

A specific group of genes respond to cold dehydration stress in cut Alstroemeria flowers whereas ambient dehydration stress accelerates developmental senescence expression patterns

Article

Published Version

Open access

Wagstaff, C. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9400-8641, Bramke, I., Breeze, E., Thornber, S., Harrison, E., Thomas, B., Buchanan-Wollaston, V., Stead, T. and Rogers, H. (2010) A specific group of genes respond to cold dehydration stress in cut Alstroemeria flowers whereas ambient dehydration stress accelerates developmental senescence expression patterns. Journal of Experimental Botany, 61 (11). pp. 2905-2921. ISSN 0022-0957 doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq113 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/18134/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq113

Publisher: Oxford University Press



All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

RESEARCH PAPER

Journal of Experimental Botany www.jxb.oxfordjournals.org

A specific group of genes respond to cold dehydration stress in cut *Alstroemeria* flowers whereas ambient dehydration stress accelerates developmental senescence expression patterns

Carol Wagstaff^{1,2,†}, Irene Bramke², Emily Breeze³, Sarah Thornber³, Elizabeth Harrison³, Brian Thomas³, Vicky Buchanan-Wollaston³, Tony Stead² and Hilary Rogers^{1,*}

¹ Cardiff School of Biosciences, Main Building, Cardiff University, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3TL, UK

² School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, UK

³ Warwick HRI, University of Warwick, Wellesbourne, Warwickshire CV35 9EF, UK

[†] Present address: School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, PO Box 226, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AP, UK.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: rogershj@cf.ac.uk

Received 9 February 2010; Revised 31 March 2010; Accepted 31 March 2010

Abstract

Petal development and senescence entails a normally irreversible process. It starts with petal expansion and pigment production, and ends with nutrient remobilization and ultimately cell death. In many species this is accompanied by petal abscission. Post-harvest stress is an important factor in limiting petal longevity in cut flowers and accelerates some of the processes of senescence such as petal wilting and abscission. However, some of the effects of moderate stress in young flowers are reversible with appropriate treatments. Transcriptomic studies have shown that distinct gene sets are expressed during petal development and senescence. Despite this, the overlap in gene expression between developmental and stress-induced senescence in petals has not been fully investigated in any species. Here a custom-made cDNA microarray from Alstroemeria petals was used to investigate the overlap in gene expression between developmental changes (bud to first sign of senescence) and typical post-harvest stress treatments. Young flowers were stressed by cold or ambient temperatures without water followed by a recovery and rehydration period. Stressed flowers were still at the bud stage after stress treatments. Microarray analysis showed that ambient dehydration stress accelerates many of the changes in gene expression patterns that would normally occur during developmental senescence. However, a higher proportion of gene expression changes in response to cold stress were specific to this stimulus and not senescence related. The expression of 21 transcription factors was characterized, showing that overlapping sets of regulatory genes are activated during developmental senescence and by different stresses.

Key words: Alstroemeria, cold stress, dehydration stress, flower, microarrays, petal, senescence, transcriptome.

Introduction

Under optimal conditions floral lifespan is species specific and precisely controlled (Primack, 1985), senescence often being initiated following successful pollination. This is thought to be related to the resource investment of a flower, as well as other ecological factors (Rogers, 2006). While the flower is attached to the plant, environmental stresses such as

temperature change can shorten floral lifespan. Once the flower is detached from the parent plant, one of the major factors affecting floral lifespan is supply of respiratory substrate. This is in part ameliorated by provision of a carbon source such as sucrose, or by removal of competing flowers on the same stem (Doi and Reid, 1995; Chanasut *et al.*,

^{© 2010} The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2003). Provision of sucrose can prevent or delay the upregulation of senescence-associated genes (Hoeberichts et al., 2007), probably indirectly by delaying senescence. Petal senescence is typically characterized by a loss of fresh weight, protein content, and turgor, changes in pigmentation and, ultimately, in many species, programmed cell death and petal abscission (Wagstaff et al., 2003; van Doorn and Woltering, 2008). These changes are related to alterations in the patterns of gene expression which have been analysed in several species using microarrays (Channelière et al., 2002; van Doorn et al., 2003; Breeze et al., 2004; Price et al., 2008; Wagstaff et al., 2009). Many of the genes up-regulated during normal developmental petal senescence relate to remobilization of nutrients and include proteases, nucleases, lipases, and transporters (Hong et al., 2000; Wagstaff et al., 2002; Langston et al., 2005; Price et al., 2008). Genes encoding specific classes of transcription factors, NAC, MYB, MYC, MADS-box, WRKY, and zinc finger proteins, are also frequently up-regulated (Channelière et al., 2002; Breeze et al., 2004; Hoeberichts et al., 2007; Price et al., 2008; Wagstaff et al., 2009), indicating that they may play a regulatory role. Additionally, microarray screens and analyses of individual genes have revealed that a number of genes, generally considered to be stress related, are upregulated during petal senescence. These include metallothioneins, abscisic acid (ABA)-responsive genes (Channelière et al., 2002; Breeze et al., 2004), and glutathione S-transferases (Meyer et al., 1991; Price et al., 2008).

The effects of stress on gene expression have mainly been studied in whole plants or leaves, and there is a body of evidence showing that gene expression changes in leaves are rapid, specific, and highly stress responsive (Buchanan-Wollaston et al., 2005; Gombert et al., 2006). A number of studies have concentrated on different forms of stress such as dark-induced senescence (Roca et al., 2004), cold stress (Khodakovskaya et al., 2005), and dehydration stress (Munne-Bosch and Alegre, 2004). These have identified sets of genes regulated specifically by each of these processes, and several of which are changed by a multitude of stress elicitors. Thus there is support for a model in which there is cross-talk between different stress-induced processes (Nakashima et al., 2009). Abscisic acid (ABA) is involved in coordinating responses to both drought and cold stress, and another common signalling mechanism may be via reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Takahashi et al., 2004). Members of the MYB, MYC, and NAC transcription factor classes are involved in ABA-regulated signal transduction relating to cold and drought responses (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2003) and cross-talk between other stress responses (Fujita et al., 2006). Mitogenactivated protein (MAP) kinases are also heavily implicated in coordinating stress responses. For example Arabidopsis MEKK1 is transcriptionally up-regulated by multiple stresses including drought and cold (Mizoguchi et al., 1996). The interaction between stress and developmental senescence has been studied in leaves (Buchanan-Wollaston et al., 2003; Lim et al., 2007), and many of the physiological changes induced by stress in leaves such as chlorophyll degradation, and remobilization of nutrients parallel those seen during natural senescence. This suggests that in leaves many stresses induce an early onset of developmental senescence. However, the sharing of gene expression is not complete, indicating that the situation is more complex.

In petals the overlap in gene expression between developmental senescence and stress-induced senescence in petals is poorly characterized in any species. Studies of the physiological effects of stress on cut flowers have focused on investigating ways to maximize floral longevity and determining ways to overcome the stresses experienced by flowers during their transport from grower to retailer (Ranwala and Miller, 1998; Andersen et al., 2004). Cold temperature storage at 4 °C is employed to delay flower development and causes little detrimental effect, in temperate species, if the stems are in solution, thereby retaining the maximum vase life. However, the majority of stems are transported without water, packed tightly in boxes. In these cases longevity may be reduced as a consequence of both drought and temperature stress (Rudnicki et al., 1991). In many species, excessive stress will induce temporary loss of petal turgor. This can be apparently reversed by a refreshment treatment; however, overall floral longevity is reduced.

Alstroemeria cv. Rebecca forms part of the Liliales and has large, colourful, relatively long-lived (10–15 d) flowers under optimal conditions. The time frame of developmental senescence has been characterized in previous studies (Wagstaff *et al.*, 2001, 2003; Leverentz *et al.*, 2002; Breeze *et al.*, 2004) and has been shown to be almost completely ethylene independent (Wagstaff *et al.*, 2005). Alstroemeria is an economically important cut flower. The nature of the commercial handling chain means that flowers are subjected to periods of many hours or even days stored, or transported, without water at ambient temperatures and/or several days stored in the dark at ~4 °C (Reid, 2004). Thus young flowers may be subjected to cold and/or dehydration stress during this period.

