

Using linear measurements to diagnose the ecological habitat of Spinosaurus

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Smart, S. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2691-0745> and Sakamoto, M. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6447-406X> (2024) Using linear measurements to diagnose the ecological habitat of Spinosaurus. PeerJ, 12. e17544. ISSN 2167-8359 doi: <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17544> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/117237/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17544>

Publisher: PeerJ Inc

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



Using linear measurements to diagnose the ecological habitat of *Spinosaurus*

Sean Smart and Manabu Sakamoto

School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Much of the ecological discourse surrounding the polarising theropod *Spinosaurus* has centred on qualitative discussions. Using a quantitative multivariate data analytical approach on size-adjusted linear measurements of the skull, we examine patterns in skull shape across a range of sauropsid clades and three ecological realms (terrestrial, semi-aquatic, and aquatic). We utilise cluster analyses to identify emergent properties of the data which associate properties of skull shape with ecological realm occupancy. Results revealed terrestrial ecologies to be significantly distinct from both semi- and fully aquatic ecologies, the latter two were not significantly different. Spinosaurids (including *Spinosaurus*) plotted away from theropods in morphospace and close to both marine taxa and wading birds. The position of nares and the degree of rostral elongation had the greatest effect on categorisation. Comparisons of supervised (k-means) and unsupervised clustering demonstrated categorising taxa into three groups (ecological realms) was inappropriate and suggested instead that cluster division is based on morphological adaptations to feeding on aquatic *versus* terrestrial food items. The relative position of the nares in longirostrine taxa is associated with which skull bones are elongated. Rostral elongation is observed by either elongating the maxilla and the premaxilla or by elongating the maxilla only. This results in the nares positioned towards the orbits or towards the anterior end of the rostrum respectively, with implications on available feeding methods. Spinosaurids, especially *Spinosaurus*, show elongation in the maxilla-premaxilla complex, achieving similar functional outcomes to elongation of the premaxilla seen in birds, particularly large-bodied piscivorous taxa. Such a skull construction would bolster “stand-and-wait” predation of aquatic prey to a greater extent than serving other proposed feeding methods.

Submitted 17 October 2023

Accepted 19 May 2024

Published 13 June 2024

Corresponding author

Manabu Sakamoto,
m.sakamoto@reading.ac.uk

Academic editor

Mark Young

Additional Information and
Declarations can be found on
page 9

DOI [10.7717/peerj.17544](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17544)

© Copyright
2024 Smart and Sakamoto

Distributed under
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Subjects Ecology, Paleontology, Zoology, Data Science

Keywords Spinosaurus, Morphometrics, Ecology, Habitat, Classification, Clustering

INTRODUCTION

The enigmatic theropod *Spinosaurus aegyptiacus* (Stromer, 1915) is putatively considered ‘semi-aquatic’ to some capacity (Aureliano *et al.*, 2018; Henderson, 2018; Ibrahim *et al.*, 2020; Fabbri *et al.*, 2022a; Sereno *et al.*, 2022). This is supported by morphological (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2014; Beevor *et al.*, 2021), geographical (Bertin, 2010; Benyoucef *et al.*, 2015), and isotopic (Amiot *et al.*, 2010) evidence. Of specific interest are cranial adaptations to piscivory (itself indicative of aquatic affinities) observed in *Spinosaurus*; conical, interlocking dentition, anterodorsally elevated nares (distinct from posteriorly retracted

nares seen in cetaceans and other marine taxa ([Berta, Ekdale & Cranford, 2014](#); [Hone & Holtz, 2021](#)) with implications for skull positioning in feeding (see discussion)), lateral skull compression, and raised orbit position ([Ibrahim et al., 2014](#); [Arden et al., 2019](#); [Hone & Holtz, 2021](#)). Partial piscivory has been widely advocated for across Spinosauridae ([Charig & Milner, 1997](#); [Allain et al., 2012](#); [Sales & Schultz, 2017](#); [Fabbri et al., 2022a](#)), though only directly observed in *Baryonyx* ([Charig & Milner, 1997](#)). Spinosaurine spinosaurids show fewer, larger teeth with fluting in place of serrations compared to baryonychine spinosaurids, ([Serenio et al., 1998](#); [Sales & Schultz, 2017](#); [Hone & Holtz, 2021](#)). Larger fluted teeth have been proposed as adaptations to a diet including a larger proportion of hard-bodied prey ([Massare, 1987](#); [Hone & Holtz, 2021](#)) in spinosaurine spinosaurids. Alternatively, this dentition could be an adaptation to withstand greater bite forces generated by greater body size compared to baryonychine spinosaurids ([Sakamoto, 2022](#)), similar selection pressures were suggested by [Serenio et al. \(2022\)](#).

