# *Biorefinery strategies for upgrading Distillers' Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGS)*

Article

Accepted Version

# Biorefinery strategies for upgrading Distillers' Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGS)

Afroditi Chatzifragkou[1*], Ondrej Kosik[2], Parvathy Chandran Prabhakumari[1], Alison Lovegrove[2], Richard A. Frazier[1], Peter R. Shewry[2] and Dimitrios Charalampopoulos[1]

[1]Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 226, Reading, RG6 6AP, UK

[2]Department of Plant Science, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, AL5 2JQ, Hertfordshire, UK

*Correspondence concerning this manuscript to Dr. Afroditi Chatzifragkou, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, tel.: +44 (0) 118 378 7713, e-mail address: a.chatzifragkou@reading.ac.uk

## Abstract

Distillers' Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGS) is the major by-product of bioethanol and distillery plants. Due to its high content of proteins, water-soluble vitamins and minerals, DDGS has been long marketed as animal feed for livestock. EU legislation on liquid biofuels could raise the demand on bioethanol production in Europe, with a resulting increase in DDGS availability. DDGS contains a spectrum of complex organic macromolecules, particularly polysaccharides, in addition to proteins and vitamins, and its use as a starting raw material within a biomass-based biorefining strategy could lead to the development of multi-stream processes for the production of commodities, platform molecules or speciality chemicals, with concomitant economic benefits and waste reduction for bioethanol plants. The present review aims to outline the compositional characteristics of DDGS and evaluate its potential utilisation as a starting material for the production of added-value products. Parameters of influence on the chemical and physical characteristics of DDGS are discussed. Moreover, various pre-treatment strategies are outlined in terms of efficient DDGS fractionation into several added value streams. Additional processing steps for the production of medium and high added value compounds from DDGS are evaluated and their potential applications in the food and chemical industry sector are identified.



**Keywords:** DDGS, pre-treatment, biorefinery, added-value products, bioethanol

## 1. Introduction

Bioethanol represents one of the most important biofuels for automotive transportation. In 2013, global bioethanol production reached 88 billion litres, with economic projections estimating further increases in annual production until 2020 [1]. US contributions account for almost half of the total worldwide bioethanol production, followed by Brazil and European Union (EU). On the basis of feedstock, the USA and EU produce bioethanol through the utilisation of grains (maize and wheat, respectively), while Brazilian plants employ sugar cane as raw material. Based on the OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook for 2011-2020, the major producers of grain-based ethanol are USA, Canada and the EU.

The production of grain-based ethanol results in the generation of distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) as a by-product. A schematic representation of the dry grind bioethanol production process and by-product streams is given in Figure 1. Briefly, the whole grain is milled and liquefied, while the addition of amylolytic enzymes facilitates the conversion of starch into fermentable glucose. Then, yeast is added to ferment the available carbon into ethanol and carbon dioxide. Ethanol is distilled and dehydrated, whereas the non-volatile components are centrifuged to produce a liquid fraction (thin stillage, TS) and a solid fraction (wet distillers' grains, WDG). Around 15% or more of the thin stillage is used as backset (i.e. added to the new batch) for the liquefaction of the ground grain and the rest is concentrated into condensed distiller soluble (CDS). CDS is mixed with WDG and drum dried at high temperatures to produce the final DDGS. Partial recycling of DDGS to the drum dryer is also a common practice in the ethanol industry, in order to increase the drying efficiency of the equipment [2]. It is estimated that in the dry milling process, the utilization of 100 kg of grain results in 40.2 litres of ethanol, 32.3 kg of DDGS and 32.3 kg of $CO_2$. As far as global bioethanol derived DDGS production is concerned, OECD-FAO

68 projections estimate that the USA will reach 44 million tonnes by 2018, whereas EU and Canada
69 contributions are expected to be equal to nine and one million tonnes, respectively [3].
70
71 Another industry that contributes to the global surplus of DDGS is the beverage alcohol industry
72 (*e.g*. distilleries for whisky and other spirits). The production process is similar to that of dry grind
73 bioethanol, although considerable emphasis is placed on Good Manufacturing Practices and
74 hygiene aspects since the final product (potable ethanol) is intended directly for human
75 consumption. It is also worth noting that grain whisky distilleries often utilise blended grains as
76 raw materials that may include wheat, barley, maize and rye. As a result, the final composition of
77 DDGS may vary more than that of strictly corn or wheat derived DDGS.
78
79 DDGS has been recognised as an important source of energy, protein, water-soluble vitamins and
80 minerals and for this reason it has been long marketed as feed for livestock [4, 5]. This
81 exploitation contributes significantly to the profitability of distillery and bioethanol plants. In
82 2014, the annual market price for wheat DDGS in the United Kingdom averaged around £230 per
83 tonne, while the respective price for maize DDGS the same year, mainly produced in the USA,
84 was within the range of $225-240 per tonne (source UK Home Grown Cereal Authorities-HCGA).
85 During the first quarter of 2015, around 49.5 thousand tonnes of distillery by-products were used
86 for the production of animal feed in the UK, increased by 46% compared to the first quarter of
87 2014 as reported by the UK Department for Environmental Food and Rural Affairs [6].
88
89 The production of bioethanol as “first generation” biofuel is likely to rise in future years in Europe
90 as the Directive of EU regulatory framework for biofuels [7] requires that 10% of the energy used
91 in transport should be of a renewable nature by 2020, the majority of which is anticipated to
92 correspond to liquid biofuels. This fact is likely to increase the demand on bioethanol in Europe

with a resulting increase in DDGS availability. Moreover, it is of importance to state that the addition of DDGS to livestock feed can account for up to 30% (dry matter basis) of the diet, as higher levels may cause palatability and excessive protein consumption issues [5]. Additionally, the compositional variation in DDGS in relation to its nutritional value and quality still constitutes an obstacle to its primary use as animal feed supplement for ruminants [8, 9]. Taking these into account, the need to find alternative routes to exploit and upgrade DDGS can be considered imperative. In 2011, the Integrated Biorefining Research and Technology Club (IBTI) of the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) awarded in excess of £2.5M in research grants as part of an initiative to identify alternative ways to enhance the value of DDGS. Moreover, earlier in 2010, the Home Grown Cereals Authority (HGCA) in UK co-funded a collaborative 3-year project named ENBBIO LINK, aiming to identify routes to improve the nutritional value of DDGS as feed for both ruminant and non-ruminant species.

DDGS contains a spectrum of complex organic macromolecules, such as carbohydrates, proteins and oil. Its incorporation as a starting raw material within a biomass-based biorefining strategy could therefore lead to the development of multi-stream processes for the production of commodities, platform molecules or specialty chemicals, with concomitant economic benefits and waste reduction for bioethanol plants. The scope of the present review is to outline the characteristics of DDGS, with respect to its components, and investigate its potential utilisation for the production of added-value products, within a biorefinery concept.

## 2. Chemical composition of DDGS

### *2.1 Compositional variation of DDGS*

The composition of DDGS is of great interest, particularly in relation to animal nutrition. To this end, parameters such as nutrient composition, digestibility, and amino acid and mineral profiles

have been investigated by a number of research groups [10,11, 12]. The nutrient contents of DDGS have been reported to vary according to the nature of the raw material, e.g. wheat or maize, but also among production plants or even between batches from the same plant [13]. This variation can be directly correlated with compositional differences in the wheat and maize grains, the growing, harvesting and handling conditions of grains, but also with the addition of distillers' solubles in the dried grains, and the dehydration process as applied by each manufacturer [2, 14].

A summary of representative studies on the chemical composition of DDGS deriving from various starting materials is presented in Table 1. In the case of maize and wheat DDGS, a comparison of their chemical characteristics often reveals differences in the percentages of oil, protein, as well as in acid and neutral detergent fibre (ADF and NDF, respectively) (Table 1). Maize bioethanol DDGS is often richer in oil (11-15%, w/w) compared to wheat bioethanol DDGS (4-6%, w/w), although in both cases the lignin content is low (3-5%, w/w) and is often expressed as acid detergent fibre (ADF), including the recalcitrant cellulose [12, 15]. On the other hand, distillery DDGS can be differentiated in terms of its protein and NDF content, mainly due to the fact that distillery plants utilise blended grains, such as wheat, barley, maize and rye, instead of a single type of grain. Therefore, the choice of the starting material is a determinant factor for the final DDGS composition. Additionally, variation in the production process of DDGS between plants directly affects the chemical composition of the by-product. Spiehs et al. [16] investigated the variation in the composition of maize DDGS from ten ethanol plants in Minnesota and South Dakota. The coefficients of variation for protein, oil and crude fibre were reported to be lower than 10%, whereas even less variation was estimated for dry matter. Variation in the nutrient content of DDGS was mostly attributed to the maize grain used, the percentage of solubles added back to distillers' dried grains, as well as to possible deviations from the standard practices followed during the fermentation process. As far as wheat DDGS composition is concerned, Jarret

et al. [17] characterized the chemical composition of wheat DDGS samples supplied by seven European ethanol plants. Differences in the origin and process of biofuel production between plants were directly related to the variation in the percentage of fibre (NDF and ADF) and to possible Maillard reactions taking place during the process. Furthermore, Cromwell et al. [14] compared seven sources of DDGS deriving from beverage alcohol manufacturers and two sources of DDGS from bioethanol plants, in order to evaluate their nutritional value for non-ruminants. Physical characteristics, such as odour and colour, reflected differences in the drying processes and were directly correlated with the nutritional properties of DDGS, whereas notable variation was identified in terms of the oil, fibre and ash contents between samples which could be attributed to grain variety. In another study, Pedersen et al. [18] reported the compositional variation in DDGS from various bioethanol plants, including maize, wheat and mixed DDGS (containing wheat, triticale, barley and rye, in unknown proportions). Maize DDGS presented higher amounts of oil compared to the other DDGS tested, while wheat and mixed DDGS composition in terms of protein, total sugars and ash were similar, indicating that wheat was the major grain in mixed DDGS.

*2.2 Effect of processing on DDGS chemical composition*

From a processing point of view, it has been demonstrated that the mixing ratio of wet distillers' grains (WDG) and condensed distillers' soluble (CDS) can considerably affect the chemical composition of the DDGS [2, 19]. The removal of starch during the fermentation step, as well as the thermal treatment of CDS and WDG, can lead to an approximately 3-fold concentration of the remaining macromolecules in DDGS, such as carbohydrates, protein and oil, whereas the inorganic content can be also substantially increased during the production process [20]. Generally, WDG contains higher amounts of insoluble fibre, whereas CDS contains soluble oligosaccharides, ash, as well as organic acids and glycerol generated as by-products during the

ethanol fermentation process [2, 13]. In terms of insoluble carbohydrates, it has been reported that after completion of the fermentation, more than 60% of the initial water-insoluble glucan from cellulose is left in WGD, whereas for hemicellulosic components, approximately 55% of the initial xylan and 65% of the initial arabinan remained in the insoluble fraction, indicating the partial degradation of cellulose, xylan and arabinan during the process [21]. As far as protein is concerned, the liquefaction and subsequent fermentation of starch results in an approximate 2.5 to 3-fold increase in the DDGS protein content, taking also into account the contribution of yeast, which is estimated to be around 20% [20]. However, over half of DDGS protein may become insoluble during the dry-grind ethanol process [22, 23]. In terms of amino acids, these are concentrated in the WGD fraction and the addition of CDS prior to the drying process is reported to slightly decrease the overall amino acid content in DDGS [2]. Yeast protein demonstrates a better amino acid profile, particularly with regards to limiting amino acids such as lysine, and its presence influences the amino acid profile of downstream products [13, 20].

