

Contrasting effects of dwarfing alleles and nitrogen availability on mineral concentrations in wheat grain

Article

Accepted Version

Gooding, M., Fan, M., McGrath, S. P., Shewry, P. R. and Zhao, F.-J. (2012) Contrasting effects of dwarfing alleles and nitrogen availability on mineral concentrations in wheat grain. Plant and Soil, 360 (1-2). pp. 93-107. ISSN 0032-079X doi: 10.1007/s11104-012-1203-x Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/27336/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1203-x

Publisher: Springer

Publisher statement: The original publication is available at www.springerlink.com

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 Contrasting effects of dwarfing alleles and nitrogen availability on mineral

2 concentrations in wheat grain

3	
4	Mike J. Gooding · Mingsheng Fan · Steve P. McGrath · Peter R. Shewry · Fang-Jie Zhao
5	
6	
7	
8	M. J. Gooding
9	School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, The University of Reading, Earley Gate, Reading, RG6
10	6AR, UK
11	
12	M. S. Fan · S. P. McGrath · P.R. Shewry · F. J. Zhao (🖂)
13	Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire, AL5 2JQ, UK
14	
15	F. J. Zhao
16	College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095,
17	China
18	
19	Email: Fangjie.Zhao@rothamsted.ac.uk; Fangjie.Zhao@njau.edu.cn
20	
21	Present address
22	M. S. Fan
23	College of Resources and Environmental Science, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China
24	

25 Keywords: Rht alleles · Wheat grain · Mineral concentration · Nitrogen fertilizer · Green revolution

- 26
- 27

28 Abstract

- 29 Background and aim Concentrations of essential minerals in plant foods may have declined in modern
- 30 high-yielding cultivars grown with large applications of nitrogen fertilizer (N). We investigated the effect
- 31 of dwarfing alleles and N rate on mineral concentrations in wheat.
- 32 Methods Gibberellin (GA)-insensitive reduced height (Rht) alleles were compared in near isogenic
- 33 wheat lines. Two field experiments comprised factorial combinations of wheat variety backgrounds,
- 34 alleles at the *Rht-B1* locus (*rht-B1a*, *Rht-B1b*, *Rht-B1c*), and different N rates. A glasshouse experiment
- also included *Rht-D1b* and *Rht-B1b+D1b* in one background.
- 36 Results In the field, depending on season, Rht-B1b increased crop biomass, dry matter (DM) harvest
- 37 index, grain yield, and the economically-optimal N rate (N_{opt}) . *Rht-B1b* did not increase uptake of Cu, Fe,
- 38 Mg or Zn so these minerals were diluted in grain. Nitrogen increased DM yield and mineral uptake so
- 39 grain concentrations were increased (Fe in both seasons; Cu, Mg and Zn in one season). *Rht-B1b* reduced
- 40 mineral concentrations at N_{opt} in the most N responsive season. In the glasshouse experiment, grain yield
- 41 was reduced, and mineral concentrations increased, with *Rht* allele addition.
- 42 Conclusion Effects of Rht alleles on Fe, Zn, Cu and Mg concentrations in wheat grain are mostly due to
- 43 their effects on DM, rather than of GA-insensitivity on N_{opt} or mineral uptake. Increased N requirement in
- 44 semi-dwarf varieties partly offsets this dilution effect.
- 45

47 Introduction

Deficiencies of the micronutrients iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) affect more than 2 billion people worldwide 48 (WHO 2002). Other minerals such as magnesium (Mg) and copper (Cu) may also be inadequate in the 49 diet of some people (White and Broadley 2009). Cereals are an important source of minerals in the human 50 51 diet; providing 44% of the daily intake of Fe, 27% of Mg, 25% of Zn and 31% of Cu in the UK adult population (Henderson et al. 2003). There are concerns that the concentrations of essential minerals in 52 plant foods may have declined as a result of increased yield (Davis et al. 2004; Garvin et al. 2006; White 53 54 and Broadley 2005). Analysis of the archived wheat grain samples from the Broadbalk long-term 55 experiment at Rothamsted has shown that the concentrations of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mg have all declined since the 1960s, coinciding with the introduction of modern short-straw cultivars (Fan et al. 2008). 56

57 Rapid increases in wheat yields in major wheat growing areas of the world from the 1960s through to 58 the 1990's were associated with a reduction in wheat stature. This shortening was accompanied by an 59 increase in dry matter harvest index, and also permitted sufficient nitrogen (N) fertilizer to be applied to 60 the crop without the crop lodging such that canopy size could be optimized for capture of 61 photosynthetically active radiation, and thus biomass yield (Gooding 2009). In the UK during the 1970s 62 and 1980s yield increases were concurrent with final crop heights declining to around an apparently optimal stature of between 800 and 900 mm (Flintham et al. 1997; Austin 1999). Reductions in height 63 were achieved either by the combined effect of many minor genes, and/or by the inclusion of major genes 64 65 for reduced height (*Rht*). The commonly used *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* (previously named *Rht1* and *Rht2*) semi-dwarfing alleles from the Japanese wheat 'Norin 10' reduce sensitivity to endogenous gibberellins 66 67 (GA) (Murase et al. 2008), and individually often reduce height by about 15% (Addisu et al. 2010). In the UK, Rht-D1b has been widely used in elite lines since the 1970's (e.g. cvs. Hobbit (1977) and Mardler 68 (1978) were early examples (Austin et al. 1980)), although *Rht-B1b* has also been used more recently (e.g. 69 cv. Robigus). More potent alleles, conferring severe dwarfism (>30 % height reduction) are available e.g. 70 71 Rht-Blc (previously Rht3) from 'Tom Thumb' (Borner et al. 1997). The last widely used winter wheat 72 cultivar suited for bread-making in the UK which did not have a major semi-dwarfing gene was cv. Mercia (introduced in 1983). Despite the lack of an Rht allele, the height of Mercia can be considered to 73 74 be within the range commonly observed for modern commercial releases in the UK, that have an Rht 75 allele (Gooding et al. 2012).

