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Abstract — Quadrature Phase Shift Keying (QPSK) and Dual Carrier Modulation (DCM) are currently used as the modulation schemes for Multiband Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (MB-OFDM) in the ECMA-368 defined Ultra-Wideband (UWB) radio platform. ECMA-368 has been chosen as the physical radio platform for many systems including Wireless USB (W-USB), Bluetooth 3.0 and Wireless HDMI; hence ECMA-368 is an important issue to consumer electronics and the user’s experience of these products.

To enable the transport of high-rate USB, ECMA-368 offers up to 480 Mb/s instantaneous bit rate to the Medium Access Control (MAC) layer, but depending on radio channel conditions dropped packets unfortunately result in a lower throughput. This paper presents an alternative high data rate modulation scheme that fits within the configuration of the current standard increasing system throughput by achieving 600 Mb/s (reliable to 3.1 meters) thus maintaining the high rate USB throughput even with a moderate level of dropped packets. The modulation system is termed Dual Circular 32-QAM (DC 32-QAM). The system performance for DC 32-QAM modulation is presented and compared with 16-QAM and DCM.

Index Terms — MB-OFDM, Frequency Diversity, DCM, Dual Circular 32-QAM.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultra-Wideband (UWB) technology was historically employed in military radar systems. Recently UWB systems were proposed to standardize wide bandwidth wireless communication systems, particularly for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPAN). The fundamental issue of UWB is that the transmitted signal can be spread over an extremely large bandwidth with a very low Power Spectral Density (PSD). In 2002, the USA Federal Communications Commission (FCC) agreed to allocate 7500 MHz spectrum in the 3.1-10.6 GHz band for unlicensed use for UWB devices [1] and limited the UWB Effective Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP) to -41.3 dBm/MHz [2].

In 2005 the WiMedia Alliance [3] working with the European Computer Manufacturers Association (ECMA) announced the establishment of the WiMedia MB-OFDM (Multiband Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing) UWB radio platform as their global UWB standard, ECMA-368. ECMA-368 was also chosen as physical layer (PHY) of high data rate wireless specifications for high-speed Wireless USB (W-USB) [4], Bluetooth 3.0 [5] and Wireless High-Definition Media Interface (HDMI) [6]. Recently ECMA-368 has published a second updated version [7].

Quadrature Phase Shift Keying (QPSK) and Dual Carrier Modulation (DCM) are exploited as modulation schemes for MB-OFDM in ECMA-368. QPSK constellation is used for data rates 200 Mb/s and lower while DCM is used as a multi-dimensional constellation for data rates 320 Mb/s and higher.

ECMA-368 offers up to 480 Mb/s instantaneous bit rates to enable the transport of high-rate USB. However the maximum data rate of 480 Mb/s in a practical environment can not be achieved due to poor radio channel conditions causing dropped packets, resulting in a lower throughput and the need to retransmit the dropped packets. To increase the bit rate and allow for effective 480 Mb/s performance even with moderate packet loss in a practical system, 16-QAM can be employed as an alternative modulation scheme instead of DCM to increase the system throughput. However the system using 16-QAM has no successful multipath propagation link for transmitting at 960 Mb/s or only achieves 1.2 meters at 640 Mb/s comparing to the DCM 480 Mb/s mode and 320 Mb/s mode respectively (Appendix A). In this paper, a low cost and high performance modulation scheme termed Dual Circular 32-QAM (DC 32-QAM) is proposed, implemented and tested, which increases the ECMA-368 system throughput to 600 Mb/s (comparing to the DCM 480 Mb/s mode) with a successful link of 3.1 meters using existing ECMA-368 assumptions.

Chapter II presents the MB-OFDM background. Chapter III introduces DCM and 16-QAM. Chapter IV discusses the DC 32-QAM. Chapter V discusses a consequential bit interleaver for the DC 32-QAM. Chapter VI discusses the performance measurements and comparisons while chapter VII presents the conclusions.

II. MB-OFDM IN ECMA-368

ECMA-368 specifies a MB-OFDM system occupying 14 bands with a bandwidth of 528 MHz for each band. The first 12 bands are grouped into 4 band groups (BG1-BG4), and the last two bands are grouped into a fifth band group (BG5), while BG6 contains bands 9, 10 and 11 allocated within the spectrum of BG3 and BG4, in agreement to usage within worldwide spectrum regulations. The advantage of the grouping is that the transmitter and receiver can process a smaller bandwidth signal while taking advantages from frequency hopping.

