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#### Abstract

SUMMARY The Miocene was a key time in the evolution of African ecosystems witnessing the origin of the African apes and the isolation of eastern coastal forests through an expanding arid corridor. Until recently, however, Miocene sites from the southeastern regions of the continent were unknown. Here, we report the first Miocene fossil teeth from the shoulders of the Urema Rift in Gorongosa National Park, Mozambique. We provide the first 1) radiometric ages of the Mazamba Formation, 2) reconstructions of paleovegetation in the region based on pedogenic carbonates and fossil wood, and 3) descriptions of fossil teeth. Gorongosa is unique in the East African Rift in combining marine invertebrates, marine vertebrates, reptiles, terrestrial mammals, and fossil woods in coastal paleoenvironments. The Gorongosa fossil sites offer the first evidence of woodlands and forests on the coastal margins of southeastern Africa during the Miocene, and an exceptional assemblage of fossils including new species.
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Figure 1. The East African Rift System
(A) The East African Rift System (EARS) with the Eastern Branch, the Western Branch, and some of the major basins and rifts, including the Urema Graben at its southern end. The development of the EARS since the Miocene has played a major role in shaping the physical environments and modifying the conditions under which plants and animals have been evolving in eastern Africa. Shaded area depicts hypothetical extent of arid corridor during the Miocene. Base map from Nasa Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (https://www2.jpl.nasa.gov/srtm/).
(B) Number of Miocene paleontological localities along the EARS by latitude. There are many Miocene localities in the rift near the equator, but the record away from the equator, especially to the south, is very sparse. Gorongosa is the only Miocene paleontological locality in the southern $\sim 1500 \mathrm{~km}$ of the EARS. Locality data from the Paleobiology Database https://paleobiodb.org/classic.

## INTRODUCTION

Much of our knowledge about African Miocene vertebrates and their environments derives from paleontological sites along the East African Rift System (EARS). ${ }^{1-8}$ However, considerable geographic and temporal gaps in the fossil record obscure a full appreciation of past biodiversity, biogeography, and ecosystem evolution on the continent. For example, until recently, there were no sites with Miocene mammals in the southern $1,500 \mathrm{~km}$ of the EARS (Figure 1). Thus, the Miocene faunas and ecosystems of this southern region have remained virtually unknown. Furthermore, none of the well-known Miocene fossil sites in the EARS provides evidence of eastern African coastal forests, a major ecosystem that may have played a key role in the evolution of several mammalian lineages. ${ }^{9,10}$ More broadly, in the context of southern Africa, there are only a few sites with terrestrial mammalian faunas, and the known sites (e.g., Berg Aukas, Namibia) are poorly contextualized. ${ }^{11-13}$ Although the necessity of documenting new fossil sites in previously unknown areas is widely appreciated and advocated, ${ }^{14-16}$ discovering entirely new paleontological beds is a rare event. ${ }^{17}$ Here, we describe the first dentognathic specimens of fossil vertebrates discovered in the East African Rift of central Mozambique. The specimens derive from the Mazamba Formation on the eastern shoulder of the Urema Rift in Gorongosa National Park (GNP) (Figure 2). ${ }^{18}$ Cosmogenic nuclide dating presented here indicates that the Gorongosa paleontological localities are of Miocene age. These localities formed under estuarine conditions and represent the first documentation of eastern African coastal forests in the Miocene. The emerging fossil record from Gorongosa opens the possibility of testing, for the first time, key hypotheses about an expanding northeast-southwest arid corridor that would have isolated the eastern coastal forests from those in the central parts of Africa, and for exploring the importance of these processes for hominid origins (Figure 1). ${ }^{10}$ Gorongosa Park is now well known for its successful wildlife restoration project, ${ }^{19}$ and these new paleontological sites in the park open a unique window on the fauna and environments of ancient Africa.

At the southern end of the EARS, the Urema Graben crosses Gorongosa along an approximately north-south axis, with the Cheringoma Plateau on the east and Mount Gorongosa dominating the northwestern region (Figures 2 and 3). The Urema Graben represents one of the youngest sections of the EARS.$^{20,21}$ The eastern shoulder of the Urema Graben is the Cheringoma Horst, an uplifted block bounded by the Inhaminga Fault on the west between the Pungue and Zambezi Rivers. ${ }^{22}$ Several geological formations are exposed in the Cheringoma Plateau, including the Sena Formation (Cretaceous), the Grudja Formation (with late Cretaceous and early Tertiary levels), the Cheringoma Formation (Eocene nummulitic limestones), and the Mazamba Formation (Mazamba sands attributed to the Miocene) ${ }^{22,23}$ (Figure 3). The Mazamba Formation is named after exposures along the Mazamba River 25 km southwest of Inhaminga in the Cheringoma Plateau. At the type locality in the upper Mazamba River, this formation attains 140 m in thickness. ${ }^{22,23}$ These deposits are separated from the underlying Cheringoma Formation by a well-defined erosional unconformity resulting from marine regression. According to Flores (1973: 105), ${ }^{22}$ "There is an erosional unconformity between the Eocene and the Miocene, with no intervening Oligocene, indicating considerable uplift in post-Eocene-pre-Miocene times". In the 1968 geological map of Mozambique, the Mazamba Formation is divided into two members separated by a chert


Figure 2. Map of Gorongosa National Park along the East African Rift Valley
The park hosts a wide range of environments. The new paleontological sites on the Cheringoma Plateau are $\sim 95 \mathrm{~km}$ from the coast.
horizon (as reproduced in Tinley 1977). The lower member ("grés de cor púrpura", or purple clays/sands) ( $T T_{s 1}$ in the 1968 geological map; Figure 3) is composed of purplish to reddish medium-grained argillaceous sands, which contain gastropods, bivalves, crustaceans, and foraminifera, and are interpreted to be littoral marine intercalated with deltaic deposits. The upper member ( $\mathrm{TT}_{52}$ ) is referred to as the Inhaminga beds ("camadas de Inhaminga"), composed of medium-to-coarse arkosic sands with some irregular conglomerate layers (Figure 3). Although there are some discrepancies and contradictions in the literature, most previous descriptions focused on the geology of the Cheringoma region consider the lower part of the Mazamba Formation to be of Miocene age and the upper part of the sequence to extend into the MioPliocene. ${ }^{18,23-26}$ Thus, we use the term Mazamba Formation to refer to the Mazamba/Inhaminga sequence in the Cheringoma Horst, with two informal members, a lower member and an upper member separated by a chert horizon. In the field, we identified the nodular chert layer separating the lower and upper sequences and undertook geological and paleontological surveys of both lower and upper deposits.

The dating of this sedimentary sequence has been hampered by the lack of radio-isotopic age determinations. Neogene volcanism has been less intensively developed in the southern EARS than in regions to the north (e.g., Afar, Main Ethiopian Rift, Omo-Turkana Basin, Kenya Rift), and volcanic ash layers amenable to radiometric dating seem to be rare. In a regional context, recent research on the Zambezi Delta by Ponte and colleagues has identified a major unconformity at the end of the Oligocene related to uplift of the South African Plateau, with the "Mazamba sands" deposited above this unconformity during the early Miocene (Aquitanian and Burdigalian stages). ${ }^{27}$

## RESULTS

During the 2016-2019 field seasons, the Paleo-Primate Project Gorongosa discovered and documented seven paleontological localities with fossil vertebrates: GPL-1, GPL-2, GPL-6, GPL-7, GPL-8, GPL-11, and GPL-12. Three additional localities produced invertebrates only (GPL-3, GPL-9, and GPL-10), and two yielded ex situ stone tools (GPL-4 and GPL-5). Menguere Hill, with abundant fossil wood, is the westernmost fossiliferous locality and it is not identified by a GPL number (Figure 3). These localities are listed in Table 1. Here, we provide new data and integrate several lines of evidence from the Mazamba Formation, including 1) sedimentology and depositional environments of the fossil localities, 2) radiometric age determinations based on cosmogenic nuclides, 3) stable isotopes from pedogenic carbonates, 4) paleobotanical remains, and 5) vertebrate paleontology.

## Sedimentology and stratigraphy of the lower Mazamba Formation

Based on regional stratigraphic relationships, sedimentary facies, facies architecture, and the emerging fossil record, Habermann and colleagues ${ }^{18}$ interpreted the sedimentary successions of the lower member of the Mazamba Formation exposed in the study region as representing a paleoenvironmental mosaic of estuarine and riverine forest/woodland systems. Estuarine sequences accumulated prior to rifting as


Figure 3. Gorongosa Paleontological Localities and geological formations
(A) Geological map of Gorongosa National Park and surrounding areas.
(B) Vertical geological cross section of the Urema Rift stretching from Mount Gorongosa to Inhaminga village.
(C) Map section showing the locations of the fossiliferous sites (GPL = Gorongosa Paleontological Locality). Figure modified from Habermann et al. ${ }^{18}$ and references therein, with new paleontological localities added.
compound incised-valley fills on a low-gradient coastal plain following transgression, receiving continental sediment from source terranes west of today's Urema Graben. The lower Mazamba succession at the southwestern paleontological sites (GPL-1, GPL-6, GPL-7, GPL-8, GPL-12, see Figure 3) is dominated by basal conglomeratic and sandy facies overlain by clayey sandstones to wackes and sandy clay and marlstone units (Figure 4). These successions are interpreted as lowstand (fluvial) and transgressive (estuarine) assemblages, comprising alluvial channel, bay head delta, shallow central basin or swamp, and fluvio-deltaic distributary channel facies from base to top. In contrast, the northeastern localities represent laterally correlative (GPL-9) as well as younger stratigraphic levels (GPL-2, GPL-3); they are sand dominated and contain marine invertebrates and some fossil mammals. These successions are interpreted as transgressive highstand assemblages consisting of barrier, shore-face, and lagoonal shelf facies.

