

# *A large ozone-circulation feedback and its implications for global warming assessments*

Article

Nowack, P. J., Abraham, N. L., Maycock, A. C., Braesicke, P., Gregory, J. M., Joshi, M. M., Osprey, A. and Pyle, J. A. (2015) A large ozone-circulation feedback and its implications for global warming assessments. *Nature Climate Change*, 5 (1). pp. 41-45. ISSN 1758-678X doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2451> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/38984/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2451>

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# **A large ozone-circulation feedback and its implications for global warming assessments**

Peer J. Nowack<sup>1\*</sup>, N. Luke Abraham<sup>2,1</sup>, Amanda C. Maycock<sup>1,2</sup>, Peter Braesicke<sup>2,1,3</sup>, Jonathan M. Gregory<sup>2,4,6</sup>, Manoj M. Joshi<sup>2,4,5</sup>, Annette Osprey<sup>2,4</sup> and John A. Pyle<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>National Centre for Atmospheric Science, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>now at: Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, IMK-ASF, Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup>now at: Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom

<sup>6</sup>Met Office Hadley Centre, Met Office, Exeter, United Kingdom

**State-of-the-art climate models now include more climate processes which are simulated at higher spatial resolution than ever<sup>1</sup>. Nevertheless, some processes, such as atmospheric chemical feedbacks, are still computationally expensive and are often ignored in climate simulations<sup>1,2</sup>. Here we present evidence that how stratospheric ozone is represented in climate models can have a first order impact on estimates of effective climate sensitivity. Using a comprehensive atmosphere-ocean chemistry-climate model, we find an increase in global mean surface warming of around 1°C (~20%) after 75 years when ozone is prescribed at pre-industrial levels compared with when it is allowed to evolve self-consistently in response to an abrupt 4xCO<sub>2</sub> forcing. The difference is primarily attributed to changes in longwave radiative feedbacks associated with circulation-driven decreases in tropical lower stratospheric ozone and related stratospheric water vapour and cirrus cloud changes. This has important implications for global model intercomparison studies<sup>1,2</sup> in which participating models often use simplified treatments of**

30 **atmospheric composition changes that are neither consistent with the**  
31 **specified greenhouse gas forcing scenario nor with the associated**  
32 **atmospheric circulation feedbacks<sup>3-5</sup>.**

33 Starting from pre-industrial conditions, an instantaneous quadrupling of the  
34 atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio is a standard climate change experiment (referred to  
35 as abrupt4xCO<sub>2</sub>) in model intercomparison projects such as the Coupled Model  
36 Intercomparison Project phase 5 (CMIP5)<sup>1</sup> or the Geoengineering Model  
37 Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP)<sup>2</sup>. One aim of these initiatives is to offer a  
38 quantitative assessment of possible future climate change, with the range of  
39 projections from participating models commonly used as a measure of uncertainty<sup>6</sup>.  
40 Within such projects, stratospheric chemistry, and therefore stratospheric ozone, is  
41 treated differently in individual models. In CMIP5 and GeoMIP, the majority of  
42 participating models did not explicitly calculate stratospheric ozone changes<sup>2,4</sup>. For  
43 abrupt4xCO<sub>2</sub> experiments, modelling centres thus often prescribed stratospheric  
44 ozone at pre-industrial levels<sup>2,5</sup>. For transient CMIP5 experiments, it was instead  
45 recommended to use an ozone field derived from the averaged projections of 13  
46 chemistry-climate models (CCMs)<sup>3</sup>. This multi-model mean ozone dataset was  
47 obtained from CCMVal-2 projections run under the SRES A1b scenario for well-  
48 mixed greenhouse gases, in contrast to the representative concentration pathway  
49 (RCP) scenarios used in CMIP5. To date, research on the impacts of contrasting  
50 representations of stratospheric ozone has focused on regional effects, such as the  
51 influence of possible future Antarctic ozone recovery on the position of the Southern  
52 Hemisphere mid-latitude jet<sup>4,7</sup>. However, its potential effect on the magnitude of  
53 projected global warming has not received much attention.

