

Valorisation of spent coffee grounds in the context of prebiotic potential: a review

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Huang, M., Chatzifragkou, A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9255-7871 and Rastall, R. A. (2025) Valorisation of spent coffee grounds in the context of prebiotic potential: a review. Food Bioscience, 69. 106936. ISSN 2212-4306 doi: 10.1016/j.fbio.2025.106936 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/123521/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2025.106936

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR



Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Bioscience

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fbio

Valorisation of spent coffee grounds in the context of prebiotic potential: a review

Manxi Huang, Afroditi Chatzifragkou, Robert A. Rastall*

The University of Reading, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, Harry Nursten Building, Pepper Lane, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6DZ, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Spent coffee grounds (SCGs) Oligosaccharides Prebiotic potential Extraction Pre-treatment Carbohydrate analysis

ABSTRACT

Spent coffee grounds (SCGs) are the solid residues generated after coffee brewing and have been widely researched due to their rich carbohydrate content. Beyond energy generation, there is a growing interest in developing functional food ingredients. This review focuses on assessing various extraction methods for SCG-derived compounds in terms of their prebiotic potential.

One common type of functional carbohydrate extracted from SCGs is mannooligosaccharides (MOS), primarily obtained through single-stage and enhanced extraction strategy. Single-stage extraction often uses one method, with integrated mechanism and yields oligosaccharides and monosaccharides. On the other hand, the enhanced extraction combines pre-treatments and enzymatic hydrolysis to increase the SCG extractability and selectively degrade polysaccharides. This method yields fewer undesired by-products and aims to avoid complete hydrolysis of SCG into monosaccharides.

In this review, several research gaps were identified in relation to fully valorise SCG. First, there is a critical gap in standardized analytical methods for accurately determining the profile of extracted oligosaccharides. Developing and adopting validated techniques is essential for a reliable characterization of these compounds. Second, the efficacy of pretreatment processes on SCG remains challenging to assess due to the lack of uniform evaluation criteria. Establishing such criteria would help compare across studies, ensuring more consistent assessment of pretreatment outcomes. Finally, the criteria for confirming prebiotic potential in SCG-derived compounds are not well understood. It is essential to adhere to established definitions of the term 'prebiotic' and to apply validated methodologies to assess their prebiotic status.

1. Introduction

Spent Coffee Grounds (SCGs) are generated by coffee shops, coffee retailers, as well as individuals (Johnson et al., 2022). The International Coffee Organization summarized that in year 2022/2023 global coffee consumption was around 173.1 million bags, equal to 10.39 million tonnes (Information Commissioner's Office, 2024). One tonne of green coffee yields nearly 650 kg SCG (Campos-Vega et al., 2015). From green coffee beans to commercial coffee drinks, coffee bean roasting is a key procedure as it not only partially changes coffee bean composition but also brings a certain aroma and flavour to coffee beverages (Carcea et al., 2023). SCG are commonly used for energy production such as pellets or biofuel, but research has also highlighted their potential health-promoting properties (Machado et al., 2023). Given their high abundance in carbohydrates, SCG are promising candidates for developing novel functional food ingredients, such as prebiotics (Bevilacqua

et al., 2023). A prebiotic is a substance that beneficial microorganisms in the host utilize, resulting in health benefits for the host organism (Gibson et al., 2017; Hutkins et al., 2024). Notably, oligofructose (OF) and galactooligosaccharides (GOS), two well-researched prebiotics, are efficiently fermented by gut microbiota and influence its composition. The hydrolysis of SCG presents a valuable opportunity to expand the pool of candidate prebiotic substances. Despite SCG being an abundant waste biomass, the prebiotic potential of their derived compounds has not been systematically assessed, particularly in relation to the various extraction strategies employed. This review aims to systematically evaluate the valorisation methods applied to spent coffee grounds, with a particular emphasis on strategies that extract functional carbohydrates exhibiting prebiotic potential. It provides a comprehensive comparison of extraction techniques, analytical methodologies, and prebiotic assessment methods, ultimately outlining strategies for the optimal utilization of spent coffee grounds in functional food applications.

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* r.a.rastall@reading.ac.uk (R.A. Rastall).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2025.106936

Received 24 February 2025; Received in revised form 23 May 2025; Accepted 26 May 2025 Available online 26 May 2025

2212-4292/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





2. SCG: a rich source of structural carbohydrates

SCG contain a considerate proportion of carbohydrates (Bevilacqua et al., 2023). As summarized in Table 1. The primary structural components of SCGs include cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. Hemicellulose is characterized as an amorphous, branched heteropolymer, consisting of hexoses and pentoses. SCG hemicellulose is particularly rich in galactomannans and arabinogalactans. Galactomannans are defined by a mannose backbone with linked galactose residues (Gorin et al., 1969), while arabinogalactan is constituted from arabinose and galactose monosaccharides (Daffe et al., 1990). Due to its amorphous structure, hemicellulose exhibits low crystallinity, which enhances its susceptibility to hydrolytic cleavage. In contrast, cellulose has a highly crystalline structure, rendering it more stable and resistant to conditions that readily degrade hemicellulose (Salem et al., 2023). Lignin is a complex, hydrophobic polymer that forms a protective barrier around cellulose and hemicellulose in plant cell walls, contributing to structural rigidity and resistance to degradation during enzymatic hydrolysis. In biorefinery processes aimed at producing fermentable sugars, improving the accessibility of cellulose is crucial for maximizing yield (Li et al., 2021; Yuan et al., 2021). Although producing functional oligosaccharides differs from extracting fermentable sugars, both methods rely on disrupting the cellulose and hemicellulose structure. In the case of oligosaccharide production, it is important to avoid the complete break down of these polymers, ensuring that the resulting products retain the desired degree of polymerization.

3. Extraction of SCG-derived hemicellulose and oligosaccharides

Hemicellulose typically accounts for approximately 20 %-35 % of the biomass in SCG (Zabaniotou & Kamaterou, 2019), with its proportion influenced by factors such as bean origin, roasting conditions, and brewing methods (Oosterveld et al., 2003). Although roasting slightly reduces the overall hemicellulose content, it also promotes depolymerization, thereby facilitating the release of simpler sugars that can be more efficiently extracted in subsequent processing stages (Redgwell et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2024). Single-stage extraction methods play a crucial role in biomass valorisation, particularly when converting SCGs into value-added oligosaccharides and hemicellulose fractions (Table 2). These methods aim to degrade the cell wall matrix and release carbohydrate polymers with minimal processing steps. In the context of SCGs, they often operate under integrated mechanisms, combining thermal, chemical, and sometimes physiochemical processes. Common approaches include hydrothermal conversion, autohydrolysis, subcritical water extraction, and hot water extraction. These techniques share a key

Table 1

Presents the proximate chemical composition of SCG.

Chemical Component	Composition Range (wt % ^a)	Reference
Moisture	58–74	Ballesteros et al. (2014)
Ash	0.6-4.7	Ballesteros et al. (2015)
Protein	10–19	Barampouti et al. (2022)
Lipid (Total fats)	2.3–18	Jiménez-Zamora et al.
		(2015)
Total Carbohydrate ^b	55–71.4	Vakalis et al. (2019)
Soluble Dietary Fibre (SDF)	2–9.7	Batista et al. (2020)
Insoluble Dietary Fibre (IDF)	35–50.7	López-Barrera et al. (2016)
Cellulose	7.6–22.2	Han et al. (2021)
Hemicellulose	30-42	Caballero-Galvan et al.
		(2018)
Lignin (Total)	20–24	Murthy and Naidu (2012)
Soluble lignin	17.6–27	
Klason lignin (Insoluble)	1-6.3	

^a All values, except moisture content, are based on dry weight.

^b Carbohydrates may include fibre, simple sugars, and polysaccharides.

feature: they use high temperature and pressure to speed up the breakdown of structural carbohydrates while minimizing the use of chemicals.

Hydrothermal conversion is widely applied for hemicellulose breakdown and extraction, while preserving cellulose (Zhou et al., 2023). Ramos-Andrés et al. (2019) achieved a hemicellulose yield of 3.49 g/100 g of dry SCG using a flow-through reactor, but the yield was relatively low, likely due to lipid interference. Attenuated total reflectance-flourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectra suggested that polysaccharides were more concentrated after coffee oil was removed, indicating that lipid removal could enhance extraction efficiency.

In contrast, autohydrolysis, a specific type of hydrothermal treatment that employs endogenous water within the biomass, shows more promising results. Gu et al. (2020) combined autohydrolysis with enzymatic hydrolysis to extract galactomannan, achieving a 50.1 % yield, while Ballesteros et al. (2017) reported a total polysaccharide yield of 33 % at 160 °C in 10 min. Similarly, subcritical water extraction (SWE), which operates at temperatures between 100 °C and 374 °C, has shown efficacy in producing hemicellulose from SCGs (Mayanga-Torres et al., 2017; Vandeponseele et al., 2020). The method is conducted under temperatures and pressures below the critical point of water (Toor et al., 2011). It maintains water in its liquid state but increases its reactivity, allowing it to act as a catalyst for obtaining hemicellulose fractions. In comparison to both hydrothermal and autohydrolysis methods, SWE operates at higher pressures but lower temperatures. Pedras et al. (2019) performed SWE using a semi-continuous reactor, which facilitates the separation of different fractions over time. The study reported that the yield of carbohydrates (mainly hemicellulose) increased with temperature, peaking at around 33.7 % (w/w) per dry SCG at 200 °C. The extracted monosaccharides accounted for less than 5 % of the total carbohydrates, with arabinose being the most abundant, followed by mannose and galactose. The low yield of glucose in the extracts suggests that cellulose largely stayed intact during the process. This also matches to the recalcitrant nature of the cellulose, as the temperatures used were insufficient to extensively hydrolyse cellulose.

de Cosío-Barrón et al. (2020) and Tian et al. (2017) aimed at producing mannooligosaccharides (MOS) by applying hot water extraction. While no comprehensive yield data of specific oligosaccharides were provided from both studies to evaluate the application of hot water extraction in SCG valorisation, authors suggested that the extracted oligosaccharides exhibited functional properties.

Asano et al. (2003) and Perez-Burillo et al. (2019) utilized autohydrolysis to extract MOS from SCG. The first study reported MOS, and monosaccharide yields of 29 % (w/w) per dry SCG, whereas later achieved a yield of 4.135 % (w/w) MOS per dry SCG. It is obvious that MOS yields differ vastly from each other. Asano et al. (2003) used high-pressure steam in a plug flow reactor with a much shorter residence time (8 min), which is considered a more aggressive process. Fabrizio et al. (2021) utilized acid hydrolysis at high temperatures (200 °C), and the hydrolysates obtained were shown to consist mainly of oligosaccharides with a degree of polymerization between 3 and 6. The yield of specific oligosaccharides was not provided, limiting direct comparison.

In general, hydrothermal conversion has been widely used not only for SCG hemicellulose production but also for functional oligosaccharides. With the use of reactors, these extraction strategies may be suitable for large-scale biomass valorisation. However, they are energyintensive and time-consuming; more recent advances in extraction technologies have shifted toward methods that offer faster and more energy-efficient alternatives. One such method is microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), which, like hydrothermal conversion, employs heat to facilitate the breakdown of structural carbohydrates. MAE uses microwave energy to heat solvents in contact with a sample, promoting the transfer of target compounds from the sample matrix into the solvent, leading to more localized and rapid heating (Sparr Eskilsson & Björklund, 2000). The interaction between microwaves and biomass

Food Bioscience 69 (2025) 106936

Table 2

Extraction processes and yields of hemicellulose and hemicellulose degraded oligosaccharide from Spent Coffee Grounds (SCG).