In this study cDNA microarrays have been generated using RNA from petals of both young stress-treated flowers, following a recovery period, and naturally senescing petals. They have been used to investigate the overlap in gene expression between ambient dehydration stress, cold stress, and developmental senescence. Classes of genes specific to either or both stress treatments, specific to developmental senescence, or shared between stress and senescence have been identified. A significant finding is that the pattern of gene expression induced by ambient dehydration stress is similar to that seen during developmental senescence, whereas the pattern elicited by cold stress is different. Furthermore the patterns of gene expression of 21 transcription factors indicates a complex network of shared regulatory signals.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Alstroemeria inflorescences were harvested and transported to the laboratory in water. For developmental senescence studies individual cymes were detached and placed in glass vials of distilled water throughout the experiment in a growth room (21 °C, 16 h photoperiod, 12–14 μ M m² s⁻¹, 60% relative humidity). For ambient stress experiments the inflorescences were trimmed to 60 cm, placed horizontally within a flower box in the dark, and left at ambient temperature (21 °C) for 6 h or 48 h prior to a 3 h refreshment period in distilled water in the growth room as above. For cold stress treatments the inflorescences were placed horizontally within a flower box in the dark and left at 4 °C for 3 d before refreshment in water in the growth room for 3 h or 24 h. Petals were then detached, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and used for RNA extraction (method as in Breeze *et al.*, 2004). To determine longevity of stress-treated flowers, cymes were isolated and placed in vials of distilled water as described above.

cDNA libraries and EST analysis

A total of five unsubtracted cDNA libraries were constructed in addition to the SSH libraries detailed in Breeze *et al.* (2004). The developmental libraries contained material from (i) stages S0 and S1; (ii) stages S2 and S4; and (iii) stages S5 and S7. Stress libraries were made from (i) both ambient dry and (ii) both cold dry treatments detailed above. Dynal beads were used to isolate mRNA from 75 µg of total RNA for each stage or treatment of tissue. Equal amounts of mRNA form each stage/treatment totalling 5 µg were then used to construct each unsubtracted library using Stratagene's λ -zap cDNA synthesis and Gigapack III Gold cloning kit. Primary libraries of 1×10^6 pfu (plaque-forming units) were obtained. A mass excision of each library was performed and $\sim 10^6$ clones were plated using blue/white selective media. Over 9600 clones were picked from each library and stored as glycerol stocks.

Inserts from selected clones were amplified by PCR using M13 forward and reverse primers either directly from glycerol stocks or from plasmid DNA isolated using a Qiaprep Miniprep kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK). PCR products were purified using the Whatman vacuum manifold PCR cleanup system (Whatman, Maidstone, UK) or Qiaquick PCR cleanup kits (Qiagen). Sequencing was carried out using M13 forward and reverse primers with BigDye version 2 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and was analysed on an Applied Biosystems 3100 sequencer. All sequences determined are available on the NCBI expressed sequence tag (EST) database; accession numbers are detailed in Table S1 available at JXB online. Alignment of proteins and sequences was performed using BIOEDIT version 7.0.1 (Hall, 1999) and Seqman (Dnastar, Lasergene, Madison, WI, USA). Contig assembly was performed using Contig Express (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). Sequences obtained were compared with database entries using the BLAST network service (NCBI). A match was assessed using a combination of low E value and the length of the homology in BLASTx. TAIR was used to provide extra details on the putative function of some of the genes.

Microarray construction

Each glycerol stock was used to inoculate a 1.5 ml LB culture containing 50 µg ml⁻¹ ampicillin in a 96-well deep well culture plate. Cultures were grown overnight at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm. Plasmid DNA was extracted using the Whatman 96well Plasmid Miniprep Kit, and ~100 ng of DNA was used in a PCR to amplify the insert with M13 forward and reverse primers. PCRs were purified using the Whatman 96-well PCR Cleanup Kit protocol and the inserts were checked for size and for the presence of single clones on a 96-well gel (Amersham). Purified inserts were diluted by 50% with dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) prior to printing onto Corning GAPS coated slides using a robotic Flexys array printer (Genomic Solutions, Ltd, Cambridgeshire, UK) with solid pins, having 120 µm tips delivering ~340 pl. Slides were also spotted with Cy3/Cy5-labelled landmarks and DMSO blanks. The microarray contained: 960 probes derived from a cDNA library made from cold-stressed flowers, 960 probes derived from a cDNA library of ambient temperature dehydration-stressed flowers, 1269 ESTs from the subtractive libraries described in Breeze *et al.* (2004), a further 267 unsequenced probes from the same subtractive libraries, and 3053 probes picked randomly from the unsubtracted developmental libraries detailed above (S0–S1, S2, S4, and S5–S7). Each probe was printed in duplicate on each slide, giving a total of 13 018 probes per slide. Slide replication within the experiment gave a total of at least eight replicates per probe.

Hybridization and washing

Probes were made using 20 µg of total RNA from a TRI reagent (Sigma) extraction (see Breeze et al., 2004 for the method) that was subsequently purified using an Rneasy column (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Indirect post-labelling with Cy3 or Cy5 dye was performed with the Amersham Cyscribe kits according to the manufacturer's instructions. Unlabelled cDNA was purified by ethanol precipitation, and unincorporated Cy dye was removed at the final step using a Nucleospin column (Macheray Nagel). Samples were combined and dried down using a vacuum centrifuge and then resuspended in sterile distilled water. The final steps of probe manufacture and denaturing were performed as detailed in the Amersham Cyscribe handbook and included blocking poly(T)+ tails with an $oligo(dA)_{80}$ primer with a final volume of 60 µl. All probes were replicated at least twice with reciprocal Cy dye labelling, and hybridization was performed using back to back manual hybridization with two array slides, so the minimum number of technical replicates for any sample was four. Slides were blocked in a solution of $5 \times$ SSC, 0.1% SDS, and 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 45 min at 42 °C and then washed in four changes of milliQ water and twice in isopropanol. Hybridization was performed at the same temperature in a humid chamber. After hybridization for ~ 16 h, slides were washed in $1 \times$ SSC/0.2% SDS for 10 min; 0.1× SSC/0.2% SDS for 2×10 min. Slides were scanned on an Affymetrix 428 scanner at a resolution of 10 µm per pixel.

Statistical analysis

Images were first assigned spot identity and quantified using Imagene (BioDiscovery). Genes that were absent in all samples of any experiment were filtered out using Excel (Microsoft) and the data were entered into Genespring (Silicon Genetics) for subsequent analysis. Where possible, two-colour analysis was performed; probes were normalized per chip and per gene using a Lowess algorithm with a 40% cut-off. Genes showing >2-fold changes between conditions and with a spread of <1.4 standard deviations from the mean were entered into a Welch t-test or Welch analysis of variance (ANOVA) as appropriate. In experiments such as the developmental study where four stages were compared, a circular design was employed and one-colour analysis was therefore used. Genes were corrected to a baseline of zero and then normalized on a per-chip and per-gene basis. A Welch ANOVA was subsequently used to identify genes showing significant changes between conditions (Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online). Clones containing genes of interest were grown and plasmid DNA extracted as described above prior to sequencing on an ABI 3700 capillary sequencing machine. Contingency χ^2 test was performed using Minitab15 (Minitab Inc., PA, USA).

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)

RT-PCR was carried out using material from two different harvest times with similar results. Primers suitable for use in real-time PCR were designed using Primer 3 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin /primer3/primer3_www.cgi), checked for primer pair and primer self-complementarity using Operon's oligo toolkit (http://www .operon.com/oligos/toolkit.php?), and the secondary structure of the amplicon analysed using Mfold (http://bibiserv.techfak .uni-bielefeld.de/cgi-bin/mfold_submit) to ensure no significant folding at the primer binding sites. A list of the primer sequences is provided in Supplementary Table S3 at JXB online.

Total RNA from Alstroemeria petals at the various stages of development or from the different treatments was treated with RNase-free DNase (Ambion TURBO DNase) for 60 min at 37 °C followed by inactivation. cDNA synthesis was performed on 2 µg of RNA using Euroscript reverse transcriptase (Eurogentec) with both oligo d(T) primer and random nonamers, according to the manufacturer's instructions.

For the gRT-PCR 1 µl of cDNA was used in each reaction consisting of 12.5 μ l of 2× qPCR mastermix for SYBR green No ROX (Eurogentec), 5 pmol each of forward and reverse primer, and 0.75 µl of SYBR green (1/2000 dilution) (Eurogentec) in a final volume of 25 µl. A standard curve was generated by 10-fold serially diluting a standard cDNA mix made up of equal aliquots of cDNA from all developmental stages in order to span the likely range of gene expression. All sample and standard reactions were performed in triplicate. The real-time PCR was carried out using the iCycler iQ Real Time Detection system (Bio-Rad). The reactions were incubated at 50 °C for 2 min for the uracil-N-glycosylase reaction, then heated to 95 °C for 10 min followed by 50 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C. Melt curve analysis from 45 °C to 95 °C was performed on the end-products of the PCR to demonstrate that only a single product was being amplified. Each reaction was optimized so that the PCR efficiency was between 90% and 110%. The relative gene expression was calculated from the threshold cycle (Ct value) and was normalized using 18S rRNA expression as an internal control.

