

Targeted killing of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by Pyocin G Occurs via the Hemin Transporter Hur

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Atanaskovic, I., Mosbahi, K., Sharp, C. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9424-3622, Housden, N. G., Kaminska, R., Walker, D. and Kleanthous, C. (2020) Targeted killing of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by Pyocin G Occurs via the Hemin Transporter Hur. Journal of Molecular Biology, 432 (13). pp. 3869-3880. ISSN 1089-8638 doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2020.04.020 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/117291/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2020.04.020

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online



Targeted Killing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by Pyocin G Occurs *via* the Hemin Transporter Hur

Iva Atanaskovic¹, Khedidja Mosbahi³, Connor Sharp², Nicholas G. Housden¹, Renata Kaminska¹, Daniel Walker³ and Colin Kleanthous¹

1 - Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK

2 - Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, 11a Mansfield Rd, Oxford OX1 3SZ, UK

3 - Institute of Infection, Immunity, and Inflammation, College of Medical, Veterinary, and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, G12 8QQ Glasgow, UK

Correspondence to Colin Kleanthous: Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK. colin.kleanthous@bioch.ox.ac.uk https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2020.04.020 *Edited by Jenal Urs*

Abstract

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a priority pathogen for the development of new antibiotics, particularly because multi-drug-resistant strains of this bacterium cause serious nosocomial infections and are the leading cause of death in cystic fibrosis patients. Pyocins, bacteriocins of *P. aeruginosa*, are potent and diverse protein antibiotics that are deployed during bacterial competition. Pyocins are produced by more than 90% of *P. aeruginosa* strains and may have utility as last resort antibiotics against this bacterium. In this study, we explore the antimicrobial activity of a newly discovered pyocin called pyocin G (PyoG). We demonstrate that PyoG has broad killing activity against a collection of clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates and is active in a *Galleria mellonella* infection model. We go on to identify cell envelope proteins that are necessary for the import of PyoG and its killing activity. PyoG recognizes bacterial cells by binding to Hur, an outer-membrane TonB-dependent transporter. Both pyocin and Hur interact with TonB1, which in complex with ExbB–ExbD links the proton motive force generated across the inner membrane with energy-dependent pyocin translocation across the outer membrane. Inner-membrane translocation of PyoG is dependent on the conserved inner-membrane AAA+ ATPase/protease, FtsH. We also report a functional exploration of the PyoG, confirming the role of Hur in hemin acquisition.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Antibiotic-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a common cause of lung and wound infections in immunocompromised patients. The World Health Organisation lists this organism as a pathogen of critical priority for the development of new antibiotics [1]. Pyocins are protein antibiotics with narrow-spectrum activity that could be developed into new antimicrobials for treating *P. aeruginosa* infections, as demonstrated in various *in vivo* models [2]. Pyocins belong to a larger group of proteinaceous molecules called bacteriocins, which are used by

bacteria to compete for resources by killing competitors, usually of the same bacterial species. Pyocins are multi-domain proteins produced in combination with an immunity protein. The immunity protein binds to the cytotoxic domain at the pyocin C terminus to inhibit its activity and protect the producing strain from the lethal effects of the pyocin. Once released by producing strains, the N-terminal sequences of pyocins interact with proteins in the cell envelope in order to assemble energized import machineries called translocons [3]. Multiple protein–protein interactions are required for pyocin import, which explains their narrow-spectrum killing activity and

0022-2836/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Journal of Molecular Biology (2020) **432**, 3869–3880 so defining how these membrane-associated translocons are assembled aids in their development as species-specific antimicrobials [2].

Different pyocins target different cell envelope components in order to kill *P. aeruginosa*. Nuclease pyocins translocate to the cytoplasm to degrade nucleic acids. To do so, they first bind an outer-membrane receptor, which can be common polysac-charide antigen [4] or an outer-membrane protein [5,6]. They next have to exploit an outer-membrane protein translocator, usually by hijacking a nutrient importer. The energy source for translocation is the proton motive force (PMF) across the inner membrane. In general, the PMF and translocation are linked through the TonB–ExbD–ExbB system in *P. aeruginosa* [7]. Finally, nuclease pyocins likely also require an innermembrane translocator to enter the cell, but no such import route has been identified in this organism.

Pyocins show high strain specificity by targeting particular receptors and translocators in the outer membrane [8]. Some pyocins share homology at the N terminus, which implies they use the same receptor to deliver different cytotoxic domains into the cell [9]. Pyocins sharing the same receptor are treated as members of the same pyocin group. Strain coverage of a pyocin depends on how widespread its receptor is in *P. aeruginosa* genomes. Therefore, an important requirement for the potential use of pyocins in the clinic is to pair up different pyocin groups with their receptors. If these pairs are known, it can also be predicted from genomic data which strains of *P. aeruginosa* are likely to be sensitive to which pyocins.

