- 50. Barlow SE, Committee and the E. Expert Committee Recommendations Regarding the
  Prevention, Assessment, and Treatment of Child and Adolescent Overweight and Obesity:
  Summary Report. Pediatrics. 2007 Dec 1;120(Supplement\_4):S164–92.
- 668 51. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child
  669 overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. BMJ. 2000 May 6;320(7244):1240.
- 670 52. WHO MULTICENTRE GROWTH REFERENCE STUDY GROUP, de Onis M. WHO Child
  671 Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age. Acta Paediatr. 2006
  672 Apr;450(S450):76–85.
- 53. Barbosa Filho VC, Minatto G, Mota J, Silva KS, de Campos W, Lopes A da S. Promoting
  physical activity for children and adolescents in low- and middle-income countries: An
  umbrella systematic review. A review on promoting physical activity in LMIC. Vol. 88,
  Preventive Medicine. Academic Press Inc.; 2016. p. 115–26.
- Micha R, Karageorgou D, Bakogianni I, Trichia E, Whitsel LP, Story M, et al. Effectiveness
  of school food environment policies on children's dietary behaviors: A systematic review and

679 meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2018 Mar 1;13(3):e0194555.

- 55. Williams AJ, Wyatt KM, Hurst AJ, Williams CA. A systematic review of associations
  between the primary school built environment and childhood overweight and obesity. Health
  Place. 2012 May 1;18(3):504–14.
- 56. Davison KK, Lawson CT. Do attributes in the physical environment influence children's
  physical activity? A review of the literature. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2006 Jul 27;3(1):1–
  17.
- 57. Lobelo F, Quevedo IG de, Holub CK, Nagle BJ, Arredondo EM, Barquera S, et al. SchoolBased Programs Aimed at the Prevention and Treatment of Obesity: Evidence-Based
  Interventions for Youth in Latin America. J Sch Health. 2013 Sep 1;83(9):668–77.
- 58. Mancipe Navarrete JA, Garcia Villamil SS, Correa Bautista JE, Meneses-Echávez JF,
  González-Jiménez E, Schmidt-Riovalle J. Efectividad de las intervenciones educativas
  realizadas en América Latina para la prevención del sobrepeso y obesidad infantil en niños
  escolares de 6 a 17 años: una revisión sistemática. Nutr Hosp. 2015;31(1):102–14.
- 59. Lubans DR, Boreham CA, Kelly P, Foster CE. The relationship between active travel to school
  and health-related fitness in children and adolescents: A systematic review. Int J Behav Nutr
  Phys Act. 2011 Jan 26;8(1):1–12.
- 696 60. Larouche R, Mammen G, Rowe DA, Faulkner G. Effectiveness of active school transport
  697 interventions: A systematic review and update. BMC Public Health. 2018 Feb 1;18(1):1–18.
- 698 61. Saunders LE, Green JM, Petticrew MP, Steinbach R, Roberts H. What Are the Health Benefits
  699 of Active Travel? A Systematic Review of Trials and Cohort Studies. PLoS One. 2013 Aug
  700 15;8(8):e69912.
- 701 62. Daly-Smith A, Quarmby T, Archbold VSJ, Corrigan N, Wilson D, Resaland GK, et al. Using

- a multi-stakeholder experience-based design process to co-develop the Creating Active
  Schools Framework. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2020 Feb 7;17(1):1–12.
- 63. UNESCO. Research and development expenditure (% of GDP) Latin America & Caribbean,
  European Union | Data [Internet]. Data Bank, World Bank. 2018 [cited 2022 Jun 20].
  Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/GB.XPD.RSDV.GD.ZS?locations=ZJEU
- 70864.ECLAC. Productive development in open economies [Internet]. San Juan, Puerto Rico; 2004709[cited2022Jun20].Availablefrom:710https://repositorio.cepal.org/bitstream/handle/11362/13092/S2004056\_en.pdf?sequence=1&i711sAllowed=y
- 712 65. FAO, IFAD, PAHO, WFP, UNICEF. Regional Overview of Food Security and Nutrition in 713 Latin America and the Caribbean 2020 – Food security and nutrition for lagging territories 714 [Internet]. Santiago; 2021 [cited] 2022 Jun 24]. Available from: 715 https://www.fao.org/3/cb2242en/cb2242en.pdf
- 66. Molina M, Anderson LN, Guindon GE, Tarride JE. A review of implementation and
  evaluation of Pan American Health Organization's policies to prevent childhood obesity in
  Latin America. Obes Sci Pract. 2021;
- 719 67. Taillie LS, Busey E, Stoltze FM, Dillman Carpentier FR. Governmental policies to reduce
  720 unhealthy food marketing to children. Nutr Rev. 2019 Nov 1;77(11):787–816.
- Massri C, Sutherland S, Källestål C, Peña S. Impact of the food-labeling and advertising law
  banning competitive food and beverages in Chilean public schools, 2014–2016. Am J Public
  Health. 2019 Aug 7;109(9):1249–54.
- 724 69. López-Olmedo N, Jiménez-Aguilar A, Morales-Ruan M del C, Hernández-Ávila M, Shamah-