Results

Stress treatments induced early petal abscission

Two stress treatments were employed in this study, chosen to mirror post-harvest conditions frequently experienced by cut flowers during the transport chain. First dehydration stress was imposed by storing flowers dry at ambient temperature for a short period (6 h) or a longer period (48 h) and then refreshing them in water for 3 h (Fig. 1A–C). The second stress was a cold treatment: in a separate experiment flowers were cold stressed dry at 4 °C for 72 h (Fig. 1D), and then refreshed in water for a short (3 h) or longer (24 h) period. Cold-stressed flowers were slightly wilted when removed from the cold. However, even a short period of refreshment in water appeared to restore turgidity such that inflorescences were indistinguishable from freshly harvested material. Dehydration-stressed flowers, especially when treated for 48 h, showed considerable wilting when first examined, but again a short refreshment period appeared to restore turgidity. The treatments were both started when the flowers were at stage S0 (freshly harvested tight buds, Fig. 1A), and cold treatment arrested any further development. However, the ambient dehydration treatment allowed development as far as stage S1 (loose bud) over the 48 h period (stages previously defined in Breeze et al., 2004) such that each bud was opening and showing colour (Fig. 1C). This is less than a flower would develop over the same time period if held in water. Other differences only became apparent several days later when the flowers were fully open; for example, anthocyanin



Cold stored

Fig. 1. Appearance of flowers (A) at harvest prior to any stress treatment (control), (B) after removal from 6 h dehydration stress at 21 °C, (C) after removal from 48 h dehydration stress at 21 °C, (D) after 72 h cold dry stress at 4 °C, and (E) opening of coldstressed flower compared with control at stage S5, the latter showing much more intense pigmentation. Inflorescences showed some wilting following stress treatments, but this was completely ameliorated by a 3 h refreshment treatment in water at ambient temperature.

pigment development was much reduced in the cold-stressed flowers (Fig. 1E). The longevity of ambient dehydration or cold dehydration-stressed flowers, once replaced in water, was measured as the time taken to tepal abscission (Fig. 2). Cold dehydration stress (72 h) reduced time to 50% abscission by nearly 2 d. Ambient dehydration stress (48 h) reduced the time to 50% abscission by 3 d, with the flowers only lasting 12 d following the refreshment treatment, compared with 15 d shown by the controls. In general, however, the appearance and development of flowers following stress treatment were similar to those of the controls. Therefore it seems that phenotypically stressed flowers followed a normal pattern of development over a more rapid time frame.



Fig. 2. Effect of stress treatments on rate of tepal abscission. Ambient dehydration stress (48 h followed by 3 h refreshment period) accelerated time to 50% tepal abscission by \sim 2 d, whereas 3 d cold stress (followed by a 3 h refreshment period) accelerated time to 50% tepal abscission by \sim 1 d. *n*=20 flowers, each of which had six tepals.

ESTs from developmental and stress-treated petal cDNA libraries

ESTs (1849) were derived from four sources. These were previously published subtracted libraries (Breeze et al., 2004) randomly picked from unsubtracted cDNA libraries representing stages from S0 to S7, from an ambient temperature dehydration-treated library, and from a coldtreated cDNA library. The sequences yielded 270 contigs and 553 singletons. The stress treatments were applied as described in the previous section and were followed by a refreshment period. Using database comparison, contigs and sequences were putatively assigned to 566 independent genes (Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online). As previously described in Breeze et al. (2004), sequences showing the closest homology to metallothioneins were heavily represented in both the developmental and stress-treated libraries. They comprised 358 sequences in 10 contigs and six singletons which represented 19% of the total sequences analysed (Table 1). A putative cell wall protein and a ubiquitin carrier-like protein as well as a genes related to anthocyanin and epicuticular wax biosynthesis were also

Table 1. Most abundant classes of ESTs from developmental senescence and stress-treated libraries

Contig	No. of clones	Source library	Putative function	Nearest match	e-value
Developmental	l libraries				
Contig 175	102	SOS1	Metallothionein-like protein	AY833008	7E-24
Contig 172	49	SOS1	Large subunit rRNA	DQ008809	1E-73
Contig 180	40	S2S4	Metallothionein type II	AF039003	9E-27
Contig 19	30	SOS1	Metallothionein type II	AF039003	9E-27
Contig 239	19	SOS1	Metallothionein type II	AF147786	4E-23
Contig 252	19	S2S4	Metallothionein type II	AF039003	2E-28
Contig 35	19	S2S4	Metallothionein-like protein	AF279655	3E-30
Contig 158	17	SSH0-2	Putative cell wall P8 protein	AC146631	0.0009
Contig 203	16	SOS1	Cytochrome <i>b6/f</i> complex subunit VIII	BAF64867	0.001
Contig 198	15	SOS1	Ubiquitin carrier-like protein	DQ294271	1E-101
Contig 150	13	SSH0-2	GSDL-motif lipase	AY491975	6E-62
Contig 242	13	S2S4	Metallothionein type I	AF039002	1E-22
Contig 214	11	SOS1	40S ribosomal protein S17 (RPS17D)	AT5G04800	3E-64
Contig 128	10	SSH2-45	Dihydroflavonol-4-reductase	AY374471	1E-54
Contig 221	10	S2S4	Large subunit rRNA gene	DQ008808	0
Contig 45	10	SSH2-0	Aldehyde decarbonylase (epicuticular wax associated)	ATHCER1	2E-56
Stress libraries					
Contig 175	48	COLD	Metallothionein-like protein	AY833008	7E-24
Contig 172	22	COLD	Large subunit rRNA	DQ008809	1E-73
Contig 19	15	COLD	Metallothionein type II	AF039003	9E-27
Contig 242	12	COLD	Metallothionein type I	AF039002	1E-22
Contig 263	12	COLD	Arabidopsis unknown protein/CXE carboxylesterase	AT1G47480	0
Contig 180	9	COLD	Metallothionein type II	AF039003	9E-27
Contig 186	5	COLD	No significant BLAST match		
Contig 187	4	COLD	Elongation factor-1 alpha 2		
Contig 239	4	COLD	Metallothionein-like protein (ML2)	AF147786	4E-23
Contig 252	4	COLD	Metallothionein type II	AF039003	2E-28
Contig 154	3	COLD	Ubiquitin precursor-like	EU249995	E-116
Contig 193	3	COLD	Glutathione transferase	AJ441055	4E-72
Contig 35	3	COLD	Metallothionein-like protein	AF279655	3E-30

Petal libraries: S0S1, S2S4 are non-subtracted libraries from combined stages S0 and S2, and S2 and S4 respectively; SSH0-2 and SSH2-45 are subtracted libraries of stage S2 from S0 and combined stages S4 and S5 from S2, respectively; COLD library combined a 3 d cold treatment followed by a 3 h or 24 h refreshment period (see Materials and methods for further details).

well represented in the developmental libraries. The ESTs from the stress-treated libraries also included a CXE carboxyl esterase gene and a glutathione *S*-transferase.

Of particular interest were ESTs from the stressed libraries. The 187 ESTs from the cold-stressed library and the 216 ESTs from the dehydration-stressed library were grouped according to function (Fig 3A, B). The distribution of functional classes differed significantly between the cold-treated and dehydration-treated libraries (analysed by contingency χ^2). A higher proportion of genes from the cold-treated library were involved in biosynthesis, and genes related to redox processes were also overrepresented compared with the ambient dehydration stress library.

Gene expression during developmental senescence and following stress treatments

Three genes were selected to compare gene expression following the stress treatments and during developmental senescence using qRT-PCR. These genes had putative functions as a metallothionein-like gene, an adenine-nucleotide translocator, and the α -subunit of the 20S proteasome,



Fig. 3. Functional analysis of all ESTs derived from (A) dehydration-stressed (6 h or 48 h followed by 3 h refreshment) and (B) cold-stressed (3 d cold treatment followed by a 3 h or 24 h refreshment period) cDNA libraries.

and were selected to represent different functional classes. Expression of all three genes was up-regulated >20-fold with either the cold (3 d followed by 3 h refreshment) or ambient dehydration (48 h followed by 3 h refreshment) treatments (Fig. 4A-C) applied as described in previous sections. Breeze et al. (2004) showed by northern analysis that metallothionen gene expression was first detectable at stage S3 and increased with petal age thereafter. The expression patterns for the other two selected genes followed a similar pattern (Fig. 4D, E). In the case of both the adenine nucleotide translocator and the 20S proteasome α subunit, expression was low in young petals, with a minor peak around the time of petal opening (stage S1) followed by a steady increase from stage S4 (early senescence) to stage S6 (mid to late senescence). In both cases, however, expression was reduced in the latest stage of senescence (stage S7).

Dehydration stress and developmental senescence elicited gene expression changes in a common group of genes.