There is little information as to whether the reductions seen in mineral concentrations in UK wheat are due to effects of gibberellin insensitivity conferred by the adoption of the Norin 10 semi-dwarfing alleles, or due to yield increases associated with shortening *per se*. Here we use near isogenic lines varying for GA sensitivity conferred by alleles at the *Rht-B1* locus (*rht-B1a* (tall); *Rht-B1b* (semi-dwarf); *Rht-B1c* (severe dwarf) in backgrounds that vary for height (Mercia, Maris Widgeon (1964) and Maris
Huntsman (1969)) in three different environments: field plots in two contrasting seasons, and pots under
glass (the Maris Huntsman series only).

Adoption of semi-dwarfing alleles increases the amount of N fertilizer that can be justified to be applied by farmers on economic grounds (Loddo and Gooding 2012). Nitrogen availability has a significant impact on mineral uptake and partitioning to, and concentrations in, the grain (Cakmak et al. 2010; Kutman et al. 2011a, b). To more fully evaluate the impact of the adoption of semi-dwarf cultivars on the mineral concentrations on UK wheat it is, therefore, necessary to investigate any interaction between dwarfing alleles and N fertilizer application rate, and more specifically, to evaluate mineral concentrations at the economically optimal N rate for individual lines.

The aim of the present study was to quantify the effects of dwarfing alleles and the application rate
of N on the uptake of minerals and their concentrations in grain, especially Fe, Zn, Cu and Mg which are
often inadequate in the diet.

93

94 Materials and methods

95

96 Field experiments

97

Plots of winter wheat were maintained on a free-draining sandy loam soil (9% clay, particle size<0.2 µm; 98 99 19% silt, 2-20 µm; 72% sand, 20-2000 µm) overlying coarse red-brown sand, principally of the Sonning series (Jarvis, 1968), at the Crop Research Unit, University of Reading, UK (51°29'N, 0°56'W, 35m 100 101 above sea level), in the 2009/10 and 2010/11 growing seasons. In both seasons, the experiments followed 102 a three year rye grass and chicory ley, destroyed with glyphosate before mouldboard ploughing to 300 103 mm (Table 1). Before cultivation, the soil was tested for pH, phosphorous, potassium and magnesium 104 availability (Anon. 1986; Anon. 2010; Table 1) and corrective nutrients applied accordingly. Following 105 cultivation in autumn, total soil carbon and mineral N content (0 - 900 mm) averaged 0.69% DM and 55 106 kg N/ha respectively. Soil mineral N content in the following February averaged 35 Kg N/ha. For this rotational position at this site over five previous seasons the N uptake by the above ground wheat 107 108 receiving full crop protection but no N fertilizer averaged 75 kg N/ha, i.e. a soil N supply index of 1 (Anon. 2010). Crop husbandry was indicative of local commercial practice (Table 1), and achieved a high 109 level of control of weeds, pests and diseases. Rainfall and air temperature were recorded at an automatic 110 111 weather station within 400 m of the experiments (Table 1).

113 Near isogenic lines (NILs) comprised a factorial combination of background varieties (Maris Huntsman, Maris Widgeon and Mercia in 2009/10 and just Maris Widgeon and Mercia in 2010/11) and 114 three alleles at the Rht-B1 locus: rht-B1a (tall), Rht-B1b (semi-dwarf, syn. Rht1) and Rht-B1c (severe-115 dwarf, syn. Rht3). Untreated seeds (300/m²) were drilled into 120 mm rows in 2 x 6 m sub-plots, 116 separated by a 500 mm double track wheeling. Main plots, randomized in three complete blocks, 117 118 comprised the background x allele combinations; each contained randomized sub-plots allocated to receive different rates of N fertilizer applied as granular ammonium nitrate. In 2009/10 the total N rates 119 120 were 0, 100, 200 and 350 kg N/ha. For the three sub-plots receiving N, 50kg N/ha was applied at the first 121 node detectable growth stage (GS 31, Zadoks et al. 1974) and again at flag leaf emergence (GS 39). The 122 200 and 350 kg N/ha treatments received a further 100 and 250 kg N/ha respectively at the second node 123 stage (GS 32). In 2010/11 the total N rates were 0, 50, 100, 200, 350 and 450 kg N/ha applied in equal splits at GS 31 and 33. 124

125 Crop height was measured five days before harvest with a polystyrene rising disc (Addisu et al. 126 2009). During the week before harvest, above ground whole crop rows either side of three randomly 127 placed 0.5 m lengths (total area equivalent of 0.36 m²) were collected from each subplot. Samples were 128 partitioned into grain, chaff and straw. After oven drying at 80°C, dry weight of each component was 129 estimated and mineral content assessed. Plot combine harvesting was with a 1.4 m cutter bar such that 130 effective separation of subplots was 0.8 m to reduce edge effects. Information from sample areas was 131 used to calculate harvest indices of dry matter and minerals.

- 132
- 133 Pot experiment
- 134

Soil (0 - 200 mm) was collected from the Rothamsted farm, air dried and sieved through a 5 mm sieve. 135 136 Plastic pots (200 mm diameter) were filled with 3.5 kg air-dried soil each. Fertilizers (0.7 g N as NH₄NO₃ and 0.175 g P as KH_2PO_4) were mixed into the soil. Seeds of the NILs of different Rht alleles (rht-137 138 Bla/Dla, Rht-Blb, Rht-Dlb, Rht-Blb+Dlb, Rht-Blc) in the Maris Huntsman background were 139 germinated on perlite and vernalized at 5°C in a controlled environment room for 8 weeks. Seedlings 140 were then transplanted into the soil (5 per pot). Each NIL was planted in four pots. Pots were placed on 141 benches in a randomized block design inside a glasshouse lit with sunlight supplemented with sodium vapor lamps to maintain a light intensity of $>350 \mu mol/m^2/s$. Plants were watered daily with deionized 142 water to approximately 70% of the water holding capacity. At maturity, plants were harvested by cutting 143 144 at 1 cm above the soil surface. Grain was separated from the straw and dried at 80°C for 48 h.