## 3. Treatment strategies for DDGS

Several studies have reported the use of various treatment steps in order to extract and further process macromolecules contained in DDGS. As mentioned above, DDGS is characterized by a complex structure, consisting of hemicellulose, cellulose and proteins; therefore, an optimum combination of different treatment steps is often necessary for the efficient fractionation of its components. Due to the absence of a rigid lignocellulosic structure, DDGS is amenable to relatively mild processing that can lead to the production of several value-added streams, which can act either as end-products or starting materials for secondary processing; the types of value-added products that can be derived from DDGS are discussed in section 4. The processing steps may include physical treatments to improve the material texture, chemical processes for the fractionation of compounds of interest and subsequent extraction and purification, enzyme-

assisted processes, or a combination of these. The efficiency of such treatment steps on DDGS valorisation is summarised and discussed in the following sub-sections.

### *3.1 Physical treatments*

DDGS samples can show significant variation in terms of their particle size distribution, ranging from 0.11 to 3.66 mm, a fact that reflects the highly heterogeneous distribution of nutrients among the different size fractions [24]. The reduction of particle size by mechanical stress is often the first pre-treatment step of the solid starting materials, in order to facilitate subsequent chemical or enzymatic hydrolysis. Generally, small particles up to 0.40 mm are preferred for the efficient enzymatic hydrolysis of the solid materials [25], due their higher specific surface area, while for compounds such as cellulose, reductions in both the degree of polymerisation (DP) and crystallinity can be achieved this way [26]. Moreover, the particle size distribution is associated with the chemical and physical characteristics of DDGS and related materials, affecting aspects of the handling systems used, the processing facilities, as well as the digestibility and nutrient availability of DDGS feed [27]. Apart from this, a minimal particle size reduction is needed in most pre-treatment strategies, in order to overcome mass and heat transport issues.

In addition, the particle size distribution could determine the initial steps required for the fractionation of DDGS, aiming to generate compositionally enriched fractions. Based on this, the combination of sieving and air classification (also known as the Elusieve process), has been shown to effectively separate fibre from DDGS [28, 29]. Pilot scale experiments on maize DDGS samples demonstrated that through this approach, DDGS is separated into fibre and an enhanced fraction with lower fibre and 4.8% more protein than the initial material, which can be potentially more suitable for non-ruminant animals [29]. The Elusieve process is a simple, non-intrusive method that can be operated at the end of the dry-mill process with a capital investment estimation

of $1.4 million, which includes an equipment purchase cost of around $0.43 million [29]. However, the highest revenue potential can be acquired only by the protein-enriched DDGS fraction, whereas the conversion of the low fibre fraction to ethanol is not currently economically feasible and therefore its exploitation will only be profitable if the fibre market value is high [28, 29].

### *3.2 Chemical and physicochemical treatments*

A number of chemical treatment strategies have been studied for their efficiency for the fractionation or degradation of the structural components of DDGS. These include either the use of concentrated and diluted acid and alkali, or a combination of chemical and physical processing, as in the case of ammonia fibre explosion (AFEX) and liquid hot water treatment. Depending on the treatment of the raw material, however, different types of components might be formed that can act as inhibitors and hinder subsequent processing, such as enzymatic hydrolysis or fermentation. These inhibitors are degradation products and include organic acids (mainly acetic, levulinic and formic acid), furan aldehydes, such as furfural deriving from xylose and 5-(hydroxymethyl)-furfural (5-HMF) deriving from glucose, as well as phenolic acids and aromatic compounds formed from lignin [30]. Therefore, the effectiveness of the chosen chemical pre-treatment is determined by criteria such as high conversion yields, minimum formation of toxic degradation products, efficient waste treatment and minimum energy input [31]. A summary of the chemical treatments applied for DDGS and related by-products is given in Table 2.

#### *3.2.1 Ammonia fibre expansion (AFEX)*

Ammonia fibre expansion (AFEX) technology possesses the advantage of combining physical (high pressure and temperature conditions) and chemical (ammonia) processes for the efficient pre-treatment of lignocellulosic materials. The incorporation of AFEX as a pre-treatment step

leads to biomass swelling and consequently increases the accessible surface area, while supporting cellulose decrystallisation. A minor part of hemicellulose is solubilised into its respective monomers, whereas the lignin structure is rigorously altered and thus rendered more susceptible to digestion [26, 32]. In the case of DDGS, AFEX can be performed under relatively mild conditions (temperatures below 90°C and pressure range between 200-400 psi), due to the low lignin content, with the aim to increase subsequent enzymatic digestibility targeting monosaccharide production [33, 34, 35]. Bals et al. [33] evaluated the efficacy of AFEX pre-treatment on the enzymatic hydrolysis of maize DDGS and reported AFEX conditions of 70°C and 0.8:1 kg/kg ammonia loading as optimal for subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis of the pre-treated DDGS samples. AFEX is an advantageous method for DDGS treatment due to the low lignin content, whereas moderate operation conditions and short residence times minimise the formation of microbial inhibitors such as furfural and 5-hydroxylmethylfurfural (5-HMF). Moreover, the potential of ammonia recovery and recycling minimises chemical usage, and carrying out the process as a continuous operation is a viable option. On the other hand, application of AFEX on a large scale is still influenced considerably by the cost of ammonia, as well as by environmental concerns related to its unpleasant odour [26]. Additionally, AFEX treatment does not convert xylan into xylose monomers. In the case of DDGS, xylan represents around 35-40% of the total carbohydrate content; thus, the combination of AFEX treatment with hemicellulosic enzymes would be necessary in order to convert all the available DDGS carbohydrates into fermentable monosaccharides.

### *3.2.2 Liquid hot water (LHW)/ Autohydrolysis*

Liquid hot water falls into the category of hydrothermal treatments, applied in order to solubilise hemicelluloses and disrupt the cellulose and cell wall structure. These processes are also known as autohydrolysis, hot compressed water (HCW) or hydrothermolysis. The autohydrolysis mode of

action lies on the weakening of H-bonding during exposure of materials to water at high temperatures (150-240°C). Water is auto-ionised into acidic hydronium ions ($H_3O^+$) that act as catalysts on the glycosidic bonds. Additionally, hydronium ions are formed from the cleavage of O-acetyl groups and uronic acid substitution on arabinoxylan (glucuronoarabinoxylan), which further enable the catalysis of hemicellulose into oligosaccharides or monomeric sugars [36]. However, the latter mechanism can cause further degradation of monosaccharides into aldehydes (furfural from pentoses and 5-hydroxymethyl furfural from hexoses) that can hinder subsequent microbial fermentation. The formation of inhibitors can be reduced by controlling the pH in the range of 4-7 during the process. This type of pre-treatment produces mainly oligosaccharides [37, 38]. Moreover, since cellulose and lignin are hardly modified, they are amenable for recovery and further processing [39]. Recently, Samala et al. [40] studied the effect of autohydrolysis on maize DDGS fibre, separated using the Elusieve method. Under optimum conditions (180°C, 20 min), 54.6% of the initial xylan content was hydrolysed to xylooligoasaccharides (XOS) (reported DPs up to 6), followed by traces of degradation products. The application of LHW on maize fibre has shown to yield 80% of soluble oligosaccharides and 20% of monosaccharides, while less than 1% of the initial carbohydrate content is lost due to the formation of degradation products [41]. DDGS pre-treatment with LHW has been reported to significantly increase the rate of the enzymatic hydrolysis of the samples post-treatment, leading to the generation of monosaccharide-rich streams, with glucose hydrolysis yields higher than 90% [34, 35]. LHW treatments attract interest due to the lack of a requirement for a catalyst and the low-corrosion potential. However, the process requires large volumes of water and high energy input. In the same manner as AFEX, LHW treatment requires subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis of the hemicellulosic content in the case of DDGS or related materials with high arabinoxylan presence.

*3.2.3 Dilute acid hydrolysis*

293 Dilute acid treatment has been extensively investigated as the means for enhancing biomass
294 digestibility through the breakage of rigid lignocellulosic structures. Hydrochloric, nitric and
295 sulphuric acids have been evaluated for biomass treatment, with the latter being the most common
296 acid of choice [36, 42, 43]. A disadvantage of this method is that depending on the hydrolysis
297 conditions, high levels of sugar degradation compounds such as furfural and 5-HMF, as well as
298 aromatic lignin degradation compounds can be formed. A number of studies have reported the
299 feasibility of using dilute sulphuric acid treatment for DDGS. For instance, Noureddini et al. [44]
300 performed a three-step acid pre-treatment followed by a single step enzymatic hydrolysis of maize
301 DDGS, yielding 128 g/L of total monosaccharides (xylose and glucose monomers) that could
302 result in about 6.4 wt. % ethanol. The effects of reaction temperature, time and acid concentration
303 on the yields of monomeric sugars, namely xylose, arabinose and glucose, have been primarily
304 investigated [45, 46, 47]. Low biomass concentrations (5.0% -10.0%, w/v) have been found to
305 favour hydrolysis of the hemicelluloses in DDGS samples, whereas increased acid concentrations
306 (3.0%-4.0%, v/v) decreased the duration of hydrolysis down to 30 min. However, the temperature
307 of the treatment is critical since high temperatures (up to 140°C) promote the formation of pentose
308 degradation products (furfural and furan resins) [45].
309
310 *3.2.4 Alkali pre-treatment*
311 Apart from the use of ammonia in AFEX technology as discussed above, bases such as sodium,
312 potassium, calcium and ammonium hydroxide have been evaluated for biomass pre-treatment. In
313 the presence of alkali, ester and glycosidic side chains are degraded whereas structural alteration
314 of lignin and partial solubilisation of hemicellulose can occur [31] which provide the opportunity
315 to separate intact hemicellulose components, such as arabinoxylan. Moreover, the chemical
316 swelling of cellulose via the disruption of crosslinks between hemicelluloses and other
317 components increases the porosity of biomass rendering it more accessible to enzymes [48].

318 Alkaline pre-treatments offer the advantage of low temperature operation compared to other
319 chemical treatments [49]. However, long residence time is needed followed by neutralisation of
320 the generated slurry in order to remove lignin and other inhibitors (phenolic acids, aldehydes,
321 furfural and salts) of enzymes. Moreover, alkaline treatment has been used on maize fibre for
322 hemicellulose extraction [50, 51], and more recently for DDGS, resulting in the isolation of a
323 hemicellulose-rich biopolymer [52]. Xu et al. [53] utilised a combination of alkali and xylanase
324 pre-treatment in order to extract cellulose from DDGS, achieving a crude cellulose yield of 7.2 %
325 (w/w) with a cellulose content of 81% (w/w). Recently, lime has been proposed for biomass pre-
326 treatment, offering the advantage of lower cost and less safety requirements compared to other
327 alkaline compounds [31]. Additionally, lime can be easily recovered from aqueous solutions as
328 insoluble calcium carbonate by reaction with $CO_2$ [36].
329
330 *3.3. Biological treatments*
331 The application of enzymes is considered an efficient approach for the successful valorisation of
332 materials consisting of cellulose and hemicellulose. Enzymatic hydrolysis is often a secondary
333 treatment step and is required for the conversion of previously generated carbohydrate-rich
334 streams into their respective monomers. These can then be utilised as feedstock for the production
335 of chemicals through microbial fermentation and enzymatic or chemical synthesis reactions.
336 Aspects, such as the nature of the hemicellulose as well as the desired end-products of the
337 bioconversion define the choice of enzymes in this step.
338
339 The main enzymes used in hydrolysis of physically and/or chemically pre-treated DDGS are
340 hemicellulases and cellulases, often co-operating in a synergistic fashion for the degradation of
341 the hemicelluloses and cellulose present. A summary of the most frequently used enzymes
342 employed in hydrolysis of hemicellulosic materials is presented in Table 3.

