

Extra virgin olive oil: does it modify milk composition of hair sheep?

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Arcos-Álvarez, Darwin N. ORCID logoORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3780-0688>, Aguilar-Urquizo, Edgar
ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4727-7894>,
Ramon-Ugalde, Julio, Hernández-Núñez, Emanuel ORCID
logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7467-7538>,
Giácoman-Vallejos, Germán ORCID logoORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9792-6904>, González-Sánchez,
Avel Adolfo, Alvarado-Lopez, Carlos Juan ORCID logoORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7442-8171>, Gonzalez-Ronquillo,
Manuel ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3616-4157>,
Chay-Canul, Alfonso J., Vargas-Bello-Pérez, Einar
ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7105-5752>
and Piñeiro-Vázquez, Angel T. (2023) Extra virgin olive oil:
does it modify milk composition of hair sheep? *Agriculture*, 13
(8). 1610. ISSN 2077-0472 doi:
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13081610> Available at
<https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/113027/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13081610>

Publisher: MDPI AG

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur








CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Article

Extra Virgin Olive Oil: Does It Modify Milk Composition of Hair Sheep?

Darwin N. Arcos-Álvarez ¹, Edgar Aguilar-Urquizo ¹, Julio Ramon-Ugalde ¹, Emanuel Hernández-Núñez ², Germán Giacomán-Vallejos ³, Avel Adolfo González-Sánchez ³, Carlos Juan Alvarado-Lopez ¹, Manuel Gonzalez-Ronquillo ⁴, Alfonso J. Chay-Canul ⁵, Einar Vargas-Bello-Pérez ^{6,7}, and Angel T. Piñeiro-Vázquez ^{1,*}

- ¹ Tecnológico Nacional de México/Campus Conkal, Avenida Tecnológico s/n Conkal, Conkal 97345, Yucatán, Mexico
- ² Cátedra CONACyT, Departamento de Recursos del Mar, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Unidad Mérida, Mérida 97310, Yucatán, Mexico
- ³ Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Industrias no Contaminantes S/N por Periférico Norte. CP, Mérida 97310, Yucatán, Mexico
- ⁴ Departamento de Nutrición Animal, Instituto Literario 100, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Toluca 50000, Estado de México, Mexico
- ⁵ División Académica de Ciencias Agropecuarias, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, Villahermosa 86280, Tabasco, Mexico
- ⁶ Department of Animal Sciences, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6EU, UK
- ⁷ Facultad de Zootecnia y Ecología, Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua, Chihuahua 31453, Mexico
- * Correspondence: angel.pv@conkal.tecnm.mx



Citation: Arcos-Álvarez, D.N.; Aguilar-Urquizo, E.; Ramon-Ugalde, J.; Hernández-Núñez, E.; Giacomán-Vallejos, G.; González-Sánchez, A.A.; Alvarado-Lopez, C.J.; Gonzalez-Ronquillo, M.; Chay-Canul, A.J.; Vargas-Bello-Pérez, E.; et al. Extra Virgin Olive Oil: Does It Modify Milk Composition of Hair Sheep? *Agriculture* **2023**, *13*, 1610. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13081610>

Academic Editors: Mihaela Saracila, Petru Alexandru Vlaicu and Arabela Elena Untea

Received: 24 April 2023

Revised: 9 June 2023

Accepted: 9 June 2023

Published: 15 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: The aim of this study was to determine the effect of olive oil addition on the production, chemical composition, and fatty acid profile of sheep's milk. Twenty-four lactating ewes with a live weight of 34.6 ± 4.61 kg were used. The animals were randomly distributed into four treatments ($n = 6$) with dietary addition of 0%, 2%, 4%, and 6% (dry matter basis) olive oil for 45 days. Milk samples were taken every 7 days for fatty acid (FA) and chemical analyses. A decrease ($p < 0.05$) in dry matter and crude protein intake was observed with 4% oil inclusion. Milk production and milk components were similar between treatments. The kilograms of meat from weaned lambs linearly increased as the oil inclusion increased. Milk C4:0 to C17:0 decreased with 2% olive oil. The monounsaturated and polyunsaturated FA content in the milk increased with the oil inclusion. There was an increase in the milk's linoleic acid, linolenic acid, and eicosapentaenoic acid content with 2% olive oil. Overall, the addition of 2% extra virgin olive oil is recommended to improve milk's FA profile without negative effects on animal performance.

Keywords: olive; atherogenic; milk; sheep; lipids; small ruminants; supplementation

1. Introduction

In the tropical regions of Mexico, sheep production is of socioeconomic importance and represents an activity of relevance in rural areas, as sheep can adapt to different regions and climates [1]. In these regions, lactating hair sheep feeding is mainly based on grazing, and during drought periods, the supply of nutrients from these forages is low (<7% of CP and NDF >70%) [2]. These factors reduce animal productivity (low milk production and low pre- and post-weaning weight gain) [3]. In this sense, when the feeding of these animals is of poor quality, milk saturated fatty acids (SFAs), mainly lauric acid (C12:0), myristic acid (C14:0), and palmitic acid (C16:0), can increase, and these FAs are associated with high cholesterol blood levels, generating cardiovascular problems and hypertension in humans [4–6]. In addition, due to rumen biohydrogenation, most dietary unsaturated

fatty acids (UFAs) are converted to saturated fats upon entering the rumen, which limits the UFA content in milk and dairy products [7].

In the last few years, strategies have been sought to reduce the amount of SFA and increase UFA in milk; these include adding oilseeds (i.e., linseed, soybean, and safflower oil) rich in linoleic and linolenic acid to ruminant diets [8–11]. This is because during the rumen biohydrogenation process, some by-products are generated, such as α -linolenic acid (ALA), linoleic acid (LA), rumenic acid (conjugated linoleic acid; CLA), and vaccenic acid (VA; τ 11-18:1), and these can promote anti-inflammatory and anticancer processes and prevent heart problems [5,12–14].

There are studies on the use of oleic acid-rich lipids, such as the silage of olive pastes, olive oil, calcium salts of olive oil, and lampante olive oil [9,15–17], added to the diet of dairy sheep and dual-purpose breeds. However, no studies related to dietary extra virgin olive oil and its effects on the fatty acid profile and composition of milk have been conducted in hair sheep from the Mexican tropics. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effect of the addition of olive oil on the productive traits, milk composition, and milk fatty acids of lactating hair sheep.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Localization

The study was conducted at Rancho San Francisco, located at 21°14'48" N and 89°02'35" W longitude at five masl in the municipality of Dzidzantun (Yucatán, Mexico). The average temperature was 26 °C with 980 mm of rain during the experimental months, and the extremes of relative humidity were between 66% and 89% [18,19].