A limitation in the future biomedical use of pyocins is the occurrence of pyocin resistance mechanisms. P. aeruginosa is intrinsically resistant to all pyocins it produces, since it will also have immunity protein genes for them. Additionally, resistance can occur through spontaneous mutations affecting proteins required for pyocin translocation. These problems can, however, be overcome through the use of cocktails comprising toxins that have different cell envelope targets and different immunity proteins. Mining P. aeruginosa genomes for novel pyocin genes is therefore an important step in developing this class of antibiotics as therapeutics. We have developed a bioinformatics pipeline for searching genomes of different bacteria for nuclease bacteriocins, which represents a valuable tool for exploring the diversity of pyocins [9]. The pipeline led to the discovery of several new putative pyocin genes, including pyocin G (PyoG). PyoG has an N-terminal region homologous to previously described pyocins from the S1 group (S1, S13 or SD1 and S6) and a cytotoxic domain homologous to carocin D, an endonuclease bacteriocin from Pectobacterium carotovorum [10]. In this study, we expressed and purified this newly identified pyocin, and demonstrated its cytotoxic activity against clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* and its efficacy in a *Galleria mallonella* infection model. We also determined the cell envelope components necessary for translocation of the pyocin, showing that pyocins from the S1 group share a common receptor, Hur (*hemin uptake receptor*). We further show that Hur has a role in hemin acquisition and hence may have a role in *P. aeruginosa* virulence.

Results and Discussion

PyoG is a novel pyocin from the S1 group

PyoG is a 640-amino-acid toxin that belongs to the S1 group in which the first 483 residues are similar to pyocins S1, S6 and S13 (Figure S1). This suggests that all S1-group pyocins share the same cell entry route since pyocin translocation domains are generally located at the N terminus [5,6,11]. However, this entry mechanism is currently unknown. We first functionally annotated the domains of PyoG by sequence homology with other bacteriocins. Sano et al. have previously determined two functional domains in the conserved N-terminal region of pyocin S1 (Figure S2, domains I and II) and linked them to receptor binding and cell import albeit that the proteins involved were not identified [12]. Residues 1-483 are predicted to be helical, which is the case for the translocation domains of other pyocins for which structures have been reported [5,6]. The cytotoxic domain, and its corresponding immunity protein, is not homologous to any other pyocin but to carocin D (Figure S1). Carocin D is a nuclease bacteriocin from P. carotovorum that shows DNase activity in vitro [10], implying PyoG is also a nuclease. This is supported by the presence of the Pyocin S domain (Figure S2), a conserved domain shared among all nuclease bacteriocins, yet missing from pyocins, which attack the cell envelope [9]. The function of this domain has yet to be determined, but is thought to be linked to the inner-membrane translocation step in gram-negative bacteria.

We heterologously expressed PyoG in complex with its immunity protein ImG and assessed its activity (Figure S3). Purified PyoG killed P. aeruginosa PAO1 with a nanomolar MIC (Figure S3B). To assess *P. aeruginosa* strain coverage, we tested the activity of PyoG against a collection of 32 P. aeruginosa clinical isolates. One of the factors that influences bacteriocin strain coverage is immunity. Strains that produce a pyocin have the corresponding immunity gene in the same operon, but immunity protein genes can also be orphans. If the PyoG immunity is not being produced by many P. aeruginosa strains, then it would be anticipated to have a good strain coverage. PyoG immunity is present in 11 of 6973 strains in the PubMLST Database [13]. This is a small number of strains when compared to the pyocin S1 immunity, which is present in 744 strains. Indeed, 90% of screened strains were sensitive to PyoG, where most had nanomolar MICs (Figure 1(a)). Good strain coverage also indicates that the PyoG translocation machinery is common in *P. aeruginosa* genomes.

We also tested the efficacy of PyoG against *P. aeruginosa* strains using a *G. mallonella* infection model. *G. mallonella* was exposed to a lethal dose of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. Three-hour post-infection larvae were treated with PyoG, which led to rescue of larvae (Figure 1(b)). In conclusion, PyoG is a newly discovered pyocin from the S1 group, which shows good strain coverage and *in vivo* activity against *P. aeruginosa* infection.

Defining the translocation machinery for PyoG

We first investigated if any of the cell envelope proteins employed by other nuclease bacteriocins are also necessary for PyoG import. One such conserved protein is the inner-membrane AAA+ ATPase/protease FtsH. FtsH is required for innermembrane translocation and proteolytic processing of the nuclease domains of several colicins that kill Escherichia coli cells [14]. It is not known if FtsH is important for nuclease pyocin toxicity in P. aeruginosa. We therefore tested PyoG killing activity against an ftsH deletion mutant of P. aeruginosa PAO1. The mutant was resistant to PvoG and sensitivity could be restored by complementation from plasmid-expressed *ftsH* (Figure 2(a)). Previous studies have shown that the protease and ATPase activities of FtsH are both required for colicin activity [14] and that proteolytic processing of colicin D and E3 nuclease domains during import depends on FtsH [15]. It is therefore likely that nuclease pyocins similarly require an FtsH-dependent cleavage step during inner-membrane transport, but this remains to be experimentally demonstrated.



Figure 1. PyoG is active against clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* and can rescue *G. mallonella* from a lethal dose of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. (a) PyoG MICs for a collection of *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates. Resistant strains are shown in red, and MICs values are represented as shades of blue. Most strains are sensitive to the pyocin. (b) *In vivo* activity of PyoG against a lethal dose of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 in the *G. mallonella* infection model. PAO1 Δhur is a PyoG-resistant mutant which lacks the receptor for the pyocin.



