

The effects of variation in snow properties on passive microwave snow mass estimation

Article

Accepted Version

Davenport, I., Sandells, M. and Gurney, R. (2012) The effects of variation in snow properties on passive microwave snow mass estimation. Remote Sensing of the Environment, 118 (1). pp. 161-175. ISSN 0034-4257 doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2011.11.014 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/25685/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.11.014

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR



Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

- Title: The effects of variation in snow properties on passive microwave snow mass estimation
 2
- 3 I.J. Davenport¹, M.J. Sandells¹, R.J. Gurney¹
- 4

¹University of Reading, Harry Pitt Building, 3 Earley Gate, University of Reading, Reading, RG6
6 6AL, UK

- 7
- 8 Abstract
- 9

10 Estimating snow mass at continental scales is difficult, but important for understanding land-11 atmosphere interactions, biogeochemical cycles and the hydrology of the Northern latitudes. 12 Remote sensing provides the only consistent global observations, but with unknown errors. We test 13 the theoretical performance of the Chang algorithm for estimating snow mass from passive 14 microwave measurements using the Helsinki University of Technology (HUT) snow microwave 15 emission model. The algorithm's dependence upon assumptions of fixed and uniform snow density 16 and grainsize is determined, and measurements of these properties made at the Cold Land Processes 17 Experiment (CLPX) Colorado field site in 2002-2003 used to quantify the retrieval errors caused by 18 differences between the algorithm assumptions and measurements. Deviation from the Chang 19 algorithm snow density and grainsize assumptions gives rise to an error of a factor of between two 20 and three in calculating snow mass. The possibility that the algorithm performs more accurately 21 over large areas than at points is tested by simulating emission from a 25km diameter area of snow 22 with a distribution of properties derived from the snow pit measurements, using the Chang 23 algorithm to calculate mean snow-mass from the simulated emission. The snow mass estimation 24 from a site exhibiting the heterogeneity of the CLPX Colorado site proves only marginally different 25 than that from a similarly-simulated homogeneous site. The estimation accuracy predictions are

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 1 of 28

tested using the CLPX field measurements of snow mass, and simultaneous SSM/I and AMSR-E
 snow pit measurements.

28

29 Keywords: snow, remote sensing, passive microwave

30

31 **1.** Introduction

32

33 Remote sensing is the only feasible way to monitor the global distribution of snow mass, which is 34 important for water resource management, environmental risk assessment and to determine the 35 sensitivity of climate to change (Randall et al., 2007). Comparisons between global models, 36 reanalysis data and satellite observations have revealed differences in distribution and magnitude of 37 snow water equivalent (Clifford, 2010), but errors in the observations must be quantified in order to 38 assess the accuracy of the models. Chang et al. (1987) used a simple model of soil/snow microwave 39 emission to devise a means for estimating snow water equivalent (SWE) in mm from passive 40 microwave measurements, by multiplying the difference between the horizontally-polarised 19GHz and 37GHz emission by a factor of 4.77, assuming snow density of 300kgm⁻³. This technique. 41 42 which we refer to here as the Chang algorithm, was recommended for snow no deeper than a metre, 43 approximately equivalent to a snow water equivalent of 300mm, due to increasing non-linearity in 44 the relationship around this depth. The Chang algorithm has, with minor variations, been operationally used since 1987 to estimate snow mass globally from satellite observations from 45 46 instruments such as SSM/I and AMSR-E.

47

48 There have been a few comparisons between snow mass measured by the Chang algorithm and by 49 ground-based observation, showing both substantial over- and underestimation. Armstrong & 50 Brodzik (2000) found a substantial underestimation around 20-40% in SWE when applying the 51 Chang algorithm to snow in the former Soviet Union in the Winter 1988-89 season, for SWE

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 2 of 28

52 between 10mm and 100mm. Pardé et al. (2007) found the Chang algorithm to overestimate snow mass with an RMSE of 40mm over Winter in 2002-2003 in Central Canada, for a range of SWE 53 54 between about 20mm and 150mm. They improved this to an RMSE of 12mm by incorporating a 55 simultaneous retrieval of snow grain size into an inversion of the Helsinki University of Technology 56 (HUT) model (Pulliainen et al., 1999). Butt (2009) demonstrated that a retrieval applying the Chang 57 algorithm to SSM/I observations of snow in the UK with a mean depth of 90mm (so a SWE 58 approximately 30mm), with depths up to 500mm, underestimated snow depth by a mean of 51%. 59 He also demonstrated an approach to resolving this by a simultaneous retrieval of snow grain size, 60 improving performance to a mean 11% overestimate. This seems to indicate a considerable range of 61 performance of the Chang algorithm, apparently dependent upon the physical characteristics of the 62 snow local to each study. We aim here to explore more generally the relationship between the physical characteristics of snow and the efficacy of the Chang algorithm, illustrate how simulating 63 64 the retrieval can identify the flawed assumptions, and validate the approach by estimating snow 65 mass from remotely-sensed data in an area with an extensive set of physical measurements.