		0 0	•	
Extraction Method	Chemical Solvent	Temperature and Time (Pressure)	Oligosaccharides/Hemicellulose (wt%) ^a	Reference
Hot water extraction	None	100 °C, 20 min 80 °C, 60 min	Not quantified Not quantified	Tian et al. (2017) de Cosío-Barrón et al. (2020)
Hydrothermal extraction	None	140–160 °C, 0–40 min	Hemicellulose: 3.49	Ramos-Andrés et al. (2019)
Autohydrolysis	None	120–160 °C, 60 min 220 °C, 8 min (Steam pressure)	Galactomannan: 50.1 MOS: 33	Gu et al. (2020) Asano et al. (2003)
		170–220 °C, 10–60 min	MOS: 4.135	Perez-Burillo et al. (2019)
Subcritical water extraction	None	150–220 °C, 30 min (70 bar)	Total carbohydrates: 33.7	Pedras et al. (2019)
Microwave-assisted extraction	Diluted 0.1M NaOH and aqueous	140–220 °C, 2–10 min	Total carbohydrates: 2.9 Galactomannan: 1.4 (43 wt% per total carbohydrates) Arabinogalactan: 1.45 (57 wt% per total carbohydrates)	Passos et al. (2019)
Acid-catalysed hydrolysis	HCl 5 % (w/v) NaCl and acetic acid	200 °C, 0.5–1.5 min	trisaccharides (>70)	Fabrizio et al. (2021)

^a All optimal yield, unless stated, the yield is calculated on dry weight SCG.

accelerates the extraction process, reducing energy consumption while preserving the structural integrity of the target hemicellulose fractions. Passos et al. (2019) extracted arabinogalactans and galactomannans from SCG. The maximum yield for galactomannans and arabinogalactans at 170 °C was around 39 g kg⁻¹ and 110 g kg⁻¹ dry SCG, respectively, with the addition of 0.1 M NaOH solution. At temperatures higher than 170 °C, degradation of polysaccharides, particularly arabinogalactans, was observed. These oligosaccharides yields were relatively low compared to subcritical water extraction but higher than autohydrolysis.

4. Enhanced extraction strategies: pre-treatment for oligosaccharide production from SCG

Although one-step conversion is applied in producing functional oligosaccharides from SCG, pre-treatment followed by enzymatic hydrolysis can enhance the yield of oligosaccharides. Traditionally, pretreatment processes have been used widely on SCG biorefinery processes, aiming at fermentable sugars for biofuel production (Jin et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2021; Titiri et al., 2023). However, in the context of producing functional oligosaccharides from SCG, certain factors need special consideration. These include high recovery of hemicellulosic sugars to boost enzymatic hydrolysis; minimization of toxic compounds such as furfural and hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), which can be formed through sugar degradation; and optimal process conditions to avoid unnecessary degradation of targeted oligosaccharides (Alvira et al., 2010; Kumar & Sharma, 2017; Zhang et al., 2021). Pre-treatment strategies can be classified into chemical, physicochemical, and combinations of these methods. Fig. 1 summarises the workflow of the extraction and prebiotic potential of the functional carbohydrates from SCG.

4.1. Chemical pre-treatment

Chemical pre-treatment utilizes alkaline or acidic reagents to partially cleave the structure of SCG, enhancing the accessibility of polysaccharides, such as cellulose and hemicellulose. Its efficacy is primarily assessed by quantifying the polysaccharide fractions remaining in the pre-treated SCG, which indicates their accessibility for further enzymatic hydrolysis. In addition, analysis of the liquid extract for solubilized sugars (oligosaccharides or monosaccharides) can help the evaluation of the severity of the pre-treatment. Among NaOH pretreatments, the conditions used by Ibrahim et al. (2022) and Jin Cho et al. (2022) both demonstrated significant hemicellulose recovery, though the latter applied a milder NaOH concentration at a higher temperature (1M NaOH at 80 °C) and increased the galactomannan content from 348 g kg⁻¹ to 365 g kg⁻¹ per dry SCG. Wongsiridetchai et al. (2018) investigated the effect of NaOH concentration on SCG pre-treatment efficacy, by optimising conditions such as substrate-to-liquid ratio and temperature. Under optimised conditions, reducing sugar yield was equal to 10.5 % (w/w) per dry SCG. Furthermore, scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis indicated that temperatures exceeding 100 °C can lead to excessive hemicellulose degradation, while thin-layer chromatography (TLC) showed that the



Fig. 1. Summary workflow of extraction and prebiotic potential assessment of functional carbohydrates from SCG.

obtained hydrolysates contained mannobiose and mannotriose. While Magengelele et al. (2023) and Jin Cho et al. (2022) used thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) to confirm effective lignin removal, the lack of direct lignin quantification in their analyses highlights a limitation of current methodologies. Future studies should incorporate quantitative techniques such as acid detergent lignin (ADL) analysis to provide solid evidence in lignin removal.

In contrast, diluted acid pre-treatment is less frequently applied due to its tendency to degrade polysaccharides. Hemicellulose, with its low crystallinity, is more susceptible to acid hydrolysis than cellulose, which has strong β -1,4-glycosidic bonds (Lorenci Woiciechowski et al., 2020). Thus, diluted acid pre-treatment can selectively degrade hemicellulose, often leading to the formation of inhibitory by-products.

Quynh Anh et al. (2019) reported a significant increase in mannose of the pre-treated SCG, from 19.3 % (w/w) to 58.2 % (w/w) per dry SCG, along with a sharp reduction in lignin content, from 38.6 % (w/w) to 2.3 % (w/w) per dry SCG. Although the increase of mannose remained unclear, it is obvious that diluted acid pre-treatment can increase mannose content in the liquid extract, therefore less mannan could stay intact in the biomass. Conversely, Ravindran et al. (2017) observed a decrease in mannan content, from 21.1 % (w/w) to 5.8 % (w/w) per dry SCG, accompanied by an increase in cellulose content, from 8.6 % (w/w) to 15.37 % (w/w). Notably, the total lignin content in Ravindran et al. (2017) exhibited only a modest decrease, from 30 % to 27 % (w/w). The difference between these two studies can be attributed to differences in the acid concentration, pre-treatment temperature, and duration. For example, Ravindran et al. (2017) employed 1.6 % (v/v) sulfuric acid at 121 °C for 20 min, conditions that facilitated the formation of furfural and HMF. These results suggest that diluted acid pre-treatment, while effective in some contexts, may not be the most suitable approach for enhancing hemicellulose accessibility in SCG, particularly due to its tendency to generate inhibitory by-products and break down hemicellulosic sugars.

Other chemical pre-treatment strategies have also been explored, such as ferric chloride (FeCl₃). Ferric chloride acts by disrupting the lignin-polysaccharide linkages and loosening the cell wall structure (Chen et al., 2015). Ravindran et al. (2017) demonstrated that FeCl₃ treatment reduced acid-insoluble lignin (AIL) content from 27.12 % (w/w) to 12.32 % (w/w) per dry SCG, while largely preserving the cellulose and mannan fractions. Despite these promising results, the use of ferric chloride necessitates the recovery of metal ions, which adds an additional step and potential cost to the process (Awasthi et al., 2022).

Alkaline hydrogen peroxide (AHP) has emerged as another promising pre-treatment method. Jin Cho et al. (2022) reported significant improvements in both mannan and cellulose recovery, with mannan increasing from 22.61 % (w/w) to 30.38 % (w/w) and cellulose from 11.40 % (w/w) to 20.59 % (w/w) per dry SCG. The oxidative nature of H₂O₂ facilitates the generation of hydroxyl radicals (-OH), which effectively break down lignin, thereby enhancing subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis (Dutra et al., 2018). However, the use of AHP introduces challenges as it is a time-consuming process and requires multiple preliminary tests to confirm the appropriate concentration range of H₂O₂. Excessive gas could be formed and cause overflow and foaming. Organosolv pre-treatment represents a more complex approach that employs organic solvents such as acetone, methanol, ethanol, or ethylene glycol in conjunction with water. This method effectively solubilizes hemicellulose and detaches lignin, significantly enhancing the enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose (Anu et al., 2020). Ravindran et al. (2017) observed an increase in mannan content, from 21.1 % (w/w) to 31.54 % (w/w) in SCG biomass. While this method shows great potential, it is accompanied by operational challenges such as solvent recovery and disposal, as well as safety concerns associated with the use of volatile organic compounds.

4.2. Physicochemical pretreatment

Physicochemical pre-treatments such as steam explosion, microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), and ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), integrate both physical and chemical processes to enhance oligosaccharide yields. MAE accelerates extraction by selectively heating polar molecules, significantly reducing extraction time and solvent usage (Destandau & Michel, 2022). MAE promotes cell wall disruption, improving polysaccharide accessibility for subsequent hydrolysis (Sparr Eskilsson & Björklund, 2000). UAE enhances cell wall disruption in SCG by increasing mass transfer efficiency through cavitation bubbles. As these bubbles collapse near plant material, they generate microjets that break down cell walls, improving access to SCG carbohydrate fractions for hydrolysis.

These techniques have been applied in the pre-treatment stage for SCG valorisation. Studies by Getachew and Chun (2017) and Getachew et al. (2018) demonstrated that MAE and UAE improved yields in reducing sugars, suggesting enhanced polysaccharide recovery. However, while the studies indicated increased sugar production, they did not specifically report on the yields of functional oligosaccharides or detailed carbohydrate fraction content; it is also unknown that how these pre-treatments can help increase the accessibility of the hemicel-lulose fraction in SCG.

Steam explosion is a widely applied physicochemical pre-treatment technique for lignocellulosic biomass, including SCG. This process involves subjecting SCG to saturated steam under high pressure for a short period, followed by a rapid decompression. The sudden pressure drop disrupts and opens the polysaccharide matrix, enhancing the accessibility of cellulose and hemicellulose for further hydrolysis (Chandra et al., 2015; Ziegler-Devin et al., 2021). In particular, the process solubilizes hemicellulose into water-soluble fractions, thereby increasing the recovery of cellulose to produce fermentable sugars.

Given the nature of steam explosion, both the liquid extract and the water-insoluble solid residue must be analysed post-treatment to evaluate the degree of solubilization and recovery. Monitoring these fractions is critical for understanding the extent of hemicellulose solubilization and cellulose preservation, which directly affects the yield of valuable carbohydrates. For example, Chiyanzu et al. (2014) reported that steam explosion conducted at lower temperatures (150 °C) resulted in no detectable oligomeric sugars in the liquid extract, while glucan and mannan contents in the solid residue slightly decreased, from 24.17 g/100 g SCG to 22.14 g/100 g SCG, and 24.67 g/100 g SCG to 21.38 g/100 g SCG, respectively. Additionally, longer reaction times and higher temperatures can lead to extensive breakdown of mannan into MOS in the liquid extract. Chiyanzu et al. (2015) reported a massive reduction in mannan content when operating at 210 °C for 15 min, from 24.67 g/100 g SCG to 18.16 g/100g SCG.