1 This work was supported in part by The University of Reading Overseas Research Postgraduate Studentships. Runfeng Yang is with the Signal Processing Laboratory (SPL), the University of Reading, RG6 6AY, UK (e-mail: r.yang@reading.ac.uk).
R. Simon Sherratt is with the Signal Processing Laboratory (SPL), the University of Reading, RG6 6AY, UK (e-mail: r.s.sherratt@reading.ac.uk).
The OFDM symbol is the basic quanta of MB-OFDM based UWB radio. Each OFDM symbol is constructed from an Inverse Fast Fourier Transform (IFFT) of a set of 128 complex valued carriers made from 100 data subcarriers, 12 pilot subcarriers, 6 NULL valued subcarriers and 10 guard subcarriers. The 10 guard subcarriers used for mitigating Inter Symbol Interference (ISI) are located on either edge of the OFDM symbol and have same value as the 5 outermost data subcarriers. In addition, the guard carriers can be used as another form of time and frequency diversity resulting in improving receiver performance [8]. Each OFDM symbol with duration of 242.42ns is appended with a 70.08ns Zero-Padded Suffix (ZPS) to aid multipath interference mitigation and settling times of the transmitter and receiver.

To operate the Physical layer (PHY) service interface to the Medium Access Control (MAC) service, a Physical Layer Convergence Protocol (PLCP) sublayer is defined to provide a method for converting a PSDU (PHY Service Data Unit) into a PPDU (PLCP Packet Data Unit) composed from three components (shown in Fig. 1): the PLCP preamble (containing the Packet/Frame Synchronization and the Channel Estimation sequence), the PLCP header, and the PSDU. To transmit a PSDU that contains the information bits, ECMA-368 has eight transmission modes by applying various levels of coding and diversity to offer 53.3, 80, 106.7, 160, 200, 320, 400 or 480 Mb/s to the MAC layer. After bit interleaving, the coded and interleaved binary data sequence is mapped onto a QPSK or DCM complex constellation. The resulting complex numbers are loaded onto the data subcarriers of the OFDM symbol implemented using an IFFT resulting complex numbers are loaded onto the data subcarriers. In addition, the guard carriers can be used as another form of time and frequency diversity resulting in improving receiver performance [8]. Each OFDM symbol with duration of 242.42ns is appended with a 70.08ns Zero-Padded Suffix (ZPS) to aid multipath interference mitigation and settling times of the transmitter and receiver.

To demap the DCM symbols at the receiver, the received and equalized symbols can be demapped by using Maximum Likely (ML) soft bit or Log-Likelihood Ratio (LLR) demapping methods with the aid of Channel State Information (CSI) as further decoding enhancement technique. The achievable system performance for 8% Packet Error Ratio (PER) [10] is approximately 3.9 meters at 480 Mb/s by using both of the aforementioned methods [11] with an implementation loss included of 2.5dB. However the soft bit demapping method offers lower computation complexity and extremely reduces hardware implementation cost [12].

III. DCM AND 16-QAM

A. DCM

DCM was introduced to the MB-OFDM proposal by Batra and Balakrishnan [9] as one of the enhancement changes to the MBOA standard in order to create the current WiMedia Alliance standard. The DCM is used as a four-dimensional constellation for data rates 320, 400 or 480 Mb/s.

1200 interleaved and coded bits from the bit interleaver are divided into groups of 200 bits, and then these 200 bits are further grouped into 50 groups of 4 reordering bits with the four bits being mapped onto two QPSK symbols. Then the DCM mapper uses a DCM mixing matrix to execute mapping of the two QPSK symbols into two DCM symbols. The resulting DCM symbols are formed into two 16-point constellations [7] and then mapped onto two individual OFDM data subcarriers with 50 OFDM data subcarriers separation, giving at least 200 MHz bandwidth separation. The probability that both subcarriers separated by this large bandwidth separation will experience channel deep fades is extremely small. Fig. 4 depicts the DCM mapping process.

B. 16-QAM

Rectangular Gray coded 16-QAM can be proposed as a modulation scheme to increase the system throughput. In the DCM approach, four bits from a group of 200 coded and interleaved bits are modulated into two different symbols (a complex number) which are mapped onto two different OFDM data subcarriers. If the proposed 16-QAM is employed instead of DCM, the four coded and interleaved bits modulated onto one symbol can be mapped onto one data subcarrier in an OFDM symbol. Consequently, 400 coded bits are required to map onto 100 OFDM data subcarriers. As a result, 16-QAM can increase the system throughput from 640 Mb/s to 960 Mb/s comparing to DCM 320 Mb/s to 480 Mb/s mode (Appendix A). However there is no successful link under multipath interference (Forester’s Channel Model 1 [13]) transmitting at 960 Mb/s or the system has poor performance only achieving 1.2 meters at 640 Mb/s in multipath environments.


