Dysregulated circulating dendritic cell function in ulcerative colitis is partially restored by probiotic strain Lactobacillus casei Shirota
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Background. Dendritic cells regulate immune responses to microbial products and play a key role in ulcerative colitis (UC) pathology. We determined the immunomodulatory effects of probiotic strain *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota (LcS) on human DC from healthy controls and active UC patients. Methods. Human blood DC from healthy controls (control-DC) and UC patients (UC-DC) were conditioned with heat-killed LcS and used to stimulate allogeneic T cells in a 5-day mixed leucocyte reaction. Results. UC-DC displayed a reduced stimulatory capacity for T cells \( (P < 0.05) \) and enhanced expression of skin-homing markers CLA and CCR4 on stimulated T cells \( (P < 0.05) \) that were negative for gut-homing marker \( \beta 7 \). LcS treatment restored the stimulatory capacity of UC-DC, reflecting that of control-DC. LcS treatment conditioned control-DC to induce CLA on T cells in conjunction with \( \beta 7 \), generating a multihoming profile, but had no effects on UC-DC. Finally, LcS treatment enhanced DC ability to induce TGF\( \beta \) production by T cells in controls but not UC patients. Conclusions. We demonstrate a systemic, dysregulated DC function in UC that may account for the propensity of UC patients to develop cutaneous manifestations. LcS has multifunctional immunoregulatory activities depending on the inflammatory state; therapeutic effects reported in UC may be due to promotion of homeostasis.

1. Introduction

Interactions between the host and microbiota play a crucial role in mucosal immune homeostasis [1]. Certain strains of lactic-acid producing bacteria are classed as probiotics because their consumption is associated with health benefits, which are mediated via the gut. The current probiotic definition is “live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a healthy benefit on the host” [2]. Probiotic bacteria are most frequently of the *Lactobacillus* or *Bifidobacterium* species, and usually species that can be found in the normal commensal microbiota. Probiotics can be effective in treating some patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) [3–7] but the details of which strains confer benefit and their mechanisms of action are only slowly being defined.

Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD), collectively termed inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), result from a dysregulated response of the mucosal immune system to components of the luminal microbiota, and breakdown of immune tolerance in individuals who are genetically predisposed to the disease. These processes lead to “inappropriate” activation of mucosal T-cells and production of inflammatory mediators [8–11].
Dendritic cells (DC) recognize and respond to bacteria and bacterial products, and generate primary T-cell responses. DC also determine whether T-cell responses generated are immunogenic or tolerogenic [12–14]. In particular, intestinal DC maintain the delicate balance in the gut between immunogenicity against invading pathogens and tolerance of the commensal microbiota [15]; alterations in intestinal DC have been found in IBD [15, 16]. The effects of probiotic bacteria on DC, which are so pivotal in early bacterial recognition, tolerance induction and shaping T-cell responses, are likely to be central in immunomodulation by these bacteria, and are likely to partially account for the reported efficacy of probiotics in IBD [3–7].

IBD is associated with a variety of extra-intestinal manifestations (EIM), with up to a third of IBD patients developing cutaneous manifestations [17]. The causes of EIM are poorly understood, but it has been suggested that compartmentalisation of inflammatory processes to different organs (e.g., the intestines, skin or liver) may be linked to homing and trafficking of immune cells [18]. Indeed, dysregulated lymphocyte trafficking has been reported in both UC and CD [19–22].

Homing properties are imprinted on T-cells upon stimulation by DC, to localise immune responses to specific tissues [23–26]. Effector T-cells migrating to intestinal sites express high levels of gut-homing molecule α4β7 [27], with its ligand MAdCAM-1 being constitutively expressed by postcapillary endothelial cells in the small intestine [28] and colonic lamina propria [29]. Skin T-cells express E- and P-selectin ligands including cutaneous lymphocyte-associated antigen (CLA) [30], and CCR4 [31]. The occurrence of EIM associated with IBD indicates a systemic disease, rather than immune dysregulation confined to intestinal sites; however it is currently unclear whether alterations in circulating DC occur in IBD patients, including DC ability to imprint specific homing properties on stimulated T-cells. Trafficking of immune cells is an area yet to be investigated regarding specific mechanisms of action of immunomodulation by probiotics or dysregulated DC function in IBD.