54 Here, we present evidence which highlights that stratospheric chemistry-  
55 climate feedbacks can exert a more significant influence on global warming  
56 projections than has been suggested<sup>8</sup>. For a specific climate change experiment, we  
57 show that the choice of how to represent key stratospheric chemical species alone  
58 can result in a 20% difference in simulated global mean surface warming. Therefore,  
59 a treatment of ozone that is not internally consistent with a particular model or  
60 greenhouse gas scenario, as is the case for some CMIP5 simulations, could  
61 introduce a significant bias into climate change projections.

62 The model used here is a HadGEM3-AO configuration of the UK Met Office's  
63 Unified Model<sup>9</sup> coupled to the UKCA stratospheric chemistry scheme<sup>10</sup> (see  
64 Methods). This comprehensive model set-up allows us to study complex feedback  
65 effects between the atmosphere, land surface, ocean and sea-ice.

66 Fig. 1 shows the evolution of global and annual mean surface temperature  
67 anomalies ( $\Delta T_{\text{surf}}$ ) from eight different climate integrations, two of which were carried  
68 out with interactive stratospheric chemistry and six with different prescribed monthly-  
69 mean fields of the following chemically and radiatively active gases: ozone, methane  
70 and nitrous oxide (see Table 1 for details). Experiments with label A are pre-  
71 industrial control runs. Experiment B is an abrupt4xCO<sub>2</sub> run with fully interactive  
72 chemistry, and experiments labelled C are non-interactive abrupt4xCO<sub>2</sub> runs in  
73 which the chemical fields were prescribed at pre-industrial levels. We conducted two  
74 versions of each non-interactive experiment to test the effect of using zonal mean  
75 fields (label 2, e.g. A2) instead of full 3D fields (label 1, e.g. A1). The time  
76 development of  $\Delta T_{\text{surf}}$  shows a clear difference of nearly 20% between the  
77 abrupt4xCO<sub>2</sub> experiments B and C1/C2, indicating a much larger global warming in

78 C1/C2 as a consequence of missing composition feedbacks. The primary driver of  
79 these differences is changing ozone, with methane and nitrous oxide making much  
80 smaller contributions, see below. Fields averaged over the final 50 years of the  
81 interactive experiment B were imposed from the beginning in the abrupt4xCO<sub>2</sub>  
82 experiments B1 and B2. These simulations show a close agreement with experiment  
83 B in terms of  $\Delta T_{\text{surf}}$ , implying that the global mean energy budget can be  
84 comparatively well-reproduced with this treatment of composition changes, despite  
85 the neglect of transient changes in their abundances.

86 We apply the linear regression methodology for diagnosing climate forcing  
87 and feedbacks established by Gregory *et al.*<sup>11</sup> (see also Methods) to investigate the  
88 sources of the differences between the abrupt4xCO<sub>2</sub> experiments with and without  
89 the effects of interactive chemistry included. The method assumes a linear  
90 relationship between the change in global and annual mean radiative imbalance at  
91 the top of the atmosphere (TOA) and  $\Delta T_{\text{surf}}$ . It has been shown to capture well the  
92 response of models to many types of climate forcing<sup>11,12</sup>. The slope obtained from  
93 the regression is defined as the climate feedback parameter,  $\alpha$  (Wm<sup>-2</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup>). It  
94 represents a characteristic quantity of a given model system, since its magnitude  
95 approximates the  $\Delta T_{\text{surf}}$  response to a radiative forcing introduced to the system. Fig.  
96 2a shows the Gregory regression plot for each of the 75 years after the initial abrupt  
97 4xCO<sub>2</sub> forcing is imposed. The slopes diagnosed for the chemically-similar  
98 experiments B, B1 and B2 differ only slightly, however, in C1 and C2, which use the  
99 pre-industrial ozone climatologies, there is a significant decrease in the magnitude of  
100  $\alpha$  by ~20%, consistent with the larger  $\Delta T_{\text{surf}}$  response. The prescribed chemical fields  
101 drive the difference between experiments B1/B2 and C1/C2, so that the fundamental

102 difference in how the modelled climate system responds to the CO<sub>2</sub> forcing must be  
103 connected to the changes in atmospheric composition and related further feedbacks.

