

# *Gál-type GCD sums beyond the critical line*

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Bondarenko, A., Hilberdink, T. and Seip, K. (2016) Gál-type GCD sums beyond the critical line. *Journal of Number Theory*, 166. pp. 93-104. ISSN 0022-314X doi: 10.1016/j.jnt.2016.02.017 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/63245/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2016.02.017>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# GÁL-TYPE GCD SUMS BEYOND THE CRITICAL LINE

ANDRIY BONDARENKO, TITUS HILBERDINK, AND KRISTIAN SEIP

ABSTRACT. We prove that

$$\sum_{k,\ell=1}^N \frac{(n_k, n_\ell)^{2\alpha}}{(n_k n_\ell)^\alpha} \ll N^{2-2\alpha} (\log N)^{b(\alpha)}$$

holds for arbitrary integers  $1 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_N$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$  and show by an example that this bound is optimal, up to the precise value of the exponent  $b(\alpha)$ . This estimate complements recent results for  $1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and shows that there is no “trace” of the functional equation for the Riemann zeta function in estimates for such GCD sums when  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of greatest common divisor (GCD) sums of the form

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k,\ell=1}^N \frac{(n_k, n_\ell)^{2\alpha}}{(n_k n_\ell)^\alpha}$$

begins with Gál’s theorem [6] which asserts that when  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $CN(\log \log N)^2$  is an optimal upper bound for (1), with  $C$  an absolute constant independent of  $N$  and the distinct positive integers  $n_1, \dots, n_N$  (the best possible value for  $C$  is  $6e^{2\gamma}/\pi^2$ , where  $\gamma$  is Euler’s constant, as shown recently by Lewko and Radziwiłł [8]). Dyer and Harman [5], motivated by applications in the metric theory of diophantine approximation, obtained the first estimates for the range  $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$ . Recent work of Aistleitner, Berkes, and Seip [2] for  $1/2 < \alpha < 1$  and Bondarenko and Seip [3, 4] for  $\alpha = 1/2$  has led to the bounds

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k,\ell=1}^N \frac{(n_k, n_\ell)^{2\alpha}}{(n_k n_\ell)^\alpha} \ll \begin{cases} N \exp\left(c(\alpha) \frac{(\log N)^{1-\alpha}}{(\log \log N)^\alpha}\right), & 1/2 < \alpha < 1 \\ N \exp\left(A \sqrt{\frac{\log N \log \log \log N}{\log \log N}}\right), & \alpha = 1/2, \end{cases}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11C20.

Bondarenko and Seip were supported by Grant 227768 of the Research Council of Norway.

which are optimal, up to the precise values of the constants  $c(\alpha)$  and  $A$ ; the asymptotic behavior of  $c(\alpha)$  has been clarified both when  $\alpha \searrow 1/2$  and  $\alpha \nearrow 1$ .

Bounds for the sum in (1) have a long history, and they have had a number of different applications; see the recent papers [2, 3, 8] and the references found there. In recent years, an additional interesting application has surfaced: Lower bounds for specific sums of the form (1) or corresponding quadratic forms have turned out to be useful for detecting large values of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$ . This line of research was initiated in work of Soundararajan [11] and Hilberdink [7] and later pursued by Aistleitner [1] who was the first to make the link to Gál-type estimates. Recently, using Soundararajan's resonance method [11] and a certain large Gál-type sum for  $\alpha = 1/2$ , Bondarenko and Seip showed that for every  $c$ ,  $0 < c < 1/\sqrt{2}$ , there exists a  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ , such that the maximum of  $|\zeta(1/2 + it)|$  on the interval  $T^\beta \leq t \leq T$  exceeds  $\exp(c\sqrt{\log T \log \log T / \log \log T})$  for all  $T$  large enough.

These developments have led us to look more closely at the “phase transition” at  $\alpha = 1/2$  by seeking estimates for (1) also in the range  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , which could possibly correspond to large values of  $\zeta(\sigma + it)$  beyond the critical line  $\sigma = 1/2$ . The present paper shows, however, that there is no symmetry in the estimates for (1) when  $\alpha$  is replaced by  $1 - \alpha$ , as one might have expected from the functional equation for  $\zeta(s)$ .