2.2. Animal Handling and Experimental Design

The animals were treated according to the guidelines and regulations for animal experimentation of the Academic Division of Agricultural Sciences, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco (project ID PFI: UJAT-DACA-2015-IA-02). Twenty-four ewes (Pelibuey \times Katahdin) with a live weight of 34.6 ± 4.61 kg were used. In a completely randomized experimental design [20], the animals were divided into four groups ($n = 6$). Animals were housed with their lambs in individual cages (2 \times 3 m) and provided with feeders and drinkers. The study lasted 45 days.

2.3. Experimental Diets

The basal diet (offered at 0800 h) consisted of ground sorghum, ground corn, soybean meal, cane molasses, soybean husk, minerals, vitamins, and chopped fresh Taiwan grass (*P. purpureum*; offered at 1800 h), using only the stems to reduce the nutritional variation throughout the experimental phase, at a ratio of 80:20 (concentrate:forage) (Table 1).

The concentrate was formulated according to the AFRC recommendations [21] for sheep with an average weight of 45 kg and a milk yield of 1.74 kg/d; the protein and total fat contents were 4.5% and 7.0%, respectively. The concentrate had a metabolic energy of 10.0 MJ and 13% crude protein per kg DM (Table 2). The dietary treatments had increasing levels of 0, 2, 4, and 6% of the DM of extra virgin olive oil (Sesajal[®], Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico).

2.4. Handling and Feeding

At the beginning of the study, the sheep were weighed and dewormed with 5% Closantel[®] (Wyeth LLC, Madison, NJ, USA) and given an ADE vitamin supplement (Vigantol ADE[®] intramuscularly; 1 mL/10 kg BW). The offered diets were adjusted to allow a minimum rejection of 10% to estimate voluntary intake. The animals were fed twice daily, at 0800 and 1500 h.

Table 1. Formulation and chemical composition (g/kg DM) of the concentration and forage offered to lactating hair sheep.

Ingredient	Concentrate (g/kg)	<i>P. purpureum</i> (g/kg)	Olive Oil (g/kg)	Total
<i>P. purpureum</i>	0	200	0	
Ground sorghum	181	0	0	
Soybean meal	198	0	0	
Molasses	100	0	0	
Wheat bran	300	0	0	
Mineral blend	20	0	0	
ADE vitamins	1	0	0	
Total	800	200		1000
Chemical composition (g/kg)				
DM	847	283	0	
OM	919	953	0	
CP	161	31	0	
EE	43	19.2	999	
NDF	447	693	0	
ADF	245	470	0	
ME (MJ/kg DM)	10.61	7.6	37.7	
Fatty acid composition (g/kg)				
C16:0	0.00	2.44	6.69	
C18:0	0.26	0.27	4.40	
C18:1	9.39	0.33	695	
C18:3	1.62	10.71	2.20	
C20:0	6.03	0.36	1.60	
∑SFA	6.29	3.07	12.69	
∑MUFA	9.39	0.33	695	
∑PUFA	1.62	10.71	2.20	

SFAs (saturated fatty acids) = C16:0 + C18:0 + C20. MUFAs (monounsaturated fatty acids) = C18:1. PUFAs (polyunsaturated fatty acids) = C18:3. DM = dry matter; OM = organic matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract; ADF = acid detergent fiber; NDF = neutral detergent fiber; ME = Metabolizable energy.

Table 2. Chemical composition (g/kg DM) of dietary treatments with increasing levels of olive oil.

Component	% Olive Oil			
	0	2	4	6
DM	734.2	722.6	711.4	700.6
OM	925.0	911.2	897.1	883.4
CP	135.0	132.9	130.8	128.8
EE	38.2	53.4	68.0	82.2
NDF	496.2	488.4	480.8	473.5
ADF	290.0	285.4	281.0	276.7
ME (MJ)	10.0	10.4	10.9	11.3
Fatty acid profile (g/kg DM)				
C16:0	0.01	0.11	0.22	0.32
C18:0	0.01	0.08	0.15	0.21
C18:1	0.32	11.3	21.84	32.11
C18:3	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.19
C20:0	0.21	0.23	0.25	0.27
∑SFA	0.23	0.42	0.61	0.80
∑MUFA	0.32	11.25	21.84	32.11
∑PUFA	0.10	0.129	0.16	0.19

SFAs (saturated fatty acids) = C16:0 + C18:0 + C20; MUFAs (monounsaturated fatty acids) = C18:1; PUFAs (polyunsaturated fatty acids) = C18:3 (Tsiplakou et al., 2017); DM = dry matter; OM = organic matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract; ADF = acid detergent fiber; NDF = neutral detergent fiber; ME = Metabolizable energy.

2.5. Weight Gain and Feed Conversion

The animals were weighed every eight days with a Torrey® scale with an accuracy of 0.100 kg. The weight gain of the sheep was determined by the difference between the final weight (FW) and the initial weight (IW) divided by the days between weightings (g/day). The feed conversion was calculated by the difference between the intake (kg) and weekly weight change of the sheep (kg).

2.6. Production and Chemical Composition of Milk

The daily milk production was determined by manual milking from the first week of the study until day 45; a total of 6 samplings were obtained. The lambs were separated from the mothers one day before the mother's milk sampling starting at 1900 h, for 12 h, starting the milking 0700 h. Before milking, the sheep received 3 IU of oxytocic intramuscularly (Pisa, Mexico). The teats of the animals were disinfected with an iodized solution, and after 30 s, they were dried with paper towels. The daily milk yield (DMY, kg) of the sheep was calculated using the milk obtained over a period of 12 h multiplied by 2.

Samples of 100 mL of milk were taken from each sheep milked weekly, of which 5 mL was taken for protein and fat analysis, analyzed in duplicate by infrared methodology with the aid of an automatic milk analyzer (Lactoscan LS—60, Milkotronic Ltd., Nova Zagora, Bulgaria), and the rest of the milk sample was kept frozen. In addition, the milk yield with 6% fat correction (FCM) and energy (ECMY) was calculated according to the formula [22]:

$$\text{FCMY} = (0.28 + 0.12F) \times \text{MY}$$

where F = fat percentage. The energy-corrected milk yield (ECMY, kg) was calculated according to the formula:

$$\text{ECMY} = (0.071 \times F + 0.043 \times P + 0.2224) \times \text{MY}$$

where P is the protein percentage.