Figure 2. Plate and liquid killing assays with 10 μ M PyoG reveal which cell envelope proteins are involved in its import. For OD₆₀₀, mean of three biological replicates with standard deviations is shown. (a) FtsH, an inner-membrane AAA+ ATPase/protease, is necessary for PyoG killing activity. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 Δ ftsH is resistant to PyoG and is unaffected by the introduction of the empty shuttle vector pMMB190 (Δ ftsH pMMB190). However, transformation of PAO1 Δ ftsH with *pftsH* complemented the *ftsH* deletion and restored sensitivity to PyoG. (b) TonB1, a protein that links the PMF generated on the inner membrane with translocation across the outer membrane, is necessary for PyoG killing activity. Δ tonB1 mutant of *P. aeruginosa* is resistant to PyoG, while Δ tonB2 and Δ tonB3 mutants are sensitive. (c) The killing activity of PyoG depends on an outer-membrane transporter, Hur. Deletion of *hur* induces resistance to the pyocin. Sensitivity can be restored if *hur* is complemented from a plasmid. *Phur* is *hur* cloned from PAO1 into pMMB190. (d) *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) is not sensitive to PyoG. PyoG sensitivity in this organism can be induced if transformed with both pTonBB1 and pHur. pTonBB1 is *E. coli* TonB¹⁻¹⁰² translationally fused to *P. aeruginosa* TonB1²⁰¹⁻³⁴² and cloned into pACYCDuet-1 [6]. pHur is *hur* with the *E. coli* OmpF signal sequence, codon optimized for expression in *E. coli* and cloned into pET21d.

An inner-membrane protein system that is also known to be involved in pyocin import is the Ton system [11]. It is composed of TonB, ExbB, and ExbD and it transduces the PMF to nutrient transporters in the outer membrane. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 has three TonB proteins: TonB1 (PA5531), TonB2 (PA0197) and TonB3 (PA0406). TonB1 and TonB2 have roles in iron acquisition and signaling [7], while TonB3 is involved in twitching motility and assembly of extracellular pili [16]. We screened transposon mutants for all three genes and found that the TonB1 mutant was resistant to PyoG (Figure 2(b)). To confirm TonB1's role in PyoG import, we purified the periplasmic domain of TonB1 to test its binding to PyoG. We could demonstrate that TonB1 and PyoG interact *in vitro via* a pull-down assay (Figure 3(a)). Therefore, PyoG depends on TonB1 for its energized import into cells.

The identification of TonB1 as a requirement for PyoG import provided the starting point for finding its outer-membrane receptor/translocator. The extended periplasmic region of TonB1 binds to outer-membrane receptors, which are usually linked to iron acquisition [7]. Such receptors are called TonB-dependent transporters (TBDTs) [17]. These are all β -barrels that share a conserved plug domain (Pfam domain PF07715) that occludes the β -barrel and a TonB binding box. Since other pyocins hijack TBDTs

[5], we assumed that this was also the case of PyoG. By searching the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 genome for TonB plug domains, we found 35 candidate receptors/translocators for PyoG (Supplementary Table 1). We next screened a library of transposon mutants for all 35 receptors and found that a transposon insertion into locus PA1302 yielded resistance to PyoG (Figure 2(c)). Sensitivity to PyoG was restored if the mutation was complemented from a plasmid, suggesting this locus codes for the PyoG receptor and/or translocator.

PA1302 has previously been linked with the import of pyocin PaeM4 in *P. aeruginosa* [18] and with hemin uptake [19]. Therefore, we named the receptor Hur (*Hemin uptake receptor*), which we elaborate below. To test if TonB1 and Hur are functionally linked to PyoG import, we transformed these components of the translocon into *E. coli* using



Figure 3. Pull-downs of PyoG translocon components. Proteins were mixed at equimolar concentrations and bound to nickel beads, which were then washed of unbound protein. Eluate content was analyzed on 12% SDS-PAGE gels. A protein marker is shown in the first lane on each gel. Eluate of the bait protein is in the second lane. Eluate of the prey protein is shown in the third lane and it verifies that the prey does not bind to beads on its own. Eluate for the mix of bait and prey is shown in the fourth lane. Positions of proteins with their molecular masses are labeled on the right side of the gel. (a) PyoG and the periplasmic region of TonB1 interact *in vitro*. (b) PyoG binds to Hur, confirming its involvement in the import of the pyocin. (c) The first 255 residues of PyoG, which are conserved among S1-group pyocins, bind to Hur. (d) Hur binds to the periplasmic region of TonB1, confirming that this is a TonB1-dependent transporter.

the strategy developed by Behrens *et al.* [6]. We found that *E. coli* was sensitive to PyoG when cotransformed with Hur and a chimera of *E. coli* TonB and periplasmic *P. aeruginosa* TonB1 (Figure 2(d)). This indicates that Hur and periplasmic TonB1 are *P. aeruginosa*-specific components of the outer-membrane translocation machinery, with FtsH being involved in inner-membrane translocation.