To compare expression patterns across more genes, microarrays were constructed using the 1849 sequenced clones, and a further 4660 unsequenced clones from the same cDNA libraries. Changes in expression following the two stress treatments were compared with changes between stage S0 (young buds) and stage S5 (early senescence). These stages were chosen to represent genes whose expression changes with the onset of developmental senescence (Fig. 5, Supplementary Tables S2 and S4 at JXB online). The most striking feature was the greater sharing of gene expression between developmental senescence and ambient dehydration stress (281/1345) compared with developmental senescence and cold stress (68/1345). The similarity in gene expression patterns between ambient dehydration stress and developmental senescence was more marked in the upregulated genes. Of the 1069 probes up-regulated between stages S0 and S5, 25% were also up-regulated following the ambient stress treatments while only 5% of them were upregulated with the cold stress treatments. However, of the 276 probes down-regulated between stages S0 and S5, 7% were also down-regulated following the ambient stress treatments and 4% of them were down-regulated following cold treatments, thus the levels were more similar. Probes whose expression changed following dehydration stress and between stages S0 and S5 included several transcription factors as well as metallothioneins and an armadillo domain protein. Amongst those whose expression changed following cold stress and during developmental senescence, genes included a dihydroflavonol-4-reductase and metallothioneinrelated genes. Another feature of these results was the large number of probes whose expression pattern was unique to the specific treatment or developmental senescence. Expression of only 21 array probes was changed by both stress treatments and developmental senescence. All of the sequenced up-regulated probes were metallothionein related



Fig. 4. Real-time qPCR of three genes to analyse their expression following stress treatments (A, B, and C) and throughout development and senescence from stage S0 (closed bud) to stage S7 (petal abscision) (D and E). (A) Metallothionein, (B) and (D) adenine nucleotide translocator, (C) and (E) 20S proteasome α subunit. O is unstressed flowers at stage S0, C is 3 d cold treatment followed by a 3 h refreshment period, and WD is ambient dehydration stress of 48 h followed by a 3 h refreshment period. Each experiment was repeated on a second biological replicate with similar results. Maximum and minimum SDs for each experiment are shown.

(or rRNA) whereas the single down-regulated probe was homologous to the 20S proteasome α subunit B1.

Some gene expression changes were exclusive to stress, and were not shared with developmental changes

Microarrays were used to assess to what extent changes in gene expression at the end of the stress treatment resembled changes seen in petals of the same chronological age held under optimal conditions. Probes whose expression changed post-stress were compared with probes showing little developmental change in non-stressed conditions between stages 0 and 2 (tight bud to open flower) (Fig. 6, Supplementary Tables S2 and S4 at JXB online). Similar numbers of probes changed in expression following either stress treatment but were stable in expression between stages S0 and S2. Of those whose expression changed following dehydration stress, putative functions included respiration, cellulose biosynthesis, and a signalling-related kinase. Those that changed in response to cold included ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, terpene and anthocyanin biosynthesis, ribosomal proteins, and a BCL-2-associated athanogene. Only a small number of probes changed in expression following both treatments but were also stable in expression from stages S0 to S2. Only one probe, a metallothionein, was up-regulated by both treatments but not from stages S0 to S2, and the eight probes whose expression was down-regulated included a gibberellin (GA)-regulated protein, a defence response protein, and a *S*-adenosyl-L-methionine:jasmonic acid (SAM:JA) carboxyl methyltransferase.

The length of stress treatment and the rehydration period affected gene expression patterns

Microarray analysis was used to investigate the effects of a longer (24 h) or shorter (3 h) refreshment period on gene expression (Fig. 7, Supplementary Tables S2 and S4 at JXB online). This experiment was designed to identify which genes show transitory changes in expression following the cold treatment and which show more stable changes in expression. Expression of less than half of the probes was changed following both refreshment periods, indicating that the length of the refreshment period has an important effect on gene expression profiles and that transcript half-life is relatively short. However, of those genes whose expression was down-regulated after the 3 h recovery period 37% were still down-regulated after 24 h recovery relative to unstressed controls. In contrast, only 19% of probes whose expression was up-regulated following 3 h of refreshment were still up-regulated after 24 h of refreshment. The



changed between S0 and S5 (1345 total = 1069 up and 276 down)

Up-regulated	No. of array probes	Notable putative functions from sequenced probes (no. of sequenced clones in brackets)
Dehydration +S5/S0	243	transcription factors (EDM2,plant homeodomain), pinene synthase /sesquiterpene cyclase, MIF family protein/light inducible protein, metallothionein-like protein (ML2), cytochrome b6/f complex subunit VIII, binding protein/ armadillo domain. (56)
Cold + S0/S5	38	Metallothionein (13)
Both stresses +S0/S5	20	Metallothionein (7)
Down regulated		
Dehydration +S5/S0	17	20S proteasome alpha subunit B1, ribosomal protein L13a , 60S ribosomal protein L9 (4)
Cold + S0/S5	9	20S proteasome alpha subunit B1, dihydroflavonol 4-reductase, trans-2-enoyl-CoA reductase, ribosomal protein S12, acid phosphatase/ Molybdopterin binding domain (5)
Both stresses +S0/S5	1	20S proteasome alpha subunit B1(1)

Fig. 5. Venn diagram and details of microarray probes whose signal changed following cold stress (3 d cold treatment followed by a 3 h or 24 h refreshment period) or ambient dehydration stress (6 h or 24 h followed by a 3 h refreshment period) compared with developmental changes between stage S0 and stage S5 (stage S0 petals were from closed buds and stage S5 represents the first visible signs of senescence). The two subtreatments associated with each stress were grouped together. Details of main gene functions associated with some of the Venn diagram intersections are listed below the diagram.

expression of a number of transcription factors of various classes was differentially affected by the two refreshment periods, as well as genes related to fatty acid biosynthesis, a dihydroflavonol-4-reductase, and metallothionein-related genes.

Many more genes showed altered expression following 48 h of treatment than after just 6 h of treatment (Fig. 8, Supplementary Tables S2 and S4 at *JXB* online). However, changes in the expression of substantial numbers of probes were induced by either treatment. Again expression of several transcription factors was changed differentially

between the two treatments, as well as a serine/threonine protein kinase, a cysteine protease, a GA-regulated protein, and an ABA-regulated protein.

Stress treatments elicit changes in the expression of genes related to plant growth regulator (PGR) signalling

EST sequencing identified 24 genes related to PGR signalling. Of these, a large number were altered in expression in response to the stress treatments (Table 2). Of the six auxin-related genes whose expression changed as



Up-regulated	No. of array probes	Notable putative functions from sequenced probes (no. of sequenced clones in brackets)
Dehydration + stable S2/S0	71	Metallothionein, hypoxia-responsive family protein, cysteine synthase (21)
Cold + stable S2/S0	56	Ubiquitin carrier-like protein, metallothionein, NAD-dependent sorbitol dehydrogenase, nucleoside diphosphate kinase, xyloglucan endotransglycosylase precursor (10)
Both stresses +stable S2/S0	1	metallothionein(1)
Down regulated		
Dehydration + stable S2/S0	88	Shaggy-like kinase , glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, dihydroflavonol 4-reductase, cellulose synthase (12)
Cold + stable S2/S0	132	terpene synthase, BCL-2-associated athanogene, ribosomal proteins (31)
Both stresses +stable S2/S0	8	SAM:JA carboxyl methyltransferase, GA-regulated protein, defence response protein (6)

Fig. 6. Venn diagram and details of microarray probes whose signal changed following cold stress (3 d cold treatment followed by a 3 h or 24 h refreshment period) or ambient dehydration stress (6 h or 24 h followed by 3 h refreshment period) compared with probes whose signal did not change during early unstressed floral development (from stage S0 to stage S2) The two subtreatments associated with each stress were grouped together. Details of the main gene functions associated with some of the Venn diagram intersections are listed below the diagram.

a result of the stress treatments, four were down-regulated by the cold treatments, while expression of only two was up-regulated. In contrast, cold treatment appeared to upregulate expression of four out of the six ABA-related genes. Expression of ethylene-, JA-, and GA-related genes was down-regulated by cold, whereas expression of the cytokinin-regulated gene was up-regulated. The dehydration treatments generally induced more down-regulation of PGR-related genes compared with up-regulation (Table 2). Expression of only two PGR-related genes was up-regulated: an auxin-regulated protein and an ABA-regulated protein.

Transcription factor-like genes change in expression in response to stress treatments and developmental senescence, suggesting complex patterns of regulation

Thirty-six EST sequences were identified as transcriptional regulators representing at least 24 different genes (Table 3). The largest group (eight genes) was represented

2914 | Wagstaff et al.



