

# *Resilience of rice (Oryza spp.) pollen germination and tube growth to temperature stress*

Article

Accepted Version

Coast, O., Murdoch, A. J., Ellis, R. H. ORCID:  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3695-6894>, Hay, F. R. and  
Jagadish, K. S. V. (2015) Resilience of rice (*Oryza* spp.) pollen  
germination and tube growth to temperature stress. *Plant, Cell  
& Environment*, 39 (1). pp. 26-37. ISSN 0140-7791 doi:  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12475> Available at  
<https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/37985/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pce.12475>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pce.12475>

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **Short running title:** Resilience of rice pollen to temperature stress

2 **Title:**

3 Resilience of rice (*Oryza* spp.) pollen germination and tube growth to temperature stress

4 **Authors' names:**

5 Onoriode Coast<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Alistair J. Murdoch<sup>1\*</sup>, Richard H. Ellis<sup>1</sup>, Fiona R. Hay<sup>3</sup> and Krishna  
6 S.V. Jagadish<sup>2\*\*</sup>

7 **Addresses:**

8 <sup>1</sup>School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, Earley Gate, P.O.  
9 Box 237, Reading RG6 6AR, Berkshire, United Kingdom

10 <sup>2</sup>Crop and Environmental Sciences Division, International Rice Research Institute, DAPO  
11 Box 7777, Metro Manila, Philippines

12 <sup>3</sup>Te-Tzu Chang Genetic Resources Center, International Rice Research Institute, DAPO Box  
13 7777, Metro Manila, Philippines

14 <sup>4</sup>Present address: CSIRO Plant Industry, Locked Mail Bag 59, Narrabri NSW 2390, Australia

15 **\*To whom correspondence should be addressed:**

16 Alistair J. Murdoch: School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading,  
17 Earley Gate, P.O. Box 237, Reading RG6 6AR, Berkshire, United Kingdom.

18 e-mail: [a.j.murdoch@reading.ac.uk](mailto:a.j.murdoch@reading.ac.uk)

19

20 **\*\*Second corresponding author**

21 Krishna Jagadish, Crop and Environmental Sciences Division, International Rice Research  
22 Institute, DAPO Box 7777, Metro Manila, Philippines.

23 e-mail: [k.jagadish@irri.org](mailto:k.jagadish@irri.org)

24

25 Abstract word count: 199

26

27

1 **Abstract**

2 Resilience of rice cropping systems to potential global climate change will partly depend on  
3 temperature tolerance of pollen germination (PG) and tube growth (PTG). Germination of  
4 pollen of high temperature susceptible *Oryza glaberrima* Steud. (cv. CG14) and *O. sativa* L.  
5 ssp. indica (cv. IR64) and high temperature tolerant *O. sativa* ssp. aus (cv. N22), was  
6 assessed on a 5.6-45.4°C temperature gradient system. Mean maximum PG was 85% at 27°C  
7 with 1488 µm PTG at 25°C. The hypothesis that in each pollen grain, minimum temperature  
8 requirements ( $T_n$ ) and maximum temperature limits ( $T_x$ ) for germination operate  
9 independently was accepted by comparing multiplicative and subtractive probability models.  
10 The maximum temperature limit for PG in 50% of grains ( $T_{x(50)}$ ) was lowest (29.8°C) in IR64  
11 compared with CG14 (34.3°C) and N22 (35.6°C). Standard deviation ( $s_x$ ) of  $T_x$  was also low  
12 in IR64 (2.3°C) suggesting that the mechanism of IR64's susceptibility to high temperatures  
13 may relate to PG. Optimum germination temperatures and thermal times for 1mm PTG were  
14 not linked to tolerating high temperatures at anthesis. However, the parameters  $T_{x(50)}$  and  $s_x$  in  
15 the germination model define new pragmatic criteria for successful and resilient PG,  
16 preferable to the more traditional cardinal (maximum and minimum) temperatures.

17

18 **Keywords:** heat; cold; development; stress; pollen germination; cardinal temperatures;  
19 germination model.

20

## 1 **Introduction**

2 Rice is grown across wide geographic boundaries from as far north as Manchuria and as far  
3 south as Uruguay and New South Wales and hence potentially exposed to temperatures  
4 ranging between  $\leq 15^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Zhang *et al.* 2005) and  $>40^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Wassmann *et al.* 2009). In addition,  
5 climate models predict that short duration high day temperature events, warmer nights, and  
6 even extremely cold nights may become more frequent and intense (IPCC 2013), which could  
7 reduce yield of cultivated rice (Peng *et al.* 2004; Wassmann *et al.* 2009; Jena *et al.* 2012;  
8 Martinez-Eixarch & Ellis 2014).

9 Flowering in rice is identified to be the most sensitive stage across both heat and cold  
10 stress, with the male reproductive organ determining the level of spikelet sterility (Jagadish *et*  
11 *al.* 2010; Farrell *et al.* 2006). Low or high temperatures at microsporogenesis and anthesis,  
12 reduce anther pore size, anther dehiscence, pollen viability, pollen germination (PG) and  
13 pollen tube growth rates (PTG) and hence fertilization and spikelet fertility (Satake 1976;  
14 Matsui, Omasa & Horie 2001; Andaya & Mackill 2003; Farrell *et al.* 2006; Prasad *et al.*  
15 2006; Jagadish *et al.* 2010, 2013; Martinez-Eixarch & Ellis 2014). With respect to anther  
16 pore size, a large basal pore size is positively correlated with high pollen deposition on the  
17 stigma (Matsui & Kagata 2003). High germination of deposited pollen and a high tube  
18 growth rate characterise rice cultivars which maintain spikelet fertility and seed set in hot or  
19 cold temperature stress (Endo *et al.*, 2009; Jagadish *et al.*, 2010; Rang *et al.*, 2011).

20 Chen *et al.* (2008) observed that rice pollen germinated on the stigma within two  
21 minutes of pollination and the tube reached the ovule after 40 minutes although the  
22 temperature at which this occurred was not reported. By contrast, in cotton (*Gossypium*  
23 *hirsutum* L.) and maize (*Zea mays* L.) pollen grains do not begin germinating until 10 or 30

1 min after pollination, respectively (Wedzony & van Lammeren 1996; Kakani *et al.* 2005).  
2 Rapid germination and tube growth are necessary in rice because rice pollen dries rapidly  
3 (Heslop-Harrison 1979), a consequence of very thin walls that are rich in exinous  
4 microchannels (Fu *et al.* 2001). Rapid loss of water from rice pollen leads to a sharp drop in  
5 viability, by nearly 50% between 6 and 20 min after anther dehiscence and pollen shedding  
6 (Khatun & Flowers 1995; Song, Lu & Chen 2001), compared to 4 to 6 h for sorghum  
7 [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench; Prasad, Boote & Allen (2011)] and 1 to 2 days for maize (Fu  
8 *et al.* 2008).

9         Difficulties in achieving rapid germination and maintaining viability have limited  
10 systematic *in vitro* research on rice PG and PTG. These problems are exacerbated by cultivar  
11 differences in the optimum medium composition to maximise PG (Dai *et al.* 2007; Chen *et*  
12 *al.* 2008). Thus, the medium used by Song *et al.* (2001) gave high germination in one cultivar  
13 but low and variable germination in others. Optimising the germination medium was  
14 therefore a prerequisite for this research on PG in relation to temperature stress.