While steam explosion may not be the best pre-treatment method in elevating the hemicellulose fraction in SCG, it can help loosen up the cell polysaccharide structure. The results also showed that this method is unable to remove lignin as the Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), sampling with attenuated total reflectance (ATR) analysis showed an increase in lignin component. This does not imply that this method is not efficient at all, as the study indicated that by using the steam explosion, it can reduce enzyme loading with an increased enzyme digestibility.

It is crucial to evaluate the efficiency of pre-treatments. To enhance the accessibility of hemicellulose and to increase the yield of functional oligosaccharides, typical goals of pre-treatments should be: (1) avoid SCG hemicellulose fraction degradation; (2) reduce formation of any inhibitors or by-products for further enzymatic hydrolysis; (3) removal of lignin content; and (4) production of highly digestible pre-treated SCG that improves the oligosaccharide yield during enzymatic hydrolysis. Ravindran et al. (2017) applied eight different pre-treatment methods to establish an effective pre-treatment SCG strategy. The study combined two chemical pretreatments as a sequential pre-treatment, using concentrated phosphoric acid, ice-cold acetone, and ammonia. Sequential pre-treatment significantly reduced total lignin content from 31 % (w/w) to 11 % (w/w) per dry SCG, while enhancing cellulose content from 8.60 % (w/w) to 20.0 % (w/w) per dry SCG.

4.3. Enzymatic hydrolysis for oligosaccharide production

With the combination of optimised pre-treatment methods, enzymatic hydrolysis further breaks down polysaccharides into smaller oligosaccharides, such as mannooligosaccharides (MOS). In SCG, this process targets galactomannans, the predominant polysaccharide in coffee, to yield MOS and other fermentable sugars (Kumar Awasthi et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2022; Wahlström & Suurnäkki, 2015). Enzymatic hydrolysis or synthesis pathways can generate functional oligosaccharides from various carbohydrate-rich biomass sources (Rastall, 2010; Yang et al., 2023). SCG are particularly rich in galactomannans, comprising approximately 50 % of the total polysaccharide content in green coffee beans (Moreira et al., 2015). These galactomannans feature a linear β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-linked D-mannose (Man) backbone with α -D-galactose (Gal) side chains (Jana et al., 2021). Table 3 provides a comprehensive comparison of untreated SCG with various pretreatment methods, detailing their specific conditions, and subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis yields, highlighting the synergistic effects of combining pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis.

The roasting of coffee beans enhances the extractability of galactomannans, although a significant portion remains insoluble within the SCG matrix (Moreira et al., 2011), showing the necessity of pre-treatment to improve substrate accessibility for enzymatic hydrolysis. The enzymatic hydrolysis of SCG primarily relies on β -1, 4-D-mannan mannohydrolase (β -mannanase, EC 3.2.1.78), which specifically hydrolyses β -1,4-mannosidic linkages in the mannan backbone (Hlalukana et al., 2021). Mannanase can be sourced from microbial (bacterial and fungal), plant, and animal origins, with the source influencing its hydrolytic efficacy on coffee mannan due to variations in enzyme structure, specificity, and optimal conditions (Malgas et al., 2015; Álvarez et al., 2016).

Bacterial mannanases, commonly derived from *Bacillus*, *Streptomyces*, and *Thermobifida*, are favoured for coffee mannan degradation due to their stability across broad pH and temperature ranges. Specifically, mannanase from *Bacillus* spp. demonstrates high thermal stability and efficacy in alkaline conditions, making it suitable for SCG galactomannan degradation (Dhawan & Kaur, 2007). For instance, an endo- β -1,4-mannanase (Man26A) from *Bacillus* sp. produced 2.47 mg/mL of MOS per hydrolysate at 50 °C, pH 7.0 over 48 h (Magengelele et al., 2023), though this yield could be improved by adjusting operational conditions.

In contrast, *P. purpurogenum* mannanase achieved the highest MOS yield of 43.3 % (w/w) per g of SCG at 50 °C, pH 5.0 for 48 h (Jin Cho et al., 2022). Similarly, a β -mannanase from *Aureobasidium pullulans* NRRL 58524 yielded 58.22 \pm 2.04 mg per 100 mg of pre-treated SCG at 55 °C, pH 4.0 over 41 h, demonstrating significant yield over an extended period (Ibrahim et al., 2022). Combining enzymes can enhance yield by targeting different polysaccharide components, thus improving hydrolysis efficiency (Agrawal et al., 2018; Contreras et al., 2020). A combination of endo-1,4- β -D-mannanase and cellulase from *Acremonium* sp. yielded 57.79 % (w/w) MOS and 22.38 % (w/w) residual mannan at 60 °C, pH 4.8 for 18 h, indicating the potential of enzyme blends (Chiyanzu et al., 2014, 2015). Notably, fungal mannanases are more effective in neutral environments.

Commercial cellulase Celluclast®, derived from *T. reesei* and *T. longibrachiatum*, combined with in-house produced pectinase and cellulase, yielded 72.1 mg/mL of MOS at 45 °C, pH 4.8 over 12 h (Quynh Anh et al., 2019). These findings highlight variability in yield and efficiency based on enzyme source, with tailored solutions available for industrial applications depending on required conditions.

Table 3

Enhanced extraction strategies of oligosaccharides and hemicellulose from SCG.

Pre-treatment	Enzymatic Hydrolysis Conditions	Yield (wt%) ^a	Reference
NaOH pre- treatment	Mannanase (<i>Bacillus</i> sp. GA2 (1))	Reducing sugar:	Wongsiridetchai et al. (2018)
50–121 ° <i>C</i> /2–96 h/ 1:1–1:5	50 °C 5 h pH 6.0	10.525 wt%	Wongsiridetchai et al. (2021) Puengsawad et al. (2021)
NaOH pre- treatment	β-mannanase from Aureobasidium pullulans NRRL 58524 55 °C 41 h pH 4.0	Galactomannan: 54 wt% per SCG hemicellulose	Ibrahim et al. (2022)
1g:10 ml NaOH pre- treatment H ₂ O ₂ – NaOH	Mannanase from <i>P. purpurogenum</i> 50 ° <i>C</i> 48 h pH 5.0	MOS: 43.3 wt%	Jin Cho et al. (2022)
pre-treatment 80 °C/1 and 2 h/1g:10 ml			
NaOH pre- treatment	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. derived endo-β-1,4- mannanase, Man26A	MOS: 2.47 mg/mL per enzymatic hydrolysate liquid	Magengelele et al. (2023)
70 °C/4 h/ 1g:20 ml	50 °C 48 h pH 7.0		
Microwave- assisted NaOH pre-treatment	1.5 % (v/v) of cellulase	Cellulose:11.02 wt % per raw SCG	Ravindran et al. (2017)
800W/30 s/ 1g:10 ml (1 % NaOH)	0.37 % (v/v) hemicellulase	Hemicellulose (Galactan and mannan): 14.78 wt	
	50 °C/24 h/pH 6.8	Reducing sugar: 25.8 wt% per pre- treated SCG	
Diluted acetic acid pre- treatment 80 °C/3 h/ 1g:10 ml	Commercial cellulase: Celluclast® Cellulase from <i>T. resei</i> and <i>T. longibrachiatum</i>	Total carbohydrates: 41.5 wt % MOS: mainly mannobiose (24.5 wt%) and mannohexaose (19.8 wt%) per SCG total carbohydrates	Quynh Anh et al. (2019)
	In-house produced pectinase and cellulase (without specifying the origin) 45 °C 12 h pH 4.8	Mannose: around 49 wt% per SCG total carbohydrates	
Modified diluted acid bydrolysis	1.5 % (v/v) of cellulase	Cellulose:15.37 wt % per raw SCG	Ravindran et al. (2017)
1 %, 1.3 %, 1.6 % (v/v) H ₂ SO ₄	0.37 % (v/v) hemicellulase	Hemicellulose (Galactan and mannan): 9.72 wt % per raw SCG	
121 °C/10–30 min/1g:10 ml	50 °C/24 h/pH 6.8	Reducing sugar: 26.4 wt% per pre- treated SCG	
Concentrated phosphoric acid	1.5 % (v/v) of cellulase	Cellulose:18.14 wt % per raw SCG	Ravindran et al. (2017)
50 °C/1 h/ 1g:10 ml (85 % H ₃ PO ₄)	0.37 % (v/v) hemicellulase	Hemicellulose (Galactan and mannan): 7.15 wt % per raw SCG	

(continued on next page)

M. Huang et al.

Table 3 (continued)

Pre-treatment	Enzymatic Hydrolysis Conditions	Yield (wt%) ^a	Reference	
	50 °C/24 h/pH 6.8	Reducing sugar: 28.3 wt% per pre- treated SCG		
Steam explosion	Steam explosion Endo-1,4-β-D- mannanase & Cellulase		Chiyanzu et al. (2014)	
Saturated steam (30 bars) 121–200 °C (10, 20 min	60 °C 18 h pH 4.8	Reducing sugar: 22.6 wt% per untreated SCG	Chiyanzu et al. (2015)	
2.5 MPa steam explosion reactor		MOS: 57.79 wt% per pre-treated SCG mannan Mannan: 18.16 wt % per pre-treated SCG		
Steam explosion 2.5 MPa steam explosion reactor	1.5 % (v/v) of cellulase 0.37 % (v/v) hemicellulase	Cellulose:6.20 wt% per raw SCG Hemicellulose (Galactan and mannan): 15.5 wt	Ravindran et al (2017)	
121 °C/30 min/ 50 % moisture content	50 °C/24 h/pH 6.8	% per raw SCG Reducing sugar: 25.9 wt% per pre- treated SCG		
Ammonia fibre explosion (AFEX) pre- treatment	1.5 % (v/v) of cellulase	Cellulose: 8.70 wt % per raw SCG		
120 °C/30 min/ 1g:10 ml (NH ₄ OH)	0.37 % (v/v) hemicellulase 50 °C/24 h/pH 6.8	Hemicellulose (Galactan and mannan): 15.02 wt % per raw SCG Reducing sugar: 27.5 wt% per pre- treated SCG		
Ferric chloride pre-treatment 120 °C/30 min/ 1g:50 ml (0.1 M FeCl ₃)	1.5 % (v/v) of cellulase 0.37 % (v/v) hemicellulase 50 ° <i>C</i> /24 h/pH 6.8	Cellulose:10.74 wt % per raw SCG Hemicellulose (Galactan and mannan): 27.75 wt % per raw SCG Reducing sugar: 27.5 wt% per pre- treated SCG		
Organosolv pre- treatment 120 °C/30 min/ 1g:25 ml (1 % H ₂ SO ₄ in 50-70 %	1.5 % (v/v) of cellulase 0.37 % (v/v) hemicellulase	Cellulose:7.04 wt% per raw SCG Hemicellulose (Galactan and mannan): 30.12 wt % per raw SCG		
ethanol)	50 °C/24 h/pH 6.8	Reducing sugar: 28.3 wt% per pre- treated SCG		
Atmospheric plasma 4 min/80 kV/ 50 g SCG in polyethylene tray	1.5 % (v/v) of cellulase 0.37 % (v/v) hemicellulase	Cellulose:12.65 wt % per raw SCG Hemicellulose (Galactan and mannan): 18.77 wt % per raw SCG		
-	50 °C/24 h/pH 6.8	Reducing sugar: 26.9 wt% per pre- treated SCG		
Sequential pre- treatment Phosphoric acid: 1 h, 50 °C, 1g:10 ml (85	1.5 % (v/v) of cellulase 0.37 % (v/v) hemicellulase	Cellulose:20.01 wt % per raw SCG Hemicellulose (Galactan and		

Table 3 (continued)					
Pre-treatment	Enzymatic Hydrolysis Conditions	Yield (wt%) ^a	Reference		
% H ₃ PO ₄) + AFEX: 30 min, 120 °C, 1g:10 ml		mannan): 6.15 wt % per raw SCG			
	50 °C/24 h/pH 6.8	Reducing sugar: 35.0 wt% per pre- treated SCG			

^a Unless stated, optimal yield is calculated on dry SCG weight basis.