104 To further investigate the differences, we decompose the TOA radiative fluxes  
105 into clear-sky (CS) and cloud radiative effect (CRE) components. In addition, we  
106 separate them further into shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) contributions,  
107 producing four components in total (see Methods)<sup>12</sup>. Fig. 2b and 2c show Gregory  
108 regressions for the two components found to be responsible for the majority of the  
109 difference in  $\alpha$ , namely the CS-LW ( $\alpha_{cs,lw}$ ) and the CRE-LW ( $\alpha_{cre,lw}$ ) components (see  
110 Supplementary Fig. S1 for the smaller changes in the SW components). The  
111 differences in  $\alpha_{cs,lw}$  between B and C1/C2 are of the same sign as those for  $\alpha$ , but  
112 larger in magnitude, whereas the change in  $\alpha_{cre,lw}$  is of the opposite sign and smaller  
113 in magnitude.

114 The reasons for the changes in the CS-LW contribution to  $\alpha$  can be  
115 understood from the impact of the decrease in tropical and subtropical lower  
116 stratospheric ozone between experiment A (and, by definition C1/C2) and B (Fig.  
117 3a), which mainly arises as a result of an accelerated Brewer-Dobson circulation  
118 (BDC, Supplementary Fig. S2), a ubiquitous feature in climate model projections  
119 under increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations<sup>4,13</sup>. The increase in middle and  
120 upper stratospheric ozone due to the slowing of catalytic ozone depletion cycles<sup>14</sup>  
121 under CO<sub>2</sub>-induced cooling<sup>15</sup> of the stratosphere is also well understood. The local  
122 decrease in ozone induces a significant cooling of the lower and middle tropical  
123 stratosphere of up to 3.5°C in experiment B relative to C1 (Fig. 3b). An important  
124 feedback resulting from this decrease in tropical tropopause temperature is a relative  
125 drying of the stratosphere by ~4 ppmv in experiment B compared to C1/C2

126 (Supplementary Fig. S3). Since stratospheric water vapour is a greenhouse gas, this  
127 amplifies the tropospheric cooling due to the tropical and subtropical decreases in  
128 lower stratospheric ozone, and thus also contributes to changes in  $\alpha$  (refs 16,17).

129 It is well-known that composition changes can modify the radiative balance of  
130 the atmosphere. However, our results demonstrate that the choice of how to include  
131 stratospheric composition feedbacks in climate models can be of first order  
132 importance for projections of global climate change. We diagnose radiative effects  
133 due to the differences in ozone and stratospheric water vapour between B and C1 of  
134  $-0.68 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  and  $-0.78 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ , respectively (see also Methods and Supplementary  
135 Figure S4). The magnitude of this effect is related to the strong dependency of the  
136 LW radiative impact of ozone and stratospheric water vapour changes on their  
137 latitudinal and vertical structure. For instance, the low temperatures in the tropical  
138 upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) make ozone changes in this  
139 region particularly important for the global energy budget<sup>18,19</sup>. Consequently, climate  
140 models need to capture ozone changes here realistically; the tropical UTLS is a  
141 crucially sensitive region for climate models. However, trends in tropical tropopause  
142 height under climate change differ between models and depend on the forcing  
143 scenario<sup>20</sup>. This suggests a potential mismatch between vertical temperature and  
144 prescribed ozone profiles in climate models which do not calculate ozone  
145 interactively. Such a mismatch would not only affect the direct radiative impact of  
146 ozone, but could also trigger inconsistent local heating or cooling in the cold trap  
147 region, which is crucial for the magnitude of the stratospheric water vapour feedback.

148 The magnitude of the overall feedback is expected to be strongly model-  
149 dependent, see for example the study by Dietmüller *et al.* (ref. 8) with a less well



150 resolved stratosphere. The simulated BDC (and thus ozone) trends are closely  
151 related to the degree of tropospheric warming (ref. 21), which differs between  
152 models. The exact scaling of the ozone and water vapour response with tropospheric  
153 warming, in turn, will depend on other model-dependent factors, including the  
154 representation of gravity waves, the representation of the stratosphere, tropopause  
155 dehydration, lightning  $\text{NO}_x$ , other Earth system feedbacks, as well as the model base  
156 state<sup>22</sup>. Prescribing an ozone field which is neither consistent with the model nor with  
157 the forcing scenario, as in some CMIP5 experiments, will also lead to an inconsistent  
158 representation of the feedback. Consequently, further modelling studies are needed  
159 to investigate how such inter-model differences affect the magnitude of this feedback  
160 among a range of models.