Several pyocins have been shown previously to bind exopolysaccharides such as common polysaccharide antigen, which concentrates the toxin on the cell surface, but then require an outer-membrane protein transporter for translocation [4,6]. Such pyocins can still bind to the cell surface if the protein translocator is deleted, but this binding does not lead to pyocin import [6]. In contrast, some bacteriocins exploit one or two outer-membrane proteins for surface recognition and translocation to the periplasm [20,21]. We therefore investigated if Hur is essential for PyoG to bind to *P. aeruginosa* cells. We constructed a PyoG construct for fluorescent labeling of live P. aeruginosa PAO1 cells. This construct lacks the cytotoxic domain of the pyocin and has a cysteine at the C terminus for conjugation with Alexa Fluor dyes. This construct labeled PAO1 cells but could not label the Δhur mutant. Additionally. complementation with Hur expressed from a plasmid restored the same fluorescence levels as PAO1 (Figure 4). Moreover, the labeled PyoG is transported across the outer membrane, since it is protected from trypsin degradation (Figure S4). The data demonstrate that Hur is an essential outermembrane component for PvoG to bind to the surface of P. aeruginosa cells, and be imported into the cell. Finally, PyoG sensitivity of E. coli expressing Hur and the TonBB1 chimera (Figure 2 (d)) also implies that Hur is the only outer-membrane component to which PyoG binds and that it likely plays the role of both receptor and translocator for PyoG import.



Figure 4. Hur is required for PyoG to target *P. aeruginosa* cells. (a) Fluorescent labeling of PAO1 wt, Δhur and Δhur complemented with Hur expressed from a plasmid ($\Delta hur phur$). PyoG^{1–483}, conjugated to AF488 *via* a C-terminal cysteine, was used for labeling. This construct labels the wt strain, but there is loss of labeling if *hur* is deleted. Labeling is restored if *hur* is complemented from a plasmid. Representative micrographs for each strain are shown. (b) Average fluorescence intensities for 100 cells in the presence and absence of fluorescent PyoG. Mean of three biological replicates with standard deviations is shown.

PyoG and hemin compete for Hur binding

Hur is a TBDT homologous to PhuR, a hemin transporter in P. aeruginosa [22], HasR, a hemin transporter in Serratia marcescens [23], and HxuC, a hemopexin transporter of Haemophilus influenzae [24]. Even though sequence homology of Hur and other TBDTs strongly supports its involvement in hemin acquisition, binding of Hur to this ligand has not been demonstrated. To find interaction partners for Hur and shed more light on its function, we purified the receptor to test its binding to PvoG and hemin in vitro. Codon optimized hur from P. aeruginosa PAO1 was cloned with the OmpF signal sequence (MKRNILAVIVPALLVAGTANA), followed by an N-terminal His₁₀ tag and a TEV cleavage site for heterologous expression in E. coli BL21ABCF. After expression, Hur was purified from *E. coli* outer membranes (for details, see Materials and Methods). Since the His tag of Hur could be removed by the TEV protease, we could use Hur both as bait and as prey protein in pull-downs on nickel beads. This enabled us to test if PyoG and hemin both bind to Hur in vitro, and if PyoG could outcompete hemin for Hur binding.

We found that full length PyoG binds Hur in vitro (Figure 3(b)) providing further evidence of this TBDT's role. Since the N-terminal domains are conserved among S1 group pyocins and have been hypothesized to be responsible for receptor binding [12], we decided to purify the first 255 residues of PyoG and assess its ability to bind Hur. Interestingly, we found that PyoG¹⁻²⁵⁵ binds Hur (Figure 3(c)) confirming the role of this conserved region in receptor binding. Therefore, all S1-group pyocins probably share Hur as their receptor. We could not test if the entire conserved region (1–483) of PyoG binds to Hur since PyoG^{1–483} was not soluble in detergent (in which Hur is solubilized). Finally, to confirm that Hur is a TBDT and interacts directly with TonB1, we again used the pull-down assay and we showed that Hur binds periplasmic TonB1 (Figure 3(d)).

Otero-Asman et al. have shown previously that a triple PAO1 mutant lacking Hur and two other hemin uptake receptors (PhuR and HasR) has impaired growth if hemin is the sole source of iron. We found that the killing activity of PvoG is supressed in the presence of iron or hemin (Figure S5), consistent with the findings of Otero-Asman et al. that Hur expression is hemin dependent. These experiments indicate that Hur has a role in hemin uptake. Therefore, we deployed a spectrophotometric pulldown assay [25] to assess if purified His-tagged Hur binds hemin in vitro. Hur was exposed to a molar excess of hemin and then bound to nickel beads. After washing off unbound hemin, Hur was eluted and its UV-Vis spectrum recorded to assess if there was an increase at 410 nm, corresponding to the hemin peak. The ratio between the hemin and the 280-nm protein peak increased if Hur was exposed to excess hemin (Figure 5), confirming that Hur binds hemin. Because previous authors [18,19] named this receptor HxuA or HxuC (Hemopexin uptake receptor), we also tested *via* pull-downs if human haem containing plasma proteins could bind to the receptor. Hur could not bind to human hemopexin, hemoglobin A0 or transferrin (Figure S6). Therefore, we have renamed this protein Hur (Hemin uptake receptor), as it is more indicative of its role.

We next determined if hemin and PvoG compete for Hur binding. To test this hypothesis, we performed Hur-hemin pull-downs in the presence of PyoG lacking a purification tag. We found that PyoG depleted the amount of hemin bound to Hur in the pull-down (Figure 5). In this experiment, Hur was first exposed to hemin and then to the pyocin. PyoG can therefore displace Hur-bound hemin. These results have relevance for the clinical application of PyoG. Hur expression is upregulated under iron starvation if hemin is the sole source of iron [19]. These are the conditions that P. aeruginosa encounters in the host, where iron is bound to plasma proteins or as part of haem. Therefore, Hur is also upregulated in the host, as seen from expression profiles of P. aeruginosa isolated from human respiratory epithelia [26]. This suggests that PyoG could be active in mammalian hosts although this has yet to be demonstrated.

