

Using laboratory and field measurements to constrain a single habit shortwave optical parameterization for cirrus

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Smith, H. R., Baran, A. J., Hesse, E., Hill, P. G. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9745-2120>, Connolly, P. J. and Webb, A. (2016) Using laboratory and field measurements to constrain a single habit shortwave optical parameterization for cirrus. *Atmospheric Research*, 180. pp. 226-240. ISSN 0169-8059 doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.05.005 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/65670/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.05.005>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.05.005>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Accepted Manuscript

Using laboratory and field measurements to constrain a single habit shortwave optical parameterization for cirrus

Helen R. Smith, Anthony J. Baran, Evelyn Hesse, Peter G. Hill, Paul J. Connolly, Ann Webb

PII: S0169-8095(16)30112-0
DOI: doi: [10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.05.005)
Reference: ATMOS 3684

To appear in: *Atmospheric Research*

Received date: 28 January 2016
Revised date: 27 April 2016
Accepted date: 4 May 2016



Please cite this article as: Smith, Helen R., Baran, Anthony J., Hesse, Evelyn, Hill, Peter G., Connolly, Paul J., Webb, Ann, Using laboratory and field measurements to constrain a single habit shortwave optical parameterization for cirrus, *Atmospheric Research* (2016), doi: [10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.05.005)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Using laboratory and field measurements to constrain a single habit shortwave optical parameterization for cirrus

Helen R. Smith^{a,1}, Anthony J. Baran^b, Evelyn Hesse^c, Peter G. Hill^b, Paul J. Connolly^a, Ann Webb^a

^a*The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, UK*

^b*Met Office, Exeter, UK*

^c*University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield, AL10 9AB*

Abstract

A single habit parameterization for the shortwave optical properties of cirrus is presented. The parameterization utilizes a hollow particle geometry, with stepped internal cavities as identified in laboratory and field studies. This particular habit was chosen as both experimental and theoretical results show that the particle exhibits lower asymmetry parameters when compared to solid crystals of the same aspect ratio. The aspect ratio of the particle was varied as a function of maximum dimension, D , in order to adhere to the same physical relationships assumed in the microphysical scheme in a configuration of the Met Office atmosphere-only global model, concerning particle mass, size and effective density. Single scattering properties were then computed using T-Matrix, Ray Tracing with Diffraction on Facets (RTDF) and Ray Tracing (RT) for small medium and large size parameters respectively. The scattering properties were integrated over 28 Particle Size Distributions

Email address: h.smith20@herts.ac.uk (Helen R. Smith)

as used in the microphysical scheme. The fits were then parameterized as simple functions of Ice Water Content (IWC) for 6 shortwave bands. The parameterization was implemented into the GA6 configuration of the Met Office unified model along with the current operational long-wave parameterization. The GA6 configuration is used to simulate the annual twenty-year short-wave (SW) fluxes at top-of-atmosphere (TOA) and also the temperature and humidity structure of the atmosphere. The parameterization presented here is compared against the current operational model and a more recent habit mixture model.

Keywords: GCM, cirrus, parameterization, climate, ice crystal

1. Introduction

In 2013, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) concluded that the coupling of clouds with the Earth's atmosphere is the largest uncertainty faced in predicting climate change today (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2013). One such cloud type that contributes to this uncertainty is cirrus due to their extensive global coverage of about 30%, with coverage reaching 60–80% in the tropics (Sassen et al., 2008). Furthermore, cirrus has diverse microphysical properties, containing a multitude of particle habits which range in size over several orders of magnitude. This variety in size, shape and complexity poses many difficulties for the accurate representation of ice cloud in climate models. The range in size means that currently no single method can be used to calculate the single scattering properties of ice crystals. For smaller sizes, exact solutions can be sought (Mano, 2000; Havemann and Baran, 2004; Groth et al., 2015), but as the size

and complexity of the ice crystal increases, more approximate solutions are necessary (Macke et al., 1996b; Hesse et al., 2012). The diversity of particle shape also presents many challenges, and it is well established that the particle habit significantly impacts upon the single scattering properties of ice crystals (Macke et al., 1998; Bacon and Swanson, 2000; Baran, 2012; Baum et al., 2014).

