

# *Changes in water vapor transports of the ascending branch of the tropical circulation*

Article

Accepted Version

Zahn, M. and Allan, R. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0264-9447> (2011) Changes in water vapor transports of the ascending branch of the tropical circulation. Journal of Geophysical Research, 116. D18111. ISSN 2156–2202 doi: 10.1029/2011JD016206 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/21846/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016206>

Publisher: American Geophysical Union

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# Changes in water vapor transports of the ascending branch of the tropical circulation

Matthias Zahn,<sup>1</sup> and Richard P. Allan<sup>2</sup>

---

M. Zahn, ESSC (Environmental Systems Science Centre), University of Reading, Harry Pitt Building, 3 Earley Gate, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AL, U.K. (m.zahn@reading.ac.uk )

Richard P. Allan, Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK.

<sup>1</sup>Environmental Systems Science Centre,  
University of Reading, Berkshire, Reading,  
United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Meteorology, University  
of Reading, Berkshire, Reading, United  
Kingdom.

# Abstract.

Recent studies have found contradicting results on whether tropical atmospheric circulation (TAC) has intensified or weakened in recent decades. We here re-investigate recent changes in TAC derived from moisture transports into the tropics using high temporal and spatial resolution reanalyses from ERA-interim.

We found a significant strengthening of both, the lower level inward transports and the mid level outward transports over the recent two decades. However the signal in the total budget is weak, because strengthening of the in and outflow neutralize each other, at least to some extent. We found atmospheric humidity to be relatively stable, so suggest that the intensification is mainly caused by an intensification of the wind related circulation strength.

The exact quantitative values were found to heavily depend on whether the calculations are based on mean or instantaneous values. We highlight the importance for using the instantaneous ones for transport calculations, as they represent the coincidence of high wind speeds and high atmospheric humidity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recent and future changes in the statistical characteristics of the tropical atmospheric circulation (TAC) pattern and associated changes in the tropical hydrological cycle do not only locally affect the weather properties in the tropical areas, but also have an influence on the extra tropical regions. The general TAC pattern consists of convective regions of upward, ascending air movement (ASC) and of regions of downward, descending air motions (DESC), with low level flow into ASC and mid level outflow into DESC commonly referred to as the Hadley Cell Circulation. Along with the upward air motion, ASC contains most of the tropical precipitation and in its annual cycle by and large follows the sun's zenith. Part of the water vapor originates from evaporation in areas close-by, but additionally large amounts of water are transported by atmospheric movements from DESC, causing a largely positive tropical water balance (precipitation - evaporation) at the cost of the dry subtropics.

A number of recent studies have addressed past and possible future changes of the moisture budget or of other components of the hydrological cycle over the tropics or over parts of the tropics. An increase of low level atmospheric water vapor of 7% per degree of warming is derived from theoretical considerations (Clausius-Clapeyron relation, e.g. *Wentz and Schabel* [2000]; *Trenberth et al.* [2003]; *Held and Soden* [2006]; *O'Gorman and Muller* [2010]). A straightforward assumption is a proportional increase of precipitation and evaporation as well.

However reality seems to be more complicated, and precipitation (P) and evaporation (E) changes have not been found to be equally distributed in space, time and intensity and may be constrained by the tropospheric energy budget [*Allen and Ingram*, 2002]. *Allan and Soden* [2008] find an amplification of P mainly in the higher percentile bins, meaning, that especially the intensity of extreme P events may increase with global warming. Also *Chou et al.* [2007] found a P increase to induce wetter wet seasons, but found the dry seasons to become slightly drier as the atmosphere warms.

Looking at precipitation trends *Allan and Soden* [2007] and *Allan et al.* [2010] find large discrepancies between model and observation data. They discriminate between ASC and DESC by using monthly mean 500hPa vertical wind motion from reanalysis data. *John et al.* [2009] define their ASC and DESC alike, and conclude that the tendency of ASC getting wetter, and DESC getting dryer is robust over models and satellite observations. A very detailed study on changes in the hydrological cycle has been undertaken by *Seager et al.* [2010]. They break down changes of the moisture budget into thermodynamically and dynamically induced changes using daily model mean values, and find the thermodynamic part to increase P-E through increasing specific humidity, while a weakening of the circulation opposes this trend. Using monthly mean sea level pressure data *Vecchi et al.* [2006] also find a weakening of the tropical circulation, and *Power and Smith* [2007] a weakening atmospheric circulation indices over the Pacific. Similarly *Gastineau and Soden* [2011, in press] identified a weakening of recent suface wind extremes. *Harrison* [1989] in an earlier study using observed surface winds finds no statistically significant trend, at least for the tropical Pacific. This is in contrast to other studies, older and more recent

MATTHIAS ZAHN AND RICHARD P. ALLAN: CHANGING MOISTURE TRANSPORTS IN THE TROPICS 5

ones, that find a strengthening in the tropical circulation, e.g. by *Whysall et al.* [1987] or *Bigg* [2006] who both find a strengthening of the surface trade winds.

*Sohn et al.* [2004] compiled a global data set of moisture divergence/convergence by merging satellite and reanalysis data, which *Sohn and Park* [2010] later use to investigate changes in tropical circulation and related moisture transports. They find a strengthening over the past decades. *Mitas and Clement* [2005] find a strengthening of the Hadley Cell in its northern branch using two coarse resolution reanalysis data sets, ERA40 [*Uppala et al.*, 2005] and NCEP1 [*Kalnay et al.*, 1996]. They define intensity as the maximum in the DJF averaged stream function between  $0^\circ$  and  $30^\circ N$ , and *Lu et al.* [2007] in the IPCC-AR4 model data find the Hadley Cell expanding in response to increasing subtropical static stability. Their Hadley Cell definition is based on annual means of  $\omega$  at  $500hPa$ .