To state our main result, we let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote an arbitrary finite set of positive integers and introduce the quantity

$$\Gamma_\alpha(N) := \max_{|\mathcal{M}|=N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m,n \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha}.$$

**Theorem 1.** *For every  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , there exist positive constants  $a(\alpha)$  and  $b(\alpha)$  such that*

$$(3) \quad N^{1-2\alpha} (\log N)^{a(\alpha)} \leq \Gamma_\alpha(N) \leq N^{1-2\alpha} (\log N)^{b(\alpha)}$$

*for sufficiently large  $N$ .*

Before giving the proof of this theorem, we will in the next section set the stage by considering the simpler but closely related question of finding the largest eigenvalue of the positive definite matrix  $(m,n)^{2\alpha}/(mn)^\alpha$ ,  $1 \leq m, n \leq N$ :

**Theorem 2.** *For every  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , there exists a constant  $C_\alpha$  such that*

$$(4) \quad \max_{|a_1|^2 + \dots + |a_N|^2 = 1} \sum_{m, n \leq N} \frac{a_m \bar{a}_n (m, n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha N^{1-2\alpha}.$$

We refer to [7] for further information and for the precise asymptotics of the maximum in (4) in the range  $1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

We notice that there is no logarithmic power in (4). Nevertheless, we will see that the idea for the proof of Theorem 2 (to be given in the next section) is used again as the starting point for the proof of the bound from above in Theorem 1. Resorting to some further ideas and estimates from [3], we will prove the latter bound in Section 3. In Section 4, we construct an example giving the inequality from below in (3). As expected, this example involves a large number of primes (a positive power of  $N$ ). One may notice that it would not be possible to construct a similar example if we required the set to consist only of square-free numbers. Hence it remains an open problem to prove the analogue of Theorem 1 in the square-free case. More specifically, we may ask whether the logarithmic power can be discarded in this case as well.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We begin by noticing that

$$S(a) := \sum_{d=1}^N \sum_{\substack{m, n \leq N \\ (m, n) = d}} \frac{|a_m a_n| d^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} \leq \sum_{d=1}^N \left( \sum_{m, n \leq N/d} \frac{|a_{md}|}{m^\alpha} \right)^2.$$

We introduce the multiplicative function  $g(m) := \sum_{d|m} d^{-1/2+\alpha}$ . By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$(5) \quad S(a) \leq \sum_{d=1}^N \left( \sum_{m \leq N/d} \frac{|a_{md}|}{m^\alpha} \right)^2 \leq \sum_{d=1}^N \sum_{m \leq N/d} \frac{|a_{md}|^2}{g(m)} \sum_{m \leq N/d} \frac{g(m)}{m^{2\alpha}}.$$

To estimate the sum  $\sum_{m \leq N/d} \frac{g(m)}{m^{2\alpha}}$ , we notice that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{g(n)}{n^{2\alpha}} = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{d^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}} \sum_{n \leq x/d} \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} \ll \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}} \left( \frac{x}{d} \right)^{1-2\alpha} \ll x^{1-2\alpha}.$$

Hence by (5) we have

$$S(a) \ll N^{1-2\alpha} \sum_{d=1}^N \sum_{m \leq N/d} \frac{|a_{md}|^2}{d^{1-2\alpha} g(m)} = N^{1-2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 \sum_{d|n} \frac{d^{2\alpha-1}}{g(n/d)}.$$

So to finish the proof of Theorem 2, it is sufficient to show that

$$(6) \quad h(n) := \sum_{d|n} \frac{d^{2\alpha-1}}{g(n/d)}$$

is a bounded arithmetic function. We observe that  $h(n)$  is a multiplicative function, which means that it suffices to consider

$$h(p^m) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \frac{p^{(2\alpha-1)\ell}}{g(p^{m-\ell})} = \frac{1}{g(p^m)} + p^{2\alpha-1} h(p^{m-1}).$$

This recursive formula implies, by induction, that  $h(p^m) \leq 1$  for  $p^m$  sufficiently large. We infer from this that  $h(n)$  is a bounded arithmetic function.