The representation of single ice particles for scattering calculations has improved significantly over the years. Early studies used very simplified shapes such as spheres and cylinders, but these were found to be inadequate approximations for the treatment of ice crystals (Macke and Mishchenko, 1996). More realistic representations of particle habits such as bullet rosettes and aggregates have been constructed (Um and McFarquhar, 2007; Xie et al., 2011; Baran and Labonnote, 2007; Baum et al., 2014; Baran et al., 2014), and are commonly used in habit mixture models to represent cirrus. In addition to particle habit, small scale features such as surface roughness, inclusions and indentations/cavities have gained recognition as potentially important contributors to the scattering behaviour of ice crystals (Schmitt and Heymsfield, 2007; Yang et al., 2008; Schnaiter et al., 2011). Ice particles with deep indentations/cavities (typically on their basal facets) are commonly described as ‘hollow’. Hollowness can significantly affect the *asymmetry parameter*, which is given by:

$$g = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi P_{11}(\theta) \sin \theta \cos \theta d\theta \quad (1)$$

where θ , is the *scattering angle*, defined as the angle between the incident and the scattered beam. P_{11} , is the *phase function* - a normalised distribution of

the intensity of radiation, scattered from a *randomly* oriented particle (van de Hulst, 1957), given by:

$$\int_0^\pi P_{11}(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta = 2 \quad (2)$$

The phase function, and by extension, the asymmetry parameter, hold information about the angular distribution of the scattered light, and as such, the asymmetry parameter is commonly used to implement ice crystal optical properties into a GCM. Theoretical results show that hollow hexagonal crystals exhibit a general increasing trend in the asymmetry parameter, suggesting that hollow crystals reflect less than their solid counterparts (Yang et al., 2008). On the contrary, it has been shown that rough particles could reflect up to twice the radiation when compared with pristine crystals (Ulanowski et al., 2006). The asymmetry parameter is typically over predicted in scattering models compared with results estimated from in-situ measurements (Gerber et al., 2000; Gayet et al., 2011). However, these in-situ results could have been affected by particle shattering on the inlets of the microphysical probes, thereby artificially decreasing the size and asymmetry parameter (Korolev et al., 2011).

To mimic naturally occurring surface roughness, many theoretical studies make use of the distortion parameter, which approximates surface roughness by tilting the surface normal for an incoming ray. The tilt angle is defined by a random number from zero up to a maximum, where the maximum is defined by the distortion parameter (Macke et al., 1996b). This method

61 yields smoother phase functions with lower asymmetry parameters than when
 62 roughness is not accounted for. Another method used is that of particle inclu-
 63 sions: the incorporation of inclusions into the ice crystal model also smooths
 64 peak features such as ‘halos’ and ‘ice bows’, and reduces the asymmetry pa-
 65 rameter, yielding more realistic values (Macke et al., 1996a; Yang and Liou,
 66 1998; Labonnote et al., 2001; Baran and Labonnote, 2007). For optical pa-
 67 rameterizations, many studies make use of a range of particle habits (Baum
 68 et al., 2005; Bozzo et al., 2008; Baran and Labonnote, 2007), although the
 69 distortion parameter is still widely used as a proxy for surface roughness.
 70 Other studies suggest that with the use of distortion, ice clouds can be rep-
 71 resented entirely by hexagonal prisms of varying aspect ratio, negating the
 72 need to represent the full range of crystal habits (Van Diedenhoven et al.,
 73 2014).

74
 75 Whilst the single scattering properties of cirrus ice particles are affected
 76 by micro-scale features, the bulk optical properties of cirrus are also affected
 77 by macro-scale properties such as cloud optical depth, ice mass in the cloud
 78 column and particle size distribution (PSD). As such, the cirrus net radia-
 79 tive effect is sensitive to the various assumptions made in the microphysical
 80 scheme. Typically, in a GCM, the bulk optical properties of clouds are pa-
 81 rameterized in terms of the diagnostic variable *effective dimension*, D_e , as a
 82 function of the Ice Water Content (IWC) and/or environmental temperature
 83 (T) (Bozzo et al., 2008; Fu et al., 1999). Effective dimension of the PSD is
 84 given by (McFarquhar and Heymsfield, 1998):