GPL-1 and GPL-12 are the most fossiliferous localities. The sedimentary sequence of GPL-1 was described in detail by Habermann et al., ${ }^{18}$ and here we describe the sedimentary succession of GPL-12 (Figures 3 and 4). The gully sidewall at GPL-12 exposes a 3 m thick section comprising seven distinct sedimentary facies. Coarse, granule-, and pebble-bearing quartz sandstones that are moderately cemented by carbonate and contain variable amounts of clay, clayclasts, mottling, and bioturbation form the base of the succession (Facies 1-3). Bedding, occasionally picked out by pebble stringers or abrupt vertical grain-size changes, is only poorly developed. A single cast of a fossil bivalve was found in Facies 2 close to the bottom of the section. Mottling, reddish discoloration, and clay-filled bioturbation casts, including Thalassinoides isp., are most common in Facies 2. This facies yielded numerous vertebrate fossils including mandibles from various taxa as well as isolated teeth and bone fragments. Brown, sandy claystones with sand-filled bioturbation casts (Facies 4) follow above, which in turn are overlain by clayey sandstones of Facies 5 that include the second level in the section with large fossil vertebrate remains. At and near the top surface of Facies 5, carbonate accumulated in the form of finely distributed powder, as small concretionary nodules, or as thin crusts, suggesting a disconformity surface. A thin band of olive green to reddish waxy claystone follows next (Facies 6), which is overlain by medium-grained, well-sorted sandstones that are cross-bedded in places. In Figure 4, we present tentative correlations between localities based on lithological and sedimentological criteria.

Grain-size and sorting characteristics of the basal sandstones of Facies 1-3 suggest a fluvial depositional environment. The vertebrate, invertebrate, and trace fossils in this part of the succession, however, comprise terrestrial and potentially brackish or marine elements (bivalve in Facies 2 as well as Thalassinoides isp., most commonly produced by burrowing decapod crustaceans). The fossil remains thus refine paleoenvironmental inferences, suggesting fluvio-deltaic conditions, possibly in a river-dominated estuarine context (bay-head delta

Table 1. Gorongosa paleontological localities (GPLs) and depositional environments

| Locality | Elev in m | Facies | Depositional environments | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GPL-1 | 112 | Conglomerate, sandstones, claystones, <br> marlstones | Fluvial to estuarine | Abundant vertebrate fossils |
| GPL-2 | 120 | Sandstones, claystone | Estuarine to shallow marine | Crustaceans, gastropods, bivalves |
| GPL-3 | 116 | Sandstones, claystone | Estuarine to shallow marine | Crustaceans, gastropods, bivalves |
| GPL-4 | 110 | Conglomerates, quartzitic sandstone | Fluvial? | Surface stone tools (not in situ) |
| GPL-5 | 99 | Conglomerates, quartzitic sandstone | Fluvial? | Surface stone tools (not in situ) |
| GPL-6 | 115 | Sandstones, claystones | Fluvial to estuarine, marine? | Large mammal bones |
| GPL-7 | 101 | Siliciclastic sandstone, pebble lag | Fluvial | Mammal maxillary fragment |
| GPL-8 | 111 | Conglomerate, sandstones | Fluvial, reworked estuarine/marine | Striostera margaritacea oyster |
| GPL-9 | 107 | Conglomerate, sandstones | Coastal delta plain | Mollusks, red algae, serpulid |
| GPL-10 | 99 | Sandstones | Shallow marine | Oysters, bivalves, crustaceans |
| GPL-11 | 100 | Rudstone, sandstones | Fluvial to estuarine | Abundant oysters, gastropods |
| GPL-12 | 114 | Sandstones, claystones | Paleo-pan | Abundant in situ vertebrates |
| Menguere Hill | 108 | Calcrete, silcrete | Fluvial | Fossil wood, tree trunks |
| Mussapassua | 160 | Coarse quartzitic sands |  | Upper member Mazamba Fm |

assemblage). Fossil preservation and abundance in Facies 2 may suggest high sedimentation rates and relatively rapid burial, perhaps during a storm or flood event. Claystone units in the GPL-12 succession may indicate overbank or mudpond deposition in a fluvio-deltaic environment or may reflect a deepening trend so that estuarine muds formed under brackish to marginal marine conditions following transgression.

## Cosmogenic nuclides - atmospheric ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ dating

To establish a chronology for the Mazamba Formation, we applied the authigenic ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ cosmogenic nuclide dating method, hereafter referred to as atmospheric ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ dating, since the method is based on the atmospherically produced isotope ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$. ${ }^{28} \mathrm{We}$ extracted 15 rock samples from continuous sections measured in the lower member of the Mazamba Formation at GPL-1, GPL-2, GPL-6, and GPL-12 (Figures 3 and 4). To obtain as unaltered and unweathered rocks as possible, samples were taken from freshly excavated trench or section walls. The most fossiliferous and best studied outcrops thus far, GPL-1 and GPL-12, are covered by six and five samples, respectively, that were collected from consecutively younger units present in each section. All sampling positions were documented by total station measurements. Table S1 lists all samples collected for dating together with their paleoenvironmental context interpreted from the sedimentary record.

Besides sampling the sedimentary strata to be dated ("fossil samples"), atmospheric ${ }^{10}$ Be dating requires sampling of sediments from modern environments ("modern samples") equivalent to those reconstructed from the sedimentary record to determine the initial authigenic ratio $N_{0}$ characteristic of the Gorongosa region. ${ }^{28,29}$ To obtain these modern sediment samples, of which we analyzed nine in this study (Table S1), a range of environments was sampled, including the banks of three rivers descending from Mount Gorongosa (proximal fluvial settings), the banks of the Pungue and Urema Rivers and the shore of Lake Urema (medial fluvial and lacustrine settings), as well as several localities on the coast, including the Savane River estuary and another estuary northeast of Beira, the shores of which support extensive mangrove swamps and forests (distal coastal, estuarine, and mangrove forest settings).

The authigenic ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratios measured for the modern sediment samples (ranging from 70.9 to $281 \times 10^{-13}$, Table S2) are low compared to the range of authigenic ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratios of recent surficial continental sediments in general. ${ }^{30-32}$ Due to the dispersion of the obtained $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ values, with a low statistical correlation value, the modern samples were grouped by depositional environments. Then, three scenarios were considered: (1) a direct modern sedimentary/environmental conditions equivalent, (2) a fully estuarine environmental equivalent, and (3) a sedimentary source equivalent. For the first computing (Table 2 part (1)), assuming that the lower Mazamba sediments were deposited in two main paleoenvironments, i.e., fluvio-deltaic and estuarine-lagoonal, we chose modern samples derived from an environmentally equivalent context. For the fossil fluvio-deltaic deposit samples ( $n=5$ ) (Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-1, Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-2, Be18-Gor-GPL12-0.1, Be18-Gor-GPL12-1.1, and Be18-Gor-GPL12-4.1), data from the modern sample Be18-Bei-EstRi1-1 were used as the $N_{0}$ reference value to calculate depositional ages of $8.6 \pm 0.2$ and $14.6 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{Ma}$ for the first two samples from the base of GPL-1NE. For samples from the basal and middle sections at GPL-12 (GPL12-0.1, -1.1 , and -4.1 ), deposition ages of $17.1 \pm 0.5,19.5 \pm 0.8$, and $16.9 \pm 0.6$ Ma were calculated, respectively. By contrast, the modern estuarine context samples Be18-Bei-SavEst-1 and Be18-Bei-SavFor-1, for which a weighted mean ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratio of $0.640 \pm 0.034 \times 10^{-8}$ was obtained, were used as $N_{0}$ reference material to calculate deposition ages for the remaining fossil samples ( $n=$ 10) that reflect estuarine-lagoonal conditions. Calculated ages for these samples, coming from middle to upper parts of the GPL-1 and GPL-12 sections, range between $6.9 \pm 0.2$ (Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-6) and $17.8 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-5).

In the second computing (Table 2 part (2)), assuming the depositional environment for the lower Mazamba Formation was mainly estuarine, only the two modern estuarine context samples (Be18-Bei-SavEst-1 and Be18-Bei-SavFor-1) were considered for age calculations with a

Figure 4. Stratigraphic sections
Modified and updated from Habermann et al. ${ }^{18}$.

Table 2. Computed authigenic ages for the lower member of the Mazamba Formation

| Samples | (1) Initial Authigenic ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}{ }^{*} 10^{-8}$ | (1) Initial Authigenic age in Ma | (2) Initial <br> Authigenic ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}^{\star} 10^{-8}$ | (2) Initial Authigenic age in Ma | (3) Initial <br> Authigenic ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}^{\star} 10^{-8}$ | (3) Initial Authigenic age in Ma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-1 | $13.867 \pm 0.521$ | $8.591 \pm 0.179$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $7.043 \pm 0.190$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $4.958 \pm 0.165$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-2 | $13.867 \pm 0.521$ | $14.568 \pm 0.268$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $13.020 \pm 0.273$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $10.935 \pm 0.252$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-3 | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $8.957 \pm 0.199$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $8.957 \pm 0.199$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $6.872 \pm 0.173$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-4 | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $14.540 \pm 0.519$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $14.540 \pm 0.519$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $12.455 \pm 0.508$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-5 | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $17.779 \pm 0.696$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $17.779 \pm 0.696$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $15.693 \pm 0.687$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-6 | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $6.870 \pm 0.227$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $6.870 \pm 0.227$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $4.785 \pm 0.206$ |
| 17-Gor-GPL2-5 | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $8.940 \pm 0.186$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $8.940 \pm 0.187$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $6.855 \pm 0.158$ |
| 17-Gor-GPL2-10 | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $7.778 \pm 0.201$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $7.778 \pm 0.201$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $5.692 \pm 0.176$ |
| 17-Gor-GPL6-3 | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $10.952 \pm 0.225$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $10.952 \pm 0.225$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $8.866 \pm 0.201$ |
| 17-Gor-GPL6-8 | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $10.761 \pm 0.308$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $10.761 \pm 0.308$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $8.675 \pm 0.291$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL12-0.1 | $13.867 \pm 0.521$ | $17.100 \pm 0.450$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $15.552 \pm 0.452$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $13.467 \pm 0.439$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL12-1.1 | $13.867 \pm 0.521$ | $19.531 \pm 0.842$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $17.983 \pm 0.843$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $15.898 \pm 0.835$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL12-3.1 | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $10.887 \pm 0.233$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $10.887 \pm 0.233$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $8.802 \pm 0.209$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL12-4.1 | $13.867 \pm 0.521$ | $16.894 \pm 0.570$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $15.346 \pm 0.572$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $13.261 \pm 0.562$ |
| Be18-Gor-GPL12-5.1 | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $13.159 \pm 0.288$ | $0.640 \pm 0.034$ | $13.159 \pm 0.288$ | $0.226 \pm 0.007$ | $11.073 \pm 0.268$ |