66

By modelling the emission of microwave radiation by a snowpack and the underlying ground, we 67 firstly test the dependence of the microwave emission of a snowpack/ground combination upon the 68 69 physical characteristics of the snow, using the Helsinki University of Technology (HUT) snow 70 microwave emission model, and use this to estimate how the Chang algorithm performance would 71 be affected by variation in snow properties. To evaluate the effects of this variation on snow mass 72 estimation, we also need to know how much variability in these properties is typically found in 73 snow. We study this by using the planimetrically extensive measurements made at snow pits in the 74 CLPX Colorado site in 2002-3. We examine how accurately the Chang algorithm would retrieve 75 snow mass from snow with these characteristics by simulating emission with the HUT emission 76 model driven by measured snow properties. Finally, we compare our predictions of the accuracy of

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 3 of 28

77	the Chang algorithm over the CLPX area to the application of the algorithm to SSM/I and AMSR-E				
78	measurements.				
79					
80	2. Methods				
81					
82	2.1. The sensitivity of the Chang algorithm to snow grain diameter and density				
83					
84	Most SWE retrievals make use of an empirical retrieval first derived by Chang et al. (1987),				
85	consisting of a linear fit to brightness temperatures at 18GHz and 37GHz, equation (1):				
86					
	$SWE(mm) = 4.77 (TB_{18H} - TB_{37H})$ Equation (1)				
87					
88	where TB _{18H} refers to the microwave brightness temperature measured at 18GHz at horizontal				
89	polarization, and TB37H refers to the microwave brightness temperature measured at 37GHz at				
90	horizontal polarization. The gradient of the linear fit, in this equation 4.77, depends on the density				
91	and grain diameter of the snowpack. Whilst it is clear that a density of 300 kg m ⁻³ was used to				
92	determine the gradient, the grain diameter used is uncertain. Chang et al. (1987) refer to a figure				
93	which shows brightness temperature curves as a function of SWE for two different grain radii,				
94	0.3mm and 0.5mm, and describe the algorithm as a linear fit from the data shown in the figure, but				
95	it is not clear which grain radius, or whether a combination of both, were used. Many authors (e.g.				
96	Foster et al., 1997, Kelly et al., 2003, Butt, 2009) have assumed this algorithm relates to a grain				
97	radius of 0.3mm.				
98					
99	To test the effect of variation in grain diameter, we use the Helsinki University of Technology				
100	emission model (Pulliainen et al., 1999) driven by a range of snow water equivalents and grain				
101	diameters to simulate emission at 19 and 37GHz, 53° from vertical, and apply the Chang algorithm				

to estimate snow mass from this emission, indicating how the algorithm is affected by snow grain diameter. To investigate the effects of variation in snow density, we use a fixed grain diameter and range of snow water equivalents and densities, and apply the Chang algorithm to the emission to retrieve snow water equivalent. For the purposes here, some parameters have a negligible effect (Parde et al., 2007), and are kept constant, eg. soil moisture is assumed 0.1 m³m⁻³, soil temperature 272.15K, snow temperature 263.5K, and snow salinity set to zero.

108

109 2.2 The dependence of snow variability on planimetric scale

110

111 A semi-variance analysis is used to examine the characteristic length scale of variability of 112 measured snow properties, to test for evidence that certain spatial scales are more suitable than 113 others for averaging snow properties and estimating snow mass. It is possible that the increased 114 variability of snow properties over large areas mean that the remote sensing relationships with areal 115 snow mass are different, possibly better, than the relationships found at an individual field site. We 116 attempt to identify whether the range of snow properties measured has a strong dependence upon 117 spatial scale by geostatistical analysis of snow properties. The semivariance $\gamma(d)$ for distance d of a 118 set of spatially distributed measurements of z(x) is given by comparing all pairs of measurements of 119 z separated by d, of which there are n(d), using equation (2).