85

$$D_e = \frac{3}{2} \frac{WC}{\rho \sum_i n_i A_i} \quad (3)$$

where:

WC = Water Content

ρ = density of ice or liquid water

A_i = the mean cross sectional area in bin i

n_i = number concentration in bin i

The use of effective dimension assumes that the bulk-optical properties are uniquely defined by D_e and either IWC and/or T , but it has been shown that they are also dependent upon the shape of the PSD (Mitchell et al., 2011; Baran, 2005). This dependency is not accounted for in D_e based schemes as they tend to be physically inconsistent with the microphysical scheme (Baran, 2012), consequently the microphysical and radiative parameterizations may assume different PSDs. Whilst the use of D_e is generally valid for water clouds, the relationship becomes unreliable for ice clouds and for more absorbing wavelengths (Mitchell, 2002; Baran, 2005; Mitchell et al., 2011; Baran et al., 2014; Baran, 2012). Recent parameterizations have bypassed the need for D_e by coupling the optical parameterization directly to the GGM prognostic variable IWC (Baran et al., 2014). In order to make the microphysical and optical schemes physically consistent, it has also been argued that particles used in the optical parameterization should adhere to the

109 same mass-dimensional and area ratio-dimensional power laws as assumed in
110 the microphysical scheme (Baran, 2012; Baran et al., 2014).

111

112 The parameterization presented in this paper (referred to as hex_cav from
113 this point forward) tests the theory that ice clouds can be represented en-
114 tirely by a single particle habit, as long as the mass-dimensional and area
115 ratio-dimensional relationships are consistent with the those assumed in the
116 microphysical scheme. As asymmetry parameters are typically over-predicted
117 by using pristine particle models, the particle chosen for this parameteriza-
118 tion is a stepped hollow column, as observed in recent laboratory studies
119 (Smith et al., 2015, 2016). This particle was chosen because it yields lower
120 asymmetry parameters than it's solid counterpart (Smith et al., 2015). By
121 varying the aspect ratio (i.e. ratio of length to radius), as a function of
122 maximum dimension (defined as the distance between opposite corners of
123 the two basal facets, see figure 2), the hollow column was fitted to observed
124 ranges of mass and area-ratio relationships. By doing so, the particles sat-
125 isfy the same power laws that are assumed in the cloud physics scheme of
126 the Met Office 6.0 configuration. The construction of the particle model
127 is discussed in section 2.1. The single scattering properties are determined
128 using various scattering models for 26 particle sizes across 54 wavelengths
129 (given in Appendix B), in the shortwave only. Single scattering properties
130 were calculated with and without the use of the distortion parameter (which
131 is used to simulate particle roughness) and therefore hex_cav is split into
132 two parameterizations: hex_cav1 (without distortion) and hex_cav2 (with
133 distortion), this is further discussed in section 2.3. Bulk optical properties

134 were then found by integrating the single scattering properties over 28 Par-
 135 ticle Size Distributions (PSDs) (section 2.4). The bulk properties were used
 136 in the GA6 configuration of the Met Office Unified Model along with the
 137 current operational longwave parameterization (section 2.5). The GCM is
 138 used to simulate the annual twenty year shortwave (SW) fluxes at the top of
 139 the atmosphere (TOA) and the corresponding zonal mean temperatures and
 140 specific humidities. In total, four model runs are completed. Each of these
 141 runs assumes the same microphysics, but a different optical parameteriza-
 142 tion. These optical parameterizations are: the current operational model by
 143 Edwards et al. (2007), henceforth referred to as Edwards2007; a more recent
 144 optical parameterization by Baran et al. (2014), henceforth referred to as
 145 Baran2014; hex_cav1 and hex_cav2. Results from the hex_cav model runs
 146 are compared against results assuming the Edwards2007 & Baran2014 opti-
 147 cal schemes, and further comparison is made to observations from CERES
 148 (Stephens et al., 2012; Loeb et al., 2009). The hex_cav2 predicted zonal mean
 149 temperatures and specific humidities are compared against the ERA-Interim
 150 re-analysis product (Dee et al., 2011). The parameterizations Edwards2007,
 151 Baran2014, hex_cav1 and hex_cav2 are summarised in table 1.