IV. DUAL CIRCULAR 32-QAM

A DC 32-QAM modulator is proposed as an alternative modulation scheme that fits into the existing ECMA-368 standard structure with the objective to map more information bits onto an OFDM symbol, while at the same time providing enough Euclidean symbol distance to maintain successful transmission in multipath environments at the higher data rates. 250 interleaved and coded bits are mapped by the DC 32-QAM onto 100 data subcarriers in an OFDM symbol, which results in increasing the system throughput to 600 Mb/s compared to the DCM 480 Mb/s mode (Appendix A).

A. Frequency Diversity

The information on a single OFDM subcarrier is unreliable if the channel has deep frequency selective fading. The information mapped onto two neighboring OFDM subcarrier will still experience channel deep fades. It is known that the DCM has experienced a good performance gain by exploiting frequency diversity [11]. Hence the DC 32-QAM will use frequency diversity with large bandwidth separation.

B. Dual Circular 32-QAM constellation mapping

After bit interleaving, 1500 coded and interleaved bits are required to divide into groups of 250 bits and then further grouped into 50 groups of 5 reordering bits. Each group of 5 bits is represented as \( b_{g(k)}, b_{g(k)+50}, b_{g(k)+51}, b_{g(k)+100}, b_{g(k)+101} \), where \( k \in [0…49] \) and

\[
g(k) = \begin{cases} 
2k & k \in [0…24] \\
2k + 50 & k \in [25…49] 
\end{cases}
\]  

(1)

Four bits \( b_{g(k)+50}, b_{g(k)+51}, b_{g(k)+100}, b_{g(k)+101} \) are mapped across two QPSK symbols \( x_{g(k)+jx_{g(k)+50}}, x_{g(k)+1+jx_{g(k)+51}} \) as in (2).

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{g(k)+jx_{g(k)+50}} &= \left( 2b_{g(k)+50} - 1 \right) + j \left( 2b_{g(k)+100} - 1 \right) \\
x_{g(k)+1+jx_{g(k)+51}} &= \left( 2b_{g(k)+51} - 1 \right) + j \left( 2b_{g(k)+101} - 1 \right)
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

These two QPSK symbols are then mapped into two DC 32-QAM symbols \( y_{T(k)}, y_{T(k)+50} \) depending on the value of the first bit \( b_{g(k)} \), as in (3)-(5), where \( K_{MOD} = 1/\sqrt{6.175625} \) as the normalization factor. The resulting symbols are formed into DC 32-QAM constellations as illustrated in Fig. 5. The constellation points are positioned in a circular loci to offer constant power for each DC 32-QAM symbol.

\[
\begin{align*}
y_{T(k)} &= Ky_{T(k)} \\
y_{T(k)+50} &= Ky_{T(k)+50} \\
M &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_{g(k)+jx_{g(k)+50}} \\
\alpha x_{g(k)+1+jx_{g(k)+51}} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where

\[
\alpha = \begin{cases} 
1 & b_{g(k)} = 0 \\
2.275 & b_{g(k)} = 1
\end{cases}
\]

(4)

\[
\beta = \begin{cases} 
1 & b_{g(k)} = 0 \\
2.275 & b_{g(k)} = 1
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

Then the two resulting DC 32-QAM symbols \( y_{T(k)}, y_{T(k)+50} \) are allocated into two individual OFDM data subcarriers with 50 subcarriers separation to achieve frequency diversity. An OFDM symbol is formed from the 128pt IFFT block requiring 100 DC 32-QAM symbols. Each OFDM subcarrier occupies a bandwidth of about 4 MHz being the same as ECMA-368, therefore the bandwidth between the two individual OFDM data subcarriers related to the two complex numbers \( (I_{T(k)}, Q_{T(k)}) \) and \( (I_{T(k)+50}, Q_{T(k)+50}) \) is at least 200 MHz, which offers a frequency diversity gain against channel deep fading. This will also benefit for recovering the five information bits mapped across the two DC 32-QAM symbols. Fig. 6 depicts the DC 32-QAM mapping process.
C. Dual Circular 32-QAM demapping