120

$$\gamma(d) = \frac{1}{2n(d)} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n(d)} \left(z(\underline{x_i} + d) - z(\underline{x_i}) \right) \qquad \text{Equation (2)}$$

121

The NASA Cold Land Processes Experiment (CLPX) experiment produced a large number of
measurements of snow properties, mass, and other variables in Colorado over 2002-2003 (Cline et
al., 2002, Cline et al., 2002a, Elder et al., 2009). Figure 1 shows a map of the area of the
experiment, and the locations of the main field sites. There were four Intensive Observation Periods
(IOPs) during the snow seasons, over the periods February 2002, March 2002, February 2003 and *Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 5 of 28*

March 2003. Anisotropic distance semivariograms were calculated from the measurements of mean snow grain diameter in the top 5cm snow layer, snow water equivalent, snow depth, and mean snow density throughout the pack, using the North Park Meso-cell Study Area (MSA) measurements made during IOP3 over 20-23 Feb 2003. Three small intensive sets of measurements within this area were excluded from this analysis, since when analysed separately they showed semivariance consistently around double that of the rest of the measurements, suggesting a different measurement technique with a higher measurement error.

134

135 2.3. Calculation of mean snow properties

136

The snow pit measurements made during all four Intensive Observation Periods over the entire area of the CLPX experiment in Colorado were used to calculate the mean snow grain diameter and density within a number of SWE classes. These classes were designed to each encompass snow with a range of SWE with similar properties. Each snow pit measurement set included the minor and major axis diameters of medium size grains, and the mean of these measurements down through the snow layers is used here as representative of the site grain diameter. The depth-integrated mean snow density at each site was used to calculate the mean density within each SWE class.

144

145 2.4. The effects of measured snow properties on snow mass retrieval via the Chang algorithm

146

To assess the effects of measured values of density and grain diameter on the accuracy of the Chang algorithm, microwave emission at 19 and 37GHz at 53° from the vertical was simulated using the HUT model, driven by the mean snowpit measurements of SWE, density and grain diameter within the SWE classes described in Section 2.3. For each SWE class, we applied the Chang algorithm to the modelled emission, and compared the SWE estimated by the algorithm to the SWE driving the emission model. To distinguish between the effects of grain diameter and density, they were

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 6 of 28

153 separately changed within the forward model from the Chang algorithm assumptions to the 154 measured class mean values. This demonstrates, for any given SWE, how accurately the Chang 155 algorithm would estimate snow mass, depending on whether its assumptions of grain diameter and 156 snow density are correct, or whether one or both more closely correspond in reality to the 157 measurements on the CLPX site.

- 158

159 2.5. The effect of heterogeneity in snow properties on snow mass retrieval via the Chang algorithm 160

161 To test the hypothesis that the spatial heterogeneity in snow properties over a large area improves 162 the accuracy of the Chang algorithm, the microwave emission from a snowpack with the distribution of SWE found within CLPX site was modelled. Having considered the case of a simple, 163 164 homogeneous snowpack, where the density and grain diameter are a function of SWE in Section 2.4 165 above, we here consider a more realistic heterogeneous snowpack, with the range of density and grain diameter occurring in the CLPX site within each SWE class. We simulated this by estimating 166 167 probability density functions (PDFs) of these properties within each class, rather than, as in 168 Section 2.4, simply using the mean of class measurements. In this case, the relationship between 169 modelled and retrieved SWE was calculated for each class by modelling the emission for a range of density/grain diameter combinations. 20 density values between 40kgm⁻³ and 400kgm⁻³, and 27 170 171 grain diameter between 0.2mm and 5.4mm, and weighting the mean emission according to the PDFs. This allows us to predict for a site with any given SWE, what the algorithm estimate of SWE 172 173 will be, if the site has a plausible distribution of grain diameter and density. The effect on the 174 overall SWE estimation from a site with the distribution of SWE measured within North Park MSA 175 during IOP3 was also calculated.

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 7 of 28

176

177 2.6. Comparison of snow mass estimates from satellite data to ground measurements.

178

179	We empirically tested the accuracy of the Chang algorithm by calculating the remotely-sensed SWE				
180	for the site for each of the IOPs, using the SSM/I (Brodzik, 2003) and AMSR-E (Brodzik, 2003a)				
181	measurements taken within the ground measurement time span. Armstrong & Brodzik (2001) show				
182	that reducing the brightness temperature difference in this equation by 5K provides more accurate				
183	results with SSM/I data, primarily because the algorithm was designed for 18GHz and 37GHz				
184	measurements, rather than the 19GHz and 37GHz used by SSM/I and AMSR-E, and we apply this				
185	correction in applying the algorithm.				
186					
187	3. Results				
188					
189	3.1. The sensitivity of the Chang algorithm to snow grain diameter and density				
190					
191	The Figure 2(a) ordinate shows the SWE used to simulate snowpack emission, the abscissa shows				
192	the SWE that would be retrieved from this emission using the Chang algorithm, and the 1:1 line				
193	represents a perfect retrieval. The retrieval which assumes a grain diameter of 0.8mm follows the				
194	perfect retrieval 1:1 line closely for low SWE, which suggests that the algorithm constant proposed				
195	in Chang et al. (1987) was chosen to work with a snow grain diameter of 0.8mm, or a radius of				
196	0.4mm, mid-way between the two radii 0.3mm and 0.5mm showed in the figure. Using				
197	Chang et al. (1987)'s Figure 1, it is easy to show that if only the 0.3mm radius emission curves had				
198	been used to calculate the constant, as has been widely assumed, the ratio between SWE and				
199	$(TB_{18H} - TB_{37H})$ would have been around 6, rather than the 4.77 given (after assuming snow density				
200	of 300kgm ⁻³), yielding SWE estimates 26% higher than an estimate based on the 0.3mm radius				