All these studies and their sometimes opposite results highlight the importance to continue investigation of changes, especially as they are crucial for understanding changes in the P-E budget and for understanding of changes in water vapor transports. Especially in the tropical regions, most of the precipitation does not originate from nearby evaporation (e.g. cf. recycling ratio in *Trenberth et al.* [2003]).

We thus here re-investigate the water vapor transports into the ascending regions of the tropics by applying a high resolution reanalysis data set. Unlike the methods in most of the above mentioned studies, we base our investigations not only on the time mean values, but also on high resolution data of the six hourly output fields. We will thereby

highlight the importance of using instantaneous and vertically resolved values for moisture transport calculations.

## 2. DATA

We calculate atmospheric moisture transports using horizontal and vertical wind components, pressure and atmospheric humidity of the lowest 30 model levels from a recent re-analysis, the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA-Interim [*Simmons et al.*, 2007; *Dee and Uppala*, 2009; *Dee et al.*, 2011] for the period 1989-2008. The data are available at a horizontal resolution of  $0.7^\circ$ . From ERA-interim horizontal and vertical wind vectors ( $U, V$  and  $\omega$ ), specific humidity ( $q$ ) and vertical pressure information were used between  $\pm 30^\circ$  latitude, representing the tropics. Calculations were restricted to the lowest 30 model levels (representing the atmosphere up to an altitude of  $\approx 200\text{hPa}$ ), which contain almost all of the atmospheric moisture. Additionally, precipitation ( $P$ ) and evaporation ( $E$ ) were used as a reference to confirm resulting moisture budgets.

Coarse resolution reanalysis data (e.g. ERA40/NCEP) have been found to suffer from some insufficiencies in the hydrological cycle. For instance *Trenberth et al.* [2005] find differences in column-integrated atmospheric water vapor between satellite observations and coarse resolved reanalysis data. In the pre-satellite era a problem of mass conservation associated with the data assimilation in ERA40 existed. ERA-interim has especially been designed to overcome these issues in the hydrological cycle (cf. *Dee and Uppala* [2009]). We will also show, that ERA-interim moisture budgets from transports are on the same level as the P-E budget. We thus believe that the 4d high resolution data is adequate



for our study of the hydrological cycle. As will become evident in the next section high temporal and spatial resolution, horizontally as well as vertically, large data coverage and homogeneity are crucial to the methodology in our study. These criteria central to our study are so far only met by reanalysis data.

### 3. METHODOLOGY

Most of the studies mentioned in section 1 investigate changes in the tropical moisture budget by means of average variables, for defining ASC and DESC as well as for calculating the moisture transports. However, the spatial distribution of the ASC/DESC regions of the Hadley Circulation roughly consisting of one ASC along the equator and DESC north and south is a rather theoretical construct to describe the mean circulation and usually is not representative for the instantaneous fields. The instantaneous fields are characterized by numerous individual convective cells and convective regions, irregularly distributed over the tropics (e.g. cf. Fig. 1). We take advantage of the high temporal and spatial resolution of ERA-interim and use ASC and DESC not only defined the conventional way by applying mean fields, but also used instantaneous variables. With the latter we hope to resolve individual convective cells or regions much better and more realistic compared to just using means. We herewith also resolve much better the complex vertical structure of the tropical circulation, consisting of lower level flow towards the regions of convection and vice versa in the mid levels.

Our calculations are subdivided into two steps:

1. ASC and DESC and the boundary separating ASC from DESC are identified,

2. the transport of water vapor over this boundary is calculated.

Both steps are described individually in the next two subsections. Trends in the results section will be calculated by a least squares fit, significance will be tested by a t-test based on the respective annual means.

### 3.1. DEFINING ASCENDING AND DESCENDING BRANCHES OF THE TROPICAL ATMOSPHERIC CIRCULATION

In the classical view on the tropical circulation, convection and convergence at the inter tropical convergence zone (ITCZ) drive a wind flow pattern consisting of the moisture-laden trade winds, carrying water vapor into the equatorial tropics at the lower levels, and a poleward directed flow at the mid levels. However such simplification is only valid for the mean circulation and can be more complex in the instantaneous fields. E.g. sinking air motions can take place within the tropics right next to convective cells, and can thus circulate a while within the tropics before being shifted away.

To take account of these more complex circulations, we do not only base our investigations on mean fields, but unlike other studies also apply quasi-instantaneous ones. This does not only hold for the usage of the wind vectors and humidity values applied, but also for the definition of the ASC/DESC mask.

To estimate ASC and DESC, we vertically averaged vertical wind ( $\omega$ ) over each grid cell. When averaging,  $\omega_l$  at each vertical level was weighted by the level's pressure depth. Grid cells with upward total  $\omega$  are recorded as ASC, regions with downward total  $\omega$  as DESC. We used two different ASC/DESC masks, one based on monthly mean values

for  $\omega$  ( $ASC_m$ ), resulting in 240 different masks ( $20 \times 12$ , one per month), and another one based on instantaneous  $\omega$  ( $ASC_i$ ), resulting in 29220 masks (one for each time step). Using instantaneous  $\omega$  enables us to investigate the moisture budget of highly dynamical regimes rather than fixed geographic regions.

Examples for both ways of defining ASC and DESC are presented in Figure 1. The two examples derived from the monthly means (Figs. 1(a),1(c)) closely resemble the uniform pattern of the Hadley Cell, with upward wind motion at the ITCZ and downward wind motions north and south. The ITCZ is located a bit north/south following to the respective zenith of the sun.

In the instantaneous masks for ASC/DESC the situation appears more complicated: unlike in the mean fields, several individual cells of  $ASC_i$  are irregularly distributed all over the area. There is a low resemblance between instantaneous  $ASC_i$  and mean  $ASC_m$  masks, which may have implications when calculating the moisture transports. For instance, the instantaneous  $ASC_i$  cover large regions which on average would belong to DESC, and vice versa. While the locations of  $ASC_m$  are relatively constant over the year (denoted by thick colours in Figure 1(e)), thinner colours in Figure 1(f) indicate the high variability of  $ASC_i$ . Only a few regions in the subtropical oceans belong to  $DESC_i$  more than 80% of the time. Note, that we will use the terms ASC and DESC for all regions of rising and sinking air in both the mean and in the instantaneous fields, although ASC and DESC is usually used for only two centers of the tropical circulation.