While the production of MOS from SCG is well-researched due to the rich nature of galactomannans, other functional oligosaccharides such as galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS) and cellooligosaccharides (COS) remain underexplored. SCG contains around 10 % cellulose, yet studies have primarily focused on MOS extraction, leaving these potentially valuable oligosaccharides underutilized.

5. Identification and characterisation of SCG-derived compounds

Compositional and structural characterisation of oligosaccharides and hemicellulose derived from spent coffee grounds (SCG) is essential for evaluating the efficiency of extraction methods and ensuring the functionality of these biopolymers. A wide array of analytical techniques has been employed, including high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS), gas chromatography-flame ionization detection (GC-FID), thin layer chromatography (TLC), and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Each of these techniques offers unique strengths and weaknesses, making a combination of approaches necessary for comprehensive analysis.

HRMS is highly effective in distinguishing oligosaccharides by their degree of polymerization. Fabrizio et al. (2021) utilized HRMS to identify oligosaccharides with polymerization degrees ranging from 3 to 6, though the method fell short in fully characterizing the oligosaccharide types. Similarly, Nano Liquid Chromatography coupled with Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (NanoLC-QToF MS) has been employed (Tian et al., 2017) for precise mass measurements. However, the lack of commercial standards for certain oligosaccharides has hindered the quantification of individual oligosaccharides, stressing the need for standardized reference compounds in oligosaccharide analysis.

GC-FID is another prevalent method, particularly for monosaccharide profiling, capable of identifying sugars such as glucose, mannose, and galactose. However, it is limited in scope when applied to larger oligosaccharides, necessitating the use of additional techniques like HPLC to offer detailed oligosaccharide profiles. Even with HPLC, limitations arise when using inappropriate standards. For instance, de Cosío-Barrón et al. (2020) used raffinose, stachyose, and verbascose standards, compounds not naturally present in SCG, to quantify galactooligosaccharides (GOS), raising concerns about the reliability of results. Future analytical work must prioritize selecting appropriate standards and advanced chromatographic methods such as hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) paired with pulsed amperometric detection (PAD) or evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD) to enhance accuracy and reproducibility (Li et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Gómez et al., 2015).

TLC, though commonly used for the qualitative analysis of mannooligosaccharides (MOS) due to its low cost and simplicity, is limited by its inability to provide quantitative data on individual MOS components. Similarly, the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) reducing sugar assay, while useful for measuring total reducing sugars, fails to differentiate between specific sugar types or provide data on MOS yields. Anthronesulfuric acid assays also face similar challenges in terms of specificity. As a result, while these techniques are valuable for rapid assessments, they are inadequate for precise characterization of SCG-derived oligosaccharides.

The challenges of fully characterizing SCG-derived MOS are compounded by the diversity of oligosaccharides produced during enzymatic hydrolysis. More sophisticated techniques, such as HPLC combined with nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy or mass spectrometry, could provide the structural and quantitative insights necessary to refine extraction and processing methods. Bhaturiwala and Modi (2020) and Getachew et al. (2018) both emphasize the importance of integrating these high-resolution methods to optimize oligosaccharide production and characterization.

In addition to oligosaccharides, SCG's cellulose fractions offer potential for the extraction of cello-oligosaccharides (COS), particularly following enzymatic hydrolysis. With up to 20 % cellulose recovery reported post-pre-treatment (Ravindran et al., 2017), future research could explore COS alongside MOS as valuable bioactive compounds with applications in functional foods (Jin Cho et al., 2022).

6. Evaluation of prebiotic potential in spent coffee ground

6.1. Prebiotic potential assessment

Prebiotics are defined as substrates that are selectively metabolized by host microorganisms to confer health benefits and modulate the microbiota in both humans and animals (Gibson et al., 2017). Therefore, to verify the prebiotic status of oligosaccharides, it is essential to demonstrate both selective fermentation and the associated health benefits (Hutkins et al., 2024). Given the diversity of methodologies used to assess selective fermentation and related health outcomes, most studies can only demonstrate the potential for prebiotic activity. As such, while current definitions require robust evidence to classify an ingredient as a true prebiotic, we refer to these functional carbohydrates as possessing 'prebiotic potential' until comprehensive and statistically significant validation is achieved.

In vitro fermentation models are often used to evaluate fermentation, using a faecal inoculum to simulate the gut microbiota ecosystem more systematically (Pham & Mohajeri, 2018). Compared to pure culture studies, this approach provides a broader interpretation of microbial interactions. Batch fermentation models, which are suitable for short-term experiments, have limitations, such as rapid substrate depletion and pH reduction that can inhibit microbial activity (Pérez-Burillo et al., 2021). To improve the fermentation effectiveness, in vitro pH-controlled batch fermentation models have been developed, allowing for pH control in real-time during fermentation, ensuring microbial activity is not adversely affected by pH changes (Isenring et al., 2023). However, batch fermentation is not ideal for observing long-term changes, such as the accumulation of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) in the fermentation broth. High concentrations of these can inhibit microbial growth and fermentation performance, leading to reduced yields and productivity. Continuous fermentation models can offer a better simulation of gut fermentation processes by maintaining more stable conditions over time, which is important for observing prolonged microbial interactions (Moon et al., 2016).

Some studies also incorporate an *in vitro* digestion phase before fermentation, using static or dynamic models, aiming to test whether a candidate prebiotic or food can survive the oral, gastric, and intestinal digestive phases (Brodkorb et al., 2019). Static digestion models are easier to replicate and control but fail to simulate the dynamic, continuous flow of digestive fluids in the gastrointestinal system (Wang et al., 2021). The model overlooks susceptibility to small intestinal brush border (BB) glycosidases and selective absorption of monosaccharides into circulation or not incorporate the entire array of brush border enzymes necessary for the final stage of digestion in the body (Picariello et al., 2015). Therefore, the ability of a candidate prebiotic to survive enzyme reaction, cannot indicate its true digestibility. In contrast, dynamic digestion models offer a more accurate reflection of human physiology, controlling factors like enzyme concentration and pH changes (Ji et al., 2022). While more complex, these models provide better insights into the digestibility of potential prebiotics (Dupont et al., 2019).

In vivo trials, which directly examine the effects of prebiotics on gut microbiota in human or animal subjects, are often considered the gold standard. The most common study design is the placebo-controlled randomized trial, though crossover and parallel designs are also used (Bell et al., 2022; Gibson & Fuller, 2000; Tandon et al., 2019; Walton et al., 2012). The group of human volunteers recruited can vary depending on the study's objectives. For example, studies may investigate how prebiotics affect patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) to assess potential health benefits (Wilson et al., 2019), how prebiotics can impact the mood state when participants are under mild/moderately increased level of anxiety and stress (Jackson et al., 2023), or how prebiotics can improve functional diarrhoea in children group (Du et al., 2023). These trials are essential for confirming prebiotic potential, as they provide evidence of measurable health benefits. Establishing such benefits is complex, requiring large amounts of food-grade materials and large-scale studies with statistically significant numbers of participants. Health benefits, known as beneficial physiological effect, must be specific, measurable, and distinct from mere microbiome changes, which alone do not constitute a health benefit (European Food Safety Authority, 2015).

Since measuring directly associated health benefits in human trials are difficult to achieve, the production of SCFAs such as acetate, propionate, and butyrate, is often used as an indirect indicator of prebiotic potential (Roberfroid et al., 2010; Sarbini & Rastall, 2011). However, Verbeke et al. (2015) pointed out that changes in faecal SCFA levels alone are not sufficient to confirm prebiotic efficacy.

Understanding changes in the gut microbiome is also key to assessing prebiotic potential. In healthy individuals, predominant bacterial genera are *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes*, *Lactobacillus Streptococcus* and enterobacteria in the human gut (Hou et al., 2022). For this reason, studies frequently focus on quantifying *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* populations when assessing prebiotic potential. It is important to choose the right technique to identify and classify bacteria. Culture-based techniques are first applied on specific gut bacteria, such as *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* (Mitmesser & Combs, 2017). While these methods effectively quantify target bacteria, they fail to capture the broader microbial interactions in the gut (Anadón et al., 2016; Davis, 2014). Molecular methods are most applied to analyse the stool microbiota (Franco-Duarte et al., 2019). Combined analysis methods such as metabolomics together with metagenomics are better at interpreting the prebiotic-induced microbiome modulation (Puig-Castellví et al., 2023).

6.2. Digestibility and fermentation of SCG-derived materials: MOS

Given the complexity of assessing prebiotic potential, the evaluation of SCG-derived materials, such as mannooligosaccharides (MOS), is resource-intensive. The techniques used for digestibility and fermentation assessments are summarized in Table 4.

As previously mentioned, cultural enumeration methods are not considered suitable for confirming prebiotic potential. However, several studies, including those by Puengsawad et al. (2021), Fabrizio et al. (2021), Wongsiridetchai et al. (2021), Magengelele et al. (2023), and Gu et al. (2020), utilized this approach to assess SCG-derived MOS. Montemurro et al. (2024) also evaluated the SCG-derived oligosaccharides as a growth substrate for probiotic lactic acid bacteria. Among 11 strains tested, *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* LP19 showed superior growth (\approx 9.2 log₁₀ CFU/g) on treated SCG, indicating the potential for synbiotic formulations. The pre-trial monoculture methods used on SCG-derived oligosaccharides have proved that they favour the proliferation of beneficial taxa and the production of short-chain fatty acids, suggesting true prebiotic potential; however, translating monoculture growth

Table 4

In vitro assessment used in the of prebiotic efficacy with SCG-derived MOS.

