

# *Global climate change and tree nutrition: effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature*

Article

Accepted Version

Lukac, Martin, Calfapietra, Carlo, Lagomarsino, Alessandra and Loreto, Francesco (2010) Global climate change and tree nutrition: effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. *Tree Physiology*, 30 (9). pp. 1209-1220. ISSN 0829-318X doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq040> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/15983/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq040>

Publisher: Oxford University Press

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



## **Global Climate Change and Tree Nutrition: Effects of Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and Temperature.**

Martin Lukac,<sup>1,5</sup> Carlo Calfapietra,<sup>2</sup> Alessandra Lagomarsino<sup>3</sup> and Francesco Loreto<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College London, Ascot, SL5 7PY, UK*

<sup>2</sup> *Institute of Agro-Environmental & Forest Biology (IBAF), National Research Council (CNR), 05010 Porano (TR), Italy*

<sup>3</sup> *Department of Forest Environment and Resources (DISAFRI), Università degli Studi della Tuscia, Via S. Camillo de Lellis, I-01100 Viterbo, Italy*

<sup>4</sup> *Institute for Plant Protection (IPP), National Research Council (CNR), 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy*

<sup>5</sup> *Corresponding author (m.lukac@imperial.ac.uk)*

### **Summary**

Although tree nutrition has not been the primary focus of large climate change experiments on trees, we are beginning to understand its links to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature changes. This review focuses on the major nutrients, namely N and P, and deals with the effects of climate change on the processes that alter their cycling and availability. Current knowledge regarding biotic and abiotic agents of weathering, mobilization and immobilization of these elements will be discussed. To date, controlled environment studies identified possible effects of climate change on tree nutrition. Only some of these findings, however, were verified in ecosystem scale experiments. Moreover, to be able to predict future effects of climate change on tree nutrition at this scale, we need to progress from studying effects of single factors to analyzing interactions between factors such as elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, temperature or water availability .

## **1. Introduction**

The cycling of nutrients between the soil and the plants is one of the defining aspects of ecosystem functioning. The availability of nutrients for plant uptake can limit the productivity and even the very survival of forest ecosystems (Rodin et al. 1967). Crucial for plant metabolism and growth, the accessibility of nutrients in the soil is the result of several biogeochemical processes, often involving complex feedbacks. While only 16 elements have been identified as essential to higher plants, a lack of any of these elements makes it impossible for plants to complete their life cycle (Chesworth 2008). According to the amount of each element required for normal plant growth, they are commonly classified as macronutrients and micronutrients (Allaway 1975). Macronutrients are required in large amounts and usually constitute more than 0.1% dry mass (C, H, O, N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S), while micronutrients are needed only in small quantities not exceeding 0.05% dry mass (Zn, Fe, Mn, Cu, Mo, B, Cl). Plants mostly take up C from the air, while the rest of the nutrients are acquired almost exclusively through plant root systems. The immediate availability of all soil nutrients is dependent on the rate of their uptake (e.g. by plant roots, fungi, microorganisms) and the rate of replacement (e.g. bacterial N fixation, organic matter mineralisation, atmospheric deposition, weathering).

Forest trees are characterised by the C3 photosynthetic pathway (Ainsworth and Long 2005). As such, their productivity and demand for nutrients is greatly affected by atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and temperature. Terrestrial ecosystems are already exposed to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration higher than that encountered since the early Miocene (Pearson and Palmer 2000) and despite recent efforts to limit global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the atmospheric concentration of this greenhouse gas is likely to exceed the worst-case scenario considered by the IPCC (Raupach et al. 2007). Any further increase is likely to significantly impact on plant growth, both directly by

stimulating photosynthesis (Drake et al. 1997) and indirectly by inducing planetary warming (IPCC 2007). A great number of studies has been aimed at elucidating the responses of a wide range of plants to rising CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. In general, a stimulation of biomass production with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is observed, although its future extent is likely to be limited by nutrient availability. Further, stimulation of C metabolism processes such as photorespiration and mitochondrial respiration by increasing ambient temperature is likely to decrease net C uptake in the future (Atkin et al. 2000; Weston and Bauerle 2007). In a positive feedback loop, rising temperature may enhance organic matter mineralization and mineral weathering rates – thus alleviating, at least temporarily, some nutrient limitations.

To date, most physiological observations on trees exposed to changing CO<sub>2</sub> or temperature have been carried out in controlled environments, providing a considerable amount of information about the likely responses of trees and their capacity to adapt to changing environment. However, due to the size of mature trees, much of this research has been done on seedlings or plant tissues removed from mature individuals, somehow limiting the predictive power of these experiments for natural conditions. Free Air Carbon dioxide Enrichment technology (FACE) was therefore developed to verify scientific findings on effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in open-air conditions (Lewin et al. 1994; Miglietta et al. 2001). Similarly, warming experiments were established in forests to test their reaction to rising temperature. Because of obvious technical challenges associated with warming of an entire forest canopy, such experiments have focused mainly on assessing the impacts on the soil (Bradford et al. 2008; Schindlbacher et al. 2008). Understanding the controls and processes that determine the cycling and the resulting availability of nutrients still remains a key challenge, chiefly because most of the studies focus only on the immediately available pools in soils and in plants. Nutrient availability is hugely variable, both in

space and in time, and ultimately this variation can be more important for tree growth than the changes in tree physiology driven by climate (Salih et al. 2005). Since all major biogeochemical processes involved in nutrient cycling in forests will be impacted by changing CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature (Figure 1), only an integrative approach to studying nutrient cycles can provide reliable information necessary for predictions of future forest growth.

Currently we have sufficient information relating to the availability of essential nutrients, their roles in plant metabolism and their cycles, the predicament we are facing now is that most of this information relates to impacts of single factors or to one nutrient at a time. To understand the full impact of climate change, we need information about multiple interacting factors, which at the moment is very scarce. To summarize present knowledge, we present known effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on tree nutrition, focusing on the mobilization and immobilization processes rather than the pools. We identify existing gaps in knowledge and suggest research priorities for the near future.

## **2. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.**

Photosynthetic carbon uptake by C<sub>3</sub> plants is enhanced by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Ainsworth and Rogers 2007) and has been shown to translate to a consistent stimulation of NPP in trees by about 23% (Norby et al. 2005). The increase in productivity expected from trees growing in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is larger than the one estimated in crops especially in the case of trees with indeterminate growth (Long et al. 2004). However, lasting increase in tree growth in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> can only be achieved if some combination of increased nutrient absorption and improved nutrient use efficiency is attained. Even though all macro- and micronutrients are essential for normal plant growth, apart from a few macronutrients, we only have very limited or no information about the

interactions between the factors of climate change and tree physiology of nutrients. For the purpose of this review, we will therefore concentrate on the elements which have been fairly well investigated so far and the physiology and cycling of which is reasonably well understood in the frame of climate change.

## **2.1 Nitrogen**

Due to the strong coupling between C and N cycles (Rastetter and Shaver 1992), N is the element most likely to limit tree growth in future high CO<sub>2</sub> world (Reich et al. 2006). The amount of N available for plant uptake in forests is determined by several processes, such as organic matter depolymerization and mineralization, microbial immobilization and competition between microbes and plants, depending on soil nutrient status and ecosystem type (Figure 2). Boreal forests, where most of N is locked up in undecomposed organic matter, are likely to have different gearing between C and N cycles than temperate forests where most N is in live biomass. Different forest types therefore need to be treated separately when considering present and future N limitation to their growth.