### 3.2. ESTIMATION OF THE MOISTURE BUDGET

Calculating moisture transports relates wind vectors with atmospheric moisture content. To estimate the moisture budget, the moisture transport (MT) is calculated along all the  $n_b$  boundary segments  $b$  between ASC and DESC (green lines in Fig. 1). Therefore the perpendicular wind vector (WP, positive towards ASC) and the precipitable water content (PWC) are estimated along each boundary segment on each of the  $n_l$  vertical model levels  $l$ . For each segment on each level, MT then is the product of WP and PWC. The total net moisture budget at time  $t$  then is the sum of MT at each segment on each level:

$$MT_t = \sum_b^{n_b} \sum_l^{n_l} WP_{bl} \cdot PWC_{bl} \quad (1)$$

This moisture budget is calculated in two ways: based on monthly average values for specific humidity ( $q$ ) and zonal and meridional wind  $U$  and  $V$  ( $m$  = mean variables) on the one hand as well as based on (6-hourly) instantaneous values ( $i$  = instantaneous variables) on the other. Instantaneous as well as monthly average values are applied to the monthly mean ( $m$  = mean mask) ASC/DESC mask as well as to the instantaneous mask ( $i$ ), leading to 4 different experiments for the moisture transport. Throughout the paper, the different experiments will be referred to by the acronyms as listed in table 1. Here the first subscript refers to the variables used to calculate the transports, the second subscript refers to the mean or instantaneous  $\omega$  to estimate the mask.

Additionally, 6-hourly accumulated precipitation and evaporation are used for all ASC grid boxes and the moisture budget is estimated from P-E.

## 4. RESULTS

Here the results of applying the moisture transport calculations of the four experiments are described and are compared to each other. This is first done based on absolute values, then based on relative values, MT per m along the boundary, then the vertical profile is examined and finally trends in the lower level inflow and in the mid level outflow are analyzed separately.

### 4.1. Absolute moisture budgets

Presented in Fig. 2 are the time series and annual cycle of the absolute net moisture transport into ASC based on all the instantaneous-mean variable combinations. Also added is the P-E budget, but only for  $ASC_m$ . A large spread in the absolute mean budgets is evident, with the annual mean ranging from as low as  $193km^3day^{-1}$  in  $MT_{mi}$  to as high as  $651km^3day^{-1}$  in  $MT_{ii}$  (Tab. 2). One reason for the discrepancies may be the different sizes and locations for the base areas, depending on whether ASC is gained from instantaneous or mean  $\omega$  (cf. Fig. 3). The reason for differences between the  $MT_{im}$  and  $MT_{mm}$  ( $MT_{ii}$  and  $MT_{mi}$ ), both using the same base region  $ASC_m$  ( $ASC_i$ ), is not so obvious and one would think, that the averaging procedure would not affect the results that much. Indeed, many studies used means of variables for such budget studies. A caveat in averaging  $\omega$  is, that upward and downward  $\omega$  are not normally distributed around zero. This has implications for the definition of the ASC. Over the tropics there seems to be a tendency of a small fraction of grid cells with extensive upward  $\omega$ , whereas a larger fraction of grid cells is characterized by downward vertical wind of lower magnitude. In reality, these are the tropical convective cells, and the area of weak sinking motions. In  $ASC_m$  a grid cell, which is passed by only a couple of strong convective cells could thus

be assigned to  $ASC_m$ , although belonging to the region of DESC most of the time. The difference between the budgets of the same regions will be discussed in section 4.3, where we closer look at the vertical profile of transports.

Despite the difference in base area size and in the mean absolute budget, time series and annual cycle show no systematic differences in their statistical properties: they all take similar courses, e.g. are peaking around 1991 and in the El Niño year 1998 and have a minimum around La Niña year 1999. Correlation coefficients are higher than  $r = 0.6$ . Also all graphs share a moderate yearly and monthly variability (standard deviations are between  $\sigma = 5$  and  $\sigma = 15$ ) and they all have positive, but insignificant trends. Only in  $MT_{mm}$  a statistically significant trend is found, but on a relatively low confidence level. The slight increase cannot be explained by a change in base area size of ASC, which is in fact slightly decreasing (not shown). The temporal evolution of the yearly mean size of the base areas of  $ASC_m$  and  $ASC_i$  is shown in Fig. 3(a). The area of  $ASC_m$  is 10 % larger, and its size has higher yearly variability.

Owing to the fragmentation into many small areas of  $ASC_i$  and in contrast to its smaller size, the boundary around  $ASC_i$  is twice as long as the one around  $ASC_m$  (Fig. 3(b)).

Moisture fluxes over static boundaries have successfully been calculated before, e.g. for the polar regions in *Bengtsson et al.* [in revision], who compared the moisture transport budget with P-E in their area. To estimate the validity of our calculations, we also compared our transport budgets with P-E over  $ASC_m$ . The relation between P-E and

atmospheric moisture transports for a given region and time period is:

$$MT_{in} - MT_{out} = P - E + \Delta PWC \quad (2)$$

with  $MT_{in}/MT_{out}$  being the moisture transport into/out of (passing the boundary) the region,  $P$  and  $E$  the precipitation and evaporation and  $\Delta PWC$  the change of atmospheric water content over the region. Assuming relatively small changes in  $PWC$ , we use the relation  $MT_{in} - MT_{out} \sim P - E$  to compare both budgets.