15         Cardinal temperatures are the critical temperatures that characterise temperature  
16 responses for crop growth and development and vary between crops and with developmental  
17 stage (Hatfield *et al.* 2008). These temperatures – the minimum below which development  
18 does not occur, the optimum at which the rate of development is most rapid and the  
19 maximum temperature above which development ceases – have been published for rice  
20 developmental stages from seed germination to ripening (Krishnan *et al.* 2011, Shah *et al.*  
21 2011) but not for PG and PTG. In this paper, cardinal temperatures for the quantal response  
22 of PG *per se* are distinguished from those for the rate of growth of the pollen tube. By  
23 analogy with seed germination, there is no *a priori* reason for the cardinal temperatures to be

1 the same for both characteristics. For example, the optimum temperature for final percentage  
2 germination of *Phelipanche aegyptiaca* (Pers.) Pomel was 18-20 °C (Kebreab & Murdoch  
3 1999a) whereas for the rate of germination, a measure of vigour, it was 26-29 °C (Kebreab &  
4 Murdoch 1999b). In seeds, cardinal temperatures are typically determined from germination  
5 rates rather than percentage germination (Covell *et al.* 1986; Dumur, Pilbeam & Craigon  
6 1990; Steinmaus, Prather & Holt 2000; Hardegree & Winstral 2006), but with pollen,  
7 responses based on polynomial or split-line models of germination *per se* have been used  
8 (Kakani *et al.* 2002; Salem *et al.* 2007; Acar & Kakani 2010) to estimate minimum and  
9 maximum temperatures for PG. These theoretical temperature limits are, however, likely to  
10 give a misleading measure of tolerance to temperature stress for two main reasons. First of  
11 all, spikelet fertility and grain yields are much higher when a large number of pollen grains  
12 germinate on each stigma rather than just a few (Rang *et al.* 2011). For example, to achieve  
13 reliable seed set in rice, ten to twenty pollen grains must germinate on the stigma (Matsui *et*  
14 *al.* 2001; Jagadish *et al.* 2010). Secondly polynomial models ignore the binomial nature of  
15 germination (pollen grains either germinate or do not) and parameters have no biological  
16 meaning. Other quantal responses in plants have, however, been successfully modelled by  
17 probit analysis including dose-response curves of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) pollen germination  
18 to cadmium (Kumar, Dhingra & Rohilla 2000), survival over time of air-dry pollen (Hong *et*  
19 *al.* 1999), fungal conidia (Hong, Ellis & Moore 1997) and seeds (Ellis & Roberts 1980; Ellis  
20 & Hong 2007); minimum and maximum temperature limits for germination of *Orobanche*  
21 seeds (Kebreab & Murdoch 1999a, 2000); and seed germination across sub- and supra-  
22 optimal temperatures and seedling emergence under seedbed stress (Ellis & Roberts 1981;  
23 Hardegree 2006).

1 Here therefore, probit models developed for seed dormancy and germination of the  
2 holo-parasitic *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche* spp. (Kebreab & Murdoch 1999a, c, 2000) and for  
3 changes in seed dormancy in the hemi-parasitic species, *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth.  
4 (Dzomeku & Murdoch 2007), are extended and applied, for the first time, to pollen. This  
5 paper is also the first use of probit models to quantify rice pollen germination responses to  
6 temperature.

7 *Oryza sativa* L. ssp. indica (cv. IR64), *O. glaberrima* Steud. (cv. CG14) and *O. sativa*  
8 ssp. aus (cv. N22) were selected on the basis of their contrasting responses to high day  
9 temperatures at microsporogenesis and anthesis. The heat tolerance of N22 in terms of  
10 spikelet fertility and yield is well established (Yoshida, Satake & Mackill 1981, Prasad *et al.*  
11 2006; Jagadish, Craufurd & Wheeler 2007, 2008; Jagadish *et al.* 2010; Coast *et al.* 2014),  
12 while Rang *et al.* (2011) confirmed its “true tolerance” to high day temperatures (38 °C for  
13 6 h for 4 days at around the time of anthesis) by higher germination of pollen on the stigma  
14 and much higher spikelet fertility than for cv. IR64. IR64 is sensitive to high day  
15 temperatures at both microsporogenesis and anthesis (Jagadish *et al.* 2008, 2010, 2013; Coast  
16 *et al.* 2014). Similarly, CG14 is susceptible to high day temperature stress at  
17 microsporogenesis (Jagadish *et al.* 2013) and anthesis (Prasad *et al.* 2006; Jagadish *et al.*  
18 2008), as evidenced by spikelet fertility reductions of 40 to 60% (at microsporogenesis) and  
19 70% (at anthesis) when exposed to 4-6 consecutive days of 38 compared to 30 °C.

20 Using these three rice cultivars with contrasting responses to temperature stress, the  
21 objectives were (1) to model the effects of temperature on PG and PTG rate and (2) to  
22 investigate if the resilience or susceptibility to temperature stress in these three genotypically-  
23 diverse rice cultivars could relate to the temperature limits for PG and cardinal temperatures  
24 for the rate of PTG. The hypothesis tested is that each individual pollen grain has a minimum

- 1 temperature requirement and maximum temperature limit, which act independently and
- 2 control its ability to germinate at any given temperature.
- 3

## 1 **Materials and Methods**

### 2 *Field establishment*

3 Seeds of the three rice cultivars originating from different countries and agro-ecologies  
4 (Table 1) were utilized. Pre-germinated seeds were placed into seed trays filled with natural  
5 clay loam soil. Two weeks after sowing, seedlings were transplanted into paddy fields at the  
6 International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) in the Philippines (14°11' N, 121°15' E). Five or  
7 six seedlings per hill were transplanted at a spacing of 0.3 × 0.2 m into two plots 90 × 90 m  
8 each and 5 m apart. Ten days after transplanting, plants were thinned to three (CG14 and  
9 N22) or two (IR64) per hill (IR64 tillers more profusely). Fertilizer was applied according to  
10 normal practice at IRRI, that is, basal (30:15:20:2.5 kg N:P:K:Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>), mid-tillering (20 kg N  
11 ha<sup>-1</sup>), panicle initiation (20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and before heading (30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). Paddy fields were  
12 kept continuously flooded. No pest or disease problems were observed. Temperature and  
13 relative humidity at panicle height in adjacent rice plots 5 m away were logged every 10  
14 minutes and mean values recorded every half hour using Hobo Microstation data loggers  
15 (Onset Computer Corp., USA).

### 16 *Harvesting panicles and collecting pollen*

17 At 50% anthesis on each of two consecutive days for each cultivar, panicles were harvested  
18 for pollen between 0700 and 0900 h. The cultivar, N22, was harvested 13 days earlier than  
19 the other two cultivars (Table 2) on account of its shorter duration from transplanting to  
20 anthesis (Table 1). Panicle stems were bent into test-tubes filled with water and cut under  
21 water to avoid obstructing transpiration. Harvested panicles were transferred with their stems  
22 in water to the laboratory and kept next to the window (to ensure sufficient light exposure)

1 until spikelets started opening (15 to 60 min after harvest). For each cultivar, a minimum of  
2 84 panicles were harvested from a mixture of main stems and primary tillers.

### 3 *Pollen germination media*

4 Pollen germination media (modified from Dai *et al.* 2007) were freshly prepared with 0.04 g  
5 of boric acid and 0.003 g of vitamin B1 and 0.04-0.06 g calcium nitrate tetrahydrate  
6 [Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O], which were dissolved in 1 l deionized water. Twenty grams of sucrose and  
7 10 g of polyethylene glycol (PEG 4000) were then dissolved in 700ml of this solution. The  
8 solution was thoroughly mixed with a magnetic stirrer at 35 °C before pouring into 30 mm  
9 diameter Petri dishes. Chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (Singapore).

10 Dai *et al.* (2007) used 0.7 g l<sup>-1</sup> Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O, but in preliminary trials for this  
11 research, high PG and PTG were obtained at lower concentrations. In IR64, for example, the  
12 optimum was 0.06 g l<sup>-1</sup> of Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O (Suppl. Table S1). Although PG of CG14 was also  
13 maximised with 0.06 g l<sup>-1</sup> Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O, many pollen grains germinated abnormally,  
14 having two pollen tubes, and so a slightly lower concentration (0.055 g l<sup>-1</sup>) was used, while  
15 PG of N22 was better at 0.04 g l<sup>-1</sup> (O. Coast, unpublished). Using these media, 80 to 90% of  
16 pollen grains routinely germinated *in vitro* at laboratory temperature (26 to 28 °C).

### 17 *Temperature treatments*

18 Temperature treatments were applied using a temperature gradient plate (TGP; Grant  
19 Instruments Ltd., Cambridge, UK; Murdoch, Roberts & Goedert 1989; Kebreab & Murdoch  
20 1999a). The plate was operated in one direction and run twice to provide two sets of 14  
21 constant temperature regimes, one between 5.6 and 27.4 °C and the next day between 24.6  
22 and 45.4 °C. The surface temperature of the TGP was measured underneath a set of Petri

1 dishes placed along the gradient every five minutes for a period of one hour and a similar set  
2 of measurements was recorded immediately afterwards in the germination medium using  
3 Campbell data loggers (CR1000, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, USA) . Although some  
4 differences were observed, on average differences between the medium and plate  
5 temperatures at the same position on the plate were small at +0.2 and -0.7 °C for the  
6 24.6/45.4 °C and 5.6/27.4 °C temperature gradients, respectively (Suppl. Fig. S1). Analyses  
7 were based on temperatures measured on the surface of the TGP.