### *3.3.1 Cellulases*

Cellulases are derived from microorganisms or plants; they constitute a mixture of several enzymes and are responsible for hydrolyzing cellulose to soluble monosaccharides. Based on their structural properties, three major types of cellulase activities can be distinguished: endo-1,4-*β*-glucanases (EC 3.2.1.4), cellobiohydrolase (EC 3.2.1.176), exo-1,4-*β*-glucanases (EC 3.2.1.91) and *β*-glucosidases (EC 3.2.1.21) [54,55]. Endo-glucanases cleave cellulose chains in low crystallinity regions of the cellulose fibre and create free-chain ends that can be further attacked by exo-glucanases, acting from the non-reducing end, or by cellobiohydrolases acting progressively from the reducing end of cellulose both releasing cellobiose units. The latter are hydrolysed by *β*-glucosidase to produce glucose. In lignocellulosic biomass, the lignin can block the access of cellulases to cellulose; therefore, pre-treatment processes that separate lignin from cellulose and the hemicellulose component can substantially increase hydrolysis rates [31]. However, DDGS contains relatively low amounts of lignin 3-5% (w/w) and therefore a delignificationpre-treatment step is not required.

### *3.3.2 Hemicellulases*

Hemicellulose is a heterogeneous mixture of polysaccharides and, as a consequence, a range of enzymes is needed in order to achieve effective hydrolysis. The major hemicellulose in cereal grains is arabinoxylan and enzymes involved in its degradation can be divided into depolymerising enzymes, which act on the xylan backbone, and accessory enzymes that remove substituent groups [55]. The principal hydrolytic enzymes employed for xylan degradation to monomers are endo-1,4-*β*-xylanase (EC 3.2.1.8), which attack the xylan backbone and yield short-chain oligosaccharides, and *β*-xylosidase (EC 3.2.1.37), which cleave oligosaccharides into xylose monomers. Moreover, the xylan backbone can be decorated with various substituents, such as

arabinose and galactose, ferulate and acetate, so the action of ancillary enzymes is required to remove these substituent groups and facilitate backbone degradation [55]. To this end, $\alpha$-arabinofuranosidase (EC 3.2.1.55), feruloyl esterase (EC 3.1.1.73), $\alpha$-galactosidase (EC 3.2.1.22) acetyl xylan esterase (EC 3.1.1.72) and xylan $\alpha$-1,2-glucuronidase (EC 3.2.1.131) act synergistically with xylanases and xylosidases to achieve complete xylan hydrolysis [56].

*3.3.3 Enzymatic degradation of DDGS*

For the enzymatic hydrolysis of DDGS and related materials, the choice of enzymes is related to the desired end-product. A summary of enzyme combinations that have been employed for DDGS hydrolysis and their respective conversion yields is presented in Table 4. If the DDGS hydrolysate is intended to be utilized as a fermentation feedstock (e.g. for production of ethanol or platform chemicals), cellulose-degrading enzymes can be used for the release of the glucose monomers [57]. Cellulose conversion rates from untreated DDGS are reported to be relatively higher in the presence of cellulase and $\beta$-glucosidase enzyme mixtures, compared to other biomass by-products such as maize stover. Glucose yields of 76% were achieved after 72 h of hydrolysis of maize DDGS with low solid loadings (5%, w/w) [35]. On the other hand, pre-treatment of DDGS is highly advantageous for nearly complete cellulose hydrolysis (98%) within the same time [35]. WDG can be less susceptible to hydrolysis, showing lower yields by approximately 30% in high substrate loadings (15%, w/w). This can be overcome through the use of auxiliary enzymes (xylanases, ferulic acid esterases) that act on the hemicellulose structure during the course of hydrolysis, and as more sites become susceptible to cellulase attack, glucose yield is increased [35]. Additionally, compounds produced during the pre-treatment step, such as lignin-derived phenolics as well as xylan oligomers, can act as inhibitors of cellulases [58]. Due to the fact that cellulases have a minor impact on hemicellulose hydrolysis, further digestion with xylanase and ferulic acid esterase mixtures is required for the production of hemicellulose-derived pentosans

393 [59]. However, Dien et al. [34] observed that additions of the above mentioned commercial
394 enzymes did not favour the release of xylose and arabinose from pre-treated DDGS. On the
395 contrary, cellulase blends with pectinase and ferulic acid esterase, increased the hemicellulose
396 conversion yields. Although DDGS does not contain any pectin, commercial pectinases usually
397 contain multiple side-activities and may contribute to achieving increased monosaccharide yields
398 [34]. Banerjee et al. [60] reported that increased levels of mannanase were also needed in order to
399 enhance the release of glucose from AFEX-treated DDGS. In addition to glycosyl hydrolases,
400 proteolytic enzymes can be applied for the extraction of proteins from DDGS [22, 33], as the
401 means for increasing arabinoxylan extraction [61].
402
403 The choice of the pre-treatment strategy for DDGS depends greatly on the aims of the biorefinery.
404 On one hand, enzymatic hydrolysis is a less energy intensive process as opposed to chemical
405 treatments, offering the advantage of selective catalysis of carbohydrates, generating
406 monosaccharide-rich streams suitable for microbial conversion. However, enzymatic pre-
407 treatment is often hindered by substrate concentration, enzyme activity and end-product
408 inhibition. To this end, the production of tailored multi-enzyme cocktails (containing optimised
409 cellulase/hemicellulase proportions) with higher specific activities compared to current
410 commercial enzymes, obtained through screening or protein engineering approaches, is expected
411 to reduce capital costs associated with the pre-treatment step. Physico-chemical treatments such as
412 steam explosion are considered cost-effective and have a realistic potential for industrial scale
413 processing. They can offer high yields of monomeric sugars and enhanced hemicellulose
414 hydrolysis. However, their combination with subsequent enzymatic processes is often problematic
415 due to the formation of inhibitory compounds during the pre-treatment process (e.g. in the case of
416 dilute acid hydrolysis) or to the requirement for additional steps prior to enzyme hydrolysis (e.g.
417 neutralisation step in the case of alkaline treatment). Thus, it seems rather unlikely that a process

418 aiming to fully exploit DDGS will rely on a single treatment step due to the complex structure of
419 DDGS.
420
421 **4. Value-added products from DDGS**
422 The heterogeneous nature of DDGS allows its biotransformation into several added-value
423 products. These can either be subjected to further purification leading to primary products, or used
424 as starting materials for secondary processing, as part of a biorefinery strategy. A schematic
425 representation of various added-value products from DDGS based on the biorefinery concept is
426 given in Fig. 2. These include biofuels, biopolymers, platform chemicals, prebiotic
427 oligosaccharides as well as packaging materials. All the above mentioned products could be
428 derived by effectively exploiting two principal components that account for 65-70% of the total
429 DDGS composition, i.e. carbohydrates and proteins, and have a variety of potential applications in
430 industrial sectors such as food, chemicals and packaging. Currently, the bioethanol production
431 process generates DDGS and $CO_2$ as co-product streams, both of which have market values for
432 the industry. Therefore, the choice of product(s) deriving from DDGS should be of higher added
433 value in order to compensate for the additional energy and equipment costs. Ideally, the additional
434 process should be easily incorporated into existing production processes. Moreover, a successful
435 process should not be affected by feedstock variability, which could stem from the use of blended
436 cereals as raw materials for bioethanol production. A biorefinery strategy could aim to use
437 intermediate products of the DDGS biotransformation process as starting materials for the
438 generation of added-value components. From an economic perspective, in the bioethanol
439 production process, apart from feedstock price fluctuation, the thermal processing of the WDG-
440 CDS mixture is the most costly part of production [62]; however it is required in order to confer
441 shelf-life stability during transportation of the DDGS used as animal feed. Taking this into
442 account, WDG could be used as substrate for chemical/enzymatic treatments as it has been shown

443 to contain higher amounts of total carbohydrate and protein (on a dry matter basis) compared to
444 DDGS [13]. Another in-process sample that can be utilised for the production of added-value
445 components is thin stillage (TS). TS contains a complex mixture of carbon sources, such as
446 soluble sugars, by-products of fermentation, such as glycerol and organic acids, and also yeast
447 cells [13] that can serve as an ideal source of nutrients for microbial fermentations. A number of
448 studies have demonstrated the feasibility of using TS directly as a fermentation feedstock or as
449 source of liquid nutrients supplemented with additional carbon sources for the production of
450 microbial metabolites such as lipids, solvents, organic acids and extracellular polysaccharides
451 (Table 5). An additional advantage reported in these studies is the potential remediation of TS
452 through the reduction of their total solids and chemical oxygen demand (COD) [63, 64].
453
454 4.1 Biofuels, platform chemicals and biopolymers
455 One of the most studied biotechnological processes for DDGS upgrade is bioethanol production,
456 as the means for generating additional profit to bioethanol plants, through the microbial
457 conversion of non-starch carbohydrates. Initial studies aimed to produce a cellulose-derived
458 glucose-rich stream from DDGS which can be fermented by hexose-consuming wild-type
459 microbial strains that exhibit high ethanol tolerance, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and
460 *Zymomonas mobilis*. However, genetic engineering has since allowed the development of
461 modified strains capable of fermenting pentoses (i.e. xylose and arabinose) by introducing
462 pentose-metabolizing pathways from bacterial strains of *E. coli* or natural xylose-fermenting
463 yeasts such as *Pichia stipitis* and *Candida shehatae* to *S. cerevisiae* strains [65]. More recently,
464 the concept of consolidated bioprocessing (CBP) has emerged, aiming to reduce the cost of added
465 enzymes in the pre-treatment step. In CBP, lignocellulosic materials can be directly fermented
466 into the desired products in a single step by microorganisms performing simultaneous
467 saccharification and fermentation of the substrate [66, 67]. CBP benefits from the elimination of

468 the enzyme production process, since engineered yeast strains capable of secreting hydrolytic
469 enzymes, such as cellulases, can be used. However, in some cases, high density cultures (100 g/L
470 wet cell weight) are required for the effective hydrolysis of the raw materials [68]. A major
471 obstacle in the process is the difference in the optimum temperatures between saccharification and
472 fermentation [69]. To this end, research on the construction of thermotolerant recombinant yeast
473 strains is ongoing [70]. Apart from DDGS, complementary ethanol production can be achieved
474 through the direct fermentation of TS. A metabolically engineered *Escherichia coli* strain was
475 capable of ethanol production, by utilizing simultaneously glycerol and the sugars present in TS
476 media, after supplementation with mineral salts [71].
477
478 Typically, DDGS contains around 14-18% of cellulose. Based on literature data, the combination
479 of AFEX treatment and subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis can convert up to 93% of cellulose to
480 fermentable glucose. If the hemicellulose content (accounting for around 25-28% of total DDGS
481 composition) is further hydrolysed, an overall yield of 92% of total hemicellulose and cellulose
482 conversion into fermentable hexoses and pentoses can be achieved (Fig 2). In the ideal scenario of
483 a complete fermentation of the available sugars and the absence of inhibitory parameters, the
484 process may contribute up to 15% more ethanol than the conventional dry-grind process, whereas
485 the generated DDGS in such a process would be enriched with protein (30-40% of total mass,
486 compared to ~30% in standard DDGS) and could be marketed as a livestock feed at a higher price
487 than its current price, especially if it provides the amino acid requirements for animal feeds, in
488 terms of lysine content [72]. Kim et al. [72] investigated three case studies of process alternatives
489 based on recycling the pre-treated and hydrolysed distillers' grains, and assessed their effect on
490 the overall ethanol yields. They concluded that a 14% ethanol yield increase could be achieved by
491 releasing the additional fermentable sugars present in distiller's grains by further processing and
492 hydrolysis of fermentable glucans [72]. However, the cost of cellulosic ethanol is still high