The protein correction was calculated according to the formula proposed by Pulina et al. [23]:

$$\text{FPCM } 6.5, 5.8\%, \text{ kg/d} = [\text{milk (kg/d)} (0.25 + 0.085 \text{ fat}\% + 0.035 \text{ protein}\%)].$$

2.7. Fatty Acid Profile

The composition of fatty acids in the milk was carried out in the environmental engineering laboratory of the Faculty of Engineering of the Autonomous University of Yucatan (UADY). Milk samples were taken using 1 mL of the sample, which was stirred for one minute in a vortex to homogenize the sample. The total fat was extracted with a mixture of methanol chloroform (2:1) and quantified by gravimetry using the method of Folch et al. [24]. Total fat concentrations are expressed in g/100 g of milk. The fatty acids were derived using boron trifluoride and extracted with hexane [24]. The derivatized extracts were injected into a Hewlett Packard gas chromatograph 5890 Series II, which used a Supelco SP 2560 column, 100 m long × 0.25 mm internal diameter [24]. Peaks were identified by means of Supelco reference standards (FAME).

2.8. Chemical Analysis

The DM determination of the forage samples was performed in a forced air oven at 55 °C for 48 h (constant weight) (#7.007) AOAC [25]. The N content was realized (CP, N × 6.25) by combustion using a LECCO CN-2000 series 3740 (LECCO, Corporation, Saint Joseph, MI, USA) (#2.057) AOAC [25]. MO was determined by incineration in a muffle at 600 °C for 6 h, and the contents of FND and FDA were determined as suggested by Van Soest et al. [26].

2.9. Statistical Analysis

The data were subjected to analysis of variance in a randomized design [20] using the PROC GLM procedure of the SAS [27]. The means of the treatments were compared with Tukey's test with an alpha of 0.05:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + E_{ij}$$

$$i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, t$$

$$j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

where Y_{ij} = variable response in the j -th repeat of the i -th treatment, μ = general mean, τ_i = effect of the i -th treatment, and E_{ij} = random error.

Milk production and weight gain data were subjected to a PROC MIXED time repeated measures analysis of the SAS [27]. Tukey's test was performed when a significant treatment effect was detected ($p < 0.05$). Additionally, a response surface analysis was performed to evaluate the linear, quadratic, and cubic effects of the treatments (0, 2, 4, and 6% olive oil in the ration) [20].

3. Results

3.1. Voluntary Intake

The dry matter intake (DMI) and crude protein (CP) intake were affected ($p < 0.05$) by the addition of olive oil; these levels were reduced with the addition of 4% olive oil in the ration, whereas for 0%, 2%, and 6% olive oil, they were similar with a cubic effect. A linear effect ($p < 0.0001$) was found for ether extract (EE) intake as the levels increased (0, 2, 4, and 6% of the DM). The same pattern was observed when DMI, OM, and CP were expressed in $\text{g}/\text{kg}^{0.75}$ (Table 3).

3.2. Productive Behavior of Sheep and Lambs

No differences were found in the productive behavior of lactating sheep when adding extra virgin olive oil to the diet (Table 4). On the other hand, differences ($p < 0.03$) were observed in the intake of concentrated feed as oil levels (0%, 2%, 4%, 6% of DM) were increased (Table 4). No differences ($p > 0.05$) were found in the growth of lambs, but a linear trend ($p < 0.02$) was observed in the kg of meat weaned per sheep as the oil was increased in the ration (Table 5).

3.3. Production and Chemical Composition of Milk

Milk production and milk components were similar between treatments, but quadratic effects were detected in milk production and corrected milk production for protein, fat, and energy, and except for the percentage of milk fat, the non-fat solids as well as daily fat and protein yields in our study showed no changes (Table 6).

3.4. Fatty Acid Profile in Milk

The addition of extra virgin olive oil at levels of 0, 2, 4, and 6% caused changes ($p < 0.05$) in the fatty acid profile of the milk of hair sheep (Table 7). The oil concentrations used showed linear and quadratic effects ($p < 0.05$); mostly, short- and medium-chain fatty acids (C4:0 to C17:0) showed a reduction when 2% of the DM of olive oil was added. Long-chain fatty acids with greater than 17 carbons increased ($p < 0.05$) with 2% oil inclusion, and this level seemed to improve the quality of the milk fat (Table 7). According to our data (Table 7), the control treatment (0% olive oil) resulted in the highest levels of saturated fatty acids; this content showed a linear effect ($p < 0.0001$), in which the concentration decreased as the amount of olive oil added increased. The saturated fatty acid with the highest presence was C16:0. Monounsaturated fatty acids showed linear ($p < 0.01$) and

quadratic ($p < 0.001$) effects. In this sense, the percentage of polyunsaturated fatty acids increased as the addition of olive oil in the diet increased; this was related to the high concentration of oleic acid contained in olive oil, which caused the decrease in the activity of the enzyme Δ^9 -desaturase, which participates in de novo synthesis and the synthesis of saturated fatty acids and possibly increases the microbial activity in the rumen (Table 7).

In this study, an increase in various fatty acids, such as oleic, linoleic, and linolenic acids, was found with 2% olive oil ($p < 0.05$), while an increase in eicosapentaenoic acid was observed as more olive oil was integrated into the diet ($p < 0.05$), as shown in Table 7. These fatty acids are relevant because they have various health benefits, and the human body cannot synthesize them.

The milk of hair sheep had quadratic ($p < 0.004$) and cubic ($p < 0.001$) effects in the atherogenic index (AI) analyses, while for the thrombogenic index (TI), a lower concentration was found in the 2 and 6% olive oil groups compared with the control group.

Table 3. Feed intake from hair sheep fed with different levels of olive oil inclusion.