$P - E$  over  $ASC_m$  is given as a black line in Fig. 2.  $\omega$ , wind and humidity are calculated on a time step of 30 minutes in ERA-interim, which we assume to be instantaneous.  $P$  and  $E$  in ERA-interim are given as 6-hourly accumulated values. The individual convective cells are moving too fast, or, in other words, the instantaneous masks for  $ASC$  are highly variable, even from one time step to the next 6 hours later. This inhibits the use of accumulated  $P$  and  $E$  values for the instantaneous  $ASC$ . Consequently it was only possible to estimate  $P-E$  over the relatively steady areas of  $ASC_m$ . Instantaneous  $P$  and  $E$  also on a temporal resolution of 30 minutes would be needed for balancing equation 2, which are not available to us. Please note, that as a consequence of the 4 times daily instantaneous values, we do not have a continuous integration of instantaneous moisture flux, but rather a set of 4 observations per day.

Among the two absolute net moisture transport estimates for  $ASC_m$ , only the one based on instantaneous variables is close to the  $P-E$  balance.  $MT_{mm}$  is about  $100km^3day^{-1}$ , or about 25 % too high and only the yearly time series share similar properties (same course, maxima and minima). In the annual cycle, two maxima are evident in the transport bud-

get of  $MT_{im}$  and of P-E, in Dec/Jan and Jul/Aug, whereas the Jul/Aug peak is missing in  $MT_{mm}$ .

We conclude at this point that a huge quantitative spread in the absolute amount of the moisture budgets is evident, depending on whether mean or instantaneous values are applied to generate ASC or to calculate the transport. Comparison with P-E reveals a close vicinity to the instantaneous value based calculations, suggesting that our moisture flux calculations are principally realistic. There are also differences in the area size between  $ASC_m$  and  $ASC_i$ , which inhibits direct comparisons of absolute budgets and also highlights the necessity to investigate the area size's influence.

## 4.2. Water vapor flux over boundaries

In the previous section absolute transports into  $ASC_m$  and  $ASC_i$  were lacking comparability due to differently sized base areas. For a more straightforward comparison we calculate the net horizontal transports per meter across the boundary separating DESC and ASC (denoted by green lines in Fig. 1). The resulting unit independent of the area size is mass of water per second and meter across the boundary ( $kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ ). Their time series are shown in Fig. 4 and some statistical quantities are listed in Tab. 3.

Using instantaneous wind and humidity results in transport budgets of similar magnitude (see red lines in Fig. 4(a)), no matter if  $ASC_m$  or  $ASC_i$  are applied. However the budget for  $ASC_i$  is consistantly a bit higher and exhibits lower year-to-year variance. We find an offset in the  $MT_{mm}$  transport budget, which is about 20% higher, and much lower



values are found in  $MT_{mi}$ .

There is a surprising difference between transports into  $ASC_m$  and  $ASC_i$ . Normally averaging would be expected to reduce variability. However here we find twice as high numbers in annual variability for the yearly budget of  $ASC_m$ . The yearly amount of transports into  $ASC_m$  ( $MT_{im}$  and  $MT_{mm}$ ) is extremely high correlated ( $r = 0.98$ ).

Also for these unified transports, all time series share a positive trend. This trend is negligible and insignificant for  $MT_{mi}$ . Trends of annual net transports are statistically significant at the 90% level for the instantaneous variables, in experiments  $MT_{im}$  and  $MT_{ii}$ . Here relative to a similar mean, the trend is twice as large for  $MT_{im}$ . The most pronounced trend is evident in  $MT_{mm}$ , when only mean variables are applied. At the 95% level it has the highest statistical significance of the four experiments.

We find a systematic difference in the annual cycle between calculating the transports from the instantaneous variables and the mean variables. In Fig. 4(b) the plain lines for the transports per month into  $ASC_i$  do not show any significant differences over the year. For the net transports into  $ASC_m$ , however, there are two maxima at solstice times, in boreal and austral summer, and minima at Equinox times. Over the entire tropics ( $\pm 30^\circ$  latitude, DESC and ASC) we found a weak annual cycle for the P-E budget, with only one minimum in boreal summer. We believe that this could be explained by the unequally distributed land masses along the latitudes. A higher fraction of land alters the physical properties, increases average surface temperatures in boreal summer and thus enhances

E, causing these minima in the budget (maximum in the outflow). The one peak annual cycle in  $MT_{ii}$  is slightly visible, with the highest value of  $9.72kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$  in Dec and the lowest value of  $8.98kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$  in Apr and Jul. We conclude that the two minima per year are an artifact of averaging  $\omega$  when identifying ASC and highlight the importance of using the instantaneous values.

### 4.3. Vertical profile of moisture transports

In many studies, the moisture transports are looked at as net transport budgets and idealized as vertical means, or approximated using wind vectors at a particular level (cf. section 1). This especially is the case when satellite data are applied, because such data usually are available for the lower levels only or for the total of the atmosphere. However, the situation in reality is more complicated, especially, as the vertical profile consists of an inflow at the lower and of an outflow at the mid levels. Thus, changes in the water budget in ASC can either be influenced by changing wind characteristics at the lower inflow levels, or by changing wind characteristics at the outflow levels above. Further, in a constant wind regime, the ASC moisture budget may change due to changing atmospheric humidity either at the lower or at the mid levels.

A more comprehensive picture of the vertical in-/ and outflow pattern is shown in Fig. 5. All the experiments show a vertical pattern consistent with the Hadley Circulation, a moisture inflow at the lower levels and an outflow at the mid levels above, above a particular reversal level (RL). Consistent with the low mean values of  $MT_{mi}$  spotted in the previous sections its vertical profile is relatively indistinct, with low inflow and almost

no outflow. In the other experiments the familiar vertical pattern is more pronounced. From the ground up to a level somewhat above 800 hPa, there is a net moisture input into ASC. This inflow peaks at about  $926hPa$  in  $MT_{ii}$ , somewhat lower than in the two  $ASC_m$  experiments, which both peak at  $913hPa$ . Also, maximum inflow per  $m \cdot s$  is a little bit lower in  $MT_{ii}$ . Despite these differences in detail the curves of all the three experiments are by and large similar in magnitude and shape below the RL.