493 (estimated typically around £0.6 per litre) [73]. It has been proposed that the combination of
494 reduced enzyme costs and the higher market price of DDGS enriched in protein could render the
495 'DDGS to bioethanol' process a viable prospect for the biofuel industry [74].
496
497 Another approach towards the production of added-value compounds is the microbial
498 transformation of DDGS hydrolysates into platform chemicals, such as succinic acid. The latter
499 can be used as a precursor for a variety of chemical compounds that have a number of applications
500 in the food, pharmaceutical, and plastic industries [75]. The potential of replacing a petroleum-
501 based chemical process with a bio-based process for succinic acid production attracts much
502 research interest recently. The current market price of succinic acid is estimated as around £4,000-
503 6,000 per tonne, depending on its purity [76]. Microbial production of succinic acid by strains of
504 *Anaerobiospirillum succiniciproducens* can be achieved at conversion yields as high as 91% (on
505 glucose-based substrates) [77]. Based on the same scenario, which includes the conversion of
506 cellulose to glucose, around 19% of the initial DDGS amount could be converted into succinic
507 acid, taking into account an optimum bioconversion yield of 91% (Fig 2). DDGS bioconversion to
508 succinic acid could be further enhanced, since most of succinic acid-producing strains
509 (*Actinobacillus succinogenes*, *Mannheimia succiniciproducens*) are capable of utilising pentose
510 sugars as carbon substrates with satisfactory conversion yields (55-80%) [78, 79].
511
512 An additional promising bioconversion route of DDGS hydrolysates includes the microbial
513 production of biodegradable biopolymers, such as polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs). The
514 biodegradable plastics industry is currently growing fast, with world production reaching nearly
515 740,000 tonnes in 2013, while projections estimate that the total production volume will reach
516 approximately 2.96 million tonnes by 2021 [80]. PHAs are polyesters that contain hydroxyl-
517 alkanoic acids as monomers and exhibit resistance against high temperatures (up to 180°C) as

518 well as oxygen barrier properties. Among the PHAs, polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) is the most
519 common biopolymer with a wide spectrum of applications. PHAs are synthesized intracellularly
520 by a number of bacterial strains such as *Cupriavidus necator*, *Bacillus* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp. or
521 *Aeromonas* sp. [81]. PHAs market price is still much higher than those of other bio-based
522 polyesters (approx. £7-9/kg), [80] whereas around 50% of the total PHAs cost is due to the
523 substrate cost [82]. The use of low-value feedstocks derived from waste streams in combination
524 with an environmentally friendly and cost effective extraction step, could potentially lead to the
525 establishment of a competitive PHA production process based on DDGS.
526
527 Based on the applied pre-treatments, DDGS hydrolysates can contain a mixture of glucose, xylose
528 and arabinose as carbon sources for microbial conversions. A number of PHA-producing strains
529 have been reported to catabolise xylose, the majority of which however demonstrate low specific
530 PHA rates and production yields [83,84] compared to those achieved in glucose or sucrose-based
531 media [85, 86]. Taking a best case scenario based on literature data showing a 38% of DDGS
532 cellulose-derived glucose after AFEX treatment [34], approximately 8 kg of PHB per 100 kg of
533 DDGS can be potentially achieved (calculations based on glucose conversion data from Ryu et al.
534 [87]).
535
536 Poly-lactic acid (PLA), originating from lactic acid polymerisation, represents another important
537 polymer in the field of biodegradable materials. PLA has unique biodegradability and
538 biocompatibility properties, with potential applications in packaging and agricultural products, as
539 well as in medical and textile industries [88]. In 2013, about 143,200 tonnes of PLA were
540 produced worldwide; the total PLA market volume for 2021 is forecasted to rise to approximately
541 465,500 tonnes with a rise in demand of around 16%, and its current price is around £2-4/kg [80].
542 Europe is the third largest market after North America and Asia-Pacific [80]. The building

543 monomer for PLA, lactic acid, occurs in two optical isomers, L- and D-lactic acid, which can be
544 obtained via chemical synthesis (hydrolysis of lactonitrile) or through microbial fermentation. In
545 the latter case, the enzymatic capacity of bacterial strains (*Lactobacillus* spp.) determines the
546 stereo specificity of the lactic acid produced. For this reason, obtaining optically pure lactic acid is
547 of great importance [89]. As is the case for most microbial conversions, the operation and
548 purification costs are also of primary importance. In lactic acid bacteria (LAB), hexose catabolism
549 is usually performed via the homofermentative pathway, producing solely lactic acid. On the other
550 hand, most LAB catabolise pentoses via the heterofermentative pathway, generating by-products
551 such as acetic acid and ethanol. This causes a decrease in lactic acid yield. Although a number of
552 novel lactic acid-producing strains have been reported to efficiently ferment xylose to lactic acid
553 with high yields and optical purity (95% and 99.6%, respectively) [90], the microorganism of
554 choice should be capable of utilising simultaneously the mixed sugars present in the
555 hemicellulosic hydrolysates. Recently, Tsuge et al. [91] reported the homofermentative D-lactic
556 acid production by an engineered *L. plantarum* strain capable of simultaneously catabolising
557 xylose and glucose in a two-step production system, based on the sequential cultivations of
558 growing and resting cells. Lactic acid production yields were higher than 90% (w/w). In such a
559 case, the fermentation of the mixed sugars contained in a DDGS hydrolysate could potentially
560 lead to approximately 28 kg of lactic acid per 100 kg of DDGS.
561
562 4.2 Xylan and xylo-oligosacchrides
563 Xylan constitutes part of the hemicellulosic fraction and represents the major polysaccharide in
564 bioethanol DDGS, accounting for approximately 35-40% of the total carbohydrates (Kim et al.
565 2008a). In the wheat grain cell, the xylan consists of a linear backbone of D-xylopyranosyl units,
566 which may be mono-substituted with *a*-L-arabinofuranosyl residues on position O-3 (~21%) or di-
567 substituted on positions O-2 and O-3 (~13%) [92, 93]. Glucuronic acid or its 4-methyl ether

568 derivative can also be linked in the O-2 position of xylopyranosyl residues. Arabinofuranosyl
569 residues linked on position O-3 of the xylose units may be ester-linked to ferulic acid, which may
570 undergo oxidative dimerization to form covalent cross-linkages between the xylan chains [93].
571 These cross-links, in addition to the interactions of arabinoxylans (AX) with other cell wall
572 components such as cellulose and lignin, are responsible for the water-insoluble nature of a high
573 proportion of wheat grain arabinoxylan. In wheat flour, water-soluble AX account for 25% of the
574 total AX content, but the proportion is much lower in bran and whole grain [94]. The structure and
575 chemical properties of soluble and insoluble AX in the wheat grain have been intensively studied,
576 however for DDGS limited information is available. Most studies on DDGS exploitation are
577 focused on the solubilisation of the insoluble AX fraction, while hardly any information is
578 available on the effect of the DDGS production process on the solubility of AX. In a recent study
579 [18] comparing the composition of maize grain to that of maize DDGS, an increase in the soluble
580 AX content in DDGS compared to grain was observed, which suggests that the non-starch
581 polysaccharide fraction is modified during the fermentation process and the subsequent drying
582 process. This can be attributed to factors such as the presence of exogenous or yeast -derived fibre
583 degrading enzymes, as well as to the mechanical and heat treatments during DDGS production
584 [18].
585
586 Apart from xylan hydrolysis to its respective monomers, an alternative way for the efficient
587 valorisation of DDGS xylan is its conversion to xylo-oligosaccharides (XOS) or arabinoxylo-
588 oligosaccharides (AXOS), compounds that exert potential prebiotic health effects. According to
589 Gibson et al. [95], "prebiotics are selectively fermented ingredients that allow specific changes,
590 both in the composition and/or activity in the gastrointestinal microflora that confers benefits".
591 Prebiotics stimulate the population of beneficiary bacteria (e.g. *Bifidobacterium* spp. and
592 *Lactobacillus* spp.) leading to the production of short-chained fatty acids (SCFAs), mainly acetate,

propionate and butyrate. SCFAs are used as energy source by colonic epithelial cells and may function as primary protective agents against colonic disorders, inhibit the growth of pathogenic microorganisms, while they also have immunomodulatory properties. The main commercial prebiotics include fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS), inulin-type fructans and galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS). In the case of XOS (mainly mixtures of DP2 and DP3 are produced commercially) and the AXOS prebiotic effects have been shown primarily *in vitro*, whereas data from human studies are limited although a study has been recently published [96].

A small number of studies have exploited the isolation of insoluble xylan from cereal- based by-products, such as maize fibre or maize cobs. Different methods have been assessed for their efficiency towards xylan extraction, including chemical (alkaline, acid, bleach, organic solvents), enzymatic (xylanases) and mechanical assisted treatments (extrusion, hydrothermal, ultrasound and microwave) [97]. DDGS is an advantageous starting material for xylan extraction as it contains low amounts of lignin (3-5%), therefore a delignification step is not needed. Yields of up to ~25% were obtained from DDGS in a process consisting of alkaline extraction and ethanol precipitation [53].

DDGS xylan has been previously evaluated as an additive for the preparation of gluten-based biodegradable films [98]. The water vapour transfer rate of the films was not affected by xylan addition, whereas the production conditions and xylan origin influenced their mechanical and solubility properties. More recently, the feasibility of producing films from hemicellulose-rich fractions of DDGS was evaluated [53]. The extracted fraction contained around 52% hemicelluloses (mainly arabinoxylan) and 18% protein. The films produced from this fraction were stiff and had a high glass transition temperature, as a result of a greater degree of polymerisation in DDGS arabinoxylans, and due to the presence of impurities in the extracted

fraction. However, when tested as paper coating, the DDGS-derived arabinoxylan/protein mixture increased considerably the paper tensile strength. Although a promising application has been identified, optimisation of the extraction procedure is needed in order to increase the purity of the extracted xylan, decrease the environmental impact of the extraction process and eliminate the presence of impurities, such as proteins and crude fat. Finally, it is worth mentioning that a xylan-based packaging material is currently marketed by Xylophane under the commercial name Skalax®. Specifically, cereal hulls and husks are used as starting materials and the extracted material is used as paper coating, acting as a migration barrier.

### 4.3 Protein

DDGS contains substantial amounts of protein (~30-35%, w/w), that justifies its application as a dietary supplement in livestock feed. Wheat proteins comprise gluten storage proteins, which account for about 80% of the total grain protein, and a heterogeneous range of non-gluten proteins (~20%). The non-gluten proteins comprise structural and metabolic components as well as storage components, and include abundant water-soluble (albumin) components of mass below about 25kDa [99]. By contrast, gluten proteins are not soluble in water and are classically divided into monomeric gliadins and polymeric glutenins. Both groups are defined as prolamins as they are soluble in alcohol-water mixtures, either as native monomers (gliadins) or after reduction of the inter-chain disulphide bonds (glutenin subunits) [100, 101]. Based on their genetics, structure and evolution, wheat prolamins can be categorised in three major groups: sulphur-rich (S-poor) prolamins which correspond to $\omega$-gliadin monomers, sulphur-poor (S-rich) prolamins corresponding to of $\alpha$- and $\gamma$-gliadins monomers and low molecular weight subunits of glutenin in wheat, and high molecular weight (HMW) prolamins corresponding to high molecular weight subunits [101]. The maize prolamins, known as zein, account for almost 80% of the total grain