The strain-specific nature of the immunomodulatory effects of probiotics is well established; some Lactobacillus strains induce production of regulatory cytokines, suppress Th1 responses and are thought to be involved in oral tolerance. In contrast, other strains induce production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. However, human intervention studies have shown a variety of beneficial immunomodulatory effects associated with consumption of the probiotic bacterial strain Lactobacillus casei Shirata (LcS) specifically, including significant improvement in UC disease activity index (UCDAI) scores in patients with mild-moderate UC administered LcS orally for 8 weeks, compared to pretreatment and also patients on conventional therapy. The same study demonstrated LcS reduces production of IL-6 from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) in vitro [32]. Other studies demonstrate reduction of gingival inflammation [33], and downregulation of allergic responses [34] following consumption of LcS. To this end, we aimed to determine whether systemic changes exist between healthy controls and patients with active UC, regarding the ability of circulating (blood-enriched) DC to generate effector T-cell responses and imprint specific homing properties on T-cells stimulated. We also aimed to study the immunomodulatory effects of probiotic strain LcS on such DC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Human Peripheral Blood. Human peripheral blood was collected from healthy volunteers with no known autoimmune or inflammatory diseases, allergies or malignancies (n = 8), or from patients with active UC following informed consent (n = 6). Disease activity for UC was assessed using the UC disease activity index (UCDAI); patients scoring UCDAI 4–12, alongside diagnosis from clinical parameters, radiographic studies, endoscopic and histological criteria, were defined as active UC. Patients were treatment naïve or on minimal treatment: 5-aminosalicylic acid (5ASA) and/or azathioprine (AZA). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were obtained by centrifugation over Ficoll-Paque plus (Amersham Biosciences, Chalfont St. Giles, UK). Human blood-enriched DC (low density cells or LDC) were obtained following NycoPrep centrifugation of overnight cultured PBMC. These cells were 98–100% HLA-DR+, with morphological characteristics of DC (both at optical microscopy and electron microscopy), and are potent stimulators of naïve T-cells. Blood LDC have been characterised in detail in previous studies from our laboratory [35, 36], and will be referred to as blood DC in this study.

2.2. Conditioning of Human Blood DC by LcS. Stock culture of LcS (Yakult Honsha Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) were cultured at 37°C for 24 hours in MRS broth and grown on MRS agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) for 48 hours at 37°C in an anaerobic cabinet (MACS MG 1000; Don Whitley Scientific, West Yorkshire, UK) with a gas mixture of 10% H2, 10% CO2 and 80% N2 by volume. For liquid culture, one pure colony was taken from an MRS nutrient agar plate and grown overnight in 10 mL of pre-reduced MRS broth (Oxoid) with 0.05% L-cysteine hydrochloride (Sigma, Dorset, UK) in a shaking incubator at 37°C; 0.5 mL of the overnight culture was inoculated into another 10 mL MRS broth. The bacteria were harvested in the exponential phase, resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Oxoid), centrifuged twice at 1960 g (Sanyo/MSE Micro Centaur, Haverhill, USA) for 5 minutes and resuspended at the required concentration in RPMI 1640 containing 0.75 mM L-glutamine. Bacteria were then heat-killed with viability checks done to make sure no bacteria survived, and varying concentrations (1 × 105, 1 × 106, or 1 × 107) of heat-killed LcS were used to condition 2.5 × 105 blood DC in 1 mL total volume of complete medium (Dutch modification RPMI 1640 containing 2 mM glutamine, 10% fetal calf serum and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin) for 24 hours. Control conditions involved conditioning DC with complete medium only for 24 hours. Following conditioning, DC were washed, and used in a mixed-leucocyte reaction (MLR) with allogeneic T-cells.

2.3. Enrichment of Blood T-Cells. PBMC were suspended in MiniMACS buffer (PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 2 mM
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EA and T-cells were enriched by depletion of CD14+,
CD19+ and HLA-DR+ cells with immunomagnetic beads
(Milenyi Biotech, Bisley, UK) following manufacturer’s
instructions.