Increased N immobilization by plants and microbes (Holmes et al. 2006; Norby and Iversen 2006) and observed progressive N limitation (Luo et al. 2004) are all thought to decrease the amount of N accessible to trees, thus limiting any future NPP stimulation by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Scarcity of N might be alleviated by predicted increases of atmospheric N deposition (Reay et al. 2008) but the exact extent of this phenomenon together with its interaction with increased C fixation is currently unknown at ecosystem scale. We know that light saturated carbon uptake increases in trees grown in CO<sub>2</sub> enriched atmosphere (Ainsworth and Long 2005), but the initial stimulation decreases if photosynthetic acclimation takes place and foliar N content declines

(Ainsworth and Rogers 2007; Ellsworth et al. 2004). Foliar N content per unit leaf area often declines under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Ellsworth et al. 2004), an effect often amplified by denser canopies under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Larger leaf biomass requires higher N investment into Rubisco, an enzyme driving photosynthesis in C<sub>3</sub> plants and responsible for about 25% of foliar N content. Photosynthetic N use efficiency (PNUE), defined as the net amount of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilated per unit of foliar N is also increased in high CO<sub>2</sub>, predominantly by enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rather than by N redistribution (Leakey et al. 2009; Liberloo et al. 2007). As atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> continues to rise, further increases in PNUE would be necessary to sustain higher CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. The photosynthetic activity and the growth rate can be stimulated by N addition in N poor environments, but the response was found to be highly variable among clones of a single species, let alone different tree species (King et al. 2008). Similarly, long-term exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alters the relationship between photosynthesis or its component processes and foliar N content (increased PNUE). However, providing abundant N to trees grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> restores these relationships back to the status found under ambient conditions (Crous et al. 2008). These findings provide early evidence that increased carbon fixation in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> will only continue if sufficient N supply to tree foliage can be maintained. Nitrogen availability to metabolically important tissues can also be influenced by the movement of N within the plant. The process of N retranslocation from leaves before their abscission was shown to be slightly increased by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in different poplar species, accompanied by more N immobilized in woody tissues (Calfapietra et al. 2007). Since woody tissues have substantially longer turnover times, N retranslocation could enhance N immobilization.

Nitrogen allocation in trees, as well as the total N uptake, is one of the main factors affecting foliar N concentration. In theory, trees optimize their N allocation for attaining maximum



growth, thus allocating available N to organs with greatest benefit to net growth. The commonly observed decrease in foliar N content under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Marinari et al. 2007; Tingey et al. 2003) might be a result of either decreased N uptake per unit of biomass produced or preferential allocation of acquired N to other tissues (e.g. fine roots). Nitrogen uptake did not change in a short rotation poplar plantation despite considerably increased biomass production under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, resulting in a significant increase of Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Calfapietra et al. 2007). However, in other FACE sites the stimulation of biomass production due to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was supported by increased N uptake by trees, with negligible variation of NUE (Finzi et al. 2007). Analysing observations from two contrasting sites, Franklin et al. (2009) note that soil N availability did not decline under an evergreen (*Pinus taeda*) forest, where a negative feedback between reduced soil N availability and uptake prevented N depletion. On the other hand, a broadleaf (*Liquidambar styraciflua*) stand achieved higher N uptake through increased production of fine roots – resulting in decreasing soil N availability. The authors used the investment in fine root systems to explain these contradictory responses of forests to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.

Fine roots of forest trees are, of course, not the only biomass pool increasingly competing for N under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Symbiotic mycorrhizal fungi colonizing tree roots have been shown to significantly benefit from increased photosynthate availability under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Alberton et al. 2007; Treseder 2004). Nitrogen uptake by mycorrhizal networks is thought to be much more efficient and rapid than that of fine tree roots, largely due to greater degree of soil exploitation and enzymatic depolymerization of organic compounds containing N (Lindahl et al. 2002; Read and Perez-Moreno 2003). However, the interaction between mycorrhizal symbiosis and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> needs further investigation as a stimulation of mycorrhizal growth under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was

shown to be negatively correlated with tree shoot N content and overall plant N uptake (Alberton et al. 2007). One possible explanation of such contradictory observations of N uptake is a frequently observed increase in fungal abundance or an increase in fungi/bacteria ratio in soils under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Carney et al. 2007; Treseder 2004); and/or an increase in the proliferation of mycorrhizal fungi (Parrent et al. 2006). In a recent review, Hu et al. (2006) report that about two-thirds of 135 experiments on trees and herbaceous plants observed an increase in the infection and external fungal hyphae of both arbuscular- (AM) and ecto- mycorrhizae (EM) under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Significantly, no studies reported negative effects on mycorrhizal colonisation or extraradical biomass. Fungi commonly have higher C/N ratios than soil bacteria, using smaller amounts of N to produce equivalent amount of biomass. Moreover, the translocation of C and N within fungal mycelium might explain the low mineralization rates, and hence lower N availability, in fungal dominated ecosystems (Boberg et al. 2010). Positive effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on mycorrhizal activity (Lukac et al. 2003; Pritchard et al. 2008) and turnover (Godbold et al. 2006) may enhance tree N nutrition in the future, but only if mycorrhizal fungi will proliferate at the expense of bacteria or other fungal groups.

Immediate N availability in the soil is driven by several N release and uptake processes, the relative importance of which is dependent on the overall soil N status. Focusing on organic N uptake, N mineralization in N poor ecosystems such as boreal forests should be of lesser importance than microbial depolymerization (Schimel and Bennett 2004). There are currently no direct observations of effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on this link, the few studies which focused on the impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on organic N uptake by plants reported no changes in temperate forest (Hofmockel et al. 2007) or increased uptake of both mineral and organic substrates in desert shrubs (Jin and Evans 2010).

Increased C inputs under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> are reported to stimulate either microbial N immobilization (Zak et al. 2000) or mineralization (priming effect - Blagodatskaya and Kuzyakov (2008)), depending on the prevalence of N or C limitation in microbial communities and on the C/N ratio of the substrate being decomposed (Hodge et al. 2000). When decomposing substrates with high C/N ratio, microorganisms will retain more inorganic N (mainly as NH<sub>4</sub>) during decomposition, thus reducing the availability of this N pool to plants. Conversely, if the C/N ratio of the substrate is lower than that of the decomposers, microorganisms will increase the size of mineralized N pool in the soil. Since elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alters C/N ratio of plant litter (Cotrufo et al. 2005), we need to know how the interaction of these two factors will affect N cycling and eventually soil N availability. To date, contrasting results were reported on N cycling in forest ecosystems under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, probably reflecting different N status at the whole ecosystem scale. Nitrogen mineralization has been found to increase (when coupled to a correspondent increase in NH<sub>4</sub> immobilization) (Holmes et al. 2006), decrease (Billings and Ziegler 2005) and, most often, did not change (Austin et al. 2009; Finzi et al. 2006; Zak et al. 2003). Nitrification, which usually is the greatest component of soil N mineralization, has been reported either to increase (Carnol et al. 2002), decrease (Lagomarsino et al. 2008) or not change (Austin et al. 2009)..

Current data thus indicate that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> will significantly affect soil N availability by stimulating net growth, at least initially. This stimulation may or may not last in the long-term, depending on the gearing between C and N cycles, existence of physiological limits to increases in NUE and whether external N inputs will be able to keep pace with larger N uptake. Overall N availability under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> appears to be sensitive to forest ecosystem type, a factor which needs further investigation.

## **2.2 Phosphorus**

Phosphorus is used in energy storage and transfer (Tisdale et al. 1985) and is an important structural component of nucleic acids, coenzymes, nucleotides, phosphoproteins, phospholipids and sugar phosphates (Schachtman et al. 1998). While the physiological importance of P, and its use in plant metabolism are fairly well understood, the knowledge of P biogeochemistry and cycling in forest soils is lagging. Largely due to the complexity of processes involved, we are only now starting to uncover the effects of climate change on P nutrition. Phosphorus is found in inorganic mineral forms and in organic forms resulting from plant and microbial biomass turnover. Both inorganic and organic P forms a continuum of compounds in equilibrium with each other, ranging from the readily plant available P dissolved in the soil solution to poorly available P held in stable compounds (Larsen 1967). In forest soils, geochemical processes determine the long term distribution of P and biological processes influence P distribution in the short term, as most of the plant available P is derived from soil organic matter (Ballard 1980). Any effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on P availability in forests are therefore likely to be indirect and mediated by the response of the biotic part of the ecosystem.