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 8 of 28

assumption. The coefficient given seems to derive from the mean of the ratio between SWE and (TB_{18H} - TB_{37H}) at 40cm SWE, averaged across both 0.3mm and 0.5mm snow grain radii.

204 Figure 2(b) shows the algorithm's sensitivity to variation in density assuming the grain diameter is fixed at 0.8mm. The effect ranges from underestimation at density of 400kgm⁻³ to overestimates of 205 a factor of six at a density of 50kgm⁻³. It can be seen that the algorithm is most accurate where the 206 density and grain diameter exactly match the values used to formulate the algorithm, and that it 207 208 starts to fail above about 150mm SWE. The suggestion in Chang et al. (1987) that the algorithm not 209 be applied to snow depth greater than 1 meter, equivalent to approximately 300mm SWE given a snow density of 300kgm⁻³, seems to be a judgment based on the degree of acceptable error, possibly 210 211 around a SWE estimation error of 10%.

212

The relationships between modelled and estimated SWE shown in Figure 2 strongly suggest that the Chang algorithm constant was formulated to fit snow with a grain diameter of 0.8mm, rather than the 0.6m diameter, 0.3mm radius often assumed. The impact of this misinterpretation on subsequent work is probably small, as the range of snow grainsize found in work citing it (e.g. Foster et al., 1997, Kelly et al., 2003, Butt, 2009) is far larger than this discrepancy.

218

219 *3.2. The dependence of snow variability on planimetric scale*

220

The data density in the IOP measurements allowed semivariograms with reasonable uncertainty to be calculated between lags of 5km and 25km. These showed negligible change in semivariance of mean grain diameter, density and snow water equivalent over this lag range. Mean grain diameter showed a semivariance around 0.27mm², regardless of distance lag, suggesting a standard deviation in measurements of 0.7mm which is invariant with sample spacing within the 5km-25km range. SWE semivariance varies little from 500mm², snow depth semivariance is around 70cm², and mean

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 9 of 28

density semivariance $3000 \text{kg}^2 \text{m}^{-6}$. This suggests that heterogeneity is scale-independent over the 5km-25km distance range. We have therefore not considered further the effect of spatial scale within this work, as the sampling density within these data will not provide reliable results outside this range.

231

The only other comparable work in geostatistical analysis of snow properties covers the northern 232 233 Great Plains region of the USA (Chang et al., 2005), and indicates that ground-measured snow depth has a nugget (minimum) semivariance of about 100cm², and reaches a sill (maximum) of 234 approximately 400cm^2 at a lag of 500km. The 70cm^2 snow depth semivariance in the CLPX 235 236 measurements indicates that they are more consistent than those taken during the Great Plains 237 fieldwork, possibly reflecting a more accurate measurement system. Assuming this to be the case, 238 the lack of a trend in semivariance across the 5km - 25km lag range would not be inconsistent with 239 the semivariance behaviour within the Great Plains data, which varied little over the same distance 240 range. The implication that might be deduced from this is that in order to estimate snow variability 241 over a 25km scale, sampling a sub-area of 5km should prove adequate. This result may well not be 242 globally applicable however, as the measurement sites in this experiment were of necessity close to roads rather than evenly distributed through the area, and the range of snow depth is not globally 243 244 representative.

245

246 3.3. Calculation of mean snow properties

247

The class SWE ranges, and means of snow density and grain diameter within the classes for the observations made over Intensive Observation Periods (IOPs) 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the CLPX Colorado site are shown in Table 1, and illustrated in Figure 3. Snow water equivalent measurements were made to the nearest whole mm.