- Levy T, Rivera-Dommarco JA. Consumption of foods and beverages in elementary schools:
  Results of the implementation of the general guidelines for foods and beverages sales in
  elementary schools in Mexico, stages II and III. Eval Program Plann. 2018 Feb 1;66:1–6.
- 728 70. Rodríguez Osiac L, Cofré C, Pizarro T, Mansilla C, Herrera CA, Burrows J, et al. Using
  729 evidence-informed policies to tackle overweight and obesity in Chile. Rev Panam Salud
  730 Pública. 2017;41(e156):1–5.
- 731 71. Jensen ML, Carpentier FD, Adair L, Corvalan C, Popkin BM, Taillie LS. Examining Chile's
  732 unique food marketing policy: TV advertising and dietary intake in preschool children, a pre733 and post- policy study. Pediatr Obes. 2021;16(4).
- 734 72. Taillie LS, Bercholz M, Popkin B, Reyes M, Colchero MA, Corvalán C. Changes in food
  735 purchases after the Chilean policies on food labelling, marketing, and sales in schools: a before
  736 and after study. Lancet Planet Heal. 2021 Aug 1;5(8):e526–33.
- 737 73. Gračner T, Marquez-Padilla F, Hernandez-Cortes D. Changes in Weight-Related Outcomes
  738 Among Adolescents Following Consumer Price Increases of Taxed Sugar-Sweetened
  739 Beverages. JAMA Pediatr. 2021 Dec 13;
- 740 74. Corvalán C, Garmendia ML, Jones-Smith J, Lutter CK, Miranda JJ, Pedraza LS, et al.
  741 Nutrition status of children in Latin America. Obes Rev [Internet]. 2017 Jul 1 [cited 2021 Dec
  742 6];18:7–18. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/obr.12571
- 743 75. Vega-Salas MJ, Caro P, Johnson L, Papadaki A. Socioeconomic Inequalities in Dietary Intake
  744 in Chile: A Systematic Review. Public Health Nutr. 2021 Jul 12:1–16.
- 745 76. Vega-Salas MJ, Caro P, Johnson L, Armstrong MEG, Papadaki A. Socioeconomic inequalities
  746 in physical activity and sedentary behaviour among the chilean population: A systematic
  747 review of observational studies. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Sep 1;18(18):9722.

- 748 77. Mayén AL, Marques-Vidal P, Paccaud F, Bovet P, Stringhini S. Socioeconomic determinants
  749 of dietary patterns in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr.
  750 2014 Dec 1;100(6):1520–31.
- 751 78. Mazariegos M, Auchincloss AH, Braverman-Bronstein A, Kroker-Lobos MF, Ramírez-Zea
- M, Hessel P, et al. Educational inequalities in obesity: a multilevel analysis of survey data
  from cities in Latin America. Public Health Nutr. 2021;1–9.
- 754 79. Halpern B, Louzada ML da C, Aschner P, Gerchman F, Brajkovich I, Faria-Neto JR, et al.
  755 Obesity and COVID-19 in Latin America: A tragedy of two pandemics—Official document
  756 of the Latin American Federation of Obesity Societies. Obes Rev. 2021 Mar 1;22(3):e13165.
- 757 80. Cortinez-O'Ryan A, Moran MR, Rios AP, Anza-Ramirez C, Slovic AD. Could severe
  758 mobility and park use restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic aggravate health
  759 inequalities? Insights and challenges from Latin America. Cad Saude Publica. 2020 Oct
  760 5;36(9):e00185820.
- Ruíz-Roso MB, de Carvalho Padilha P, Matilla-Escalante DC, Brun P, Ulloa N, AcevedoCorrea D, et al. Changes of Physical Activity and Ultra-Processed Food Consumption in
  Adolescents from Different Countries during Covid-19 Pandemic: An Observational Study.
  Nutrients. 2020 Jul 30;12(8):2289.
- 765 82. Garcia PJ, Alarcón A, Bayer A, Buss P, Guerra G, Ribeiro H, et al. COVID-19 Response in
  766 Latin America. Am J Trop Med Hyg [Internet]. 2020 Nov 1 [cited 2021 Dec 21];103(5):1765.
  767 Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7646820/
- Knaul F, Arreola-Ornelas H, Porteny T, Touchton M, Sánchez-Talanquer M, Méndez Ó, et al.
  Not far enough: Public health policies to combat COVID-19 in Mexico's states. PLoS One.
  2021 Jun 1;16(6):e0251722.