The proportion of organic P in forest soils varies widely (Newbery et al. 1997), its availability driven by the action of ectoenzymes produced by roots, mycorrhizal hyphae and soil microorganisms (Read et al. 2004). Production of such enzymes, which forms a significant proportion of rhizodeposition, has been shown to increase under elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Ineson et al. 1996; Lagomarsino et al. 2008)}, reflecting an increase of microbial and/or plant demand for P. In addition, the contribution of tree roots and mycorrhizas to mineral weathering and subsequent release of P is well known (Dijkstra et al. 2003). Put together, increases in

belowground availability of C under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> may lead to increased rates of P mineralization and release from soil mineral fraction.

There are not many observations on the effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on P availability and uptake in trees. In one of such studies, Johnson et al. (2000) investigated a fire-regenerated Florida scrub oak ecosystem. During the first year after the fire, a negative effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on P availability was shown, leading to a decrease in extractable P after five years (Johnson et al. 2003). In a sweetgum forest subjected to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, no effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on P cycling were shown after 2 years of enrichment (Johnson et al. 2004). However, Khan et al. (2008) found that growing poplar under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly changed the fractionation of P in the soil. Observed increase in tree growth under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> did not result in depletion of P pools in soils, but rather in increased storage of P in the rooting zone. In the fast-growing poplar plantation, the biogenically driven weathering of primary minerals in the rooting zone was sufficient to maintain the replenishment of plant available inorganic P.

Since P cycling in forests is much more efficient and its recovery by trees more complete than that of N, it is likely that any effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on the P cycle will be driven by changes in C uptake, tree growth and litter production. Particularly noteworthy is the potential role of mycorrhizas in the mediation of effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on P uptake. Phosphorus nutrition of tree seedlings grown in unadulterated forest soils under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was shown to improve when grown in the presence of mycorrhiza (Choi et al. 2009). Such findings highlight the need to investigate nutrient cycling in natural conditions with all major fluxes, feedbacks and interactions present and largely undisturbed.

### **2.3 Other nutrients**

As already mentioned, we are only now starting to uncover the effects of climate change on tree nutrition, our knowledge of the full range of nutrients is very limited. For obvious reasons related to human nutrition, published studies of effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on nutrients other than N and P are almost exclusively based on crop plants. Such studies report a wide variation in effects of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on plant nutrition, the direction and the size of observed effects varies greatly depending on plant species and soil nutrient availability. In general, however, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is expected to significantly alter the elemental composition of plants and to lower the micronutrient concentration in plant tissues (Loladze 2002). An investigation of immediate nutrient uptake, carried out at a timescale of days, revealed that in young walnut seedlings grown in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> nutrient uptake rates of K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> were proportional to CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rate, but that of P ion was not. This indicates that despite the nutrient storage capacities previously observed in young trees, nutrient uptake by roots is strongly coupled to carbon uptake (Delaire et al. 2005). In a more long-term analysis of trace metal concentration in forest trees grown under FACE treatment, Natali et al. (2009) found an additional factor guiding element uptake in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. They observed that the dilution of essential micronutrients in plant tissues harvested from high CO<sub>2</sub> treatment was less than that of non-essential ones. Alongside tree species and soil characteristics, the effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in this study was mediated by the fact whether the particular metal element is essential to plant growth or not.

Carnol et al. (2002) found an increase of some exchangeable base cations (Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>) and a decrease of acid cations (Al<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup>) in Scots pine grown in under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in Open Top Chambers. Liu et al.(2007) reported a decrease in N, S and B concentrations, increase in K and P concentrations, and no effects on other elements (Mg, Ca, Mn, Cu, Zn) in aspen and birch leaf litter under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. At the same site, nutrient input of N, P, S, P, Ca, Mg, Cu and Zn to soil

through leaf litter under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased, but the return of Mn was reduced. Johnson et al.(2004) in a sweetgum plantation found increased uptake of N, K, and Mg, and significant increases in the requirement of N, K, Ca, and Mg under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. However, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> had no significant effect on availability of any measured nutrient in the soil, with the exception of the reduction of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> in soil solution.

Nutrient availability in soil relies on the presence of Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC), an inherent soil property which may be strongly affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. In a fast growing poplar plantation, an increase of base cation availability (Ca and Mg), together with an overall increase of CEC was found under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Lagomarsino et al. 2006). A corresponding increase in the concentration of foliar Mg was also observed, but was limited to the beginning of the growing season (Marinari et al. 2007). An increase of CEC under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> can result from an increase of organic matter content, roots and mycorrhizal biomass and exudation (Mareschal et al. 2010) and soil aggregation status (Hoosbeek et al. 2006). Trees growing in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere might therefore be able to (indirectly) affect the soil's capacity for nutrient provision and thus regulate nutrient availability in the long term.

### **3. Effects of elevated temperature**

Global temperatures are forecasted to increase during the course of this century and beyond, bringing about a change in tree functional biology and nutrient demands, chiefly because tree species distribution is unlikely to be able to keep pace with climatic changes. The magnitude and the speed of nutrient cycles in forests differ greatly due to the degree of biological control and the origin of the elements. Elements with primarily biologically controlled cycles (such as C and N), might show different reaction to changing temperature than elements with cycles controlled

both by biological and geological processes (such as P, S and K) or elements with predominantly geologically controlled cycles (such as K, Ca, Mg, S or micronutrients, e. g., (Watanabe et al. 2007; Wood et al. 2006)). Since soil and especially air temperature are more difficult to manipulate than atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> at ecosystem level, our knowledge about the effects of increasing temperatures at this scale is currently limited and generally inferred from smaller scales or models.

### **3.1 Direct effects on trees**

Changes in ambient temperature may induce a range of responses in trees and in forest ecosystems (Figure 3). Starting with the photosynthesis, the optimal Rubisco activity is considered to be at around 25°C, and therefore the highest rates of photosynthesis should be recorded at this temperature. However, Rubisco is known to acclimate to temperature (Bernacchi et al. 2002) and at high temperatures O<sub>2</sub> is known to dissolve more efficiently than CO<sub>2</sub>, which generates a better availability of O<sub>2</sub> at the Rubisco sites in the chloroplasts. Hence, a higher rate of photorespiration reduces the efficiency of photosynthesis with increasing temperatures. As a consequence, it is extremely difficult to define an optimal temperature range for trees. If the acclimation processes are not considered, it could be surmised that global warming may improve the photosynthetic efficiency of boreal forests by allowing them to operate closer to the thermal optimum of Rubisco. At the same time, the opposite would be true for the Mediterranean forests which already experience temperatures above the physiological optimum for most of the year.

The implications in terms of tree nutrition could be far reaching and, similarly to the effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, are probably dependent on the differential responses of nutrient supply and demand. If an increase in boreal forest productivity due to higher temperatures is to be



maintained in the long run, it will have to be accompanied by an increase in weathering or deposition. Increased mineralization and turnover rates of organic matter might initially supply sufficient nutrients to support higher growth, but any nutrients currently held in undecomposed organic matter would eventually be locked up in larger biomass. Conversely, if tree growth in arid and semi-arid regions will not be stimulated by further increases in temperature, forests in this environment are unlikely to become nutrient limited – especially since existing climate scenarios forecast a concomitant increase in drought.

