

# *English history teachers' views on what substantive content young people should be taught*

Article

Accepted Version

Harris, R. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8606-5515> and Burn, K. (2016) English history teachers' views on what substantive content young people should be taught. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 48 (4). pp. 518-546. ISSN 1366-5839 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2015.1122091> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/49559/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2015.1122091>

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Table 1 - There is an appropriate proportion of British history within the proposed curriculum

	Strongly agree	%	Agree	%	Neither	%	Disagree	%	Strongly disagree	%	Total
Comprehensive	3	1.2%	19	7.6%	22	8.8%	85	34.1%	120	48.2%	249
Grammar	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	7	63.6%	3	27.3%	11
Independent	2	4.4%	8	17.8%	2	4.4%	14	31.1%	19	42.2%	45
Academy	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	2	7.7%	10	38.5%	10	38.5%	26
New Academy	1	0.5%	26	12.7%	28	13.7%	59	28.9%	90	44.1%	204
Sixth form	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	10
Special needs	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>All teachers of ages 11-14</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>536</b>

Table 2 – There is an appropriate proportion of European and world history in the proposed curriculum

	Strongly agree	%	Agree	%	Neither	%	Disagree	%	Strongly disagree	%	Total
Comprehensive	2	0.8%	10	4.0%	17	6.8%	88	35.2%	133	53.2%	250
Grammar	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	7	63.6%	11
Independent	0	0.0%	6	13.0%	1	2.2%	19	41.3%	20	43.5%	46
Academy	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	14	53.8%	8	30.8%	26
New Academy	0	0.0%	14	6.8%	26	12.6%	66	31.9%	101	48.8%	207
Sixth form	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	7	70.0%	10
Special needs	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>All teachers of ages 11-14</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>35.1%</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>541</b>

Table 3 - There is an appropriate balance between political, economic, social, religious and cultural history in the proposed curriculum.

	Strongly agree	%	Agree	%	Neither	%	Disagree	%	Strongly disagree	%	Total
Comprehensive	1	0.4%	20	8.1%	20	8.1%	92	37.1%	115	46.4%	248
Grammar	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	5	45.5%	4	36.4%	11
Independent	1	2.2%	6	13.0%	3	6.5%	23	50.0%	13	28.3%	46
Academy	0	0.0%	3	12.0%	4	16.0%	8	32.0%	10	40.0%	25
New Academy	0	0.0%	22	10.7%	24	11.7%	67	32.7%	92	44.9%	205
Sixth form	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	6	60.0%	10
Special needs	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>All teachers of ages 11-14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>536</b>

Table 4 - The amount of prescribed content in the proposed curriculum is just about right

	Strongly agree	%	Agree	%	Neither	%	Disagree	%	Strongly disagree	Total	
Comprehensive	0	0.0%	2	0.8%	7	2.8%	54	21.6%	187	74.8%	250
Grammar	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	90.9%	11
Independent	0	0.0%	3	6.5%	0	0.0%	15	32.6%	28	60.9%	46
Academy	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	6	23.1%	19	73.1%	26
New Academy	0	0.0%	3	1.4%	5	2.4%	51	24.6%	148	71.5%	207
Sixth form	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	6	60.0%	10
Special needs	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>All teachers of ages 11-14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>72.5%</b>	<b>541</b>

Table 5 - Covering history from the Stone Age to 1700 at Key Stage 2 will provide young people with a secure basis from which to go on learning history in Key Stage 3.

	Strongly agree	%	Agree	%	Neither	%	Disagree	%	Strongly disagree	Total	
Comprehensive	0	0.0%	3	1.2%	7	2.8%	38	15.3%	201	80.7%	249
Grammar	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	90.9%	11
Independent	1	2.1%	3	6.4%	3	6.4%	13	27.7%	27	57.4%	47
Academy	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	5	19.2%	19	73.1%	26
New Academy	0	0.0%	8	3.8%	7	3.4%	42	20.2%	151	72.6%	208
Sixth form	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	7	70.0%	10
Special needs	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>All teachers of ages 11-14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>75.4%</b>	<b>541</b>

Table 6 - Teaching the chronological sequence of events as set out in the content of the curriculum proposal will help young people to develop a clear historical framework or map of the past.

	Strongly agree	%	Agree	%	Neither	%	Disagree	%	Strongly disagree	Total	
Comprehensive	1	0.4%	39	15.5%	22	8.8%	72	28.7%	117	46.6%	251
Grammar	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	11
Independent	3	6.7%	8	17.8%	1	2.2%	17	37.8%	16	35.6%	45
Academy	0	0.0%	3	12.0%	4	16.0%	10	40.0%	8	32.0%	25
New Academy	8	3.9%	41	19.8%	17	8.2%	66	31.9%	75	36.2%	207
Sixth form	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	10
Special needs	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>All teachers of ages 11-14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>40.9%</b>	<b>540</b>