Model of PyoG import mechanism into *P. aeruginosa*

PyoG, a novel protein antibiotic of *P. aeruginosa*, exploits several cell envelope proteins in order to be imported to the cytoplasm. In this study, we identified several proteins that comprise the PyoG translocon (Figure 6). We also elaborated the link between its receptor, Hur, and hemin, the endogenous substrate.

PyoG recognizes *P. aeruginosa* by binding Hur. Hur is a TonB1-dependent transporter that has a role in hemin uptake. Binding of the pyocin and hemin to the receptor is competitive. Currently, evidence suggests that Hur is not only the receptor but also the PyoG translocator. Import of the pyocin into the periplasm depends on TonB1, which is a part of the ExbB-ExbD complex. This protein system links the proton-motive force generated across the inner membrane and the energy-dependent stages of PyoG translocation across the outer membrane. Both the receptor and the pyocin bind to TonB1 in vitro. Therefore, we propose that upon binding of PyoG to Hur, TonB1 dislodges the plug domain of the receptor to release the pore of the barrel, and then also pulls the pyocin into the periplasm, similar to the import mechanism of pyocin S2 through the FpvAl receptor [5]. Translocation of the pyocin through the inner membrane depends on the



Figure 5. Hur binds hemin, a source of iron for *P. aeruginosa* in mammalian hosts. Hemin binding to Hur can be blocked by PyoG. (a) Pull-down of hemin with 10 μ M His-tagged Hur, in the presence and absence of 10 μ M PyoG lacking a purification tag. Proteins were mixed with a 100× molar excess of hemin and bound to nickel beads. Beads were then washed of unbound protein and hemin. Absorbance spectra of eluate were measured to detect changes in the 410-nm hemin peak (enlarged in the upper corner). Representative absorbance spectra are shown. The 410-nm peak is increased if Hur is exposed to excess hemin, and no hemin peak can be observed if Hur was mixed with PyoG. No considerable 410nm peak in the protein free control, containing hemin only, confirms that unbound hemin was washed off the beads and makes no contribution to the 410-nm absorbance in the eluate. (b) Ratio between the hemin 410-nm peak and the protein 280-nm peak in the eluate indicates that Hur binds hemin *in vitro*, which is blocked in the presence of PyoG. Mean of three technical repeats with standard deviations is shown.

AAA + ATPase/protease FtsH. The pyocin could be going through the membrane channel of FtsH, which would likely requires a cleavage step during translocation, as observed for *E. coli* colicins.

Materials and Methods

Sequence analysis and genome searches

The bioinformatics pipeline used in the discovery of PyoG is described by Sharp *et al.* [9]. All bacteriocin sequences used in this study are deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology database under the following accession numbers: PyoG (GenBank accession no. ETV05907.1), pyocin S1 (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession no. Q06583.2), pyocin S6 (GenBank accession no. KYO98147.1), pyocin S13 (National Center for Biotechnology Reference Sequence accession no. WP_023116694.1), and carocin D (GenBank accession no. ADH95192.1).

Sequence alignments were made by Clustal Omega [27] and visualized by ESPript 3.0 [28]. PFAM domains were searched for by SMART [29], and secondary structure predictions were generated by PSIPRED [30]. TonB-dependent receptors in the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 genome were identified by HMMER 3.3 [31] using the TonB plug domain (PFAM domain PF07715).

Strains and plasmid construction

Genes were amplified by PCR from genomic DNA or synthesized by Genewiz with codon optimization for expression in *E. coli*. All plasmids (Supplementary Table 2) were constructed by restriction enzyme digest and ligation and propagated in *E. coli* NEB5α.

All strains (Supplementary Table 1) were cultured in LB (10 g/L tryptone, 10 g/L NaCl, 5 g/L yeast extract (pH 7.2)) or M9 media (8.6 mM NaCl, 18.7 mM NH_{4Cl}, 42.3 mM Na₂HPO₄, 22.0 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.4% w/v glucose, 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.1 mM CaCl₂) at 37 °C with shaking (140 rpm). *E. coli* BL21 Δ ABCF was cultured in LB Lennox (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L NaCl, 5 g/L yeast extract (pH 7.2)) at 30 °C with shaking (140 rpm). BL21 Δ ABCF was a gift from Jack Leo (Addgene plasmid #102270). *P. aeruginosa* Δ ftsH was grown on LB media without addition of salt. TonB mutants of *P. aeruginosa* were grown in LB media supplemented with 100 µM FeCl₃. All *P. aeruginosa* transposon mutants were grown in the presence of 10 µg/ml tetracycline.

Mutants of *P. aeruginosa* were complemented by transformation with a shuttle vector pMMB190 in which a gene of interest was cloned. Transformation was performed by conjugation with *E. coli* S17-1. Equal volumes of *P. aeruginosa* and S17-1 overnight cultures were mixed and incubated on top of LB agar plates for 8 h at 37 °C. Transformants of *P.*

aeruginosa were then selected on 100 μ g/ml carbenicilline and 10 μ g/ml tetracycline.