Soil heating experiments have shown that the stimulation of tree growth by increasing temperature is species specific (Farnsworth et al. 1995; King et al. 1999; Prieto et al. 2009), thus highlighting the potential impacts of changing species composition and altered natural distribution of tree species in the future (Saxe et al. 2001). Nutrient uptake by trees, an active process supported by enzyme activity, is highly temperature dependent (Rennenberg et al. 2006). The direct effect of increasing soil temperature on this process is difficult to quantify in field conditions, largely because it is confounded by co-occurring drought. Several authors claim that nutrient uptake increases with rising temperature (Bassirirad 2000; Dong et al. 2001; Weih and Karlsson 2002) but, just like other enzymatic processes, the rate of uptake increases only until a threshold temperature is reached. Gessler et al.(1998) measured ammonium uptake in spruce and found maximum uptake at 20 °C, followed by a decrease at higher temperatures. Given that forest soil temperatures are much lower than expected critical threshold, such limitation of nutrient uptake is unlikely to be reached in field conditions – at least not under a closed canopy forest.

Unless the ambient temperature is already at the photosynthetic threshold, as in the aforementioned example of Mediterranean forests, any increase in temperature due to climatic

change should favour tree growth. In turn, better growth conditions should increase within-tree nutrient allocation to tissues most likely to take advantage of the new environment. Domisch et al.(2002) observed increased nutrient allocation to shoots of Scots pine seedlings and Fotelli et al.(2005) have shown higher N allocation to beech leaves when the trees were grown at higher air and soil temperatures. An important point to consider is the nature of temperature increases; whether it is a small and gradual increase of mean annual temperature or a severe hot spell in the middle of the growing season. A period of very high seasonal maximum is likely to disturb normal nutrient uptake patterns, not least because of its interaction with drought. Due to their longevity, trees have developed physiological mechanisms to deal with such disruption and are able to store and re-mobilize nutrients (Grassi et al. 2003). However, repeated seasonal stress might exhaust this capacity for nutrient storage, with detrimental effects for tree health in the long run. Most nutrients, with the notable exceptions of Ca and Bo (Epstein 1973), can be transported both upwards (xylem flow) and downwards (phloem flow) within a tree. This cycle of nutrients is thought to act as a buffer against minute nutrient shortages (Gessler et al. 1998) and is probably involved in metabolic signaling and growth regulation in trees (Nordin et al. 2001)..Sardans et al. (2008b) have shown strong effects of heat and drought on aboveground biomass accumulation of some elements. Such direct effects of temperature on tree physiology and metabolism are likely to be species- and element specific.

### **3.2 Indirect effects of temperature**

Increasing temperature is likely to affect nutrient availability in the soil through the stimulation of organic matter decomposition and mineralization of soil nutrients (Jarvis and Linder 2000). Available studies on the influence of warming on N cycling in soils report highly variable

responses across a range of ecosystems (Beier et al. 2008; Rustad et al. 2001). In particular, observed effects appear to be very seasonal, with the highest increase of enzyme activities related to N cycling during the winter when temperature is limiting or in the spring during the period of maximum plant and microbial activity (Beier et al. 2008; Sardans et al. 2008a). These authors also report a different pattern for  $\text{NH}_4$  and  $\text{NO}_3$  availability in soil, the former decreasing in spring in concomitance with the increase in N uptake by plants, while the latter increasing at the same time, probably due to an increase in nitrification activity.

Several studies have shown that soil warming can increase soil N mineralization and possibly nitrate leaching (see review by Pendall et al. (2004)). In a meta analysis of 32 research sites, Rustad et al.(2001) found a significant increase on soil N mineralization at higher temperatures, with beneficial effects on plant growth. However, Beier et al. (2008) reported nitrogen mineralization was relatively insensitive to the temperature increase across a range of ecosystems and was mainly affected by changes in soil moisture. Moreover, the authors reported an asymmetric response of C and N mineralization to warming, with C, but not N, mineralization following the  $Q_{10}$  relationship, leading them to hypothesize progressive nitrogen limitation and thereby acclimation of plant production. Litter decomposition was predicted to increase by 4–7% relative to the present rate, following an increase in temperature and precipitation estimated from a double atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  scenario (Moore et al. 1999) with important implications for nutrients availability to the soil. Higher enzyme activities related to N cycling in soil in response to warming have been reported for shrubland (Sardans et al. 2008a) and fir forest (Feng et al. 2007) in the absence of moisture limitations. Enzyme activities are temperature sensitive processes, generally following the  $Q_{10}$  relationship, as is the case in respiration (Davidson and Janssens

2006) where even a slight temperature increase can produce a significant enhancement of activity (Kuzyakov et al. 2007).

On the whole, despite observed difference in responses to higher temperature, an increase of N mineralization in soil can be predicted under favourable moisture conditions and substrates availability, mainly in those ecosystems where temperature is a limiting factor. An increase of N mineralization under increasing temperature is reported in a recent review by Hyvonen et al. (2007). In a negative feedback loop, this normally leads to increased Net Primary Productivity (NPP), increased N demand and ultimately to decreased N availability in the soil.

#### **4. Interactions and feedbacks**

As the presented current knowledge makes clear, we have information about effects of singular factors of climate change on tree nutrition, mostly in seedlings or young trees. We know little about interactions of multiple factors or about long-term effects on mature trees. Having said that, certain interactions between the effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and increasing temperature on tree physiology are fairly well described and understood. For instance photosynthetic rate increases substantially with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and the effect is more pronounced at temperatures around 20°C than at 10°C (Sigurdsson et al. 2002). However, it is likely that forest trees will be subjected to several vectors of climate change at the same time, in addition to CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and warming. Use of fully-factorial experiments to investigate such interactions is advisable, however as Norby and Luo (2004) point out, their results are often confusing and difficult to interpret. The trade-off between the number of factors and the replication rate due to financial and/or space constraints must be carefully considered to maximize the information value of every such experiment.

Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is expected to directly influence tree physiology, compounding the changes affected by alterations of ambient temperature and rainfall (both amounts and patterns) – with complex interactions and feedbacks such changes bring. Moreover, any direct effects on ecosystem functioning brought about by climate change will certainly have indirect effects on nutrient availability and cycling. Due to the dearth of experiments investigating multiple factors, we know relatively little about such phenomena. Any change in nutrient availability, utilization or cycling in an ecosystem component such as soil, plant biomass or soil water, will affect nutrient status of other components, causing a potential cascade of effects (Campbell et al. 2009). For instance it has been shown that future increases in temperature may increase root mortality more in N-rich soils in temperate forests than in N-poor soils in boreal forests, with important implications for the N cycling between plant and soil (Hyvonen et al. 2007).

Mineral weathering, together with atmospheric deposition, is the most important source of plant nutrients other than C, H, O and N. The process of weathering can be best described as a concerted attack of several soil formation processes on mineral structures found within the rooting zone or wider soil. The rate of weathering is strongly dependent on soil temperature and soil moisture (White et al. 1999), both of which will be affected by climate change. Increasing temperature will speed up the release of nutrients locked up in the mineral soil fraction, while decreasing soil moisture may limit this process. Higher rates of weathering of nutrient rich rocks generally lead to higher base saturation of the soil and maintain higher soil pH – both characteristics favourable to plant growth. While elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is not thought to have a direct effect on weathering, larger supply of photosynthate to mycorrhizal fungi has been shown to occur under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Treseder 2004). Since mycorrhizas are directly implicated in mineral weathering through the release of organic acids (van Breemen et al. 2000), their proliferation

may potentially increase ecosystem nutrient availability. There is some evidence of a direct transport of nutrients from soil minerals to trees via mycorrhizal hyphae (Kohler et al. 2000), providing a direct link between increased C fixation and tree nutrition. The implication of these findings is that the nutrition of forest trees might be maintained at higher levels of NPP in future elevated CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, however we do not have any information to confirm or refute the long-term viability or the scale of such processes.