- 145
- 146

147 Chemical analysis

148

Grain and straw were ground to fine powder and digested with HNO₃/HClO₄ (85/15, v/v) in a heating 149 block (Zhao et al. 1994). The concentrations of minerals, including the major nutrients K, P, S, Ca and 150 Mg, the micronutrients Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn, and the soil contamination indicator mineral Ti, were 151 determined using inductively-coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry. A certified reference 152 material (NIST 1567a wheat flour) was included in the analysis for quality assurance. The results 153 154 obtained were in good agreement with the certified values; mean and standard deviation from 18 155 digestion repeats were 14.6 \pm 1.5 mg/kg for Fe (certified value 14.1 \pm 0.5), 2.3 \pm 0.2 mg/kg for Cu (certified value 2.1 ± 0.2), 12.6 ± 0.8 mg/kg for Zn (certified value 11.6 ± 0.4) and 386 ± 9 mg/kg for Mg 156 157 (certified value 400 ± 2). Nitrogen concentration was determined with the Dumas combustion method (LECO FP-328, LECO, Stockport, UK). 158

159

160 Statistical analysis

161

162 For the field experiments, appropriate split plot analyses of variance (ANOVA) included a treatment structure of Background*Allele*pol(N rate;3) (GENSTAT 13; VSN International, Hemel Hempstead, 163 UK) so as to split N effects into polynomial contrasts. Titanium (Ti) on the above-ground crop 164 (straw+chaff+grain) was used as a covariate for above ground yields and harvest indices of DM and 165 166 minerals to help correct for error associated with any soil contamination. The effect of the covariate was 167 significant (P < 0.05) in all these cases. Ti has been used as an indicator of soil contamination because it is 168 abundant in soil but hardly taken up by plants (Cook et al. 2009). There were no significant effects of the covariate on any assessments of the grain (yields, mass per grain, and concentration) and therefore, the 169 170 covariate was omitted from grain ANOVAs. There was little evidence of Background x Allele x N rate 171 interactions so data are presented for the two factor interactions including N rate. The economically 172 optimal level of N fertilizer was calculated on the simple basis of the N rate fitted to give the greatest 173 financial margin over N cost. These calculations were based on N:grain price ratios of 5:1 and 10:1 174 (Sylvester-Bradley and Kindred 2009) with grain traded on a 15% moisture content basis. Grouped 175 polynomial regression analysis was employed to assess the relationships between mineral and N concentrations. For the pot experiment, ANOVA was performed to test the significance of the differences 176 177 between the NILs.

178

179

180 Results

182 Field experiment 2009/10

183

184 The 2009/10 growing season was characterized by comparatively dry conditions during stem extension185 (April and May; Table 1) and grain filling (June and July).

186 Averaged over background and N rate, the inclusion of the Norin 10 semi-dwarfing *Rht-B1b* allele produced crop heights in the 800-900 mm range i.e. that predicted to be optimal for yield (Table 2). The 187 188 *rht-B1a* and *Rht-B1c* alleles were associated with crop heights which would be considered to be supraand sub- optimal respectively. Rht-B1b increased grain yield of DM (Fig. 1c) because it increased both 189 above-ground crop biomass (Fig. 1a), and harvest index (Fig. 1b). Relative to rht-B1a (tall), Rht-B1b was 190 191 associated with significantly (P < 0.05) reduced concentrations of Cu in grain (Fig. 1*i*; Main Effect = -2.6 mg/kg; s.e.d. = 0.0768 (d.f.=16)), Fe (Fig. 1n; -2.7; 0.71), Mg (Fig. 1s; -98.4; 12.77), and Zn (Fig. 1x; -192 2.6; 0.50). Rht-B1b also significantly reduced the concentrations of N (Fig. 2), manganese (Mn), 193 194 phosphorous (P), and sulfur (S), but not of calcium (Ca), potassium (K), molybdenum (Mo) or sodium 195 (Na) (data not presented). The decreased concentrations of Cu, Fe, Mg and Zn can only be ascribed to dilution due to increased yields of dry matter, as there was no significant effect of Rht-B1b on total 196 197 mineral uptake, whether expressed in terms of the above ground biomass or grain per unit area, or on a 198 per grain basis (Fig. 1).

199 Rht-B1c improved harvest index relative to Rht-B1b (Fig. 1b) but this was insufficient to counter a 200 negative effect on above ground biomass (Fig. 1a), such that severe dwarfing was associated with reduced 201 grain yields (Fig. 1c). Rht-B1c was not, however, significantly (P>0.05) detrimental to the accumulation 202 of Cu (Fig. 1e,g), Fe (Fig. 1*i*,*l*), or Zn (Fig. 1*t*,*v*) so relative to *Rht-B1b*, the grain concentrations of all 203 three mineral were increased (Fig. 1*i*,*n*). For Mg, as for other minerals, *Rht-B1c* did not influence uptake 204 into the above-ground crop (Fig. 1o). In contrast to effects on DM, however, severe dwarfing was 205 associated with a reduced harvest index of Mg (Fig. 1p) such that the yields of Mg per grain were reduced 206 by *Rht-B1c* (Fig. 1*q*), contributing to reduced concentrations of Mg in the grain (Fig. 1*s*).

In the absence of *Rht*, the height of Mercia would be considered optimal and Maris Widgeon supraoptimal (Table 2). The two shorter cultivars had the highest DM harvest indices and apparently, also the higher grain yields (*P*=0.044 for the main effect of background). Similar to the results for *Rht-B1b*, there was no significant effect of background on mineral uptake (Cu, Fe, Mg or Zn) into the above-ground biomass, and mineral concentrations tended to be more dilute in Mercia compared with Maris Widgeon (Table 2, *P*<0.001, 0.077, <0.001 for the main effects of background on Cu, Mg and Zn respectively). Additional effects of cultivar were, however, evident: the harvest indices and grain yields per unit area for all four minerals varied significantly among the backgrounds, and were always highest for MarisHuntsman (Table 2).