Up-regulated	No. of array probes	Notable putative functions from sequenced probes				
24h refresh following 3d cold stress	781	Ubiquitin related, transcription factors (homeodomain, KOW domain, trehalose 6-phosphate synthase, auxin, ABA, ozone-responsive and hypoxia responsive proteins, metallothioneins, extracellular Ca2+ sensing receptor, bifunctional nuclease				
3h refresh following 3d cold stress	776	Transcription factor (zinc finger), ubiquitin related proteins, SAM decarboxylase, sucrose synthase, metallothionein, glutathione transferase, auxin responsive factor				
Both refresh periods	177	ubiquitin carrier-like protein, metallothionein type I				
Down regulated						
24h refresh following 3d cold stress	504	Transcription factors (zinc finger and homeodomain), sugar transporter, GSDL-motif lipase, an ABA responsive protein, metallothioneins, dihydroflavonol 4-reductase				
3h refresh following 3d cold stress	962	Transcription factors (zinc finger, LIM domain, KOW domain, homeodomain and MYB) sugar and potassium transporters, receptor-like protein kinase, metallothioneins, MEK kinase, GST, auxin and ABA related proteins, dihydroflavonol 4-reductase, ubiquitin related.				
Both refresh periods	562	SAM:JA carboxyl methyltransferase, transcription factors (zinc finger, homeodomainn and ethylene regulated), ubiquitin and proteasome-related proteins, metallothioneins, ABA GA and auxin responsive proteins, BCL2-associated athanogene,				

Fig. 7. Venn diagram and details of microarray probes whose signal changed following 3 d cold stress followed by a period of 3 h or 24 h refreshment. Details of the main gene functions associated with the Venn diagram classes are listed below the diagram.

by zinc finger proteins, but transcription factors of the Myb, Lim, Hap5B, and MADS box gene families were also present. Expression patterns for 21 different contigs and singletons could be analysed from the arrays (Table 3), revealing different expression patterns. Expression of only four of the transcriptional control genes changed during development from S0 to S5. Real time RT-PCR confirmed this result for two of the transcription factors: a MADS box and a C2H2-zinc finger-type transcription factor (Fig. 9), also revealing a more complex expression pattern during development. Transcript levels of the C2H2-zinc finger transcription factor peaked at stage S0 (tightly closed bud) and again at stage S6 (mid-senescent petals) (Fig. 9A), whereas the MADS box gene peaked at stage S1 (young bud) and stage S4 (open flower) (Fig. 9B). Expression of greater numbers of the transcriptional regulators was affected by the stress treatments. Fourteen changed in response to one or other of the two cold treatments, and 17 in response to one or other of the two ambient dehydration treatments. Expression of the same number of transcription factors was changed after a 3 h and 24 h refreshment period following the 3 d cold treatment, but expression of only six was changed at both time points, and expression of four was altered after a 3 h but not after a 24 h refreshment period. Cold treatment appeared to induce more down-regulation than up-regulation of transcription factor expression, and transcript levels of five regulators were reduced as a result of both cold treatments, whereas none was up-regulated. However, expression of only two transcriptional regulators was up- or down-regulated by both the ambient dehydration stress treatments. Each transcriptional control gene showed



Up-regulated	No. of array probes	Notable putative functions from sequenced probes
3h refresh following 48h dehydration stress	649	Serine/threonine protein kinase, papain-like cysteine protease, ozone-responsive stress-related protein, metallothionein, copper-binding protein-related
3h refresh following 6h dehydration stress	148	Transcription factors, abscisic stress ripening protein-like protein, BCL-2-ASSOCIATED ATHANOGENE, calcium- binding EF hand family protein, serine/threonine protein kinase,
Both dehydration periods	474	Transcription factors, metallothionein, dihydroflavonol 4- reductase
Down regulated		
3h refresh following 48h dehydration stress	1187	Transcription factors (Zinc finger, homeodomain) ubiquitin related proteins, cell wall P8 protein, potassium transporter, GSDL-motif lipase, gibberellin-regulated family protein, Bet v I allergen, defence response protein, various chloroplastic and photosynthetic proteins
3h refresh following 6h dehydration stress	193	Metallothionein, transcription factors, papain-like cysteine protease, SAM decarboxylase, extracellular Ca2+ sensing receptor
Both dehydration periods	337	Metallothionein, ABA responsive protein, dihydroflavonol 4-reductase, aldehyde decarbonylase enzyme CER1

Fig. 8. Venn diagram and details of microarray probes whose signal changed following ambient dehydration stress for 6 h or 48 h, followed by a 3 h refreshment. Details of the main gene functions associated with the Venn diagram classes are listed below the diagram.

a unique pattern of expression on the array, indicating a complex network of transcriptional regulation.

Discussion

Both leaves and flowers show a remarkable recovery from moderate stress treatments (Hsiao, 1973) and, in the case of *Alstroemeria* flowers, their appearance following a recovery period was almost indistinguishable from that of untreated controls. However, the acceleration of leaf and flower abscission following dehydration stress is also well established (Hsiao, 1973). In *Alstroemeria*, following both cold and ambient dehydration stress, floral lifespan was substantially reduced from the 15 d achievable under optimal conditions (Chanasut *et al.*, 2003). However, there were differences between the two stress treatments. Cold treatment arrested development which did not proceed appreciably during the 72 h of the treatment; however, during the ambient dehydration stress the flowers continued to develop, albeit more slowly than under optimal conditions. The effects of cold treatment are consistent with those seen in other flowers, for example rose (Faragher *et al.*, 1986) and petunia (Ferrante *et al.*, 2006), and in other lilies where prolonged cold storage also has an adverse effect on longevity (Ranwala and Miller, 2005). The differential physiological response of the flowers to the two kinds of stress treatment indicated that different sets of genes were being activated, as has been found in other systems (Nakashima *et al.*, 2009) where complex networks of regulatory signals activate partially overlapping pathways.

Over 800 new *Alstroemeria* petal ESTs were added to the EST collection in this work, almost doubling the number of ESTs reported in previously published work (Breeze *et al.*, 2004) and providing additional ESTs from stressed material. ESTs derived from stressed material comprised a high proportion of metallothionein genes (>20% for both cold- and

PGR	ID/contig	Function	Cold	Dehydration
			treatments	treatments
Auxin	12.m15	ATAPP1 (aminopeptidase P1), auxin polar transport	D	
	Contig 94	Auxin binding/ubiquitin-protein ligase (AFB2)	D	
	4.b13	Auxin response factor 4	U	
	15.a10	Auxin-induced protein	D	
	14.c13	Auxin-induced protein	D	D
	Contig 229	Auxin-regulated protein	U	U
ABA	Contig 226	ABA ripening protein-like protein	U	
	15.c18	ABA-responsive protein (GRAM domain)	D	
	Contig 1	ABA-responsive protein-related (GRAM domain)	D	D
	10.b17	AtHVA22a protein, ABA- and stress-inducible	U	
	11.115	Supersensitive to ABA and drought		D
	1.j9	Transcription factor, ABA and drought responsive	U	D
	8.p19	Abscisic stress ripening protein-like protein	U	U
Ethylene	15.h15	EIL2, ethylene transcriptional factor	D	D
JA	Contig 57	SAM:JA carboxyl methyltransferase	D	D
Cytokinin	10.j15	Proline dehydrogenase/oxygenase, cytokinin inducible	U	
GA	Contig 122	Gibberellin-regulated family protein		D
	Contig 119	Gibberellin-regulated protein GASA2 precursor	D	D

Cold refers to either of the two cold treatments: 3 d cold followed by 3 h or 24h refreshment, and dehydration to either of the two ambient dehydration treatments: 6 h or 48 h followed by a 3 h refreshment.

D, down-regulated; U, up-regulated. where no response is recorded, array values did not pass the statistical tests; for contigs, a change is noted if the signals from one or more of the probes from that contig on the arrays changed significantly and consistently.

dehydration-stressed material) though the proportion was not as high as the 83% reported for Alstroemeria petal libraries enriched for genes expressed in later stages of senescence (Breeze et al., 2004). This abundance of metallothionein genes has been previously reported for libraries derived from senescent leaves (Gibbings et al., 2003) petals (Channèliere et al., 2002), and ripening fruit (Aharoni et al., 2000; Moyle et al., 2004). Likewise, in studies surveying the stressed transcriptome, metallothioneins are highly represented, for example in drought-stressed rice leaves (Reddy et al., 2002) and cold-stressed Arabidopsis leaves (Jung et al., 2003). The function of such high levels of metallothioneins is not clear; however, they may be involved in sequestering metal ions to avert increases in ROS levels during stress (Reddy et al., 2002). The EST collection also included genes relating to other important cellular processes that have been previously associated with leaf and petal senescence in other systems such as remobilization of nutrients. A comparison of the functional classes of the ESTs between those derived from the cold-stressed and ambient dehvdration-stressed cDNA libraries indicates a difference in the representation of different biochemical processes. Genes related to biosynthetic processes were under-represented in the library derived from dehydration-stressed material compared with the cold-stressed material. Biosynthetic process-related genes were down-regulated during senescence in Alstroemeria (Breeze et al., 2004), suggesting a possible closer similarity between dehydration stress and senescence that between cold stress and senescence.