Despite the fact that most nutrients necessary for tree growth ultimately originate from mineral weathering or from atmospheric deposition, the bulk of nutrient uptake comes from the recycling of organic matter deposited to the soil. Nutrients are released into the soil solution during the decomposition of litter and are quickly taken up and recycled for the production of new organic matter. Climate change is likely to have a very significant effect on this process as nearly all organisms involved will be affected, both directly and indirectly. Litter quality, temperature and soil moisture are known to control the rate of decomposition and subsequent release of nutrients. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alters litter quality (Cotrufo et al. 2005; Cotrufo et al. 1998), with significant decreases in its nutrient content. Less nutrients per unit weight, coupled with slower litter decomposition under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Parsons et al. 2008) are therefore likely to reduce nutrient availability in the long-run. Working in the opposite direction, as several soil warming experiments have shown (Robinson et al. 1995; Verburg et al. 1999), 3-5°C rise in soil temperature strongly increases the rate of decomposition. All of these effects appear to be tree species specific, and are probably also influenced by soil properties.

Interactions between elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature may greatly affect soil enzyme activity via changes in soil microbial community and plant growth. Feng et al.(2007) found that the compound effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on enzyme activities were more significant

than those of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> or temperature alone. Such positive feedbacks between elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature are to be expected, as both factors have a generally positive effect on enzyme activity. The first through an increase of substrate availability and the second through an enhancement of activity rates. In N-limited ecosystems, warming may make more N available for increased plant uptake under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> by increasing N mineralization (Shaver et al. 2000), but the immediate availability of N is likely to be moderated by temperature, moisture, labile C and N input, soil pH and texture (Reich et al. 1997) – all characteristics likely to be altered by climate change.

## **5. Future research**

Scientific evidence gathered so far indicates that climate change is likely to have a significant impact on tree nutrient uptake, allocation and cycling. More specifically, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature reviewed in this article have the potential to change both tree physiology and the long-term availability of nutrients. To date, however, we do not possess sufficient breadth of information relating to tree nutrition as to be able to make reliable forecasts.

To close this gap in knowledge, CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature manipulation studies should proceed from studying the responses of seedling or young trees to evaluating impacts on mature trees and to work at ecosystem scale, thus taking into account interactions and feedbacks between plants and soil (Calfapietra et al. 2010). Future studies should focus on simultaneous investigation of multiple factors in order to uncover and study interactions and feedback mechanisms that drive nutrient cycles in forest ecosystems. Although clearly needed, it will be difficult to initiate large-scale warming and/or CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiments on forest ecosystems due to obvious funding constraints. To get around such limitations, experiments which make use of existing climatic and

soil gradients should be established to test the response of the dominant forest tree species to alterations in nutrient availability. An important avenue of research, somewhat unrepresented at the moment, is the development of dedicated nutrient cycle models to assess and predict the response of forests to climate change and to include these into forest vegetation dynamics models.

## References

- Ainsworth, E.A. and S.P. Long 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy. *New Phytologist*. 165:351-371.
- Ainsworth, E.A. and A. Rogers 2007. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO<sub>2</sub>]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant Cell and Environment*. 30:258-270.
- Alberton, O., T.W. Kuyper and A. Gorissen 2007. Competition for nitrogen between *Pinus sylvestris* and ectomycorrhizal fungi generates potential for negative feedback under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Plant and Soil*. 296:159-172.
- Allaway, W.H. 1975. The effect of soils and fertilizers on human and animal nutrition. *In Agriculture information bulletin no. 378*. Agricultural Research Service, Washington, USA. iv, 52 p. pp.
- Atkin, O.K., J.R. Evans, M.C. Ball, H. Lambers and T.L. Pons 2000. Leaf respiration of snow gum in the light and dark. interactions between temperature and irradiance. *Plant Physiology*. 122:915-923.
- Austin, E.E., H.F. Castro, K.E. Sides, C.W. Schadt and A.T. Classen 2009. Assessment of 10 years of CO<sub>2</sub> fumigation on soil microbial communities and function in a sweetgum plantation. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*. 41:514-520.
- Ballard, R. 1980. Phosphorus nutrition and fertilization of forest trees, pp. 763-804.
- Bassirirad, H. 2000. Kinetics of nutrient uptake by roots: responses to global change. *New Phytologist*. 147:155-169.
- Beier, C., B.A. Emmett, J. Penuelas, I.K. Schmidt, A. Tietema, M. Estiarte, P. Gundersen, L. Llorens, T. Riis-Nielsen, A. Sowerby and A. Gorissen 2008. Carbon and nitrogen cycles in European ecosystems respond differently to global warming. *Science of the Total Environment*. 407:692-697.
- Bernacchi, C.J., A.R. Portis, H. Nakano, S. von Caemmerer and S.P. Long 2002. Temperature response of mesophyll conductance. Implications for the determination of Rubisco enzyme kinetics and for limitations to photosynthesis in vivo. *Plant Physiology*. 130:1992-1998.
- Billings, S.A. and S.E. Ziegler 2005. Linking microbial activity and soil organic matter transformations in forest soils under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Global Change Biology*. 11:203-212.
- Blagodatskaya, E. and Y. Kuzyakov 2008. Mechanisms of real and apparent priming effects and their dependence on soil microbial biomass and community structure: critical review. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 45:115-131.



- Boberg, J.B., R.D. Finlay, J. Stenlid and B.D. Lindahl 2010. Fungal C translocation restricts N-mineralization in heterogeneous environments. *Functional Ecology*. 24:454-459.
- Bradford, M.A., C.A. Davies, S.D. Frey, T.R. Maddox, J.M. Melillo, J.E. Mohan, J.F. Reynolds, K.K. Treseder and M.D. Wallenstein 2008. Thermal adaptation of soil microbial respiration to elevated temperature. *Ecology Letters*. 11:1316-1327.
- Calfapietra, C., E.A. Ainsworth, C. Beier, P. De Angelis, D.S. Ellsworth, G. D.L., G.R. Hendrey, T. Hickler, M. Hoosbeek, D.F. Karnosky, J. King, C. Körner, A.D.B. Leakey, K.F. Lewin, M. Liberloo, S.P. Long, M. Lukac, R. Matyssek, F. Miglietta, J. Nagy, R.J. Norby, R. Oren, K.E. Percy, A. Rogers, G.E. Scarascia Mugnozza, M. Stitt, G. Taylor and R. Ceulemans 2010. Challenges in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> experiments on forests. *Trends in Plant Science*. 15:5-10.
- Calfapietra, C., P. De Angelis, B. Gielen, M. Lukac, M.C. Moscatelli, G. Avino, A. Lagomarsino, A. Polle, R. Ceulemans, G.S. Mugnozza, M.R. Hoosbeek and M.F. Cotrufo 2007. Increased nitrogen-use efficiency of a short-rotation poplar plantation in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Tree Physiology*. 27:1153-1163.
- Campbell, J.L., L.E. Rustad, E.W. Boyer, S.F. Christopher, C.T. Driscoll, I.J. Fernandez, P.M. Groffman, D. Houle, J. Kieckbusch, A.H. Magill, M.J. Mitchell and S.V. Ollinger 2009. Consequences of climate change for biogeochemical cycling in forests of northeastern North America. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*. 39:264-284.
- Carney, K.M., B.A. Hungate, B.G. Drake and J.P. Megonigal 2007. Altered soil microbial community at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> leads to loss of soil carbon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 104:4990-4995.
- Carnol, M., L. Hogenboom, M.E. Jach, J. Remacle and R. Ceulemans 2002. Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in open top chambers increases net nitrification and potential denitrification. *Global Change Biology*. 8:590-598.
- Chesworth, W. 2008. Encyclopedia of soil science. *In* Encyclopedia of earth sciences series. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands. xxvi, 902 p. pp.
- Choi, D., K. Makoto, A.M. Qureshi and L.Y. Qu 2009. Seed germination and seedling physiology of *Larix kaempferi* and *Pinus densiflora* in seedbeds with charcoal and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Landscape and Ecological Engineering*. 5:107-113.
- Cotrufo, M.F., P. De Angelis and A. Polle 2005. Leaf litter production and decomposition in a poplar short-rotation coppice exposed to free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (POPFACE). *Global Change Biology*. 11:971-982.
- Cotrufo, M.F., P. Ineson and A. Scott 1998. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> reduces the nitrogen concentration of plant tissues. *Global Change Biology*. 4:43-54.
- Crous, K.Y., M.B. Walters and D.S. Ellsworth 2008. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration affects leaf photosynthesis-nitrogen relationships in *Pinus taeda* over nine years in FACE. *Tree Physiology*. 28:607-614.
- Davidson, E.A. and I.A. Janssens 2006. Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature*. 440:165-173.
- Delaire, M., E. Frak, M. Sigogne, B. Adam, F. Beaujard and X. Le Roux 2005. Sudden increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration reveals strong coupling between shoot carbon uptake and root nutrient uptake in young walnut trees. *Tree Physiology*. 25:229-235.
- Dijkstra, F.A., N.v. Breemen, A.G. Jongmans, G.R. Davies and G.E. Likens 2003. Calcium weathering in forested soils and the effect of different tree species. *Biogeochemistry*. 62:253-275.