2.4. T-Cell Proliferation Assay. Carboxyfluorescein diacetate
succinimidyl ester (CFSE, Invitrogen Ltd, UK) labelled
T-cells (4 × 10^5/well) were incubated for 5 days in U-
bottomed 96 well microtitre plates with enriched, previously
conditioned, allogeneic DC at 0%, 1%, 2%, or 3% in a mixed
leucocyte reaction (MLR). Cells were recovered and CFSElo
proliferating cells identified and quantified by flow cytometry.

2.5. Antibody Labelling. Monoclonal antibodies with the
following specificities and conjugations were used: CLA-
FITC (HECA-452), β7 integrin-PE (FIB504), IL-12 (p40/
p70)-PE (CIL13), IL-17A-PE (SCPL1362), IFN
γ (either—FITC or—APC) (IC388P) were purchased from R&D Systems (Abingdon,
UK). Appropriate isotype-matched control antibodies were
purchased from the same manufacturers. After the staining,
tissues were fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde in 0.85% saline
and stored at 4°C prior to acquisition on the flow cytometer.

2.6. Flow Cytometry and Data Analysis. Data were acquired
on a FACSCanto II cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analysed
using WinList 5.0 software (Verity, ME, US). Proportions of
positive cells were measured by subtracting the appropriate
isotype-matched control staining from test histogram using
superenhanced D_max (SED) normalised subtraction.

2.7. Cytokine Analysis. The intracellular cytokine production
by stimulated T-cells post-MLR was measured using superen-
chanced D_max (SED) normalised subtraction upon data analy-
sis following incubation +/- monensin, T-cell permeabilisa-
tion, antibody labelling and flow cytometry.

2.8. Statistical Analyses. Data are presented as mean and
standard errors. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA, and
two-tailed paired t-tests were applied as stated in the figure
legends. In the case of multiple comparisons, subsequent
ad-hoc Bonferroni correction was applied. P < 0.05 was
considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Human DC Function in UC

3.1.1. Reduced T-Cell Stimulatory Capacity of DC in UC.
We analysed DC stimulation of T-cells in a 5-day mixed
leucocyte reaction (MLR). T-cells from the same donor
(a separate, healthy control) were stimulated by DC from
healthy controls and UC patients, within the same experi-
ments. DC stimulated a strong, dose-dependent proliferative
response in both healthy controls and UC patients; dividing
T-cells were identified as CFSElo CD3+ lymphocytes, by flow
cytometry (Figure 1(a)). However, DC from UC patients (UC-
DC) stimulated a significantly weaker proliferation of the
same CFSE-labelled T-cells compared with DC from healthy
controls (control DC; Figure 1(b)).

3.1.2. DC in UC Exhibit an Enhanced Ability to Imprint Skin-
Homing Properties on Effector T-Cells. We have previously
demonstrated T-cells within fresh PBMC expressed either
gut-homing molecule β7 or skin-homing molecule CLA; the
majority expressed β7 only. Freshly purified T-cells exhibited
the same homing profile, prior to co-culture with allogeneic
DC [37]. Post-culture, the expression of β7 on dividing T-
cells (CFSElo) was the default pathway; T-cells stimulated
by both control and UC-DC maintained β7 expression, as
did unstimulated T-cells. In contrast, CLA expression was
induced on dividing T-cells by both control and UC-DC
so that substantial numbers of T-cells were identified as
double positive for CLA and β7 following stimulation (due to
inherent high expression of β7 in all conditions; Figure 2(a)).
However, UC-DC exhibited an enhanced ability to prime
skin-homing T-cells, significantly increasing the proportion
of total CLA+ T-cells (Figure 2(b)) and the proportion of
T-cells expressing skin-homing molecule CCR4 (Figure 2(c))
within the stimulated population.

3.2. Effects of LcS Treatment on Dendritic Cell Function

3.2.1. LcS Restored T-Cell Stimulatory Capacity of Dendritic
Cells in UC. Optimisation experiments on healthy control
DC determined no significant differences between live or
heat-killed (HK) LcS regarding ability to enhance DC acti-
vation/maturation markers CD80 and CD83; both live and
HK LcS significantly enhanced CD80 and CD83 expression
(Figure 3(a)). Therefore HK LcS was used for all further
experiments.