In contrast to the effects of *Rht-B1b* which diluted mineral concentrations as grain yields of dry 216 217 matter were increased, N rate was associated with both increased grain DM yields, and increased 218 concentrations of Cu and Fe. The quantity of Fe per grain was also increased by N applications (Fig. 1h, 219 m, r, w) even though such applications also reduced mean grain weight (Fig. 1*d*). Nitrogen application increased the quantities of all four minerals in the above ground crop biomass (Fig. 1e, j, o, t), which 220 221 largely explains similar effects on quantities in the grain on a unit area basis (Fig. 1g, l, q, v), although N 222 application also increased the harvest index of Fe (Fig. 1k). There was a strong association between the grain Fe concentration and that of grain N (Fig. 2); to the extent that when grain N concentration was 223 224 included in the regression model, there was no statistical justification for including effects of Allele as an explanatory factor. This was not the case for Cu, Mg and Zn (Fig. 2). 225

The economically optimal level (Table 3) of N fertilizer varied with allele because there was a 226 227 significant Allele x N rate interaction on grain yield of DM (Fig. 1c). The grain yield of *Rht-B1b* was the most responsive to N (Fig. 1c), partly because of a combination of comparatively high biomass yield (Fig. 228 229 1*a*) and harvest index (Fig. 1*b*) at the highest N rate. Using the quadratic fits in Fig. 1*c*, N_{opt} was between 230 40 and 50 kg N/ha higher for *Rht-B1b* compared with *rht-B1a* (Table 3). Despite the benefit of N application for some mineral concentrations, however, this level of increase in N application was 231 232 insufficient to compensate for the diluting effect of Rht-B1b (Table 3) i.e. semi-dwarfing reduced the 233 concentrations of all four minerals whether compared at a single average N rate, or at the different N_{opt} for the different alleles. Increasing N cost relative to grain value reduced Nopt and therefore tended to reduce 234 235 Fe concentration at N_{opt} .

236

Field experiment 2010/11

238

239 Conditions were particularly dry during the start of stem extension (March and April, Table 1), and also 240 exceptionally warm during April. The period of below average rainfall continued in May. The grain 241 filling period (June and July) was associated with above average rainfall and comparatively cool 242 temperatures. The notably dry conditions during spring, coinciding with the timing of the N application, probably contributed to plants being shorter than in the previous season (Tables 2 and 3), and also to the 243 244 crop being much less N responsive in terms of above ground biomass and grain yield (Fig. 3a,c). In 245 contrast to the previous year, crop heights of *rht-B1a* would not be considered excessive for optimizing grain yields (Table 2). Also in contrast to 2009/10, there were no significant main effects of *Rht-B1b* on 246 247 above-ground dry matter or grain yield despite a small positive effect on harvest index (Fig. 3a, b, c).

Relative to rht-B1a (tall), the size of effects of Rht-B1b on grain mineral concentrations were much 248 reduced compared with the previous year. Indeed, for the 2011 harvest there was no statistically 249 250 significant main effect of *Rht-B1b* on the grain concentrations of Cu, Fe, Zn (Fig. 3i, n, x), Ca, Mn, Na or 251 S (data not presented). *Rht-Blb* was associated with small but significant reductions in the concentrations 252 of Mg (Fig. 1s; Main Effect = -31 mg/kg; s.e.d. = 9.6 (d.f.=16)), N (Fig. 2; -0.84; 0.366) and P (-110; 35), and an increase in the concentration of K (+139; 34.1). Where effects were statistically significant, it is 253 difficult to ascribe these directly to effects on dilution with DM, mineral uptake, or partitioning given the 254 255 very marginal effects of *Rht-B1b* on any of these variables.

As in 2010, *Rht-B1c* improved harvest index relative to *Rht-B1b* (Fig. 3*b*) but in 2011 this was sufficient to counter the negative effect on above ground biomass (Fig. 3*a*), such that severe dwarfing was associated with comparable grain yields to taller lines, when taking the averages over N rates (Fig. 3*c*). As well as above ground dry matter accumulation *Rht-B1c* was detrimental to the accumulation of Mg (Fig. 3*o*) and Zn (Fig. 3*t*), particularly at high rates of N fertilizer. In contrast to the situation with DM, *Rht-B1c* did not increase the harvest index of minerals, and this failure contributed to the reduction in concentration of Mg in the grain (Fig. 3*s*).

In 2011, grain yields of Mercia tended to be higher than Maris Widgeon, associated with significantly reduced crop height and increased DM harvest index (Table 3). Harvest index of Cu was increased in Mercia, but this was insufficient to prevent a dilution of Cu in the grain. Zn was also more dilute in Mercia grain, again not because of reduced uptake or partitioning, but because effects did not match those on DM.

268 As in 2010, uptake of Cu, Fe, Mg and Zn increased with N rate, both in the above ground biomass 269 and in the grain (Fig. 3). Increases in mineral quantity in the grain tended to be greater than the grain DM 270 yield response to N application such that, for at least part of the range of rates used, mineral concentration 271 increased with N application: for Cu to about 100 kg N/ha (Fig. 3i); for Fe and Mg, to about 300 kg N/ha 272 (Fig. 3n, s); and for Zn to about 400 kg N/ha (Fig. 3x). The concentration of Fe in the grain was again 273 closely associated with N concentration (Fig. 2). There were also positive relationships between grain N 274 concentration and the concentrations of Mg and Zn, but not to the extent that N concentration could 275 account for Rht allele effects.

Although there was no main effect of *Rht* allele on grain DM yield, there was a significant Allele x N rate interaction (Fig. 3*c*). *Rht-B1b* was again the most N-responsive allele, having a comparatively low yield at low N rates, but the highest yield at high N rates. The derived N_{opt} for a 5:1 N:grain price ratio suggested that it would have been justified to apply 64 kg more N/ha on *Rht-B1b* than on *rht-B1a* (Table 4). Given the shallow response of grain yield to N in this season, however, the statistical error around this figure must be substantial. Nonetheless, if an extra 64 kg N was applied to *Rht-B1b* this would have been sufficient to negate the diluting effect of the allele on the Mg concentration in the grain. It should also be

noted, however, that the grain yield response was so shallow, that there was no economic justification for

- applying any N fertilizer to any allele if the N:grain price ratio was as high as 10:1 (Table 4), and hence
- the diluting effect of *Rht-B1b* on Mg concentration would have persisted at high N cost.
- 286
- 287 Pot experiment
- 288

Both plant height and grain yield decreased with the introduction of dwarfing alleles in the Maris Huntsman background in the order of *rht-B1a/D1a* (tall) > *Rht-B1b* > *Rht-D1b* > *Rht-B1B+D1b* > *RhtB1c* (Fig. 4a). In contrast to the field experiments, *Rht-B1b* did not increase the grain yield compared with *rht-B1a/D1a* (tall). Plant heights of all lines were substantially shorter than the corresponding ones in the field experiments.