As far as the numerical value of the constant  $C_\alpha$  in Theorem 2 is concerned, we have confined ourselves to the following special case which seems to be of independent interest:

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m,n=1}^N \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} = \frac{\zeta(2-2\alpha)}{\zeta(2)(1-\alpha)^2} N^{1-2\alpha} + O(1).$$

*Proof of (7).* Write  $F_\alpha(N)$  for the sum on the left and put  $S_\alpha(x) := \sum_{\substack{m,n \leq x \\ (m,n)=1}} \frac{1}{(mn)^\alpha}$ . Then

$$F_\alpha(N) = \sum_{d \leq N} \sum_{\substack{m,n \leq N \\ (m,n)=d}} \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} = \sum_{d \leq N} S_\alpha(N/d).$$

Also let  $T_\alpha(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} x^{1-\alpha} + O(1)$ . Then

$$T_\alpha(x)^2 = \sum_{m,n \leq x} \frac{1}{(mn)^\alpha} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d^{2\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{m,n \leq x/d \\ (m,n)=1}} \frac{1}{(mn)^\alpha} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d^{2\alpha}} S_\alpha\left(\frac{x}{d}\right).$$

By Möbius inversion,  $S_\alpha(x) = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2\alpha}} T_\alpha\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^2$  and so

$$F_\alpha(N) = \sum_{d \leq N} \beta(n) T_\alpha\left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^2,$$

where  $\beta(n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2\alpha}}$ . We note that  $0 < \beta(n) \leq 1$  for all  $n$ . Thus

$$F_\alpha(N) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)^2} \sum_{n \leq N} \beta(n) \left( \left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^{2-2\alpha} + O\left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^{1-\alpha} \right) = \frac{N^{2-2\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)^2} \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{\beta(n)}{n^{2-2\alpha}} + O\left(N^{1-\alpha} \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right).$$

The final term is  $O(N)$ , while  $\sum_{n>N} \frac{\beta(n)}{n^{2-2\alpha}} \leq \sum_{n>N} \frac{1}{n^{2-2\alpha}} \ll N^{2\alpha-1}$ . Finally

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta(n)}{n^{2-2\alpha}} = \frac{\zeta(2-2\alpha)}{\zeta(2)},$$

giving the result. ■

### 3. PROOF OF THE BOUND FROM ABOVE IN THEOREM 1

In what follows,  $\omega(n)$  denotes the number of distinct prime factors in  $n$  and  $d(n)$  is the divisor function.

We begin by stating the main auxiliary result used to prove the upper bound in (3).

**Lemma 1.** *For every finite set  $\mathcal{M}$  of positive integers there exists a divisor closed set  $\mathcal{M}'$  of positive integers with  $|\mathcal{M}'| = |\mathcal{M}|$  such that*

$$\sum_{m,n \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} \leq \sum_{m,n \in \mathcal{M}'} \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} 2^{\omega(mn/(m,n)^2)}.$$

*Proof.* Following the proof of [2, Lemma 2], we transform  $\mathcal{M}$  into  $\mathcal{M}'$  by means of the following algorithm. Fix a prime  $p$  such that  $p$  divides some number in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then there exist distinct numbers  $m_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$  with  $\ell \leq |\mathcal{M}|$  such that we may write

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{M}_j,$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_j$  consists of those  $m$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $m/m_j$  is a power of  $p$ . We then replace the numbers in  $\mathcal{M}_j$  by the numbers  $m_j, m_j p, \dots, m_j p^{|\mathcal{M}_j|-1}$ . This transformation is then performed for every prime dividing some number in  $\mathcal{M}$ . A close inspection of the largest possible change in the GCD sum in each step of this series of transformations (carried out in detail in the proof of [2, Lemma 2]) gives the desired estimate. ■

We will also need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$  and that  $\beta$  is a real number. Then for every  $\beta' > \beta/(2\alpha)$  there exists a positive constant  $C$  with the following property. If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a set of positive integers*

with  $|\mathcal{K}| = K$ , then

$$(8) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{d(m)^\beta}{m^{2\alpha}} \leq CK^{1-2\alpha} [\log K]^{2\beta'-1}.$$

*Proof.* We begin by observing that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{d(m)^\beta}{m^{2\alpha}} \leq \sum_{m=1}^K \frac{d(m)^\beta}{m^{2\alpha}} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2^\ell K < m \leq 2^{\ell+1} K \\ d(m)^\beta > 2^{2\alpha\ell}}} \frac{d(m)^\beta}{m^{2\alpha}}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{2^\ell K < m \leq 2^{\ell+1} K \\ d(m)^\beta > 2^{2\alpha\ell}}} \frac{d(m)^\beta}{m^{2\alpha}} &\leq 2^{-(\beta'/\beta-1)2\alpha\ell} \sum_{2^\ell K < m \leq 2^{\ell+1} K} \frac{d(m)^{\beta'}}{m^{2\alpha}} \\ &\ll 2^{-(\beta'/\beta-1)2\alpha\ell} \cdot 2^{(1-2\alpha)\ell} K^{1-2\alpha} (\log 2^\ell K)^{2\beta'-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the classical formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n)^{\beta'} = Bx(\log x)^{2\beta'-1} (1 + O((\log x)^{-1}))$$

which holds with  $B$  an absolute constant [12, 10]. It follows that the sum over  $\ell$  is dominated by a convergent geometric series if  $\beta' > \beta/(2\alpha)$ .  $\blacksquare$