152

153 1.1. Summary of parameterizations

Parameterization	Summary
Edwards2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An effective dimension D_e based scheme, where D_e is a function of environmental temperature • Ice particles are represented by the 8 branched hexagonal aggregate with roughened surfaces (Yang and Liou, 1998) • Uses 54 in-situ derived size distributions, from the observational campaign CEPEX (McFarquhar and Heymsfield, 1996). The shattered ice crystal artifacts are not removed from the PSDs (see section 2.4)
Baran2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coupled directly to the microphysics scheme, assumes the same mass-dimensional and area-dimensional relationships • Ice particles are represented by a weighted habit mixture model, using six elements: simple column, six-branched bullet rosette, and three-, five- eight- and ten-monomer aggregates Baran and Labonnote (2007) • Uses 28 in-situ derived PSDs (from various field campaigns) parameterized by Field et al. (2007), where shattering artifacts have been removed (see section 2.4) • Bulk optical properties are parameterized in terms of IWC and wavelength
hex_cav1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coupled directly to the microphysics scheme, assumes the same mass-dimensional and area-dimensional relationships • Ice particles are represented by a single particle habit: A hollow column with stepped internal cavities (Smith et al., 2015). • Uses 28 in-situ derived PSDs (from various field campaigns) parameterized by Field et al. (2007), where shattering artifacts have been removed (see section 2.4) • Bulk optical properties are parameterized in terms of IWC and wavelength
hex_cav2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This parameterization is the same as hex_cav1 but the hollow particle is treated as ‘rough’ by using distortion in the single scattering calculations

Table 1: Summary of the main features of the optical parameterizations: Edwards2007 (current operational model) and Baran2014 (recent habit mixture model) and hex_cav, which is split into hex_cav1 (no distortion) and hex_cav2 (with distortion).

154 2. Methods

155 2.1. Particle Model

156 The hollow column used in this parameterization is based upon parti-
 157 cles observed during laboratory experiments conducted in the Manchester
 158 Ice Cloud Chamber (MICC) (Smith et al., 2015). Hollow ice crystals have
 159 been observed in many lab and field studies (Walden et al., 2003; Heyms-
 160 field et al., 2002; Bailey and Hallett, 2009). Images from these experiments
 161 show that the hollow columns have cavities which are pyramidal in struc-
 162 ture, which have been modelled in theoretical studies (Schmitt et al., 2006;
 163 Yang et al., 2008). When using a rigorous improved geometric approach,
 164 the general effect of these pyramidal cavities was to increase the asymmetry
 165 parameter (Yang et al., 2008). Laboratory experiments in the MICC found
 166 ice crystals grown at -30°C tended to have stepped hexagonal intrusions as
 167 seen in figure 1. There was little variation in the geometry of the cavities
 168 at this temperature, and no solid columns were observed. Similar structures
 169 can be seen from in-situ studies which catalogued photographs of ice crystals
 170 collected in-situ (Weickmann, 1949).

171




Figure 1: Formvar replicas of typical habits of ice crystals found at -30° in the Manchester Ice Cloud Chamber. Viewed from the prism face (a), and the basal face (b).

172 In order to create a particle model based on formvar replicas, similar to
173 the one shown in figure 1, an optical microscope was used to take measure-
174 ments of the crystal facets, averaged values were then used to create a particle
175 model for use in scattering simulations Smith et al. (2015). The construction
176 of the particle model is shown in figure 2.

177




Figure 2: Particle model construction based on average measurements from formvar replicas. Figure a shows a plan view of the particle from the basal facet, and figure b shows a cross sectional view of the particle, taken parallel to the prism facet where b and p are the lengths of the basal and prism facets respectively. d is the depth of each cavity, and h is the total combined length of both cavities expressed as a percentage of p . The maximum dimension, D , is given by $D = \sqrt{b^2 + p^2}$.