(1) Modern environmental equivalent sample used for fossil samples Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-1, Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-2, Be18-Gor-GPL12-0.1, Be18-Gor-GPL12-1.1, and Be18-Gor-GPL12-4.1: Be18-Bei-EstRi1-1; modern environmental equivalent samples used for the other fossil samples: Be18-Bei-SavEst-1 and Be18-Bei-SavFor-1 with a weighted mean ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratio of $0.640 \pm 0.034 \times 10^{-8}$. (2) Modern estuarine equivalent samples used for all fossil samples: Be18-Bei-SavEst-1 and Be18-Bei-SavFor-1 with a weighted mean ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratio of $0.640 \pm 0.034 \times 10^{-8}$. (3) Modern source equivalent samples used for all fossil samples: Be18-Gor-Urem-1.1, Be18-Gor-Vun-1.1, and Be18-Gor-VunS1-1.1 with a weighted mean ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratio of $0.226 \pm 0.007 \times 10^{-8}$.
mean $N_{0}$ value of $0.64 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{-8}$. In this scenario, calculated deposition ages range from $6.9 \pm 0.2$ (Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-6) to $18.0 \pm 0.8 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Be18-Gor-GPL12-1.1) and only the resulting dates for the five fossil fluvio-deltaic samples change with respect to the first computing.

In the third computing (Table 2 part (3)), environmental conditions were largely irrelevant for the choice of modern reference samples. Instead, we chose modern samples for obtaining $N_{0}$ values (mainly for the dissolved ${ }^{9} B e$ input sources) based on sampling localities in the vicinity of the source rocks that the sediments are inferred to be primarily derived from (i.e., Gorongosa Suite granite and gabbro exposed at Mount Gorongosa; Habermann et al., 2019). Matching depositional environments of modern and fossil samples (in this case fluvial) were considered secondarily only in the selection process. The ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratios obtained from three modern samples, one from the banks of the Urema River (Be18-Gor-Urem-1.1) and two from the banks of the Vunduzi River (Be18-Gor-Vun-1.1 and Be18-Gor-VunS1-1.1), were used to calculate a weighted mean $N_{0}$ value of $0.226 \pm 0.007 \times 10^{-8}$. This weighted mean value was then applied in age calculations to the lower Mazamba samples to be dated. In this approach, resulting ages prove to be slightly younger, ranging between $4.8 \pm 0.2$ (Be18-Gor-GPL1NE-6) and $15.9 \pm 0.8 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Be18-Gor-GPL12-1.1).

Thus, under the three different models, all but two of the samples yield dates within the time frame of the Miocene. The lower sections of GPL- 12 yield the oldest dates and indicate that the sediments are of early Miocene age. The four samples from GPL- 2 and GPL-6 provide late Miocene ages under the three different models.

## Cosmogenic nuclides - ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al} /{ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ dating

The upper member of the Mazamba Formation has not yielded any fossils yet, and previous geological work indicates it is much younger than the lower member, but no radiometric dates have been previously reported. We applied the ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al} /{ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ burial dating method based on the decay of ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al}$ and ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ cosmogenic nuclides produced in situ in quartz $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ minerals ${ }^{33-35}$ to date samples from the upper member and thus provide chronological constraints on the fossiliferous lower member. In general, this technique is applicable for the time frame from 100 ka to $\sim 6 \mathrm{Ma} .{ }^{36}$ We chose two rock samples collected from two detailed stratigraphic sections in the Mussapassua area in the southeastern corner of GNP where the upper member is well exposed. Under two different models, the samples yielded burial duration dates of $1.316 \pm$ 0.54 and $0.838 \pm 0.22 \mathrm{Ma}$ and indicate that at least part of the upper member is of early Pleistocene age (Tables S 3 and S 4 ). Further research is needed to evaluate these dates.

## Pedogenic carbonates

Results of pedogenic stable isotope analysis are listed in Table 3 and shown in Figure 5. Stable carbon isotope ratios of pedogenic carbonates of GPL-1 vary between $-9.3 \%$ and $-5.9 \%$ with an average value of $-7.3 \pm 1.0 \%$, while oxygen isotopes ratios fluctuate from $25.4 \%$ to $26.5 \%$
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Table 3. Stable carbon and oxygen isotopes

| Sample ID | Distance from base [cm] | ¢13CVPDB [\%o] | ¢18OVSMOW [\%od | Weight [ $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ] | Carbonate content [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GLP1-1NE-25 | 390 | -6.8 | 26.2 | 112 | 91 |
| GLP1-1NE-24 | 385 | -9.1 | 25.8 | 144 | 87 |
| GLP1-1NE-23 | 380 | -7.7 | 26.4 | 121 | 89 |
| GLP1-1NE-22 | 360 | -8.6 | 26.0 | 129 | 95 |
| GPL1-1NE-21 | 350 | -7.1 | 25.7 | 366 | 16 |
| GLP1-1NE-19 | 340 | -6.4 | 25.7 | 146 | 96 |
| GLP1-1NE-18 | 335 | -7.0 | 26.0 | 135 | 91 |
| GLP1-1NE-17 | 330 | -7.0 | 25.6 | 135 | 93 |
| GLP1-1NE-16 | 325 | -7.5 | 25.5 | 170 | 89 |
| GLP1-1NE-15 | 320 | -6.7 | 26.1 | 139 | 88 |
| GLP1-1NE-14 | 310 | -9.3 | 25.9 | 159 | 78 |
| GPL1-1NE-13 | 200 | -7.6 | 25.7 | 149 | 83 |
| GPL1-1NE-10 | 145 | -7.4 | 25.4 | 123 | 50 |
| GPL1-1NE-09 | 135 | -7.5 | 26.0 | 131 | 62 |
| GPL1-1NE-08 | 120 | -6.3 | 26.2 | 136 | 79 |
| GPL1-1NE-07 | 110 | -5.9 | 26.5 | 131 | 86 |
| GPL1-1NE-06 | 90 | -6.3 | 26.3 | 150 | 80 |

Values with sample ID, distance from the base of section GPL-1NE, amount of untreated carbonate powder and carbonate content. For stratigraphic context, see Figure 4.
with an average of $25.9 \pm 0.3 \%$. There is very low correlation between $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ present $\left(R^{2}=0.1\right)$. Overall stratigraphic trends cannot be detected in either of the two datasets. Carbonate content of the nodules is generally $>50 \%$ with only one sample having a significantly lower carbonate content (16\%), but comparable isotopic values. The average carbonate content is $80 \pm 20 \%$.

Carbon isotope values average $-7.3 \pm 1.0 \%$ and never exceed $-5.9 \%$. Such low values are typical for $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ dominated ecosystems characterized by woodland, bushland, or wooded grassland environments with a mix of $\mathrm{C}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{4}$ vegetation. Following the vegetation classification of the study by White, ${ }^{37}$ this would indicate average woody cover of at least $50 \%$ (for the average $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ value of $-7.3 \%$ ), using the "paleo-shade" proxy. ${ }^{38}$ The oxygen isotopic values of pedogenic carbonates from GPL-1 show fluctuations of only $1.1 \%$ toward a relatively persistent climate with no large variation in temperature, source water supply, or effects of evaporation. Without constraints on paleotemperature or ancient soil water oxygen isotopic composition, temporal and geographic variations in fossil soil carbonate $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values can only be used to identify qualitative changes in climatic patterns, but the relatively low $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values could indicate a mesic climate with high water supply, which is also supported by the sedimentology, geology, fossil faunal, and floral assemblages of this costal riverine forest/woodland ecosystem.

## Paleobotany

At Menguere Hill, about 3.5 km west of GPL-1, there are large, silicified tree trunks (Figure 6) measuring up to 1.6 m in diameter, as well as scattered fragments of fossil wood. Menguere Hill rises 40 m above the surrounding landscapes and exposes a series of silicified limestone beds. During the 2016-2018 field seasons, we collected 41 specimens of well-preserved fossil wood for microscopic analysis of thin sections and here we present a preliminary taxonomic list and the paleoecological implications of the taxa. Thin sections of the three planes (transverse, radial longitudinal, and tangential longitudinal) of the silicified woods were studied under the microscope and the arrangements of tissues and cell measurements were compared with the anatomy of modern plants in the InsideWood database. For methodological details, see the study by Bamford 2017. ${ }^{39}$ The Gorongosa sample includes the palm Hyphaene (Palmae, family Arecaceae), which is widespread in the humid, hot lowlands of tropical Africa. The most abundant taxon in the collection is Entandrophragmoxylon (African mahogany, family Meliaceae) (Figure 7). This genus is recognizable by the combination of features: large diameter mostly solitary vessels with simple perforation plates, confluent axial parenchyma and banded parenchyma about 3 cells wide, $2-6$-seriate rays with procumbent body cells, and one row of marginal upright cells, often containing crystals, and the inter-vessel pitting is small. The modern genus Entandrophragma is restricted to tropical Africa, and some species can reach up to 60 m in height. We have previously reported the presence of Terminalioxylon (family Combretaceae), ${ }^{18}$ a genus that is most diverse in bushveld and savannas, and includes some mangrove species. There are also samples of Ziziphus (family Rhamnaceae), which is common along watercourses, and Zanha (family Sapindaceae), found in open woodland to dense ravines and riverine forests. ${ }^{40-42} \mathrm{~A}$ further observation to note is that cross sections of the wood vessels indicate mesophytic trees that cannot tolerate water stress. We interpret the Menguere Hill succession as a correlative inland equivalent to the estuarine fossil sites farther to the east based on similar elevations. ${ }^{18}$


Figure 5. Stable carbon and oxygen isotopes
$\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ related to the stratigraphic column of GPL-1NE.