As described, *Spinosaurus* is considered to be semi-aquatic partially due to the shape of its skull and relative position of the orbits ([Ibrahim et al., 2014](#); [Arden et al., 2019](#); [Hone & Holtz, 2021](#)). Despite a fragmentary cranial fossil record, sufficient material of multiple spinosaurids (both those considered semi-aquatic and terrestrial) exists to compare these features and their relation to ecological realm occupancy, both within Spinosauridae and to other taxa where ecological realm occupancy is undisputed. To this end, cranial linear morphometric analyses ([Mosimann, 1970](#); [Sakamoto & Ruta, 2012](#); [Morales & Giannini, 2021](#)) have previously been successful in revealing the taxonomic affinities of unidentified specimens ([Blake et al., 2014](#); [Naish et al., 2014](#)). Linear morphometric analysis could thus be a suitable method for categorising the ecological realm occupancy of *Spinosaurus*.

Within the *Spinosaurus* literature, definitions of ‘semi-aquatic’ can be varied. We define a ‘semi-aquatic’ animal to refer to those that utilise aquatic environments for a significant proportion of their nutritional resources and/or spend a significant proportion of time within bodies of water but retain terrestrial locomotory capabilities. However, a more specific diagnosis of the ecological realm utilisation of *Spinosaurus* beyond semi-aquatic would be advantageous, as there is no consensus thus far ([Ibrahim et al., 2014](#); [Hone & Holtz, 2021](#); [Fabbri et al., 2022a](#); [Serenio et al., 2022](#)).

Most recent research broadly addresses one of two competing hypotheses: the ‘underwater pursuit predator’ hypothesis ([Ibrahim et al., 2020](#)) and the ‘shallow water wading’ hypothesis ([Hone & Holtz, 2021](#)). The former paints *Spinosaurus* as specialised in actively chasing down prey whilst submerged in the water column, propelled by tail and trunk ([Ibrahim et al., 2020](#)). In contrast, the latter hypothesis describes a hunting mode wherein the majority of the animal remains above the waterline, except for portions of the limbs and rostrum, suggesting stork-like feeding behaviours ([Paul, 1988](#); [Hone & Holtz, 2017](#)).

The shallow water wading hypothesis has received notable support in recent publications ([Hone & Holtz, 2021](#); [Serenio et al., 2022](#)), though discussions remain ongoing (see [Fabbri et al., 2022a, 2022b](#)). Here, we expand on the work by [Hone & Holtz \(2021\)](#) to develop their quantitative approach to analysing cranial morphology in sauropsids across

different ecological realms. To this end, we aim to build upon the work of Hone and Holtz to evaluate whether ecological realm occupancy (terrestrial, semi-aquatic, or aquatic) can be inferred through skull morphometrics based on multiple linear measurements, and apply this to *Spinosaurus*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 99 taxa from eight clades were examined and subdivided by known or inferred ecologies (terrestrial, semi-aquatic (following the definition above), or aquatic).

Our dataset expanded upon that of [Hone & Holtz \(2021\)](#), both increasing the number of representatives of previously examined clades and adding the families Ardeidae (herons) and Ciconiidae (storks), to allow morphological comparisons between *Spinosaurus* and these ecological analogues (wading birds) as proposed by [Hone & Holtz \(2021\)](#). Taxa were selected upon the availability of photographs ([The Experimental Zoology Group of Wageningen University, 2022](#)), 3D scans ([The University of Texas at Austin, 2022](#)), or reconstructions depicting the skull in dorsal and lateral orientations ([Supplemental Material](#)).

For each taxon, six raw measurements ([Fig. 1B](#)) were taken using ImageJ v. 1.53 ([Abràmoff, Magalhães & Ram, 2004](#)) following [Hone & Holtz \(2021\)](#). As some variable measurements were 0 (such as when naris lies on the skull anterior margin), to allow these values to be log-transformed, a constant of 1 mm was added to all measurements. To account for isometric scaling due to body size, each measurement was divided by the geometric mean of the skull ([Sakamoto & Ruta, 2012](#)). The resultant dimensionless Mosimann shape variables have been demonstrated to out-perform residuals as size-adjusted shape variables, and have the additional benefit of only requiring information from a single specimen ([Mosimann, 1970](#); [Sakamoto & Ruta, 2012](#); [Morales & Giannini, 2021](#)). These values were log-transformed ([Glazier, 2013](#)), centred on 0 and scaled to unit variance to conform to the assumptions of cluster analysis and to a lesser extent, principal component analysis (PCA). Geometric mean was selected as a proxy for body size over skull length due to the presence of characteristic rostral elongation in multiple taxa examined ([Bertin, 2010](#); [Erickson et al., 2012](#); [Fischer et al., 2017](#)). Rostral elongation can interfere with body size estimates derived from skull length due to the allometric relationship between these variables ([Therrien & Henderson, 2007](#)).