8 For each cultivar, there were three replicate Petri dishes for each of the 28 nominal  
9 temperature points on the TGP. The dishes were placed on the TGP for about 15 min to  
10 equilibrate with the TGP temperature before dusting with pollen.

11 Using the freshly collected open spikelets, pollen grains from spikelets on one  
12 individual panicle at anthesis were dusted onto the germination medium in one Petri dish on  
13 the TGP, by gently tapping the panicle. To prevent pollen from getting into other Petri dishes,  
14 only one Petri dish was opened at a time. Germination tests were carried out in the dark as is  
15 usual for pollen (Kakani *et al.*, 2002, 2005). After four hours on the TGP, the 252 Petri dishes  
16 were stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C until assessed. No changes in germination or pollen tube  
17 lengths were expected or observed during storage, the storage temperature being well below  
18 the minimum temperature for growth.

### 19 *Pollen germination and tube growth rate determination*

20 Germination after four hours was counted at 100× magnification using a transmitted light  
21 microscope (Primo Star; Carl Zeiss Int., Germany) fitted with a Plan-Achromat lens. Pollen  
22 was considered germinated if the length of the pollen tube was equal to or greater than the  
23 diameter of the pollen grain (Kakani *et al.* 2005). PG was calculated as the percentage of

1 germinated pollen grains to the total number of pollen grains averaged across six to ten  
2 microscopic field views such that at least 200 pollen grains were assessed to calculate PG in  
3 each Petri dish.

4 To estimate tube growth rate, the lengths of 15 to 40 pollen tubes were measured in  
5 each replicate. Due to the wide range of temperatures tested, at many of which PTG may be  
6 slow, a four-hour period was used for this *in vitro* study rather than the one or two hour  
7 periods used *in vivo* by Jagadish *et al.* (2010) and Chen *et al.* (2008), respectively. Images of  
8 germinated pollen grains were captured using an imaging microscope (Axioplan 2; Carl Zeiss  
9 Int., Germany) at 100× magnification and a free-hand trace drawn around each pollen tube,  
10 its length being recorded automatically using Image Pro-Plus software (Media Cybernetics  
11 Inc., USA). The lengths of the three longest pollen tubes from at least two Petri dishes at each  
12 temperature were used to calculate mean growth rates per hour, which were used in data  
13 analyses.

#### 14 *Model fitting and cardinal temperature determination*

15 GenStat (GenStat® 13th Edition, VSN Intl. Ltd, UK) was used to fit the various models.  
16 Pollen tube growth data after four hours satisfied the assumptions of normality and  
17 homogeneity. The mean PTG rates per hour were analysed using a split-line non-linear model  
18 in which growth rate was regressed against temperature. The optimum temperature for the  
19 rate of PTG was fitted by a standard iterative procedure in GenStat to minimise residual  
20 variance. The base and ceiling temperatures are extrapolations to temperatures at which the  
21 rate was predicted to be zero. The reciprocal of the slope of the response of rate of PTG to  
22 mean temperature at sub-optimal temperatures estimates the mean thermal time to achieve a  
23 tube length of one micron from which thermal times for 1 mm tube lengths were calculated.

1 Non-linear probit models with binomial errors were fitted to the PG data for each cultivar  
2 using the FITNONLINEAR function in GenStat. Multiplicative and subtractive models were  
3 fitted to compare two alternative hypotheses, namely that the cardinal temperature limits for  
4 individual pollen grains are either independent or linked, respectively. According to the  
5 probit model, variation in temperature limits is normally distributed within a homogeneous  
6 population of pollen grains such that:

$$7 \quad \Phi_{\min} = [K_n + bT] \quad (1)$$

8 and

$$9 \quad \Phi_{\max} = [K_x + cT] \quad (2)$$

10 where  $\Phi_{\min}$  and  $\Phi_{\max}$  are the proportions of grains in normal equivalent deviates (n.e.d.) or  
11 probits for which the temperature,  $T$  ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), is respectively the minimum temperature  
12 requirement or the maximum temperature limit for germination;  $K_n$  and  $K_x$  are intercepts, that  
13 is the proportions (n.e.d.) whose requirements/limits are met at  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $b$  and  $c$  are  
14 temperature coefficients.

15 In the **multiplicative** probability model, the minimum and maximum temperature limits  
16 represented by Eqns (1) and (2), are, respectively, positive and negative cumulative normal  
17 distributions so that the coefficient,  $c$ , is negative. The temperature limits are assumed to be  
18 independent so that the proportion of pollen grains germinating,  $G$ , is the product of these  
19 two functions after back-transformation ( $\Phi^{-1}$ ) of their respective n.e.d. values to probabilities  
20 (Eqn 3):

$$21 \quad G = (\Phi_{\min})^{-1} (\Phi_{\max})^{-1} \quad (3)$$

22 so that,

1 
$$G = (\Phi^{-1}[K_n + bT]) (\Phi^{-1}[K_x - cT]) \quad (4)$$

2

3 In the **subtractive** probability model the distributions of minimum and maximum  
 4 temperature limits represented by Eqns (1) and (2), are both positive cumulative normal  
 5 distributions and hence,  $c$  is positive:

6 
$$G = (\Phi^{-1}[K_n + bT]) - (\Phi^{-1}[K_x + cT]) \quad (5)$$

7 To avoid negative values of  $G$ , parameter values are constrained so that  $K_n \geq K_x$  and  $b \geq c$  so  
 8 that temperature limits are not assumed to be independent in the subtractive model.

9 Following Kebreab & Murdoch's (1999a; 2000) research on seeds, an exponential effect  
 10 of temperature on the distribution of high temperature limits (Eqn (2)) was also tested as in  
 11 Eqn (6) for the multiplicative model:

12 
$$G = (\Phi^{-1}[K_n + bT]) (\Phi^{-1}[K_x - c r^T]) \quad (6)$$

13 where  $r$  quantifies the rate of exponential decrease in the maximum temperature limit with  
 14 increase in  $T$ . A similar change can be made in the subtractive model.

15 To provide parameters which might be used to assess the resilience of pollen germination  
 16 to temperature stress, these equations can be rearranged to model the means and standard  
 17 deviations of the fitted normal distributions. By definition at the mean minimum and  
 18 maximum temperature limits, 50% of pollen grains are at the temperature limit, that is  
 19  $\Phi^{-1} = 0.5$  as a proportion and  $\Phi = 0$  n.e.d. The estimated mean limits are hereafter  
 20 respectively designated  $T_{n(50)}$  and  $T_{x(50)}$ . Moreover, by definition, the reciprocal of the slope of  
 21 the normal distribution function is the standard deviation ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) of individual temperature

1 limits within a population of pollen grains, hereafter designated  $s_n$  and  $s_x$ , for minimum and  
 2 maximum temperature distributions, respectively. Hence, when  $\Phi_{\min} = 0$ , Eqn (1) becomes

$$3 \quad [K_n + T_{n(50)} / s_n] = 0 \quad (7)$$

4 and

$$5 \quad K_n = -T_{n(50)} / s_n \quad (8)$$

6 Substituting for  $K_n$  in Eqn (1),

$$7 \quad \Phi_{\min} = (T - T_{n(50)}) / s_n \quad (9)$$

8 Treating Eqn (2) and the exponential equivalent similarly, Eqns (4), (5) and (6) may  
 9 respectively be rewritten,

$$10 \quad G = \Phi^{-1}[(T - T_{n(50)}) / s_n] \Phi^{-1}[(T_{x(50)} - T) / s_x] \quad (10)$$

$$11 \quad G = \Phi^{-1}[(T - T_{n(50)}) / s_n] - \Phi^{-1}[(T - T_{x(50)}) / s_x] \quad (11)$$

$$12 \quad G = \Phi^{-1}[(T - T_{n(50)}) / s_n] \Phi^{-1}[(r^{T_{x(50)}} - r^T) / s_x] \quad (12).$$

13 The optimum temperature ( $T_o$ ) for germination was estimated as the temperature at  
 14 which the fitted germination,  $G$ , was maximised.

## 1 **Results**

2 Mean day/night temperatures over periods from two and 15 days before anthesis (dba) until  
3 anthesis were approximately optimal for rice and similar for both the earlier-harvested N22  
4 (26.0/24.9 and 26.8/25.3 °C, respectively) and the later harvested CG14 and IR64 (27.6/25.5  
5 and 28.2/25.4°C, respectively) (Table 2, Suppl. Fig. 2). In addition, mean daytime relative  
6 humidities over the same periods and at the actual times when the panicles were being  
7 harvested were comparable (Table 2, Suppl. Fig. 2).