| 771 | 84. | Norström A V., Cvitanovic C, Löf MF, West S, Wyborn C, Balvanera P, et al. Principles for |
|-----|-----|---|
| 772 |     | knowledge co-production in sustainability research. Nat Sustain 2020 33. 2020 Jan         |
| 773 |     | 20;3(3):182–90.   |

85. Irwin EG, Culligan PJ, Fischer-Kowalski M, Law KL, Murtugudde R, Pfirman S. Bridging

barriers to advance global sustainability. Nat Sustain 2018 17. 2018 Jul 16;1(7):324–6.

776

777

#### 778 Figures and Tables

#### 779 Figure 1. PRISMA 2020 flow diagram



780

781 \*Main reasons for excluding records at title/abstract screening were: studies not including human participants, studies not conducted in LAC region, non-782 prospective studies, studies not conducted within or around school settings, not-peer reviewed, among others.

783

| 784 | Table 1. | Summary | characteristics | of the | included studies |
|-----|----------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------------|
|-----|----------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------------|

| Author  | Country<br>/State or<br>City<br>(Area)                      | Study<br>design | School n<br>(control/<br>treatment<br>) | Students<br>n<br>(control/<br>treatment<br>)<br>[baseline] | Students<br>n<br>(control/<br>treatment<br>) [follow-<br>up] | Mean Age<br>(SD)<br>(control/<br>treatment<br>) [grade/<br>education<br>level]                       | Intervention<br>length | Environment<br>intervention<br>type | Environmental<br>intervention<br>component   | Other Intervention<br>components   |
|---|---|-----------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Alvirde-<br>García<br>(2013)(46)                            | Mexico/<br>State of<br>Mexico<br>(semi-<br>rural)           | C-<br>RCT       | 2/3                                     | 755/1927   | 408/816  | 9.1(1.7)/<br>9.0(1.7)<br>[4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup><br>grade/<br>primary]                  | 3 years (28 months)    | Food<br>provision                   | Increasing<br>availability of fruits<br>and vegetables and<br>products low in<br>saturated fat and in<br>sugar in school<br>canteens | <ol> <li>Nutritional education;</li> <li>PA education.</li> <li>School curriculum</li> <li>(booklets and activity<br/>guide) and workshops for<br/>parents and school vendors</li> </ol> |
| Andrade<br>(2014)(43)                                       | Ecuador/<br>Cuenca<br>(urban)                               | C-<br>RCT       | 10/10                                   | 740/700  | 533/550  | 12.9(0.8)/<br>12.8(0.8)<br>[8 <sup>th</sup> and 9 <sup>th</sup><br>grade<br>/lower<br>secondary<br>] | 3 years (28 months)    | Built<br>environment                | Drawing of a<br>walking trail on the<br>school's playground  | <ol> <li>PA education;</li> <li>SB education.</li> <li>School curriculum</li> <li>(booklets), workshops for<br/>parents, social events,<br/>posters</li> </ol>                           |
| Barbosa<br>Filho<br>(2017)(34,3<br>5)                       | Brazil/<br>Fortaleza<br>(urban)                             | C-<br>RCT       | 3/3                                     | 594/588  | 537/548  | 12-15 [7 <sup>th</sup> -<br>9 <sup>th</sup> grade<br>/lower<br>secondary<br>]                        | 4 months               | Built<br>environment                | PA equipment<br>(balls, rackets, etc.)   | <ol> <li>Health education;</li> <li>PA education.</li> <li>School curriculum</li> <li>(booklets and interactive media), workshops for teachers, posters and pamphlets</li> </ol>         |
| González<br>(2014)(47)                                      | Chile/<br>Santiago<br>metropol<br>itan<br>region<br>(rural) | LQE             | 1/5                                     | 192/784  | 192/784  | 10 (2.9)/<br>9.2(3.1)<br>[preschool<br>- 8 <sup>th</sup> grade<br>/primary +<br>lower<br>secondary   | 9 months               | Food<br>provision                   | Handout fruits 3<br>times-per-week to<br>students and a fruit<br>basket to the family<br>at the end of the<br>year                   | (1) Nutritional education.<br>School curriculum<br>(activities) and workshops<br>for parents and teachers  |
| <b>Gutiérrez-</b><br><b>Martínez</b><br>( <b>2018</b> )(48) | Colombi<br>a/<br>Bogotá<br>(urban)                          | C-<br>RCT       | 1/1<br>(TG1)/1<br>(TG2)                 | 60/60<br>(TG1)/68<br>(TG2)                                 | 45/34<br>(TG1)/44<br>(TG2)                                   | 10.6(0.8)/<br>10.4(0.6)/<br>10.4(0.7)  | 10 weeks               | Built<br>environment                | PA equipment<br>(ribbons, balls,<br>hoops, stairs,<br>parachute and mats)  | (1) PA education<br>Structured PA education<br>during recess   |