Above the reversal level the situation is different. Although maximum outflows are at about the same level ( $633hPa$ ), the strength of outward transport is weakest in  $MT_{mm}$ , 1.5 times stronger in  $MT_{ii}$  and about 2.5 times stronger in  $MT_{im}$ , which uses the same region as  $MT_{mm}$ . We propose, that these inaccuracies are caused by the use of mean variables for the moisture budget estimations at the mid levels. Along the boundaries, wind vectors can have a positive or negative sign (directed in- and outwards of ASC). Higher values of mid level humidity are found during pronounced convective situations, when high upward  $\omega$  induces higher mid level humidity and stronger outward directed winds at the same time. These situations are represented more accurately in the small and quickly changing area of  $ASC_i$ . When averaging humidity or wind, the temporal coincidence of high outward wind and high humidity are averaged out, causing the systematic bias in the transport budget estimations.

When calculating the total transport budget the lower level positive and the mid level negative net transport neutralize each other, at least to some extent. In  $MT_{mm}$ , where this counteracting of the mid level outflow is represented insufficiently, the trend in the

net moisture budget is statistically most significant. To investigate closer the changes of the in- and outflow, we subdivided the change of the moisture budget into two parts:

1. the time series of the net moisture inflow at the lower levels (below RL)
2. the time series of the net moisture outflow at the mid levels (above RL)

The temporal evolutions of both are found in Fig. 6. Again, the infeasibility of  $MT_{mi}$  becomes evident. Inflow and outflow are far too low, thus  $MT_{mi}$  will be omitted from the following discussion.

Inflows are highest into  $ASC_m$  (lines with crosses). Here they are highest, when mean wind-vectors and mean values for humidity are applied. Contrary to what the mean Hadley Circulation pattern suggests, the direction of wind-vectors may also be variable at the lower level and thus also frequently directed outward ASC, at least in the instantaneous fields. If these are averaged out as done in  $MT_{mm}$ , this does artificially increase the total mean input. In the experiments using instantaneous variables these outward transports are resolved, diminishing the lower level budget.

Nevertheless all the lower level inflows share increasing trends, which are statistically significant at high levels (cf. Tab. 4). Coinciding with this increase of the lower level inflow the mid level outflow is also strengthening. Their trends as calculated by a least squares fit are a bit lower in magnitude, but are all significantly different at high levels. The strengthened outflow counteracts the increase of the inwards directed transport, but is weaker in magnitude. Note, that the sum of the trend of in and outflow adds to the trend of the total net budget of moisture transport (Tab. 3). This counteracting of the

trend in the outflow is most pronounced for the instantaneous variables in  $MT_{ii}$ , where its magnitude is  $\approx \frac{2}{3}$  of the inflow's increase, and is much less distinctive for  $MT_{mm}$  ( $\approx \frac{1}{5}$  only).

We conclude that there has been an increase in tropical moisture transports over the past 20 years, which affected both the inflow as well as the outflow. Because in and outflow are counteracting each other to some extent, a trend in the total net moisture budget is not significant. As the mid level outflow is not represented well when looking at mean values or when using transports at a particular level as a proxy, this trend in the net budget may appear stronger as it is.

This picture is improved when transports are calculated based on instantaneous values, which do resolve the in and the outflow. Then it becomes evident that transports within the tropics have intensified more pronounced than predicted from the mean values only. We find a statistically significant increase at the lower level as well as on the mid level even over a relatively short period of 20 years. The signal of a change of the net budget has increased less pronounced, because the increase of the inflow was to some extent neutralized by strengthening of the outflow.

#### 4.4. Changing atmospheric water content and wind

An increase in water vapor transport may be induced by increasing atmospheric humidity or by an intensification of the atmospheric wind circulation (cf. *Held and Soden* [2006]). We will here break down changes in the transport into ASC into changes in

XM20THIAS ZAHN AND RICHARD P. ALLAN: CHANGING MOISTURE TRANSPORTS IN THE TROPIC  
 humidity and in wind circulation individually. Consistent with our moisture transport  
 calculation (equ. 1) humidity is looked at using  $PWC_{bl}$ , summarized above the reversal  
 level on the one hand and summarized below on the other. For the wind we use a humidity  
 independent measure, the so called effective wind (EFW) as introduced by *Sohn and Park*  
 [2010]. They remove the influence of water vapor changes by weighting the wind vector  
 at a vertical level with the fraction of corresponding total water vapor. *Sohn and Park*  
 [2010] found a significant strengthening in EFW over our time-period on the lower layers,  
 and minor strengthening at the mid levels. They derive the EFW from coarser resolved  
 reanalyses (their Fig. 2(d)) and for the Dec-Feb period averaged over the  $30^{\circ}N - 15^{\circ}S$   
 latitudinal band. Like for PWC, we averaged the orthogonal component of the wind vec-  
 tor along the boundary separating ASC and DESC, across all levels above the reversal  
 level on the one hand and below on the other. Both were multiplied by the corresponding  
 fraction of PWC, resulting in the EFW for the lower level inflow and for the mid level  
 outflow over the entire tropics. The time series of their yearly means are shown in Fig. 7.

The trends in the time series of PWC above and below the reversal level (RL) are indif-  
 ferent. Below the RL along the boundary of ASC, there are high values in the beginning  
 and in the end of the time period, and lower ones in between. Overall we find a slight  
 downward trend, however not statistically significant and thus not in conflict with an ex-  
 pected increase in PWC as a response to atmospheric warming. The situation is vice versa  
 on the mid levels, with lower values in the beginning and end and higher ones between.  
 In the El Niño year 1998, a pronounced peak is visible. Again trends are statistically

insignificant or significant on a low level only.