### 8 *Pollen germination*

9 Maximum PG was observed at 27 °C for all three cultivars amounting to 86, 77 and 93% for  
10 CG14, IR64 and N22, respectively (Fig. 1, Table 3). Although pollen grains germinated over  
11 very wide temperature ranges, that is, 12.2-41, 5.7-35 and 5.6-45.4 °C, respectively, very few  
12 pollen grains germinated at low and high temperatures (Fig. 1). So while these temperature  
13 ranges are of interest, they reflect extreme individuals in the population and parameters  
14 quantifying the performance of the overall population are also needed.

### 15 *Modelling percentage germination*

16 Residual deviances were significantly lower and adjusted  $R^2$  values were higher for  
17 the multiplicative models (Eqns (4) and (6)) than for the subtractive model (Eqn (5)) in all  
18 three cultivars (Suppl. Table 2). A small but significant improvement in the goodness of fit  
19 was obtained for CG14 and N22, but not for IR64, when expressing the maximum  
20 temperature limit on an exponential scale (Eqn (6)). The parameter,  $r$ , could not, however, be  
21 optimised by non-linear modelling in GenStat and no standard errors could be obtained.  
22 Instead,  $r$  had to be optimised by varying its value manually to minimise the residual  
23 deviance, the optimal value of  $r$  varying with cultivar and model (Suppl. Table 2). Visually,

1 the exponential model for maximum temperature limits reduced the highest predicted  
2 germination at the optimum temperature but slightly improved the goodness of fit for low  
3 germination values at high temperatures (cf. Fig. 1). Given the inability to optimise  $r$  or  
4 determine its standard errors using GenStat together with the principle of minimising the  
5 number of parameters (three extra being needed because  $r$  varied with cultivar), the  
6 multiplicative probability model with an exponential function for maximum temperature was  
7 rejected. Results are therefore presented according to Eqn (10) and the underlying cumulative  
8 normal distributions and bell-shaped curves of minimum temperature requirements and  
9 maximum temperature limits for germination of each cultivar are shown (Fig. 3). According  
10 to the model, germination at the optimum temperature is less than 100%, because of the  
11 significant overlap of the two distributions in all three cultivars but to the greatest extent in  
12 IR64 (Fig.3). This effect in IR64 is partly linked to  $s_x$  being about half that for the other two  
13 cultivars (Table 3), which also results in PG values decreasing more rapidly in IR64 than  
14 CG14 and N22 as temperature increased above  $T_o$  (Figs 1, 3). As a result  $T_{x(50)}$  is also much  
15 lower in IR64 (Table 3, Fig. 3) the effect of which in combination with the low  $s_x$ , is  
16 exemplified by no pollen germinating above 35 °C (Fig.1). Conversely, both N22 and CG14  
17 had higher values for  $T_{x(50)}$  and  $s_x$  (Table 3, Fig. 3), with some germination above 40 °C in  
18 both cultivars (Fig.1).

#### 19 *Pollen tube growth rates*

20 The mean maximum pollen tube length across the three rice cultivars after four hours was  
21 1390  $\mu\text{m}$  at 24.6 °C, but cultivars differed ( $P<0.01$ ): the mean maximum for N22 (1886  $\mu\text{m}$   
22 at 24.6 °C) was longer ( $P<0.05$ ) but also more variable than for CG14 (1288  $\mu\text{m}$  at 27.3 °C)  
23 and IR64 (1290  $\mu\text{m}$  at 25.5 °C) (Fig. 2 and Table 4 where PTG is shown as a rate per hour).

1 Measurable PTG occurred over a narrower temperature range for IR64 (19.1 to 35.2 °C) than  
2 for CG14 (16.9 to 38.6 °C) or N22 (13.5 to 38.2 °C) (Fig. 2).

### 3 *Modelling the rate of pollen tube growth*

4 The estimated optimal and ceiling temperatures for the rate of PTG were higher and the base  
5 temperatures lower for CG14 than for IR64 and N22 (Table 4). Thermal times for 1 mm tube  
6 lengths were much greater for CG14 at sub-optimal temperatures (65.4 °C h) than for the  
7 other two cultivars (Table 4). The longer thermal time in CG14 is a reflection of the  
8 shallower slope at sub-optimal temperatures (Fig. 2, Table 4). The lower base temperature of  
9 CG14 (Table 2) compensates partly for its higher thermal time. Nevertheless, assuming both  
10 an approximately optimal temperature for rate of PTG (27 °C, Table 4) and also a constant  
11 growth rate, the predicted periods to achieve a 1 mm long pollen tube are 3.4, 3.0 and 2.4 h  
12 for CG14, IR64 and N22, respectively.

### 13 *Cardinal germination temperatures*

14 Using the multiplicative probability model, the optimum temperatures, at which fitted PG  
15 values were maximised, were similar for CG14 and N22 (28.3-28.7 °C), but slightly cooler  
16 for IR64 (26.8 °C) (Fig. 1, Table 3). While there is no *a priori* reason why these optima  
17 should be the same as the optima for rate of PTG, it is interesting that these are within 0.6 and  
18 0.1 °C of the estimated optima for rate of PTG in CG14 and IR64, respectively, whereas there  
19 is a 2 °C difference for N22 (Tables 3, 4). Overall, however, differences in the optima for PG  
20 and PTG were small, being 27-29 °C for each cultivar.

21 More significantly, the temperature range between which 50% of pollen grains exceeded their  
22 minimum temperature requirement ( $T_{n(50)}$ ) but had not exceeded their maximum temperature  
23 limit ( $T_{x(50)}$ ) was much wider for CG14 and N22 (c. 21-35 °C) than for IR64 (23-30 °C), the

1 most pertinent observation in terms of resilience perhaps being that IR64 has a 5 °C lower  
2  $T_{x(50)}$  than the other two cultivars (Table 3, Fig. 3). Being extrapolations to temperatures at  
3 which the rates of PTG are zero, the temperature differences between the base and ceiling  
4 temperatures should be much wider than those between  $T_{n(50)}$ . However, while evaluating  
5 resilience to both extreme high and low temperatures, it is relevant to note that the range is  
6 again widest for CG14 (8 to 42 °C) and narrowest for IR64 (12 to 36 °C), N22 being  
7 intermediate (10 to 40 °C; Table 4). Although they are extrapolations, the ceiling  
8 temperatures (Table 4) estimated from the split line regressions of PTG rates are fairly  
9 realistic, reflecting the highest temperatures at which PG was observed in each cultivar (Fig.  
10 1).

11

## 12 **Discussion**

13 High PG and PTG were achieved across diverse rice cultivars by adjusting the concentration  
14 of calcium nitrate. The calcium ion is essential for germination and subsequent growth of  
15 pollen in many flowering plant species (Brewbaker & Kwack 1963; Ge, Tian & Russell  
16 2007). Extremely high or low calcium ion concentrations *in vitro* affect the cell wall, which  
17 may become discontinuous or thickened at the tube tip, respectively, resulting in poor PTG  
18 (Ge *et al.* 2007). Nitrate promotes seed germination (Vincent & Roberts 1977; Vandeloos, de  
19 Moer & van Assche 2008) including of rice (Roberts 1963) but by terminating seed  
20 dormancy rather than initiating germination (Finch-Savage & Leubner-Metzger 2006). Pollen  
21 grains are non-dormant, so any role of the nitrate ion is likely to differ from that in seeds.

22 The 85% PG recorded here is similar to the highest reported previously for *in vitro*  
23 research on single rice cultivars (90%: Kariya 1989; 85%: Song *et al.* 2001) and higher than

1 the percentages recorded across multiple cultivars of other crops (36-81%, Table 5). The  
2 mean maximum PTG of the three rice cultivars (1390  $\mu\text{m}$ ) was also longer than for other  
3 crops (437-1020  $\mu\text{m}$ , Table 5). In comparison with PTG through the pistil, Jagadish *et al.*  
4 (2010) reported PTG of 1840 and 1350  $\mu\text{m}$  after one hour for IR64 and N22, respectively, as  
5 against *in vitro* values of 1288 and 1886  $\mu\text{m}$  here. The *in vivo* tube lengths reflect the pistil  
6 lengths, which were 2340 and 1850  $\mu\text{m}$ , respectively (Jagadish *et al.* 2010) and pollen  
7 responses may differ between *in vivo* and *in vitro* conditions (Read, Clarke & Bacic 1993;  
8 Taylor & Hepler 1997; Rosell, Herrero & Galán Saúco 1999; Poulton, Koide & Stephenson  
9 2001).