294 The concentrations of Fe, Zn and Cu differed significantly (P < 0.001) between the dwarfing alleles, but, in contrast to the field experiments, they increased with the severity of the dwarfing phenotype (Fig. 295 4b). Note that the concentrations of Fe and Zn were considerably larger than those from the field trial. In 296 297 addition to the three minerals presented here, the concentrations of Mg, P and S also showed significant 298 increasing trends with the degree of dwarfing (data not shown). There were highly significant negative correlations between the concentrations of Fe, Zn or Cu and grain yield (r = -0.80, -0.79 and -0.71 for Fe, 299 300 Zn and Cu, respectively, P<0.001; Fig. 5). The amounts of Fe, Zn and Cu taken up by the plants (straw 301 and grain) did not differ significantly between the different Rht alleles (Fig. 4c), nor were there any 302 significant differences in the amounts of these minerals contained in the grain (data not shown). The 303 harvest indices for both the biomass and the three minerals were broadly similar, showing a decreasing 304 trend with the dwarfing severity (Fig. 4d).

305

306 Discussion

307

We confirm the shortening effects of alleles at the *Rht-B1* and *Rht-D1* loci (Flintham et al. 1997). Also consistent with previous studies, we find semi-dwarfing is beneficial for grain yield when it reduces final crop height to around 800-900 mm in UK conditions (Flintham et al. 1997; Austin 1999), and that semidwarfing increases the response to N fertilizer in such circumstances (Anderson et al. 1991). That *Rht-B1c* reduces grain yield because increases in harvest index are insufficient to compensate for reductions in above ground biomass was also reported by Flintham et al. (1997). The glasshouse pot experiment here was consistent with other studies where semi-dwarfing with *Rht* alleles have had neutral or negative effects on yield in situations where *rht-B1a/D1a* is associated with plant heights already optimal or suboptimal for yield (Flintham et al. 1997; Chapman et al. 2007; Gooding et al. 2012).

510

The main objective of the present study was to test if the introduction of the GA-insensitive dwarfing 317 318 alleles in wheat affects the concentrations of minerals in grain that are particularly important for human 319 nutrition. Our results suggest that the dwarfing alleles have comparatively little direct effects on the 320 uptake and translocation to grain of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mg; however, the concentrations of these minerals in grain were affected indirectly mainly as a result of the changes in grain yield. That the dilution of 321 322 minerals by the incorporation Rht-B1b was not due to more direct physiological effects of gibberellin 323 insensitivity is further supported by: a lack of progression of effects through rht-B1a to Rht-B1b to Rht-324 B1c on mineral concentration despite the progression in GA insensitivity and degree of dwarfing; the 325 contrasting effects of *Rht* alleles in the two field experiments, and between the field and pot experiments, consistent with the contrasting effects on grain yield; and a similar dilution of minerals between Maris 326 327 Widgeon and Mercia, associated with reduced height but not mediated through differences in GA-328 sensitivity.

Consistent with the effects of *Rht-B1b* and Mercia in the field, mineral (e.g. Zn, Fe or Mg) concentrations have been found to correlate negatively with grain yield among diverse wheat cultivars (McDonald et al. 2008; Morgounov et al. 2007; Oury et al. 2006; Zhao et al. 2009). The decreasing trend of mineral concentrations in wheat grain, either with the cultivar release date (Garvin et al. 2006; Zhao et al. 2009) or in the Broadbalk long-term experiment (Fan et al. 2008), can be largely attributed to the dilution effect brought about by increased grain yield. This effect may arise because the distribution of minerals to grain does not match the increased biomass allocation to grain in the higher yielding cultivars.

336 Whilst yield gain through cultivar improvement results in a dilution of mineral concentrations in 337 wheat grain, yield increase in response to increasing the application rate of N did not. In fact, increasing 338 N rate increased the concentrations of Fe and, depending on season and to a lesser extent, Cu, Mg and Zn 339 in grain. Similar effects have been reported for Fe and Zn in durum (Triticum durum) and bread (McGrath 340 1985; Cakmak et al. 2010; Shi et al. 2010) wheats. Increasing N supply was found to increase the uptake, root-to-shoot translocation and remobilization from vegetative tissues to grain of Zn and Fe in durum 341 342 wheat, possibly because of more transporter proteins and an increased pool of nitrogenous chelators (e.g. 343 nicotianamine) that are important for the phloem transport of these metals (Kutman et al. 2011a, b). Our results relating grain mineral concentration to grain N concentration suggest that any effect of plant N 344 compounds on Fe is much more direct than that for Cu or Zn. Our results would suggest that the benefits 345 346 of N rate for Zn are more reliable as grain N concentrations exceed 20 g N/kg. Grain N concentrations were in a lower range in the 2009-10 than in the 2010-11 trial, which may explain the lack of a significant 347 348 N effect on grain Zn in the former season.

349 We demonstrate that the greater yield responses of appropriately-statured cultivars justify the use of larger N rates. This has the effect of offsetting some of the dilution effect on grain mineral concentration 350 but at the economically optimal level of N the concentrations of minerals were still about 10% lower for 351 352 the semi-dwarf *Rht-B1b* allele than in the tall allele in the most responsive field season of 2009/10 (Table 353 3). It is well known, however, that wheat responses to N rate, and its interaction with genotype varies with 354 site and season, so further experiments would be necessary to quantify this offset more generally. Our field experiments were conducted in two comparatively dry seasons for the site, which would be expected 355 356 to reduce the wheat response to N (Gooding 2009). A comparison of the two seasons reported here 357 strongly supports the importance of adequate moisture for large responses of wheat grain yield to N rate. 358 The comparison also suggests that offsetting of the *Rht-B1b* mediated dilution of minerals with the extra 359 N fertilizer is less likely in wetter seasons. Future benefits of N for mineral concentrations (particularly 360 for Fe) are likely to be curtailed if increased cost and restriction of N fertilizer continues, and/or genetic improvements in N utilization efficiency (crop DM / crop N; Gooding et al. 2012) are realized. There is, 361 therefore, increasing need to explore further the genetic variability in grain mineral concentration to 362 achieve both high yields and sufficient levels of minerals in wheat (White and Broadley 2009; Zhao et al. 363 364 2009).