Since stress treatments appear to accelerate processes associated with developmental senescence, it was predicted

that genes whose expression was up-regulated by the stress treatments would also increase in expression during developmental senescence. This was confirmed in the case of three genes: a metallothionein and two genes related to remobilization and proteolysis, respectively. In the latter two genes, expression was reduced by the latest stage of senescence, suggesting that at this stage remobilization processes were being down-regulated. This indicates that some processes such as remobilization and ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, associated in *Alstroemeria* and in other systems with floral senescence (e.g. Courtney et al., 1994), are being activated by the stress treatment. Gene expression was assessed here after a refreshment period which restored the appearance of the flowers to that of pre-senescent open flowers. Thus senescence-associated transcripts normally only evident after stage S4 are switched on, at least in some cells, in petals that have the appearance of stage S2-S3 flowers. This appears to indicate a change in the coordination of developmental senescence induced by the stress.

Microarray experiments were used to investigate to what extent stress treatments simply accelerated normal developmental/senescence processes or to what extent genes specific to the stress were up- or down-regulated. In general the microarray results were confirmed by the RT-PCR and previously published northern analysis (Breeze *et al.*, 2004). Metallothionein expression was up-regulated on the arrays by a mean of 21-fold (± 3.37 SEM over 140 array probes) between stages S0 and S5, and this is supported by a strong up-regulation on the northern (Breeze *et al.*, 2004). Likewise, metallothionein expression was up-regulated by 2.1-fold (± 0.11 SEM over 150 array probes) following cold stress

Table 3. Expression profiles of transcriptional regulators from microarray analysis

For contigs, a change is noted if the signals from one or more of the probes from that contig on the arrays changed significantly. Treatments are detailed in text.

ID/contig	Transcription factor class /function	Changed S0S5	Changed cold 3 h refresh	Changed cold 24h refresh	Changed 6 h dehydr.	Changed 48 h dehydr.	Down both cold	Up both cold	Down both dehydr.	Up both dehydr.
Contig 111	MYB (anthocyanin pathway)		*	*						
Contig 112	DNA binding, transcription		*	*	*	*	*		*	
	factor					_				
Contig 116	Zinc finger protein	*	*	_	*					
Contig 15	Circadian oscillator		*	*	*		*			
	component gene									
Contig 210	B-box zinc finger protein,				*	*				
	interacts with COP1			_	_	_				
Contig 92	Zinc finger (C2H2 type)		*	*			*			
	family protein					_				
Contig 96	BEL1-related homeotic		*	*		*	*			
	protein 30		_							
1.j9	Homeodomain, ABA and			*		*				
	drought response									
10.j24	Zinc finger DNA-binding					*				
	protein				_					
10.n13	Transcription initiation				*					
	factor IIF beta									
	subunit									
11.f21	KOW domain-containing				*	*				*
	transcription factor									
13.h15	Zinc finger (C3HC4-type	*	*							
	RING finger) family protein									
13.i2	MADS box transcription									
	factor									
14.c3	Oxidoreductase/transcriptional			*	*	*		_	*	
	repressor				_					
14.o20	Zinc finger (FYVE type)			*		*				
	family protein		_							
15.h15	EIL2, ethylene transcriptional		*	*		*	*			
	factor			_						
16.m15	LIM domain protein	_	*							
16.p6	LIM domain protein, actin	*				*				
	binding protein	_								
17.f12	Resistance signalling protein		*							
17.116	Zinc finger (DHHC type)					*				
	family protein				_					
9.c7	(EDM2) (plant homeodomain)	*		*	*	*				*

Downloaded from http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on June 23, 2013

dehydr., ambient dehydration treatment.

(3 d followed by 3 h refreshment) and by 3.6-fold (± 0.28 SEM over 150 array probes) following ambient dehydration stress (48 h followed by 3 h refreshment), in agreement with the strong up-regulation seen with the real-time RT-PCR (Fig. 4A). For the zinc finger (C2H2 type) family transcription factor, the RT-PCR and array trends were again in general agreement. On the array, stage S5 expression was 0.4-fold of stage S0 expression (± 0.19 SEM over three probes), while on the RT-PCR it was 0.6 fold (Fig 8B).

In common with several other senescence-related experiments in other tissue types, far more genes were up-regulated by both development and stress than were down-regulated (Andersson *et al.*, 2004; Lin and Wu, 2004). However, a key finding from the work presented here is that changes in gene expression induced by ambient dehydration stress were more similar to the pattern induced during developmental senescence than that induced by cold stress. Thus the data suggest that more senescence-related metabolic changes might be anticipated in flowers treated with dry ambient conditions than those treated with cold, supported by evidence that developmental events such as flower opening continue to some extent during dry ambient stress (Fig. 1). The fact that fewer genes are down-regulated compared with up-regulated may be due to fewer genes being expressed at stage S0 relative to later developmental stages. The comparison between the selected stages (stage S0 and S5) will also have



Fig. 9. Real-time qPCR of two transcription factors to analyse their expression throughout development and senescence from stage S0 (closed bud) to stage S7 (petal abscission) (A) MADS box transcription factor and (B) zinc finger (C2H2 type) family protein. Each experiment was repeated on a second biological replicate with similar results. Maximum and minimum SDs for each experiment are shown.

missed genes whose expression patterns are more complex and only change in the intervening stages (stages S1–S4).

Many of the individual probes up-regulated by stress and development encode metallothionein-like proteins (Breeze et al., 2004); however, other genes encoding other proteins were also up-regulated, including ribosomal proteins, regulators, and enzymes related to volatile production. The latter, characterized by a terpene synthase/cyclase, was unexpected given that this variety of *Alstroemeria* is not scented. However, several of its wild ancestors are scented (HJ Rogers et al. unpublished data) so part of the terpenoid biosynthesis pathway (Dudareva et al., 2003) may still be present in this species. A gene putatively encoding dihydroflavonol-4-reductase was down-regulated in response to cold treatment as well as during developmental senescence. This enzyme is the first step in anthocyanin biosynthesis (Holton and Cornish, 1995), and its down-regulation following cold treatment may be related to the reduced pigmentation seen in cold-treated flowers compared with controls. Expression of proteasome subunits was also noted in senescing Arabidopsis leaves (Guo et al., 2004), and some groups have reported an increase in proteasome and ubiquitin activity during senescence (Roberts et al., 2002). However, this is believed to be due to post-transcriptional events (Basset et al., 2002). Proteasome subunit genes were repressed during Alstroemeria petal senescence and in Alstroemeria petals that had undergone stress treatments.

In *Arabidopsis*, expression of the majority of droughtresponsive genes returned to control levels following 3 h of re-watering (Huang *et al.*, 2008). Here results from the two refreshment periods after cold stress suggested that there was significant recovery. However, for a substantial number of genes, 3 h refreshment was insufficient to restore control transcriptional levels. This effect was more marked for down-regulated compared with up-regulated gene expression, suggesting that up-regulation of gene expression was more transient. The transient nature of gene expression induced by cold treatment may reflect the activation of transcriptional cascades known to play an important part in cold acclimation (Zhu et al., 2007). Of the 21 transcription factors examined here on the Alstroemeria microarrays, some expression was shared between the shorter or longer stress or refreshment periods. However, expression of some transcriptional regulators was only changed at one of the two time points. This suggests the possibility of transcriptional cascades also occurring during the refreshment period. Transcriptomic studies have investigated gene expression in Arabidopsis following recovery from cold stress (Oono et al., 2006) and found that expression of a substantial number of genes was upregulated during cold acclimation but subsequently downregulated during a 24 h recovery period, and vice versa. Likewise new sets of genes were induced during recovery from dehydration stress (Oono et al., 2003), indicating that different gene sets were required for acclimation and deacclimation to stress treatments.

Expression of a number of genes related to plant growth regulator signalling was altered in response to the cold stress treatments in Alstroemeria petals, as was found with cold stress in Arabidopsis seedlings (Lee et al., 2005). The greater number of auxin-related ESTs from Alstroemeria petals that were down-regulated by the cold treatment is in agreement with Lee et al. (2005). The down-regulation of the genes related to methyl-jasmonate biosynthesis and ethylene response in the Alstroemeria petals following both cold treatments is also in accordance with results from Arabidopsis (Lee et al., 2005). The majority of the ABArelated genes were up-regulated following cold treatment, again in agreement with data from Arabidopsis seedlings (Lee et al., 2005), although in rice, less cross-talk was found between ABA and cold signalling than between drought and ABA (Rabbani et al., 2003).