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 10 of 28

The snow properties for snow mass in the sub-300mm SWE range where we expect the Chang 253 254 algorithm to be effective show considerable deviation from the values assumed in the algorithm. 255 Whilst measurements using different techniques can give a variety of snow grain size estimates, 256 making the absolute grain size values subject to interpretation, the considerable variability in snow 257 grain diameter in the low SWE range should be reflected by any self-consistent measurement 258 system. In this data, snow diameter only reaches a value consistently close to that assumed by the 259 Chang algorithm above 300mm SWE, in a regime where the algorithm is not applied because of nonlinearity in the modelled relationship. Similarly, there is a substantial variation in the range of 260 snow density at low SWE, mostly more than 100kgm⁻³ below the 300kgm⁻³ algorithm assumption. 261 The mean snow density over all pits during IOP3 was in fact 145kgm⁻³, less than half the algorithm 262 263 assumed value. This dataset has limitations, since the pit locations are of necessity close to roads, 264 and the snow depth is relatively low, however it remains the most appropriate for this work, and similar measurements taken at Reynolds Creek Experimental Watershed over thirty years (Marks el 265 al, 2000) show a similar relationship between density and SWE, with density about 30kgm⁻³ higher 266 267 than the CLPX measurements for SWE below 300mm.

- 268
- 269 3.4. The effects of measured snow properties on snow mass retrieval via the Chang algorithm
- 270

The effect of using the mean snow grain diameter and density measurements from the CLPX site (Table 1, Figure 3) in the emission model is shown in Figure 4. The snow water equivalent used in the forward modelling is shown along the ordinate; the abscissa denotes the snow water equivalent calculated from the simulated microwave emission driven by the measured mean values of mean snow density and grain diameter. A line shows the 1:1 mapping expected if the Chang algorithm exactly calculated the snow water equivalent. The other lines show the mapping between the input

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 11 of 28

277	SWE and that derived from applying the Chang algorithm to the HUT model driven by these
278	different assumptions of snow grain diameter and density :-

- Snow grain diameter of 0.8mm and density of 300kgm⁻³, both as assumed by the Chang
 algorithm
- Snow grain diameter of 0.8mm as assumed by the Chang algorithm, snow density according
 to the CLPX measurements in Table 1
- Snow grain diameter according to the CLPX measurements in Table 1, snow density
 300kgm⁻³, as assumed by the Chang algorithm
- Snow grain diameter and density both according to the CLPX measurements in Table 1.
- 286

287 For most of the range of SWE, the algorithm overestimates SWE by a factor of between 2 and 3. 288 The relative effects of the deviation from the algorithm values of grain diameter and density can be 289 seen by replacing the algorithm values used within the emission model individually. The dashed 290 line shows that using the algorithm grain diameter of 0.8mm in the HUT model, and using only the 291 density from the snowpit measurements gives rise to a small increase in the estimated SWE over the expected retrieval. Using the snowpit grainsize measurements with the 300kgm⁻³ algorithm density 292 293 in the emission model gives a far greater estimated SWE difference, indicating that the difference 294 between the grainsize assumed in the algorithm and that measured in the snow pits is the dominant 295 cause of this SWE over-estimation. Below 300mm SWE, the mean departure from exact retrieval caused by the CLPX-measured grain size is just over five times greater than that caused by using 296 297 the CLPX-measured density.

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 12 of 28

- 298
- 3.5. The effect of heterogeneity in snow properties on snow mass retrieval via the Chang
 algorithm
- 301

321

302 The Chang algorithm estimates of SWE from the HUT-simulated emission are shown in Figure 5, 303 for each class for homogeneous snow, and heterogeneous snow where variability in individual pit 304 measurements is incorporated. The mean simulated emission from the 109 North Park MSA pits 305 measured during IOP3 would yield an overall retrieved SWE of 62.4mm assuming snow was 306 homogeneous within the SWE classes, and 72.8mm for heterogeneous snow. The field 307 measurements of SWE used to drive the emission model had a mean of 23.8mm. 308 309 The heterogeneity does not seem to make a significant difference, though there is an apparent 310 reduction for SWE above 150mm. This would imply, for example, that a snow pack with a mean 311 SWE of 200mm with the range of snow properties seen at this site for such a SWE would have a 312 retrieved SWE of 370, whereas a snowpack with a SWE of 200mm and appropriate uniform mean 313 properties would have a retrieved SWE around 500mm. For most of the SWE regime, this indicates 314 that the variation in properties seen on this scale does not give rise to a substantial improvement in 315 soil moisture retrieval from the Chang algorithm. 316 317 3.6. *Comparison of snow mass estimates from satellite data to ground measurements.* 318 319 Whilst SSM/I measurements were available for all four IOPs, AMSR-E measurements were only 320 available for IOPs 3 and 4. Snow liquid water content was assessed qualitatively on-site by those

322 results are tabulated in Table 2. These indicate that during IOP2, the snow was judged to be far

taking the physical measurements for a number of pits as either 'dry', 'moist' or 'wet', and the

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 13 of 28

wetter than during the other periods, and we expect the estimation accuracy to be poor in suchconditions.