365

366

367 Acknowledgements

368

The authors are grateful to Mr RJ Casebow and Mr S Loddo for technical assistance for the field
experiments, to Mr A Crosland for ICP analysis and to the John Innes Centre for providing the seeds.
Rothamsted Research receives strategic funding from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences

372 Research Council of the UK. M. S. Fan was supported by a Rothamsted International Fellowship.

- 373
- 374
- 375

376 **References**

Addisu M, Snape JW, Simmonds JR, Gooding MJ (2009) Reduced height (*Rht*) and photoperiod
insensitivity (*Ppd*) allele associations with establishment and early growth of wheat in contrasting

production systems. Euphytica 166:249-263

- Addisu M, Snape JW, Simmonds JR, Gooding MJ (2010) Effects of reduced height (*Rht*) and photoperiod
 insensitivity (*Ppd*) alleles on yield of wheat in contrasting production systems. Euphytica 172:169 181
- Anderson WK, Seymour M, D'Antuono MF (1991) Evidence for differences between cultivars in
 responsiveness of wheat to applied nitrogen. Aust J Agric Res 42: 363-377.
- Anon. (1986) The Analysis of Agricultural Materials, MAFF Reference Book 427, 3rd edn. HMSO,
 London.
- 387 Anon. (2010) Fertiliser Manual (RB209) 8th edn. TSO, Norwich.
- Austin RB (1999) Yield of wheat in the United Kingdom: Recent advances and prospects. Crop Sci
 39:1604-1610
- Austin RB, Bingham J, Blackwell RD, Evans LT, Ford MA, Morgan CL, Taylor M. (1980) Genetic
- improvements in winter-wheat yields since 1900 and associated physiological changes. J Agric Sci94:675-689
- Borner A, Roder M, Korzun V (1997) Comparative molecular mapping of GA insensitive *Rht* loci on
 chromosomes 4B and 4D of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 95:1133-1137
- Cakmak I, Pfeiffer WH, McClafferty B (2010) Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. Cereal
 Chem 87:10–20.
- Chapman SC, Mathews KL, Trethowan RM, Singh RP (2007) Relationships between height and yield in
 near-isogenic spring wheats that contrast for major reduced height genes. Euphytica 157:391-397
- Cook LL, McGonigle TR, Inouye RS (2009) Titanium as an indicator of residual soil on arid-land plants.
 J Environ Qual 38:188-199.
- 401 Davis DR, Epp MD, Riordan HD (2004) Changes in USDA food composition data for 43 garden crops,
 402 1950 to 1999. J Am Coll Nutr 23:669-682
- Fan MS, Zhao FJ, Fairweather-Tait SJ, Poulton PR, Dunham SJ, McGrath SP (2008) Evidence of
 decreasing mineral density in wheat grain over the last 160 years. J Trace Elem Med Biol 22:315324
- Flintham JE, Borner A, Worland AJ, Gale MD (1997) Optimizing wheat grain yield: Effects of *Rht*(gibberellin-insensitive) dwarfing genes. J Agric Sci 128:11-25.
- Garvin DF, Welch RM, Finley JW (2006) Historical shifts in the seed mineral micronutrient
 concentration of US hard red winter wheat germplasm. J Sci Food Agric 86:2213-2220
- Gooding MJ (2009) The wheat crop. In: K Khan, P R Shewry (eds) Wheat: Chemistry and Technology,
 411 4th edn. AACC International, Minnesota. pp 35-70.
- 412 Gooding MJ, Addisu M, Uppal RK, Snape JW, Jones HE (2012) Effect of wheat dwarfing genes on
- 413 nitrogen use efficiency. J Agric Sci (available online June 2011 doi: 10.1017/S0021859611000414).

- 414 Henderson L, Irving K, Gregory J, Bates CJ, Prentice A, Perks J, Swan G, Farron M (2003) The National 415 Diet & Nutrition Survey: adults aged 19 to 64 years, Volume 3. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 416 London 417 Kutman UB, Yildiz B, Cakmak I (2011a) Effect of nitrogen on uptake, remobilization and partitioning of 418 zinc and iron throughout the development of durum wheat. Plant Soil 342:149-164 419 Kutman UB, Yildiz B, Cakmak I (2011b) Improved nitrogen status enhances zinc and iron concentrations 420 both in the whole grain and the endosperm fraction of wheat. J Cereal Sci 53:118-125 421 Loddo S, Gooding MJ (2012) Semi-dwarfing (*Rht-B1b*) improves nitrogen-use efficiency in wheat, but 422 not at economically optimal levels of nitrogen availability. Cereal Res Commun (accepted May 423 2011) 424 McDonald GK, Genc Y, Graham RD (2008) A simple method to evaluate genetic variation in grain zinc 425 concentration by correcting for differences in grain yield. Plant Soil 306:49-55 426 McGrath SP (1985) The effects of increasing yields on the macroelement and microelement 427 concentrations and offtakes in the grain of winter wheat. J Sci Food Agric 36:1073-1083 428 Morgounov A, Gomez-Becerra HF, Abugalieva A, Dzhunusova M, Yessimbekova M, Muminjanov H, 429 Zelenskiy Y, Ozturk L, Cakmak I (2007) Iron and zinc grain density in common wheat grown in 430 Central Asia. Euphytica 155:193-203 431 Murase K, Hirano Y, Sun TP, Hakoshima T (2008) Gibberellin-induced DELLA recognition by the 432 gibberellin receptor GID1. Nature 456:459-U15 433 Oury FX, Leenhardt F, Remesy C, Chanliaud E, Duperrier B, Balfourier F, Charmet G (2006) Genetic variability and stability of grain magnesium, zinc and iron concentrations in bread wheat. Eur J 434 435 Agron 25:177-185 Shi RL, Zhang YQ, Chen XP, Sun QP, Zhang FS, Römheld V, Zou CQ (2010) Influence of long-term 436 437 nitrogen fertilization on micronutrient density in grain of winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). J 438 Cereal Sci 51:165-170 439 Sylvester-Bradley R, Kindred DR 2009 Analysing nitrogen response of cereals to prioritize routes to the 440 improvement of nitrogen use efficiency. J Exp Bot 60:1939-1951 441 White PJ, Broadley MR (2005) Historical variation in the mineral composition of edible horticultural 442 products. J Hort Sci Biotech 80:660-667. White PJ, Broadley MR (2009) Biofortification of crops with seven mineral elements often lacking in 443 human diets - iron, zinc, copper, calcium, magnesium, selenium and iodine. New Phytol 182:49-84 444 445 WHO (2002) The World Health Report (2002) Reducing risks, promoting healthy life. pp 1-230. World 446 Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
 - 14