161         The UTLS ozone changes are also key to understanding the differences in  
162  $\alpha_{\text{cre,lw}}$  (Fig. 2c). To isolate the dominant changes from 50°N to 50°S, we use regional  
163 Gregory regressions (Supplementary Fig. S5; ref. 23). We find a significant increase  
164 in UTLS cirrus clouds in this region in B compared with C1 (Fig. 4 and  
165 Supplementary Fig. S6), in agreement with the sensitivity of cirrus cloud formation to  
166 atmospheric temperature (Fig. 3b; ref. 24). This reduces the magnitude of the  
167 negative  $\alpha_{\text{cre,lw}}$  in B compared to C1, consistent with the effects of high-altitude cirrus  
168 clouds on the LW energy budget<sup>24-26</sup>. More studies are needed to quantify how this  
169 effect could add to the large uncertainty in cloud feedbacks found in state-of-the-art  
170 climate models<sup>12,24-26</sup>. However, we highlight the large range in the magnitude of  
171  $\alpha_{\text{cre,lw}}$  arising as a result of varying the treatment of ozone. This has obvious  
172 implications for studies in which cloud feedbacks are compared between models  
173 irrespective of their representation of stratospheric chemistry<sup>1,2,12</sup>.

174 In conclusion, our results demonstrate the potential for considerable sensitivity  
175 of global warming projections to the representation of stratospheric composition  
176 feedbacks. We highlight the tropical UTLS as a key region for further study and  
177 emphasize the need for similar studies; including other climate feedbacks and their  
178 interactions in increasingly sophisticated Earth system models. Our results imply that  
179 model- and scenario-consistent representations of ozone are required, in contrast to  
180 the procedure applied widely in climate change assessments. These include  
181 quadruple CO<sub>2</sub> experiments, where changes in ozone are often not considered, as  
182 well as other CMIP5 and GeoMIP integrations where the majority of models specified  
183 inconsistent ozone changes. We note that further increasing model resolution will not  
184 address this fundamental issue. Consequently, we see a pressing need to invest  
185 more effort into producing model- and scenario-specific ozone datasets, or to move  
186 to a framework in which all participating models explicitly represent atmospheric  
187 chemical processes.

188

189

## 190 **Methods**

### 191 **Model set-up**

192 A version of the recently developed atmosphere-ocean coupled configuration of the  
193 Hadley Centre Global Environment Model version 3 (HadGEM3-AO) from the United  
194 Kingdom Met Office has been employed here<sup>9</sup>. It consists of three submodels,  
195 representing the atmosphere plus land surface, ocean and sea-ice.

196 For the atmosphere, the Met Office's Unified Model (MetUM) version 7.3 is  
197 used. The configuration used here is based on a regular grid with a horizontal  
198 resolution of 3.75° longitude by 2.5° latitude and comprises 60 vertical levels up to a  
199 height of ~84 km, and so includes a full representation of the stratosphere. Its  
200 dynamical core is non-hydrostatic and employs a semi-Lagrangian advection  
201 scheme. Subgridscale features such as clouds and gravity waves are parameterised.

202 The ocean component is the Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean  
203 (NEMO) model version 3.0 coupled to the Los Alamos sea ice model CICE version  
204 4.0. It contains 31 vertical levels reaching down to a depth of 5 km. The NEMO  
205 configuration used in this study deploys a tripolar, locally anisotropic grid which has  
206 2° resolution in longitude everywhere, but an increased latitudinal resolution in  
207 certain regions with up to 0.5° in the tropics.

208 Atmospheric chemistry is represented by the United Kingdom Chemistry and  
209 Aerosols (UKCA) model in an updated version of the detailed stratospheric chemistry  
210 configuration<sup>10</sup> which is coupled to the MetUM. A simple tropospheric chemistry  
211 scheme is included which provides for emissions of 3 chemical species and  
212 constrains surface mixing ratios of 6 further species. This includes the surface mixing  
213 ratios of nitrous oxide (280 ppbv) and methane (790 ppbv), which effectively keeps  
214 their concentrations in the troposphere constant at approximately pre-industrial  
215 levels. Changes in photolysis rates in the troposphere and the stratosphere are  
216 calculated interactively using the Fast-JX photolysis scheme<sup>27</sup>.