325

The snow mass estimates calculated from the satellite-measured brightness temperatures and the mean of the snow water equivalent snow-pit measurements is plotted for each IOP in Figure 6; the temporal extent of the snow-pit lines indicates the time span of the pit measurement campaign. The SWE estimates based on applying the Chang algorithm to the mean brightness temperatures during each campaign are also given in Figure 6, with the mean of the pit SWE measurements for each IOP.

332

These figures show an estimated mean snow mass calculated from SSM/I and AMSR-E measurements approximately twice the value measured on the ground, in line with the analysis of Section 3.4 and Figure 4. For IOP3, where AMSR-E measurements are also available, they are somewhat lower than those based on the SSM/I measurements, through it is difficult to draw conclusions from such a small set of observations. The estimation fails as expected for the IOP2 measurements because the liquid water within the snow has substantially reduced penetration of the 19GHz radiation through the snow pack.

340

341 *4.* Conclusions

342

Based on the physical properties of the snow measured at the CLPX Colorado site, and the HUT microwave emission model, snow mass calculations using the Chang algorithm overestimate snow mass by a factor of two or more, predominantly because of the assumption of fixed grain diameter, which shows substantial variation in the SWE range below about 300mm where the algorithm is usable. This overestimation does not appear to be significantly affected by the heterogeneity in snow properties exhibited at the site over a 25km distance.

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 14 of 28

349

350	While the CLPX measurements only indicate the range of variation of snow properties at one site,
351	we have no reason to believe that this site is exceptionally heterogeneous, or that the snow found in
352	the rest of the world corresponds more uniformly to the assumptions implicit in the Chang
353	algorithm. The SWE overestimation found by Pardé et al. (2007) in Central Canada suggests a snow
354	pack with similar grainsize characteristics to those found at the CLPX site. While the retrieved
355	effective grain diameter fitted in their retrieval shows a mean diameter around 3mm, between 2mm
356	and 4.5mm, ground measurements ranged between 1.3mm and 3.2mm. The sensitivity plot
357	Figure 2(a) indicates that the underestimates found by Armstrong & Brodzik (2000) in data from
358	the former Soviet Union, and Butt (2009) in the UK, could be attributed to a snow grain diameter
359	around 0.6mm.
360	
361	Estimation of snow mass from its interaction with microwave radiation is strongly affected by other
262	mous characteristics and concernently any improvement in mouse more retrieval via magive

362 snow characteristics, and consequently any improvement in snow mass retrieval via passive 363 microwave measurement will require grain size information. This could be acquired by a 364 simultaneous retrieval from microwave observations or possibly from visible and infra-red snow 365 surface reflectivity, which has been shown to be strongly dependent on grain diameter (Nolin and 366 Dozier, 2000). Tedesco et al. (2007) developed an approach based on this, and using MODIS 367 AQUA and TERRA near-infrared measurements of the CLPX area we have studied in this work, 368 North Park MSA, estimated the grain diameter of the top snow layer with an accuracy of 369 approximately 0.18 mm. This result should be considered in the context of the gap of a day between 370 satellite data acquisition and ground truth due to cloud conditions, and the difference between the 371 punctual ground measurements and the area-integrated estimates imposed by the 500m wide 372 MODIS pixels.

374 While this approach will not provide explicit information on the grain diameter throughout the 375 height of the snow pack, it is possible that a physical model of the snow pack, driven by a range of 376 measurements such as reflectivity-derived surface grain diameter estimates from satellite 377 instruments, and numerical weather predictions of temperature and precipitation, could provide the 378 necessary grain size information. Improved characterisation of snow structure could be used to 379 drive a multilayer version of the single-layer HUT model used here (Lemmetyinen et al., 2010). 380 Such a system would serve not only to improve our ability to invert an emission model to derive 381 snow mass from passive microwave emission, but also to indicate where the emission model, and 382 therefore the inversion, will fail. For example, the temperature within the snow pack would indicate 383 where melt and refreeze events are likely, flagging where the presence of ice lenses and liquid water 384 will cause problems for the emission model. The dynamic relationship between the physical model, 385 the emission model and the observations suggests the need for a data assimilation framework to improve snow mass estimation. Data assimilation can be used to provide estimates of the snow 386 properties through physically-based simulations of the snow cover, constrained by independent 387 388 remote sensing estimates of eg. the grain size. These snow properties are then used to drive a 389 microwave emission model. Comparison between observed and simulated brightness temperatures 390 can be used to update the state of the modelled snowpack, and should enable more accurate 391 retrievals of snow mass.