Data analyses

All data and statistical analyses were conducted in PAST v. 4.03 ([Hammer, Harper & Ryan, 2001](#)). A principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the six Mosimann shape variables of 95 taxa (those with incomplete information were excluded) using a variance-covariance matrix. Taxa were grouped by clade and ecology. Permutational multivariate analyses of variance (PerMANOVA) were used to assess the overlap in morphospace between clades, and between ecologies. These analyses used a Euclidian similarity index and Bonferroni-corrected p values. To determine the appropriate number and pattern of clustering in morphospace, we used both classical (unsupervised clustering




Figure 1 Principal component analysis of six size-adjusted linear (Mosimann) variables in 95 representative taxa across 8 sauropsid clades (Squamata and Crurotarsi further divided based on ecology). Skull silhouettes modified from respective sources (see [Supplemental Material](#)). (A) Distribution of taxa in morphospace of principle component (PC) 1 (48.06% of variance) and PC2 (30.10% of variance). Convex hulls delimit K-means ($k = 3$) cluster groupings. Green markers indicate terrestrial ecology, light blue markers indicate a semi-aquatic ecology, dark blue markers represent a fully aquatic ecology, and orange and red represent unknown ecologies. Silhouettes of taxa highlight the naris (blue) and the orbit (green). (B) Measurements were taken from the skull in lateral view. Skull height (SH), skull length (SL), distance from naris to anterior margin (NA), distance from naris to dorsal margin (ND), and distance from orbit to dorsal margin (OD). Not pictured: skull width (taken from dorsal view). Modified from [Hone & Holtz \(2021\)](#). (C) Comparison of skull morphology between *Spinosaurus* sp. (top, modified from [Ibrahim et al., 2014](#)) and *Pliosaurus kevani* (bottom, modified from [Benson et al., 2013](#)). *Allosaurus* and *Spinosaurus* by Tasman Dixon, *Varanus* by Steven Traver, *Goniopholis*, *Tylosaurus*, *Rhomaleosaurus*, and *Baryonyx* by Scott Hartman, and *Leptotilos* by L. Shyamal. Taxa silhouettes source credit: [PhyloPic.org](#), CC BY 4.0, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.17544/fig-1

using Ward's method and Euclidian distance measure) and k-means (supervised) cluster analyses to examine the relative effect of ecology compared to phylogeny on skull shape. We chose $k = 3$ for k-means analysis to reflect the number of ecologies.

RESULTS

Following Principal component analysis (PCA) of linear skull measurements, PC1 and PC2 cumulatively account for 78.16% of the variance in the data, PC1 explaining 48.06% and PC2 explaining 30.10%. A morphospace plot of PC1 against PC2 (Fig. 1A) reveals that an increase along PC1 is associated with an increase in skull size and a decrease in NA. Whereas increase along PC2 is associated with skull heights approximately equal to skull length, progressively deeper-set orbits (long OD) and nares (long OD), and increasing distance from the naris to the skull anterior margin (long NA) (Supplemental Material). Ecologies are scattered across morphospace, though an increase along PC1 is associated with increasing terrestriality and higher values of PC2 (both positive and negative) are associated with increased aquatic affinities. When clades contain representatives from multiple ecologies (squamates and crurotarsans), members remain close in morphospace despite their assigned ecologies (Fig. 1A). Wading birds, spinosaurids, mosasaurs and plesiosaurs occupy the same region of morphospace, with *Spinosaurus* being most similar to *Pliosaurus kevani* (Fig. 1C).

A one-way PerMANOVA by clade, reveals that all aquatic taxa and semi-aquatic crurotarsans did not occupy significantly different ($p > 0.05$) regions of morphospace. Spinosaurids (excluding *Spinosaurus*) did not show significant differences from any other clade. Storks and herons, terrestrial and semi-aquatic squamates, and semi-aquatic and aquatic crurotarsans could not be differentiated from each other. Non-spinosaurid theropods were distinct from all clades except semi-aquatic squamates and spinosaurids. Likewise, semi-aquatic crurotarsans were distinct from all non-aquatic, non-spinosaurid taxa. A one-way PerMANOVA of the same data grouped by ecology showed all terrestrial ecology pairs as significantly different ($p < 0.001$) but aquatic and semi-aquatic ecologies could not be differentiated.

Supervised clustering using k-means ($k = 3$) cluster analysis did not yield clusters according to the three ecological realms categories (Fig. 1A). Cluster 1 is characterised by narrow skulls, elongate rostra, and substantial nares to anterior margin distances (larger NA). Cluster 2 is also associated with rostral elongation but is distinguished from Cluster 1 by anterodorsally elevated nares (smaller OD and NA). Cluster 3 contains all other terrestrial taxa. High PC 1 scores indicate the absence of rostral elongation.

Unsupervised cluster analysis on the other hand produced two clusters (Fig. 2). Cluster A is formed of taxa with elongate skulls and long nares to anterior margin distances. Taxa in this cluster are all either aquatic (plesiosaurs and mosasaurs) or semi-aquatic (phytosaur (Crurotarsi) and avians). Cluster B contains taxa with short nares to anterior margin distances, this includes all terrestrial taxa, semi-aquatic squamates, and non-phytosaur crurotarsans.