178 This particular particle model was chosen for the parameterization be-
 179 cause modelled results show that the stepped hollow column causes a re-
 180 duction in asymmetry parameter compared with a solid column of the same
 181 maximum dimension and aspect ratio, in contrast to the pyramidal hollow
 182 column which causes a general increase (Smith et al., 2015). Therefore, the
 183 hollow column model offers a way of obtaining smaller asymmetry parameters
 184 other than the use of the distortion parameter or by embedding air or aerosol
 185 inclusions within the volume of the ice. Figure 3 shows phase functions and
 186 asymmetry parameters for the stepped hollow column model, calculated for a
 187 wavelength of 632 nm, using both Ray Tracing (Macke et al., 1996b) and Ray
 188 Tracing with Diffraction on Facets (Hesse et al., 2012). This latter model

189 takes into account internal diffraction not accounted for by classical geomet-
190 ric optics (Hesse et al., 2009). Calculations from Ray Tracing and RTDF
191 were conducted for a randomly oriented particle, based on 5×10^4 particle
192 orientations, and 5×10^7 rays per orientation. 181 angular bins were used
193 for scattering angles between 0.25° and 179.75° . The hollow particle model
194 was set up as shown in figure 2, with basal and prism facets measuring 50
195 and $100\mu\text{m}$ respectively, and a hollowness, h , of 80%. From figure 3 it can
196 be seen that ray tracing over predicts the halo peaks relative to RTDF but
197 predicts the same g values as RTDF. However, in this paper we prefer to
198 apply the most physically appropriate model, which is RTDF.

199




Figure 3: Modelled phase functions of randomly oriented solid and hollow hexagonal columns using Ray Tracing (top) and RTDF (bottom). ‘Hollow 1’ is a particle with a pyramidal cavity, whereas ‘hollow 2’ is a particle with a stepped internal cavity as shown in figure 2.

To utilize this model in the optical parameterization, the aspect ratio, α , is varied as a function of maximum particle dimension in order to fit within observed mass-dimensional and area ratio-dimensional relationships. In this paper, we define maximum particle dimension, D , as:

$$D = \sqrt{b^2 + p^2} \quad (4)$$

206

207 where:

208

209 D = maximum particle dimension

210 p = dimension of the prism facet

211 b = dimension of the basal facet

212

213 and the aspect ratio α , is defined as:

214

$$\alpha = p/b \quad (5)$$

215

216

217 2.1.1. Area Ratio-Dimensional Relationships

218 The area ratio, A_r , is defined as the ratio of the particle's projected cross-
219 sectional area to the area of a circumscribed circle having a diameter equal
220 to the maximum dimension of the particle.

221 Observed $A_r(D)$ relationships

222 Area ratio is a shape sensitive parameter, and is therefore sensitive to par-
223 ticle habit. Consequently, observed $A_r(D)$ laws vary between cloud types.
224 Relationships have been found for various cloud types including mixed-habit
225 cirrus, mixed phase clouds and tropical anvils (Heymsfield and Miloshevich,
226 2003; McFarquhar et al., 2013; Field et al., 2008). For this parameteriza-
227 tion, we fit the particles to $A_r(D)$ relationships observed in mixed habit

228 cirrus (Heymsfield and Miloshevich, 2003). This data represents 10 profiles
 229 through midlatitude, continental and synoptic cirrus, acquired over 3 field
 230 experiments. The combined profile follows the relationship:

$$A_r = 0.18 \times D^{-0.271} \quad (6)$$

231 where D is the maximum particle dimension in cm. This relationship is
 232 derived from observations in the size range 0.004–0.320 cm, giving area ra-
 233 tios between 0.8 and 0.25, where the area ratio decreases with respect to
 234 maximum dimension.

235 2.2. Mass-Dimensional Relationships

236 In addition to $A_r(D)$ relationships, the particles used in the parameter-
 237 ization must also adhere to observed mass-dimensional power laws. Cirrus
 238 ice crystals are observed to obey the following mass-dimensional relationship
 239 (Cotton et al., 2013):

$$M(D) = (0.026 \pm 0.012)D^2 \quad (7)$$

240 in the range $D > 70 \mu\text{m}$

241

242 where:

243

244 $M(D)$ = mass of the ice particle, kg

245 D = maximum dimension, m

246

247 In the size range $D \leq 70 \mu\text{m}$, ice particles were found to have a constant
248 effective density, given by:

$$\rho_{ICE} = 700 \pm 135 \text{ kgm}^{-3} \quad (8)$$

249 where the effective density of ice, ρ_{ICE} , is defined as the mass of the ice crys-
250 tal, divided by the volume of a sphere with diameter equal to the maximum
251 particle dimension D .

