

The UK government's 2022 food strategy a year later

Article

Accepted Version

Doherty, B., Jackson, P., Wagstaff, C. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9400-8641>, White, M. and Duncombe, T. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4746-4470> (2023) The UK government's 2022 food strategy a year later. *Nature Food*, 4 (10). pp. 824-825. ISSN 2662-1355 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-023-00859-x> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/113298/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s43016-023-00859-x>

Publisher: Nature

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **The UK Government's 2022 Food Strategy a year later**

2 *Bob Doherty¹, Peter Jackson², Carol Wagstaff³, Martin White⁴, Tracey Duncombe³*

3

4 The lack of ambition of the UK Government's 2022 Food Strategy (GFS) was evident from the start
5 (2022)¹. One year on from the GFS² publication, the UK food system looks increasingly vulnerable with
6 food prices increasing at record rates, food insecurity soaring³; and empty shelves in UK supermarkets
7 due to disruptions in fresh produce supply. The UK is increasingly reliant on imported fresh produce
8 with 54% of our vegetables and 84% of our fresh fruit imported⁴. Furthermore, the Department for
9 Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) advisor Henry Dimbleby has resigned and published his
10 own analysis of the problems with the food system⁵. The need for transformative change has never
11 been more evident. However, the Government has abandoned and delayed several of its promises.

12 Minister Mark Spencer announced in May 2023 a reversing of the decision to develop a strategy for
13 horticulture. Instead, the Government says it now wants to focus on a labour review and a farming
14 innovation programme. Dimbleby's independent review in 2021⁶ had set out how UK diets will need
15 to change over the next ten years to meet the government's targets on health, climate and nature. By
16 2032, fruit and vegetable consumption will have to increase by 30% and fibre consumption by 50%.
17 This U-turn clearly ignores this need.

18 The GFS promised a Health Disparities White Paper (HDWP). However, the HDWP was shelved in
19 January 2023. Instead, Health Secretary Steve Barclay announced a Major Conditions Strategy (MCS).
20 There is no date set for the publication of the MCS, which is focused on how best to manage dietary
21 related health conditions. Crucially, there has been no mention of health disparities. This has been
22 widely criticised by the health-professions⁷.

23 Children consume around 30% of their daily food and drink during the school day and access to
24 nutritious school food is vital to children's health⁸. Yet, government has invested only £5m to support
25 a 'school cooking revolution'. Furthermore, there has been no movement to extend access to Free
26 School Meals (FSM). In contrast, Sadiq Khan (Mayor of London) announced in February 2023 that all
27 primary school children in London will receive FSM for the next year, and universal FSM at primary
28 level are being launched in Scotland and Wales. In England, as many as 800,000 children in poverty
29 are not eligible to receive FSM⁹. There is a real opportunity for decisive action, but the Government
30 again fails to be ambitious on levelling-up.

31 The GFS promised the launch of the Food Data Transparency Partnership (FDTP) with commitments
32 on mandatory reporting against a set of food system metrics. According to Defra¹⁰, the initial focus of
33 the FDTP programme will now be limited to supporting the food industry on developing a standardised
34 approach to measuring and communicating greenhouse gas emissions. This is welcome, but this
35 approach tackles a single issue and does not join up planetary and human health.

36 The GFS committed to publish a Land Use strategy in 2023. At the time of writing, there is no news on
37 when the Land Use framework will be launched, and it is rumoured that it will not include a reduction
38 in the area used for livestock farming, as recommended by Dimbleby. In addition, the GFS promised
39 new Public Sector Food Buying Standards to promote sustainability. However, these new standards
40 have yet to be launched. Sensing disquiet, the Prime Minister called a Food Summit in May 2023 to

41 boost co-operation and promote UK food and farming Despite the rhetoric, there was limited mention
42 of the GFS. In 2024 or early 2025, on the occasion of general elections, the next government will have
43 an opportunity to develop a more coherent food system policy. We propose a five-point plan: (i) a
44 joint food systems cross government commission to bring considerations of population and planetary
45 health together; (ii) HM Treasury to conduct a full economic analysis of the recommendations
46 evidenced in Dimbleby’s review versus the full costs to the economy of food system negative
47 externalities; (iii) FSM for all primary school children in England, revolutionising catering in schools
48 underpinned by a new public food procurement strategy; (iv) Integration of mandatory health and
49 environmental metrics into the FDTP; (V) Comprehensive land use framework to reverse our status as
50 the worst performing G7 country in terms of species depletion. We propose this five-point plan to
51 ensure the UK avoids losing its way in transitioning towards a food system that promotes both human
52 and planetary health.

53

54 -----

55 **References**

- 56 1. Doherty, B., Jackson, P., Poppy, G.M., Wagstaff, C. and White, M. *Nature Food*, 3(7), 481-482
57 (2022).
- 58 2. Government Food Strategy. June 13th (2022).
59 [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-food-strategy/government-
61 food-strategy](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-food-strategy/government-
60 food-strategy)
- 61 3. Food Standards Agency (FSA). Consumer Insights Tracker, April (2023)
62 <https://www.food.gov.uk/other/consumer-insights-tracker-monthly-bulletin-april-2023>
- 63 4. Garnett, P., Doherty, B., & Heron, T. *Nature Food*, 1-3. (2020).
- 64 5. Dimbleby, H. (with J. Lewis). *Ravenous: How to get ourselves and our planet into shape.*
65 (London, Profile Books 2023).
- 66 6. Dimbleby, H. National Food Strategy Independent Review: The Plan (National Food Strategy,
67 (2021).
- 68 7. BMA. Governments scrapping of the Health Disparities White paper is appalling. (January
69 2023) [https://www.bma.org.uk/bma-media-centre/governments-scrapping-of-the-health-
71 disparities-white-paper-appalling-says-bma](https://www.bma.org.uk/bma-media-centre/governments-scrapping-of-the-health-
70 disparities-white-paper-appalling-says-bma)
- 71 8. Finegood, D.T., Merth, T.D. & Rutter, H. *Obesity*, 18(S1), S13–S16 (2020).
- 72 9. Child Poverty Action Group. 800,000 Children in Poverty not Getting Free School Meals
73 (2023). [https://cpag.org.uk/news-blogs/news-listings/800000-children-poverty-not-getting-
75 free-school-meals](https://cpag.org.uk/news-blogs/news-listings/800000-children-poverty-not-getting-
74 free-school-meals)
- 75 10. Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs Weekly Bulletin (12 May, 2023)

76

77 **Author information**

78 **Affiliations:**

- 79 1. School for Business and Society, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK.
- 80 2. Institute for Sustainable Food, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK.
- 81 3. Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Reading, RG6 6UR, UK.
- 82 4. MRC Epidemiology Unit, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge,
83 CB2 0QQ, UK.

84 **Corresponding Author:**

85 Bob Doherty | bob.doherty@york.ac.uk

86

87 **Competing interests**

88 The authors declare no competing interests.