DISCUSSION

The discrepancy between the number of clusters produced by supervised (3-Fig. 1A) and unsupervised (2-Fig. 2) cluster analyses demonstrates that the *a priori* categorisation ($K = 3$) based on the three ecological realms is not supported by our linear morphometric data. Cluster membership did not correspond to specified ecology (terrestrial, semi-




Figure 2 Morphospace plot of principal component scores as in Fig. 1, showing instead unsupervised cluster analysis groupings. Taxa silhouettes source credit: PhyloPic.org, CC BY 4.0, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.17544/fig-2

aquatic, or aquatic) in either analysis. Taken together, this indicates that ecomorphologies associated with ecological realms are not emergent properties of our dataset. Instead, unsupervised cluster membership (Fig. 2) appears to be largely determined by the distance from the nares to the anterior margin (Supplemental Material), which dictates the relative rostral length often interpreted as adaptations for foraging in water, either semi- or fully submerged. Thus, separation in morphospace may be influenced by factors such as diet (feeding ecology, *i.e.*, what they are eating irrespective of ecological realm) and to a lesser extent phylogeny (Melstrom *et al.*, 2021). This supports inferences regarding the evolutionary history of derived spinosaurids and feeding ecology in *Spinosaurus*.

Taxa in unsupervised cluster A (Fig. 2) exhibit proportionally posteriorly retracted nares relative to members of cluster B. Taxa in both clusters display rostral elongation, though this is achieved by elongation of different skull bones in each cluster. Members of cluster A (avians, plesiosaurs, mosasaurs, spinosaurids, and phytosaurs) extend the rostra *via* elongation of the skeletal elements rostral to the naris, *i.e.*, the maxilla-premaxilla complex. This distinguishes them from taxa in Cluster B (*i.e.*, non-phytosaurian crurotarsans), which elongate the rostrum *via* increasing the length of the nasal-maxilla complex (Supplemental Material). These two morphotypes attain the same outcome in terms of elongation of the rostrum (*e.g.*, increased reach), but differ in which part of the rostrum is elongated relative to the nares, anterior (Clade A) or posterior (Clade B).

The correlated movement of relative nares position results in significant impacts in which feeding modalities are available to these semi- and fully aquatic taxa.

In general, rostral elongation is viewed as an adaptation for both semi- and fully aquatic taxa feeding on aquatic prey. The associated increases in the out-lever distance (distance from jaw joint to bite point) results in greater relative jaw closing speed ([Sakamoto, 2010](#); [Ballell et al., 2019](#); [Evans et al., 2019](#); [Brusatte et al., 2012](#)). This would be a desirable trait when feeding on highly mobile aquatic prey ([Massare, 1988](#); [McCurry et al., 2017](#)) either fully submerged as in underwater pursuit predation or only submerging a portion of the skull as in the “stand-and-wait” strategy seen in herons and storks ([Kushlan, 1976](#); [Willard, 1977](#); [Maheswaran & Rahmani, 2002](#)).

Of these two main strategies proposed to describe feeding behaviour in *Spinosaurus* ([Ibrahim et al., 2020](#); [Hone & Holtz, 2021](#)), the position of the nares is beneficial to the “stand-and-wait” strategy whilst being neither beneficial nor detrimental to the efficacy of the underwater pursuit strategy. An increased distance between the tip of the rostrum and the nares allows for a greater portion of the skull to remain submerged without restricting breathing, potentially increasing foraging efficiency ([Hone & Holtz, 2021](#)). In marine mosasaurs and pliosauroid plesiosaurs, rostral elongation is present but not as extreme, especially in plesiosauroid plesiosaurs ([Supplemental Material](#)). In these taxa, nares that are positioned closer to the dorsal margin of the head may have assisted with minimising the portion of the body exposed when surfacing for breath. Rostral elongation may also be less prominent in these taxa as jaw closing speed is associated with smaller prey items ([Morales-García et al., 2021](#)). There is strong evidence of niche partitioning in mosasaurs ([Madzia & Cau, 2020](#); [Holwerda et al., 2023](#)) and niche conservatism associated with high bite forces in pliosaurid plesiosaurs ([Madzia & Cau, 2020](#); [Foffa et al., 2014](#)) suggests they were not specialised for this specific type of prey. Minimising the proportion of the body exposed to the surface has also been suggested for instances when *Spinosaurus* is largely submerged and only extends a small portion of the head above the water, suggesting strong aquatic affinities ([Ibrahim et al., 2014](#); [Arden et al., 2019](#)). However, the nares of *Spinosaurus* are not notably closer to the dorsal margin of the head than other spinosaurids for which such strong aquatic affinities are not proposed, and a larger portion of the head would have to be exposed, negating the proposed benefits ([Hone & Holtz, 2021, 2022](#)). In contrast, the nares position of fully aquatic animals such as cetaceans and some marine reptiles, show a far greater movement towards the dorsal margin of the skull ([Hone & Holtz, 2021](#)).