393	Acknowledgements
394	
395	This work was funded under the National Centre for Earth Observation, Natural Environment
396	Research Council, UK.
397	
398	References
399	
400	Armstrong, R.L. & Brodzik, M.J., (2000). Validation of passive microwave snow algorithms, Proc.
401	IGARSS 2000, 24-28 Jul 2000, vol. 4, 1561-1563
402	
403	Armstrong, R. L. & Brodzik, M. J. (2001). Recent Northern Hemisphere snow extent: A
404	comparison of data derived from visible and microwave satellite sensors. Geophysical Research
405	Letters, 28, 2676–3673.
406	
407	Brodzik., M.J., Editor. (2003). CLPX-Satellite: SSM/I Brightness Temperature Grids. Boulder, CO:
408	National Snow and Ice Data Center. Digital Media.
409	
410	Brodzik, M.J., Editor. (2003a). CLPX-Satellite: AMSR-E Brightness Temperature Grids. Boulder,
411	CO: National Snow and Ice Data Center. Digital Media.
412	
413	Butt, M.J., (2009). A comparative study of Chang and HUT models for UK snow depth retrieval,
414	International Journal of Remote Sensing, Volume 30, Issue 24, 6361 – 6379
415	
416	Chang, A. T. C., J. L. Foster & D. K. Hall (1987). Nimbus-7 SMMR derived global snow cover
417	parameters, Ann. Glaciol., 9, 39-44
418	

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 17 of 28

419	Chang, A. T. C., Kelly, R. E., Josberger, E. G., Armstrong, R. L., Foster, J. L., & Mognard, N. M.
420	(2005). Analysis of ground-measured and passive-microwave-derived snow depth variations in
421	midwinter across the northern Great Plains. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 6(1), 20-33.
422	
423	Clifford, D. (2010). Global estimates of snow water equivalent from passive microwave
424	instruments: history, challenges and future developments. International Journal of Remote Sensing,
425	31(14):3707-3726
426	
427	Cline, D., Elder, K., Davis, B.J., Liston, G.E., Imel, D., & Yueh, S.H. (2003). Overview of the
428	NASA cold land processes field experiment (CLPX-2002), Proc. SPIE, Vol. 4894, 361;
429	DOI:10.1117/12.467766
430	
431	Cline, D., Armstrong, R., Davis, R., Elder, K. & Liston, G. (2002), Updated July 2004. CLPX
432	LSOS Snow Pit Measurements. Edited by M. Parsons and M.J. Brodzik. In CLPX-Ground: Snow
433	Measurements at the Local Scale Observation Site (LSOS), J. Hardy, J. Pomeroy, T. Link, D.
434	Marks, D. Cline, K. Elder, R. Davis. 2003. Boulder, CO: National Snow and Ice Data Center.
435	Digital Media.
436	
437	Cline, D., Armstrong, R., Davis, R., Elder, K., & Liston, G. (2002a), Updated July 2004. CLPX-
438	Ground: ISA Snow Pit Measurements. Edited by M. Parsons and M.J. Brodzik. Boulder, CO:
439	National Snow and Ice Data Center. Digital Media.
440	
441	Elder, K., Cline, D., Liston, G.E., & Armstrong, R. (2009) NASA Cold Land Processes Experiment
442	(CLPX 2002/03): Field Measurements of Snowpack Properties and Soil Moisture, Journal of
443	Hydrometeorology, 10(1), 320-329
444	

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 18 of 28

- Foster, J. L., Chang, A. T. C., & Hall, D. K. (1997), Comparison of snow mass estimates from a
 prototype passive microwave algorithm and a snow depth climatology. Remote Sens. Environ.
 62:132–142.
- 448

Kelly, R. E., Chang, A. T., Tsang, L. & Foster, J. L. (2003), A prototype AMSR-E global snow
area and snow depth algorithm, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 41(2), 230-242, doi:
10.1109/TGRS.2003.809118.

452

Lemmetyinen, J.; Pulliainen, J.; Rees, A.; Kontu, A.; Yubao Qiu; Derksen, C. (2010),
Multiple-Layer Adaptation of HUT Snow Emission Model: Comparison With Experimental Data,
IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 48(7) 2781-2794, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2010.2041357

456

Marks, D., Cooley, K.R., Robertson, D.C., & Winstral, A. (2000), ARS Technical Bulletin NWRC2000-5, August 11, 2000, Snow monitoring at the Reynolds Creek Experimental Watershed, Idaho,
USA

460

- 461 Nolin, A., & Dozier, J., (2000). A hyperspectral method for remotely sensing the grain diameter of
 462 snow, Remote. Sens. Environ., 74(2), 207–216
- 463
- Pardé, M., Goïta, K., & Royer, A. (2007). Inversion of a passive microwave snow emission model
 for water equivalent estimation using airborne and satellite data, Remote Sensing of Environment
 111 (2007) 346–356

467

Pulliainen, J. T., Grandell, J., & Hallikainen, M. T. (1999). HUT snow emission model and its
applicability to snow water equivalent retrieval, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *37*(3), 13781390, doi: 10.1109/36.763302.