We mention without proof that a more careful analysis shows that the exponent  $2\beta' - 1$  on the right-hand side of (8) can be replaced by  $2\alpha(2\beta' - 1)$  with the same requirement that  $\beta' > \beta/(2\alpha)$ . Using results on the distribution of ‘large’ values of  $d(n)$  (see [9]), we can show that this is optimal in the sense that the inequality fails with any exponent less than  $2\alpha(2\beta/(2\alpha) - 1)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a divisor closed set of square-free numbers, then  $|\frac{1}{p}\mathcal{M}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{M}|$  for every prime  $p$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $|\frac{1}{p}\mathcal{M}| = \ell$  and write  $\frac{1}{p}\mathcal{M} = \{m_1, \dots, m_\ell\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  contains  $pm_1, \dots, pm_\ell$  and hence also  $m_1, \dots, m_M$ , since it is divisor closed. As  $\mathcal{M}$  is square-free, these numbers are all distinct, and so it follows that  $|\mathcal{M}| \geq 2\ell$ .  $\blacksquare$



We are now prepared to prove the bound from above in (3). To begin with, we define

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\alpha(N) := \max_{\mathcal{M} \text{ divisor closed}, |\mathcal{M}|=N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m,n \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} 2^{\omega(mn/(m,n)^2)}.$$

By Lemma 1, we have  $\Gamma_\alpha(N) \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_\alpha(N)$ , which means that it suffices to estimate  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\alpha(N)$ . Hence we assume that the set  $\mathcal{M}$  is divisor closed and estimate instead the sum

$$\tilde{S} := \sum_{m,n \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} 2^{\omega(mn/(m,n)^2)}.$$

In what follows,  $\mathcal{M}^*$  will denote the subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  consisting of the square-free numbers in  $\mathcal{M}$ . In addition, given  $m$  in  $\mathcal{M}^*$ , we let  $\mathcal{M}(m)$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  consisting of those numbers  $n$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $p|n$  if and only if  $p|m$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{M}^*} \mathcal{M}(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}^*} |\mathcal{M}(m)| = N.$$

Now suppose that  $k$  and  $\ell$  are in  $\mathcal{M}^*$  and that  $|\mathcal{M}(k)| \geq |\mathcal{M}(\ell)|$ . We then find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}(k), n \in \mathcal{M}(\ell)} \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} 2^{\omega(mn/(m,n)^2)} &\leq \frac{(k,\ell)^{2\alpha}}{(k\ell)^\alpha} 2^{\omega(k\ell/(k,\ell)^2)} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}(\ell)} \prod_{p|k} (1 + 4 \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} p^{-v}) \\ &\ll \frac{(k,\ell)^{2\alpha}}{(k\ell)^\alpha} 2^{\omega(k\ell/(k,\ell)^2)} |\mathcal{M}(\ell)| d(k)^\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant in the latter relation only depends on  $\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Here  $\varepsilon$  can be any positive number, but in what follows we will require that  $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - 2\alpha$ . We infer from the latter relation that

$$\tilde{S} \ll \sum_{m,n \in \mathcal{M}^*} |\mathcal{M}(m)|^{1/2} d(m)^\varepsilon |\mathcal{M}(n)|^{1/2} d(n)^\varepsilon \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} 2^{\omega(mn/(m,n)^2)}.$$