We analysed DC stimulation of T-cells in a 5-day mixed
leucocyte reaction (MLR) following DC conditioning with
complete medium only, or varying concentrations of HK
LcS (1 × 10^5, 1 × 10^6, or 1 × 10^7 CFU/mL). A significant,
dose-dependent increase in DC stimulatory capacity was
observed upon LcS conditioning of both control- and
UC-DC (Figure 3(b)). Following LcS conditioning, UC-DC
levels of stimulation were restored to “normal” levels, similar
to that of control DC (Figure 3(c)).

3.2.2. LcS Conditioned DC to Imprint Skin-Homing Properties
on T-Cells in Healthy Controls but Not UC Patients. LcS
conditioning of DC had differential effects in healthy con-
trols compared with UC, on DC ability to imprint homing
properties on stimulated T-cells. In healthy controls, LcS
conditioning enhanced DC ability to induce a skin-homing
profile on T-cells, significantly increasing the proportion
of stimulated T-cells expressing CLA, in a dose-dependent
fashion (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). However, in UC, CLA
expression on T-cells was already enhanced (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)), and LcS conditioning had no further effects on DC ability to enhance CLA expression on T-cells (Figure 4(b)). LcS conditioning had no effect on DC ability to induce CCR4 expression in either healthy controls or UC patients (data not shown).

CLA expression on T-cells was enhanced upon stimulation by both untreated UC-DC and LcS-conditioned (control) DC. Induction of CLA on T-cells stimulated by LcS-conditioned DC from controls was in conjunction with gut-homing marker β7. However, CLA induction by untreated UC-DC was on the β7 negative fraction of T-cells (Figure 4(c)). Thus, the proportion of CLA⁺β7⁺ T-cells within the total CLA⁺ dividing T-cell pool was significantly greater upon stimulation with UC-DC, compared to LcS (1 × 10⁷ CFU/mL) conditioned (control) DC (Figure 4(d)).

3.2.3. LcS Conditioned DC to Induce TGFβ Production by T-Cells in Healthy Controls but Not UC Patients. LcS conditioning of DC also had differential effects on DC ability to induce cytokine production by stimulated T-cells, in controls compared with UC patients. Although there were differences within individual experiments between the ability of control DC and UC-DC (both untreated) to induce cytokine production by T-cells (IL-10, TGFβ, IFNγ and IL-17A were measured), overall there were no significant differences (Figure 5(a)). However, TGFβ production by T-cells was significantly increased, in a dose-dependent manner, when DC were conditioned with LcS in healthy controls, but not in ulcerative colitis (Figure 5(b)).

4. Discussion

We demonstrate for the first time, that human circulating DC from UC patients exhibit a restricted stimulatory capacity for allogeneic T-cells, and these DC induce a specific skin-homing profile on stimulated T-cells that DC from healthy controls do not. Our data support studies demonstrating dysregulated DC function in IBD [11,15,16], and furthermore, demonstrate systemic immune dysregulation in IBD patients...
rather than at mucosal sites only. The occurrence of extra-intestinal manifestations (EIM) associated with IBD indicates IBD is indeed a systemic disease, and our data provide an explanation for the occurrence of EIM affecting the skin [17]. Conditioning UC-DC with probiotic strain LcS restored their stimulatory capacity, reflecting that of control DC. LcS had differential effects on DC in healthy controls and UC, on DC ability to imprint specific homing profiles on stimulated T-cells, and to induce cytokine production by T-cells. This is the first study, to our knowledge, to investigate the effects of probiotic bacteria on migratory properties of immune cells. Our data supports studies demonstrating
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Figure 2: UC-DC enhanced expression of skin-homing molecules on stimulated T-cells. (a) CLA/β7 dot plots of dividing T-cells, following stimulation by 3% control or UC-DC. Numbers over each dot plot represent proportion of dividing T-cells expressing β7 only, β7 and CLA, CLA only or neither β7 or CLA. (b) Histograms of CLA expression by dividing T-cells, following stimulation by 3% control or UC-DC. On the right, summary graph of all experiments (n = 3). (c) Histograms of CCR4 expression by dividing T-cells, following stimulation by 3% control or UC-DC. On the right, summary graph of all experiments (n = 3). Paired t-test was applied, P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (* P < 0.05). All representative histograms/dot plots are from a single experiment representative of 3 independent experiments performed with similar results. Filled histograms represent positive staining, empty histograms represent background staining.
Figure 3: LcS restored “normal” DC stimulatory capacity in UC. (a) Identification of blood-enriched DC according to flow cytometry forward and side scatter plot and summary graphs representing mean ± SEM proportions of DC expressing CD40 and CD86 following conditioning with medium only, live LcS or dead LcS (n = 3). Separate experiments were carried out conditioning DC with LPS (n = 3). (b) Dose response T-cell proliferation following MLR with control- and UC-DC (n = 6). After paired two-way ANOVA analysis (corrected with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons), the DC concentration was statistically significant in all cases (P < 0.01). Control- and UC-DC stimulatory capacity was increased following LcS conditioning at LcS concentrations of 1 × 10⁵ (control: P < 0.05 at 2%, UC: P < 0.01 at 1%, P < 0.05 at 2%, P < 0.01 at 3% DC), 1 × 10⁶ (control: P < 0.01 at 2% and 3%, UC: P < 0.05 at 2%, P < 0.01 at 3% DC) and 1 × 10⁷ (control: P < 0.01 at 1%, 2%, and 3%, UC: P < 0.01 at 2%, and 3%) CFU/mL. (c) There were no significant differences between the stimulatory capacity of control and LcS-conditioned UC-DC at any LcS concentration.
Figure 4: Continued.
multifunctional immunoregulatory activities of LcS, depending on the responding cell types and the local microenvironment [38].