- Domisch, T., L. Finer and T. Lehto 2002. Growth, carbohydrate and nutrient allocation of Scots pine seedlings after exposure to simulated low soil temperature in spring. *Plant and Soil*. 246:75-86.
- Dong, S.F., C.F. Scagel, L.L. Cheng, L.H. Fuchigami and P.T. Rygielwicz 2001. Soil temperature and plant growth stage influence nitrogen uptake and amino acid concentration of apple during early spring growth. *Tree Physiology*. 21:541-547.
- Drake, B.G., M.A. Gonzalez-Meler and S.P. Long 1997. More efficient plants: A consequence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>? *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*. 48:609-639.
- Ellsworth, D., P. Reich, E. Naumburg, G. Koch, M. Kubiske and S. Smith 2004. Photosynthesis, carboxylation and leaf nitrogen responses of 16 species to elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> across four free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiments in forest, grassland and desert. *Global Change Biology*. 10:2121-2138.
- Epstein, E. 1973. Flow in phloem and immobility of calcium and boron - new hypothesis in support of an old one. *Experientia*. 29:133-134.
- Farnsworth, E.J., J. Nunez-Farfan, S.A. Careaga and F.A. Bazzaz 1995. Phenology and growth of three temperate forest life forms in response to artificial soil warming. *Journal of Ecology*. 83:967-977.
- Feng, R., W. Yang, J. Zhang, R. Deng, Y. Jian and J. Lin 2007. Effects of simulated elevated concentration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on soil enzyme activity in the subalpine fir forest. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*. 27:4019-4026.
- Finzi, A.C., D.J.P. Moore, E.H. DeLucia, J. Lichter, K.S. Hofmockel, R.B. Jackson, H.S. Kim, R. Matamala, H.R. McCarthy, R. Oren, J.S. Phippen and W.H. Schlesinger 2006. Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem processes under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a warm-temperate forest. *Ecology*. 87:15-25.
- Finzi, A.C., R.J. Norby, C. Calfapietra, A. Gallet-Budynek, B. Gielen, W.E. Holmes, M.R. Hoosbeek, C.M. Iversen, R.B. Jackson, M.E. Kubiske, J. Ledford, M. Liberloo, R. Oren, A. Polle, S. Pritchard, D.R. Zak, W.H. Schlesinger and R. Ceulemans 2007. Increases in nitrogen uptake rather than nitrogen-use efficiency support higher rates of temperate forest productivity under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 104:14014-14019.
- Fotelli, M.N., P. Rudolph, H. Rennenberg and A. Gessler 2005. Irradiance and temperature affect the competitive interference of blackberry on the physiology of European beech seedlings. *New Phytologist*. 165:453-462.
- Franklin, O., R.E. McMurtrie, C.M. Iversen, K.Y. Crous, A.C. Finzi, D.T. Tissue, D.S. Ellsworth, R. Oren and R.J. Norby 2009. Forest fine-root production and nitrogen use under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: contrasting responses in evergreen and deciduous trees explained by a common principle. *Global Change Biology*. 15:132-144.
- Gessler, A., S. Schneider, D. Von Sengbusch, P. Weber, U. Hanemann, C. Huber, A. Rothe, K. Kreuzer and H. Rennenberg 1998. Field and laboratory experiments on net uptake of nitrate and ammonium by the roots of spruce (*Picea abies*) and beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) trees. *New Phytologist*. 138:275-285.
- Godbold, D.L., M.R. Hoosbeek, M. Lukac, M.F. Cotrufo, I.A. Janssens, R. Ceulemans, A. Polle, E.J. Velthorst, G. Scarascia-Mugnozza, P. De Angelis, F. Miglietta and A. Peressotti 2006. Mycorrhizal hyphal turnover as a dominant process for carbon input into soil organic matter. *Plant and Soil*. 281:15-24.


- Grassi, G., P. Millard, P. Giocchini and M. Tagliavini 2003. Recycling of nitrogen in the xylem of *Prunus avium* trees starts when spring remobilization of internal reserves declines. *Tree Physiology*. 23:1061-1068.
- Hodge, A., D. Robinson and A. Fitter 2000. Are microorganisms more effective than plants at competing for nitrogen? *Trends in Plant Science*. 5:304-308.
- Hofmockel, K.S., W.H. Schlesinger and R.B. Jackson 2007. Effects of elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide on amino acid and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N cycling in a temperate pine ecosystem. *Global Change Biology*. 13:1950-1959.
- Holmes, W.E., D.R. Zak, K.S. Pregitzer and J.S. King 2006. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> alter soil nitrogen transformations beneath trembling aspen, paper birch, and sugar maple. *Ecosystems*. 9:1354-1363.
- Hoosbeek, M.R., Y.T. Li and G.E. Scarascia-Mugnozza 2006. Free atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) increased labile and total carbon in the mineral soil of a short rotation poplar plantation. *Plant and Soil*. 281:247-254.
- Hu, S.J., C. Tu, X. Chen and J.B. Gruber 2006. Progressive N limitation of plant response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: a microbiological perspective. *Plant and Soil*. 289:47-58.
- Hyvonen, R., G.I. Agren, S. Linder, T. Persson, M.F. Cotrufo, A. Ekblad, M. Freeman, A. Grelle, I.A. Janssens, P.G. Jarvis, S. Kellomaki, A. Lindroth, D. Loustau, T. Lundmark, R.J. Norby, R. Oren, K. Pilegaard, M.G. Ryan, B.D. Sigurdsson, M. Stromgren, M. van Oijen and G. Wallin 2007. The likely impact of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>], nitrogen deposition, increased temperature and management on carbon sequestration in temperate and boreal forest ecosystems: a literature review. *New Phytologist*. 173:463-480.
- Ineson, P., M.F. Cotrufo, R. Bol, D.D. Harkness and H. Blum 1996. Quantification of soil carbon inputs under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: C-3 plants in a C-4 soil. *Plant and Soil*. 187:345-350.
- IPCC 2007. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Parry, M.L., Canziani, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., van der Linden, P.J. and Hanson, C.E. (eds). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Jarvis, P. and S. Linder 2000. Constraints to growth of boreal forests. *Nature*. 405:904-905.
- Jin, V.L. and R.D. Evans 2010. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases plant uptake of organic and inorganic N in the desert shrub *Larrea tridentata*. *Oecologia*:DOI10.1007/s00442-010-1562-z.
- Johnson, D.W., W. Cheng and J.T. Ball 2000. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen fertilization on soils planted with ponderosa pine, pp. 99-113.
- Johnson, D.W., W. Cheng, J.D. Joslin, R.J. Norby, N.T. Edwards and D.E. Todd, Jr. 2004. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on nutrient cycling in a sweetgum plantation. *Biogeochemistry*. 69:379-403.
- Johnson, D.W., B.A. Hungate, P. Dijkstra, G. Hymus, C.R. Hinkle, P. Stiling and B.G. Drake 2003. The effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on nutrient distribution in a fire-adapted scrub oak forest. *Ecological Applications*. 13:1388-1399.
- Khan, F.N., M. Lukac, G. Turner and D.L. Godbold 2008. Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> changes phosphorus fractions in soils under a short rotation poplar plantation (EuroFACE). *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*. 40:1716-1723.
- King, J.S., K.S. Pregitzer and D.R. Zak 1999. Clonal variation in above- and below-ground growth responses of *Populus tremuloides* Michaux: Influence of soil warming and nutrient availability. *Plant and Soil*. 217:119-130.