|                                    |  |           |                          |                               |                               | [5 <sup>th</sup> grade /<br>primary]  |           |  | to support PA<br>during recess  | (2) Daily SMS PA<br>reminder  |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------|--|---|---|
| Rausch<br>Herscovici<br>(2013)(42) | Argentin<br>a/<br>Rosario<br>(urban)                     | C-<br>RCT | 2/4                      | 171/234                       | 164/205                       | 9.8(0.7)/<br>9.6(0.8)<br>[5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup><br>grade /<br>primary]              | 6 months  | Food<br>provision                          | Provision of healthy<br>food items in snack<br>bar options  | <ol> <li>Nutritional education;</li> <li>PA education.</li> <li>Workshops for students<br/>and parents</li> </ol>   |
| Ramírez-<br>López<br>(2005)(41)    | Mexico/<br>Sonora<br>(urban<br>and<br>rural)             | LQE       | N/R                      | 610                           | 106/254                       | 8.4(1.3)/<br>8.6(1.3)<br>[1 <sup>st</sup> to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>grade /<br>primary]               | 9 months  | Food<br>provision                          | Provision of free<br>school breakfast   |   |
| Safdie<br>(2013)(45)               | Mexico/<br>Mexico<br>City<br>(urban)                     | C-<br>RCT | 11/8<br>(TG1)/8<br>(TG2) | 354/252<br>(TG1)/254<br>(TG2) | 354/252<br>(TG1)/254<br>(TG2) | 9.8(0.8)/<br>9.7(0.7)/<br>9.7(0.7)<br>[4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup><br>grade /<br>primary] | 18 months | Food<br>provision/<br>Built<br>environment | Limiting the<br>availability of SSB<br>and energy-dense<br>foods at school<br>canteens<br>Improve school<br>premises and<br>provide sports<br>equipment | <ul> <li>(1) Nutritional education</li> <li>(2) PA education</li> <li>School curriculum</li> <li>(activities and booklets),<br/>social marketing and<br/>workshops for teachers,<br/>school vendors and<br/>authorities.</li> <li>Structured PA activities<br/>during PE, recess, and free<br/>time.</li> </ul>                                   |
| Shamah<br>Levy<br>(2012)(49)       | Mexico/<br>State of<br>Mexico<br>(urban<br>and<br>rural) | C-<br>RCT | 30/30                    | 510/509                       | 499/498                       | 10 [5 <sup>th</sup><br>grade /<br>primary]  | 6 months  | Built<br>environment                       | Provide sports<br>equipment   | <ul> <li>(1) Nutritional education</li> <li>(2) PA education</li> <li>Workshops and materials</li> <li>for students, parents,</li> <li>school vendors and school</li> <li>staff. Social marketing</li> <li>(puppet show, audio spots,</li> <li>banners). Structured PA</li> <li>before the start of classes</li> <li>and during recess</li> </ul> |

785 BMI: Body Mass Index; C-RCT: Cluster RCT; CG: Control group; LQE: Longitudinal quasi-experimental design; n: number; PA: Physical activity; RCT: Randomised Controlled
 786 Trial; SB: Sedentary behaviour; SD: Standard deviation; SSB: Sugar-sweetened beverages; TG: Treatment group; % percentage