### 217 **Linear climate feedback theory**

218 The theory is based on the following equation described by Gregory *et al.*<sup>11</sup>

219 
$$N = F + \alpha \Delta T_{\text{surf}}$$

220 where N is the change in global mean net TOA radiative imbalance ( $\text{Wm}^{-2}$ ), F the  
221 effective forcing ( $\text{Wm}^{-2}$ ),  $\Delta T_{\text{surf}}$  the global-mean surface temperature change ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ),  
222 and  $\alpha$  the climate feedback parameter ( $\text{W m}^{-2} \text{ } ^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$ ). Thus,  $\alpha$  can be obtained by  
223 regressing N as a function of time against  $\Delta T_{\text{surf}}$  relative to a control climate. Here,  
224 the positive sign convention is used, meaning that a negative  $\alpha$  implies a stable  
225 climate system. The theory assumes that the net climate feedback parameter can be  
226 approximated by a linear superposition of processes which contribute to the overall  
227 climate response to an imposed forcing. This can be expressed in form of a linear  
228 decomposition of the  $\alpha$  parameter into process-related parameters

229 
$$\alpha = \sum \lambda_i$$

230 with  $\lambda_i$  for example being  $\lambda_{\text{water feedback}}$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{clouds}}$  etc. Similarly, one can decompose the  
231 climate feedback parameter into separate radiative components<sup>12,23,25</sup>

232 
$$\alpha = \alpha_{\text{cs}} + \alpha_{\text{cre}} = \alpha_{\text{cs,sw}} + \alpha_{\text{cs,lw}} + \alpha_{\text{cre,sw}} + \alpha_{\text{cre,lw}}$$

233 providing individual shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) components for clear-sky  
234 (CS) radiative fluxes and the cloud radiative effect (CRE). In this method, the CRE  
235 contains direct cloud radiative effects and indirect cloud masking effects, e.g. due to  
236 persistent cloud cover which masks surface albedo changes in the all-sky  
237 calculation<sup>25,26</sup>.

## 238 Radiative Transfer Experiments

239 The radiative transfer calculations were carried out using a version of the Edwards  
240 and Slingo<sup>28</sup> offline radiative transfer code updated to use the correlated-k method

241 for calculating transmittances<sup>29</sup>. This is identical to the radiation code used in the  
242 coupled model simulations. The inferred all-sky radiative effects due to the changes  
243 in ozone and stratospheric water vapour between experiments B and C1 were  
244 diagnosed using a base climatology (temperature, pressure, humidity etc.) taken  
245 from the last 50 years of C1 and perturbing around this state with the B minus C1  
246 ozone or stratospheric water vapour fields over the same time period. The  
247 calculations employ the fixed dynamical heating (FDH) method<sup>15</sup>, in which  
248 stratospheric temperatures are adjusted to re-establish radiative equilibrium in the  
249 presence of the imposed perturbation (see ref. 30 for details). The radiative forcing is  
250 then diagnosed as the imbalance in the total (LW+SW) net (down minus up)  
251 tropopause fluxes. Note that the changes in ozone and stratospheric water vapour  
252 described in the study could be considered as a part forcing and part climate  
253 feedback. For example, the increase in ozone in the mid and upper stratosphere in  
254 Fig. 3a is linked to the CO<sub>2</sub> induced cooling at these levels, and may therefore not be  
255 strongly correlated with surface temperature change. In contrast, the decrease in  
256 ozone in the tropical mid- and lower-stratosphere is driven by the strengthening in  
257 the Brewer-Dobson circulation, which is more closely linked to tropospheric  
258 temperature change<sup>21</sup>. However, for the purposes of quantifying the radiative  
259 contribution of the composition changes to the evolution of global climate in the  
260 experiments, we impose them diagnostically in the offline code as a pseudo radiative  
261 forcing agent.