Dehydration stress also appeared mainly to downregulate expression of PGR-related genes, although expression of far fewer auxin-responsive genes was affected by dehydration stress compared with cold stress. Downregulation of ABA-responsive genes was unexpected as dehydration is generally associated with up-regulation of ABA signalling (e.g. Rabbani et al., 2003). Note, however, that gene expression here was assessed after a refreshment period, so it may be that ABA signalling was only up-regulated during the period of stress, and that there is overcompensated down-regulation during refreshment. This seems likely since in other flowers such as rose (Le Page-Degivry et al., 1991) and daylily (Panavas et al., 1998) endogenous ABA levels rise in response to stress treatments when expression is measured immediately following the stress with no refreshment period.

A number of different transcription factor types were identified from the ESTs, although no WRKY type transcription factors were found. This was unexpected as several WRKY transcription factors are important in leaf senescence in both dicotyledonous (Eulgem et al., 2000) and monocotyledonous plants (Ross et al., 2007). Different patterns of expression were revealed by the microarray analysis for 21 putative transcription factors, indicating some sharing of transcriptional regulation between the stress and developmental senescence (Table 3). This overlap is well documented in leaves both at the level of genes involved in effecting these processes (Weaver et al., 1998) and at the level of transcription factors (Singh et al., 2002). Less progress has been made in understanding the transcriptional control of floral senescence, although some of the characterized transcriptional regulators have also shown an overlap in expression between petal senescence and stress (van der Krol et al., 1999). Relatively few of the transcription factors identified from the Alstroemeria ESTs changed in expression during senescence (from stage S0 to S5) on the microarrays; however, analysis of a zinc finger (C2H2 type) family protein and a MADS box protein by RT-PCR reveals a bimodal expression pattern. Expression was high in buds at stage S0 and S1, respectively, and then again from stage S5 and S4, respectively; hence both these transcription factors may well be involved in senescence regulation. Transcription factors associated with petal senescence have been identified in a few systems such as rose (Channelière et al., 2002), petunia (van der Krol, 1999), and wallflower (Price et al., 2008). However the detailed expression during petal senescence of multiple transcription factors has not been studied in many species. MADS box transcription factors may well be generally important in petal senescence since overexpression of a MADS box transcription factor in Arabidopsis delayed petal senescence and abscission (Fang and Fernandez, 2002). Several transcription factors showed patterns of regulation in one or other of the stress treatments that mimicked developmental patterns, but none responded in the same way to all stresses and development. This may indicate the means by which specific responses are elicited to particular stresses. Further analysis of the roles of the different Alstroemeria petal transcription factors may provide further insights into the regulation patterns of stress responses and developmental senescence.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

Table S1. EST data for sequenced clones including systematic name, EMBL code, contig assignment, putative function, and accession number of nearest match.

Table S2. Normalized microarray data for all probes on the array and all experiments comparing expression of stages S0 versus S2, S0 versus S5, and the four stress treatments versus their respective controls.

Table S3. Primers used for RT-PCR analysis.

Table S4. Gene lists associated with Venn diagrams (Figs 5–8). Statistical analysis of data is detailed in the

Materials and methods. Systematic names are listed for each category on the Venn diagrams.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Rowena Naylor and Rachel Edwards (Warwick HRI) and Gareth Lewis and Ezra Linley (Cardiff) for sequencing. Plant material was supplied by Adrian Franke (Oak Tree Nurseries, Egham, UK) and David Cross (New Cross Nurseries, Barnham Lane, Walberton, UK). The work was funded by a Defra grant No. HH2605.

References

Aharoni A, Keizer LCP, Bouwmeester HJ, et al. 2000. Identification of the SAAT gene involved in strawberry flavor biogenesis by use of DNA microarrays. *The Plant Cell* **12**, 647–661.

Andersen L, Williams MH, Serek M. 2004. Reduced water availability improves drought tolerance of potted minature roses: is the ethylene pathway involved? *Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology* **79**, 1–13.

Andersson A, Keskitalo J, Sjodin A, *et al.* 2004. A transcriptional timetable of autumn senescence. *Genome Biology* **5**, R24.

Basset G, Raymond P, Malek L, Brouquisse R. 2002. Changes in the expression and the enzymic properties of the 20S proteasome in sugar-starved maize roots. Evidence for an *in vivo* oxidation of the proteasome. *Plant Physiology* **128**, 1149–1162.

Breeze E, Wagstaff C, Harrison E, Bramke I, Rogers HJ, Stead AD, Thomas B, Buchanan-Wollaston V. 2004. Gene expression patterns to define stages of post-harvest senescence in *Alstroemeria* petals. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **2**, 155–168.

Buchanan-Wollaston V, Earl S, Harrison E, Mathas E, Navabpour S, Page T, Pink D. 2003. The molecular analysis of leaf senescence—a genomics approach. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **1**, 3–22.

Buchanan-Wollaston V, Page T, Harrison E, et al. 2005.

Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals significant differences in gene expression and signalling pathways between developmental and dark/starvation-induced senescence in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal* **42,** 567–585.

Chanasut U, Rogers HJ, Leverentz MK, Griffiths G, Thomas B, Wagstaff C, Stead AD. 2003. Increasing flower longevity in *Alstroemeria. Postharvest Biology and Technology* **29**, 325–333.

Channelière S, Riviere S, Scalliet G, et al. 2002. Analysis of gene expression in rose petals using expressed sequence tags. *FEBS Letters* **515,** 35–38.

Courtney SE, Rider CC, Stead AD. 1994. Changes in protein ubiquitination and the expression of ubiquitin-encoding transcripts in daylily petals during floral development and senescence. *Physiologia Plantarum* **91,** 196–204.

Doi M, Reid MS. 1995. Sucrose improves the postharvest life of cut flowers of a hybrid *Limonium*. *HortScience* **30**, 1058.

2920 | Wagstaff et al.

Dudareva N, Martin D, Kish KM, Kolosova N, Gorenstein N, Fäldt J, Miller B, Bohlmann J. 2003. (E)-Ocimene and myrcene synthase genes of floral scent biosynthesis in snapdragon: function and expression of three terpene synthase genes of a new terpene synthase subfamily. *The Plant Cell* **15**, 1227–1241.

Eulgem T, Rushton PJ, Robatzek S, Somssich IE. 2000. The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. *Trends in Plant Science* **5**, 199–206.

Fang S-C, Fernandez DE. 2002. Effect of regulated overexpression of the MADS domain factor *AGL15* on flower senescence and fruit maturation. *Plant Physiology* **130**, 78–89.

Faragher JD, Mayak S, Tirosh T. 1986. Physiological response of cut rose flowers to cold storage. *Physiologia Plantarum* **67**, 205–210.

Ferrante A, Vernieri P, Tognoni F, Serra G. 2006. Changes in abscisic acid and flower pigments during floral senescence of petunia. *Biologia Plantarum* **50**, 581–585.

Fujita M, Fujita Y, Noutoshi Y, Takahashi F, Narusaka Y, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 2006. Crosstalk between abiotic and biotic stress responses: a current view from the points of convergence in the stress signaling networks. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **9**, 436–442.

Gibbings JG, Cook BP, Dufault MR, Madden SL, Khuri S, Turnbull CJ, Dunwell JM. 2003. Global transcript analysis of rice leaf and seed using SAGE technology. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **1**, 271–285.

Gombert J, Etienne P, Ourry A, Le Dily F. 2006. The expression patterns of *SAG12/Cab* genes reveal the spatial and temporal progression of leaf senescence in *Brassica napus* L. with sensitivity to the environment. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **57**, 1949–1956.

Guo Y, Cai Z, Gan S. 2004. Transcriptome of *Arabidopsis* leaf senescence. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **27,** 521–549.

Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Research Symposium Series* **41**, 95–98.

Hoeberichts FA, van Doorn WG, Vorst O, Hall RD, van Wordragen MF. 2007. Sucrose prevents up-regulation of senescence-associated genes in carnation petals. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **58**, 2873–2885.

Holton TA, Cornish EC. 1995. Genetics and biochemistry of anthocyanin biosynthesis. *The Plant Cell* **7**, 1071–1083.

Hong Y, Wang T-W, Hudak KA, Schade F, Froese CD, Thompson JE. 2000. An ethylene-induced cDNA encoding a lipase expressed at the onset of senescence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **97**, 8717–8722.

Hsiao TC. 1973. Plant responses to water stress. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology* 24, 519–570.

Huang D, Wu W, Abrams SR, Cutler AJ. 2008. The relationship of drought-related gene expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* to hormonal and environmental factors. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 2991–3007.

Jung S-H, Lee J-Y, Lee D-H. 2003. Use of SAGE technology to reveal changes in gene expression in *Arabidopsis* leaves undergoing cold stress. *Plant Molecular Biology* **52**, 553–567.

Khodakovskaya M, Li Y, Li JS, Vankova R, Malbeck J,

McAvoy R. 2005. Effects of *cor15a-IPT* gene expression on leaf senescence in transgenic *Petunia*×*hybrida* and *Dendranthema*×*grandiflorum*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **56**, 1165–1175.