- 472 Randall, D.A., & Wood, R.A., 2007, Climate models and their evaluation, in *Climate Change 2007:*
- 473 The physical science basis. Contribution of working group 1 to the fourth assessment report of the
- 474 *intergovernmental panel on climate change*, edited by Solomon, S. and et al., Cambridge University
- 475 Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA.
- 476
- 477 Tedesco, M., & Kokhanovsky, A. A. (2007). "The semi-analytical snow retrieval algorithm
- 478 and its application to MODIS data." Remote Sensing of Environment, 111(2-3), 228-241.
- 479

Table 1. Classes defined by SWE ranges, and mean snow properties within the ranges

over all pits for IOPs 1,2,3,4

Class	SWE range (mm)		Mean density	Mean grain	Number of
Class	Lower	Upper	(kg/m ³)	diameter (mm)	pits used
1	3	7	122	0.46	66
2	8	10	120	0.58	33
3	11	13	138	0.59	29
4	14	17	152	0.76	32
5	18	24	191	1.21	32
6	25	44	225	1.21	53
7	45	68	235	1.25	29
8	69	86	223	1.46	5
9	87	104	244	1.35	12
10	105	163	230	1.36	55
11	164	221	235	1.40	28
12	222	290	253	1.00	36
13	291	433	281	0.99	69
14	434	570	298	0.96	65
15	571	700	318	0.93	38
16	701	825	341	0.80	21
17	826	1029	354	0.72	11
18	1030	1282	336	0.73	6

Table 2. Snow	moisture assessment as a	l
---------------	--------------------------	---

percentage of the number of pits with an

estimate.

Period	Dry	Moist	Wet
IOP1	55%	35%	9%
IOP2	40%	10%	50%
IOP3	49%	49%	3%
IOP4	58%	42%	0%



108.37500° W 107.92500° W 107.47500° W 107.02500° W 106.57500° W 106.12500° W 105.67500° W 105.22500° W 104.77500° W WGS84 103.95000° W



(after http://www.nohrsc.nws.gov/~cline/clpx.html)

COLOUR, ONLINE VERSION



108.37500° W 107.92500° W 107.47500° W 107.02500° W 106.57500° W 106.12500° W 105.67500° W 105.22500° W 104.77500° W W6884 103.95000° M

Figure 1. Nested study areas for the Cold Land Processes Field Experiment

(after http://www.nohrsc.nws.gov/~cline/clpx.html)

GREYSCALE, PRINT VERSION



(a) Retrieval error caused by deviation of snow grain diameter from ideal value of 0.8mm while snow density is fixed at 300 kg m^{-3} .



(b) Retrieval error caused by deviation of snow density from ideal value of 300 kg m⁻³ while snow grain diameter is fixed at 0.8mm.

Figure 2. Chang algorithm retrieval error caused by deviation of snow grain diameter and density from ideal values.

Chang algorithm paper 2, printed Tuesday, 12 April 2011, 16:57:50, page 25 of 28



Figure 3. Mean snow properties within SWE classes calculated from CLPX measurements.



Figure 4. The effect of CLPX snow grain diameters and densities on the accuracy of snow water equivalent retrieval from the Chang algorithm, using the HUT model to calculate microwave emission.



Figure 5. Snow water equivalent retrieved from microwave emission simulated by using CLPX measurements in the HUT model. Mean snow characteristics within each SWE class are used for the homogeneous line, the heterogeneous line uses a distribution of snow characteristics within each class derived from the CLPX measurements to reflect measured snow variability.



(a) IOP1, 20-24 Feb 2002, mean pit-measured





(c) IOP3, 20-23 Feb 2003, mean pit-measured SWE 23.8mm, SSM/I 52.2mm, AMSR-E

(b) IOP2, 27-28 March 2002, mean pit-measured

SWE 56.8mm, SSM/I 9.5mm



(d) IOP4, 28th March, 2003, mean pit-measured

SWE 14.1mm, SSM/I 32.4mm, AMSR-E 25.1mm

32.9mm

Figure 6. Snow water equivalent in CLPX North Park MSA during the four Intensive Observation Periods, measured during ground campaigns and estimated from SSM/I and AMSR-E satellite data using the Chang algorithm.