Whilst it is difficult to address the sources of natural selection that differentiate the two evolutionary pathways to rostral elongation observed, as this was not directly tested, the relative lengths of the skull bones in *Spinosaurus* presents implications for the impacts of phylogeny on skull shape. Across all theropods examined (both spinosaurids and non-spinosaurids), the relative positions of the naris and orbit to the nasal remain constant ([Supplemental Material](#)). Interestingly, the rostral elongation in *Spinosaurus* is achieved *via* the lengthening of the skeletal elements anterior to the naris, thus maintaining the theropod configuration of the naris and orbit positions relative to the nasal. This feature is

more prominent in the derived *Spinosaurus* than in more basal baryonychine spinosaurids, which show more limited retraction (Figs. 1A, 1C). Avians display elongation primarily through elongation of the premaxilla as the maxilla is greatly reduced (Bhullar et al., 2015), piscivorous birds in particular having among the largest bills in terms of absolute size. In both birds and spinosaurids, elongation of the skull anterior to the nares serves to considerably increase the striking range, jaw closing speed, and amount of the skull that can be submerged whilst foraging, facilitating foraging on aquatic prey items.

In contrast, Crurotarsi displays both pathways of rostral elongation in phytosaurs (Cluster A) and crocodyliforms (Cluster B), demonstrating less phylogenetic constraint across Crurotarsi as a whole, but distinct effects of phylogeny within Phytosauria and Crocodyliformes respectively, i.e., all phytosaurs are in Cluster A while all crocodyliforms are in Cluster B. The disparity in how rostral elongation is attained across Crurotarsi likely owes to it being a large and diverse clade, with phytosaurs being more basal, representing an older radiation, than crocodyliforms (Nesbitt, 2011). Regardless of what ecological selection pressures may be associated with rostral elongation, it is likely that members within clades attain this trait due to consistent selection pressures from feeding in aquatic environments. However, species engaging with such a feeding ecology are subjected to the mechanical pressures of feeding in a dense fluid medium that restricts viable morphospace (Massare, 1988; Pierce, Angielczyk & Rayfield, 2008). This combines with fewer modalities of feeding available to large-bodied marine organisms (Taylor, 1987) leading to convergence in skull shape and nares position (Fig. 2) though not necessarily convergence in diet.

The variables investigated here—in particular, the position of the nares relative to the anterior margin of the skull—are largely able to discriminate between taxa that feed terrestrially and those that feed on aquatic prey (Figs. 1A, 2). However, due to similar biomechanical restrictions, taxa that feed on aquatic prey show substantial skull shape convergence with fully aquatic taxa which prevents definitive categorisation of taxa with uncertain ecology (such as *Spinosaurus*), based on linear measurement of the skull alone. In regard to the feeding behaviour of *Spinosaurus*, elongation of the premaxilla in spinosaurids compared to other theropods results in a nares position which would have been exceedingly beneficial to the “stand-and-wait” predation strategy, but it is unclear to what extent it may be beneficial to the underwater pursuit predation strategy. Further investigations may consider post-cranial data, phylogenetic analyses and dentition, as well as feeding guilds as a categorisation factor. The data we have gathered is not sufficient to completely evaluate the utility of size-adjusted linear measurements of the cranium, but functions as an exploratory study which provides a framework for future studies to develop this line of enquiry. Specifically, we emphasise the importance of comparing supervised and unsupervised clustering to assess if the former is appropriate as the number of groups in supervised clustering can mislead inferences.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Images used are available under the CC BY 4.0 licence: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>. Taxa silhouettes sources from [PhyloPic.org](https://www.phylopic.org/).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This research was funded by the University of Lincoln's Undergraduate Researcher Opportunity Scheme (UROS). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:
University of Lincoln's Undergraduate Researcher Opportunity Scheme (UROS).

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Sean Smart performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Manabu Sakamoto conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw measurements and Data for PAST software are available in the [Supplemental Files](#).

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17544#supplemental-information>.