## Systematic paleontology

Here, we describe several specimens from the lower Mazamba Formation found during the 2016-2019 field seasons. All fossil specimens are listed in the Paleo-Gorongosa Database, where each entry provides specimen number, locality, GPS coordinates, stratigraphic position, taxonomic attribution, and skeletal elements represented. Each specimen has the prefix PPG followed by the year of discovery, as in PPG2017-P121. Following the prefix and year of discovery, the letter $P$ refers to Paleontological collection (rather than archaeological or osteological collections). Specimens were numbered sequentially as they were retrieved in the field each year. All specimens are housed in the Paleontology Laboratory in Chitengo, Gorongosa National Park.

```
Class Chondrichthyes Huxley, 1880
Subclass Elasmobranchii Bonaparte,1838
Order Carcharhiniformes Compagno, 1977
Family Carcharhinidae Jordan & Evermann, 1896
Genus Galeocerdo Müller & Henle, 1837
Referred specimens: PPG2017-P-121 from GPL-1, PPG2018-P-224 from GPL-1, PPG2019-P-126, 129, 176 from GPL-12
Galeocerdo aduncus Agassiz, 1843
Referred specimen: PPG2019-P-127 from GPL-12
```

Six specimens of shark teeth were recovered from the Gorongosa sedimentary sequence during the 2016-2019 field seasons. Four of these are fragmentary teeth from GPL-1 (PPG2017-P-121, PPG2018-P-224) and GPL-12 (PPG2019-P-126, PPG2019-P-127), and two are complete crowns and roots from GPL-12 (PPG2019-P-127, PPG2019-P-129) (Figure 8). For shark teeth, we use the terminology of Türtscher et al. ${ }^{43}$ The following descriptions and analyses are based on the two complete teeth. One of these teeth (PPG2019-P-129), however, has some weathering on the apex that removed part of the distal cutting edge. The apex of the Gorongosa teeth is dominated by a primary cusp that leans distally. Serrations are present in the mesial cutting edge and the distal heel, but only lightly developed or absent along the


Figure 6. Silicified tree trunk with bark preserved at Menguere Hill
apex. The mesial cutting edge has more than a dozen primary serrations that decrease in size away from the apex. The heel is relatively straight and with primary serrations decreasing in size distally. The serrations are simple (not compound), with only primary serrations visible (no secondary serrations). The outline of the mesial cutting edge has a distinct break between the apex and the rest of the serrated mesial cutting edge with two lines meeting at an obtuse angle ( $140^{\circ}$ in PPG2019-P-127 and $155^{\circ}$ in PPG2018-P-129). The length of the apex is one-third or less of the length of the rest of the mesial cutting edge. The mesiodistal length of the tooth exceeds its height. The root is relatively thick, bilobate, and well-arched, with the slightly asymmetrical lobes forming an obtuse angle. The six specimens differ in coloration, weathering, and preservation, and appear to represent distinct individuals deriving from two localities separated by $\sim 700 \mathrm{~m}$. In overall characteristics, the shark teeth have the cockscomb appearance typical of the genus Galeocerdo, tiger sharks.

To assess the taxonomic affinities of the Gorongosa shark specimens, we carried out a series of 2D morphometric analyses of the two complete specimens. We compiled a set of fossil shark photographs from the existing literature to obtain a suitable comparative sample of 600 specimens (Table S5). From this comparative sample, we used three datasets including: 1) all 600 specimens from four different genera (Galeocerdo, Physogaleus, Carcharhinus, and Hemipristis), 2) a subset of 547 specimens from species of Galeocerdo and Physogaleus, and 3) a subset including 436 specimens from different species of the genus Galeocerdo. We carried out principal component analyses (PCA) of these datasets followed by multi-group linear discriminant analyses (LDA) to classify the Gorongosa specimens into taxonomic categories (STAR Methods).

The first PCA considering four genera of sharks shows that both Gorongosa specimens are located within the convex hulls of Galeocerdo (Figure 9A). In the second PCA, considering eight species of Galeocerdo and Physogaleus, Gorongosa B (PPG2017-P-127) is located near the center of the Galeocerdo aduncus convex hull, while Gorongosa A is in a marginal position near the edges of G. cuvier and G. capellini (Figure 9B). In the third PCA, which considers only species of Galeocerdo, Gorongosa B is again near the center of the G. aduncus convex hull, while Gorongosa A is near the edges of G. cuvier and G. capellini (Figure 9C). The three LDA models using the principal components (PCs) that accounted for $90 \%$ of the variance of the sample clearly distinguish among the taxonomic categories, displaying good performances with satisfactory classification results after cross-validation (Table S6). When using the obtained discriminant functions to classify the Gorongosa fossil sharks into these taxonomic categories (as a way of assessing morphological affinities), they were robustly classified within the genus Galeocerdo. When classifying the fossils using the species categories, Gorongosa A was classified within Galeocerdo cuvier, while Gorongosa B was strongly categorized within Galeocerdo aduncus. Gorongosa specimen PPG2019-P-127 shares with A. aduncus a lack of secondary serrations on the mesial cutting edge and slightly asymmetric roots.


Figure 7. Photomicrographs of thin sections of fossil wood specimen PPP-G-36 from Menguere Hill, Entandrophragmoxylon sp. (Meliaceae, African Mahogany)
(A) Transverse section showing large mostly solitary vessels, vasicentric to aliform parenchyma, and wide rays with dark contents.
(B) Radial longitudinal section with a vertical column of axial parenchyma cells, and horizontal radial parenchyma cells that are procumbent.
(C) Tangential longitudinal section with vertical columns of axial parenchyma cells and lens-shaped outline of rays with circular parenchyma cells. Letters: $V=$ vessel; $R=$ ray; $P=$ axial parenchyma. Scale bars: $A=1 \mathrm{~cm} ; B, C=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

The size and morphology of the fragmentary teeth in the Gorongosa collection is consistent with those of the complete crowns, and we attribute all six specimens to the same genus. Galeocerdo upper and lower teeth are very similar, but they increase in breadth relative to height posteriorly. The teeth of juvenile tiger sharks have fewer serrations than those of adults. ${ }^{43}$ The Gorongosa fossil teeth are functionally similar to those of the extant tiger shark, and we may infer similar function in piercing large prey.

Batoidea Compagno, 1973
Order Myliobatiformes Compagno, 1973
Referred specimen: PPG2018-P-257 from GPL-1


Figure 8. Gorongosa fossil sharks, all in the genus Galeocerdo, tiger sharks
(A) PPG2019-P-129.
(B) PPG2019-P-127.
(C) PPG2018-P-224.
(D) PPG2019-P-176.
(E) PPG2017-P-121.
(F) PPG2019-P-126.


Figure 9. Fossil shark principal component analysis
(A) PCA of 600 Miocene shark teeth from the genera Carcharhinus, Galeocerdo, Hemipristis, and Physogaleus, and including the two Gorongosa complete crowns. (B) PCA of 547 Miocene shark teeth of the species Galeocerdo sp., and Physogaleus sp., and the Gorongosa specimens.
(C) PCA of shark teeth including the species G. aduncus, G. capellini, G. clarkensis, G. cuvier, G. eaglesomei, and G. mayumbensis, with the Gorongosa specimens.

A single fragment of batoid symphyseal teeth was found at GPL-1. This indicates that at least two taxa of cartilaginous fishes occur in the Gorongosa fossil record, one species of shark and one species of ray. Most batoid species live in tropical and subtropical coastal waters, and some can occur in estuaries.

Order Testudines Batsch, 1788
Referred specimens: PPG2016-P-12, 13, 14, 27, 55, PPG2017-P-42, 44, 87, 95, PPG2018-P-10, 201, 203, 206, 217, 233, 234, 235, 270, 271
Family Testudinidae Batsch, 1788
Referred specimen: PPG2016-P-9
There are 20 specimens of turtles and tortoises in the Gorongosa fossil collections, which include fragments of carapace and plastron. One of the first specimens to be recovered in the field was PPG2016-P-9, a plastron fragment consistent in thickness and morphology with terrestrial tortoises (family Testudinidae) (Figure 10A), which have been present in Africa since the late Eocene. ${ }^{44,45}$ Most specimens are fragmentary but further analyses will aim to refine the taxonomic attributions.

Order Crocodylia Gmelin, 1789
Family Crocodylidae Cuvier, 1807
Crocodylidae indet.
Referred specimens: PPG2016-P-10, 23, PPGG2017-P-43, 49, 73, 80, 89, PPG2018-P-100, 161, 162, 222, 223, 241, 252, 264, PPG2019-P-116, 117, 128

There are 18 teeth and tooth fragments attributed to Crocodylidae. Their abundance attests to relatively stable bodies of water in the region. Tooth crown morphologies are consistent with size and shape heterodonty in brevirostrine taxa (Figure 10). ${ }^{46,47}$ Although represented by small sample sizes, maximum tooth crown lengths indicate body sizes similar to comparatively small-bodied crocodylids from the Paleogene and early to middle Miocene of North African and sub-Saharan formations, ${ }^{48-50}$ as opposed to the gigantic late Miocene-Pleistocene taxa from East Africa. ${ }^{51,52}$ A single broken, poorly preserved tooth is elongate and slightly recurved distally, similar to the condition in longirostrine, piscivorous tomistomine, and gavialoid taxa, suggesting the presence of at least two crocodylid taxa in the lower member of the Mazamba Formation.

Mammalia Linnaeus, 1758
Afrotheria Stanhope et al., 1998
Order Hyracoidea Huxley, 1869
Family Saghatheriidae Andrews, 1906
gen. et sp. nov.
Referred specimens: PPG2018-P-1, 2


Figure 10. Some fossil reptiles from Gorongosa
(A, E, and F) Testudines.
(B-D and G-K) Crocodylia.


Figure 11. Fossil hyracoids
(A) Hyracoid left mandible PPG2018-P-1.
(B) Hyracoid right mandibular fragment, PPG2018-P-2.
(C) PPG2018-P-2 in occlusal view.