- King, N.T., J.R. Seiler, T.R. Fox and K.H. Johnsen 2008. Post-fertilization physiology and growth performance of loblolly pine clones. *Tree Physiology*. 28:703-711.
- Kohler, M., K.V. Wilpert and E.E. Hildebrand 2000. The soil skeleton as a source for the short-term supply of "base cations" in forest soils of the Black Forest (Germany). *Water Air and Soil Pollution*. 122:37-48.
- Kuzyakov, Y., P.W. Hill and D.L. Jones 2007. Root exudate components change litter decomposition in a simulated rhizosphere depending on temperature. *Plant and Soil*. 290:293-305.
- Lagomarsino, A., S. Marinari, M.C. Moscatelli, S. Grego and P. De Angelis 2006. Disponibilità di cationi scambiabili nel suolo di un pioppeto in condizioni di elevata CO<sub>2</sub> e fertilizzazione azotata. *In* The annual meeting of the Italian Society of Agricultural Chemistry, Alghero, 1-4 october 2006.
- Lagomarsino, A., M.C. Moscatelli, M.R. Hoosbeek, P. De Angelis and S. Grego 2008. Assessment of soil nitrogen and phosphorous availability under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and N-fertilization in a short rotation poplar plantation. *Plant and Soil*. 308:131-147.
- Larsen, S. 1967. Soil phosphorus. *Advances in Agronomy*. 19:151-210.
- Leakey, A.D.B., E.A. Ainsworth, C.J. Bernacchi, A. Rogers, S.P. Long and D.R. Ort 2009. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 60:2859-2876.
- Lewin, K.F., G.R. Hendrey, J. Nagy and R.L. Lamorte 1994. Design and application of a Free-Air Carbon-Dioxide Enrichment facility. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 70:15-29.
- Liberloo, M., I. Tulva, O. Raim, O. Kull and R. Ceulemans 2007. Photosynthetic stimulation under long-term CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and fertilization is sustained across a closed *Populus* canopy profile (EUROFACE). *New Phytologist*. 173:537-549.
- Lindahl, B.O., A.F.S. Taylor and R.D. Finlay 2002. Defining nutritional constraints on carbon cycling in boreal forests - towards a less 'phyto-centric' perspective. *Plant and Soil*. 242:123-135.
- Liu, L.L., J.S. King and C.P. Giardina 2007. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> on nutrient dynamics: decomposition of leaf litter in trembling aspen and paper birch communities. *Plant and Soil*. 299:65-82.
- Loladze, I. 2002. Rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 17:457-461.
- Long, S.P., E.A. Ainsworth, A. Rogers and D.R. Ort 2004. Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: Plants face the future. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*. 55:591-628.
- Lukac, M., C. Calfapietra and D.L. Godbold 2003. Production, turnover and mycorrhizal colonization of root systems of three *Populus* species grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (POPFACE). *Global Change Biology*. 9:838-848.
- Luo, Y., B. Su, W.S. Currie, J.S. Dukes, A. Finzi, U. Hartwig, B. Hungate, R.E. McMurtrie, R. Oren, W.J. Parton, D.E. Pataki, M.R. Shaw, D.R. Zak and C.B. Field 2004. Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Bioscience*. 54:731-739.
- Mareschal, L., P. Bonnaud, M.P. Turpault and J. Ranger 2010. Impact of common European tree species on the chemical and physicochemical properties of fine earth: an unusual pattern. *European Journal of Soil Science*. 61:14-23.
- Marinari, S., C. Calfapietra, P. De Angelis, G.S. Mugnozza and S. Grego 2007. Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen fertilization on foliar elemental composition in a short rotation poplar plantation. *Environmental Pollution*. 147:507-515.

- Miglietta, F., A. Peressotti, F.P. Vaccari, A. Zaldei, P. deAngelis and G. Scarascia-Mugnozza 2001. Free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) of a poplar plantation: the POPFACE fumigation system. *New Phytologist*. 150:465-476.
- Moore, T.R., J.A. Trofymow, B. Taylor, C. Prescott, C. Camire, L. Duschene, J. Fyles, L. Kozak, M. Kranabetter, I. Morrison, M. Siltanen, S. Smith, B. Titus, S. Visser, R. Wein and S. Zoltai 1999. Litter decomposition rates in Canadian forests. *Global Change Biology*. 5:75-82.
- Natali, S.M., S.A. Sanudo-Wilhelmy and M.T. Lerdau 2009. Plant and soil mediation of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> impacts on trace metals. *Ecosystems*. 12:715-727.
- Newbery, D.M., I.J. Alexander and J.A. Rother 1997. Phosphorus dynamics in a lowland African rain forest: the influence of ectomycorrhizal trees. *Ecological Monographs*. 67:367-409.
- Norby, R.J., E.H. DeLucia, B. Gielen, C. Calfapietra, C.P. Giardina, J.S. King, J. Ledford, H.R. McCarthy, D.J.P. Moore, R. Ceulemans, P. De Angelis, A.C. Finzi, D.F. Karnosky, M.E. Kubiske, M. Lukac, K.S. Pregitzer, G.E. Scarascia-Mugnozza, W.H. Schlesinger and R. Oren 2005. Forest response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is conserved across a broad range of productivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 102:18052-18056.
- Norby, R.J. and C.M. Iversen 2006. Nitrogen uptake, distribution, turnover, and efficiency of use in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched sweetgum forest. *Ecology*. 87:5-14.
- Norby, R.J. and Y.Q. Luo 2004. Evaluating ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global warming in a multi-factor world. *New Phytologist*. 162:281-293.
- Nordin, A., C. Ugglå and T. Nasholm 2001. Nitrogen forms in bark, wood and foliage of nitrogen-fertilized *Pinus sylvestris*. *Tree Physiology*. 21:59-64.
- Parrent, J.L., W.F. Morris and R. Vilgalys 2006. CO<sub>2</sub>-enrichment and nutrient availability alter ectomycorrhizal fungal communities. *Ecology*. 87:2278-2287.
- Parsons, W.F.J., J.G. Bockheim and R.L. Lindroth 2008. Independent, interactive, and species-specific responses of leaf litter decomposition to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in a northern hardwood forest. *Ecosystems*. 11:505-519.
- Pearson, P.N. and M.R. Palmer 2000. Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations over the past 60 million years. *Nature*. 406:695-699.
- Pendall, E., S. Bridgham, P.J. Hanson, B. Hungate, D.W. Kicklighter, D.W. Johnson, B.E. Law, Y.Q. Luo, J.P. Megonigal, M. Olsrud, M.G. Ryan and S.Q. Wan 2004. Below-ground process responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature: a discussion of observations, measurement methods, and models. *New Phytologist*. 162:311-322.
- Prieto, P., J. Penuelas, J. Llusia, D. Asensio and M. Estiarte 2009. Effects of experimental warming and drought on biomass accumulation in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Plant Ecology*. 205:179-191.
- Pritchard, S.G., A.E. Strand, M.L. McCormack, M.A. Davis and R. Oren 2008. Mycorrhizal and rhizomorph dynamics in a loblolly pine forest during 5 years of free-air-CO<sub>2</sub>-enrichment. *Global Change Biology*. 14:1252-1264.
- Rastetter, E.B. and G.R. Shaver 1992. A model of multiple-element limitation for acclimating vegetation. *Ecology*. 73:1157-1174.
- Raupach, M.R., G. Marland, P. Ciais, C. Le Quere, J.G. Canadell, G. Klepper and C.B. Field 2007. Global and regional drivers of accelerating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 104:10288-10293.