|       |                           | Risk of bias domains |                        |         |    |    |         |         |  |
|-------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|----|----|---------|---------|--|
|       |                           | D1                   | D1b                    | D2      | D3 | D4 | D5      | Overall |  |
|       | Alvirde-García (2013)     | Ŧ                    | Ŧ                      | $\odot$ | Ŧ  | Ŧ  | $\odot$ | $\odot$ |  |
|       | Andrade (2014)            | Ŧ                    | Ŧ                      | Ŧ       | Ŧ  | Ŧ  | Ŧ       | Ŧ       |  |
|       | Barbosa Filho (2017)      | Ŧ                    | Ŧ                      | ÷       | Ŧ  | Ŧ  | Ŧ       | Ŧ       |  |
| Study | Gutiérrez-Martínez (2018) | Ŧ                    | Ŧ                      | Ŧ       | Ŧ  | Ŧ  | Ŧ       | Ŧ       |  |
|       | Rausch Herscovici (2013)  | Ŧ                    | Ŧ                      | ÷       | Ŧ  | Ŧ  | Ŧ       | Ŧ       |  |
|       | Safdie (2013)             | Ŧ                    | Ŧ                      | ÷       | Ŧ  | Ŧ  | Ŧ       | Ŧ       |  |
|       | Shamah Levy (2013)        | Ŧ                    | Ŧ                      | Ŧ       | Ŧ  | Ŧ  | Ŧ       | Ŧ       |  |
|       |                           | $\sim$               | ;h risk<br>me concerns |         |    |    |         |         |  |

789 Figure 3. Risk of bias of non-randomised controlled trials (ROBINS-I)



| First author (year)            | Outcome   | Mean<br>difference<br>/OR* | Lower CI | Upper CI | Statistical test                           |  |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| Alvirde-García<br>(2013)(46)   | BMI-for-age percentile<br>[CDC]                 | -0.07                      | -0.12    | -0.02    | ANOVA                                      |  |
| Andrade (2014)(43)             | BMI Z-Score                                     | 0.02                       | -0.02    | 0.06     | Difference-in-difference                   |  |
| Barbosa Filho<br>(2016)(34,35) | BMI-for-age Z-score<br>[WHO 2007]               | 0.09                       | 0.02     | 0.16     | Generalized linear models                  |  |
| González (2014)(47)            | Overweight (%) [WHO 2007]                       | 0.89                       | 0.48     | 1.64     | T-test and two-sample<br>Wilcoxon rank-sum |  |
|                                | Obesity (%) [WHO 2007]                          | 1.15                       | 0.60     | 2.21     | test                                       |  |
| Gutiérrez-Martínez             | BMI Z-Score (TG1) [WHO 2007]**                  | 0.50                       | -4.56    | 5.56     |  |  |
| (2018)(48)                     | BMI Z-Score (TG2) [WHO 2007**                   | 0.20                       | -6.58    | 6.98     | Difference-in-difference                   |  |
|                                | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (F) [CDC] $\downarrow$ | -0.20                      | -1.18    | 0.78     |  |  |
| Rausch Herscovici              | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (M) [CDC] $\downarrow$ | -0.34                      | -1.40    | 0.72     |  |  |
| (2013)(42)                     | BMI Z-Score (F) [CDC]↓                          | -0.60                      | -9.95    | 8.75     | ANOVA                                      |  |
|                                | BMI Z-Score (M) [CDC]↓                          | -1.40                      | -3.49    | 0.69     |  |  |
|                                | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) [CDC]                  | 0.30                       | -0.06    | 0.66     |  |  |
| Ramírez-López                  | BMI Z-score [CDC]                               | 0.08                       | -0.02    | 0.18     | ANCOVA                                     |  |
| (2005)(41)                     | Body fat %                                      | -0.30                      | -0.66    | 0.06     | ANCOVA                                     |  |
|                                | Fat-free body mass (kg)                         | 0.10                       | 0.03     | 0.17     |  |  |
| Safdie (2013)(45)              | BMI (TG1) [IOTF]**                              | 1.30                       | -0.25    | 2.85     | Generalized linear model                   |  |
| Sature (2013)(43)              | BMI (TG2) [IOTF]**                              | -0.10                      | -0.22    | 0.02     | Generalized inical illouel                 |  |
| Shamah Levy                    | Overweight (%) [IOTF]                           | 0.45                       | 0.73     | 1.11     | Generalized ordinal                        |  |
| (2012)(49)                     | Obesity (%) [IOTF]                              | 0.34                       | 0.51     | 0.91     | logistic regression                        |  |

#### 791 Table 2. Outcome effect summary of the included studies+

\*Mean differences were estimated for continuous variables and Odds ratios (OR) for dichotomous outcomes + Values in

bold are significant results for the corresponding statistical tests (p<0.05) \*\*Study presented 2 treatment groups  $\downarrow$  Study

reports results for the subsample of girls and boys, respectively. BMI: Body Mass Index; CDC: Center for Disease Control

(50); F: Female; IOM: International Obesity Task Force (51); M: Male; MD: Mean difference; OR: Odds Ratio; SD:

796 Standard deviation; TG: Treatment group; WHO: World Health Organization (52).