Hyraxes (order Hyracoidea) belong to the Afrotheria, a clade of mammals with deep evolutionary roots in Africa. The Gorongosa sample includes an individual with left and right mandibular fragments (Figure 11) excavated in situ from Facies 2 at GPL-12. The hyracoid mandibles represent some of the oldest mammals found so far in the Gorongosa sequence (early Miocene based on the atmospheric ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ dates). The left hemimandible (PPG2018-P-1) has the complete premolar-molar dentition, from p 1 to m 3 , but the specimen is extremely fragile, so it remains in its plaster jacket for protection and only the buccal and occlusal aspects are visible. The right mandible fragment (PPG2018-P-2) has a set of molars $\mathrm{m} 2-\mathrm{m} 3$ and three detached premolars ( $\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 3$, and p 4 ). Tooth measurements are given in Table 4. The mandibular body, as seen on the left side, shows a slight depression on the buccal side below the level of m 1 - m 2 . The cheek teeth increase monotonically in mesio-distal length from p1 $(12.81 \mathrm{~mm})$ to $\mathrm{m} 3(31.01 \mathrm{~mm})$. The teeth are brachydont, and the molars are bilophodont with well-developed transverse crests. The posterior premolars, p3-p4, are molarized. In the molars, the protoconid is large and gives rise to the protocristid that extends to the metaconid and forms the mesial loph at the back of the trigonid. The paraconid is reduced and the metaconid is the tallest cusp. The hypoconid gives rise to a marked hypocristid that extends to the entoconid and forms the distal loph at the back of the talonid. The molars also contain lingual spurs in the metaconid and entoconid that extend linguo-distally. The m3 has a well-developed hypoconulid and a third loph joins the hypoconulid with the endoconulid. The distal cingulum forms a distinct posterior cusplet in the m 3 , a feature that seems to be rare in hyracoids, but is present in Thyrohyrax kenyaensis (KNM-NW 58339) from the early Miocene of Nakwai, ${ }^{53}$ and in Regubahyrax selleyi (M 82369) from the early Miocene of Libya, ${ }^{54}$ both allied to Saghatheriidae. A continuous cingulum occurs along the mesial, buccal, and distal parts of the molars. The well-developed transverse crests and the low-crowned molars of the Gorongosa specimens most likely indicate a folivorous diet based on soft leaves.

To compare the Gorongosa mandibles with those from other sites, we carried out a PCA of dental shape variables. For the left hemimandible (PPG2018-P-1), we used five curves with 15 landmarks each from the buccal side (given that the lingual side is obscured by the plaster jacket) to produce dental row outlines from p3 to m3 (Figure 12A). These landmarks were collected using the software Landmark Editor 3.6. ${ }^{55}$ We chose the p3-m3 sequence (excluding p1-p2) to maximize the number of comparative specimens that could be used. We obtained similar outlines from the 3D models of 14 hyracoids. Three of these comparative specimens are housed at the National Museums of Kenya (NMK) and were digitized using photogrammetry following the protocol described by Bucchi and colleagues. ${ }^{56}$ Eleven additional comparative specimens were downloaded from Morphosource https://www.morphosource.org/ ${ }^{57}$ (Table S7). This comparative sample included the genera Saghatherium, Thyrohyrax, Megalohyrax, and Afrohyrax and the modern genera Dendrohyrax and Procavia. The first and last landmarks from each one of the five curves were treated as fixed (i.e., 10 fixed landmarks), whereas all the rest of them (i.e., 65 landmarks) were considered as semi-landmarks. This PCA shows that the Gorongosa mandible is closer to specimens of Saghatheriidae (Saghatherium, Thyrohyrax, and Megalohyrax) than to Titanohyracidae (Afrohyrax) or modern Procaviidae (Dendrohyrax and Procavia) (Figure 12B) when considering the two first PCs that account for $\sim 70 \%$ of the variance of the sample.

In another analysis using only the $m 3$ from mandible PPG2018-P-2, we used four curves with 10 landmarks each (Figure 13A). This dataset was then compared with the 3D models of 25 hyracoids. Thirteen of these specimens are also housed at the NMK and that were digitized using photogrammetry with the same protocol that was described previously, while the rest of the sample was obtained from

Table 4. Measurements of hyracoid teeth in mm

| PPG2018-P-1 | Side | mesio-distal | bucco-lingual |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| p1 | Lt | 12.81 | n.a. |
| P2 | Lt | 14.64 | n.a. |
| P3 | Lt | 14.96 | n.a. |
| p4 | Lt | 15.72 | n.a. |
| m1 | Lt | 17.59 | n.a. |
| m2 | Lt | 19.61 | n.a. |
| m3 | $L t$ | 31.01 | n.a. |
| PPG2018-P-2 |  |  | 14.48 |
| P2 | $R t$ | 16.14 | 8.93 |
| P4 | $R t$ | 16.63 | 10.26 |
| $m 2$ | $R t$ | 20.77 | 12.60 |
| $m 3$ | $R t$ | 32.40 | 15.31 |

MorphoSource https://www.morphosource.org/ (Table S8). The comparative sample derives from five families of Hyracoidea: Geniohyidae (Bunohyrax), Saghatheriidae (Saghatherium, Thyrohyrax, Megalohyrax), Titanohyracidae (Afrohyrax, Mereohyrax), Pliohyracidae (Parapliohyrax), and Procaviidae (Dendrohyrax and Procavia). This dataset was also subjected to a General Procrustes analysis to obtain shape variables. The first and last landmarks from each one of the four curves were treated as fixed (i.e., eight fixed landmarks), while the remaining 3D coordinates (i.e., 32 landmarks) were considered as semi-landmark and were slid by using Procrustes distance minimization as criterion. The obtained shape residuals were then used to carry out a PCA. This PCA shows that the Gorongosa m3 is closer to specimens of Saghatheriidae than to those of other families (Figure 13B) when considering the first two PCs that account for $\sim 64 \%$ of the variance of the sample.

The Gorongosa species is a large hyracoid (body mass $\sim 124-153 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) presenting the following autapomorphies: a present p4 premetacristid and an m1 talonid that is shorter than the trigonid. It differs from most hyracoids, while sharing with Prohyrax hendeyi and Procavia capensis, by having a p1 entoconid that is present but smaller than the hypoconid. It also differs from most other hyracoids, while sharing with Prohyrax hendeyi, in having a p2 metaconid that is small relative to the protoconid. It also shares with Procavia capensis a p2 entoconid that is well-developed and approximately equal in size with respect to the hypoconid. It differs from Thyrohyrax species in exhibiting lower molar buccal cingulids that are present and continuous, as well as exhibiting a trenchant crest connecting hypoconids and hypoconulids on $\mathrm{m} 1-2$. It differs from Prohyrax hendeyi and Procavia capensis in that the position of the metaconid relative to the protoconid on p4 is situated transversally rather than distally, and that the cristid obliqua meets the hypoconid at a sharp angle on m1-m2. It also differs from them and from Thyrohyrax meyeri and Thyrohyrax domorictus (its closest relatives based on our phylogenetic results) in that relative width of the p4 talonid is approximately equal in width to the trigonid, as well as in that the length of p 4 is $\sim 80 \%-89 \%$ relative to m 1 . Gorongosa also differs from the rest of hyracoids, excepting a few Titanohyrax species, in showing an m 1 area $\sim 200-250 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. The Gorongosa hyracoids also differ from all Thyrohyrax species, Procavia capensis, and Prohyrax hendeyi in that molar crowns are buccally inflated, and the hypoconids and protoconids are centralized relative to the crown base. It also differs from them in that the orientation of the cristid obliqua on m 1 and m 2 terminates between the metaconid and protoconid.

To infer the evolutionary relationships of the Gorongosa specimens, we carried out a Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of hyracoid species (Figure 14), combining morphological and stratigraphic range data from the fossil record using RevBayes v.1.1.0. ${ }^{58}$ The morphological data came from Cooper et al. ${ }^{59}$ and comprised a supermatrix of 403 morphological characters from where we extracted all the hyracoid species present. ${ }^{59}$ We collected all mandibular characters available in the Gorongosa hyracoid materials and added this information to the hyracoid morphological matrix. The stratigraphic ranges are the first and last occurrences observed for a single species in the fossil record and were obtained from the Paleobiology Database at https://paleobiodb.org (Table S9).

After discarding a $25 \%$ burn-in, we computed a maximum credibility (MCC) tree as a way of summarizing our posterior tree sample. Overall, our hyracoid tree is mostly well resolved showing high posterior support with $\sim 72 \%$ of the nodes displaying posterior values larger than 0.5 (Figure 14). The topology of our MCC tree is highly similar to the topology for the hyracoid clade obtained by Cooper et al. ${ }^{59}$ using parsimony but better resolved. In general, the polytomies obtained by Cooper et al. ${ }^{59}$ correspond to nodes displaying the lowest support in our phylogeny. The only topological differences between both trees occur in the clade comprising Afrohyrax championi, Antilohyrax pectidens, and Titanohyrax angustidens (a clade with high topological uncertainty), as well as—of course—the inclusion of the Gorongosa materials. The Gorongosa hyracoids correspond in our phylogeny to the sister clade of the most recent hyracoids analyzed by us (i.e., Procavia capensis and Prohyrax hendeyi). Although not the main focus of our present study, our analyses also provide divergence time estimates, including a speciation time for the Gorongosa hyracoids at around $\sim 21.3 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Table S9).

A


Figure 12. Shape analysis of hyracoid p3-m3
(A) Thyrohyrax specimen (DPC 2763) showing the landmarks (orange spheres) and semi-landmarks (light blue spheres) used in this study. This specimen was selected to display the 3D coordinates as it corresponds to the specimen closest to the multivariate mean in this analysis.
(B) Principal component analysis (PCA) of the dental shape variables (only the two first PCs are shown).

## DISCUSSION

The new fossil sites from Gorongosa National Park open an entirely new vista on a region of Africa that, until now, had remained paleontologically unknown (Figures 1 and 2). No other sites along the East African Rift System yield the combination of fossil woods (e.g., African mahogany), marine invertebrates (crabs, gastropods, bivalves), marine vertebrates (sharks and rays), reptiles (crocodiles, tortoises), and terrestrial mammals (e.g., hyracoids). The geological, sedimentological, paleobotanical, geochemical, and paleontological evidence indicates that the Gorongosa fossil sites formed in coastal settings, even though today these sites are $\sim 95 \mathrm{~km}$ from the modern coast and at $\sim 100-120 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level (Figures 3 and 4).