The proposed DC 32-QAM utilizes soft bit demapping to demap two equalized complex numbers previously transmitted on different data subcarriers, as in (6), into a subgroup of 5 soft bits, and then outputs groups of 250 soft bits in sequential order.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_{R(k)} + jQ_{R(k)} \\
I_{R(k+50)} + jQ_{R(k+50)}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
h_k \\
h_{k+50}
\end{bmatrix} \times \frac{1}{K_{MDC}} \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha x_{g(k)} + j\beta x_{g(k)+50} \\
\alpha x_{g(k)+1} + j\beta x_{g(k)+51}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
n_k \\
n_{k+50}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(6)

where \([h_k \ h_{k+50}]^T\) are channel coefficients and \([n_k \ n_{k+50}]^T\) is noise. Each soft bit value of \(b_{g(k)}\), \(b_{g(k)+50}\), \(b_{g(k)+51}\), \(b_{g(k)+100}\) and \(b_{g(k)+101}\) depend on the soft bit magnitude of the I/Q soft bits, and then outputs \((IR(k), QR(k))\) and \((IR(k+50), QR(k+50))\) independently.

In addition, each soft bit can be demapped from noise and multipath, then \([h_k \ h_{k+50}]^T = [1 \ 1]^T\) and \([n_k \ n_{k+50}]^T = [0 \ 0]^T\). Furthermore, the demapping performance can remain completely. In addition, each soft bit can be demapped from its associated \((IR(k), QR(k))\) and \((IR(k+50), QR(k+50))\) independently. Suppose the symbols are received through the channel with no noise and multipath, then \([h_k \ h_{k+50}]^T = [1 \ 1]^T\) and \([n_k \ n_{k+50}]^T = [0 \ 0]^T\). Furthermore, the demapping performance can remain without using the factor \(1/ K_{MDC}\). Hence the soft bit values for \(b_{g(k)}\), \(b_{g(k)+50}\), \(b_{g(k)+51}\), \(b_{g(k)+100}\) and \(b_{g(k)+101}\) are given by (7), (8), (9) and (10).

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)+50}) = I_{R(k)}
\]

(7)

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)+51}) = I_{R(k+50)}
\]

(8)

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)+100}) = Q_{R(k)}
\]

(9)

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)+101}) = Q_{R(k+50)}
\]

(10)

The general idea of demapping \(b_{g(k)}\) is that the demapped information bit is considered to be zero if the received symbol is close to the constellation point along with I axis, otherwise it is one if close to the constellation point along with Q axis, which is illustrated in Fig.7. Hereby, measuring the distance between the received symbol and the constellation points is required. These symbol distances are not only decided by \(y_{R(k)}\), but also decided by \(y_{R(k+50)}\), as shown in Fig.8. Hence the symbol distances are calculated from Maximum Ratio Combing (MRC) distances associated with \(y_{R(k)}\) and \(y_{R(k+50)}\), which can be simplified in (11) and (12). Furthermore, the combing distance value can be considered as using soft bit value. Therefore soft bit value of \(b_{g(k)}\) is expressed in (13).

\[
L_1 = \sqrt{\left(\frac{I_{R(k)} + I_{R(k+50)}}{2} - d_1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Q_{R(k)} + Q_{R(k+50)}}{2} - d_2\right)^2}
\]

(11)

\[
L_2 = \sqrt{\left(\frac{I_{R(k)} + I_{R(k+50)}}{2} - d_2\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Q_{R(k)} + Q_{R(k+50)}}{2} - d_1\right)^2}
\]

(12)

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)}) = L_1 - L_2
\]

(13)

D. Enhancement by exploiting Channel State Information

In OFDM modulation, the OFDM subcarriers suffer from different noise power, caused by for example echoes and deep fading, etc. Each OFDM subcarrier position has a dynamic estimation for the data reliability. This dynamic estimation of the channel power in the frequency-domain is defined as the Channel State Information (CSI), which can be used to enhance the channel decoder’s error correction performance [14]-[16]. Each data carrier has a potentially different CSI based on the power of the channel estimate at the corresponding frequency. The more reliable CSI is applied to the associated data subcarrier, the better decoding performance can be. The proposed CSI aided scheme coupled with the band hopping information maximizes the DCM soft demapping performance [17]. As a result, the five soft bits with incorporated CSI for the DC 32-QAM are derived as in (14)-(18).

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)}) = (L_1 - L_2) \times \frac{\text{CSI}_k + \text{CSI}_{k+50}}{2}
\]

(14)

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)+50}) = I_{R(k)} \times \text{CSI}_k
\]

(15)

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)+51}) = I_{R(k+50)} \times \text{CSI}_{k+50}
\]

(16)

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)+100}) = Q_{R(k)} \times \text{CSI}_k
\]

(17)

\[
\text{Soft}(b_{g(k)+101}) = Q_{R(k+50)} \times \text{CSI}_{k+50}
\]

(18)
V. CONSEQUENTIAL BIT INTERLEAVER

Since the DC 32-QAM can map more information bits than DCM, the structure of bit interleaver in ECMA 386 needs to be extended. The proposed bit interleaver should interleave 1500 coded bits and output to the DC 32-QAM modulator (Appendix B).