Total PWC values are highest for instantaneous values along  $ASC_i$ , especially at the mid levels. This may reflect the temporal coincidence of strong  $\omega$  and mid level humidity in the better resolved convective tropical cells, with particularly warm temperatures, higher water holding capacities and stronger upward  $\omega$ , ingesting the moisture and lifting it up to the mid parts of the atmosphere.

We can confirm the strengthening of EFW as demonstrated by *Sohn and Park* [2010] at the lower levels. A distinct and statistically significant increase is found in all of our experiments. However we also find changes at the higher levels, which are highly significant in all experiments. In accordance to our previous findings for  $ASC_m$  the inward directed trends are of similar magnitude to the instantaneous and mean humidity and wind experiment, but at the mid levels, the trend is only half as pronounced in the experiment applying mean humidity and winds, supporting our assumption that situations of high outward transport are averaged out in  $MT_{mm}$ .

## 5. Summary and Conclusion

We have calculated moisture transports from regions of tropical downdraft (DESC) into regions of tropical updraft (ASC) in four different experiments, all based on the high space and time resolution reanalyses data of ERA-interim. As conducted in several other studies ASC and DESC are estimated from vertical winds based on temporal average values over a month. We think that this averaged view is insufficient for a detailed picture of moisture transports in the tropics. We additionally defined ASC based on instantaneous vertical

22  
XMA22THIAS ZAHN AND RICHARD P. ALLAN: CHANGING MOISTURE TRANSPORTS IN THE TROPIC  
wind, which at the high resolution of ERA interim allows for a much better representation  
of the very irregular pattern of numerous individual convective cells and regions over the  
tropics, rather than a 'single' zone extending all along the equator, the more conventional  
depiction of the Hadley Cell.

For both ASC masks, we calculated the moisture transports across the boundary divid-  
ing ASC and DESC. Again we use monthly averaged variables and instantaneous ones.

Our results do not contradict, but modify knowledge gained from previous average value  
based studies:

1. The increase in the moisture budget suggested by studies solely applying mean values  
seems to be too high, as the mid level outflows are underestimated. If instantaneous values  
are applied the moisture budget's increase is lower.

2. We found an under-representation of the mid level outflow when using mean values.  
We suggest that this under-representation is the reason for the higher increase in the mean  
value based moisture budget. Using instantaneous values lower level inflow and mid level  
outflow neutralize each other to a greater extent.

3. If the changes of the lower level inflow and the mid level outflow are looked at  
individually, a strong significant increase is found in both, suggesting a strengthening of  
tropical circulation over the past 20 years. A slightly higher increase of the net inward  
transport results in wetening of ASC according to the literature (e.g. *Wentz et al.* [2007];  
*John et al.* [2009]).



We conclude that changes in the statistical properties may be estimated correctly in sign by using average values or proxies (such as e.g. conditions at the 850 hPa level). In many cases there is no alternative, especially if satellite data are applied, which usually do not contain any vertically resolved information. However a more realistic quantitative assessment requires more highly resolved information. Our approach can easily be applied to model data, e.g. to estimate changes in IPCC-future projections or in sensitivity studies.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank ECMWF for making the ERA-Interim data available. MZ was funded by the NERC PREPARE project, NE/G015708/1.

## References

- Allan, R. P., and B. J. Soden, Large discrepancy between observed and simulated precipitation trends in the ascending and descending branches of the tropical circulation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, 2007.
- Allan, R. P., and B. J. Soden, Atmospheric warming and the amplification of precipitation extremes, *Science*, *321*, 1481–1484, 2008.
- Allan, R. P., B. J. Soden, V. O. John, W. Ingram, and P. Good, Current changes in tropical precipitation, *Environmental Research Letters*, *5*, 025,205, 2010.
- Allen, M. R., and W. J. Ingram, Constraints on future changes in climate and the hydrologic cycle, *Nature*, *419*, 224–232, 2002.
- Bengtsson, L., K. I. Hodges, S. Koumoutsaris, M. Zahn, and N. Keenlyside, On the atmospheric water cycle of the polar regions, *Tellus A*, accepted.

- Bigg, G. R., Comparison of coastal wind and pressure trends over the tropical atlantic: 1946-1987, *Int. J. Climatol.*, *13*, 411–421, 2006.
- Chou, C., J. Tu, and P. Tan, Asymmetry of tropical precipitation change under global warming, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, L17,708, 2007.
- Dee, D. P., and S. Uppala, Variational bias correction of satellite radiance data in the ERA-Interim reanalysis, *Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, *135*, 2009.
- Dee, D. P., et al., The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *137*, 553–597, 2011.
- Gastineau, G., and B. J. Soden, Evidence for a weakening of tropical surface wind extremes in response to atmospheric warming, *GRL*, 2011, in press.
- Harrison, D. E., Post World War II Trends in Tropical Pacific Surface Trades, *J. Climate*, *2*, 1561–1563, 1989.
- Held, I. M., and B. J. Soden, Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global warming, *J. Climate.*, *19*, 5686–5699, 2006.
- John, V. O., R. P. Allan, and B. J. Soden, How robust are observed and simulated precipitation responses to tropical ocean warming?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *36*, 2009.
- Kalnay, E., et al., The NCEP/NCAR reanalysis project., *Bull. Amer. Met. Soc.*, *77*, 437–471, 1996.
- Lu, J., G. A. Vecchi, and T. Reichler, Expansion of the Hadley cell under global warming, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, 2007.
- Mitas, C. M., and A. Clement, Has the Hadley cell been strengthening in recent decades?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *32*, 2005.