10 The decrease in PG at high temperature has been linked with alteration in pollen  
11 morphology and failure of metabolic processes such as rehydration, reduced sugar activity  
12 and utilization marked by increased sucrose and starch concentrations (Aloni *et al.* 2001,  
13 Karni & Aloni 2002). By contrast, at low temperature, the decline in PG has been associated  
14 with decreased availability of sucrose and the reducing sugars, fructose and glucose (Shaked,  
15 Rosenfeld & Pressman 2004). Do these changes in physiology, which are associated with low  
16 and high temperatures, cause low germination or they are simply secondary effects? If  
17 causative, the physiological mechanisms for upper and lower temperature limits are,  
18 therefore, quite distinct. That hypothesis was tested and accepted here as a result of the  
19 statistical superiority and goodness of fit of the multiplicative probability model to the data.  
20 A similar inference was proposed by Kebreab & Murdoch (1999a, b) in discussing primary  
21 and secondary dormancy of seeds of *Phelipanche aegyptiaca*. Against this, it could be argued  
22 that the variability in temperature limits quantified by fitting probit models might be  
23 interpreted probabilistically since pollen grains are genetically similar. Accepting the  
24 multiplicative model implies, however, that an individual pollen grain may simultaneously be

1 below its  $T_n$  and above its  $T_x$ , which could only occur if the mechanisms were different and  
2 independent. The biological mechanisms underlying  $T_n$  and  $T_x$  must, therefore, operate  
3 independently.

4 With respect to achieving high temperature tolerance, optimum temperatures for both  
5 PG and PTG failed to discriminate the cultivars, being similar amongst all three tested  
6 (Tables 3-4). The parameters of the theoretical underlying distributions (Figure 3) do,  
7 however, help in explaining why IR64 cannot tolerate high day temperatures at anthesis. Not  
8 only was its  $T_{x(50)}$  relatively low, but its lower  $s_x$  also indicates low variability between pollen  
9 grains in  $T_x$ . Its resilience on exposure to high temperature stress is therefore limited as very  
10 few grains in the population exhibited high temperature tolerance, none germinating above  
11 35 °C. These results can, therefore, account for the reported decline in spikelet fertility of  
12 IR64 when spikelet tissue temperatures exceeded 33.7 °C at anthesis (Jagadish *et al.* 2007;  
13 Weerakoon, Maruyama & Ohba 2008).

14 The above provides an example of within-cultivar uniformity being disadvantageous  
15 to resilience. By contrast, an important potential contribution of PG to N22's resilience to  
16 high temperature stress has been quantified here by its higher  $T_{x(50)}$  and wider  $s_x$  so that  
17 unlike IR64, over 50% of N22's pollen grains could germinate at 35°C.

18 Interestingly however, these two parameters were only slightly lower in the high  
19 temperature susceptible CG14 compared to N22. The wide PG and PTG temperature range  
20 displayed by CG14 is perhaps not surprising as it is an *O. glaberrima* with traits that have  
21 been employed in the development of other abiotic stress-tolerant cultivars (Jones *et al.* 1997;  
22 Agnoun *et al.* 2012). Clearly other factors must override the relatively high  $T_{x(50)}$  and wide  $s_x$   
23 in CG14. The longer thermal time required for CG14 to achieve 1 mm pollen tube length

1 could contribute to its susceptibility if the effect of that were that fertilisation took place at  
2 the hottest time of the day. However, CG14 tends to flower earlier in the morning than many  
3 cultivars including N22 (Prasad *et al.* 2006; Jagadish *et al.* 2008) and so even if the process  
4 of fertilisation took longer in CG14 compared with N22, its earlier flowering could  
5 compensate for potential heat damage. It is therefore suggested that the resilience of N22 and  
6 the susceptibility of IR64 to high temperature stress at anthesis can be explained in terms of  
7 their respective values of  $T_{x(50)}$  and  $s_x$ . In CG14 however, the dynamics of flowering patterns  
8 in the panicle during the course of the day and other physiological processes occurring after  
9 germination such as pollen tube-ovary signalling prior to and during fertilization and early  
10 embryo development may need to be invoked to account for its susceptibility.

11 In order to compare the results obtained here with cardinal PG temperatures  
12 quantified by polynomial regression, the lower and upper temperatures for 1% germination  
13 were predicted for PG by Eqn (10). Averaged across the three cultivars, the predicted values  
14 were 10 and 42 °C, respectively, which are similar to cardinal temperatures for PG of certain  
15 other crops (Table 5). Although a wide  $s_x$  may mean 1% PG at 42 °C in CG14 and N22, this  
16 low PG and the very low rate of PTG by these extreme individuals in the population is  
17 unlikely to give an agriculturally-acceptable level of spikelet fertility (compare Rang *et al.*  
18 2011). The use of these cardinal temperatures to assess resilience to high temperature stress  
19 may therefore be misleading. By contrast, the mean limits (i.e.  $T_{n(50)}$  and  $T_{x(50)}$ ) used in this  
20 paper will probably allow greater than the minimum germination required to achieve spikelet  
21 fertility, and thus arguably provide a ‘fail-safe’ estimate of the temperature range required to  
22 minimise the risk of yield loss in rice due to either low PG or low PTG when assessing  
23 cultivars.

1           The previous discussion has focussed on upper temperature limits. The data on low  
2 temperature limits is also interesting as global change scenarios may also include extreme  
3 low temperature events or breeders may consider adapting cultivars for other environments  
4 where temperatures are lower. Pollen of both CG14 and N22 germinated at or below 13 °C,  
5 which is considered a critical threshold for cold-tolerance in rice (Farrell *et al.* 2006), but as  
6 noted already the performance of extreme individuals can be misleading. Based on the  $T_{n(50)}$   
7 values, adequate germination for good fertilisation would need a temperature of  
8 approximately 20 °C, N22 being slightly more tolerant of low temperatures according to this  
9 criterion although rates of PTG would however be slower at low temperatures. Further  
10 research is needed to test the hypotheses relating to the pollen traits of most significance for  
11 conferring low temperature tolerance at anthesis.

12           The large temperature range that exists naturally with rice cultivation across tropical  
13 and temperate regions highlights the agronomic relevance of pollen performance in the tested  
14 range of temperatures. In addition, with a changing climate, identifying and utilizing genetic  
15 diversity in PG and PTG is a reliable approach towards developing tolerant rice cultivars to  
16 sustain future rice production. Subject to the caveat that the applicability of the models  
17 developed here needs to be confirmed on a larger set of genotypes, it can be concluded that  
18 optimal temperatures for *in vitro* rice PG and PTG do not discriminate between rice  
19 genotypes which were either susceptible or tolerant of high temperatures at anthesis.  
20 Moreover, the traditional use of base and ceiling temperatures gives a misleading impression  
21 of resilience since PG at temperatures close to these extrapolated limits was very low and  
22 PTG was slow. While further research is required to confirm that the responses of *in vitro* PG  
23 and PTG to temperature reflect *in vivo* performance on the stigma, it is clear that parameters  
24 derived from modelling variation in temperature limits for PG (specifically,  $s_x$  and  $T_{x(50)}$ ) can

- 1 together be applied to identify those cultivars where PG is likely to improve resilience to high
- 2 day temperature stress.
- 3

1 **Acknowledgements**

2 Our thanks to the Felix Trust, which supported OC, the USAID-BMGF Cereal Systems  
3 Initiative for South Asia (CSISA) programme through IRRI for financial support, Cheryl  
4 Quiñones for technical assistance, Patria Gonzalez and Rowena Oane for help with  
5 microscopy and Bill Hardy and Michael Shaw, who commented on the manuscript.