Model	Condition of assessment			Analysis used	Reference	
	Time	Temperature (°C)	pH	Enzyme used		
In vitro gastrointestinal	Oral phase: 5min	37	Oral and small intestinal: pH=7	α-Amylase	Post digestion end-products was quantified by DNS	Puengsawad et al. (2021)
digestion	Stomach: 2 h Small intestinal:2 h		Stomach: pH=2			
	4 h total	37	pH=1.5	α-Amylase trypsin	Post digestion end-products was quantified by DNS	Magengelele et al. (2023)
In vitro digestion- fermentation	Oral: 4 h	Digestion: 37	Faecal fermentation:	Human salivary α-amylase; porcine pancreatic enzymes;	Post digestion end-products was quantified by HPLC	Asano et al. (2003)
	Gastric: 4 h	Faecal fermentation: (uncontrolled)	pH=7.2	rat intestinal mucous enzymes	Post fermentation end- products (SCFAs) quantified by HPLC	
	Intestinal: 4 h Faecal fermentation: 4,8,15 and 24 h	(accontrolocy)			5, 20	
In vitro faecal batch culture fermentation	Faecal fermentation: 24 h	Faecal fermentation: 37	Faecal fermentation: pH=7	-	SCFAs were quantified by HPLC DNA extraction and sequencing were used to quantify faecal microbial community	Perez-Burillo et al. (2019)

results into community-level effects requires such complex models to confirm efficacy *in situ*. Notably, Puengsawad et al. (2021) and Magengelele et al. (2023) also incorporated *in vitro* digestion models, but both studies relied solely on these models to determine prebiotic potential, without additional verification through more advanced analytical methods.

Asano et al. (2003) advanced this by employing an *in vitro* digestion-fermentation model, demonstrating that SCG-derived MOS was resistant to digestive enzymes in the oral, gastric, and intestinal phases. Their fermentation study showed that SCG-derived MOS produced 348.7 \pm 69.9 mg of acetate after 15 h, compared to 339.8 \pm 54.1 mg from fructooligosaccharides (FOS) after 8 h. This suggested that SCG-derived MOS could potentially alter the intestinal environment, though further *in vivo* research is needed to validate these findings.

A more recent study by Perez-Burillo et al. (2019) utilized an *in vitro* batch fermentation model alongside DNA sequencing and bioinformatics analysis to investigate microbial community changes in response to MOS. The study found that MOS promoted the growth of beneficial bacteria, with nearly 100 % of the bacteria belonging to the phyla Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and Proteobacteria. Bacteroidetes, recognized for their role in producing acetate and propionate, were most abundant (>50 %) when the lowest amount of MOS was present. Proteobacteria, which play a role in metabolism and inflammation regulation, were more abundant (35 %) when the lowest MOS concentration was produced, compared to <25 % in other conditions.

In terms of total SCFAs production, higher concentrations of MOS led to greater SCFAs production. The highest SCFAs production was recorded at 251.15 \pm 20.67 μ mol/g of SCG, with acetate accounting for 130.79 μ mol/g, propionate at 63.10 μ mol/g, and butyrate at 49.07 μ mol/g. This demonstrates the potential for SCG-derived MOS to positively modulate gut microbiota and enhance SCFA production.

In vivo studies are critical for validating prebiotic potential, as they allow researchers to directly observe the effects of MOS on gut health and related biomarkers (Table 5).

Asano et al. (2004) conducted one such study by recruiting eight healthy volunteers to consume 1 g/day and 3 g/day of SCG-derived MOS for eight weeks. The results indicated a significant increase in *Bifidobacterium* populations (from 9.1 % to 35.1 % of the total bacterial count) at both doses, alongside a reduction in harmful bacteria like *Clostridium perfringens*, likely due to a decrease in intestinal pH. While both doses produced significant changes, no dose-dependent effect was observed.

Table 5

In vivo assessment used in the of prebiotic efficacy with SCG-derived MOS.

Models	Human/animal study design	Analysis used	Reference
<i>In vivo</i> animal trials	A 12-week study, with 22 3-week-old mice being fed with high-fat diet and MOS	Not applied	Takao et al. (2006)
	A 10-week study, with 10 5-week-old Dahl-s and 5 Dahl-R (salt- resistant) rats fed with MOS	Not applied	Hoshino-Takao et al. (2008)
In vivo human clinical trials An 8-week study, with 2 men and 6 women (18–45 years old.) trials Each volunteers consumed 3 g MOS p day for two weeks fro week 2 to week 4 (we 0 - week 2 is the observation period), week 4 - week 6 is th interval week with m dose, week 6 - week volunteers took 1g		Traditional culture media method was used to quantify the faecal flora SCFAs were determined as fermentation products using HPLC	Asano et al. (2004)
	A double-blind, randomised, placebo- controlled 12-week study, with 54 overweight participants (19–65 years old) The intake schedule: MOS were dissolved in beverages. Each participants consumed MOS beverages twice a day (2 g of MOS contained in each beverage) for 12 weeks.	Not applied	Salinardi et al. (2010)

This study also reported an increase in total SCFAs, with acetate rising from 3.12 \pm 0.33 mg/g to 3.63 \pm 0.27 mg/g of faeces after consuming 3 g/day of MOS.

Takao et al. (2006) further explored the potential health benefits of SCG-derived MOS in mice fed a high-fat diet, a common model for studying metabolic disorders. Mice fed 1 % (w/w) MOS for twelve weeks exhibited lower fat accumulation and hepatic triglyceride levels, with increased faecal fat excretion compared to the control group. While this suggests that MOS may inhibit the absorption of dietary fat and prevent fat storage, the study did not explore the prebiotic potential of MOS in detail. Hoshino-Takao et al. (2008) expanded on this by studying the effects of SCG-derived MOS on blood pressure in Dahl salt-sensitive rats. After ten weeks of treatment, the rats showed significantly lower blood pressure and serum aldosterone levels, suggesting that MOS may have anti-hypertensive properties. This study highlights the broader potential health benefits of MOS beyond gut microbiota modulation. Salinardi et al. (2010) conducted a human clinical study on SCG- and coffee ground-derived MOS, focusing on weight management. In a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial with 54 participants, male subjects who consumed MOS for 12 weeks experienced significant reductions in body fat and total body volume compared to the placebo group. However, no significant effects were observed in female participants, suggesting potential gender differences in response to MOS. Further research is needed to explore the mechanisms behind these gender-specific effects.

6.3. Digestibility and fermentation of SCG solids

SCG itself has also been evaluated for its prebiotic potential. Jiménez-Zamora et al. (2015) investigated various coffee by-products, including SCG, using an *in vitro* digestion-fermentation model. Although the term "prebiotic activity" was incorrectly termed in the study, the results demonstrated that SCG could promote the growth of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria after 24 h of fermentation. However, the study did not measure the production of metabolites, which limits its ability to confirm the prebiotic potential of SCG.

López-Barrera et al. (2016) evaluated the fermentability of dark- and medium-roasted SCG using an *in vitro* digestion-fermentation model. Both types significantly increased SCFA production, with acetate, propionate, and butyrate levels at $37.5 \pm 7.4 \text{ mmol/L}$, $9.50 \pm 0.6 \text{ mmol/L}$, and $7.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ mmol/L}$, respectively, though lower than MOS. The study introduced a rat-everted gut sac model to better mimic brush border enzymes and selective absorption (Alam et al., 2012). SCG did not survive the oral, gastric, or intestinal phases, suggesting it might reach the gut intact. Given the physiological differences between rats and humans, these results may not directly translate to human responses, and this model, while more complex, still cannot fully replicate the human digestive system.

Besides prebiotic potential, SCG's anti-inflammatory effects were evaluated using inflammation markers in macrophages. The results showed a 55 % reduction in nitric oxide (NO) production, suggesting that SCG may protect against chronic inflammatory diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease and rheumatoid arthritis. Although promising, *in vivo* studies are necessary to confirm these anti-inflammatory effects and their relevance to human health.

Panzella et al. (2017) further studied hydrolysed SCG using an *in vitro* digestion-fermentation model and found that hydrolysed SCG increased *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* populations after 20 h of fermentation. SCFA production was also significantly higher compared to inulin, particularly for acetate and propionate. In addition, the study evaluated the antioxidant properties of SCG using a HepG2 cell line, revealing that hydrolysed SCG could affect cellular pathways and gene expression related to antioxidant activity. Although this study did not directly assess prebiotic potential, the antioxidant effects of SCG provide further evidence of its potential health benefits.

de Cosío-Barrón et al. (2020) evaluated medium- and dark-roasted

SCG by using the same *in vitro* digestion-fermentation model as López-Barrera et al. (2016). Two types of human gut microbiota communities were used for fermentation: one from lean individuals and one from overweight individuals. Medium-roasted SCG showed better regulation of faecal enzyme activity and higher SCFA production than dark-roasted SCG. Acetate levels were highest in lean-microbiota communities, while butyrate was most abundant in overweight-microbiota groups, suggesting the microbiota composition influences SCG's prebiotic effects.

Results indicated that medium-roasted SCG is better in regulating the activity of faecal enzymes than dark-roasted; although the gut microbiota was not quantitatively profiled, medium-roasted SCG produce significantly more total short-chain fatty acids, with acetate highest in the lean-microbiota group (nearly 10 nmol/L) while butyrate was highest in the overweight-microbiota group (26.7 nmol/L), after 24 h fermentation.

Hydrolysed SCG also led to higher acetic and propionic acid production compared to probiotic milk beverages, which increased butyric acid, reflecting the role of *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp. in butyrate production.

In general, the conclusions drawn support the use of SCG as a functional food ingredient with potential health benefits. However, there are still questions about toxicity, due to the presence of potentially harmful compounds from the coffee beans themselves, such as caffeine, tannins, and certain polyphenols, which could pose health risks in high concentrations. Due to its complex compositional profile, it is hard to elucidate the role of its various components, such as oligosaccharides, polysaccharides and coffee polyphenols. Therefore, to better understand these functional compounds in SCG, studies on extracted single components are needed. This approach will help in identifying their specific health benefits and potential uses as functional food ingredients.

Despite the obvious potential of SCG-derived carbohydrates to act as prebiotics, none have yet accumulated sufficient evidence of prebiotic status. There is clearly a need for in *vivo* human studies, ideally comparing food-grade SCG-derived carbohydrates and SCG, with commercial oligofructose and galactooligosaccharides, in specific health conditions. In summary, well-designed human studies are essential for confirming prebiotic status and understanding their potential health benefits. These studies are expensive and time-consuming. They also require large quantities of food-grade materials, and enough participants to achieve statistical power (Spacova et al., 2020). Furthermore, these studies must show specific and quantifiable health benefits, as changes in microbiome composition alone are not adequate. Consequently, building a solid portfolio of supporting evidence through *in vivo* human studies requires long-term commitment and investment.

7. Conclusion

Numerous studies have indicated the valorisation pathway of SCG into functional food ingredients and various extraction methods have been applied. MOS are one of the most extracted functional oligosaccharides, using microbial β -mannanases but there is a challenge to produce them on a large scale. Pre-treatment methods, specific enzyme doses, and optimization of the production conditions are needed to increase the production effectiveness.

Problems exist with the evaluation of SCG-derived products; studies generally make efforts to investigate their prebiotic potential and indicate that they are all beneficial in modulating gut microbiota and metabolic products. However, very few tests have adequately proven the prebiotic status of SCG-derived compounds.

Further research should focus on the application of SCG-derived oligosaccharides at a commercial food-grade scale as novel functional food ingredient. In addition, the prebiotic status of SCG-derived compounds, especially oligosaccharides, needs to be confirmed, using wellcontrolled human studies. In addition to MOS, other oligosaccharides, such as cello-oligosaccharides (COS), and galactooligosaccharides (GOS), could also be explored as possible prebiotic candidates.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Manxi Huang: Writing – original draft, Investigation, Data curation. **Afroditi Chatzifragkou:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Conceptualization. **Robert A. Rastall:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Conceptualization.

Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

During the preparation of this work the author(s) used ChatGPT to improve the readability and correct the Grammer mistakes (proof-reading). After using this tool, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

Funding sources

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

References

- Agrawal, R., Semwal, S., Kumar, R., Mathur, A., Gupta, R. P., Tuli, D. K., & Satlewal, A. (2018). Synergistic enzyme cocktail to enhance hydrolysis of steam exploded wheat straw at pilot scale. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fenrg.2018.00122. Article 122.
- Alam, M. A., Al-Jenoobi, F. I., & Al-mohizea, A. M. (2012). Everted gut sac model as a tool in pharmaceutical research: Limitations and applications. *Journal of Pharmacy* and Pharmacology, 64(3), 326–336. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7158.2011.01391.x
- Álvarez, C., Reyes-Sosa, F. M., & Díez, B. (2016). Enzymatic hydrolysis of biomass from wood. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 9(2), 149–156. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12346
- Alvira, P., Tomás-Pejó, E., Ballesteros, M., & Negro, M. J. (2010). Pretreatment technologies for an efficient bioethanol production process based on enzymatic hydrolysis: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(13), 4851–4861. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.biortech.2009.11.093
- Anadón, A., Martínez-Larrañaga, M. R., Arés, I., & Martínez, M. A. (2016). Chapter 1 prebiotics and probiotics: An assessment of their safety and health benefits. In R. R. Watson, & V. R. Preedy (Eds.), *Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics* (pp. 3–23). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802189-7.00001-0.
- Anu, Kumar, A., Rapoport, A., Kunze, G., Kumar, S., Singh, D., & Singh, B. (2020). Multifarious pretreatment strategies for the lignocellulosic substrates for the generation of renewable and sustainable biofuels: A review. *Renewable Energy*, 160, 1228–1252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.07.031
- Asano, I., Hamaguchi, K., Fujii, S., & Iino, H. (2003). In vitro digestibility and fermentation of mannooligosaccharides from coffee mannan. Food Science and Technology Research, 9(1), 62–66. https://doi.org/10.3136/fstr.9.62
- Asano, I., Umemura, M., Fujii, S., Hoshino, H., & Iino, H. (2004). Effects of mannooligosaccharides from coffee mannan on fecal microflora and defecation in healthy volunteers. *Food Science and Technology Research*, 10(1), 93–97. https://doi. org/10.3136/fstr.10.93
- Awasthi, M. K., Tarafdar, A., Gaur, V. K., Amulya, K., Narisetty, V., Yadav, D. K., Sindhu, R., Binod, P., Negi, T., Pandey, A., Zhang, Z., & Sirohi, R. (2022). Emerging trends of microbial technology for the production of oligosaccharides from biowaste and their potential application as prebiotic. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2022.109610. Article 109610.
- Ballesteros, L. F., Cerqueira, M. A., Teixeira, J. A., & Mussatto, S. I. (2015). Characterization of polysaccharides extracted from spent coffee grounds by alkali pretreatment. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 127, 347–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. carbpol.2016.09.054

- Ballesteros, L. F., Teixeira, J. A., & Mussatto, S. I. (2014). Chemical, functional, and structural properties of spent coffee grounds and coffee silverskin. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 7(12), 3493–3503. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-014-1349-z
- Ballesteros, L. F., Teixeira, J. A., & Mussatto, S. I. (2017). Extraction of polysaccharides by autohydrolysis of spent coffee grounds and evaluation of their antioxidant activity. Carbohydrate Polymers, 157, 258–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. carboh.2016.09.054
- Barampouti, E. M., Grammatikos, C., Stoumpou, V., Malamis, D., & Mai, S. (2022). Emerging synergies on the co-treatment of spent coffee grounds and brewer's spent grains for ethanol production. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 13(2), 877–891. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-021-01543-6
- Batista, M. J. P. A., Ávila, A. F., Franca, A. S., & Oliveira, L. S. (2020). Polysacchariderich fraction of spent coffee grounds as promising biomaterial for films fabrication. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 233, Article 115851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. carbopol.2020.115851
- Bell, L., Whyte, A., Duysburgh, C., Marzorati, M., Van den Abbeele, P., Le Cozannet, R., Fança-Berthon, P., Fromentin, E., & Williams, C. (2022). A randomized, placebocontrolled trial investigating the acute and chronic benefits of American Ginseng (Cereboost®) on mood and cognition in healthy young adults, including in vitro investigation of gut microbiota changes as a possible mechanism of action. European Journal of Nutrition, 61(1), 413–428. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-021-02654-5
- Bevilacqua, E., Cruzat, V., Singh, I., Rose'Meyer, R. B., Panchal, S. K., & Brown, L. (2023). The potential of spent coffee grounds in functional food development. *Nutrients*, 15(4), 994. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15040994
- Bhaturiwala, R. A., & Modi, H. A. (2020). Extraction of oligosaccharides and phenolic compounds by roasting pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis from spent coffee ground. *Journal of Applied Biology & Biotechnology*, 8(4), 75–81. https://doi.org/ 10.7324/JABB.2020.80412
- Brodkorb, A., Egger, L., Alminger, M., Alvito, P., Assunção, R., Ballance, S., Bohn, T., Bourlieu-Lacanal, C., Boutrou, R., Carrière, F., Clemente, A., Corredig, M., Dupont, D., Dufour, C., Edwards, C., Golding, M., Karakaya, S., Kirkhus, B., Le Feunteun, S., ... Recio, I. (2019). INFOGEST static *in vitro* simulation of gastrointestinal food digestion. *Nature Protocols*, 14(4), 991–1014. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41596-018-0119-1
- Caballero-Galvan, A. S., Restrepo-Serna, D. L., Ortiz-Sanchez, M., & Cardona-Alzate, C. A. (2018). Analysis of extraction kinetics of bioactive compounds from spent coffee grounds (Coffea arabica). Waste and Biomass Valorization, 9(12), 2381–2389. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-018-0332-8
- Campos-Vega, R., Loarca-Pina, G., Vergara-Castaneda, H. A., & Oomah, B. D. (2015). Spent coffee grounds: A review on current research and future prospects. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 45(1), 24–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2015.04.012
- Carcea, M., Danesi, I., De Gara, L., Diretto, G., Fanali, C., Raffo, A., Sinesio, F., Della Posta, S., Frusciante, S., Moneta, E., Narducci, V., Peparaio, M., Saggia Civitelli, E., & Turfani, V. (2023). Chemical composition and sensory profile of the Italian espresso coffee powder and beverage under different roasting conditions. *European Food Research and Technology*, 249(5), 1287–1301. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-023-04213-0
- Chandra, R. P., Arantes, V., & Saddler, J. (2015). Steam pretreatment of agricultural residues facilitates hemicellulose recovery while enhancing enzyme accessibility to cellulose. *Bioresource Technology*, 185, 302–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2015.02.106
- Chen, L., Chen, R., & Fu, S. (2015). Fecl3 pretreatment of three lignocellulosic biomass for ethanol production. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 3(8), 1794–1800. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b00377
- Chiyanzu, I., Brienzo, M., García-Aparicio, M., Agudelo, R., & Görgens, J. (2015). Spent coffee ground mass solubilisation by steam explosion and enzymatic hydrolysis. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 90(3), 449–458. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jctb.4313
- Chiyanzu, I., Brienzo, M., Garcia-Aparicio, M. P., & Görgens, J. F. (2014). Application of endo-beta-1,4,d mannanase and cellulase for the release of mannooligosaccharides from steam-pretreated spent coffee ground. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 172(7), 3538–3557. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-014-0770-0
- Contreras, F., Pramanik, S., M. Rozhkova, A., N. Zorov, I., Korotkova, O., P. Sinitsyn, A., Schwaneberg, U., & Davari M, D. (2020). Engineering robust cellulases for tailored lignocellulosic degradation cocktails. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21 (5), 1589. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21051589
- Daffe, M., Brennan, P. J., & McNeil, M. (1990). Predominant structural features of the cell wall arabinogalactan of Mycobacterium tuberculosis as revealed through characterization of oligoglycosyl alditol fragments by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry and by 1H and 13C NMR analyses. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 265 (12), 6734–6743. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(19)39211-7
- Davis, C. (2014). Enumeration of probiotic strains: Review of culture-dependent and alternative techniques to quantify viable bacteria. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 103, 9–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2014.04.012
- de Cosio-Barrón, A. C. G., Hernández-Arriaga, A. M., & Campos-Vega, R. (2020). Spent coffee (Coffea arabica L.) grounds positively modulate indicators of colonic microbial activity. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies, 60*, Article 102286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2019.102286
- Destandau, E., & Michel, T. (2022). Microwave-assisted extraction. In J. Prado, & M. Rostagno (Eds.), Natural product extraction: Principles and applications (pp. 144–201). The Royal Society of Chemistry. https://doi.org/10.1039/ 9781839165894-00144.
- Dhawan, S., & Kaur, J. (2007). Microbial mannanases: An overview of production and applications. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 27(4), 197–216. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/07388550701775919