LcS conditioned control DC, but not UC-DC, to imprint skin-homing molecule CLA on stimulated T-cells. However, unlike the skin-homing profile induced on T-cells by UC-DC, CLA expression induced via LcS conditioning was in conjunction with gut-homing molecule β7, suggesting induction of a multi-homing profile. The differential effects of LcS on control and UC-DC were further demonstrated by the induction of TGFβ production by T-cells stimulated with LcS-conditioned DC in controls but not UC patients. These data suggest effects of LcS exerted on human DC are flexible, depending on the responding cell types and the local cytokine environment. The restoration of UC-DC stimulatory capacity by LcS suggests LcS may partly contribute to restoration/maintenance of homeostasis.

LcS may also confer homeostatic properties at intestinal sites (e.g., via oral administration) which could be beneficial in IBD; gut DC play a central role in immune homeostasis in the gut [39] and exhibit tolerogenic properties [15]. Alterations occur in gut DC in IBD [15, 16], leading to loss of tolerance in the gut and dysregulated immune responses to the colonic microbiota, a major contributing factor in the onset of IBD [11]. Restoration of homeostatic properties of gut DC by LcS at intestinal sites may account for the reported efficacy of LcS in UC [32]. However, the local microenvironment and responding cell types differ dramatically in the circulation and the gut for example, gut DC are conditioned by intestinal epithelial cells and epithelial cell-derived products to adopt their tolerogenic function [40–42]. Future studies will determine in vitro effects of LcS on gut DC and also on epithelial cell conditioning of gut DC.

Although the definition of probiotics involves live microorganisms (which, when administered in adequate amounts confers health benefit on the host) [2], our data demonstrates immunomodulation by heat-killed bacteria; furthermore, we demonstrated no significant differences between live and HK LcS regarding their ability to enhance activation marker expression on blood-enriched DC from healthy controls. These data support studies demonstrating immunomodulation by probiotic bacterial products, including the ability of probiotic bacterial DNA to induce regulatory IL-10 production by human peripheral blood mononuclear cells [43] and dendritic cells [44], and the ability of sonicated probiotic bacteria to induce marked anti-inflammatory effects on blood and intestinal DC. Furthermore, our recent studies have demonstrated an immunomodulatory peptide secreted by Lactobacillus plantarum, mediates some of the molecular dialogue between intestinal bacteria and DC, inducing immunoregulatory effects in both blood and intestinal DC in vitro [45].