6

## References

- Acar I. & Kakani V.G. (2010) The effects of temperature on *in vitro* pollen germination and pollen tube growth of *Pistacia* spp. *Scientia Horticulturae* 125, 569-572.
- Agnoun Y., Biao S.S.H., Sié M., Vodouhè R.S. & Ahanchédé A. (2012) The African rice *Oryza glaberrima* Steud.: knowledge distribution and prospects. *International Journal of Biology* 4, 158-180.
- Aloni B., Peet M., Pharr M. & Karni L. (2001) The effect of high temperature and high atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on carbohydrate changes in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) pollen in relation to its germination. *Physiologia Plantarum* 112, 505-512.
- Andaya V.C. & Mackill D.J. (2003) QTLs conferring cold tolerance at the booting stage of rice using recombinant inbred lines from a japonica x indica cross. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 106, 1084-1090.
- Brewbaker J.L. & Kwack B.H. (1963) The essential role of calcium ion in pollen germination and pollen tube growth. *American Journal of Botany* 50, 859-865.
- Coast O., Ellis R.H., Murdoch A.J., Quiñones C. & Jagadish S.V.K. (2014) High night temperature induces contrasting responses for spikelet fertility, spikelet tissue temperature, flowering characteristics and grain quality in rice. *Functional Plant Biology* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/FP14104>.
- Chen S., Zhong W., Liu M., Xie Z. & Wang H. (2008) Pollen grain germination and pollen tube growth in pistil of rice. *Rice Science* 15, 125-130.
- Covell S., Ellis R.H., Roberts E.H. & Summerfield R.J. (1986) The influence of temperature on seed-germination rate in grain legumes. I. A comparison of

- chickpea, lentil, soybean and cowpea at constant temperatures. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 37, 705-715.
- Dai S., Chen T., Chong K., Xue Y., Liu S. & Wang T. (2007) Proteomics identification of differentially expressed proteins associated with pollen germination and tube growth reveals characteristics of germinated *Oryza sativa* pollen. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics* 6, 207-230.
- Dumur D., Pilbeam C.J. & Craigon J. (1990) Use of the Weibull function to calculate cardinal temperatures in faba bean. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 41, 1423-1430.
- Dzomeku I.K. & Murdoch A.J. (2007) Modelling effects of prolonged conditioning on dormancy and germination of *Striga hermonthica*. *Journal of Agronomy* 6, 235-249.
- Ellis R.H. & Hong T.D. (2007) Quantitative response of the longevity of seed of twelve crops to temperature and moisture in hermetic storage. *Seed Science and Technology* 35, 432-444.
- Ellis R.H. & Roberts E.H. (1980) Improved equations for the prediction of seed longevity. *Annals of Botany* 45, 13-30.
- Ellis R.H. & Roberts E.H. (1981) The quantification of ageing and survival in orthodox seeds. *Seed Science and Technology* 9, 373-409.
- Endo M., Tsuchiya T., Hamada K., Kawamura S., Yano K., Ohshima M., Higashitani A., Watanabe M. & Kawagishi-Kobayashi M. (2009) High temperatures cause male sterility in rice plants with transcriptional alterations during pollen development. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 50, 1911-1922.

- Farrell T.C., Fox K.M., Williams R.L., Fukai S. & Lewin L.G. (2006) Minimising cold damage during reproductive development among temperate rice genotypes. II. Genotypic variation and flowering traits related to cold tolerance screening. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 57, 89-100.
- Finch-Savage W.E. & Leubner-Metzger G. (2006) Seed dormancy and the control of germination. *New Phytologist* 171, 501-523.
- Fu J.H., Lei L.G., Chen L.B. & Qiu G.Z. (2001) Wall ultrastructure and cytochemistry and the longevity of pollen of three grass species. *Australian Journal of Botany* 49, 771-776.
- Fu G., Tao L., Song J., Wang X., Cao L. & Cheng S. (2008) Responses of yield characteristics to high temperature during flowering stage in hybrid rice Guodao 6. *Rice Science* 15, 215-222.
- Ge L.L., Tian H.Q. & Russell S.D. (2007) Calcium function and distribution during fertilization in angiosperms. *American Journal of Botany* 94, 1046-1060.
- Hardegree S.P. (2006) Predicting germination response to temperature. I. Cardinal-temperature models and subpopulation-specific regression. *Annals of Botany* 97, 1115-1125.
- Hardegree S.P. & Winstral A.H. (2006) Predicting germination response to temperature. II. Three-dimensional regression, statistical gridding and iterative-probit optimization using measured and interpolated-subpopulation data. *Annals of Botany* 98, 403-410.
- Hatfield J., Boote K., Fay P. *et al.* (2008) Agriculture. In: *The effects of climate change on agriculture, land resources, water resources, and biodiversity*. A Report by the

- U.S. Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research. [Backlund P., Janetos A., Schimel D, *et al.* (eds)] Washington, DC., USA, 362 pp
- Heslop-Harrison J. (1979) An interpretation of the hydrodynamics of pollen. *American Journal of Botany* 66, 737-743.
- Hong T.D., Ellis R.H. & Moore D. (1997) Development of a model to predict the effect of temperature and moisture on fungal spore longevity. *Annals of Botany* 79, 121-128.
- Hong T.D., Ellis R.H., Buitink J., Walters C., Hoekstra F.A. & Crane J. (1999) A model of the effect of temperature and moisture on pollen longevity in air-dry storage environments. *Annals of Botany* 83, 167-173.
- IPCC (2013) Summary for Policymakers. In *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker T.F., Qin D., Plattner G.-K., *et al.* (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Jagadish S.V.K., Craufurd P.Q. & Wheeler T.R. (2007) High temperature stress and spikelet fertility in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58, 1627-1635.
- Jagadish S.V.K., Craufurd P.Q. & Wheeler T.R. (2008) Phenotyping parents of mapping populations of rice for heat tolerance during anthesis. *Crop Science* 48, 1140-1146.
- Jagadish S.V.K., Muthurajan R., Oane R., Wheeler T.R., Heuer S., Bennett J. & Craufurd P.Q. (2010) Physiological and proteomic approaches to address heat tolerance

- during anthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* 61, 143-156.
- Jagadish S.V.K., Craufurd P.Q., Shi W. & Oane R. (2013) A phenotypic marker for quantifying heat stress impact during microsporogenesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Functional Plant Biology* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/FP13086>.
- Jena K.K., Kim S.M., Suh J.P., Yang C.I. & Kim Y.G. (2012) Identification of cold-tolerant breeding lines by quantitative trait loci associated with cold tolerance in rice. *Crop Science* 52, 517-523.
- Jones M., Dingkuhn M., Aluko G. & Semon M. (1997) Interspecific *Oryza sativa* L. x *O. glaberrima* Steud. progenies in upland rice improvement. *Euphytica* 94, 237-246.
- Kakani V.G., Prasad P.V.V., Craufurd P.Q. & Wheeler T.R. (2002) Response of *in vitro* pollen germination and pollen tube growth of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) genotypes to temperature. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 25, 1651-1661.
- Kakani V.G., Reddy K.R., Koti S., Wallace T.P., Prasad P.V.V., Reddy V.R. & Zhao D. (2005) Differences in *in vitro* pollen germination and pollen tube growth of cotton cultivars in response to high temperature. *Annals of Botany* 96, 59-67.
- Kariya K. (1989) Sterility caused by cooling treatment at the flowering stage in rice plants. 3. Establishment of a method of *in vitro* pollen germination. *Japanese Journal of Crop Science* 58, 96-102.
- Karni L. & Aloni B. (2002) Fructokinase and hexokinase from pollen grains of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.): Possible role in pollen germination under conditions of high temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Annals of Botany* 90, 607-612.

- Kebreab E. & Murdoch A.J. (1999a) Modelling the germination *Orobanche* seeds at a wide range of alternating and constant temperatures. *Annals of Botany* 84, 549-557.
- Kebreab E. & Murdoch A.J. (1999b) Modelling the effects of water stress and temperature on germination rate of *Orobanche aegyptiaca* seeds. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 50, 655-664.
- Kebreab E. & Murdoch A.J. (1999c) A quantitative model for loss of primary dormancy and induction of secondary dormancy in imbibed seeds of *Orobanche* spp. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 50, 211-219.
- Kebreab E. & Murdoch A.J. (2000) The effect of water stress on the temperature range for germination of *Orobanche aegyptiaca* seeds. *Seed Science Research* 10, 127-133.
- Khatun S. & Flowers T.J. (1995) The estimation of pollen viability in rice. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 46, 151-154.
- Krishnan P., Ramakrishnan B., Reddy K.R. & Reddy V.R. (2011) High-temperature effects on rice growth, yield, and grain quality. *Advances in Agronomy* 111, 87-206.
- Kumar R., Dhingra H.R. & Rohilla H.R. (2000) Evaluation of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) cultivars for cadmium tolerance using pollen assay. *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology* 5, 193-197.
- Liu Z., Yuan Y.L., Liu S.Q., Yu X.N. & Rao L.Q. (2006) Screening for high-temperature tolerant cotton cultivars by testing *in vitro* pollen germination, pollen tube growth and boll retention. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 48, 706-714.