262

263

264

265 **References**

- 266 1. Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J. & Meehl, G. A. An overview of CMIP5 and the  
267 experiment design. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* **93**, 485–498 (2012).
- 268 2. Kravitz, B. *et al.* An overview of the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison  
269 Project (GeoMIP). *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **118**, 13103–13107 (2013).
- 270 3. Cionni, I. *et al.* Ozone database in support of CMIP5 simulations: results and  
271 corresponding radiative forcing. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **11**, 11267–11292  
272 (2011).
- 273 4. Eyring, V. *et al.* Long-term ozone changes and associated climate impacts in  
274 CMIP5 simulations. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **118**, 5029–5060 (2013).
- 275 5. Jones, C. D. *et al.* The HadGEM2-ES implementation of CMIP5 centennial  
276 simulations. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **4**, 543–570 (2011).
- 277 6. Knutti, R. & Sedláček, J. Robustness and uncertainties in the new CMIP5  
278 climate model projections. *Nature Clim. Change* **3**, 369–373 (2013).
- 279 7. Son, S.-W. *et al.* The impact of stratospheric ozone recovery on the Southern  
280 Hemisphere westerly jet. *Science* **320**, 1486–1489 (2008).
- 281 8. Dietmüller, S., Ponater, M. & Sausen, R. Interactive ozone induces a negative  
282 feedback in CO<sub>2</sub>-driven climate change simulations. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*  
283 **119**, 1796–1805 (2014).
- 284 9. Hewitt, H. T. *et al.* Design and implementation of the infrastructure of  
285 HadGEM3: the next-generation Met Office climate modelling system. *Geosci.*  
286 *Model Dev.* **4**, 223–253 (2011).
- 287 10. Morgenstern, O. *et al.* Evaluation of the new UKCA climate-composition  
288 model – Part 1: The stratosphere. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **2**, 43–57 (2009).

- 289 11. Gregory, J. M. *et al.* A new method for diagnosing radiative forcing and  
290 climate sensitivity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **31**, L03205 (2004).
- 291 12. Andrews, T., Gregory, J. M., Webb, M. J. & Taylor, K. E. Forcing, feedbacks  
292 and climate sensitivity in CMIP5 coupled atmosphere-ocean climate models.  
293 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, L09712 (2012).
- 294 13. Meul, S., Langematz, U., Oberländer, S., Garny, H. & Jöckel, P. Chemical  
295 contribution to future tropical ozone change in the lower stratosphere. *Atmos.*  
296 *Chem. Phys.* **14**, 2959–2971 (2014).
- 297 14. Haigh, J. D. & Pyle, J. A. Ozone perturbation experiments in a two-  
298 dimensional circulation model. *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.* **108**, 551–574  
299 (1982).
- 300 15. Fels, S. B., Mahlman, J. D., Schwarzkopf, M. D. & Sinclair, R. W.  
301 Stratospheric sensitivity to perturbations in ozone and carbon dioxide:  
302 radiative and dynamical response. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **37**, 2265–2297 (1980).
- 303 16. Stuber, N., Ponater, M. & Sausen, R. Is the climate sensitivity to ozone  
304 perturbations enhanced by stratospheric water vapor feedback? *Geophys.*  
305 *Res. Lett.* **28**, 2887–2890 (2001).
- 306 17. Stuber, N., Ponater, M. & Sausen, R. Why radiative forcing might fail as a  
307 predictor of climate change. *Clim. Dyn.* **24**, 497–510 (2005).
- 308 18. Lacis, A. A., Wuebbles, D. J. & Logan, J. A. Radiative forcing of climate by  
309 changes in the vertical distribution of ozone. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **95**,  
310 9971–9981 (1990).
- 311 19. Hansen, J., Sato, M. & Ruedy, R. Radiative forcing and climate response. *J.*  
312 *Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **102**, 6831–6864 (1997).