This leads to the bound

$$\tilde{S} \ll \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}^*} \left( \sum_{m \in \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{M}^*} \frac{|\mathcal{M}(mk)|^{1/2} d(mk)^\varepsilon d(m)^{1+\varepsilon}}{m^\alpha} \right)^2.$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we obtain from this that

$$\tilde{S} \ll \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}^*} d(k)^{2\varepsilon} \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{M}^*} \frac{|\mathcal{M}(nk)|}{d(n)^\beta} \sum_{m \in \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{M}^*} \frac{d(m)^{\beta+2+4\varepsilon}}{m^{2\alpha}},$$

where  $\beta$  is a positive parameter to be chosen later. Using Lemma 2 and the estimate

$$|\frac{1}{k}\mathcal{M}| \leq N2^{-\omega(k)},$$

which we get from Lemma 3, we therefore get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S} &\ll N^{1-2\alpha}(\log N)^{2\beta'-1} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}^*} d(k)^{2\alpha+2\varepsilon-1} \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{k}\mathcal{M}^*} \frac{|\mathcal{M}(nk)|}{d(n)^\beta} \\ &= N^{1-2\alpha}(\log N)^{2\beta'-1} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}^*} |\mathcal{M}(m)| \sum_{k|m} \frac{1}{2^{(1-2(\alpha+\varepsilon))\omega(k)+\beta\omega(n/k)}} \end{aligned}$$

with  $\beta' > (\beta + 2 + 4\varepsilon)/(2\alpha)$ . Since

$$n \mapsto \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{2^{(1-2(\alpha+\varepsilon))\omega(d)+\beta\omega(n/d)}}$$

is a multiplicative function, and  $n$  is squarefree, it suffices to make sure that

$$\frac{1}{2^{1-2(\alpha+\varepsilon)}} + \frac{1}{2^\beta} \leq 1.$$

This means that we need

$$\beta \geq \frac{\log \frac{1}{1-2^{2(\alpha+\varepsilon)-1}}}{\log 2}$$

to obtain the uniform bound

$$\sum_{k|m} \frac{1}{2^{(1-2(\alpha+\varepsilon))\omega(k)+\beta\omega(n/k)}} \leq 1.$$

We then find that

$$\tilde{S} \ll N^{1-2\alpha}(\log N)^{2\beta'-1} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}^*} |\mathcal{M}(m)| = N^{2-2\alpha}(\log N)^{2\beta'-1},$$

which in turn leads to the desired conclusion.

#### 4. PROOF OF THE BOUND FROM BELOW IN THEOREM 1

This section will make extensive use of the Euler totient function  $\phi(n)$ . We will also need an additional multiplicative function, namely

$$f(n) := \prod_{p|n} \frac{\left(p^{2\alpha-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2\alpha} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2-2\alpha}\right)}.$$

We now fix a positive number  $M$  and set  $k := \prod_{p \leq M} p$ . We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *For every  $c$  such that  $c|k$ , we have*

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{d|k} (c, d)^{2\alpha} \left( \phi\left(\frac{k}{c}\right) \phi\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \right)^\alpha (\phi(c) \phi(d))^{1-\alpha} = \prod_{p|k} \left( \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{2-2\alpha} \right) \frac{c}{k} f\left(\frac{k}{c}\right).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{c, d|k} (c, d)^{2\alpha} \left( \phi\left(\frac{k}{c}\right) \phi\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \right)^\alpha (\phi(c) \phi(d))^{1-\alpha} = \prod_{p|k} \left( p^{2\alpha-2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{2\alpha} + \frac{2}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{2-2\alpha} \right).$$

*Proof.* Since every divisor  $d$  of  $k$  has a unique representation  $d = d_1 d_2$ , where  $d_1|c$  and  $d_2|\frac{k}{c}$  we find that the left-hand side  $LHS$  of (9) is

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} LHS &= \frac{1}{k^2} \phi(k/c)^\alpha \phi(c)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{d_1|c} \sum_{d_2|\frac{k}{c}} d_1^{2\alpha} \phi\left(\frac{c}{d_1}\right)^\alpha \phi\left(\frac{k/c}{d_2}\right)^\alpha \phi(d_1)^{1-\alpha} \phi(d_2)^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2} \phi(k/c)^\alpha \phi(c)^{1-\alpha} \left( \sum_{d_1|c} d_1^{2\alpha} \phi\left(\frac{c}{d_1}\right)^\alpha \phi(d_1)^{1-\alpha} \right) \left( \sum_{d_2|\frac{k}{c}} \phi\left(\frac{k/c}{d_2}\right)^\alpha \phi(d_2)^{1-\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula  $\phi(d) = d \prod_{p|d} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)$  and the fact that the respective sums represent multiplicative functions, we find that

$$\sum_{d_1|c} d_1^{2\alpha} \phi\left(\frac{c}{d_1}\right)^\alpha \phi(d_1)^{1-\alpha} = \prod_{p|c} \left( p^\alpha \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^\alpha + p^{1+\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right),$$

and

$$\sum_{d_2|\frac{k}{c}} \phi\left(\frac{k/c}{d_2}\right)^\alpha \phi(d_2)^{1-\alpha} = \prod_{p|\frac{k}{c}} \left( p^\alpha \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^\alpha + p^{1-\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right).$$