Items	% Olive Oil				SE	<i>p</i> -Value	Contrast		
	0	2	4	6			L	Q	C
LW (kg)	38.42	37.88	38.08	36.01	0.93	0.26	0.09	0.41	0.47
MDW (kg)	15.40	15.25	15.26	14.68	0.28	0.27	0.08	0.43	0.55
TDMI (kg/d)	2.08	1.96	1.96	2.06	0.07	0.45	0.79	0.11	0.93
DMI (% PV)	5.44 ^a	5.04 ^{ab}	4.89 ^b	5.32 ^{ab}	0.12	0.01	0.37	0.001	0.58
OM (kg/d)	1.93	1.77	1.73	1.78	0.06	0.13	0.08	0.10	0.93
CP (kg/d)	0.29 ^a	0.27 ^{ab}	0.26 ^b	0.27 ^{ab}	0.008	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.89
EE (kg/d)	0.08 ^{ab}	0.12 ^b	0.166 ^{ab}	0.214 ^a	0.004	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.38	0.86
NDF (kg/d)	1.02	0.93	0.90	0.94	0.034	0.26	0.166	0.14	0.96
ADF (kg/d)	0.59	0.54	0.53	0.54	0.02	0.37	0.22	0.19	0.97
DMI (g/kg ^{0.75})	135.24 ^a	125.04 ^{ab}	121.11 ^b	130.30 ^{ab}	3.33	0.02	0.21	0.004	0.65
OM (g/kg ^{0.75})	124.98 ^a	115.60 ^{ab}	111.97 ^b	120.50 ^{ab}	3.12	0.02	0.22	0.005	0.64
CP (g/kg ^{0.75})	19.10 ^a	17.52 ^b	16.95 ^b	18.16 ^{ab}	0.42	0.004	0.07	0.001	0.68
EE (g/kg ^{0.75})	5.32 ^b	7.95 ^b	10.83 ^b	14.46 ^a	0.20	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.01	0.59
NDF (g/kg ^{0.75})	65.55	60.86	58.99	63.61	2.004	0.10	0.39	0.02	0.68
ADF (g/kg ^{0.75})	37.80	35.19	34.10	36.83	1.31	0.20	0.49	0.04	0.70

^{a, b} Separate literals in the same column indicate statistical differences ($p < 0.05$); SE, standard error of the mean; LW, live weight; MDW, metabolic body weight; TDMC, total dry matter intake; DMI, dry matter intake; OM, organic matter; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extract; ADF, acid detergent fiber; NDF, neutral detergent fiber. L, linear contrast; Q, linear quadratic; C, cubic contrast.

Table 4. Productive parameters from hair sheep fed with different levels of olive oil inclusion.

Items	% Olive Oil				SE	<i>p</i> -Value	Contrast		
	0	2	4	6			L	Q	C
IW (kg)	33.05	34.74	35.98	34.78	2.17	0.82	0.52	0.52	0.84
FW (kg)	41.20	39.75	40.86	40.84	3.47	0.99	0.99	0.84	0.81
TWP (kg)	8.15	5.01	4.88	6.06	1.68	0.51	0.41	0.22	0.82
DMI (kg/d)	2.08	1.96	1.96	2.06	0.07	0.45	0.80	0.11	0.93
CC (kg/d)	1.76 ^a	1.60 ^{ab}	1.56 ^b	1.60 ^{ab}	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.87
FC (g/d)	492.81	469.88	461.94	490.13	20.98	0.67	0.86	0.23	0.82
OOC (g/d)	0.00 ^d	46.62 ^c	93.98 ^b	138.21 ^a	2.59	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.65	0.74

^{a-d} Separate literals in the same column indicate statistical differences ($p < 0.05$); SE, standard error of the mean; IW, initial weight; FW, final weight; TWG, total weight gain; DMI, dry matter intake; CC, concentrate consumption; FC, forage consumption; OOC, olive oil consumption; L, linear contrast; Q, linear quadratic; C, cubic contrast.

Table 5. Productive traits from hair sheep lambs fed with different levels of olive oil inclusion.

Items	% Olive Oil				SE	p-Value	Contrast		
	0	2	4	6			L	Q	C
BW (kg)	3.74	4.58	5.48	5.76	0.52	0.06	0.01	0.60	0.78
KW (kg)	13.04	16.90	16.62	19.63	1.68	0.10	0.02	0.81	0.34
WGL (kg)	9.30	12.32	11.13	13.87	1.41	0.19	0.07	0.92	0.22
DWG (kg/d)	0.21	0.27	0.24	0.31	0.03	0.20	0.07	0.87	0.23

SE: standard error; PIC: birth weight; KW: kilograms weaned; WGL: weight gain in lactation; DWG: daily weight gain; L: linear contrast; Q: linear quadratic; C: cubic contrast.

Table 6. Effect of dietary inclusion of olive oil in hair sheep on the estimated milk production and milk chemical composition.

Items	% Olive Oil				SE	p-Value	Contrast		
	0	2	4	6			L	Q	C
DMP (g/d)	1158.78	1314.40	1032.80	1292.00	85.53	0.07	0.75	0.54	0.01
CPC (g/d)	1108.15	1256.61	1058.01	1284.96	91.53	0.21	0.41	0.66	0.05
FC (g/d)	1129.68	1284.61	1079.26	1310.44	70.77	0.20	0.41	0.67	0.05
EC (kcal/d)	1015.46	1004.61	1071.96	1019.18	22.33	0.14	0.44	0.35	0.05
Chemical composition of milk (g/100 g)									
Fat	6.41	6.30	6.87	6.42	0.21	0.22	0.51	0.41	0.06
Protein	5.10	4.98	5.20	5.08	0.08	0.27	0.63	0.92	0.05
Non-fat solids	12.17	11.93	12.02	12.04	0.08	0.24	0.42	0.12	0.27
Daily performance g/d									
Fat	72.26	82.29	71.86	85.81	6.40	0.30	0.29	0.76	0.12
Protein	60.49	67.87	60.74	72.29	5.63	0.36	0.26	0.71	0.18

SE, standard error of the mean; DMP, daily milk production; CPC, protein correction; FC, fat correction; EC, energy correction; L, linear contrast; Q, quadratic linear; C, cubic contrast.

Table 7. Effect of dietary inclusion of olive oil in hair sheep on milk fatty acid profile (g/100 g FA).