- Zadoks JC, Chang TT, Konzak CF (1974) A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. Weed Res
 448 44:415-421
- 449 Zhao F, McGrath SP, Crosland AR (1994) Comparison of three wet digestion methods for the
- determination of plant sulphur by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICPAES). Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 25:407-418
- 452 Zhao FJ, Su YH, Dunham SJ, Rakszegi M, Bedo Z, McGrath SP, Shewry PR (2009) Variation in mineral
- 453 micronutrient concentrations in grain of wheat lines of diverse origin. J Cereal Sci 49:290-295
- 454

Table 1 Monthly weather data, soil analyses, and crop husbandry common to all treatments for the tw	0
field plot experiments	

		2010		2011		Long term average (47 years)	
		Rainfall (mm)	Mean temp. (°C)	Rainfall (mm)	Mean temp. (°C)	Rainfall (mm)	Mean temp. (°C)
March		46.2	6.3	13.8	6.5	47.2	6.5
April		22.0	9.2	2.8	12.2	47.9	8.7
May		12.0	11.2	30.0	12.3	47.9	12.0
June		20.8	16.1	89.8	14.2	49.3	15.0
July		31.6	18.4	41.1	16.2	44.6	17.2
August		108.0	16.1	125.2	15.9	60.1	17.0
Treatment or operation	Growth stage (GS, Zadoks et al. 1974)						
Soil test 0-90cm		-					
pН		6.	3	6.	4		
P mg/l (index)		49.0	(4)	26.4	(3)		
K mg/l (index)		99	(1)	88 ((1)		
Mg mg/l (ind.)		48 (1)		52 (2)			
Herbicide Destruction of			Glyphosa				
Sub-soiled	Pre-ploughing	16 Sep	tember	Not app	licable		
Nutrition	Pre-ploughing	120 kg/ha K ₂ 0	O equ. as KCl	90 kg/ha K ₂ O	equ. as KCl		
Ploughed		8 Oct	tober	23 Sept	ember		
Harrowed		21 Oc	tober	8 Oct	ober		
Drilled		22 Oc	tober	8 Oct	ober		
Rolled		22 Oc	tober	11 Oc	tober		
Herbicide	13	1.2 kg/ha per	ndimethalin $+2$	0 g/ha carfentrazor	e-ethyl + 10		
Nutrition	30	40 kg/ha SO ₃	g/ha flupyrs equ. as K_2SO_4	$32 \text{ kg/ha SO}_3 \text{ e}$	equ. as K ₂ SO ₄		
Herbicide	31	50 g/ha thife	nsulfuron-meth	yl + 5 g/ha metsulf	uron-methyl		
Fungicide	32	125 g/ha epo 150 g/ha qui kg/ha chlo	xiconazole + noxyfen + 1 rothalonil	125 g/ha epoz 150g/ha metr kg/ha chlo	kiconazole + rafenone + 1 rothalonil		
Fungicide	39	125 g/h	a epoxiconazol	e + 250 g/ha azoxy	strobin		
Fungicide	59		250 g/ha t	tebuconazole			
Insecticide	59		5 g/ha d	eltamethrin			
Harvest		9 Au	gust	17 Au	igust		

2010 (Mean of Maris Huntsman, Maris Widgeon and Mercia backgrounds)			2011 (Mean of Maris Widgeon and Mercia backgrounds)				
N	Bla	B1b	Blc	N	Bla	B1b	Blc
0 100 200 350 S.E.D. ^{<i>a</i>} d.f.	910 1020 1020 1000	720 830 840 830	420 460 480 470 11 54	0 50 100 200 350 450	840 850 830 820 830 810	700 720 700 730 750 740	$ \begin{array}{r} 450 \\ 460 \\ 440 \\ 440 \\ 460 \\ 450 \\ 13 \\ 55 \\ \end{array} $
Mean S.E.D. ^b d.f.	990	810	460 12 16		830	720	450 19 10

Table 2 The effect of allele at the *Rht-B1* locus and nitrogen fertilizer application rate (N, kg/ha) on the final crop height (mm) of winter wheat.

459 ^{*a*} for comparing N levels within allele; ^{*b*} for comparing allele means

	2010				2011	
	Maris Hunts- man	Mercia	Maris Widg- eon	S.E.D. (16 d.f.)	Mercia	Maris Widg- eon
Crop height (mm)	1010	820	1120	20	750	910
Dry Matter						
Above ground crop (t/ha)	13.9	13.0	15.0	1.23	9.9	8.8
Harvest index	0.47	0.47	0.39	0.013	0.54	0.48
Grain yield (t/ha)	6.85	6.23	5.82	0.535	5.33	4.24
Mass per grain (mg)	49.5	40.7	48.9	1.28	54.8	59.1
Copper (Cu)						
Above ground crop (mg/m^2)	4.32	3.85	4.25	0.411	2.88	2.96
Harvest index	0.70	0.63	0.60	0.021	0.66	0.62
Grain yield (mg/m^2)	3.10	2.41	2.54	0.201	1.91	1.88
Mass per grain (µg)	0.22	0.16	0.21	0.006	0.20	0.26
Grain concentration (mg/kg)	4.52	3.87	4.32	0.133	3.60	4.42
Iron (Fe)						
Above ground crop (mg/m^2)	53.4	51.8	57.6	7.02	184.1	184.9
Harvest index	0.41	0.36	0.33	0.026	0.11	0.09
Grain yield (mg/m ²)	20.6	18.5	18.3	1.88	15.8	12.7
Mass per grain (µg)	1.42	1.16	1.48	0.068	1.61	1.75
Grain concentration (mg/kg)	28.8	28.7	30.1	1.23	29.2	29.5
Magnesium (Mg)						