Langston BJ, Bai S, Jones ML. 2005. Increases in DNA fragmentation and induction of a senescence-specific nuclease are delayed during corolla senescence in ethylene-insensitive (*etr1-1*) transgenic petunias. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **56**, 15–23.

Le Page-Degivry MT, Orlandini M, Garello G, Barthe P, Gudin SJ. 1991. Regulation of ABA levels in senescing petals of rose flowers. *Plant Growth Regulation* **10**, 6–72.

Lee BH, Henderson DA, Zhu JK. 2005. The *Arabidopsis* cold responsive transcriptome and its regulation by ICE1. *The Plant Cell* **17**, 3155–3175.

Leverentz MK, Wagstaff C, Rogers HJ, Stead AD, Chanasut U, Silkowski H, Thomas B, Weichert H, Feussner I, Griffiths G.

2002. Characterization of a novel lipoxygenase-independent senescence mechanism in *Alstroemeria peruviana* floral tissue. *Plant Physiology* **130**, 273–283.

Lim PO, Kim HJ, Nam HG. 2007. Leaf senescence. *Annual Review* of *Plant Biology* 58, 115–136.

Lin J-F, Wu S-H. 2004. Molecular events in senescing *Arabidopsis* leaves. *The Plant Journal* **39**, 612–628.

Meyer Jr. RC, Goldsbrough PB, Woodson WR. 1991. An ethylene-responsive flower senescence-related gene from carnation encodes a protein homologous to glutathione S transferases. *Plant Molecular Biology* **17**, 277–281.

Mizoguchi T, Irie K, Hirayama T, Hayashida N, Yamaguchi- Shinozaki K, Matsumoto K, Shinozaki K. 1996. A gene encoding a mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase is induced simultaneously with genes for a mitogen-activated protein

kinase and an S6 ribosomal protein kinase by touch, cold, and water stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **93**, 765–769.

Moyle R, Fairbairn DJ, Ripi J, Crowe M, Botella JR. 2004. Developing pineapple fruit has a small transcriptome dominated by metallothionein. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **56,** 101–112.

Munne-Bosch S, Alegre L. 2004. Die and let live: leaf senescence contributes to plant survival under drought stress. *Functional Plant Biology* **31**, 203–216.

Nakashima K, Ito Y, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2009. Transcriptional regulatory networks in response to abiotic stresses in Arabidopsis and grasses. *Plant Physiology* **149**, 88–95.

Oono Y, Seki M, Satou M, Iida K, Akiyama K, Sakurai T, Fujita M, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 2003. Monitoring expression profiles of *Arabidopsis* gene expression during rehydration process after dehydration using ca. 7000 full-length cDNA microarray. *The Plant Journal* **34**, 868–887.

Oono Y, Seki M, Satou M, Iida K, Akiyama K, Sakurai T, Fujita M, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 2006. Monitoring expression profiles of *Arabidopsis* genes during cold acclimation and deacclimation using DNA microarrays. *Functional and Integrative Genomics* **3**, 212–234. Panavas T, Walker EL, Rubinstein B. 1998. Possible involvement of abscisic acid in senescence of daylily petals. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **49**, 1987–1997.

Price AM, Aros Orellana DF, Stevens R, Acock R, Buchanan-Wollaston V, Stead AD, Rogers HJ. 2008. A comparison of leaf and petal senescence in wallflowers (*Erysimum linifolium*) reveals common and distinct patterns of gene expression and physiology. *Plant Physiology* **147**, 1898–1912.

Primack RB. 1985. Longevity of individual flowers. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **16,** 15–37.

Rabbani MA, Maruyama K, Abe H, Khan MA, Katsura K, Ito Y, Yoshiwara K, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2003. Monitoring expression profiles of rice genes under cold, drought, and high-salinity stresses and abscisic acid application using cDNA microarray and RNA gel-blot analyses. *Plant Physiology* **133**, 1755–1767.

Ranwala AP, Miller WB. 1998. Gibberellin(4+7), benzyladenine, and supplemental light improve postharvest leaf and flower quality of cold-stored 'Stargazer' hybrid lilies. *Journal of the American Society of Horticultural Science* **123**, 563–568.

Ranwala AP, Miller WB. 2005. Effects of cold storage on post harvest leaf and flower quality of potted Oriental-, Asiatic- and LA-hybrid lily cultivars. *Scientia Horticulturae* **105**, 383–392.

Reddy AR, Ramakrishna W, Sekhar CA, Nagabhushana I, Babu PR, Bonaldo MF, Soares MB, Bennetzen JL. 2002. Novel genes are enriched in normalized cDNA libraries from drought stressed seedlings of rice (*Oryza sativa L.* subsp. *Indica* cv. Nagina 22). *Genome* **45**, 204–211.

Reid M. 2004. Produce facts Alstroemeria, Peruvian lily recommendations for maintaining postharvest quality. University of California, Davis: Postharvest Technology Research & Information Center.

Roberts I, Murray PF, Passeron S, Barneix AJ. 2002. The activity of the 20S proteasome is maintained in detached wheat leaves during senescence in darkness. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **40**, 161–166.

Roca M, James C, Pruzinska A, Hortensteiner S, Thomas H, Ougham H. 2004. Analysis of the chlorophyll catabolism pathway in leaves of an introgression senescence mutant of. *Lolium temulentum. Phytochemistry* **65**, 1231–1238.

Rogers HJ. 2006. Programmed cell death in floral organs: how and why do flowers die? *Annals of Botany* **97**, 309–315.

Ross CA, Liu Y, Shen QJ. 2007. The *WRKY* gene family in rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* **49**, 827–842.

Rudnicki RM, Nowak J, Goszczynska DM. 1991. Cold storage and transportation conditions for cut flowers, cuttings and potted plants. *Acta Horticulturae* **298**, 225–236.

Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Seki M. 2003. Regulatory network of gene expression in the drought and cold stress responses. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **6**, 410–417.

Singh KB, Foley RC, Oñate-Sánchez L. 2002. Transcription factors in plant defense and stress responses. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **5**, 430–436.

Takahashi S, Seki M, Ishida J, *et al.* 2004. Monitoring the expression profiles of genes induced by hyperosmotic, high salinity, and oxidative stress and abscisic acid treatment in *Arabidopsis* cell culture using a full-length cDNA microarray. *Plant Molecular Biology* **56**, 29–55.

van der Krol AR, van Poecke RMP, Vorst OFJ, Voogt C, van Leeuwen W, Borst-Vrensen TWM, Takatsuji H, van der Plas LHW. 1999. Developmental and wound-, cold-, desiccation-,

Plas Linw. 1999. Developmental and wound-, cold-, desiccation-, ultraviolet-B-stress-induced modulations in the expression of the *Petunia* zinc finger transcription factor gene. *ZPT2-21. Plant Physiology* **121**, 1153–1162.

van Doorn WG, Balk PA, van Houwelingen AM, Hoeberichts FA, Hall RD, Vorst O, van der Schoot C, van Wordragen MF. 2003. Gene expression during anthesis and senescence in *Iris* flowers. *Plant Molecular Biology* **53**, 845–863.

van Doorn WG, Woltering EJ. 2008. Physiology and molecular biology of petal senescence. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 453–480.

Wagstaff C, Chanasut U, Harren FJM, L–J Laarhoven, Thomas B, Rogers HJ Stead AD. 2005. Ethylene and flower longevity in *Alstroemeria*: relationship between tepal senescence, abscission and ethylene biosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 56, 1007–1016.

Wagstaff C, Leverentz MK, Griffiths G, Thomas B, Chanasut U, Stead AD, Rogers HJ. 2002. Protein degradation during senescence of *Alstroemeria* petals. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **53**, 233–240.

Wagstaff C, Malcolm P, Rafiq A, Leverentz M, Griffiths G, Thomas B, Stead A, Rogers HJ. 2003. Programmed cell death (PCD) processes begin extremely early in *Alstroemeria* petal senescence. *New Phytologist* **160**, 49–59.

Wagstaff C, Rogers HJ, Leverentz MK, Griffiths G, Thomas B, Chanasut U, Stead AD. 2001. Characterisation of *Alstroemeria* flower vase life. *Acta Horticulturae* **543**, 161–175.

Wagstaff C, Yang TJW, Stead AD, Buchanan-Wollaston V, Roberts JA. 2009. A molecular and structural characterisation of senescing Arabidopsis siliques and comparison of transcriptional profiles with senescing petals and leaves. *The Plant Journal* **57**, 690–705.

Weaver LM, Gan S, Quirino B, Amasino RM. 1998. A comparison of the expression patterns of several senescence associated genes in response to stress and hormone treatment. *Plant Molecular Biology* **37**, 455–469.

Zhu J, Dong C-H, Zhu J-K. 2007. Interplay between cold-responsive gene regulation, metabolism and RNA processing during plant cold acclimation. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **10**, 290–295.