The new fossils derive from multiple sedimentary beds across ten paleontological localities in the lower member of the Mazamba Formation. Previous geological work assigned this sedimentary sequence broadly to the Miocene, ${ }^{22,23,26}$ but no radiometric dates had been obtained prior to our work. Here, we have presented the first atmospheric beryllium dates for the Mazamba Formation (Table 4). Atmospheric beryllium samples from the lower member range in age from the early to the late Miocene and confirm the broad placement of this part of the sequence in the Miocene. Two samples from the lowermost sections of GPL-12 provide an early Miocene age for the fluvio-deltaic sediments from which some key fossils derive. Atmospheric beryllium samples from GPL-2, which we expect to be younger based on our tentative correlations (Figures 3 and 4), indicate a late Miocene age for those sediments (Table 4).

The sedimentological, isotopic, paleobotanical, and paleontological evidence presented here indicates that the fossil sites formed in coastal woodlands or estuarine conditions. At GPL-1, for example, paleosol carbon and oxygen isotopes indicate the prevalence of $C_{3}$ vegetation (trees, shrubs) with some areas of grassland under mesic climate with a high supply of fresh water (Figure 5). This view is supported by the fossil wood (Figure 6), whose most abundant component is Entandrophragmoxylon (African mahogany) (Figure 7), a genus that typically grows in areas of high rainfall. There were also palm trees of the genus Hyphaene, which are widespread in the humid, hot lowlands with high water tables of tropical Africa today. Other trees in the ancient Gorongosa landscapes include Terminalioxylon, which includes some mangrove species, Ziziphus, which is common along the edges of watercourses, and Zanha, a genus associated with open woodland to dense ravines and riverine forests. Cross sections of the fossil wood vessels indicate the presence of mesophytic trees that cannot tolerate water stress. Thus, these different lines of evidence indicate that terrestrial environments near the coast were consistently warm and wooded, with a prevalence of $C_{3}$ vegetation under mesic conditions.


Figure 13. Shape analysis of hyracoid m3
(A) Afrohyrax specimen (ZP349) showing the landmarks (orange spheres) and semi-landmarks (light blue spheres) used in this study. This specimen was selected to display the 3D coordinates as it corresponds to the specimen closest to the multivariate mean in this analysis.
(B) Principal component analysis (PCA) of the m 3 shape variables (only the two first PCs are shown).

The rivers descending from the west meandered on a low-gradient coastal plain, where they gave rise to estuaries near shallow marine environments. ${ }^{18}$ Sharks of the genus Galeocerdo (Figures 8 and 9) were top predators in these estuaries and nearshore environments. Specimens of Galeocerdo are known from the Eocene to the present, ${ }^{43}$ while the species $G$. aduncus, present in the Gorongosa sample, has a temporal range from the Oligocene to the late Miocene. ${ }^{43,60}$ The genus was widely distributed in the tropical and temperate seas of the Miocene, with specimens found in Madagascar, ${ }^{61}$ North Africa, ${ }^{62,63}$ Oceania, ${ }^{64}$ Eurasia, ${ }^{65,66}$ and the Americas. ${ }^{67,68}$ Modern Galeocerdo ranges from pelagic waters to nearshore environments in tropical and subtropical marine ecosystems, often occurring in river estuaries. Tiger sharks are top predators, with a diet of cephalopods, fish, turtles, and other vertebrates. ${ }^{69}$ Like the modern tiger sharks, Galeocerdo in the past was a highly mobile apex predator that played a major role in structuring coastal ecosystems. ${ }^{70}$ The presence of these shark fossils in the Miocene of GNP is consistent with our interpretation of estuarine depositional environments.

The fossils analyzed here include Hyracoidea, an order of mammals that belongs to the Afrotheria, a clade with deep evolutionary roots in Africa. There are five species of modern hyraxes, all in the family Procaviidae, but in the past there were at least four additional families: Geniohyidae, Saghatheriidae, Titanohyracidae, and Pliohyracidae. Hyracoids in the Paleogene of Africa were abundant and diverse, both taxonomically and functionally, but declined in overall diversity during the late Miocene. ${ }^{71}$ The chewing teeth of the Gorongosa hyracoid are brachydont and bilophodont, very likely for a diet of relatively soft leaves. The Gorongosa hyracoids represent a very large species ( $\sim 124-153 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) with affinities to taxa in the family Saghatheriidae, but different from currently known species (Figures 11, 12, 13, and 14). The family Saghatheriidae includes the genera Microhyrax, Saghatherium, Selenohyrax, Thyrohyrax, Megalohyrax, and Regubahyrax spanning from the Eocene to the early Miocene. Specimens of Regubahyrax from the early Miocene of Libya document the latest known occurrence of saghatheriids. ${ }^{54}$ The lower molars of Regubahyrax have well-developed cristids and spurs, but the spurs are not as developed in the Gorongosa hyracoid. Our phylogenetic analysis (Figure 14) confirms this initial assessment as the Gorongosa specimen, with Prohyrax and Procavia as a sister clade of all the analyzed Thyrohyrax species. However, the Gorongosa specimen likely represents a new species.

The fossils documented here represent the first descriptions of a substantial fossil record that is just emerging. The Gorongosa paleontological record opens up the possibility of testing important hypotheses about the role of the eastern coastal forests in shaping the evolution of African mammals. ${ }^{9,10}$ As the fossil record from Gorongosa is further described and analyzed, it will yield a powerful database spanning


Figure 14. Hyracoid phylogeny
Maximum credibility (MCC) tree summarizing 75,000 hyracoid phylogenies obtained from a Bayesian phylogenetic analysis. The length of the bars on the MCC tree corresponds to the temporal $95 \%$ highest posterior density interval (HPD), while the color represents posterior support. Numbers on the phylogeny correspond to node numbers in Table S9.
different intervals of the Miocene, which will then be compared to other sites on the continent. Thus, we will be able to assess the effects of the northeast-southwest arid corridor in promoting the geographic isolation and evolutionary trajectories of coastal forest plant and animal communities in the past. ${ }^{72}$ The Gorongosa fossil record points to the persistence of woodlands and wooded grasslands along the southeastern coast of Africa during the Miocene, but further work is needed to assess the taxonomic affinities of the Gorongosa mammals with contemporaneous faunas elsewhere in Africa.

## Conclusions

After four field seasons (2016-2019), extensive surveys, and new approaches in the search of paleontological sites, ${ }^{17}$ the Paleo-Primate Project Gorongosa has 1) documented ten new paleontological localities, 2) established a preliminary stratigraphic and sedimentological framework for the fossil sites, 3) provided the first radiometric age determinations for the Mazamba Formation, 4) provided the first reconstructions of past vegetation in the region combining pedogenic carbonates and fossil wood, and 5) described the first fossil teeth from the southern East African Rift System. The Gorongosa fossil record includes new species of mammals, and a unique combination of specimens straddling the terrestrial/marine biomes, with paleoenvironmental evidence for persistent woodlands and forests on the coastal margins of southeastern Africa during the Miocene.

## Limitations of the study

In the main text, we have presented the broad geological background of the Gorongosa paleontological sites, with the Mazamba Formation consisting of a lower member and an upper member. The lower member is sometimes referred to as the "purple sands," while the upper member was originally called the Inhaminga beds. ${ }^{22,23}$ This terminology is followed by most subsequent researchers. ${ }^{18,25,26,73}$ However, some of the subsequent published descriptions of sequences above the Cheringoma Formation have offered conflicting and inconsistent terminology. ${ }^{74-76}$ These large-scale compendia and descriptions are inconsistent with earlier terminology and contain errors that do not make stratigraphic sense (e.g., late Miocene sediments underlying Eocene sediments). Given these inconsistencies, we follow the
terminology of Real, ${ }^{23}$ Flores, ${ }^{22}$ Tinley, ${ }^{26}$ Laumanns, ${ }^{25}$ Arvidsson, ${ }^{73}$ and Habermann et al. ${ }^{18}$ in referring to the post-Cheringoma Formation sequence as the Mazamba Formation with a lower and an upper member. However, it is clear that further geological and stratigraphic work is needed to be focused on the Cenozoic sequences of the Cheringoma Plateau.

While authigenic ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ cosmogenic nuclide dating has the advantage that it can be used to date a wide range of rock types, and thus is not limited to volcanic ashes, one of its weaknesses is that it relies on the reconstruction of the depositional environment and the determination of the initial authigenic ${ }^{10}$ Be concentration in order to obtain accurate dates. This can pose a significant challenge if the depositional environment and initial concentration are not well constrained or if these are in contexts difficult to determine. Further applications of different dating techniques, such as uranium series, paleomagnetism, and biochronology, are underway and will further refine the chronology of the sites.