Returning to (11) and using again that  $\phi(d) = d \prod_{p|d} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)$ , we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{d|k} (c, d)^{2\alpha} \left( \phi\left(\frac{k}{c}\right) \phi\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \right)^\alpha (\phi(c) \phi(d))^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{c}{k} \prod_{p|c} \left( \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{2-2\alpha} \right) \prod_{p|\frac{k}{c}} \left( p^{2\alpha-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{2\alpha} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p|k} \left( \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{2-2\alpha} \right) \frac{c}{k} f\left(\frac{k}{c}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last expression is the right-hand side of (9). Finally, we get (10) from (9) by using that  $n \mapsto \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{d} f(d)$  is a multiplicative function.  $\blacksquare$

In addition to the identities of the preceding lemma, we need following quantitative estimate.

**Lemma 5.** *For every  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , there exists a positive constant  $c_\alpha$  such that*

$$\prod_{p \leq M} \left( p^{2\alpha-2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2\alpha} + \frac{2}{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2-2\alpha} \right) \geq c_\alpha (\log M)^{2\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from the fact that

$$p^{2\alpha-2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2\alpha} + \frac{2}{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2-2\alpha} = 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{p} + O(p^{2\alpha-2}), \quad p \rightarrow \infty,$$

along with Mertens's third theorem, i.e., the fact that  $\prod_{p \leq M} (1 - 1/p) \sim e^\gamma / \log M$  when  $M \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\blacksquare$

The following theorem yields the bound from below in Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3.** *For every  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , there exists a positive constant  $c_\alpha$  such that if  $N$  is a positive integer, then there exists a set of integers  $\mathcal{M}$  of cardinality  $N$  such that*

$$\sum_{m, n \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{(m, n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} \geq c_\alpha N^{2-2\alpha} (\log N)^{2\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , and let  $N$  be positive integer. Set  $M = N^\delta$ , where  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , is a constant depending only on  $\alpha$  to be chosen later,  $k = \prod_{p \leq M} p$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of the first  $[N^{1/3}]$   $M$ -smooth square-free numbers and  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of integers of the form  $k/a$  with  $a$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For every number  $d$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  denote by  $S_d$  the set of the first  $[N\phi(d)/k]$  integers  $s$  such that  $(s, k/d) = 1$ , and by  $s_d$  the maximal number in  $S_d$ . Also, let  $dS_d$  be the set of integers of the form  $ds$ , where  $s \in S_d$  and  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Finally, set  $\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{d \in \mathcal{D}} dS_d$ .

It is clear that all numbers  $d$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  are square-free and also that the sets  $dS_d$  are pairwise disjoint. Moreover, since  $\sum_{d|k} \phi(d) = k$  we have that  $|\mathcal{M}| < N$ . Also,

$$(12) \quad |S_d| \geq \frac{N^{2/3}}{2 \log N}$$

for sufficiently large  $N$  and every  $d$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ ; this follows from the formula  $\phi(d) = n \prod_{p|d} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$  and an application Mertens's third theorem. We can use this to get an upper bound for  $s_d$ . Indeed, each set  $S_d$  is just a set of numbers of the form  $a \pmod{(k/d)}$ , where  $a$  is from a set of cardinality  $\phi(k/d)$ . Therefore if  $d$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$ , then

$$s_d \leq \frac{2N\phi(d)}{d\phi(k/d)}.$$

Here we used that  $|S_d| \geq k/d$  which follows from (12). Now we have, using (12) in the last step,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m,n \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{(m,n)^{2\alpha}}{(mn)^\alpha} &\geq \sum_{c,d \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{(c,d)^{2\alpha}}{(cd)^\alpha} \sum_{m \in S_c} \frac{1}{m^\alpha} \sum_{n \in S_d} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \geq \sum_{c,d \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{(c,d)^{2\alpha}}{(cd)^\alpha} \frac{|S_c||S_d|}{s_c^\alpha s_d^\alpha} \\ &\geq c_\alpha N^{2-2\alpha} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{c,d \in \mathcal{D}} (c,d)^{2\alpha} (\phi(k/c)\phi(k/d))^\alpha (\phi(c)\phi(d))^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $c_\alpha$  depending only on  $\alpha$ . In view of (10) of Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, the proof will be complete if we can prove that

$$\sum_{c,d \in \mathcal{D}} (c,d)^{2\alpha} (\phi(k/c)\phi(k/d))^\alpha (\phi(c)\phi(d))^{1-\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{c,d|k} (c,d)^{2\alpha} (\phi(k/c)\phi(k/d))^\alpha (\phi(c)\phi(d))^{1-\alpha}.$$