- Martínez-Eixarch M. & Ellis R.H. (2014) Relative temporal sensitivity of rice seed development from spikelet fertility to viable mature seed to low- or to high-temperature stress. *Crop Science* (in press).
- Matsui T. & Kagata H. (2003) Characteristics of floral organs related to reliable self-pollination in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *Annals of Botany* 91, 473-477
- Matsui T., Omasa K. & Horie T. (2001) The difference in sterility due to high temperatures during the flowering period among japonica-rice varieties. *Plant Production Science* 4, 90-93.
- Murdoch A.J., Roberts E.H. & Goedert C.O. (1989) A model for germination responses to alternating temperatures. *Annals of Botany* 63, 97-111.
- Peng S., Huang J., Sheehy J.E. *et al.* (2004) Rice yields decline with higher night temperature from global warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 101, 9971-9975.
- Poulton J.L., Koide R.T. & Stephenson A.G. (2001) Effects of mycorrhizal infection and soil phosphorus availability on *in vitro* and *in vivo* pollen performance in *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Solanaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 88, 1786-1793.
- Prasad P.V.V., Boote K.J., Allen J.L.H., Sheehy J.E. & Thomas J.M.G. (2006) Species, ecotype and cultivar differences in spikelet fertility and harvest index of rice in response to high temperature stress. *Field Crops Research* 95, 398-411.
- Prasad P.V.V., Boote K.J. & Allen Jr. L.H. (2011) Longevity and temperature response of pollen as affected by elevated growth temperature and carbon dioxide in peanut and grain sorghum. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 70, 51-57.

- Rang Z.W., Jagadish S.V.K., Zhou Q.M., Craufurd P.Q. & Heuer S. (2011) Effect of high temperature and water stress on pollen germination and spikelet fertility in rice. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 70, 58-65.
- Read S.M., Clarke A.E. & Bacic A. (1993) Stimulation of growth of cultured *Nicotiana tabacum* W38 pollen tubes by poly(ethylene glycol) and Cu (II) salts. *Protoplasma* 177, 1-14.
- Reddy K.R. & Kakani V.G. (2007) Screening *Capsicum* species of different origins for high temperature tolerance by *in vitro* pollen germination and pollen tube length. *Scientia Horticulturae* 112, 130-135.
- Roberts E.H. (1963) The effects of inorganic ions on dormancy in rice seed. *Physiologia Plantarum* 112, 732-744.
- Rosell P., Herrero M. & Galán Saúco V. (1999) Pollen germination of cherimoya (*Annona cherimola* Mill.): *In vivo* characterization and optimization of *in vitro* germination. *Scientia Horticulturae* 81, 251-265.
- Salem M.A., Kakani V.G., Koti S. & Reddy K.R. (2007) Pollen-based screening of soybean genotypes for high temperatures. *Crop Science* 47, 219-231.
- Satake T. (1976) Determination of the most sensitive stage to sterile-type cool injury in rice plants. *Research Bulletin of Hokkaido National Agriculture Experiment Station* 113, 1-44.
- Shah F., Huang J., Cui K. Nie L., Shah T., Chen C. & Wang K. (2011) Impact of high-temperature stress on rice plant and its traits related to tolerance. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 149, 545-556.

- Shaked R., Rosenfeld K. & Pressman E. (2004) The effect of low night temperatures on carbohydrates metabolism in developing pollen grains of pepper in relation to their number and functioning. *Scientia Horticulturae* 102, 29-36.
- Singh S.K., Kakani V.G., Brand D., Baldwin B. & Reddy K.R. (2008) Assessment of cold and heat tolerance of winter-grown canola (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivars by pollen-based parameters. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 194, 225-236.
- Song Z.P., Lu B.R. & Chen J.K. (2001) A study of pollen viability and longevity in *Oryza rufipogon*, *O. sativa*, and their hybrids. *International Rice Research Notes* 26, 31-32.
- Steinmaus S.J., Prather T.S. & Holt J.S. (2000) Estimation of base temperatures for nine weed species. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 51, 275-286.
- Taylor L.P. & Hepler P.K. (1997) Pollen germination and tube growth. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 48, 461-491.
- Tuinstra M.R. & Wedel J. (2000) Estimation of pollen viability in grain sorghum. *Crop Science* 40, 968-970.
- Vandelook F., de Moer D.V. & van Assche J.A. (2008) Environmental signals for seed germination reflect habitat adaptations in four temperate Caryophyllaceae. *Functional Ecology* 22, 470-480.
- Vincent E.M. & Roberts E.H. (1977) Interaction of light, nitrate and alternating temperature in promoting germination of dormant seeds of common weed species. *Seed Science and Technology* 5, 659-670.

- Wassmann R., Jagadish S.V.K., Sumfleth K. *et al.* (2009) Regional vulnerability of climate change impacts on Asian rice production and scope for adaptation. *Advances in Agronomy* 102, 91-133.
- Wedzony M. & van Lammeren A.A.M. (1996) Pollen tube growth and early embryogenesis in wheat x maize crosses influenced by 2,4-D. *Annals of Botany* 77, 639-647.
- Weerakoon W.M.W., Maruyama A. & Ohba K. (2008) Impact of humidity on temperature-induced grain sterility in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 194, 135-140.
- Yoshida S., Satake T. & Mackill D.S. (1981) High temperature stress in rice. *International Rice Research Institute Research Paper Series* 67, 1-15.
- Zhang Z., Su L., Li W., Chen W., Zhu Y. (2005) A major QTL conferring cold tolerance at the early seedling stage using recombinant inbred lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Science* 168, 527-534.

Table 1. Information on cultivars of (*Oryza* spp.) selected for study.

| Species                      | Cultivar <sup>a</sup> | Accession number <sup>b</sup> | Origin      | Adaptation | Days to 50% anthesis <sup>c</sup> |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>O. glaberrima</i>         | CG14                  | --- <sup>d</sup>              | Senegal     | Upland     | 57                                |
| <i>O. sativa</i> ssp. Indica | IR64                  | IRTP-12158                    | Philippines | Lowland    | 60                                |
| <i>O. sativa</i> ssp. aus    | N22                   | IRTP-03911                    | India       | Upland     | 50                                |

<sup>a</sup>=Germplasm sourced from the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines;

<sup>b</sup>=IRTP (International Rice Testing Program, now International Network for Genetic Enhancement of Rice); <sup>c</sup>=Days to 50% anthesis from transplanting in the IRRI 2009 dry season breeding experiment; <sup>d</sup>=sourced from IRRI breeder

Preferred position in text: between sections ‘Field Establishment’ and ‘Harvesting Panicles and Collecting Pollen’

Table 2. Day and night temperatures and relative humidity of rice paddy plots during the study (July to August 2011), over periods of two and 15 days before anthesis (dba) and at times of panicle harvests. Panicles were harvested between 0700 and 0900 h on 2 and 3 August 2011 (cv. N22) and on 15 and 16 August 2011 (cvs CG14 and IR64).

| Period                       | Time of day <sup>a</sup> | Temperature<br>mean (range), °C | Relative humidity<br>mean (range), % |                  |            |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------|--|
| July                         | Day                      | 26.7 (23.6 – 28.3)              | 89.0 (53.7 – 100)                    |                  |            |  |
|                              | Night                    | 25.2 (22.6 – 28.1)              | 91.7 (47.4 – 100)                    |                  |            |  |
| August                       | Day                      | 28.6 (24.1 – 32.9)              | 87.7 (69.9 – 100)                    |                  |            |  |
|                              | Night                    | 25.6 (22.8 – 29.2)              | 94.8 (78.4 – 100)                    |                  |            |  |
|                              |                          | <u>CG14/IR64</u>                | <u>N22</u>                           | <u>CG14/IR64</u> | <u>N22</u> |  |
| 2 dba to<br>anthesis         | Day                      | 27.6                            | 26.0                                 | 92.1             | 94.6       |  |
|                              | Night                    | 25.5                            | 24.9                                 | 96.3             | 96.1       |  |
| 15 dba to<br>anthesis        | Day                      | 28.2                            | 26.8                                 | 88.7             | 89.4       |  |
|                              | Night                    | 25.4                            | 25.3                                 | 95.7             | 92.1       |  |
| At times of panicle harvests |                          | 27.8                            | 26.7                                 | 92.9             | 94.4       |  |

<sup>a</sup>Day = 0600 – 1800 h EST and Night = 1830 – 0530 h EST

Preferred position in text: at end of Materials and Methods.