- Du, Z., Li, J., Li, W., Fu, H., Ding, J., Ren, G., Zhou, L., Pi, X., & Ye, X. (2023). Effects of prebiotics on the gut microbiota *in vitro* associated with functional diarrhea in children. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1233840
- Dupont, D., Alric, M., Blanquet-Diot, S., Bornhorst, G., Cueva, C., Deglaire, A., Denis, S., Ferrua, M., Havenaar, R., Lelieveld, J., Mackie, A. R., Marzorati, M., Menard, O., Minekus, M., Miralles, B., Recio, I., & Van den Abbeele, P. (2019). Can dynamic in vitro digestion systems mimic the physiological reality? *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 59(10), 1546–1562. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10408398.2017.1421900
- Dutra, E. D., Santos, F. A., Alencar, B. R. A., Reis, A. L. S., de Souza, R.d. F. R., Aquino, K. A.d. S., Morais Jr, M. A., & Menezes, R. S. C. (2018). Alkaline hydrogen peroxide pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass: Status and perspectives. *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 8(1), 225–234. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-017-0277-3
- European Food Safety Authority. (2015). Outcome of a public consultation on the discussion paper for the revision of the guidance on the scientific requirements for health claims related to gut and immune function. *EFSA Supporting Publications*, 12 (2), 758E. https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2015.EN-758
- Fabrizio, S., Francesco, M., Angela, D. V., Paola, V., Gianluigi, M., Diamante, M., Antonio, D. T., & Esteban, E. J. (2021). Acid hydrolysis of spent coffee grounds: Effects on possible prebiotic activity of oligosaccharides. *Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture*, 8(1), Article 67. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-021-00262-3
- Franco-Duarte, R., Černáková, L., Kadam, S., Kaushik, K. S., Salehi, B., Bevilacqua, A., Corbo, M. R., Antolak, H., Dybka-Stępień, K., Leszczewicz, M., Relison Tintino, S., Alexandrino de Souza, V. C., Sharifi-Rad, J., Coutinho, H. D. M., Martins, N., & Rodrigues, C. F. (2019). Advances in chemical and biological methods to identify microorganisms-from past to present. *Microorganisms*, 7(5). https://doi.org/ 10.3390/microorganisms7050130
- Getachew, A. T., Cho, Y. J., & Chun, B. S. (2018). Effect of pretreatments on isolation of bioactive polysaccharides from spent coffee grounds using subcritical water. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 109, 711–719. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.12.120
- Getachew, A. T., & Chun, B. S. (2017). Influence of pretreatment and modifiers on subcritical water liquefaction of spent coffee grounds: A green waste valorization approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, 3719–3727. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2016.10.096
- Gibson, G. R., & Fuller, R. (2000). Aspects of *in vitro* and *in vivo* research approaches directed toward identifying probiotics and prebiotics for human use. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 130(2), 391S–395S. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/130.2.391S
- Gibson, G. R., Hutkins, R., Sanders, M. E., Prescott, S. L., Reimer, R. A., Salminen, S. J., Scott, K., Stanton, C., Swanson, K. S., Cani, P. D., Verbeke, K., & Reid, G. (2017). Expert consensus document: The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the definition and scope of prebiotics. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, 14. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrgastro.2017.75
- Gorin, P. A. J., Spencer, J. F. T., & Magus, R. J. (1969). Comparison of proton magnetic resonance spectra of cell-wall mannans and galactomannans of selected yeasts with their chemical structures. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*, 47(19), 3569–3576. https://doi.org/10.1139/v69-590
- Gu, J., Pei, W., Tang, S., Yan, F., Peng, Z., Huang, C., Yang, J., & Yong, Q. (2020). Procuring biologically active galactomannans from spent coffee ground (SCG) by autohydrolysis and enzymatic hydrolysis. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 149, 572–580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.01.281
- Han, S. H., Ahn, Y., Lee, H. J., Suh, H. J., & Jo, K. (2021). Antioxidant and immunostimulatory activities of a submerged culture of cordyceps sinensis using spent coffee. *Foods*, 10(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10081697. Article 1697. Hlalukana, N., Magengelele, M., Malgas, S., & Pletschke, B. I. (2021). Enzymatic
- Hlalukana, N., Magengelele, M., Malgas, S., & Pletschke, B. I. (2021). Enzymatic conversion of mannan-rich plant waste biomass into prebiotic mannooligosaccharides. *Foods*, 10(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10092010. Article 2010.
- Hoshino-Takao, I., Fujii, S., Ishii, A., Han, L.-K., Okuda, H., & Kumao, T. (2008). Effects of mannooligosaccharides from coffee mannan on blood pressure in dahl saltsensitive rats. *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology*, 54(2), 181–184. https://doi.org/10.3177/jnsv.54.181
- Hou, K., Wu, Z.-X., Chen, X.-Y., Wang, J.-Q., Zhang, D., Xiao, C., Zhu, D., Koya, J. B., Wei, L., Li, J., & Chen, Z.-S. (2022). Microbiota in health and diseases. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy, 7(1), 135. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-022-00974-4
- Hutkins, R., Walter, J., Gibson, G. R., Bedu-Ferrari, C., Scott, K., Tancredi, D. J., Wijeyesekera, A., & Sanders, M. E. (2024). Classifying compounds as prebiotics scientific perspectives and recommendations. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-024-00981-6
- Ibrahim, S., Bankeeree, W., Prasongsuk, S., Punnapayak, H., & Lotrakul, P. (2022). Production and characterization of thermostable acidophilic beta-mannanase from Aureobasidium pullulans NRRL 58524 and its potential in mannooligosaccharide production from spent coffee ground galactomanna. *3 Biotech*, *12*(9), Article 237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-022-03301-4, 237.
- Isenring, J., Bircher, L., Geirnaert, A., & Lacroix, C. (2023). In vitro human gut microbiota fermentation models: Opportunities, challenges, and pitfalls. Microbiome Research Reports, 2(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.20517/mrr.2022.15
- Jackson, P. P. J., Wijeyesekera, A., Williams, C. M., Theis, S., van Harsselaar, J., & Rastall, R. A. (2023). Inulin-type fructans and 2'fucosyllactose alter both microbial composition and appear to alleviate stress-induced mood state in a working population compared to placebo (maltodextrin): The EFFICAD trial, a randomized,

controlled trial. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 118(5), 938–955. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajcnut.2023.08.016

- Jana, U. K., Suryawanshi, R. K., Prajapati, B. P., & Kango, N. (2021). Prebiotic mannooligosaccharides: Synthesis, characterization and bioactive properties. *Food Chemistry*, 342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128328
- Ji, H., Hu, J., Zuo, S., Zhang, S., Li, M., & Nie, S. (2022). In vitro gastrointestinal digestion and fermentation models and their applications in food carbohydrates. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 62(19), 5349–5371. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10408398.2021.1884841
- Jiménez-Zamora, A., Pastoriza, S., & Rufián-Henares, J. A. (2015). Revalorization of coffee by-products. Prebiotic, antimicrobial and antioxidant properties. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 61(1), 12–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2014.11.031
- Jin Cho, E., Gyo Lee, Y., Song, Y., Nguyen, D.-T., & Bae, H.-J. (2022). An integrated process for conversion of spent coffee grounds into value-added materials. *Bioresource Technology*, 346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126618
- Jin, L. S., Salimi, M. N., & Kamal, S. Z. (2020). Optimization of pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis of spent coffee ground for the production of fermentable sugar. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 743(1). https://doi.org/ 10.1088/1757-899X/743/1/012030
- Johnson, K., Liu, Y., & Lu, M. (2022). A review of recent advances in spent coffee grounds upcycle technologies and practices. *Frontiers in Chemical Engineering*, 4. https://doi. org/10.3389/fceng.2022.83860
- Kumar Awasthi, M., Ayon, T., Kumar Gaur, V., Amulya, K., Vivek, N., Kumar Yadav, D., Raveendran, S., Parameswaran, B., Taru, N., Ashok, P., Zengqiang, Z., & Ranjna, S. (2022). Emerging trends of microbial technology for the production of oligosaccharides from biowaste and their potential application as prebiotic. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2022.109610, 109610-109610.
- Kumar, J., Himanshu, H., Kaur, A., & Mathur, A. (2022). Strategies to enhance enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass for biorefinery applications: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127517. Article 127517.
- Kumar, A. K., & Sharma, S. (2017). Recent updates on different methods of pretreatment of lignocellulosic feedstocks: A review. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing*, 4(1), 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-017-0137-9
- Lee, K. H., Jang, Y. W., Lee, J., Kim, S., Park, C., & Yoo, H. Y. (2021). Statistical optimization of alkali pretreatment to improve sugars recovery from spent coffee grounds and utilization in lactic acid fermentation. *Processes*, 9(3). https://doi.org/ 10.3390/pr9030494. Article 494.
- Li, F., Wang, H., Xin, H., Cai, J., Fu, Q., & Jin, Y. (2016). Development, validation and application of a hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography-evaporative light scattering detection based method for process control of hydrolysis of xylans obtained from different agricultural wastes. *Food Chemistry*, 212, 155–161. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.05.118
- Li, Z., Zhang, C. T., Zhang, Y., Zeng, W., & Cesarino, I. (2021). Coffee cell wallscomposition, influence on cup quality and opportunities for coffee improvements. *Food Quality and Safety*, 5. https://doi.org/10.1093/fqsafe/fyab012. Article fyab012.
- López-Barrera, D. M., Vázquez-Sánchez, K., Loarca-Piña, M. G. F., & Campos-Vega, R. (2016). Spent coffee grounds, an innovative source of colonic fermentable compounds, inhibit inflammatory mediators in vitro. Food Chemistry, 212, 282–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.05.175
- Lorenci Woiciechowski, A., Dalmas Neto, C. J., Porto de Souza Vandenberghe, L., de Carvalho Neto, D. P., Novak Sydney, A. C., Letti, L. A. J., Karp, S. G., Zevallos Torres, L. A., & Soccol, C. R. (2020). Lignocellulosic biomass: Acid and alkaline pretreatments and their effects on biomass recalcitrance – conventional processing and recent advances. *Bioresource Technology*, 304, Article 122848. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.biortech.2020.122848
- Machado, M., Ferreira, H., Oliveira, M. B. P. P., & Alves, R. C. (2023). Coffee by-products: An underexplored source of prebiotic ingredients. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2023.2181761
- Magengelele, M., Malgas, S., & Pletschke, B. I. (2023). Bioconversion of spent coffee grounds to prebiotic mannooligosaccharides - an example of biocatalysis in biorefinery. RSC Advances, 13(6), 3773–3780. https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra07605e
- Malgas, S., van Dyk, J. S., & Pletschke, B. I. (2015). A review of the enzymatic hydrolysis of mannans and synergistic interactions between β-mannanase, β-mannosidase and α-galactosidase. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 31(8), 1167–1175. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-015-1878-2
- Mayanga-Torres, P. C., Lachos-Perez, D., Rezende, C. A., Prado, J. M., Ma, Z., Tompsett, G. T., Timko, M. T., & Forster-Carneiro, T. (2017). Valorization of coffee industry residues by subcritical water hydrolysis: Recovery of sugars and phenolic compounds. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 120, 75–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.supflu.2016.10.015
- Mitmesser, S., & Combs, M. (2017). Chapter 23 prebiotics: Inulin and other oligosaccharides. In M. H. Floch, Y. Ringel, & W. Allan Walker (Eds.), *The microbiota in gastrointestinal pathophysiology* (pp. 201–208). Academic Press. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/B978-0-12-804024-9.00023-9.
- Montemurro, M., Casertano, M., Vilas-Franquesa, A., Rizzello, C. G., & Fogliano, V. (2024). Exploitation of spent coffee ground (SCG) as a source of functional compounds and growth substrate for probiotic lactic acid bacteria. *LWT*, 198, Article 115974. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2024.115974
- Moon, J. S., Li, L., Bang, J., & Han, N. S. (2016). Application of *in vitro* gut fermentation models to food components: A review. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 25(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-016-0091-x
- Moreira, A. S. P., Coimbra, M. A., Nunes, F. M., Simões, J., & Domingues, M. R. M. (2011). Evaluation of the effect of roasting on the structure of coffee galactomannans

M. Huang et al.

using model oligosaccharides. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 59(18), 10078–10087. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf2021072