The bit interleaving operation is performed in two necessary stages and one optional stage: Symbol interleaving, which enables the PHY to exploit frequency diversity within a band group via permuting the bits across 6 consecutive OFDM symbols; Intra-symbol tone interleaving, which exploits frequency diversity across subcarriers and provides robustness against narrow-band interferes via permuting the bits across the data subcarriers within an OFDM symbol; and Intra-symbol cyclic shifts is an optional stage as it has no effect on the proposed system because the time-domain spreading mode is not enabled.

VI. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS AND COMPARISONS

A. Simulation Configuration

The system is simulated in a realistic multipath channel environment of 100 channel realizations in Foerster’s Channel Model 1 (CM1) [13]. All simulations results are averaged over 2000 packets with 1024 octets per payload in the PSDU and 90th-percentile channel realization (the worst 10% channels are discarded). The link success probability is defined as system can be achieved with a Packet Error Rate (PER) less than 8% [10]. We maintain strict adherence to timing and use a hopping characteristic of Time Frequency Code (TFC)=1, and incorporate 2.5 dB implementation loss [7] in the floating point system model, while fixed point model raises more practical loss due to quantization error [18], [19].

B. Performance for Dual Carrier 32-QAM

Fig. 9 depicts system performance (CM1, 90%ile) adopting DC 32-QAM as the modulation scheme while exploiting frequency diversity and CSI techniques. As can be seen, DC 32-QAM offers a successful link of 3.1 meters at 600 Mb/s. However, if CSI is not incorporated into DC 32-QAM demodulator, the system can achieve successful transmission in 3 meters. Frequency diversity can enhance DC 32-QAM performance by mapping the coded information onto two different OFDM subcarriers with 200 MHz bandwidth separation. Without this large bandwidth separation, the information mapped in adjacent data subcarriers in each OFDM symbol has no robustness against channel deep fading and no signal compensation from the associated CSI. As a result the system performance drops by 0.8 meters to only achieve 2.3 meters.

C. System performance comparisons for 16-QAM, Dual Circular 32-QAM and DCM

To compare 16-QAM, DC 32-QAM and DCM performance, the system is configured to have the same coding rate. With changing the modulation scheme and the associated bit interleaver, the system throughput can be increased to 600 Mb/s and 960 Mb/s by DC 32-QAM and 16-QAM respectively, while the DCM performs 480 Mb/s.

As shown in Fig.10, there is no successful link if 16-QAM is used at 960 Mb/s (CM1, 2.5dB implementation margin). Alternatively, lowering the data rate to 640 Mb/s by changing the coding scheme (Appendix A), the system performance is only 1.2 meters. However, by implementing the DC 32-QAM scheme presented in this paper offers 3.1 meters at 600 Mb/s while the existing system using DCM can be achieved 3.9 meters at 480 Mb/s. The effective 600 Mb/s performance in practical multipath environment with moderate packet loss can offer an effective data rate at 480 Mb/s.

Fig. 9. System performance (in CM1) for Dual Circular 32-QAM at 600 Mb/s
ECMA-368 offers a robust wireless solution and low cost wireless service in Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPAN). To create a market acceptable ECMA-368 solution, the device must not only be standards conformant, but also have cost-effective, low power, high performance solutions.

This paper has proposed a cost-effective and high performance modulation scheme termed DC 32-QAM that can fit into the configuration of ECMA 368. This alternative modulation scheme can increase the system throughput to 600 Mb/s with outputting constant modulation symbol power to achieve a successful link of 3.1 meters. Thereby an effective data rate of 480 Mb/s can be achieved with moderate packet loss, or offer a faster throughput for comparable propagation conditions.

APPENDIX

A. PSDU rate-dependent Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Rate (Mb/s)</th>
<th>Modulation</th>
<th>Coding Rate (R)</th>
<th>Frequency Domain Spreading</th>
<th>Time Domain Spreading</th>
<th>Coded Bits / 6 OFDM symbol (N_{\text{ch}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.7</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>Dual Circular 32-QAM</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td>16-QAM</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>960</td>
<td>16-QAM</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Parameters for the Interleaver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Rate (Mb/s)</th>
<th>Time Domain Spreading Factor (N_{\text{TS}})</th>
<th>Coded Bits / OFDM Symbol (N_{\text{ch}})</th>
<th>Tone Interleaver Block Size (N_{\text{t}})</th>
<th>Cyclic Interleaver Shift (N_{\text{cyc}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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