- O’Gorman, P. A., and C. J. Muller, How closely do changes in surface and column water vapor follow Clausius–Clapeyron scaling in climate change simulations?, *Environmental Research Letters*, 5, 2010.
- Power, S. B., and I. N. Smith, Weakening of the Walker Circulation and apparent dominance of El Niño both reach record levels, but has ENSO really changed?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, 2007.
- Seager, R., N. Naik, and G. A. Vecchi, Thermodynamic and dynamic mechanisms for large-scale changes in the hydrological cycle in response to global warming, *Journal of Climate*, 23, 4651–4668, 2010.
- Simmons, A., S. U. J., D. Dee, and S. Kobayashi, Era-Interim: New ECMWF reanalysis products from 1989 onwards, *Newsletter 110*, ECMWF, 2007.
- Sohn, B. J., and S.-C. Park, Strengthened tropical circulations in past three decades inferred from water vapor transport, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 11, 2010.
- Sohn, B.-J., E. A. Smith, F. Robertson, and S.-C. Park, Derived over-ocean water vapor transports from satellite-retrieved E P datasets, *J. Climate*, 17, 1352–1365, 2004.
- Trenberth, K., J. Fasullo, and L. Smith, Trends and variability in column-integrated atmospheric water vapor, *Climate Dynamics*, 24, 741–758, 2005, 10.1007/s00382-005-0017-4.
- Trenberth, K. E., A. Dai, R. M. Rasmussen, and D. B. Parsons, The changing character of precipitation, *Bull. Amer. Met. Soc.*, 84, 1205–1217, 2003.
- Uppala, S. M., et al., The era-40 re-analysis, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 131, 2961–3012, 2005.

Vecchi, G. A., B. J. Soden, A. T. Wittenberg, I. M. Held, A. Leetmaa, and M. J. Harrison,

Weakening of tropical Pacific atmospheric circulation due to anthropogenic forcing,

*Nature*, 441, 73–76, 2006.

Wentz, F. J., and M. Schabel, Precise climate monitoring using complementary satellite

data sets, *Nature*, 403, 414–416, 2000.

Wentz, F. J., L. Ricciardulli, K. Hilburn, and C. Mears, How much more rain will global

warming bring?, *Science*, 317, 233 – 235, 2007.

Whysall, K. D. B., N. S. Cooper, and G. R. Bigg, Long-term changes in the tropical

pacific surface wind field, *Nature*, 327, 216–219, 1987.

**Figure 1. Examples of patterns of ASC and DESC from mean and instantaneous vertical wind.** Regions of upward (blue) and downward (red) vertical wind motion and boundary separating both (green lines). Based on mean  $\omega$  in Jun 2008 (a), on instantaneous  $\omega$  at 0:00 am, 22 Jun 2008 (b), based on mean  $\omega$  in Dec 2008 (c) and on instantaneous  $\omega$  at 0:00 am, 22 Dec 2008 (d). Yellow lines mark longitude of sun's zenith in instantaneous maps. Percentage of time steps a grid box belongs to  $ASC_m$  (e) and to  $ASC_i$  (f). Black lines enclose regions belonging to ASC in less than 20%/more than 80% of the time.

**Figure 2. Absolute net water transport into ASC and precipitation-evaporation balance.** (a) Time series of yearly anomaly. (b) Monthly means. Red/Blue lines indicate estimation based on instantaneous/ monthly mean wind and humidity, plain/crossed lines indicate ASC mask based on instantaneous/ monthly mean  $\omega$ . Black line is precipitation-evaporation balance for ASC based monthly mean  $\omega$ .

**Figure 3. Area size of and boundary length around ascending regions.** (a) Yearly time series of the area size of  $ASC_i$  and  $ASC_m$  and (b) of the length of the boundary line around  $ASC_i$  and  $ASC_m$ .

**Figure 4. Net moisture transport over boundary into ASC.** (a) Anomaly of annual net transport of water vapor across boundary separating DESC and ASC in  $kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ . (b) Annual cycle of transport of water across boundary separating DESC and ASC in  $kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ . Plain/crossed lines denote ASC defined from instantaneous/monthly mean  $\omega$ , red/blue lines denotes instantaneous/ monthly mean humidity and wind used for calculating the transport.

**Figure 5. Vertical profiles of horizontal moisture transports per level.** Magnitude of horizontal net moisture transport per model level along boundary of ASC. Positive/negative values denote net transports into/out of ASC. Symbols denote locations of mean pressure and mean transports of the respective 31 used model levels

**Figure 6. Time series of moisture transports below and above the reversal level.** (a) Time series of the yearly mean net moisture transport below the reversal level (level of zero moisture transport budget in Fig. 5, positive below and negative above). MT in  $MT_{mi}$  (plain blue line) refers to right y-axis. (b) Time series of the yearly mean net moisture transport above the reversal level. Negative values denote transports outwards ASC.

**Figure 7. Water Content (PWC) and Effective wind (EFW) along boundary of ASC.** (a), (b) Time series of yearly mean PWC along the boundary of ASC below and above the reversal level (level of zero moisture transport budget in Fig. 5). (c), (d) Time series of yearly mean EFW along the boundary of ASC. Red/Blue lines indicate estimations based on instantaneous/ monthly mean wind and humidity, plain/crossed lines indicate ASC/DESC mask based on instantaneous/ monthly mean  $\omega$ . EFW is mean wind of layer weighted by fraction of PWC in that layer relative to PWC of total column (cf. *Sohn and Park* [2010]).

ASC/DESC based on	MT based on	
	monthly mean variables	6hourly instantaneous variables
monthly mean $\omega$	$MT_{mm}$	$MT_{im}$
6 hourly instantaneous $\omega$	$MT_{mi}$	$MT_{ii}$

**Table 1. Acronyms for the different experiments.**

acronym	mean [ $km^3 \cdot day^{-1}$ ]	$\sigma$ [ $km^3 \cdot day^{-1}$ ]	trend [ $km^3 \cdot day^{-1}$ per year]	sig. level of trend
$MT_{ii}$	651.1	11.74	0.612	ns 0.9
$MT_{im}$	320.3	8.11	0.236	ns 0.9
$MT_{mm}$	404.6	9.39	0.514	s 0.9, ns 0.95
$MT_{mi}$	192.7	5.53	0.017	ns 0.9
P-E in $ASC_m$	320.0	14.03	0.274	ns 0.9