The latter inequality will follow from the bound

$$\sum_{c \notin \mathcal{D}, d|k} (c,d)^{2\alpha} (\phi(k/c)\phi(k/d))^\alpha (\phi(c)\phi(d))^{1-\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{c,d|k} (c,d)^{2\alpha} (\phi(k/c)\phi(k/d))^\alpha (\phi(c)\phi(d))^{1-\alpha}.$$

By (9), this is equivalent to

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{f(n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{3} \prod_{p \leq M} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p}\right),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of all  $M$ -smooth square-free numbers larger than  $[N^{1/3}]$ . By Rankin's trick, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{f(n)}{n} &\leq N^{-\frac{1}{3\delta \log N}} \prod_{p \leq M} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} p^{\frac{1}{\delta \log N}}\right) \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{1}{3\delta}} \prod_{p \leq M} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p}\right) \prod_{p \leq M} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} \left(p^{\frac{1}{\delta \log N}} - 1\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we note that the second product is bounded by a constant depending only on  $\alpha$  (not on  $\delta$ ). Indeed,

$$\sum_{p \leq M} \frac{f(p)}{p} \left( p^{\frac{1}{\delta \log N}} - 1 \right) \leq 2 \sum_{p \leq M} \frac{f(p) - 1}{p} + 2 \sum_{p \leq M} \frac{\log p}{p \log M}.$$

The first sum is bounded because  $f(p) = 1 + p^{2\alpha-1} + o(p^{2\alpha-1})$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , and the second sum is bounded since  $\sum_{p \leq M} (\log p)/p - \log M \leq 2$  by Mertens's first theorem. Therefore, choosing  $\delta$  sufficiently small (depending only on  $\alpha$ ), we get (13). Theorem 3 is proved. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Aistleitner, *Lower bounds for the maximum of the Riemann zeta function along vertical lines*, Math. Ann., to appear; arXiv:1409.6035.
- [2] C. Aistleitner, I. Berkes, and K. Seip, *GCD sums from Poisson integrals and systems of dilated functions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **17** (2015), 1517–1546.
- [3] A. Bondarenko and K. Seip, *GCD sums and complete sets of square-free numbers*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **47** (2015), 29–41.
- [4] A. Bondarenko and K. Seip, *Large GCD sums and extreme values of the Riemann zeta function*, arXiv:1507.05840.
- [5] T. Dyer and G. Harman, *Sums involving common divisors*, J. London Math. Soc. **34** (1986), 1–11.
- [6] I. S. Gál, *A theorem concerning Diophantine approximations*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde **23** (1949), 13–38.
- [7] T. Hilberdink, *An arithmetical mapping and applications to  $\Omega$ -results for the Riemann zeta function*, Acta Arith. **139** (2009), 341–367.
- [8] M. Lewko and M. Radziwiłł, *Refinements of Gál's theorem and applications*, arXiv:1408.2334.
- [9] K. K. Norton, *On the frequencies of large values of divisor functions*, Acta Arith. **68** (1994), 219–244.
- [10] A. Selberg, *Note on a theorem of Sathe*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **18** (1954), 83–87.
- [11] K. Soundararajan, *Extreme values of zeta and L-functions*, Math. Ann. **342** (2008), 467–486.
- [12] B. M. Wilson, *Proofs of some formulae enunciated by Ramanujan*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **21** (1922), 235–255.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, TARAS SHEVCHENKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KYIV, VOLODYMYRSKA 64, 01033 KYIV, UKRAINE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NO-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

*E-mail address:* andriybond@gmail.com

TITUS HILBERDINK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF READING

*E-mail address:* t.w.hilberdink@reading.ac.uk

KRISTIAN SEIP, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NO-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

*E-mail address:* seip@math.ntnu.no