Fatty Acid (g/100 g Fatty Acids)	% Olive Oil				SE	p-Value	Contrast		
	0	2	4	6			L	Q	C
C4:0	1.02	0.78	1.04	0.66	0.14	0.21	0.24	0.65	0.07
C6:0	0.68	0.63	0.70	0.52	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.21	0.08
C8:0	9.31 ^a	7.92 ^a	8.30 ^a	5.84 ^b	0.50	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.28	0.04
C10:0	9.31 ^a	7.92 ^a	8.30 ^a	5.84 ^b	0.50	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.28	0.04
C12:0	0.46 ^{ab}	0.45 ^{ab}	0.65 ^a	0.31 ^b	0.06	0.006	0.38	0.01	0.01
C11:0	0.19 ^{ab}	0.19 ^{ab}	0.24 ^a	0.13 ^b	0.02	0.03	0.30	0.04	0.04
C13:0	3.66 ^a	3.31 ^{ab}	2.89 ^{ab}	2.63 ^b	0.22	0.008	0.0007	0.85	0.82
C14:0	0.44 ^a	0.47 ^{ab}	0.66 ^b	0.37 ^b	0.06	0.01	0.94	0.01	0.02
C14:1	0.09 ^a	0.09 ^a	0.06 ^b	0.09 ^a	0.007	0.004	0.15	0.04	0.004
C15:0	1.45 ^{ab}	1.19 ^{BC}	1.56 ^{to}	1.06 ^c	0.09	0.001	0.06	0.22	0.001
C15:1	0.35 ^a	0.29 ^{ab}	0.25 ^b	0.27 ^b	0.02	0.005	0.001	0.07	0.70
C16:0	14.98 ^a	14.63 ^a	13.74 ^a	11.53 ^b	0.50	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.06	0.72
C16:1	1.10	0.95	1.18	0.86	0.29	0.87	0.71	0.76	0.49
C17:0	0.96 ^a	0.69 ^b	0.66 ^b	0.62 ^b	0.07	0.004	0.001	0.10	0.45
C17:1	0.40 ^a	0.29 ^{ab}	0.35 ^{ab}	0.27 ^b	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.76	0.03
C18:0	9.58 ^a	8.59 ^a	9.61 ^a	7.45 ^b	0.29	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.04	0.002
C18:1cis-9	19.11 ^c	23.36 ^{ab}	20.44 ^{bc}	27.02 ^a	1.09	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.28	0.0009
C18:1n9t	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.01	0.20	0.41	0.17	0.14
C18:2n6c	9.74 ^b	10.86 ^b	15.31 ^{ab}	16.95 ^a	1.48	0.001	0.0002	0.85	0.35
C18:2n6t	2.42	1.97	2.45	2.55	0.28	0.46	0.48	0.33	0.29
C20:0	0.19 ^{ab}	0.21 ^{ab}	0.17 ^b	0.24 ^a	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.09	0.03

Table 7. Cont.

Fatty Acid (g/100 g Fatty Acids)	% Olive Oil					SE	p-Value	Contrast		
	0	2	4	6	L			Q	C	
C18:3n6	0.21 ^a	0.17 ^{ab}	0.16 ^b	0.16 ^b	0.01	0.01	0.003	0.19	0.92	
C20:1	0.13	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.01	0.17	0.23	0.11	0.26	
C18:3n3	11.45 ^a	12.67 ^a	8.87 ^{ab}	10.73 ^b	0.54	<0.0001	0.01	0.55	<0.0001	
C21:0	1.33	0.68	0.86	1.63	0.43	0.35	0.60	0.08	0.90	
C20:2	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.01	0.74	0.57	0.39	0.69	
C22:0	0.16	0.19	0.16	0.22	0.02	0.24	0.19	0.45	0.16	
C20:3n6	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.01	0.46	0.67	0.12	0.91	
C22:1n9	0.19	0.22	0.20	0.27	0.03	0.35	0.13	0.62	0.39	
C20:3n3	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.007	0.25	0.77	0.11	0.20	
C23:0	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.008	0.14	0.74	0.07	0.14	
C20:4n6	0.08 ^b	0.10 ^b	0.09 ^b	0.14 ^a	0.008	0.0001	0.0002	0.05	0.03	
C22:2	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.007	0.17	0.51	0.08	0.19	
C24:0	0.35	0.48	0.32	0.89	0.17	0.07	0.06	0.20	0.17	
C20:5n3	0.15 ^b	0.18 ^{ab}	0.22 ^{ab}	0.27 ^a	0.03	0.04	0.004	0.76	0.91	
C24:1	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.004	0.36	0.54	0.42	0.13	
∑ SFA	56.72 ^a	53.02 ^{ab}	50.13 ^b	43.61 ^c	1.73	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.42	0.56	
∑ MUFA	13.28 ^a	11.70 ^{ab}	13.13 ^a	11.51 ^b	0.44	0.001	0.01	0.65	0.001	
∑ PUFA	29.77 ^c	35.08 ^{bc}	36.60 ^b	45.06 ^a	1.81	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.39	0.18	
AI	0.09 ^{ab}	0.09 ^b	0.13 ^a	0.06 ^b	0.01	0.0003	0.16	0.004	0.001	
TI	0.17 ^a	0.14 ^{ab}	0.18 ^a	0.10 ^b	0.01	0.006	0.06	0.11	0.007	
Δ 9-desaturase index	0.21 ^a	0.19 ^a	0.12 ^b	0.20 ^a	0.01	0.005	0.21	0.01	0.01	

^{a, b, c} Different literals in the same column indicate statistical differences ($p < 0.05$). SE: standard error of the mean. L, linear contrast; Q, quadratic linear; C: cubic contrast. \sum SFA = (C4:0 + C6:0 + C10:0 + C8:0 + C11:0 + C12:0 + C13:0 + C14:0 + C15:0 + C16:0 + C17:0 + C18:0 + C20:0 + C22:20 + C23:0 + C24:0); \sum MUFA = (C14:1 + C15:1 + C16:1 + C17:1 + C18:1 1n9t + C18:1cis-9 + C20:1 + C22:1n9 + C24:1); \sum PUFA = (C18:2n6t + C18:2n6c + c18:3n6 + C18:3n3 + C20:3n6 + C22:1n9 + C20:3n3 + C20:4n6 + C22:2 + C20:5n3) [22]; AI: atherogenic index, $(12:0 + 4(C14:0) + C16:0)/(n - 6 + n - 3) + C18:1 + \sum$ MUFA); TI: thrombogenicity index, $(\sum C14:0 + C16:0 + C18:0)/(0.5 \times C18:1) + (0.5 \times \sum$ MUFA) + $(0.5 \times n - 6) + (3 \times n - 3) + (n - 3/n - 6)$ [28]; Δ 9-desaturase index: C14:1/(C14:0 + C14:1) [28].

4. Discussion

4.1. Voluntary Intake

Voluntary intake in animals is one of the most important variables in productive behavior and can be affected by multiple factors. In this sense, feed intake is related to the success of the mother and lamb production (pre-weaning weight gain) [29,30]. In sheep fed diets with canola oil, DMI was higher when using 5%DM, and the crude protein passage rate was lower. Similar results were observed in this experiment when 4% olive oil was used. This reduction was also observed with flaxseed oil at up to 9% DM, which could be explained by a reduction in rumen fiber digestibility [31].

Fiorentini et al. [32] evaluated different lipid sources (palm oil, linseed oil, protected fat, and soybean oil) in Nellore steers with 4.5%, where the highest intakes of DM, OM, and CP were recorded in animals fed an oil-free diet. However, in this study, the intake of DM, OM, and CP decreased as the amount of olive oil increased or had a linear increase in EE, which could indicate that the reduction in DMI was probably due to fiber digestion problems [31]. This coincided with what was reported by Vargas-Bello-Pérez et al. [9], which indicated that dry matter intake and digestibility decreased as olive oil increased; this could be due to a regulatory response indicating a higher energy intake, which reduces voluntary intake.