Protein expression, purification and quantification

All cytoplasmic His-tagged proteins (PyoG in complex with His-tagged immunity protein, PyoG¹²⁵⁵, PyoG¹⁻⁴⁸³, periplasmic domain of TonB1) were expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) for 3 h in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation (4200*g*, 20 min), resuspended in 50 mM Tris–HCI (pH 8), 500 mM NaCI, 10 mM imidazole, 1 mM PMSF) and disrupted by sonication. Cleared lysate was loaded onto a 5-ml HisTrap FF column and eluted with a 10- to 250-mM linear gradient of imidazole. Proteins were further purified by gel filtration chromatography on a HiLoad 26/600 Superdex 200 pg column equilibrated in 50 mM Tris–HCI (pH 8), 250 mM NaCI. All columns were obtained from GE Healthcare Life Sciences.

PyoG without a purification tag was prepared by removing the His-tagged immunity protein by guanidine elution, as previously described for colicins [32].



Figure 6. Model of PyoG translocation into *P. aeruginosa*. PyoG exploits Hur as both the receptor and the outermembrane translocator. Binding of the pyocin to the receptor is competitive with that of hemin, its cognate ligand. Like its receptor, PyoG also binds to TonB1, which links the PMF at the inner membrane with translocation at the outer membrane. The import process, as with nuclease colicins, requires the inner-membrane AAA+ ATPase/protease FtsH.

Ten milligrams of the PyoG-ImG complex was bound to a HisTrap FF column. PyoG was then eluted in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8), 250 mM NaCl, 6 M guanidine. Guanidine was removed by dialysis against 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8), 250 mM NaCl.

His-tagged Hur, cloned with an E. coli OmpF signal sequence, was expressed in BL21ΔABCF cells for 3 h in the presence of 0.1 mM IPTG. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation (4200g, 20 min) and disrupted by sonication in 50 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8), 1 mM PMSF. Total membranes were pelleted by ultracentrifugation at 200.000*a* for 45 min. Innermembrane proteins were removed in 50 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8), 2% Triton-X 100, and outer membranes were pelleted by another ultracentrifugation step. Outer-membrane proteins were extracted in 50 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8), 2% *n-octyl-β-D-GLUCOSIDE* (β-OG), 5 mM EDTA. The insoluble fraction was removed by a final ultracentrifugation step, and EDTA was removed by a HiPrep 26/10 desalting column. The outer-membrane extract was then loaded onto a 5ml HisTrap FF column equilibrated in 50 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8), 1% β-OG, 10 mM imidazole. Hur was eluted using a 10- to 500-mM linear imidazole gradient and further purified by gel filtration chromatography on a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200-pg column equilibrated in 50 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8), 1% β -OG. For removing the His tag, 1 mg of TEV protease was used per 10 mg of protein. TEV and uncleaved His-tagged Hur were removed using a HisTrap FF column after a 5-h incubation at room temperature.

Protein concentrations were measured by converting absorbance at 280 nm with the sequencebased predicted molar extinction coefficient (ExPASy ProtParam). Protein masses were confirmed by denaturing electrospray ionization mass spectrometry on proteins diluted in formic acid.

Pyocin activity testing

The cytotoxic activity of PyoG was tested by a plate killing assay. *P. aeruginosa* was grown at 37 °C in LB media to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5. Lawns were prepared by inoculating 250 μ l of culture to 5 ml of molten soft LB-agar (0.75% agar in LB), which was poured over LB-agar plates. Three-microliter drops of PyoG 3-fold serial dilutions, starting at 10 μ M, were spotted onto plates. Cytotoxicity was determined by observation of clearance zones after incubation of plates at 37 °C.

Liquid killing assays were performed in 96 flat bottom plates in a 200- μ l volume. LB was used as growth medium, which was supplemented with 100 μ M FeCl₃ in case of *tonB* mutants, or freed of salt in case of the *ftsH* mutant. Overnight cultures of tested strains were set to OD₆₀₀ of 1 and diluted 100 times in fresh growth medium with or without 10 μ M PyoG. OD₆₀₀ was monitored on a CLARIOstar Plus microplate reader (BMG Labtech) on 37 °C for 20 h. All measurements were performed in triplicate.

Galleria mellonella larvae infection model

G. mellonella larvae were obtained from Livefood UK. P. aeruginosa PAO1 was grown under agitation in LB broth at 37 °C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6. Cells were then washed twice in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and diluted to the desired inoculum in PBS. Inoculums were serially diluted and plated on LB agar plates just before administration for CFU counting. Groups of 10 larvae were injected with 10 µl of bacterial suspension in the hemocoel via the last right pro-limb or PBS as a negative control. Following challenge, larvae were placed in an incubator at 37 °C. Larvae were treated 3 h post-infection by injection of 10 µl of pyocin in the hemocoel via the last left prolimb. Survival was followed for 48 h; larvae were considered dead when unresponsive to touch. Experiment was conducted in triplicate.

Pull-down experiments

Protein pull-downs were performed either using PyoG-ImG-His6 complex or His12-Hur as a bait. Proteins were mixed to a final concentration of 10 μ M in binding buffer (50 mM Tris–HCI (pH 8), 250 mM NaCI, with the addition of 1% β -OG if Hur was used). Three hundred microliters of Ni-NTA resin (QIAGEN) washed in the same buffer was added to 100 μ I of protein mix. The resin was then transferred to Spin Columns (Pierce) and washed until no absorbance at 280 nm could be detected in the wash. Proteins were eluted in 100 μ I of the binding buffer with the addition of 500 mM imidazole and run on a 12% SDS-PAGE geI.