IBD is associated with a variety of EIM, with up to a third of patients developing cutaneous manifestations including erythema nodosum (EN) and pyoderma gangrenosum (PG) [17]. The causes of EIM of IBD are poorly understood, but it has been suggested that compartmentalisation of inflammatory processes to different organs (e.g., intestine, skin, liver) may be linked to homing and trafficking of immune cells. For example, CCL25, the ligand for gut-homing receptor CCR9, is expressed on epithelium in both the liver and the small intestine [18]. Dysregulation of lymphocyte trafficking plays

![Figure 4: LcS conditioning of DC enhanced expression of CLA on stimulated T-cells in healthy controls but not UC.](image)

(a) Histograms of CLA expression by dividing T-cells, following stimulation by 3% DC from healthy control (no LcS) and after conditioning with 1 × 10^7 CFU/mL LcS. Example is from one experiment but representative of 3 independent experiments with similar results. (b) Summary graphs of all experiments, representing proportions of CLA T-cells stimulated by 3% control and UC-DC conditioned with increasing doses of LcS (n = 3). One way-ANOVA was applied, P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (‘P < 0.05, ‘‘P < 0.01). (c) CLA/β7 dot plots of dividing T-cells, following stimulation by 3% control DC, control DC + 1 × 10^7 LcS or UC-DC (no LcS). Example is from one experiment but representative of 3 independent experiments with similar results. Numbers over each dot plots represent proportion of dividing T-cells expressing β7 only, β7 and CLA, CLA only or neither β7 or CLA. (d) Summary graph of all experiments (n = 3) representing the proportion of dividing T-cells (stimulated by 3% DC in all cases) expressing CLA only (i.e., β7 negative), out of total CLA T-dividing T-cells. Paired t-test was applied, P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (‘P < 0.05, ‘‘P < 0.01).
Figure 5: Intracellular cytokine production by stimulated T-cells. (a) Summary graphs of all experiments representing proportions of T-cells stimulated by 3% control and UC-DC producing TGFβ, IL-10, IFNγ and IL-17 ($n=3$). Paired $t$-tests were applied, $P$-value $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. (b) Histograms of TGFβ expression by dividing T-cells, following stimulation by 3% control (no LcS) DC or control DC + $1 \times 10^5$ to $1 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL LcS. Example is from one experiment but representative of 3 independent experiments with similar results. Below, summary graphs of all experiments ($n=3$) representing the proportion of dividing T-cells (stimulated by 3% DC in all cases) producing TGFβ. DC were from healthy controls (left graph) or UC patients (right graph). One way-ANOVA was applied, $P$-value $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant ($^*P < 0.05$).
a key role in IBD pathogenesis [19–22, 46] and IBD therapeutics have previously demonstrated efficacy by abrogating trafficking of effector cells to intestinal sites [47–51]. However, we demonstrate in this study that skin-homing markers CLA and CCR4 are aberrantly expressed on β7 T-cells stimulated by UC-DC, providing an explanation for the occurrence of EIM affecting the skin, and supporting previous studies demonstrating conditioning DC with supernatants from culture of colonic biopsies from UC patients enables them to imprint a skin-homing phenotype on stimulated T-cells [52]. Blocking trafficking of effector cells to cutaneous sites in patients with EIM of IBD may also be of therapeutic benefit.

Although there were no significant effects of LcS on DC ability to induce T-cell cytokine production in UC overall, effects of LcS were variable between individual experiments, depending on whether production of particular cytokines were increased or decreased compared to T-cells stimulated by control DC (data not shown). These data also suggest restoration of a “normal” phenotype and support a multifunctional immunoregulatory role for LcS, returning dysregulated immune functions to the original normal state when the host becomes either immunocompromised or excessively activated [38]. Indeed, LcS can have either pro- or anti-inflammatory effects in human intervention studies [32, 53, 54] and in vitro studies [55–57] depending on the context.

In summary, our data demonstrate systemic alterations in immune cells in UC, specifically a dysregulated DC function. Our data provides an explanation for the occurrence of EIM of the skin in UC patients, and suggests that the probiotic strain LcS has multifunctional immunoregulatory activities on DC, depending on the disease state and the inflammatory environment. Our data supports studies demonstrating probiotic bacterial products, rather than live bacteria are capable of inducing immunoregulatory effects. The reported therapeutic effects of LcS and other probiotic Lactobacilli strains in UC [32, 58] may be partly due to promotion of homeostasis, restoring the dysregulated functions of immune cells.
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