4.2. Productive Behavior of Sheep and Lambs

In this study, it was observed that the levels of oil used did not affect the productive parameters in the sheep and their offspring, which was similar to other studies carried out on sheep in which different oils were used in the diets; in some cases, over 6% of the DM was offered [11,33–35]. However, there are reports in sheep and goats in which levels greater than 6% of the DM of oil were added to the diet without generating changes in productive behavior [36,37], as observed in dairy sheep of the Spanish Assaf breed that had

6% soybean oil added to their diets; this was similar to what happened in this trial for hair sheep in a tropical region.

Martínez-Marín et al. [38] added 4.8% sunflower oil to the diets of dairy goats of the Malagueña breed without observing an effect on voluntary intake. In this regard, Parente et al. [39] evaluated various oils at 3% inclusion in the diet (canola, sunflower, and castor oils) and reported a lower feed intake in the groups that had oil without finding effects on lambs, while the sheep showed a weight gain of over 1 kg during the experiment; these findings were similar to the results found in this study in sheep and lambs. This is because a decrease was found in the DMI without affecting the productive indicators, which are related to the amount of energy added to the ration, because the lactation stage requires a greater energy demand to avoid weight loss during this period, which would ensure post-weaning ovarian reactivation.

4.3. Production and Chemical Composition of Milk

In this study, the addition of olive oil to the sheep diet did not affect the production or chemical composition of milk at the different levels offered (0, 2, 4, and 6% olive oil); this finding is different from that of Gómez-Cortés et al. [17], who observed an increase in milk production in dairy sheep of the Assaf breed with 233 g/d with the addition of 6% olive oil, with reductions in the percentage of fat and protein at this level. By contrast, Gallardo et al. [40] did not report changes in milk production or protein content; these findings were similar to those observed in this study, except for the reduction in fat content. Antongiovanni et al. [15], using the technique of calcium salts of free fatty acids obtained from olive oil, observed that the fat and protein content was increased without modifying milk production. However, Vargas-Bello-Pérez et al. [9] developed diets for lactating sheep (cross with Finnish Landrace, Border Leicester, Poll Dorset, and Merino) with 36 and 88 g/d of lampante olive oil; no effects of these dietary treatments on milk production and milk chemical composition were reported, which agrees with the results obtained in this study. These changes found in sheep in milk production and fat and protein yield may depend on the genetic potential of the sheep to increase milk production as they consume greater amounts of nutrients because studies have been conducted in milk-producing sheep [41].

4.4. Milk Fatty Acid Profile

Short-chain fatty acids are related to low-density cholesterol levels in the blood, causing cardiovascular problems in humans [5,6]. Due to this, new mechanisms are being sought to modify the proportion of fatty acids in milk and meat, which is why different vegetable oils, such as soybean, linseed, sunflower, and safflower oils, have been used to change milk fatty acid profiles [10,22,42–44], as they can increase the milk contents of MUFA and PUFA.

The high concentration of C18:1 in olive oil was reflected in sheep milk as concentrations increased; in this sense, other PUFAs (C18:2n6c, C18:3n3, and C18:1cis-9) increased with 2% olive oil inclusion. This could be explained by the fact that when olive oil fatty acids were biohydrogenated, the increased fatty acid elongation led to an increased production of polyunsaturated fatty acids.

These effects were observed in this study when adding extra virgin olive oil. For their part, Martini et al. [16], when supplementing Massese sheep with calcium soaps of olive oil, observed less than five percent reductions in saturated fatty acids, while unsaturated fatty acids increased, with considerable amounts of CLA observed in the milk. In this study, CLA precursors, such as stearic acid, were observed. Gomez-Cortez et al. (41), by including three percent olive, flaxseed, and soybean oils, observed a decrease in saturated fatty acids and an increase in the concentration of unsaturated acids. In this study, we observed that with small concentrations of olive oil, changes could be generated in the fatty profile of the milk; the inclusion of 2% of olive oil in the diet of lactating hair sheep decreased saturated fatty acids and increased polyunsaturated acids.

So far, studies related to supplementation strategies with vegetable oils have used less than 5% inclusion in the diet; this is because it can affect voluntary consumption, which could reduce the production, composition, and portion of milk fatty acids in ruminants [45,46]. There have been a few studies with levels greater than 5%, such as a study performed by Gómez-Cortes et al. [17] that obtained results similar to those of this study, with the addition of 6% oil resulting in a reduction in unsaturated fatty acids. The reduction in saturated fatty acids in milk may be related to the negative effects of high oil levels on *de novo* synthesis because saturated fatty acids are synthesized in the mammary glands [11,47,48], and most MUFAs and PUFAs, such as linoleic acid, linolenic acid, and eicosapentaenoic acid, are generated in the rumen through incomplete desaturation, which occurs by the biohydrogenation process.

Similarly, it has been observed that when the bioavailability of medium- and long-chain fatty acids increases because of higher dietary intake, *de novo* synthesis decreases the concentrations of short-chain fatty acids in milk [17]. This is similar to this work because there were increases in polyunsaturated fatty acids and a decrease in Δ^9 -desaturase activity with extra virgin olive oil. However, a diet rich in oleic acid should have increased C18:0 concentrations due to biohydrogenation and increased Δ^9 -desaturase activity [6,7,17].

According to Vargas-Bello-Pérez et al. [9], AI was reduced as lampante olive oil was added to the diet; [17] conducted tests with 6% olive oil in the diet, yielding similar effects to those observed when 6% extra virgin olive oil was added in this study. Vargas-Bello-Pérez et al. [9] used lampante olive oil in smaller quantities than those used in this study, and no differences in IT were found; in this study, this index was lower with 2 and 6% extra virgin olive oil. C14:0 was the most atherogenic one, with approximately four times more potential to increase cholesterol than 16:0 [28]. In this study, with C14:0, it was possible to decrease by 7% when 6% extra-virgin olive oil was added to the diet.