642 protein. *a*-Zeins are the major prolamin group occurring as monomers or oligomers, whereas
643 minor zien groups (*β*-, *γ*- and *δ*-zeins) occur as polymers [101].
644
645 Praire Gold Inc. developed a process named COPE (Corn Oil and Protein Extraction) for the
646 extraction of zein and oil from maize DDGS; this was achieved by fractionation at the front-end
647 of the dry-grind ethanol process. Through this technology, several grades of high quality zein
648 fractions are produced, containing varying amounts of xanthophylls. However, zein yields are low
649 (2-5%, w/w) and high amounts of solvents are required in the process. On the other hand, a back-
650 end process for protein extraction is more attractive since DDGS contains high amounts of protein
651 as a result of starch removal and mass reduction [102]. Nevertheless, a commercial back-end
652 extraction of proteins from DDGS protein has not been applied.
653
654 Several different approaches have been proposed for DDGS protein extraction, including aqueous
655 ethanol, alkaline-ethanol and enzyme treatments. Bandara et al. [103] investigated the efficiency
656 of protein extraction from triticale DDGS and concluded that treatment with alkaline ethanol gave
657 maximum protein purity of 66% (w/w); however, extraction yields were limited to 21-30% (w/w).
658 For corn DDGS, higher purities of extracted protein have been reported (90% w/w) accompanied
659 by average extraction yields of 44% (w/w) using aqueous ethanol extraction in the presence of
660 reducing agents [104]. The purity and yield of the extracted proteins from DDGS still remains a
661 challenge, since an ideal method should provide high protein purity without compromising
662 extraction yields. DDGS proteins often show low extractability, possibly due to the heating
663 process that is applied and can cause denaturation of the proteins and changes in their properties
664 [103]. During the final stage of the dry-grind ethanol production process (Fig. 1), the WDG and
665 CDS mixture is subjected to intense thermal treatment. The extent of heating varies between
666 plants for DDGS production but can reach up to 200°C. It is possible that the utilisation of in-

667 process samples, such as whole stillage or WDG, could lead to the extraction of proteins with
668 higher yields and purity, since up to that point of the process, mild heating steps are applied
669 during the liquefaction of biomass (~50°C) and the distillation of ethanol (~80°C). Looking
670 towards the commercialisation of a large-scale protein extraction process from DDGS,
671 environmental aspects should also be taken into account, with respect to solvent selection and
672 extraction method, as well as energy usage.
673
674 DDGS protein can be exploited in a variety of medium-value industrial applications, such as for
675 the production of biodegradable films, coatings and biodegradable plastics, which can be used in
676 food and agricultural applications [105, 106]. In particular, wheat gluten has been extensively
677 researched as a natural starting material for the development of biodegradable films, due to its
678 remarkable cohesive and elastic properties, as well as its susceptibility to chemical modifications
679 [107, 108]. For the production of protein-based films, plasticisers are usually added in levels of
680 15-40% of protein weight and contribute to the improvement in the flexibility and extensibility
681 properties of films. Low molecular size components of low volatility, such as sorbitol, xylitol,
682 glycerol, mannitol, diglycerol and polyvinyl alcohol, have been tested as plasticisers for wheat
683 gluten films [109]. Among those, glycerol has many advantages as it is non-toxic and suitable for
684 use in the food industry. Wheat gluten-based films are water-insoluble and present properties
685 similar to those of zein films [110]. They possess higher water vapour permeability but their
686 mechanical properties are inferior compared to most synthetic films [111]. By contrast, starch-
687 based films are used primarily in food packaging, and possess excellent oxygen-barrier properties
688 but poor mechanical properties. Moreover, cellulose-based films hold their share of the market,
689 producing tough, flexible and transparent films, resistant to fats and oils and sensitive to water.
690 Gluten-based films possess better mechanical and gas barrier properties compared to
691 polysaccharide films, while their mechanical stability can be improved by the incorporation of

692 plasticisers [112]. The commercial production of gluten-based films is yet to be established,
693 whereas starch-based biodegradable products hold a major share, with a market volume of
694 162,500 tonnes in 2013 [80]. Attempts have been made to modify the structure and improve the
695 functionality of gluten for films using a variety of methods, including incorporation of
696 hydrophobic compounds [113], enzymatic cross-linking [114], controlled thermal treatment [115]
697 and gamma-irradiation [116]. Further research is needed in order to develop processes and
698 products that can be applied on a commercial scale and compete in terms of price and
699 functionality with petroleum-derived polymers.
700
701 4.4 Phenolic acids
702 DDGS is a potential source of phytochemicals and in particular phenolic compounds, including
703 ferulic, sinapic, *p*-coumaric, caffeic and vanillic acids. Among these, ferulic and *p*-coumaric
704 account for 80% of the total phenolics [117]. Luthria et al. [118] reported a total phenolic acid
705 concentration of 5.99 mg/g for DDGS, consisting of 4.59 mg/g ferulic acid and 0.72 mg/g *p*-
706 coumaric acid. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that the phenolic content of DDGS is
707 enhanced approximately 3-fold (in dry basis) compared to the starting material before
708 fermentation as a result of starch depletion [119], whereas the effect of the dry mill processing on
709 phenolic acid content is minimal [120]. Due to their unique physiological properties, phenolic
710 acids have been proposed to have numerous health benefits due to their radical scavenging ability,
711 inhibition of lipid peroxidation and protection against LDL oxidation in the human body [121].
712 For this reason, they could be marketed as nutraceuticals, and more specifically as natural sources
713 of antioxidants in foods and dietary supplements [122]. Moreover, ferulic acid can be used for the
714 commercial production of bio-vanillin, an aromatic flavour compound used by the food,
715 pharmaceutical and cosmetics industries, via microbiological conversion routes [123,124].
716

717 Ferulic acid is predominantly bound on the cell wall AX components, with dimeric forms
718 accounting for between 4.2 and 8.6% in wheat cultivars [125]. An enzymatic hydrolysis process
719 utilising feruloyl esterases, in synergy with main-chain degrading enzymes such as endo-
720 xylanases and pectinases, can lead to the extraction of ferulic acid and its respective dimers
721 [126,127]. The combination of xylanase and ferulic acid esterase has been reported to release up
722 to 86% (w/w) of the total ferulic acid in wheat aleurone [128]. In the case of DDGS, solvent-
723 assisted methods, such as aqueous ethanol, or ultrasound pre-treatments, have been studied for the
724 extraction of phenolic acids from DDGS. Ultrasound pre-treatment of DDGS was reported to
725 increase the extraction yield of phenolic compounds by 14.9%, as opposed to non-treated DDGS
726 [129] Additionally, the application of microwave irradiation in 50% aqueous ethanol solutions of
727 DDGS led to the production of extracts with 12 mg/g of phenolic content [117]. So far, lab-scale
728 studies have indicated the potential of producing phenolic-rich extracts from DDGS. Future work
729 is needed in order to evaluate the scalability of the technologies and assess the economic
730 implications of such processes.
731
732 4.5 Oil and Biodiesel
733 Typically, DDGS contains around 10-12% (w/w) of oil. The fatty acid composition of DDGS oil
734 resembles that of the starting grain (usually maize or wheat), being rich in linoleic acid (~55%,
735 w/w), while it also contains substantial amounts of oleic (~28%, w/w) and palmitic acid (~16%)
736 [130]. Extracting oil from DDGS creates an additional profit to bioethanol plants as the crude
737 maize oil price was estimated at around £500 per ton in 2013. The extracted oil is marketed either
738 for biodiesel production or as refined maize oil. Oil removal leads to the production of DDGS
739 with a higher protein content, a valuable feed component which due to its low residual oil content
740 (5-9%, w/w compared to ~ 10-14% in DDGS) can be marketed for non-ruminant diets (*e.g.*
741 swine). Currently in the US, more than 50% of maize-based bioethanol plants are extracting oil,

742 the majority of which is channelled towards the biodiesel industry and the rest is used in blended
743 feed-fats, mainly by the poultry industry.
744
745 Maize oil is either extracted from the germ of the grain prior to fermentation via a
746 solvent/pressing-assisted process, or post-fermentation from the whole or thin stillage (back-end
747 extraction process). In the latter case, oil is extracted by a series of centrifugation, heating and
748 condensation steps, yielding 60-75% of the total oil content. Moreover, DDGS extracted oils were
749 found to contain increased amounts of tocotrienols and carotenoids (1762 and 75 μg/g,
750 respectively) compared to maize germ oil (235 and 1.3 μg/g, respectively); this offers the
751 advantage of increased stability for crude maize oil as opposed to maize germ oil due to the
752 antioxidant activity of the above compounds [130]. In the case of a DDGS biorefinery, the
753 formation of glycerol as a by-product of the biodiesel process could be potentially used as a
754 plasticiser for the production of biodegradable films from DDGS proteins (Fig. 2).
755
756 5. **Conclusions**
757 DDGS constitutes a by-product with potential for transformation into numerous added-value
758 products. Due to its heterogeneous nature several pre-treatment steps have been proposed
759 targeting specific compounds of interest as primary products or starting materials for subsequent
760 bioconversion processes. The parent grains as well as the processing systems have been shown to
761 significantly influence the physical and chemical characteristics of DDGS, and consequently the
762 availability and extractability and of its components. The development of a commercially viable
763 process scheme for the valorisation of DDGS within the biorefinery concept requires the
764 production of medium to high added-value compounds in order to counterbalance capital
765 investment and operating costs. Research thus far has demonstrated that this is feasible at the

766 laboratory and in some cases pilot scale although more industrial research coupled with detailed
767 process economics are needed before leading to commercial realisation and exploitation.

768

769 **Acknowledgements**

770 The authors would like to acknowledge the Integrated Biorefining Research and Technology Club
771 (IBTI) of the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) for their
772 financial support on a collaborative research project entitled “Development of a process scheme
773 for the production of high value functional products from DDGS” (BB/J019429/1–University of
774 Reading; BB/J019380/1 – Rothamsted Research). This work is also included in the Cost Action
775 TD1203 entitled “*Food waste valorisation for sustainable chemicals, materials & fuels (EUBis)*”.

776

## References


[1] OECD-FAO. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2011-2020. 2011, OECD Publishing.

[2] Kingsly ARP, Ileleji KE, Clementson CL, Garcia A, Maier DE, Stroshine RL, Radcliff S. The effect of process variables during drying on the physical and chemical characteristics of corn dried distillers grains with soluble (DDGS)-Plant scale experiments. Bioresour Technol 2010; 101: 193-199.

[3] OECD-FAO. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2009-2018 2009, OECD Publishing.

[4] Klopfenstein TJ, Erickson GE, Bremer VR. Use of distillers by-products in the beef cattle feeding industry. J Anim Sci 2008; 86: 1223-1231.

[5] Schingoethe DJ, Kalscheur KF, Hippen AR, Garcia AD. Invited review: The use of distillers products in dairy cattle diets. J Dairy Sci 2009; 92:5802-5813.

[6] Anonymous. Animal Feed Statistics for Great Britain - March 2015. Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs 2015:1-13.

[7] Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources and amending and subsequently repealing Directives 2001/77/EC and 2003/30/EC. 2009, OJ L140/16.

[8] Belyea RL, Rausch KD, Clevenger TE, Singh V, Johnston DB, Tumbleson ME. Sources of variation in composition of DDGS. Anim Feed Sci Techn 2010; 159: 122-130.

[9] Tedeschi LO, Kononoff PJ. Karges K, Gibson ML. Effects of chemical composition variation on the dynamics of ruminal fermentation and biological value of corn milling. J Dairy Sci 2009; 92: 401-413.

[10] Belyea RL, Rausch KD, Tumbleson ME. Composition of corn and distillers dried grains with soluble from dry grind ethanol processing. Bioresour Technol 2004; 94: 293-298.

[11] Batal AB, Dale NM. True metabolisable energy and amino acid digestibility of distillers dried grains with solubles. J Appl Poultry Research 2006; 15:89-93.