Although the comparative sample used for the analysis of fossil sharks is large and represents a wide range of time intervals, the comparative sample of hyracoids will need to be expanded to include additional specimens of Neogene age from across Africa and other regions.
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## METHOD DETAILS

## Paleontological excavations

During the 2016-2019 field seasons, the Paleo-Primate Project Gorongosa discovered and documented seven paleontological localities with fossil vertebrates (GPL-1, GPL-2, GPL-6, GPL-7, GPL-8, GPL-11, and GPL-12), three additional localities with invertebrates only (GPL-3, GPL-9, and GPL-10), and two localities with ex-situ stone tools (GPL-4 and GPL-5). Menguere Hill, with abundant fossil wood, is the westernmost fossiliferous locality and it is not identified by a GPL number. These localities are listed in Table 1. Paleontological excavations with archaeological techniques were carried out at GPL-12 with the recovery of abundant in situ fossils. The team used a GPS unit Arrow Gold in conjunction with a total station to map the excavations and geology in the vicinity of GPL-12 (see Figure S1) and created a system of datums for future excavations. All excavated sediments were dry-sieved through a 3 mm mesh. Large, fragile fossils were plastered in blocks and carefully removed from the excavated area. Consecutive numbers called 'lots' captured changes in geology, stratigraphic breaks, and changes in a horizontal position within an excavation. The 3D coordinates ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z) of complete fossils and identifiable fragments exposed through excavation were mapped with a Leica Builder- 505 total station using EDM-Mobile software. ${ }^{77}$

## Cosmogenic nuclides - atmospheric ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ dating

For beryllium isotope analysis, $\sim 1 \mathrm{~g}$ of dry sediment was split from each sample. Be isotope analysis was performed at the CEREGE National Cosmogenic Nuclides Laboratory (LN2C) following the chemical updated separation procedure from Bourlès and colleagues. ${ }^{31,78-80}$ The natural authigenic ${ }^{9}$ Be concentrations were measured using the LN2C graphite-furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) with a double beam correction (Thermo Scientific ICE 3400®). The authigenic ${ }^{10}$ Be concentrations were calculated using the spiked ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratios normalized to the NIST 4325 Standard Reference Material $\left[2.79 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{11}\right]$, 81 measured at the French AMS national facility ASTER, and decay-corrected using the ${ }^{10}$ Be half-life of $1.387 \pm 0.012 \mathrm{Ma} .{ }^{82,83}$ The radioactive decay equation $N_{(t)}=N_{0^{*}} e^{-\lambda t}$, where $N_{(t)}$ is the authigenic ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratio measured in the sample to date, $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ is the initial authigenic ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be} /{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ ratio, $\lambda$ is the ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ radioactive decay constant and t is the time elapsed since deposition was used to calculate the atmospheric ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ ages.

## Cosmogenic nuclides - ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al} /{ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ dating

Based on the relative decay of ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al}$ and ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ cosmogenic nuclides produced in situ in quartz $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ minerals, the ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al} /{ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ burial dating method ${ }^{33-35}$ can be applied to determine the burial duration of sedimentary deposits, provided that the strata are still buried a few meters below the modern erosion surface. Drawing on the results, burial durations can then be employed to deduce pre- and post-burial denudation rates in contexts for the time frame from 100 ka to $\sim 6 \mathrm{Ma} .{ }^{36}$ In the Gorongosa context, the method was used to constrain the burial duration for sections in the upper member of the Mazamba Formation beneath the modern erosion surface, and to explore the rates of pre- and postburial denudation.

According to the Gorongosa Geological Map (Direcção Nacional de Geologia 2006, Folha 1834), sedimentary rocks assigned to the upper member of the Mazamba Formation crop out along the rift-shoulder cuesta in the southeastern portion of Gorongosa National Park as well as east and towards the northeast of the park (Figure 3). Rock samples, of which two were analyzed for their ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al}$ isotope compositions (16-Gor-Muss-7 and 16-Gor-Muss-8), were collected from two detailed sedimentological sections measured from natural outcrops in the southeastern corner of the park at Mussapassua-Site- $1\left(680465.17^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 7887565.19^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ and Mussapassua-Site- $2\left(681013.52^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 7887909.36^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$. For ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al} /{ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ dating, one sample was selected from each section at respectively 15.0 and 10.5 m below the top, demarcated by the modern erosion surface. The sections are $\sim 650 \mathrm{~m}$ apart and in total between 14 to 17 m thick. They contain widely similar, well-correlated siliziclastic successions (chiefly consisting of coarse-grained quartz arenites overlain by interbedded sandstone and silt- to mudstone units towards the top of the section) that are preliminarily interpreted to record alluvial fan to fluvio-deltaic conditions. No fossils have been discovered in this region yet.

The physico-chemical preparations performed on the upper Mazamba Formation samples at CEREGE and the Accelerator Mass Spectrometry measurements of their ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al}$ concentrations at ASTER (CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence) followed the method described in Lebatard et al. (2014)..$^{33}$ The obtained ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al} /{ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ ratio of each sample allows for the determination of corresponding burial durations and the preand post-burial denudation rate experienced by the sediments using the methodology fully explained in ref. 35. The method relies on the parameters of Braucher and colleagues, ${ }^{84}$ and the respective half-life of ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al}(0.705 \pm 0.024 \mathrm{Ma}){ }^{85,86}$ and ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}(1.387 \pm 0.012 \mathrm{Ma}) .{ }^{82,83}$ The computing process uses also the surface ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al} /{ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ spallogenic production rate ratio of $6.61 \pm 0.52$ obtained from the normalization of the measured ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al} /{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$ ratios to the in-house standard SM-Al-11, whose ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al} /{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$ ratio of $7.401 \pm 0.064 \times 10^{-12}$ has been cross-calibrated ${ }^{87}$ against primary standards from a round-robin exercise. ${ }^{88}$ Using the CosmoCalc calculator (Version 1.8 ), ${ }^{89}$ the scaling factor was determined for the neutronic production rates ${ }^{90}$ and a sea level and high latitude (SLHL) production rate of $4.03 \pm 0.18$ at $\mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{a}^{-1} .91,92$ Minimum and maximum burial durations and before and after burial denudation rates are theoretically obtained by modeling of the ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al}$ concentrations. ${ }^{33,35}$ In the model without post-burial production, no cosmogenic nuclides were accumulated in the samples while buried (infinite burial depth), which presumably results in a minimum burial duration. In the model with post-burial production, the samples are considered as remaining buried at their sampling depths and accumulated cosmogenic nuclides produced by muons, which presumably leads to maximum burial durations in a steady denudation over the burial period. ${ }^{35}$ Resulting from the propagation of uncertainties of the different parameters and measurements used during the computing, uncertainties associated with the ratios, the durations and the denudation rates are reported as $1 \sigma$.
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Table S 3 summarizes the results of all ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Al}$ measurements and derived ${ }^{26} \mathrm{AI} /{ }^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ ratios obtained from the two sediment samples from the upper Mazamba Formation. These data were used to compute the burial durations of the samples. A model of computation without post-burial production (Table S4) normally leads to a minimum burial duration. However, for sample 16-Gor-Muss-7, the model without postburial production leads to a burial duration of $1.32 \pm 0.54 \mathrm{Ma}$, while modeling with post-burial production, which usually results in maximum burial durations, yielded a burial duration of $971.99 \pm 398.52 \mathrm{ka}$. For the second sample, 16-Gor-Muss-8, the computations using models without and with post-burial production led to similar results, revealing a minimum burial duration of $838.16 \pm 220.96$ ka and a maximum burial duration of $971.99 \pm 256.24$ ka, respectively. Thus, the two samples indicate a burial duration of ca. 1 Ma for both models. Thus, the upper member of the Mazamba Formation is of early Pleistocene age, at least for the studied part of the Mussapassua sections between 15 m and 10.5 m below the modern erosion surface.

For both models, high pre-burial denudation rates were obtained. Specifically for the model with post-burial production, a deduced preburial denudation rate of more than $1000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{Ma}^{-1}$ seems high, regarding that post-burial production represents more than $80 \%$ of the concentrations of the two cosmogenic nuclides. These high values of pre-burial denudation and the fact that there is still production even after burial below more than 10 m imply that there is probably no inheritance to consider. Considering post-burial denudation, a rate of 20.93 m.Ma ${ }^{-1}$ (Table S4) seems to fit the data best (i.e., it is coherent with the in situ observations) and is regarded as a reasonable value in the Urema Rift context.

## Pedogenic carbonates

Stable carbon ( $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) and oxygen ( $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ ) isotope values of 17 pedogenic carbonates from GPL-1 were used to infer regional paleovegetation and climate patterns during the formation of the fossil bearing sediments. $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values serve as a robust and well-established tool to reconstruct past vegetation growing on the site following soil development. ${ }^{93} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ photosynthesis is typically prevalent in warm and seasonally dry, open conditions with high light intensity, whereas the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ pathway is advantageous under low water stress and at high-pCO2 conditions. Due to a difference in their discrimination against ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ during photosynthesis, $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values of most $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ plants range from -9 to $-19 \%$, while those of $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ plants lie between -25 and $-29 \%$, resulting in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ ratios of tropical grasses and sedges $\mathrm{ca} .14 \%$, higher than most trees, shrubs, bushes, and herbaceous plants. ${ }^{94}$ The variability of $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ plants can be attributed to three different $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ photosynthetic subpathways, ${ }^{93}$ while the variation in $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ among $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ plants is affected by a variety of environmental factors including trophic effect, precipitation, temperature, drought, canopy density, salinity, light intensity, nutrient levels, and partial pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} .^{95-100}$ Collectively, however, these effects on $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ of $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ plants are still considerably small compared to the differences between $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ biomass. Pedogenic carbonate formed in equilibrium with soil-respired $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is typically enriched in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ by 13.5 to $17.0 \%$ compared to the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ which respired from plants or was released during decomposition of soil organic carbon and related organic matter. ${ }^{101,102}$

Pedogenic carbonate forms in oxygen isotope equilibrium with soil water. ${ }^{103}$ The $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ value of soil carbonate is a function of soil water composition and temperature. Soil water is derived from meteoric water, but can differ from this source water due to enrichment through evaporation from the soil surface, mixing with (evaporatively ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-enriched) infiltrating water, and/or the addition of isotopically distinct water from overland and vadose zone flow. ${ }^{104}$ Nevertheless, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values of modern pedogenic carbonate have a strong positive correlation with the composition of meteoric water, which in turn has a positive correlation with local air temperature. ${ }^{105}$ Collectively, this makes paleosol carbonate an important paleoclimate proxy. The composition of local meteoric water has a large influence on $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ of soil water and hence pedogenic carbonate $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$. Today, the climate of central Mozambique is a result of interactions between the African Monsoon, the Intertropical Convergence Zone, and the Zaire Air Boundary. These complex patterns complicate the comparison of absolute $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values of distant localities due to possibly different isotopic composition of local precipitation.