5. Conclusions

The addition of extra virgin olive oil did not generate negative effects on the productive behavior, milk production, or chemical composition of lactating sheep, but it did generate changes in the fatty acid profile. Therefore, it is feasible to add extra virgin olive oil to reduce saturated fatty acids; increase the levels of monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids; increase the contents of acid linoleic, linolenic, and eicosapentaenoic acids; and reduce the atherogenicity index.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.N.A.-Á., A.T.P.-V., J.R.-U., M.G.-R., E.H.-N., G.G.-V., A.A.G.-S., C.J.A.-L. and E.A.-U.; methodology, A.T.P.-V., D.N.A.-Á., A.J.C.-C. and E.V.-B.-P.; formal analysis, A.T.P.-V., E.V.-B.-P., C.J.A.-L., J.R.-U., M.G.-R., E.H.-N., G.G.-V., A.A.G.-S., C.J.A.-L. and E.A.-U.; writing—original draft preparation, A.T.P.-V., E.V.-B.-P. and D.N.A.-Á.; writing—review and editing, D.N.A.-Á., A.T.P.-V., J.R.-U., E.H.-N., G.G.-V., A.A.G.-S., C.J.A.-L. and M.G.-R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Academic Division of Agricultural Sciences, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco (project ID PFI: UJAT-DACA-2015-IA-02).

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the doctoral program of Sciences in Sustainable Tropical Agriculture at the Postgraduate Studies and Research Division of the Technological Institute of Conkal and to the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACyT) for the economic support provided during this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Góngora-Pérez, R.D.; Góngora-González, S.F.; Magaña-Magaña, M.Á.; LaraLara, P.E. Technical and socioeconomic characterization of sheep production in the state of Yucatan, Mexico. *Mesoam. Agron.* **2010**, *21*, 131–144. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Núñez, A.C.; Martínez, P.E.; Rentarúa, I.D. Effect of three protein supplements on weight gain in zebu/Swiss calves grazing on African Star grass (*Cynodon plectostachyus*). *Rev. Científica UDO Agrícola* **2005**, *5*, 103–106.
3. Mosoni, P.; Chaucheyras-Durand, F.; Béra-Maillet, C.; Forano, E. Quantification by real-time PCR of cellulolytic bacteria in the rumen of sheep after supplementation of a forage diet with readily fermentable carbohydrates: Effect of a yeast additive. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2007**, *103*, 2676–2685. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Chilliard, Y.; Glasser, F.; Ferlay, A.; Bernard, L.; Rouel, J.; Doreau, M. Diet, rumen biohydrogenation and nutritional quality of cow and goat milk fat. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *109*, 828–855. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Sampelayo, M.S.; Chilliard, Y.; Schmidely, P.; Boza, J. Influence of type of diet on the fat constituents of goat and sheep milk. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2007**, *68*, 42–63. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Lock, A.L.; Kraft, J.; Rice, B.H.; Bauman, D.E. Biosynthesis and biological activity of rumenic acid: A natural CLA isomer. In *Trans Fatty Acids in Human Nutrition*; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2012; pp. 195–230.
7. Griinari, J.M.; Bauman, D.E. Biosynthesis of conjugated linoleic acid and its incorporation into meat and milk in ruminants. *Adv. Conjug. Linoleic Acid Res.* **1999**, *1*, 180–200.
8. Bernard, L.; Bonnet, M.; Leroux, C.; Shingfield, K.J.; Chilliard, Y. Effect of sunflower-seed oil and linseed oil on tissue lipid metabolism, gene expression, and milk fatty acid secretion in alpine goats fed maize silage-based diets. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2009**, *92*, 6083–6094. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Vargas-Bello-Pérez, E.; Vera, R.R.; Aguilar, C.; Lira, R.; Peña, I.; Valenzuela, A.; Cerda, H. Effect of dietary inclusion of lampante olive oil on milk and cheese fatty acid profiles of ewes. *Fats Oils* **2013**, *64*, 295–303. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Kliem, K.E.; Humphries, D.J.; Kirton, P.; Givens, D.I.; Reynolds, C.K. Differential effects of oilseed supplements on methane production and milk fatty acid concentrations in dairy cows. *Animal* **2019**, *13*, 309–317. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. e Silva, L.S.; Cavalcanti, J.V.F.L.; Magalhães, A.L.R.; Santoro, K.R.; Goncalves, G.D.; Santana, L.P.V.; da Silva, J.K.B.; de Almeida, O.C. Soybean oil modulates the fatty acid synthesis in the mammary gland, improving nutritional quality of the goat milk. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2020**, *183*, 106041. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Mensink, R.P.; Zock, P.L.; Kester, A.D.; Katan, M.B. Effects of dietary fatty acids and carbohydrates on the ratio of serum total to HDL cholesterol and on serum lipids and apolipoproteins: A meta-analysis of 60 controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2003**, *77*, 1146–1155. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Shingfield, K.J.; Chilliard, Y.; Toivonen, V.; Kairenius, P.; Givens, D.I. Trans fatty acids and bioactive lipids in ruminant milk. In *Bioactive Components of Milk*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2008; Volume 606, pp. 3–65.
14. Dilzer, A.; Park, Y. Implication of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in human health. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2012**, *52*, 488–513. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Antongiovanni, M.; Secchiari, P.; Mele, M.; Buccioni, A.; Serra, A.; Ferruzzi, G.; Rapaccini, S.; Pistoia, A. Olive oil calcium soaps and rumen protected methionine in the diet of lactating ewes: Effect on milk quality. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* **2002**, *1*, 55–63. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Martini, M.; Scolozzi, C.; Gatta, D.; Taccini, F.; Verità, P. Effects of olive oil calcium soaps and phase of lactation on the acid composition in the milk of massese ewes. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* **2004**, *3*, 353–362. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Gómez-Cortés, P.; Frutos, P.; Mantecón, A.R.; Juárez, M.; De la Fuente, M.A.; Hervás, G. Addition of olive oil to dairy ewe diets: Effect on milk fatty acid profile and animal performance. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2008**, *91*, 3119–3127. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. INAFED (National Institute for Federalism and Municipal Development). Available online: <http://www.inafed.gob.mx/work/enciclopedia/EMM31yucatan/municipios/31027a.html> (accessed on 3 January 2020).
19. INEGI (National Institute of Statistics and Geography). Available online: https://www.inegi.org.mx/app/cuadroentidad/AnuarioGeografico/Yuc/2018#mapa_m_climas (accessed on 3 January 2020).
20. Cochran, W.G.; Cox, G.M. *Experimental Designs*, 2nd ed.; Trillas: Mexico City, Mexico, 1991.
21. AFRC. Technical Committee on responses to nutrients. In *Energy and Protein Requirements of Ruminants*; CAB International: Wallingford, UK, 1993.
22. Tsiplakou, E.; Mavrommatis, A.; Kalogeropoulos, T.; Chatzikonstantinou, M.; Koutsouli, P.; Sotirakoglou, K.; Labrou, N.; Zervas, G. The effect of dietary supplementation with rumen-protected methionine alone or in combination with rumen-protected choline and betaine on sheep milk and antioxidant capacity. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **2017**, *101*, 1004–1013. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Pulina, G.; Macciotta, N.; Nudda, A. Milk composition and feeding in the Italian dairy sheep. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* **2005**, *4* (Suppl. S1), 5–14. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Folch, J.; Leas, M.; Sloane-Stanley, G.H. A simple method for isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1957**, *193*, 265–275.
25. Official Methods of Analysis (AOAC). *Association of Official Analytical Chemists*, 15th ed.; AOAC: Washington, DC, USA, 1980.
26. Van Soest, P.J.; Robertson, J.B.; Lewis, B.A. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.* **1991**, *74*, 3583. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. SAS Institute. *User's Guide: Statistics Version 9.4*; SAS Inst. Inc.: Cary, NC, USA, 2012.
28. Ulbricht, T.L.V.; Southgate, D.A.T. Coronary Heart-Disease—7 Dietary Factors. *Lancet* **1991**, *338*, 985–992. [[CrossRef](#)]