Pull-downs with His-tagged Hur as bait were used to detect hemin binding. Hur (10 µM) was mixed with 1 mM hemin (Sigma) in a 250-µl reaction volume, in the presence or absence of 10 µM PyoG lacking a His tag. Ni-NTA resin (750 µl) in binding buffer was added to the mix and washed on a Spin Column until no absorbance could be detected at 280 and 410 nm, where 410 nm is the absorbance maximum for hemin in binding buffer with β-OG. Proteins were then eluted in 250 µl of binding buffer with 500 mMi midazole. Absorbance spectra of eluted proteins and hemin were measured using a V-550 UV-Visible Spectrophotometer (Jasco) in the 250- to 700-nm range. The relative amount of hemin to protein was determined by dividing the 410-nm hemin peak by the 280-nm protein peak. Experiment was performed in triplicate.

Microscopy with fluorescently-labeled PyoG

PyoG¹⁻⁴⁸³ with a C-terminal cysteine was covalently modified with Alexa Fluor 488 C5 Maleimide

dye (Invitrogen). Pyocin of 50 µM was reduced with 10 mM DTT for 1 h at room temperature. DTT was removed on a HiTrap desalting column and protein then mixed with a 3-fold molar excess of the dye for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with 10 mM DTT and excess dye removed using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200-pg column equilibrated in 50 mM Tris–HCI (pH 8), 250 mM NaCI. Labeling efficiency, determined as described in the manufacturers protocol (Molecular Probes Inc., 2006), was typically ~100%.

Probes Inc., 2006), was typically ~100%. PyoG¹⁻⁴⁸³-AF488 was then used to label *P*. aeruginosa PAO1 wt, *Ahur* and complemented Δhur pHur cells. All strains were grown in M9 media until OD₆₀₀ of 0.5. Five milliliters of cells was then washed in PBS and labeled with 2 µM PyoG¹⁻ ⁴⁸³-AF488 for 30 min at room temperature. For the trypsin protection assay, after labeling cells were exposed to 0.1 mg/ml trypsin for 30 min at 37 °C as previously described [5]. All pelleting steps were performed at 7000g for 3 min. Unbound dye was removed by washing in PBS, and cells were then loaded onto 1% agarose pads and imaged using an Oxford Nanoimager S microscope. Images were collected at 100-ms exposure and 20% 488-nm laser power. For every image, 100 frames were collected and averaged using the Z Project command in Image J. Average fluorescence was measured in three fields of view for a total of 100 cells per condition and was corrected by subtracting the average background fluorescence. All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2020.04.020.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professor Martin Maiden, Professor Ben Berks, Dr Hannah Behrens, Dr Nathalie T Reichmann and Dr Melissa Webby for useful discussions. We thank Professor Tom Evans (University of Glasgow) for all clinical isolates used in this study. We also thank Dr David Staunton of the Molecular Biophysics Suite (Department of Biochemistry) for help with all biophysical experiments. I.A. was funded by the Wellcome Trust Infection, Immunology and Translational Medicine Doctoral Training Centre. This work was supported by a Wellcome Trust Collaborative award (201505/Z/16/ Z) funded to C.K. and D.W.

Declaration of Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Author Contributions

I.A., K.M., C.S., N.H., and R.K. performed research. I.A., K.M., and C.S. analyzed data. I.A., K.M., C.S., N.H., D.W., and C.K. designed research. I.A., K.M., C.S., and CK. wrote the paper. C.K. and D.W. conceived the project.

Received 6 March 2020; Received in revised form 21 April 2020; Accepted 21 April 2020 Available online 25 April 2020

Keywords:

bacteriocin; protein antibiotic; protein import; TonB-dependent transporter; FtsH

Abbreviations used:

PMF, proton motive force; PyoG, pyocin G; TBDT, TonBdependent transporter; β-OG, *n-octyl-β-D-GLUCOSIDE*; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

References

- WHO, WHO Publishes List of Bacteria for which New Antibiotics Are Urgently Needed, Media Centre, News Release, 2017, Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2017/bacteria-antibiotics-needed/en/.
- [2] H. Behrens, A. Six, D. Wlker, C. Kleanthous, The therapeutic potential of Bacteriocins as protein antibiotics, Emerg. Top. Life. Sci. 1 (2017) 65–74.
- [3] C. Kleanthous, Swimming against the tide: progress and challenges in our understanding of colicin translocation, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 8 (2010) 843–848.
- [4] L.C. McCaughey, I. Josts, R. Grinter, P. White, O. Byron, N.P. Tucker, J.M. Matthews, C. Kleanthous, et al., Discovery, characterization and in vivo activity of pyocin SD2, a protein antibiotic from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Biochem. J. 473 (2016) 2345–2358.
- [5] P. White, A. Joshi, P. Rassam, N.G. Housden, R. Kaminska, J.D. Goult, C. Redfield, L.C. McCaughey, et al., Exploitation of an iron transporter for bacterial protein antibiotic import, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114 (2017) 12051–12056.
- [6] H.M. Behrens, E.D. Lowe, J. Gault, N.G. Housden, R. Kaminska, M.T. Weber, C.M.A. Thompson, G.L.A. Mislin, et al., Pyocin S5 import into *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* reveals a generic mode of bacteriocin transport, mBio 11 (2020), e03230-19.
- [7] M. Shirley, I.L. Lamont, Role of TonB1 in pyoverdinemediated signaling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, J. Bacteriol. 191 (2009) 5634–5640.
- [8] I. Atanaskovic, C. Kleanthous, Tools and approaches for dissecting protein bacteriocin import in gram-negative bacteria, Front. Microbiol. 10 (2019) 646.
- [9] C. Sharp, J. Bray, N.G. Housden, M.C.J. Maiden, C. Kleanthous, Diversity and distribution of nuclease bacteriocins in bacterial genomes revealed using hidden Markov models, PLoS Comput. Biol. 13 (2017), e1005652.