1.03

0.59

0.61

0.99

40.4

14.6

12.2

19.8

0.85

0.80

1.15

0.52

0.59

1.02

49.9

16.1

12.6

21.9

0.79

1.07

1.13

0.59

0.68

1.01

50.0

15.6

13.3

19.6

0.85

0.97

0.119

0.013

0.046

1.00

0.022

1.63

0.017

1.09

0.035

0.86

0.96

0.63

0.60

1.12

61.3

12.4

11.2

20.9

0.92

1.15

0.80

0.58

0.46

1.09

64.4

11.6

10.2

24.0

0.90

1.42

Table 3 Effect of cultivar on the height, yields and partitioning of dry matter and minerals in winter wheat.

461 Values are means over N fertilizer rates and three replicates.

Above ground crop (g/m^2)

Grain concentration (g/kg)

Above ground crop (mg/m^2)

Grain concentration (mg/kg)

Harvest index

Zinc (Zn)

Harvest index

Grain yield (g/m^2)

Mass per grain (µg)

Grain yield (mg/m²)

Mass per grain (μg)

462

S.E.D. (10 d.f.)

27

1.50

0.007

0.556 0.74

0.439

0.011

0.199

0.006

0.105

9.90

0.013 1.68

0.057 0.99

0.164

0.028

0.062

1.11

0.014

1.52

0.008

1.02

0.063

1.16

N:Grain price ratio	2010			2011			
	Bla	B1b	B1c	Bla	B1b	Blc	
N _{opt} (kg/ha)							
5.0:1	253	299	243	115	179	97	
10.0:1	213	252	197	0	0	0	
Grain yield at 1	Nopt						
5.0:1	7.8	9.2	7.3	4.7	4.9	4.9	
10.0:1	7.6	9.0	7.1	4.1	3.9	4.4	
mg Cu/kg at N	ont						
5.0:1	4.3	4.0	4.9	4.2	4.3	4.2	
10.0:1	4.3	4.0	4.8	3.8	3.9	4.1	
mg Fe/kg at Na	opt						
5.0:1	33.9	30.4	33.9	28.9	30.7	28.3	
10.0:1	32.8	29.3	31.8	24.0	24.0	24.0	
g Mg/kg at Nor	ot.						
5.0:1	1.01	0.88	0.88	1.10	1.10	1.01	
10.0:1	1.00	0.87	0.88	1.05	1.02	0.97	
mg Zn/kg at N	opt						
5.0:1	19.6	17.4	20.4	21.5	22.7	21.2	
10.0:1	19.5	16.9	19.8	18.8	18.8	18.8	

Table 4 The effect of allele at the *Rht-B1* locus on the fitted economically optimal level of nitrogen (N_{opt}) for two N:Grain price ratios, and the fitted (Figs. 1 & 3) mineral concentrations at N_{opt} .

467 468 **Figures:**

- 469 Fig. 1 Effect of nitrogen and allele at the *Rht-B1* locus on yield and mineral content of winter wheat near
- 470 isogenic lines. $\Box = rht B1a$ (tall); $\triangle = Rht B1b$ (semi-dwarf); \circ , dashed line = Rht B1c (severe dwarf).
- 471 Points are means of three replicate blocks and three wheat backgrounds (Maris Huntsman, Mercia, Maris
- 472 Widgeon). Left and right error bars are S.E.D. for comparing between and within alleles respectively (sub
- 473 plot error d.f. = 53). Main and interacting effects of Allele, Linear N and Quadratic N only fitted if effect
- 474 is statistically significant (P < 0.05).
- 475 Fig. 2 Relationships between grain mineral concentration and grain nitrogen concentration in wheat
- 476 harvested in 2010 (left) and 2011 (right). Points are means of three replicate blocks and wheat
- 477 backgrounds (Mercia, Maris Huntsman and Maris Widgeon in 2010; Mercia and Maris Widgeon in
- 478 2011), and vary for allele as near isogenic lines at the *Rht-B1* locus (\Box =*rht-B1a* (tall); \triangle = *Rht-B1b*
- 479 (semi-dwarf); \circ , dashed line = *Rht-B1c* (severe dwarf)) and for nitrogen fertilizer application rate (N
- rate). Numerals above inverted triangles in Fe panels are the N rates (kg/ha) associated with the nitrogen
- 481 concentrations achieved (averaged over allele). Error bars are maximum S.E.D. for comparing points (sub
- 482 plot error d.f. = 53 and 55 for 2010 and 2011 respectively). Main and interacting effects of Allele, Linear
- 483 N and Quadratic N only fitted if effect is statistically significant (P < 0.05).
- **Fig. 3** Effect of nitrogen and allele at the *Rht-B1* locus on yield and mineral content of winter wheat near
- 485 isogenic lines harvested in 2011. $\Box = rht B1a$ (tall); $\triangle = Rht B1b$ (semi-dwarf); \circ , dashed line = Rht B1c
- 486 (severe dwarf). Points are means of three replicate blocks and two wheat backgrounds (Mercia, Maris
- 487 Widgeon). Left and right error bars are S.E.D. for comparing between and within alleles respectively (sub
- 488 plot error d.f. = 55). Main and interacting effects of Allele, Linear N and Quadratic N only fitted if effect
- 489 is statistically significant (P < 0.05).
- 490 Fig. 4 Effects of dwarfing alleles in the Maris Huntsman background on grain yield and height (a), grain
- 491 micronutrient concentrations (b), uptake (c) and harvest indices in the pot experiment. Error bars are
- 492 S.E.D. (d.f. = 12) for comparing different alleles; the error bars from left to right in each graph correspond
- 493 to the variable from top to bottom in the legend box.
- 494 Fig. 5 Correlation between grain Fe, Zn or Cu concentrations and grain yield in the pot experiment.











501 Figure 3.





503 Figure 4.