**Table 2.** Statistical values for time series of yearly absolute mean net moisture budget (**Fig. 2**) Acronyms of experiments, average over investigation period (1989-2008), standard deviation of annual means, trend of annual mean (as calculated by a least squares fit) and level at which this trend is significant (s) and/or not significant any more (ns). Statistical significance is tested according to a t-test based on the yearly numbers.

acronym	mean [ $kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ ]	$\sigma$ [ $kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ ]	trend [ $kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ per year]	sig. level of trend
$MT_{ii}$	9.21	0.18	0.011	s 0.90, ns 0.95
$MT_{im}$	8.69	0.36	0.024	s 0.90, ns 0.95
$MT_{mm}$	10.97	0.43	0.037	s 0.95, ns 0.99
$MT_{mi}$	2.73	0.09	0.001	ns 0.90

**Table 3.** Statistical values for time series of yearly net moisture transport per m (**Fig. 4**) Acronyms of experiments, average over investigation period (1989-2008), standard deviation of annual means, trend of annual mean (as calculated by a least squares fit) and level at which this trend is significant (s) and/or not significant any more (ns). Statistical significance is tested according to a t-test based on the yearly numbers.

acronym	mean [ $kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ ]		$\sigma$ [ $kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ ]		trend [ $kg \cdot m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ per year]		sig. level of trend	
	in	out	in	out	in	out	in	out
$MT_{ii}$	11.10	-1.89	0.30	0.17	0.0336	-0.0226	s 0.99 , ns 0.995	s 0.995
$MT_{im}$	11.65	-2.95	0.47	0.2	0.0509	-0.0272	s 0.995	s 0.995
$MT_{mm}$	12.42	-1.18	0.49	0.11	0.0507	-0.0140	s 0.975, ns 0.99	s 0.995
$MT_{mi}$	3.07	-0.34	0.1	0.03	0.0041	-0.0031	ns 0.9	s 0.995

**Table 4. Statistical values of time series of lower level net moisture inflow and mid level net moisture outflow (Fig. 6),** acronyms of experiments, average over investigation period (1989-2008), standard deviation of annual means, trend of annual mean (as calculated by a least squares fit) and level at which this trend is significant (s) and/or not significant any more (ns). Statistical significance is tested according to a t-test based on the yearly numbers. in/out denotes values for the flow below/above the reversal level (see text).

acronym	mean [ $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ ]		$\sigma$ [ $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ ]		trend [ $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ per year]		sig. level of trend	
	in	out	in	out	in	out	in	out
$MT_{ii}$	23.73	14.31	0.47	0.42	-0.012407	0.005182	ns 0.9	ns 0.9
$MT_{im}$	20.77	12.36	0.39	0.33	-0.002367	0.010631	ns 0.9	ns 0.9
$MT_{mm}$	22.87	10.25	0.61	0.56	-0.017624	0.025707	ns 0.9	s 0.9, ns 0.95
$MT_{mi}$	24.97	12.42	0.59	0.62	-0.032337	0.029766	ns 0.9	ns 0.9


**Table 5. Statistical values of time series of lower and mid level Precipitable Water Content (Fig. 7),** acronyms of experiments, average PWC over investigation period (1989-2008), standard deviation of annual means, trend of annual mean (as calculated by a least squares fit) and level at which this trend is significant (s) and/or not significant any more (ns). Statistical significance is tested according to a t-test based on the yearly numbers. in/out denotes values for the flow below/above the reversal level (see text).




acronym	mean [ $m \cdot s^{-1}$ ]		$\sigma$ [ $m \cdot s^{-1}$ ]		trend [ $m \cdot s^{-1}$ per year]		sig. level of trend	
	in	out	in	out	in	out	in	out
$MT_{ii}$	0.303	-0.0581	0.007383	0.004028	0.001018	-0.000540	s 0.995	s 0.995
$MT_{im}$	0.322	-0.0629	0.012115	0.004387	0.001393	-0.000626	s 0.995	s 0.995
$MT_{mm}$	0.31	-0.0321	0.011813	0.002713	0.001322	-0.000356	s 0.995	s 0.995
$MT_{mi}$	0.076	-0.0093	0.002003	0.000736	0.000204	-0.000081	s 0.995	s 0.995

**Table 6.** Statistical values of time series of lower and mid level effective wind


(**Fig. 7**), acronyms of experiments, average EFW over investigation period (1989-2008), standard deviation of annual means, trend of annual mean (as calculated by a least squares fit) and level at which this trend is significant (s) and/or not significant any more (ns). Statistical significance is tested according to a t-test based on the yearly numbers. in/out denotes the values for the flow below/above the reversal level (see text).




(a) Jun 2008




(b) 22 Jun 2008, 0:00





(c) Dec 2008




(d) 22 Dec 2008, 0:00




(e) Percentage  $ASC_m$



(f) Percentage  $ASC_i$




(a) yearly MT anomaly




(b) MT per month


$MT_{ii}$  ———  
 $MT_{mi}$  ———  
 $MT_{pm}$  ———+———  
 $MT_{im}$  ———x———  
 $P-E$  ———




(a) area of ASC per year



(b) boundary length per year





(a) time series of MT




(b) annual cycle MT

$MT_{ii}$  ———  
 $MT_{mi}$  ———  
 $MT_{im}$  ———+———  
 $MT_{im}$  ———x———






(a) time series of lower level  $MT$




(b) time series of mid level  $MT$


$MT_{ii}$  —  
 $MT_{mi}$  —  
 $MT_{mim}$  —+—  
 $MT_{im}$  —x—




(a) time series of  $PWC_{in}$



(b) time series of  $PWC_{out}$



(c) time series of  $EFW_{in}$



(d) time series of  $EFW_{out}$

$MT_{ii}$  ———  
 $MT_{mi}$  ———  
 $MT_{im}$  ———  
 $MT_{im}$  ———  
 $MT_{im}$  ———