REFERENCES

- Abràmoff DMD, Magalhães PJ, Ram SJ. 2004. Image processing with ImageJ. *Biophotonics International* 11(7):36–42 DOI 10.4236/jsip.2013.43B019.
- Allain R, Xaisanavong T, Richir P, Khentavong B. 2012. The first definitive Asian spinosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the early cretaceous of Laos. *Die Naturwissenschaften* 99(5):369–377 DOI 10.1007/s00114-012-0911-7.
- Amiot R, Buffetaut E, Lécuyer C, Wang X, Boudad L, Ding Z, Fourel F, Hutt S, Martineau F, Medeiros MA, Mo J, Simon L, Suteethorn V, Sweetman S, Tong H, Zhang F, Zhou Z. 2010. Oxygen isotope evidence for semi-aquatic habits among spinosaurid theropods. *Geology* 38(2):139–142 DOI 10.1130/G30402.1.
- Arden TMS, Klein CG, Zouhri S, Longrich NR. 2019. Aquatic adaptation in the skull of carnivorous dinosaurs (Theropoda: Spinosauridae) and the evolution of aquatic habits in spinosaurids. *Cretaceous Research* 93:275–284 DOI 10.1016/j.cretres.2018.06.013.
- Aureliano T, Ghilardi AM, Buck PV, Fabbri M, Samathi A, Delcourt R, Fernandes MA, Sander M. 2018. Semi-aquatic adaptations in a spinosaur from the lower cretaceous of Brazil. *Cretaceous Research* 90(5):283–295 DOI 10.1016/j.cretres.2018.04.024.

- Ballell A, Moon BC, Porro LB, Benton MJ, Rayfield EJ. 2019.** Convergence and functional evolution of longirostry in crocodylomorphs. *Palaeontology* **62(6)**:867–887 DOI [10.1111/pala.12432](https://doi.org/10.1111/pala.12432).
- Beevor T, Quigley A, Smith RE, Smyth RSH, Ibrahim N, Zouhri S, Martill DM. 2021.** Taphonomic evidence supports an aquatic lifestyle for Spinosaurus. *Cretaceous Research* **117**:104627 DOI [10.1016/j.cretres.2020.104627](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2020.104627).
- Benson RBJ, Evans M, Smith AS, Sassoon J, Moore-Faye S, Ketchum HF, Forrest R. 2013.** A giant Pliosaurid skull from the late Jurassic of England. *PLOS ONE* **8(5)**:e65989 DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0065989](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065989).
- Benyoucef M, Läng E, Cavin L, Mebarki K, Adaci M, Bensalah M. 2015.** Overabundance of piscivorous dinosaurs (Theropoda: Spinosauridae) in the mid-cretaceous of North Africa: the Algerian dilemma. *Cretaceous Research* **55**:44–55 DOI [10.1016/j.cretres.2015.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2015.02.002).
- Berta A, Ekdale EG, Cranford TW. 2014.** Review of the cetacean nose: form, function, and evolution. *The Anatomical Record* **297(11)**:2205–2215 DOI [10.1002/ar.23034](https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.23034).
- Bertin T. 2010.** A catalogue of material and review of the spinosauridae. *PalArch's Journal of Vertebrate Palaeontology* **7(4)**:01–39.
- Bhullar B-AS, Morris ZS, Sefton EM, Tok A, Tokita M, Namkoong B, Camacho J, Burnham DA, Abzhanov A. 2015.** A molecular mechanism for the origin of a key evolutionary innovation, the bird beak and palate, revealed by an integrative approach to major transitions in vertebrate history. *Evolution Education and Outreach* **69(7)**:1665–1677 DOI [10.1111/evo.12684](https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12684).
- Blake M, Naish D, Larson G, King CL, Nowell G, Sakamoto M, Barnett R. 2014.** Multidisciplinary investigation of a 'British big cat': a lynx killed in southern England c. 1903. *Historical Biology* **26(4)**:441–448 1903 DOI [10.1080/08912963.2013.785541](https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2013.785541).
- Brusatte SL, Sakamoto M, Montanari S, Harcourt Smith WEH. 2012.** The evolution of cranial form and function in theropod dinosaurs: insights from geometric morphometrics. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **25(2)**:365–377 DOI [10.1111/j.1420-9101.2011.02427.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2011.02427.x).
- Charig A, Milner AC. 1997.** Baryonyx walkeri, a fish-eating dinosaur from the Wealden of Surrey. *Bulletin of the Natural History Museum. Geology Series* **53**:11–70.
- Erickson GM, Gignac PM, Steppan SJ, Lappin AK, Vliet KA, Brueggen JD, Inouye BD, Kledzik D, Webb GJW. 2012.** Insights into the ecology and evolutionary success of crocodylians revealed through bite-force and tooth-pressure experimentation. *PLOS ONE* **7(3)**:e31781 DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0031781](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031781).
- Evans KM, Kim LY, Schubert BA, Albert JS. 2019.** Ecomorphology of neotropical electric fishes: an integrative approach to testing the relationships between form, function, and trophic ecology. *Integrative Organismal Biology* **1(1)**:obz015 DOI [10.1093/iob/obz015](https://doi.org/10.1093/iob/obz015).
- Fabbri M, Navalón G, Benson RBJ, Pol D, O'Connor J, Bhullar B-AS, Erickson GM, Norell MA, Orkney A, Lamanna MC, Zouhri S, Becker J, Emke A, Dal Sasso C, Bindellini G, Maganuco S, Auditore M, Ibrahim N. 2022a.** Subaqueous foraging among carnivorous dinosaurs. *Nature* **603(7903)**:852–857 DOI [10.1038/s41586-022-04528-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04528-0).
- Fabbri M, Navalón G, Benson RBJ, Pol D, O'Connor J, Bhullar B-AS, Erickson GM, Norell MA, Orkney A, Lamanna MC, Zouhri S, Becker J, Sasso CD, Bindellini G, Maganuco S, Auditore M, Ibrahim N. 2022b.** Sinking a giant: quantitative macroevolutionary comparative methods debunk qualitative assumptions. *Preprint*. bioRxiv, 2022–05 DOI [10.1101/2022.05.05.490811](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.05.490811).

