

2.2.1. Availability and diversity

The first dimension chosen for the energy security index was *availability and diversity*. Four indicators were used to reflect it: *security of supply, diversity, dependency, and capacity factor*. Indeed, either due to poor industrialization and, therefore, lack of exploitation of individual countries' resources or resource-poor situation, most of the UEMOA countries spend a significant portion of their national budget on oil and gas imports for electricity generation and the transport sector (Ofosu-Peasah et al., 2021; UEMOA, 2020). This high dependency on imports exposes the countries to supply disruption and, therefore, vulnerable as they have established the basis of their development on the application of energy-demanding technologies while having no energy sources or exploitation technology of their own (Radovanović et al., 2017). The *dependency* indicator was therefore chosen to assess such a vulnerability.

Also, as a proxy indicator of the life quality of citizens, the *security of supply* (final electricity consumption per capita) was chosen. Indeed, the countries' vulnerability may translate into differences in quality of life and electricity use as different stages of development can be particularly noticeable within the countries due to their exposure to any disruption in the energy market (Radovanović et al., 2017). *Security of supply* was chosen therefore as an adapted indicator for this study.

The *diversity* indicator was selected because promoting a diversified collection of different energy sources and technology is fundamental for being energy secure (Sovacool et al., 2011). Indeed, diversity reduces vulnerability in case of disruption, even when the country is highly dependent. As a complex but accurate metric of diversity, the Shannon-Winner index (SWI) was used to reflect diversity for the countries regarding energy security (Sovacool and Mukherjee, 2011).

left seven UEMOA countries investigated: Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, Niger, Senegal and Togo.

3.2. Theory / Calculations

Assessment of security within the electricity sector for the UEMOA countries was performed using two MCDA methods - Fuzzy Analytical Hierarchical Processes (FAHP) and Preference Ranking Organization Method for Enrichment Evaluations (PROMETHEE). This section presents the two methods in terms of theory and calculations.

3.2.1. The Fuzzy AHP method

The AHP is an additive weighting process based multi-criteria method for analysis in which several relevant attributes are represented through their relative importance (Sun, 2010). Therefore, such a method is a powerful tool for solving complex problems involving several alternatives, criteria, and decision-makers as the problems can be decomposed into sub-problem represented by a set of criteria or attributes (Aczel and Saaty, 1983; Sun, 2010). The AHP is used to capture the decision-makers knowledge of a preference and represent the attributes through their relative importance using a pairwise comparison process (Sun, 2010; Zhang et al., 2017). The decision-makers can specify preferences either in the form of natural language or numerical values about the importance of each performance attribute (Güngör et al., 2009).

However, some shortcomings, including an unbalanced scale of judgment and uncertainty associated with human perceptions, have been pointed out for the conventional AHP method (Sun, 2010; Yang and Chen, 2004). Therefore, the Fuzzy set theory was introduced into the conventional AHP to overcome these problems, as such a mathematical tool is used to address the imprecision and uncertainty inherent