- Moreira, A. S. P., Nunes, F. M., Domingues, M. R. M., & Coimbra, M. A. (2015). Chapter 19 - galactomannans in coffee. In V. R. Preedy (Ed.), *Coffee in health and disease* prevention (pp. 173–182). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409517-5.00019-X.
- Murthy, P. S., & Naidu, M. M. (2012). Recovery of phenolic antioxidants and functional compounds from coffee industry by-products. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 5(3), 897–903. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-010-0363-z
- Oosterveld, A., Voragen, A. G. J., & Schols, H. A. (2003). Effect of roasting on the carbohydrate composition of Coffea arabica beans. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 54(2), 183–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0144-8617(03)00164-4
- Panzella, L., Perez-Burillo, S., Pastoriza, S., Martin, M. A., Cerruti, P., Goya, L., Ramos, S., Rufian-Henares, J. A., Napolitano, A., & d'Ischia, M. (2017). High antioxidant action and prebiotic activity of hydrolyzed spent coffee grounds (hSCG) in a simulated digestion-fermentation model: Toward the development of a novel food supplement. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 65(31), 6452–6459. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02302
- Passos, C. P., Rudnitskaya, A., Neves, J. M. M. G. C., Lopes, G. R., Evtuguin, D. V., & Coimbra, M. A. (2019). Structural features of spent coffee grounds water-soluble polysaccharides: Towards tailor-made microwave assisted extractions. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 214, 53–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.02.094
- Pedras, B. M., Nascimento, M., Sá-Nogueira, I., Simões, P., Paiva, A., & Barreiros, S. (2019). Semi-continuous extraction/hydrolysis of spent coffee grounds with subcritical water. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 72, 453–456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2019.01.001
- Perez-Burillo, S., Pastoriza, S., Fernandez-Arteaga, A., Luzon, G., Jimenez-Hernandez, N., D'Auria, G., Francino, M. P., & Rufian-Henares, J. A. (2019). Spent coffee grounds extract, rich in mannooligosaccharides, promotes a healthier gut microbial community in a dose-dependent manner. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 67(9), 2500–2509. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b06604
- Pham, V. T., & Mohajeri, M. H. (2018). The application of *in vitro* human intestinal models on the screening and development of pre- and probiotics. *Beneficial Microbes*, 9(5), 725–742. https://doi.org/10.3920/bm2017.0164
- Picariello, G., Miralles, B., Mamone, G., Sánchez-Rivera, L., Recio, I., Addeo, F., & Ferranti, P. (2015). Role of intestinal brush border peptidases in the simulated digestion of milk proteins. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 59(5), 948–956. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201400856
- Puengsawad, P., Piyapittayanun, C., Sawangwan, T., & Chantorn, S. (2021). Characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* GA2(1) mannanase expressed in *Escherichia coli* Rosetta (DE3) for enzymatic production of manno-oligosaccharides from spent coffee grounds and *in vitro* assessment of their prebiotic properties. *Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 55(3), 319–330. https://doi.org/10.34044/j.anres.2021.55.3.01
- Puig-Castellví, F., Pacheco-Tapia, R., Deslande, M., Jia, M., Andrikopoulos, P., Chechi, K., Bonnefond, A., Froguel, P., & Dumas, M.-E. (2023). Advances in the integration of metabolomics and metagenomics for human gut microbiome and their clinical applications. *TrAC, Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 167. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.trac.2023.117248
- Quynh Anh, N., Cho, E. J., Lee, D.-S., & Bae, H.-J. (2019). Development of an advanced integrative process to create valuable biosugars including manno-oligosaccharides and mannose from spent coffee grounds. *Bioresource Technology*, 272, 209–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.10.018
- Ramos-Andrés, M., Andrés-Iglesias, C., & García-Serna, J. (2019). Production of molecular weight fractionated hemicelluloses hydrolyzates from spent coffee grounds combining hydrothermal extraction and a multistep ultrafiltration/ diafiltration. *Bioresource Technology*, 292, Article 121940. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.biortech.2019.121940
- Rastall, R. A. (2010). Functional oligosaccharides: Application and manufacture. Annual Review of Food Science and Technology, 1, 305–339. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.food.080708.100746
- Ravindran, R., Jaiswal, S., Abu-Ghannam, N., & Jaiswal, A. K. (2017). Two-step sequential pretreatment for the enhanced enzymatic hydrolysis of coffee spent waste. *Bioresource Technology*, 239, 276–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2017.05.049
- Redgwell, R. J., Trovato, V., Curti, D., & Fischer, M. (2002). Effect of roasting on degradation and structural features of polysaccharides in Arabica coffee beans. *Carbohydrate Research*, 337(5), 421–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6215(02) 00010-1
- Roberfroid, M., Gibson, G. R., Hoyles, L., McCartney, A. L., Rastall, R., Rowland, I., Wolvers, D., Watzl, B., Szajewska, H., Stahl, B., Guarner, F., Respondek, F., Whelan, K., Coxam, V., Davicco, M.-J., Léotoing, L., Wittrant, Y., Delzenne, N. M., Cani, P. D., ... Meheust, A. (2010). Prebiotic effects: Metabolic and health benefits. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 104(S2), S1–S63. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0007114510003363
- Rodríguez-Gómez, R., Jiménez-Díaz, I., Zafra-Gómez, A., & Morales, J. C. (2015). Improved sample treatment for the determination of fructooligosaccharides in milk related products by liquid chromatography with electrochemical and refractive index detection. *Talanta*, 144, 883–889. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. talanta.2015.07.042
- Salem, K. S., Kasera, N. K., Rahman, M. A., Jameel, H., Habibi, Y., Eichhorn, S. J., French, A. D., Pal, L., & Lucia, L. A. (2023). Comparison and assessment of methods for cellulose crystallinity determination. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 52(18), 6417–6446. https://doi.org/10.1039/D2CS00569G
- Salinardi, T. C., Rubin, K. H., Black, R. M., & St-Onge, M. P. (2010). Coffee mannooligosaccharides, consumed as part of a free-living, weight-maintaining diet,

increase the proportional reduction in body volume in overweight men. *The Journal of Nutrition*, *140*(11), 1943–1948. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.110.128207

- Sarbini, S., & Rastall, R. (2011). Prebiotics: Metabolism, structure, and function. Functional Food Reviews, 3, 93–106. https://doi.org/10.2310/6180.2011.00004
- Spacova, I., Dodiya, H. B., Happel, A. U., Strain, C., Vandenheuvel, D., Wang, X., & Reid, G. (2020). Future of probiotics and prebiotics and the implications for early career researchers. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *11*, 1400. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2020.01400
- Sparr Eskilsson, C., & Björklund, E. (2000). Analytical-scale microwave-assisted extraction. Journal of Chromatography A, 902(1), 227–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0021-9673(00)00921-3
- Takao, I., Fujii, S., Ishii, A., Han, L.-K., Kumao, T., Ozaki, K., & Asakawa, A. (2006). Effects of mannooligosaccharides from coffee mannan on fat storage in mice fed a high fat diet. *Journal of Health Science*, 52(3), 333–337. https://doi.org/10.1248/ jhs.52.333
- Tandon, D., Haque, M. M., Gote, M., Jain, M., Bhaduri, A., Dubey, A. K., & Mande, S. S. (2019). A prospective randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-response relationship study to investigate efficacy of fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS) on human gut microflora. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 5473. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41837-3
- Tian, T., Freeman, S., Corey, M., German, J. B., & Barile, D. (2017). Chemical characterization of potentially prebiotic oligosaccharides in brewed coffee and spent coffee grounds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 65(13), 2784–2792. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b04716
- Titiri, E., Filippi, K., Giannakis, N., Vlysidis, A., Koutinas, A., & Stylianou, E. (2023). Optimisation of alkaline pretreatment of spent coffee grounds for microbial oil production by Cryptococcus curvatus. *Biochemical Engineering Journal, 193*, Article 108841. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2023.108841
- Toor, S. S., Rosendahl, L., & Rudolf, A. (2011). Hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass: A review of subcritical water technologies. *Energy*, 36(5), 2328–2342. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.energy.2011.03.013
- Vakalis, S., Moustakas, K., Benedetti, V., Cordioli, E., Patuzzi, F., Loizidou, M., & Baratieri, M. (2019). The "COFFEE BIN" concept: Centralized collection and torrefaction of spent coffee grounds. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26 (35), 35473–35481. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04919-3
- Vandeponseele, A., Draye, M., Piot, C., & Chatel, G. (2020). Subcritical water and supercritical carbon dioxide: Efficient and selective eco-compatible solvents for coffee and coffee by-products valorization. *Green Chemistry*, 22(24), 8544–8571. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0gc03146a
- Verbeke, K. A., Boobis, A. R., Chiodini, A., Edwards, C. A., Franck, A., Kleerebezem, M., Nauta, A., Raes, J., van Tol, E. A., & Tuohy, K. M. (2015). Towards microbial fermentation metabolites as markers for health benefits of prebiotics. *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 28(1), 42–66. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954422415000037
- Wahlström, R. M., & Suurnäkki, A. (2015). Enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic polysaccharides in the presence of ionic liquids. *Green Chemistry*, 17(2), 694–714. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4GC01649A
- Walton, G. E., van den Heuvel, E. G. H. M., Kosters, M. H. W., Rastall, R. A., Tuohy, K. M., & Gibson, G. R. (2012). A randomised crossover study investigating the effects of galacto-oligosaccharides on the faecal microbiota in men and women over 50 years of age. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 107(10), 1466–1475. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0007114511004697
- Wang, R., Mohammadi, M., Mahboubi, A., & Taherzadeh, M. J. (2021). In-vitro digestion models: A critical review for human and fish and a protocol for in-vitro digestion in fish. *Bioengineered*, 12(1), 3040–3064. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 21655979.2021.1940769
- Wilson, B., Rossi, M., Dimidi, E., & Whelan, K. (2019). Prebiotics in irritable bowel syndrome and other functional bowel disorders in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 109(4), 1098–1111. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy376
- Wongsiridetchai, C., Chiangkham, W., Khlaihiran, N., Sawangwan, T., Wongwathanarat, P., Charoenrat, T., & Chantorn, S. (2018). Alkaline pretreatment of spent coffee grounds for oligosaccharides production by mannanase from Bacillus sp. GA2(1). Agriculture and Natural Resources, 52(3), 222–227. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/i.anres.2018.09.012
- Wongsiridetchai, C., Jonjaroen, V., Sawangwan, T., Charoenrat, T., & Chantorn, S. (2021). Evaluation of prebiotic mannooligosaccharides obtained from spent coffee grounds for nutraceutical application. *Lwt-Food Science and Technology*, 148, Article 111717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.111717
- Yang, S., Wu, C., Yan, Q., Li, X., & Jiang, Z. (2023). Nondigestible functional oligosaccharides: Enzymatic production and food applications for intestinal health. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, 14, 297–322. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurey-food-052720-114503
- Yuan, Y., Jiang, B., Chen, H., Wu, W., Wu, S., Jin, Y., & Xiao, H. (2021). Recent advances in understanding the effects of lignin structural characteristics on enzymatic hydrolysis. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 14(1), 205. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-021-02054-1
- Zabaniotou, A., & Kamaterou, P. (2019). Food waste valorization advocating Circular Bioeconomy - a critical review of potentialities and perspectives of spent coffee grounds biorefinery. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 211, 1553–1566. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.230
- Zhang, H., Han, L., & Dong, H. (2021). An insight to pretreatment, enzyme adsorption and enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass: Experimental and modeling studies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 140, Article 110758. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.110758
- Zhao, Y., Yan, Y., Jiang, Y., Cao, Y., Wang, Z., Li, J., Yan, C., Wang, D., Yuan, L., & Zhao, G. (2024). Release pattern of light aromatic hydrocarbons during the biomass

M. Huang et al.

Food Bioscience 69 (2025) 106936

roasting Process. *Molecules*, 29(6), 1188. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29061188

Zhou, Y., Remón, J., Pang, X., Jiang, Z., Liu, H., & Ding, W. (2023). Hydrothermal conversion of biomass to fuels, chemicals and materials: A review holistically connecting product properties and marketable applications. *Science of the Total Environment, 886*, Article 163920. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.163920 Ziegler-Devin, I., Chrusciel, L., & Brosse, N. (2021). Steam explosion pretreatment of

Ziegler-Devin, I., Chrusciel, L., & Brosse, N. (2021). Steam explosion pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass: A mini-review of theorical and experimental approaches. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2021.705358