802 [12] Ortin WGN, Yu P. Nutrient variation and availability of wheat DDGS, corn DDGS and blend
803 DDGS from bioethanol plants. J Sci Food Agric 2009; 89:1754-1761.
804 [13] Liu K. Chemical composition of distillers grains, a review. J Agric Food Chem 2011;
805 59:1508-1526.
806 [14] Cromwell GL, Herkelman KL, Stahly TS. Physical, chemical and nutritional characteristics
807 of distillers dried grains with soluble for chicks and pigs. J Anim Sci 1993; 71: 679-686.
808 [15] Kim Y, Mosier NS, Hendrickson R, Ezeji T, Blaschek H, Dien B, Cotta M, Dale B, Ladisch
809 MR. Composition of corn dry-grind ethanol by-products: DDGS, wet cake and thin stillage.
810 Bioresour Technol 2008; 99: 5165-5176.
811 [16] Spiehs MJ, Whitney MH, Shurson GC. Nutritional database for distiller's dried grains with
812 soluble produced from new ethanol plants in Minnesota and South Dakota. J Anim Sci 2002;
813 80: 2639-2645.
814 [17] Jarret G, Cozannet P, Martinez J, Dourmad JY. Effect of different quality wheat dried
815 distiller's grain soluble (DDGS) in pig diets on composition of excreta and methane
816 production from faeces and slurry. Livest Sci 2011; 140: 275-282.
817 [18] Pedersen MB, Dalsgaard S, Knudsen KEB, Yu S, Lærke HN. Compositional profile and
818 variation of distillers dried grains with soluble from various origins with focus on non-starch
819 polysaccharides. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2014;197:130-141.
820 [19] Rasco BA, Dong FM, Hashisaka AE, Gazzaz SS, Downey SE, San Buenaventura ML.
821 Chemical composition of distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS) from soft white wheat,
822 hard red wheat and corn. J Food Sci 2006; 52: 236-237.
823 [20] Huan J, Liu K. Changes in composition and amino acid profile during dry grind ethanol
824 processing from corn and estimation of yeast contribution towards DDGS proteins. J Agric
825 Food Chem 2010; 58:3430-3437.

826 [21] Linde M, Galbe M, Zacchi G. Bioethanol production from non-starch carbohydrate residues
827 in process streams from a dry-mill ethanol plant. Bioresour Technol 2008; 99: 6505-6511.
828 [22] Cookman DJ, Glatz CE. Extraction of protein from distiller's grain. Bioresour Technol 2009;
829 100:2012-2017.
830 [23] Anderson TJ, Ilankovan P, Lamsal BP. Two fraction extraction of *α*-zein from DDGS and its
831 characterisation. Ind Crop Prod 2012;37:466-472.
832 [24] Liu KS. Fractionation of distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) by sieving and
833 winnowing. Bioresour Technol 2009; 100: 6559-6569.
834 [25] Chang VS, Holtzapple MT. Fundamental factors affecting enzymatic reactivity. Appl
835 Biochem Biotechnol 2000, 5-37.
836 [26] Agbor VB, Cicek N, Sparling R, Berlin A, Levin DB. Biomass pretreatment: Fundamentals
837 toward application. Biotechnol Adv 2011; 29: 675-685.
838 [27] Liu KS. Particle size distribution of distillers' dried grains with solubles (DDGS) and
839 relationships to compositional and color properties. Bioresour Technol 2008; 99: 1303-1310.
840 [28] Srinivasan R, Dien BS, Rausch KD, Tumbleson ME, Singh V. Fiber separated from distillers
841 dried grains with solubles as a feedstock for ethanol production. Cereal Chem. 2007, 84: 563-
842 566.
843 [29] Srinivasan R, To F, Columbus E. Pilot scale fiber separation from distillers dried grains with
844 solubles (DDGS) using sieving and air classification. Bioresour Technol 2009;100: 3548-
845 3555.
846 [30] Jönsson LJ, Alriksson B, Nilvebrant NO. Bioconversion of lignocelluloses: inhibitors and
847 detoxification. Biotechnol Biofuels 2013;6:1-16.
848 [31] Menon V, Rao M. Trends in bioconversion of lignocellulose: Biofuels, platform chemicals &
849 biorefinery concept. Prog Energ Comb Sci 2012; 38: 522-550.

850 [32] Balan V, Bals B, Chundawat SPS, Marshall D, Dale BE. Lingocellulosic biomass
851 pretreatment using AFEX. In. Biofuels, Humana Press, pp. 61-77.
852 [33] Bals B, Balan V, Dale B. Integrating alkaline extraction of proteins with enzymatic
853 hydrolysis of cellulose from wet distiller's grains and soluble. Bioresour Technol 2009;
854 100:5876-5883.
855 [34] Dien BS, Ximenes EA, O'Bryan PJ, Moniruzzaman M, Li XL, Balan V, Dale B, Cotta MA.
856 Enzyme characterization for hydrolysis of AFEX and liquid hot-water pretreated distillers'
857 grains and their conversion to ethanol. Bioresour Technol 99; 5216-5225.
858 [35] Kim Y, Hendrickson R, Mosier NS, Ladisch MR, Bals B, Balan V, Dale BE. Enzyme
859 hydrolysis and ethanol fermentation of liquid hot water and AFEX pretreated distillers' grains
860 at high-solids loading. Bioresour Technol 2008; 99; 5206-5215.
861 [36] Mosier N, Wyman CE, Dale BE, Elander R, Lee YY, Holtzapple M, Ladisch M. Features of
862 promising technologies for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. Bioresour Technol 2005;
863 96:673-678.
864 [37] Carvalheiro F, Duarte LC, Gírio FM. Hemicellulose biorefineries: a review on biomass
865 pretreatments. J Sci Ind Res 2008; 67: 849-864.
866 [38] Ho AL, Carvalheiro F, Duarte LC, Roseiro LB, Charalampopoulos D, Rastall RA. Production
867 and purification of xylooligosaccharides from oil palm empty fruit bunch fibre by a non-
868 isothermal process. Bioresour Technol 2014; 152: 526-529.
869 [39] Nabarlatz D, Ebringerová A, Montané D. Autohydrolysis of agricultural by-products for the
870 production of xylo-oligosaccharides. Carbohyd Polym 2007; 69: 20-28.
871 [40] Samala A, Srinivasan R, Yadav M.P, Kim T J, Prewitt L. (2012). Xylo-oligosaccharides
872 production by autohydrolysis of corn fiber separated from DDGS. BioResources 2012;7:
873 3038-3050.

874 [41] Mosier NS, Hendrickson R, Brewer M, Ho N, Sedlak M, Dreshel R, Welch G, Dien BS,
875 Aden A, Landisch MR. Industrial scale-up of pH-controlled liquid hot water pretreatment of
876 corn fiber for fuel ethanol production. App Biochem Biotechnol 2005a; 125:77-97.
877 [42] Saha BC, Iten LB, Cotta MA, Wu YV. Dilute acid pretreatment, enzymatic saccharification
878 and fermentation of wheat straw to ethanol. Process Biochem 2005; 40: 3693-3700.
879 [43] Taherzadeh MJ, Karimi K. Acid-based hydrolysis processes for ethanol form lignocellulosic
880 materials: a review. BioResources 2007; 2:472-499.
881 [44] Noureddini H, Byun J, Y TJ. Stagewise dilute-acid pretreatment and enzyme hydrolysis of
882 distillers' grains and corn fiber. Appl Biochem Biotechn 2009; 159: 553-567.
883 [45] Noureddini H, Byun J. Dilute-acid pretreatment of distillers' grains and corn fiber. Bioresour
884 Technol 2010; 101: 1060-1067.
885 [46] Tucker MP, Nagle NJ, Jennings EW, Ibsen KN, Aded A, Nguyen QA, Kim KH, Noll SL.
886 Conversion of distiller's grain into fuel alcohol and a higher-value animal feed by dilute-acid
887 pretreatment. Appl Biochem Biotech 2004; 115: 1139-1159.
888 [47] Xu Y, Hanna MA. Optimum conditions for dilute acid hydrolysis of hemicelluloses in dried
889 distillers grains with solubles. Ind Crop Prod 2010; 32: 511-517.
890 [48] Sun Y. Cheng, J. Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials for ethanol production: a review.
891 Bioresour Technol 2002;83:1-11.
892 [49] Mood SH, Golfeshan AH, Tabatabaei M, Jouzani GS, Najafi GH, Gholami M, Ardjimand M.
893 Lignocellulosic biomass to bioethanol, a comprehensive review with a focus on pretreatment.
894 Renew Sust Energ Rev 2013; 27:77-93.
895 [50] Doner LW, Hicks, KB. Isolation of hemicellulose from corn fiber by alkaline hydrogen
896 peroxide extraction. Cereal Chem 1997;74:176-181.
897 [51] Gáspár M, Juhász T, Szengyel Zs, Réczey K. Fractionation and utilisation of corn fibre
898 carbohydrates. Process Biochem 2005;40:1183-1188.

899 [52] Xiang Z, Watson J, Tobimatsu Y, Runge T. Film-forming polymers from distiller's grains:
900 structural and material properties. Ind Crop Prod 2014;59:282-289.
901 [53] Xiang Z, Runge T. Co-production of feed and furfural from dried distillers' grains to improve
902 corn ethanol profitability. Ind Crops Prod 2014; 55:207-216.
903 [54] Bayer EA, Chanzy H, Lamed R, Shoham Y. Cellulose, cellulases and cellulosomes. Curr
904 Opin Struct Biol 1998; 8:548-557.
905 [55] Van Dyk JS, Pletschke BI. A review of lignocelluloses bioconversion using enzymatic
906 hydrolysis and synergistic cooperation between enzymes-Factors affecting enzymes,
907 conversion and synergy. Biotechnol Adv 2012; 30: 1458-1480.
908 [56] Gilbert HJ, Hazlewood GP. Bacterial cellulases and xylanases. J Gen Microbiol 1993;
909 139:187-194.
910 [57] Mussatto SI, Fernandes M, Milagres AMF, Roberto IC. Effect of hemicellulose and lignin on
911 enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose from brewer's spent grain. Enz Microb Technol 2008;
912 43:124-129.
913 [58] Ximenes E, Kim Y, Mosier N, Dien B, Ladisch M. Inhibition of cellulases by phenols. Enz
914 Microb Technol 2010; 40: 170-176.
915 [59] Xu W, Reddy N, Yang Y. Extraction, characterization and potential applications of cellulose
916 in corn kernels and Distiller's dried grains with soluble (DDGS). Carbohyd Polym 200+;
917 76:521-527.
918 [60] Banerjee G, Car S, Scott-Craig JS, Borrusch MS, Walton JD. Rapid optimization of enzyme
919 mixtures for deconstruction of diverse pretreatment/ biomass feedstock combinations.
920 Biotech Biofuel 2010a; 3:22.
921 [61] Du C, Campbell GM, Misailidis N, Mateos-Salvador F, Sadhukhan J, Mustafa M,
922 Weightman RM. Evaluating the feasibility of commercial arabinoxylan production in the

923 context of a wheat biorefinery principally producing ethanol. Part 1. Experimental studies of
924 arabinoxylan extraction from wheat bran. Chem Eng Res Des 2009; 87:1231-1238.
925 [62] Kwiatkowski JR, McAloon AJ, Taylor F, Johnston DB. Modeling the process and costs of
926 fuel ethanol production by the corn dry-grind process. Ind Crop Prod 2006; 23:288-296.
927 [63] Mitra D, Rasmussen ML, Chand P, Chintareddy VR, Yao L, Grewell D, Verkade JG, Wang
928 T, van Leeuen J. Value-added oil and animal feed production from corn-ethanol stillage using
929 the oleaginous fungus *Mucor circinelloides*. Bioresour Technol 2012; 107: 368-375.
930 [64] Liang Y, Zhao X, Strait M, Wen Z. Use of dry-milling derived thin stillage for producing
931 eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) by the fungus *Pythium irregulare*. Bioresour Technol 2012;
932 111: 404-409.
933 [65] Hahn-Hagerdal B, Galbe M, Gorwa-Grauslund MF, Linden G, Zacchi G. Bio-ethanol-the
934 fuel of tomorrow from the residues of today. Trends Biotechnol 2006; 24:549-556.
935 [66] Olson DG, McBride JE, Shaw AJ, Lynd LR. Recent progress in consolidated bioprocessing.
936 Curr Opin Biotech 2012; 23:396-405.
937 [67] Xu W, Reddy N, Yang Y. Extraction, characterization and potential applications of cellulose
938 in corn kernels and Distillers' dried grains with solubles (DDGS). Carb Polymers
939 2009;76:521-527.
940 [68] Sakamoto T, Hasunuma T, Hori Y, Yamada R, Kondo A. Direct ethanol production from
941 hemicellulosic materials of rice straw by use of an engineered yeast strain codisplaying three
942 types of hemicellullolytic enzymes on the surface of xylose-ulitizing *Saccharomyces*
943 *cerevisiae* cells. J Biotech 2012; 158:203-210.
944 [69] Hasunuma T, Kondo A. Consolidated bioprocessing and simultaneous saccharification and
945 fermentation of lingocellulose to ethanol with thermotolerant yeast strains. Process Biochem
946 2012;47:1287-1294.