- Read, D.J., J.R. Leake and J. Perez-Moreno 2004. Mycorrhizal fungi as drivers of ecosystem processes in heathland and boreal forest biomes. *Canadian Journal of Botany-Revue Canadienne De Botanique*. 82:1243-1263.
- Read, D.J. and J. Perez-Moreno 2003. Mycorrhizas and nutrient cycling in ecosystems - a journey towards relevance? *New Phytologist*. 157:475-492.
- Reay, D.S., F. Dentener, P. Smith, J. Grace and R.A. Feely 2008. Global nitrogen deposition and carbon sinks. *Nature Geoscience*. 1:430-437.
- Reich, P.B., D.F. Grigal, J.D. Aber and S.T. Gower 1997. Nitrogen mineralization and productivity in 50 hardwood and conifer stands on diverse soils. *Ecology*. 78:335-347.
- Reich, P.B., S.E. Hobbie, T. Lee, D.S. Ellsworth, J.B. West, D. Tilman, J.M.H. Knops, S. Naeem and J. Trost 2006. Nitrogen limitation constrains sustainability of ecosystem response to CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature*. 440:922-925.
- Rennenberg, H., F. Loreto, A. Polle, F. Brilli, S. Fares, R.S. Beniwal and A. Gessler 2006. Physiological responses of forest trees to heat and drought. *Plant Biology*. 8:556-571.
- Robinson, C.H., P.A. Wookey, A.N. Parsons, J.A. Potter, T.V. Callaghan, J.A. Lee, M.C. Press and J.M. Welker 1995. Responses of plant litter decomposition and nitrogen mineralisation to simulated environmental change in a high arctic polar semi-desert and a subarctic dwarf shrub heath. *Oikos*. 74:503-512.
- Rodin, L.E., N.i.a.I. Bazilevich and G.E. Fogg 1967. Production and mineral cycling in terrestrial vegetation. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, London, ix, 288 p. pp.
- Rustad, L.E., J.L. Campbell, G.M. Marion, R.J. Norby, M.J. Mitchell, A.E. Hartley, J.H.C. Cornelissen, J. Gurevitch and Gcte-News 2001. A meta-analysis of the response of soil respiration, net nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental ecosystem warming. *Oecologia*. 126:543-562.
- Salih, N., G.I. Agren and L. Hallbacken 2005. Modeling response of N addition on C and N allocation in scandinavian Norway spruce stands. *Ecosystems*. 8:373-381.
- Sardans, J., J. Penuelas and M. Estiarte 2008a. Changes in soil enzymes related to C and N cycle and in soil C and N content under prolonged warming and drought in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Applied Soil Ecology*. 39:223-235.
- Sardans, J., J. Penuelas, P. Prieto and M. Estiarte 2008b. Drought and warming induced changes in P and K concentration and accumulation in plant biomass and soil in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Plant and Soil*. 306:261-271.
- Saxe, H., M.G.R. Cannell, B. Johnsen, M.G. Ryan and G. Vourlitis 2001. Tree and forest functioning in response to global warming. *New Phytologist*. 149:369-399.
- Schachtman, D.P., R.J. Reid and S.M. Ayling 1998. Phosphorus uptake by plants: from soil to cell. *Plant Physiology*. 116:447-453.
- Schimel, J.P. and J. Bennett 2004. Nitrogen mineralization: Challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology*. 85:591-602.
- Schindlbacher, A., S. Zechmeister-Boltenstern, B. Kitzler and R. Jandl 2008. Experimental forest soil warming: response of autotrophic and heterotrophic soil respiration to a short-term 10 degrees C temperature rise. *Plant and Soil*. 303:323-330.
- Shaver, G.R., J. Canadell, F.S. Chapin, J. Gurevitch, J. Harte, G. Henry, P. Ineson, S. Jonasson, J. Melillo, L. Pitelka and L. Rustad 2000. Global warming and terrestrial ecosystems: A conceptual framework for analysis. *Bioscience*. 50:871-882.

- Sigurdsson, B.D., P. Roberntz, M. Freeman, M. Naess, H. Saxe, H. Thorgeirsson and S. Linder 2002. Impact studies on Nordic forests: effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and fertilization on gas exchange. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*. 32:779-788.
- Tingey, D.T., R.B. McKane, D.M. Olszyk, M.G. Johnson, P.T. Rygielwicz and E.H. Lee 2003. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature alter nitrogen allocation in Douglas-fir. *Global Change Biology*. 9:1038-1050.
- Tisdale, S.L., W.L. Nelson and J.D. Beaton 1985. *Soil fertility and fertilizers*. Prentice Hall. 754 pp. p.
- Treseder, K.K. 2004. A meta-analysis of mycorrhizal responses to nitrogen, phosphorus, and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in field studies. *New Phytologist*. 164:347-355.
- van Breemen, N., R. Finlay, U. Lundstrom, A.G. Jongmans, R. Giesler and M. Olsson 2000. Mycorrhizal weathering: A true case of mineral plant nutrition ? *Biogeochemistry*. 49:53-67.
- Verburg, P.S.J., W.K.P. Van Loon and A. Lukewille 1999. The CLIMEX soil-heating experiment: soil response after 2 years of treatment. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 28:271-276.
- Watanabe, T., M.R. Broadley, S. Jansen, P.J. White, J. Takada, K. Satake, T. Takamatsu, S.J. Tuah and M. Osaki 2007. Evolutionary control of leaf element composition in plants. *New Phytologist*. 174:516-523.
- Weih, M. and P.S. Karlsson 2002. Low winter soil temperature affects summertime nutrient uptake capacity and growth rate of mountain birch seedlings in the subarctic, Swedish lapland. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research*. 34:434-439.
- Weston, D.J. and W.L. Bauerle 2007. Inhibition and acclimation of C-3 photosynthesis to moderate heat: a perspective from thermally contrasting genotypes of *Acer rabrum* (red maple). *Tree Physiology*. 27:1083-1092.
- White, A.F., A.E. Blum, T.D. Bullen, D.V. Vivit, M. Schulz and J. Fitzpatrick 1999. The effect of temperature on experimental and natural chemical weathering rates of granitoid rocks. *Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta*. 63:3277-3291.
- Wood, T.E., D. Lawrence and D.A. Clark 2006. Determinants of leaf litter nutrient cycling in a tropical rain forest: Soil fertility versus topography. *Ecosystems*. 9:700-710.
- Zak, D.R., W.E. Holmes, A. Finzi, R.J. Norby and W.H. Schlesinger 2003. Soil nitrogen cycling under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: a synthesis of forest FACE experiments. *Ecological Applications*. 13:1508-1514.
- Zak, D.R., K.S. Pregitzer, J.S. King and W.E. Holmes 2000. Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, fine roots and the response of soil microorganisms: a review and hypothesis. *New Phytologist*. 147:201-222.



**Ecosystem services**  
Forest products, Water quality and quantity, Soil conservation, Biodiversity, Recreation