We sampled 17 pedogenic carbonate nodules for stable carbon and oxygen isotopic analysis (reported as $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values) from section GPL-1NE. The nodules were cut in half and powder was extracted with a diamond tip drill from the center of the nodule. Stable isotope analysis was conducted at Goethe University and Senckenberg BiK-F Joint Stable Isotope Facility Frankfurt, Germany. We reacted 112 to $366 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ untreated powder with $99 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ for 90 min at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in continuous flow mode using a Thermo MAT 253 mass spectrometer interfaced with a Thermo GasBench II. Analytical procedures follow. ${ }^{106}$ Carrara Marble with $2.01 \%$ VPDB ( $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) and $-1.74 \%$ VPDB ( $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ ) was used as internal laboratory standard for calibration, as well as for determination of the carbonate content of each sample. Final isotopic ratios are reported against VPDB $\left(\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and VSMOW ( $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ ); overall analytical uncertainties are better than $0.03 \%$ and $0.04 \%$, respectively.

## Vertebrate paleontology

All fossil specimens are listed in the Paleo-Gorongosa Database, where each entry provides specimen number, locality, GPS coordinates, stratigraphic position, taxonomic attribution, and skeletal elements represented. Each specimen has the prefix PPG followed by the year of discovery, as in PPG2017-P-121. Following the prefix and year of discovery, the letter P refers to Paleontological collection (rather than archeological or osteological collections). Specimens were numbered sequentially as they were retrieved in the field each year. For the 20162019 field seasons, there are 678 specimens from the Mazamba Formation in the database. Many specimens are very fragmentary, but some are more complete and well-preserved teeth and skeletal elements. At all localities we collected all fossil specimens during surveys and excavations, even if the specimens were very fragmentary. Isolated teeth and tooth fragments are common across localities, with 147 specimens listed in the database. There are 10 mandibles or mandible fragments, at least 2 maxillary fragments, and 4 other cranial fragments. Postcranial elements and their fragments are the most common type of vertebrate fossil, with 436 specimens in the database. Mammals are the most abundant vertebrates across all localities, followed by turtles, crocodiles, sharks and batoids.

We used photogrammetry to build 3D models of several diagnostic fossils. All specimens are housed at the Paleontology Laboratory in Chitengo, Gorongosa National Park. Measurements were taken either from the 3D models or directly with sliding calipers in the lab.

Paleontological localities range in elevation from about 100 m to 120 m above current sea level (Figure 3). Excavations with the use of a total station to record the position of each specimen were carried out at GPL-12 and GPL-1. At both localities there are multiple fossil horizons exposed in the available sections (Figure 4). At GPL-12 (Facies 2) there is a high density of fossils that may constitute a bone bed, but further excavation is needed to assess its extent.

## Morphometric analysis of chondrichthyes

We semi-automated the collection of teeth outlines, each defined by 100 equidistant semi-landmarks, by using a custom-written script that relies on the 'jpeg' 0.1-8.1 ${ }^{107}$ and 'geomorph' $3.3 .1^{108} \mathrm{R}$ packages. Additionally, we created a script to transform the sample of shark teeth outlines provided in Türtscher et al. ${ }^{43}$ into a semi-landmark dataset compatible with our protocol. After combining the samples, three different datasets were generated: A) all 600 specimens, from four different genera; B) a subset of 547 specimens, with only Galeocerdo sp. and Physogaleus sp.; C) a subset of 436 individuals only with species of Galeocerdo: G. aduncus, G. capellini, G. clarkensis, G. cuvier, G. eaglesomei, and G. mayumbensis. In order to remove all differences due to translation, rotation and scale, we superimposed all the coordinates using a Generalized Procrustes analysis (GPA) algorithm. ${ }^{109}$ Then, the harmonic coefficients were extracted from the aligned 2D outlines using an elliptical Fourier transform (EFT), retaining $>99 \%$ of harmonic power. ${ }^{110}$ Then we performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the harmonic coefficients to summarize shape variation. Thus, this protocol for outline analysis consisted of three steps 1) GPA, 2) EFT and 3) PCA, which were performed using the 'Momocs' 1.3.2 R package. ${ }^{111}$ Subsequently, a multi-group linear discriminant analysis (LDA) was performed to test if it was possible to distinguish among the different shark taxonomic groups and to classify the Gorongosa specimens into these categories. The LDA maximizes the separation between a priori defined groups. Since our number of original variables (i.e., harmonic coefficients,) exceeded the number of analyzed specimens, we carried out this analysis using the principal components (PCs) that accounted for $90 \%$ of the sample variance to reduce the dimensionality of the dataset. The LDA was carried out using the lda() function of the 'MASS' 7.3-51.6 R package. ${ }^{112}$ Performance was calculated using the confusion matrix from which the overall classification accuracy was computed, as well as the Cohen's Kappa statistic. ${ }^{113,114}$ The complete dataset was resampled using a "leave-group-out" (LGOCV) cross-validation, ${ }^{115}$ as a way to assess classification performance. This cross-validation strategy generates multiple splits of the data into modelling and prediction sets. This process was carried out 200 times and the data were split into a modelling sub-set comprising $80 \%$ of randomly assigned observations, whereas the testing sub-set considered the remaining $20 \%$. The number of repeats was chosen to get a consistent classification performance and to minimize uncertainty. The obtained cross-validated models were then used to classify the Gorongosa specimens into the taxonomic categories available by calculating their posterior probabilities. This analysis was repeated three times considering three different datasets as explained above.

## Morphometric analysis of hyracoidea

In the Principal Component Analysis of the hyracoid left mandible PPG2018-P-1 (Figure 12), a GPA was performed on the landmark data to remove differences due to scale, translation, and rotation in order to obtain shape variables. ${ }^{116}$ This procedure was done using the gpagen() function available as part of the 'geomorph' R package 3.3.1. ${ }^{117}$ The semi-landmarks were slid on the models' surface by minimizing Procrustes distance. ${ }^{118}$ This is an iterative process that works by allowing the semi-landmarks to slide along the surface to remove the effects of arbitrary spacing by optimizing the location of the semi-landmarks with respect to the consensus shape configuration. These obtained shape variables were then used in a principal component analysis (PCA) to summarize shape variation. The PCA was carried out using the gm.prcomp() function of the 'geomorph' R package 3.3.1. ${ }^{117}$

## Phylogenetic analysis of hyracoidea

A Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of hyracoid species, combining morphological and stratigraphic range data from the fossil record was performed to infer hyracoid phylogenetic relationships using RevBayes v.1.1.0. ${ }^{58}$ The stratigraphic ranges are the first and last occurrences observed for a single species in the fossil record and were obtained from the Paleobiology Database (PBDB) https://paleobiodb.org/\#/. For Procavia capensis (i.e., the only extant species under analysis), the minimum occurrence date was set to 0.0 Ma . We used a "Fossilized Birth Death Range Process" (FBDRP) ${ }^{119}$ prior on the tree topology, which allows us to incorporate stratigraphic information as part of our tree inference. We used an exponential prior of 10 to model both speciation ( $\lambda$ ), and extinction ( $\mu$ ) rates. An extant sampling proportion $(\rho)$ of 0.2 was used as not all living hyrax species were sampled whilst an exponential prior $(\psi)$ of 10 was used to account for fossil sampling rate, and a uniform distribution between 56 and 66 Ma was used as a prior on origin time ( $\varphi$ ). The morphological data came from ${ }^{59}$ and comprised a supermatrix of 403 morphological characters from where we extracted all the hyracoid species present. We collected all mandibular characters available in the Gorongosa hyracoid mandibles and added this information to the hyracoid morphological matrix (Mendeley data repository: https://doi.org/10.17632/dt8ws9s72j.1). The Mkv+ $\Gamma$ model ${ }^{120}$ was used for the morphological data, which was partitioned into unordered and ordered characters, and then further partitioned based on the maximum number of character states of each division. Possible ascertainment bias in the morphological matrix was considered by using RevBayes' dynamic likelihood approach. ${ }^{121}$ An uncorrelated log-normal relaxed clock model with exponentially distributed hyperpriors ( $\left.\mu=2.0, \sigma^{2}=3.0\right)^{122}$ was used for modelling branch rate variation among lineages for the morphological datasets. We performed the phylogenetic inference analysis using 10,000,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) generations. We visually inspected that the run achieved convergence and good mixing using trace plots, and that all parameters had an effective sample size $>200$ using the effectiveSize() function from the $R$ package 'coda' v.0.19-4 in $R$ v.4.0.2. ${ }^{123}$ After discarding a
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$25 \%$ burn-in we obtained a posterior distribution of 75,000 phylogenetic trees from which we computed a maximum a credibility tree (MCC) tree as a way of summarising our posterior tree sample (Figure 14). This MCC tree corresponds to the tree with the maximum product of the posterior clade probabilities. From this tree we also obtained divergence time estimates which are summarised in Table S9.

## Body mass estimates

We estimated the body mass of the fossil hyracoids from Gorongosa, Mozambique using tooth dimensions from two molars. Regression equations were calculated using the perissodactyl-hyracoid model, ${ }^{124}$ as the Gorongosa hyracoids have a 'perissodactyl-type' of molar shape. ${ }^{71}$ Only $m 2$ lengths were considered. ${ }^{125}$ Equations were fit by an ordinary least-squares criterion and lengths were $\log _{10}$ transformed. We used quasimaximum-likelihood estimates to compensate for detransformation bias. ${ }^{126}$ However, this method may also be inherently biased, so both 'detransformed' and 'corrected' values are reported.

| PPG2018-P-1: 19.61 mm |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Body mass kg | lower $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | upper $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| Detransformed masses | 124.8411 | 124.3665 | 125.3157 |
| Bias corrected masses | 128.5548 | 128.0661 | 129.0435 |

PPG2018-P-2: 20.77 mm

|  | Body mass kg | lower $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | upper $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Detransformed masses | 148.4171 | 147.9425 | 148.8916 |
| Bias corrected masses | 152.8321 | 152.3435 | 153.3208 |

## QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical analyses were carried out using R v.4.3.1 https://cran.r-project.org/ Geometric morphometric analyses were performed using geomorph' 3.3.1 (Adams \& Otárola-Castillo ${ }^{108}$ ) and Momocs' 1.3.2 R package (Bonhomme et al. ${ }^{111}$ ). Please refer to https://github.com/ geomorphR/geomorph and https://momx.github.io/Momocs/articles/Momocs_intro.html for further details. The Bayesian phylogenetic analysis was carried out using RevBayes v.1.1. $0^{58}$ based on this tutorial https://revbayes.github.io/tutorials/fbd/.
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