- [10] E. Roh, T.H. Park, M.I. Kim, S. Lee, S. Ryu, C.S. Oh, S. Rhee, D.H. Kim, et al., Characterization of a new bacteriocin, Carocin D, from *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* Pcc21, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76 (2010) 7541–7549.
- [11] M.G. Ghequire, R. De Mot, Ribosomally encoded antibacterial proteins and peptides from *Pseudomonas*, FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 38 (2014) 523–568.
- [12] Y. Sano, M. Kobayashi, M. Kageyama, Functional domains of S-type pyocins deduced from chimeric molecules, J. Bacteriol. 175 (1993) 6179–6185.
- [13] K.A. Jolley, J.E. Bray, M.C.J. Maiden, Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications, Wellcome Open. Res. 3 (2018) 124.
- [14] D. Walker, K. Mosbahi, M. Vankemmelbeke, R. James, C. Kleanthous, The role of electrostatics in colicin nuclease domain translocation into bacterial cells, J. Biol. Chem. 282 (2007) 31389–31397.
- [15] M. Chauleau, L. Mora, J. Serba, M. de Zamaroczy, FtsHdependent processing of RNase colicins D and E3 means that only the cytotoxic domains are imported into the cytoplasm, J. Biol. Chem. 286 (2011) 29397–29407.
- [16] B. Huang, K. Ru, Z. Yuan, C.B. Whitchurch, J.S. Mattick, TonB3 is required for normal twitching motility and extracellular assembly of type IV pili, J. Bacteriol. 186 (2004) 4387–4389.
- [17] N. Noinaj, M. Guillier, T.J. Barnard, S.K. Buchanan, TonBdependent transporters: regulation, structure, and function, Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 64 (2010) 43–60.
- [18] M.G.K. Ghequire, B. Ozturk, A Colicin M-type Bacteriocin from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* targeting the HxuC heme receptor requires a novel immunity partner, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 84 (2018) e00716–e00718.
- [19] J.R. Otero-Asman, A.I. García-García, C. Civantos, J.M. Quesada, M.A. Llamas, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* possesses three distinct systems for sensing and using the host molecule haem, Environ. Microbiol. 21 (2019) 4629–4647.
- [20] N.G. Housden, S.R. Loftus, G.R. Moore, R. James, C. Kleanthous, Cell entry mechanism of enzymatic bacterial colicins: porin recruitment and the thermodynamics of receptor binding, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102 (2005) 13849–13854.

- [21] S. Devanathan, K. Postle, Studies on colicin B translocation: FepA is gated by TonB, Mol. Microbiol. 65 (2007) 441–453.
- [22] A.D. Smith, A. Wilks, Differential contributions of the outer membrane receptors PhuR and HasR to heme acquisition in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, J. Biol. Chem. 290 (2015) 7756–7766.
- [23] S. Krieg, F. Huche, K. Diederichs, N. Izadi-Pruneyre, A. Lecroisey, C. Wandersman, P. Delepelaire, W. Welte, Heme uptake across the outer membrane as revealed by crystal structures of the receptor-hemophore complex, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106 (2009) 1045–1050.
- [24] L.D. Cope, R.P. Love, S.E. Guinn, A. Gilep, S. Usanov, R.W. Estabrook, Z. Hrkal, E.J. Hansen, Involvement of HxuC outer membrane protein in utilization of hemoglobin by *Haemophilus influenzae*, Infect. Immun. 69 (2001) 2353–2363.
- [25] E. Desuzinges-Mandon, O. Arnaud, L. Martinez, F. Huche, A. Di Pietro, P. Falson, ABCG2 transports and transfers heme to albumin through its large extracellular loop, J. Biol. Chem. 285 (2010) 33123–33133.
- [26] S. Chugani, E.P. Greenberg, The influence of human respiratory epithelia on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* gene expression, Microb. Pathog. 42 (2007) 29–35.
- [27] F. Madeira, Y.M. Park, J. Lee, N. Buso, T. Gur, N. Madhusoodanan, P. Basutkar, A.R.N. Tivey, et al., The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019, Nucleic Acids Res. 47 (2019) W636–W641.
- [28] X. Robert, P. Gouet, Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server, Nucleic Acids Res. 42 (2014) W320–W324.
- [29] I. Letunic, P. Bork, 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource, Nucleic Acids Res. 46 (2018) D493–D496.
- [30] D.W.A. Buchan, D.T. Jones, The PSIPRED protein analysis workbench: 20 years on, Nucleic Acids Res. 47 (2019) W402–W407.
- [31] S.R. Eddy, Accelerated profile HMMsearches, PLOS Comp. Biol. 7 (2011), e1002195.
- [32] R. Wallis, A. Reilly, A. Rowe, G.R. Moore, R. James, C. Kleanthous, *In vivo* and in vitro characterization of overproduced colicin E9 immunity protein, Eur. J. Biochem. 207 (1992) 687–695.