- Fischer V, Benson RBJ, Zverkov NG, Soul LC, Arkhangel'sky MS, Lambert O, Stenshin IM, Uspensky GN, Druckenmiller PS. 2017. Plasticity and convergence in the evolution of short-necked plesiosaurs. *Current Biology* 27(11):1667–1676.e3 DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.052.
- Foffa D, Cuff AR, Sassoon J, Rayfield EJ, Mavrogordato MN, Benton MJ. 2014. Functional anatomy and feeding biomechanics of a giant Upper Jurassic pliosaur (Reptilia: Sauropterygia) from Weymouth Bay, Dorset, UK. *Journal of Anatomy* 225(2):209–219 DOI 10.1111/joa.12200.
- Glazier DS. 2013. Log-transformation is useful for examining proportional relationships in allometric scaling. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 334:200–203 DOI 10.1016/j.jtbi.2013.06.017.
- Hammer O, Harper DAT, Ryan PD. 2001. PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica* 4(1):9.
- Henderson DM. 2018. A buoyancy, balance and stability challenge to the hypothesis of a semi-aquatic Spinosaurus Stromer, 1915 (Dinosauria: Theropoda). *PeerJ* 6:e5409 DOI 10.7717/peerj.5409.
- Holwerda FM, Bestwick J, Purnell MA, Jagt JW, Schulp AS. 2023. Three-dimensional dental microwear in type-Maastrichtian mosasaur teeth (Reptilia, Squamata). *Scientific Reports* 13(1):18720 DOI 10.1038/s41598-023-42369-7.
- Hone DWE, Holtz TR. 2017. A century of spinosaurs—a review and revision of the spinosauridae with comments on their ecology. *Acta Geologica Sinica—English Edition* 91(3):1120–1132 DOI 10.1111/1755-6724.13328.
- Hone DWE, Holtz TRJ. 2021. Evaluating the ecology of Spinosaurus: shoreline generalist or aquatic pursuit specialist? *Palaeontologia Electronica* 24(1):1–28 DOI 10.26879/11110.
- Hone DWE, Holtz TR Jr. 2022. Comment on: aquatic adaptation in the skull of carnivorous dinosaurs (Theropoda: Spinosauridae) and the evolution of aquatic habits in spinosaurids. *Cretaceous Research* 93(Suppl. 4):275–284 DOI 10.1016/j.cretres.2019.05.010.
- Ibrahim N, Sereno PC, Sasso CD, Maganuco S, Fabbri M, Martill DM, Zouhri S, Myhrvold N, Iurino DA. 2014. Semiaquatic adaptations in a giant predatory dinosaur. *Science*. Available at <https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.1258750> (accessed 7 February 2022).
- Ibrahim N, Maganuco S, Dal Sasso C, Fabbri M, Auditore M, Bindellini G, Martill DM, Zouhri S, Mattarelli DA, Unwin DM, Wiemann J. 2020. Tail-propelled aquatic locomotion in a theropod dinosaur. *Nature* 581(7806):67–70 DOI 10.1038/s41586-020-2190-3.
- Kushlan JA. 1976. Feeding behavior of North American herons. *The Auk* 93(1):86–94 DOI 10.1093/auk/93.1.86.
- Madzia D, Cau A. 2020. Estimating the evolutionary rates in mosasauroids and plesiosaurs: discussion of niche occupation in Late Cretaceous seas. *PeerJ* 8:p.e8941 DOI 10.7717/peerj.8941.
- Maheswaran G, Rahmani AR. 2002. Foraging behaviour and feeding success of the black-necked stork (*Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus*) in Dudwa National Park, Uttar Pradesh, India. *Journal of Zoology* 258(2):189–195 DOI 10.1017/S0952836902001309.
- Massare JA. 1987. Tooth morphology and prey preference of mesozoic marine reptiles. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 7(2):121–137 DOI 10.1080/02724634.1987.10011647.
- Massare JA. 1988. Swimming capabilities of mesozoic marine reptiles: implications for method of predation. *Paleobiology* 14(2):187–205 DOI 10.1017/S009483730001191X.
- McCurry MR, Evans AR, Fitzgerald EMG, Adams JW, Clausen PD, McHenry CR. 2017. The remarkable convergence of skull shape in crocodylians and toothed whales. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 284(1850):20162348 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2016.2348.