947 [70] Jin M, Balan V, Gunawan C, Dale BE. Consolidated bioprocessing (CBP) performance of
948 *Clostridium phytofermentans* on AFEX-treated corn stover for ethanol production. Biotechnol
949 Bioeng 2011; 108:1290-1297.
950 [71] Gonzalez R, Campbell P, Wonf M. Production of ethanol from thin stillage by metabolically
951 engineered *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol Lett 2010; 32:405-411.
952 [72] Kim Y, Mosier N, Ladisch MR. Process simulation of modified dry grind ethanol plant with
953 recycle of pretreated and enzymatically hydrolysed distiller's grains. Bioresour Technol 2008;
954 99:5177-5192.
955 [73] Timilsina GR, Shrestha A. How much hope should we have for biofuels? Energy
956 2011;36:2055-2069.
957 [74] Perkis D, Tyner W, Dale R. Economic analysis of a modified dry grind ethanol process with
958 recycle of pretreated and enzymatically hydrolyzed distillers' grains. Bioresour Technol
959 2008; 99:5243-5249.
960 [75] Leung CCJ, Cheung ASY, Zhang AYZ, Lam KF, Lin CSK. Utilisation of waste bread for
961 fermentative succinic acid production. Biochem Eng J 2012;65:10-15.
962 [76] Lam KF, Leung CCJ, Lei HM, Lin CSK. Economic feasibility of a pilot-scale fermentative
963 succinic acid production from bakery wastes. Food Biopr Proc 2014;92:282-290.
964 [77] Lee PC, Lee WG, Kwon S, Lee SY, Chang HN. Succinic acid production by
965 *Anaerobiospirillim succiniciproducens*: effects of the H2/CO2 supply and glucose
966 concentration. Enzyme Microb Technol 1999;24:549-554.
967 [78] Zheng P, Fang L, Xu Y, Dong JJ, Ni Y, Sun ZH. Succinic acid production from corn stover
968 by simultaneous saccharification and fermentation using *Actinobacillus succinogenes*.
969 Bioresour Technol 2010;101:7889-7894.

970 [79] Kim DY, Yim SC, Lee PC, Lee WG, Lee SY, Chang HN. Batch and continuous fermentation
971 of succinic acid from wood hydrolysate by *Manheimia succiniproducens* MBEL55E. Enzyme
972 Microb Technolol 2004;35: 648-653.
973 [80] Ceresana. Market Study: Bioplastics (3rd Edition). 2013
974 [81] Verlinden RAJ, Hill DJ, Kenward MA, Williams CD, Radecka I. Bacterial synthesis of
975 biodegradable polyydroxyalkanoates. J Appl Microbiol 2007; 102:1437-1449.
976 [82] Wang Y, Yin J, Chen GQ. Polyhydroxyalkanoates, challenges and opportunities. Curr Op
977 Biotech 2014; 30:59-65.
978 [83] Ramsay JA, Hassan MCA, Ramsay BA. Hemicellulose as a potential substrate for production
979 of poly(β-hydroxyalkanoates). Can J Microb 1995;41:262-266.
980 [84] Young FK, Kastner JR, May SW. Microbial production of poly-β-hydroxybutyric acid from
981 d-xylose and lactose by *Pseudomonas cepacia*. Appl Environ Microbiol 1994;60:4195-4198.
982 [85] Wu Q, Huang H, Hu G, Chen J, Ho KP, Chen GQ. Production of poly-3-hydroxybutyrate by
983 Bacillus sp. JMa5 cultivated in molasses media. Antonie van Leuuwenhoek 2001; 80:111-
984 118.
985 [86] Koutinas AA, Xu Y, Wang R, Webb C. Polyhydroxybutyrate production from a novel
986 feedstock derived from a wheat-based biorefinery. Enz Micr Technol 2007;40:1035-1044
987 [87] Ryu HW, Hahn SK, Chang YK, Chang HN. Production of poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) by high
988 cell density fed-batch culture of *Alcaligenes eutrophis* with phosphate limitation. Biotechnol
989 Bioeng 1997;55:28-32.
990 [88] Lasprilla AJR, Martinez GAR, Lunelli BH, Jardini AL, Filho RM. Poly-lactic acid synthesis
991 for application in biomedical devices-A review. Biotechnol Adv 2012;30:321-328.
992 [89] Gao C, Ma C, Xu P. Biotechnological routes based on lactic acid production from biomass.
993 Biotechnol Adv 2011;29:930-939.

994 [90] Ye L, Zhou X, Hudari MSB, Li Z, Wu JC. Highly efficient production of L-lactic acid from
995 xylose by newly isolated *Bacillus coagulans* C106. Bioresour Technol 2013; 132:38-44.
996 [91] Tsuge Y, Kawaguchi H, Sasaki K, Tanaka T, Kondo A. Two-step production of D-lactate
997 from mixed sugars by growing and resting cells of metabolically engineered *Lactobacillus*
998 *plantarum*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2014;98:4911-4918.
999 [92] Izydorczyk MS, Biliaderis CG. Cereal arabinoxylans: advances in structure and
1000 physicochemical properties. Carbohyd Polym 1995; 28: 33-48.
1001 [93] Saulnier L, Sado PE, Branlard G, Charmet G, Guillon F. Wheat arabinoxylans: Exploiting
1002 variation in amount and composition to develop enhanced varieties. J Cereal Sci 2007;
1003 46:261-281.
1004 [94] Gebruers K, Dornez E, Boros D, Fraś A., Dynkowska W, Bedő Z, Rakszegi M, Delcour JA.,
1005 Courtin C.M. Variation in the content of dietary fiber and components thereof in wheats in
1006 the HEALTHGRAIN diversity screen. J Agri Food Chem 2008;56:9740-9749.
1007 [95] Gibson GR, Probert HM, Loo JV, Rastall RA, Roberfroid MB. Dietary modulation of the
1008 human colonic microbiota: updating the concept of prebiotics. Nutr Res Rev 2004; 17:259-
1009 275.
1010 [96] Childs CE, Röytiö H, Alhoniemi E, Fekete AA, Forssten SD, Hudjec N, Lim YN, Steger CJ,
1011 Yaqoob P, Tuohy KM, Rastall RA, Ouwehand AC, Gibson GR. Xylo-oligosaccharides alone
1012 or in symbiotic combination with *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis* induce bifidogenesis
1013 and modulate markers of immune function in healthy adults: a double-blind, placebo-
1014 controlled, randomised, factorial cross-over study. Brit J Nut 2014; 11:1945-1956.
1015 [97] Zhang Z, Smith C, Li W. Extraction and modification technology of arabinoxylans from
1016 cereal by-products: A critical review. Food Res Int 2014; 65: 423-436.

1017 [98] Kayserilioğlu BŞ, Bakir U, Yilmaz L, Akkaş N. Use of xylan, an agricultural by-product, in
1018 wheat gluten based biodegradable films: mechanical, solubility and water vapor transfer rate
1019 properties. Bioresour Technol 2003; 89:239-246.
1020 [99] Shewry PR, D'Ovidio R, Lafiandra D, Jenkins JA, Mills ENC, Békés F, Khan K. Wheat grain
1021 proteins, In: Khan K, Shewry PR, editors. Wheat: chemistry and technology, 4th edition,
1022 AACC: St. Paul, MN, 2009. p. 223-298.
1023 [100] Shewry PR. The synthesis, processing and deposition of gluten proteins in the developing
1024 wheat grain. Cereal Food World 1999; 44:587-589.
1025 [101] Shewry PR, Halford NG. Cereal seed storage proteins: structures, properties and role in
1026 grain utilisation. J Exp. Bot. 2002;53:947-958.
1027 [102] Paraman I, Lamsal BP. Recovery and characterisation of a-zein from corn fermentation
1028 coproducts. J Agri Food Chem 2011;59:3071-3077.
1029 [103] Bandara N, Chen L, Wu J. Protein extraction from triticale distillers grains. Cereal Chem
1030 2011; 88:553-559.
1031 [103] Ramsay JA, Hassan MCA, Ramsay BA. Hemicellulose as a potential substrate for
1032 production of poly(β-hydroxyalkanoates). Can J Microb 1995;41:262-266.
1033 [104] Xu W, Reddy N, Yang Y. An acidic method of zein extraction from DDGS. J Agric Food
1034 Chem 2007;55:6279-6284.
1035 [105] Day L, Augustin MA, Batey IL, Wrigley CW. Wheat-gluten uses and industry needs. Trend
1036 Food Sci Tech 2006; 17:80-90.
1037 [106] Shukla R, Cheryan M. Zein: the industrial protein from corn. Ind Crop Prod 2001; 13:171-
1038 192.
1039 [107] Irissin-Mangata J, Bauduin G, Boutevin B, Gontard N. New plasticizer for wheat gluten
1040 films. Eur Polym J 2001; 37:1533-1541.

1041 [108] Kayserilioğlu BŞ, Stevels WM, Mulder WJ, Akkaş N. Mechanical and biochemical
1042 characterisation of wheat gluten films as a function of pH and co-solvent. Starch
1043 2001;53:381-386.
1044 [109] Sánchez AC, Popineau Y, Mangavel C, Larré C, Guéguen J. Effect of different plasticizers
1045 on the mechanical and surface properties of wheat gliadin films. J Agr Food Chem 1998;
1046 46:4539-4544.
1047 [110] Cuq B, Gontard N, Guilbert S. Proteins as agricultural polymers for packaging production.
1048 Cereal Chem 1998;75:1-9.
1049 [111] Wihodo M, Moraru CI. Physical and chemical methods used to enhance the structure and
1050 mechanical properties of protein films: A review. J Food Eng 2013; 114: 292-302.
1051 [112] Shaw NB, Monahan FJ, O'Riordan ED, O'Sullivan M. Physical properties of WPI films
1052 plasticized with glycerol, xylitol, or sorbitol. J Food Sci 2002; 67:164-167.
1053 [113] Morillon V, Debeaufort F, Blond G, Capelle M, Voilley A. Factors affecting the moisture
1054 permeability of lipid-based edible films: a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 2002; 42:67-89.
1055 [114] Larré C, Desserme C, Bardot J, Gueguen J. Properties of deaminated gluten films
1056 enzymaticaly cross-linked. J Agric Food Chem 2000; 48:5444-5449.
1057 [115] Roy S, Weller CL, Gennadios A, Zeece MG, Testin RF. Physical and molecular properties
1058 of wheat gluten films cast from heated film-forming solutions. J Food Sci 1999; 64:57-60.
1059 [116] Lee SL, Lee MS, Song KB. Effect of gamma-irradiation on the physicochemical properties
1060 of gluten films. Food Chem 2005; 92:621-625.
1061 [117] Inglett GE, Rose DJ, Stevenson DG, Chen D, Biswas A. Extracts for distillers dried grains
1062 with solubles with or without microwave irradiation. Cereal Chem 2009;86:661-664.
1063 [118] Luthria DL, Liu K, Memon AA. Phenolic acids and antioxidant capacity of distillers dried
1064 grains with solubles (DDGS) as compared with corn. J Am Oil Chem Soc 2012; 89:1297-
1065 1304.