- 313 20. Santer, B. D. *et al.* Contributions of anthropogenic and natural forcing to  
314 recent tropopause height changes. *Science* **301**, 479–483 (2003).
- 315 21. Shepherd, T. G. & McLandress, C. A robust mechanism for strengthening of  
316 the Brewer-Dobson circulation in response to climate change: critical-layer  
317 control of subtropical wave breaking. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **68**, 784–797 (2011).
- 318 22. Hsu, J., Prather, M. J., Bergmann, D. & Cameron-Smith, P. Sensitivity of  
319 stratospheric dynamics to uncertainty in O<sub>3</sub> production. *J. Geophys. Res.*  
320 *Atmos.* **118**, 8984–8999 (2013).
- 321 23. Boer, G. J. & Yu, B. Climate sensitivity and response. *Clim. Dyn.* **20**, 415–429  
322 (2003).
- 323 24. Kuebbeler, M., Lohmann, U. & Feichter, J. Effects of stratospheric sulfate  
324 aerosol geo-engineering on cirrus clouds. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, L23803  
325 (2012).
- 326 25. Webb, M. J. *et al.* On the contribution of local feedback mechanisms to the  
327 range of climate sensitivity in two GCM ensembles. *Clim. Dyn.* **27**, 17–38  
328 (2006).
- 329 26. Zelinka, M. D. *et al.* Contributions of different cloud types to feedbacks and  
330 rapid adjustments in CMIP5. *J. Clim.* **26**, 5007–5027 (2013).
- 331 27. Telford, P. J. *et al.* Implementation of the Fast-JX Photolysis scheme (v6.4)  
332 into the UKCA component of the MetUM chemistry-climate model (v7.3).  
333 *Geosci. Model Dev.* **6**, 161–177 (2013).
- 334 28. Edwards, J. M. & Slingo, A. Studies with a flexible new radiation code. I:  
335 Choosing a configuration for a large-scale model. *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*  
336 **122**, 689–719 (1996).



- 337 29. Cusack, S., Edwards, J. M. & Crowther, J. M. Investigating k distribution  
338 methods for parameterizing gaseous absorption in the Hadley Centre Climate  
339 Model. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **104**, 2051–2057 (1999).
- 340 30. Maycock, A. C., Shine, K. P. & Joshi, M. M. The temperature response to  
341 stratospheric water vapour changes. *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.* **137**, 1070–  
342 1082 (2011).

343

#### 344 **Additional information**

345 Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints  
346 and permissions information is available online at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints).

347 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.J.N.

348

#### 349 **Acknowledgements**

350 We thank the European Research Council for funding through the ACCI project,  
351 project number 267760. The model development was part of the QESM-ESM project  
352 supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) under contract  
353 numbers RH/H10/19 and R8/H12/124. We acknowledge use of the MONSooN  
354 system, a collaborative facility supplied under the Joint Weather and Climate  
355 Research Programme, which is a strategic partnership between the UK Met Office  
356 and NERC. A.C.M. acknowledges support from an AXA Postdoctoral Research  
357 Fellowship. For plotting, we used Matplotlib, a 2D graphics environment for the  
358 Python programming language developed by J. D. Hunter. We are grateful for advice  
359 of Paul Telford during the model development stage of this project and thank the  
360 UKCA team at the UK Met Office for help and support.

361

362 **Author contributions**

363 P.J.N. conducted the research on a day-to-day basis; the model was developed by  
364 N.L.A., J.M.G., M.M.J. and A.O.; N.L.A. and P.B. designed the initial experiment and  
365 its subsequent evolution; major analysis and interpretation of results was performed  
366 by P.J.N. and A.C.M.; P.J.N. led the paper writing, supported by A.C.M.; N.L.A., P.B.  
367 and J.A.P. all contributed to the discussion and interpretation of results and write-up;  
368 J.A.P. suggested the study.

369

370 **Competing financial interests**

371 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

372

373 **Captions of Figures**

374

375 **Figure 1 | Temporal evolution of the annual and global mean surface**

376 **temperature anomalies.** All anomalies ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) are shown relative to the average  
377 temperature of experiment A. Solid lines show the interactive chemistry runs (A, B),  
378 dashed lines the 3D climatology experiments (A1, B1, C1) and dotted lines the 2D  
379 climatology experiments (A2, B2, C2). For clarity, lines for the abrupt4xCO<sub>2</sub>  
380 experiments start after year one so that they are not joined with those of the  
381 corresponding control experiments. The last 50 years of the abrupt4xCO<sub>2</sub>  
382 experiments are highlighted in the inset panel with the straight lines marking the  
383 average temperature in each set of experiments over the last 20 years.