Table 3. Parameter estimates (standard errors) and cardinal temperatures of pollen germination of rice (*Oryza* spp.) cultivars. Estimates are for the multiplicative probability model (Eqn 10) at temperatures between 5 and 45 °C. The overall normal distribution curves are given in Fig. 3.

| Cultivar | Mean                            | Standard                                 | Mean                            | Standard                                 | <u>Fitted values:</u>  |                             | <u>Observed values:</u>                          |                             |
|----------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
|          | minimum<br>temperature<br>limit | deviation of<br>min. temp.<br>limits*    | maximum<br>temperature<br>limit | deviation of<br>max. temp.<br>limits*    | Optimum<br>temperature | Maximum<br>germination<br>% | Temperature<br>with highest<br>germination<br>°C | Highest<br>germination<br>% |
|          | $T_{n(50)}, ^\circ\text{C}$     | $s_n,$<br>$^\circ\text{C (n.e.d.)}^{-1}$ | $T_{x(50)}, ^\circ\text{C}$     | $s_x,$<br>$^\circ\text{C (n.e.d.)}^{-1}$ | $T_o, ^\circ\text{C}$  | %                           | $^\circ\text{C}$                                 | %                           |
| CG14     | 21.9 (0.09)                     | 4.90 (0.12)                              | 34.3 (0.11)                     | 4.32 (0.14)                              | 28.3                   | 83.0                        | 27.4°C   | 85.8 (7.4)                  |
| IR64     | 23.3 (0.10)                     | 4.74 (0.13)                              | 29.8 (0.10)                     | 2.28 (0.10)                              | 26.8                   | 69.7                        | 27.2°C   | 77.1 (4.8)                  |
| N22      | 20.8 (0.09)                     | 6.45 (0.12)                              | 35.6 (0.08)                     | 4.88 (0.11)                              | 28.7                   | 82.0                        | 27.4°C   | 92.7 (9.0)                  |

\*  $s_n$  and  $s_x$  are reciprocals of slopes of fitted lines; n.e.d.: normal equivalent deviates (probit-5)

$T_{n(50)}$  = minimum temperature required for germination for 50% of pollen grains;

$T_{x(50)}$  = maximum temperature limit for germination of 50% of pollen grains.

Preferred position in text: between sections ‘Pollen Germination’ and ‘Pollen Tube Growth Rate’

Table 4. Parameter estimates (standard errors) of split-line regressions of the rate of pollen tube growth of rice (*Oryza* spp.) cultivars as a function of germination temperature. Base and ceiling temperatures are calculated from regression parameters. Thermal times are for sub-optimal temperatures only. Pollen was germinated for four hours at temperatures between 5 and 45 °C.

| Cultivar | Optimum                               | Fitted mean                           | Temperature   | Temperature   | Base                       | Ceiling                    | Thermal                                 | Observed optimal values:                             |                                  |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
|          | temperature<br>for PTG rate,<br>$T_o$ | PTG rate at<br>optimum<br>temperature | coefficient at<br>sub-optimal<br>temperatures       | coefficient at<br>supra-optimal<br>temperatures     | temper-<br>ature,<br>$T_b$ | temper-<br>ature,<br>$T_c$ | time above<br>$T_b$ for PTG<br>of 1 mm* | Temperature<br>with highest<br>mean max.<br>PTG rate | Highest<br>mean max.<br>PTG rate |
|          | °C                                    | $\mu\text{m h}^{-1}$                  | $(\mu\text{m h}^{-1}) \text{ } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ | $(\mu\text{m h}^{-1}) \text{ } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ | °C                         | °C                         | °C h                                    | °C   | $\mu\text{m h}^{-1}$             |
| CG14     | 28.9 (0.55)                           | 319.5 (10.1)                          | 15.3 (1.86)   | -24.0 (2.89)  | 8.02                       | 42.2°C                     | 65.4                                    | 27.3   | 322.1 (15.7)                     |
| IR64     | 26.9 (0.37)                           | 331.4 (11.1)                          | 21.7 (3.51)   | -34.6 (2.67)  | 11.6                       | 36.4°C                     | 46.1                                    | 25.5   | 322.4 (46.2)                     |
| N22      | 26.7 (0.74)                           | 415.7 (20.8)                          | 25.2 (4.02)   | -31.4 (4.88)  | 10.2                       | 39.9°C                     | 39.6                                    | 24.6   | 471.5 (162.5)                    |

Preferred position in text: between sections ‘Pollen Tube Growth Rate’ and ‘Cardinal Temperature Determination’

Table 5. Comparison of rice pollen performance *in vitro* and cardinal temperatures with some other crops.

| Species                            | Pollen germination (PG), % | Optimum temperature (range) for PG, °C | Pollen tube growth (PTG), µm | Optimum temperature (range) for PTG, °C |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| <i>Oryza</i> species               | 85 <sup>a</sup>            | 27.3 (10-42) <sup>a</sup>              | 1390 <sup>a</sup>            | 25.8 (14-39) <sup>a</sup>               |
| <i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.      | 81 <sup>b</sup>            | 30.2 (13-47) <sup>b</sup>              | 437 <sup>b</sup>             | 36.1 (12-47) <sup>b</sup>               |
| <i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.          | 78 <sup>c</sup>            | 30.7 (15-42) <sup>c</sup>              | 734 <sup>c</sup>             | 31.2 (12-40) <sup>c</sup>               |
| <i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.         | 56 <sup>d</sup>            | 30.1 (14-43) <sup>d</sup>              | 1020 <sup>d</sup>            | 34.4 (15-44) <sup>d</sup>               |
| <i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.       | 44 <sup>e</sup>            | 27.3 (12-43) <sup>e</sup>              | 778 <sup>j</sup>             | 27.8(12-44) <sup>e</sup>                |
| <i>Brassica napus</i> L.           | 37 <sup>f</sup>            | 23.6 (8-33) <sup>f</sup>               | 660 <sup>f</sup>             | 25.04 (5-33) <sup>f</sup>               |
| <i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (L.) Moench | 36 <sup>g</sup>            | 29.4 (17-42) <sup>h</sup>              | ...                          | ...                                     |

<sup>a</sup> this paper; <sup>b</sup> Salem *et al.* (2007); <sup>c</sup> Reddy & Kakani (2007); <sup>d</sup> Kakani *et al.* (2002); <sup>e</sup> Liu *et al.* 2006; <sup>f</sup> Singh *et al.* (2008); <sup>g</sup> Tuinstra & Wedel (2000); <sup>h</sup> Prasad *et al.* (2011); <sup>j</sup> Kakani *et al.* (2005); ... data not available.

Preferred position in text: near start of Discussion

## Figure legends

Fig. 1. Pollen germination for rice (*Oryza* spp.) cultivars, CG14, IR64 and N22, at different temperatures on a temperature gradient plate. Parameter estimates of the fitted lines according to Eqn (10) are given in Table 3.

Fig. 2. Pollen tube growth rates and cardinal temperatures for rice (*Oryza* spp.) cultivars, CG14, IR64 and N22. Parameter estimates for the fitted lines and optimum ( $T_o$ ) temperatures are shown in Table 4. Rates were calculated from pollen tube lengths measured after four hours on a temperature gradient plate at the temperatures shown. Thicker dashed lines are extrapolations to the base ( $T_b$ ) and ceiling ( $T_c$ ) temperatures for the rate of tube growth whilst the optimum temperature ( $T_o$ ) is the value at which it is maximal.

Fig. 3. Theoretical underlying distributions and parameters for multiplicative probability model of germination for pollen of rice (*Oryza* spp.) cultivars, CG14, IR64 and N22. Fitted germination curve (thick solid line) and the optimum germination temperature ( $T_o$ ), and the theoretical underlying normal frequency distributions (cumulative (dotted lines) and bell-shaped (thin solid lines) are shown according to Eqn (10). Respective parameter estimates (Table 3) of these distributions are the mean minimum ( $T_{n(50)}$ ) and maximum ( $T_{x(50)}$ ) temperature limits and standard deviations ( $s_n$  and  $s_x$ ).

Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3