[119] Luthria DL, Memon AA, Liu Keshun. Changes in phenolic acid content during dry-grind processing of corn into ethanol and DDGS. J Sci Food Agric 2014; 94:1723-1728.

[120] Pedersen MB, Bunzel M, Schäfer J, Knudsen KEB, Sørensen JF, Yu S, Lærke HN. Ferulic Acid Dehydrodimer and Dehydrotrimer Profiles of Distiller's Dried Grains with Solubles from Different Cereal Species. J Agri Food Chem 2015; 63:2006-2012.

[121] Maurya DK, Devasagayam TPA. Antioxidant and prooxidant nature of hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives ferulic and caffeic acid. Food Chem Tox 2010; 48:3369-3373.

[122] Balasundram N, Sundram K, Samman S. Phenolic compounds in plants and agri-industrial by-products: Antioxidant activity, occurrence and potential uses. Food Chem 2006;99:191-203.

[123] Ou S, Kwok KC. Ferulic acid: pharmaceutical functions, preparation and applications in foods. J Sci Food Agric 2004; 84:1261-1269.

[124] Kaur B, Chakraborty D. Biotechnological and molecular approaches for vanillin production: a review. App Biochem Biotechnol 2012; 169:1353-1372.

[125] Hernández L, Afonso D, Rodríguez EM, Díaz C. Phenolic compounds in wheat grain cultivars. Plant Food Hum Nutr 2011; 66:408-415.

[126] Dervilly-Pinel G, Rimsten L, Saulnier R, Andersson R, Åman P. Water-extractable arabinoxylan from pearled flours of wheat, barley, rye and triticale. Evidence for the presence of ferulic acid dimers and their involvement in gel formation. J Cereal Sci 2001; 34:207-214.

[127] Faulds CB, Sancho AI, Bartolomé B. Mono- and dimeric ferulic acid release from brewer's spent grain by fungal feruloyl esterases. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2002; 60:489-493.

[128] Rosa NN, Dufour C, Lullien-Pellerin V, Micard V. Exposure or release of ferulic acid from wheat aleurone: impact on its antioxidant capacity. Food Chem 2013; 141; 2355-2362.

[129] Izadifar Z. Ultrasound pretreatment of wheat dried distiller's grain (DDG) for extraction of phenolic compounds. Ultrason Sonochem 2013;20:1359-1369.

1091 [130] Winkler-Moser JK, Breyer L. Composition and oxidative stability of crude oil extracts of
1092 corn germ and distillers grains. Ind Crop Prod 2011; 33:572-578.
1093 [131] Ezeji T, Blaschek HP. Fermentation of dried distillers' grains and soluble (DDGS)
1094 hydrolysates to solvents and value-added products by solventogenic clostridia. Bioresour
1095 Technol 2008; 99: 5232-5242.
1096 [132] Leathers TD, Gupta SC. Production of pullulan from fuel ethanol byproducts by
1097 *Aureobasidium* sp. strain NRRL-12.974. Biotechnol Lett 1994; 14: 1163-1166.
1098 [133] Rasmussen ML, Khanal SK, Pometto III AL, van Leeuwen J. Water reclamation and value-
1099 added animal feed from corn-ethanol stillage by fungal processing. Bioresour Technol 2014;
1100 151: 284-290.
1101 [134] Wu JM, Liu RH. Thin stillage supplementation greatly enhances bacterial cellulose
1102 production by *Gluconacetobacter xylinus*. Carbohydrate Polymers 2012; 90: 116-121.
1103 [135] Ahn JH, Sang BI, Um Y. Butanol production from thin stillage using *Clostridium*
1104 *pasteurianum*. Bioresour Technol 2011; 102: 4934-4937.
1105 [136] Gonzalez R, Campbell P, Wong M. Production of ethanol from thin stillage by
1106 metabolically engineered *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol Lett 2010; 32: 405-411.
1107 [137] West T. Malic acid production from thin stillage by *Aspergillus species*. Biotechnol Lett
1108 2011; 33: 2463-2467.
1109 [138] Kang TS, Korber DR, Tanaka T. Bioconversion of glycerol to 1,3-propanediol in thin
1110 stillage-based media by engineered *Lactobacillus panis* PM1. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol
1111 2014; 41: 629-635.
1112 [139] Djukić-Vuković A, Mojović LV, Jokić BM, Nikolić SB, Pejin JD. Lactic acid production on
1113 liquid distillery stillage by *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* immobilized onto zeolite. Bioresour
1114 Technol. 2013; 135: 454-458.
1115

Figure legends:

Figure 1 Simplified schematic representation of a dry-mill bioethanol production process and by-product production streams

Figure 2 DDGS valorisation based on a conceptual biorefinery strategy


Distillation/
Rectification
Dehydration
+ Water
+ Enzymes
+ Yeast
Cereal storage
Milling
Saccharification
Fermentation
Bioethanol
Evaporation
Centrifugation
Thin stillage
(TS)
Wet Distillers
Grains (WDG)
Distillers Dried Grains
with Solubles (DDGS)
Condensed Distillers
Solubles (CDS)

Fig 1




1134

1135 Fig. 2

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140 Table 1. Composition of DDGS from different plants and sources (expressed in %, dry matter basis)

| | **Maize DDGS[16[** | **Wheat DDGS[17]** | **Distillery DDGS[14]** | **Mixed DDGS[18]a** |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Dry matter** | 87.2-90.2 | 89.3-94.4 | 90.5-92.7 | 87.3-92.6 |
| **Oil** | 10.2-11.4 | 3.6-5.6 | 8.1-12.8 | 11.0-12.4 |
| **Protein** | 28.7-31.6 | 32.6-38.9 | 23.4-27.9 | 33.8-38.3 |
| **Crude fibre** | 8.3-9.7 | 6.2-10.9 | 9.6-10.6 | 5.6-7.6 |
| **ADF** | 13.8-18.5 | 7.7-17.9 | 15.4-19.3 | 11.5-12.3 |
| **NDF** | 36.7-49.1 | 25.1-33.8 | 34.8-40.3 | 28.9-31.2 |
| **Ash** | 5.2-6.7 | 4.3-6.7 | 3.4-7.3 | 8.0-10.2 |

1141 [a]: Parent grains of mixed DDGS were wheat, triticale, barley and rye.

1142

1143 Table 2. Summary of main products and yields from DDGS chemical pre-treatments

| Raw material | Treatment | Conditions | Main products | Yield | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Maize Distillers Grains | Dilute acid hydrolysis | Acid conc. 1.0%<br>Solid load. 10%<br>Temp. 140°C | Monosaccharides (Xyl, Ara, Gluc, Gal) | 61.3 g/100 g carbohydrates | [45] |
| Maize DDGS | Dilute acid hydrolysis | Acid conc. 3.1%<br>Solid load. 15%<br>Temp. 112°C | Monosaccharides (Xyl, Ara, Gluc) | 43.4 g/100 g dry matter | [47] |
| Maize DDGS | Three stage dilute acid hydrolysis | Acid conc. 1%<br>Solid load. 15%<br>Temp. 120°C | Monosaccharides | 35.8 g/100 g carbohydrates | [44] |
| Maize DDGS fibre | Autohydrolysis | Temp. 180°C, 15 min<br>Solid load. 10% | Xylo-oligosaccharides | 18.6% (w/w) of feedstock | [40] |
| Maize DDGS | Liquid hot water | Solid load. 15.7%<br>Temp. 160°C, 20 min | Monosaccharides | 86% Glu, 29% Xyl, 37% Ara | [34] |
| Maize DDGS | AFEX | Solid load. 25g<br>Ammonia load. 80%<br>Temp. 70°C,<br>Pressure 350-430 psi | Monosaccharides | 93% Glu, 14% Xyl, 20% Ara | [34] |

1144

1145

Table 3. Enzymes involved in the degradation of cellulosic and hemicellulosic materials

| **Category** | **Enzymes** | **Linkage hydrolysed** | **Products** |
|---|---|---|---|
| Cellulases | Endo-1,4-*β*-glucanase | Internal *β*-1,4 | Cellobiose |
| | Cellobiohydrolase | Terminal *β*-1,4 (reducing end) | Cellobiose |
| | Exo-1,4-*β*-glucanase | Terminal *β*-1,4 (non-reducing end) | Cellotetrose, Cellobiose |
| | *β*-glucosidase | Terminal *β*-1,4 (non-reducing end) | Glucose |
| Hemicellulases | Endo-1,4-*β*-xylanase | Internal *β*-1,4 | Xylo-oligosaccharides |
| | Exo-1,4-*β*-xylanase | Terminal *β*-1,4 (reducing end ) | Xylose, xylobiose |
| | *β*-Xylosidase | Terminal *β*-1,4 (non-reducing end) | Xylose |
| Accessory xylanolytic enzymes | *α*-L-Arabinofuranosidases | Terminal *α*-1,2/ *α*-1,3/ *α*-1,5 (non-reducing end) | Arabinose |
| | *α*-D-Glucuronidases | *α*-1,2-glycosidic bond | Methylglucuronic acids |
| | Acetyl xylan esterase | Acetyl ester bond | Acetic acid |
| | Feruloyl esterase | Ester bond | Ferulic acid |
| | *p*-Coumaroyl esterase | Ester bond | Coumaric acid |

1151 Table 4. Enzyme combinations and main product yields from chemically pre-treated DDGS

| Raw material | Pre-treatment | Enzymes | Yields | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Maize DDGS | LHW | Cellulase (GC220), *β*-glucosidase (Novo188), multifect pectinase, feruloyl esterase (Depol 740L) | 91% Glu, 82% Xyl, 70% Ara | [34] |
| | AFEX | | 100% Glu, 81% Xyl, 98%, Ara | |
| Maize DDGS | None | Cellulase (Spezyme CP), *β*-glucosidase (Novozyme 188) | 76% Glu | [35] |
| Maize WDG | LHW | Cellulase (GC220), *β*-glucosidase (Novozyme 188), Xylanase (Multifect Pectinase), feruloyl esterase (Depol 740L) | 77% Glu, 41% Xy | [35] |
| | AFEX | | 72% Glu, 45% Xyl | |
| Maize DDGS | Dilute acid hydrolysis | Cellulase & *β*-glycosidase (Sigma) | 80% Glu, 82% Xyl | [44] |

1152

1153

1154

1155 Table 5. Microbial conversions of DDGS-derived hydrolysates and thin stillage

| Feedstock | Microorganism | Carbon source | Product | Yield [a] | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Pre-treated** | *Clostridium acetobutylicum* | Mixed sugars | ABE | 34 % | [131] |
| **DDGS** | *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* | Glucose-Xylose | Ethanol | 49 % | [35] |
| | *Mucor circinelloides* | Mixed sugars | Microbial oil | 46 % [b] | [63] |
| | *Aureobasidium sp.* | Mixed sugars | Pullulan | 21 % | [132] |
| | *Rhizopus oligosporus* | Glycerol | Single cell protein | 43 % | [133] |
| **Thin** | *Gluconacetobacter xylinus* | Glucose | Bacterial cellulose | 57 % | [134] |
| **stillage** | *Cl. pasteurianum* | Glycerol | Butanol | 44 % | [135] |
| | *Escherichia coli (recombinant)* | Glycerol | Ethanol | 40 % | [136] |
| | *Aspergillus niger* | Glycerol-Mixed sugars | Malic acid | 80 % | [137] |
| | *Lactobacillus panis (recombinant)* | Glycerol- Glucose | 1,3- Propanediol | 74 % | [138] |
| | *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* | Mixed sugars | Lactic acid | 96 % | [139] |

1156 [a] Expressed as %, w/w of consumed substrate

1157 [b] Expressed as % w/w of produced biomass

1158