- Melstrom KM, Angielczyk KD, Ritterbush KA, Irmis RB. 2021.** The limits of convergence: the roles of phylogeny and dietary ecology in shaping non-avian amniote crania. *Royal Society Open Science* 8(9):17 DOI 10.1098/rsos.202145.
- Morales MM, Giannini NP. 2021.** Pleistocene extinction and geographic singularity explain differences in global felid ensemble structure. *Evolutionary Ecology* 35(2):271–289 DOI 10.1007/s10682-021-10103-2.
- Morales-García NM, Gill PG, Janis CM, Rayfield EJ. 2021.** Jaw shape and mechanical advantage are indicative of diet in Mesozoic mammals. *Communications Biology* 4(1):242 DOI 10.1038/s42003-021-01757-3.
- Mosimann JE. 1970.** Size allometry: size and shape variables with characterizations of the lognormal and generalized gamma distributions. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 65(330):930–945 DOI 10.1080/01621459.1970.10481136.
- Naish D, Sakamoto M, Hocking P, Sanchez G. 2014.** ‘Mystery big cats’ in the Peruvian Amazon: morphometrics solve a cryptozoological mystery. *PeerJ* 2(2):e291 DOI 10.7717/peerj.291.
- Nesbitt SJ. 2011.** The early evolution of archosaurs: relationships and the origin of major clades. (Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, no. 352). Available at <https://digitallibrary.amnh.org/handle/2246/6112> (accessed 16 September 2022).
- Paul GS. 1988.** *Predatory dinosaurs of the world: a complete illustrated guide*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Pierce SE, Angielczyk KD, Rayfield EJ. 2008.** Patterns of morphospace occupation and mechanical performance in extant crocodylian skulls: a combined geometric morphometric and finite element modeling approach. *Journal of Morphology* 269(7):840–864 DOI 10.1002/jmor.10627.
- Sakamoto M. 2010.** Jaw biomechanics and the evolution of biting performance in theropod dinosaurs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 277(1698):3327–3333 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2010.0794.
- Sakamoto M. 2022.** Estimating bite force in extinct dinosaurs using phylogenetically predicted physiological cross-sectional areas of jaw adductor muscles. *PeerJ* 10:e13731 DOI 10.7717/peerj.13731.
- Sakamoto M, Ruta M. 2012.** Convergence and divergence in the evolution of cat skulls: temporal and spatial patterns of morphological diversity. *PLOS ONE* 7(7):e39752 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0039752.
- Sales MAF, Schultz CL. 2017.** Spinosaur taxonomy and evolution of craniodental features: evidence from Brazil. *PLOS ONE* 12(11):e0187070 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0187070.
- Sereno PC, Beck AL, Dutheil DB, Gado B, Larsson HCE, Lyon GH, Marcot JD, Rauhut OWM, Sadleir RW, Sidor CA, Varricchio DD, Wilson GP, Wilson JA. 1998.** A long-snouted predatory dinosaur from Africa and the evolution of spinosaurids. *Science* 282(5392):1298–1302 DOI 10.1126/science.282.5392.1298.
- Sereno P, Myhrvold N, Henderson DM, Fish FE, Vidal D, Baumgart SL, Keillor TM, Formoso KK, Conroy LL, Zhu M, Rutz C, Zhu M, Zhu M, Holtz TR, Hone D. 2022.** Spinosaurus is not an aquatic dinosaur. *eLife* 11:e80092 DOI 10.7554/eLife.80092.
- Stromer E. 1915.** Ergebnisse der Forschungsreisen Prof. E. Stromers in den Wüsten Agyptens. II. Wirbeltier-Reste der Baharije-Stufe (unterstes Cenoman). 3. Das Original des theropoden Spinosaurus aegyptiacus nov. gen., nov. spec. Abhandlungen der Königlich Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. *Mathematisch-physikalische Klasse* 28(3):1e32.

- Taylor MA. 1987.** How tetrapods feed in water: a functional analysis by paradigm. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **91**(2):171–195 DOI [10.1111/j.1096-3642.1987.tb01727.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1987.tb01727.x).
- The Experimental Zoology Group of Wageningen University. 2022.** *Skull site, bird skull collection*. Wageningen, Netherlands: Wageningen University.
- The University of Texas at Austin. 2022.** *Digimorph, digital morphology database*. Austin, United States: The University of Texas at Austin.
- Therrien F, Henderson DM. 2007.** My theropod is bigger than yours ... or not: estimating body size from skull length in theropods. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **27**(1):108–115 DOI [10.1671/0272-4634\(2007\)27\[108:MTIBTY\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2007)27[108:MTIBTY]2.0.CO;2).
- Willard DE. 1977.** The feeding ecology and behavior of five species of herons in Southeastern New Jersey. *The Condor* **79**(4):462–470 DOI [10.2307/1367726](https://doi.org/10.2307/1367726).