384

385 **Figure 2 | Gregory regression plots. a**, For all radiative components, giving an  
386 ~25% larger climate feedback parameter,  $\alpha$ , in C1/C2 than in B. **b**, **c**, For the CS-

387 LW and CRE-LW components only. In particular in **c**, a clear evolution of the  
388 atmospheric state B is observable as it starts off very close to C1 and C2 and  
389 evolves towards B1 and B2. Radiative fluxes follow the downward sign convention  
390 so that all negative (positive) changes in  $\alpha$  imply a cooling (warming) effect. The  
391 inset tables give the correlation coefficient ( $R_{\text{corr}}$ ) and the  $\alpha$  parameter obtained from  
392 each regression.

393

394 **Figure 3 | Annual and zonal mean differences in ozone and temperature.** Shown  
395 are averages over the last 50 years of each experiment. **a**, The percentage  
396 differences in ozone between simulations B and A. By definition, these are identical  
397 to the differences in the climatologies between B/B1/B2 and C1/C2/A/A1/A2. Note  
398 that the climatologies of experiments B1/B2 and other 2D and 3D versions of each  
399 set of experiment are only identical after zonal averaging. **b**, The absolute  
400 temperature anomaly ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) between experiments B and C1. Apart from some areas  
401 around the tropopause (hatched out), all differences in **b** are statistically significant at  
402 the 95% confidence level using a two-tailed Student's t-test.

403

404 **Figure 4 | Cirrus cloud changes.** Zonal and annual mean frozen cloud fraction per  
405 unit volume multiplied by factor 100 in the region  $50^{\circ}\text{N}$ - $50^{\circ}\text{S}$  where the deviations in  
406  $\alpha_{\text{cre,lw}}$  are found. The shading shows the difference B minus C1 averaged over the  
407 last 50 years of both experiments. Contour lines (interval 2.5) denote the climatology  
408 of C1. Note that the tropical cloud fraction increases at  $\sim 12$ - $13$  km mainly result from  
409 the relatively warmer climate in C1. They therefore do not change  $\alpha_{\text{cre,lw}}$ , in contrast  
410 to the increases in the UTLS, see also Figure S6. Non-significant differences (using

411 a two-tailed Student's t-test at the 95% confidence level or where the cloud fraction  
 412 in both experiments is smaller than 5‰) are hatched out.

413

414 **Table 1 | Overview of the experiments.**

Experiment	Description	Initial Condition	Chemistry
A	piControl, (285 ppmv CO <sub>2</sub> )	Initialised from 900 year spin-up	<b>Interactive</b>
A1	piControl-1, (285 ppmv CO <sub>2</sub> )	Initialised from A (year 175)	Non-interactive, 3D climatologies from A
A2	piControl-2, (285 ppmv CO <sub>2</sub> )	Initialised from A (year 175)	Non-interactive, 2D climatologies from A
B	abrupt4xCO <sub>2</sub> (1140 ppmv CO <sub>2</sub> )	Initialised from A (year 225)	<b>Interactive</b>
B1	abrupt4xCO <sub>2</sub> (1140 ppmv CO <sub>2</sub> )	Initialised from A1 (year 50)	Non-interactive, 3D climatologies from B
B2	abrupt4xCO <sub>2</sub> (1140 ppmv CO <sub>2</sub> )	Initialised from A2 (year 50)	Non-interactive, 2D climatologies from B
C1	abrupt4xCO <sub>2</sub> (1140 ppmv CO <sub>2</sub> )	Initialised from A1 (year 50)	Non-interactive, 3D climatologies from A
C2	abrupt4xCO <sub>2</sub> (1140 ppmv CO <sub>2</sub> )	Initialised from A2 (year 50)	Non-interactive, 2D climatologies from A

415 Climatologies for the non-interactive runs represent the seasonal cycle on a monthly-  
 416 mean basis. 3D climatologies contain chemical fields of the most important  
 417 radiatively active species (ozone, methane, and nitrous oxide) for all spatial  
 418 dimensions (longitude, latitude, altitude). For 2D climatologies these fields were  
 419 averaged over all longitudes, as it is commonly done for ozone climatologies used in  
 420 non-interactive climate integrations<sup>3,5</sup>.