29. Chelikani, P.K.; Ball, J.A.; Kenedy, J.J. Effect of feeding or abomasal infusion of canola oil in Holstein cows 1. Nutrient digestion and milk composition. *J. Dairy Res.* **2004**, *71*, 279–287. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Sepúlveda, W.S.; Maza, M.T.; Pardos, L. Aspects of quality related to the consumption and production of lamb meat. Consumers versus producers. *Meat Sci.* **2011**, *87*, 366–372. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Benchaar, C.; Hassanat, F.; Martineau, R.; Gervais, R. Linseed oil supplementation to dairy cows fed diets based on red clover silage or corn silage: Effects on methane production, rumen fermentation, nutrient digestibility, N balance, and milk production. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2015**, *98*, 7993–8008. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Fiorentini, G.; Carvalho, I.P.C.; Messana, J.D.; Castagnino, P.S.; Berndt, A.; Canesin, R.C.; Frighetto, R.T.S.; Berchielli, T.T. Effect of lipid sources with different fatty acid profiles on the intake, performance, and methane emissions of feedlot Nelore steers. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2014**, *92*, 1613–1620. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Liu, H.; Vaddella, V.; Zhou, D. Effects of chestnut tannins and coconut oil on growth performance, methane emission, ruminal fermentation, and microbial populations in sheep. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2011**, *94*, 6069–6077. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. González, L.; Moreno, T.; Bispo, E.; Dugan, M.E.; Franco, D. Effect of supplementing different oils: Linseed, sunflower and soybean, on animal performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality and fatty acid profile of veal from “Rubia Gallega” calves. *Meat Sci.* **2014**, *96*, 829–836. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Kholif, A.E.; Morsy, T.A.; Abdo, M.M. Crushed flaxseed versus flaxseed oil in the diets of Nubian goats: Effect on feed intake, digestion, ruminal fermentation, blood chemistry, milk production, milk composition and milk fatty acid profile. *Anim. Feed. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *244*, 66–75. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Hervás, G.; Toral, P.G.; Fernández-Díez, C.; Badia, A.D.; Frutos, P. Effect of supplementation of the diet of dairy sheep with soybean oil on the productive performance of animals and the fatty acid profile and CLA composition of milk. *Animals* **2021**, *8*, 2476. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Marín, A.L.M.; Sánchez, N.N.; Sigler, A.I.G.; Blanco, F.P.; García, V.D.; Ruipérez, F.H. Meta-analysis of the use of seeds and oils in the diet of sheep and goats. *Pesqui. Agropecuária Bras.* **2015**, *50*, 821–828. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Martínez-Marín, A.L.; Pérez-Hernández, M.; Pérez-Alba, L.M.; Carrión-Pardo, D.; Gómez-Castro, A.G. Addition of vegetable oils to the diet of dairy goats: Effect on digestibility and productive results. *Arch. Vet. Med.* **2012**, *44*, 21–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Parente, M.D.O.M.; Susin, I.; Nolli, C.P.; Ferreira, E.M.; Gentil, R.S.; Polizel, D.M.; Pires, A.V.; Alves, S.P.; Bessa, R.J.B. Effects of supplementation with vegetable oils, including castor oil, on milk production of ewes and on growth of their lambs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2018**, *96*, 354–363. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. Gallardo, B.; Gómez-Cortés, P.; Mantecón, A.R.; Juárez, M.; Manso, T.; De la Fuente, M.A. Effects of olive and fish oil Ca soaps in ewe diets on milk fat and muscle and subcutaneous tissue fatty-acid profiles of suckling lambs. *Animal* **2014**, *8*, 1178–1190. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Appeddu, L.A.; Ely, D.G.; Aaron, D.K.; Deweese, W.P.; Fink, E. Effects of supplementing with calcium salts of palm oil fatty acids or hydrogenated tallow on ewe milk production and twin lamb growth. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2004**, *82*, 2780–2789. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Gómez-Cortés, P.; Bodas, R.; Mantecón, A.R.; de la Fuente, M.A.; Manso, T. Milk composition and fatty acid profile of residual and available milk from ewes fed with diets supplemented with different vegetable oils. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2011**, *97*, 72–75. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Bichi, E.; Hervás, G.; Toral, P.G.; Llor, J.J.; Frutos, P. Milk fat depression induced by dietary marine algae in dairy ewes: Persistency of milk fatty acid composition and animal performance responses. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2013**, *96*, 524–532. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Kholif, A.E.; Morsy, T.A.; Abd El Tawab, A.M.; Anele, U.Y.; Galyean, M.L. Effect of supplementing diets of Anglo-Nubian goats with soybean and flaxseed oils on lactational performance. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2016**, *64*, 6163–6170. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Cenkvari, É.; Fekete, S.; Fébel, H.; Veresegyházi, T.; Andrásófszky, E. Investigation on the effects of Ca-soaps of oil linseed on rumen fermentation in sheep on milk composition of goats. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **2005**, *89*, 172–178. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Manso, T.; Bodas, R.; Castro, T.; Jimeno, V.; Mantecón, A.R. Animal performance and fatty acid composition of lambs fed with different vegetable oils. *Meat Sci.* **2009**, *83*, 511–516. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Annison, E.F. Metabolite utilization by the ruminant mammary gland. *Biochem. Lact.* **1983**, *13*, 399–436.
48. Prado, L.A.; Schmidely, P.; Nozière, P.; Ferlay, A. Milk saturated fatty acids, odd- and branched-chain fatty acids, and isomers of C18:1, C18:2, and C18:3n-3 according to their duodenal flows in dairy cows: A meta-analysis approach. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2019**, *102*, 3053–3070. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.