252
253 For the hollow particle model used, equations were derived to characterize
254 the relationships between the aspect ratio, α , area ratio (A_r), mass (M)
255 and effective density (ρ_{ICE}). These equations were fitted to the observed
256 relationships as given in equations 6, 7 and 8. Particles could not be fitted
257 exactly to both mass and area ratio relationships, therefore weighted averages
258 are taken in order to fit the particle models within observed ranges. A full
259 derivation is given in Appendix A.

260 The chosen aspect ratios fit within observed observed area-ratio and ef-
261 fective density values in the range $D > 70 \mu\text{m}$ as shown in figure 4. The
262 maximum ice effective density that is achievable with the hollow particle
263 model is 384.9 kg m^{-3} , which is below the observed range, and subsequently
264 particles $< 70 \mu\text{m}$ cannot be fitted within observed values.

265 2.3. Single Scattering Calculations

266 The single scattering properties for each of the 26 particles were calcu-
267 lated using either T-Matrix, RT or RTDF for 54 wavelengths in the short
268 wave between $0.2 \mu\text{m}$ and $5 \mu\text{m}$. The wavelengths and refractive indices can




Figure 4: The top graph shows the chosen aspect ratios used for this parameterization. The second graph shows the corresponding area ratios of the chosen particles, and the shaded region shows the observed range. The bottom graph shows the corresponding ice effective density of the chosen particles, and the shaded region shows observed ranges.

269 be found in Appendix B. The choice of scattering model is dependent upon
 270 the size parameter, x , defined as $\pi D/\lambda$, where D is the maximum dimension
 271 of the particle and λ is the wavelength (Hesse et al., 2012). The size param-
 272 eter can be loosely defined as small ($x \leq 20$), intermediate ($20 \leq x \leq 60$), or
 273 large ($x \geq 60$) (Baran, 2004). Since no scattering model is applicable across
 274 the entire range of size parameters found in cirrus, optical parameterization
 275 make use of a range of models, from exact methods for small size parameters
 276 (such as T-Matrix), geometric methods for larger size parameters (such as
 277 ray tracing) and improved geometric methods for intermediate sizes (such as
 278 RTDF). Since the stepped hollow model contains many small facets, which
 279 vary in size with aspect ratio, the applicable limits of each scattering model

were not well defined. These limits were found by comparing phase function outputs from the three scattering models. At transitional sizes (sizes between small, intermediate and large size parameters), the phase functions were found to be largely similar but below/above these, they were found to deviate. Therefore the limits were defined where the different scattering models showed good agreement. By doing so, the scattering model for each particle size and wavelength was decided on a case by case basis. At smaller size parameters, the hollow hexagonal model was not used because of the very small facets, and therefore solid hexagonal prisms were used. In this case, differences between RT and RTDF were minimal and so RT was used for the small, solid particles. At even smaller sizes, where RT became non-applicable, T-Matrix for solid hexagonal columns was used (Havemann and Baran, 2004). A chart of the chosen models with respect to particle size and wavelength can be found in Appendix C. For RT and RTDF, each simulation used 5×10^4 particle orientations and 5×10^7 incident rays. For each of the 26 particles, the single scattering properties (asymmetry parameter, single scattering albedo, extinction cross section and scattering cross section) were calculated for 54 wavelengths in the short-wave ranging from $0.2 \mu\text{m}$ to $5.0 \mu\text{m}$, using complex refractive indices from Warren and Brandt (2008). These calculations form the basis of the hex_cav1 parameterization.

In order to diminish the 22° halo, the simulations were also done using the distortion parameter. Distortion values of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 were tested for an example column of maximum dimension $100 \mu\text{m}$, aspect ratio 2 and wavelength 632 nm (figure 5). A distortion value of 0.4 was found to completely remove the halo feature and therefore the single scattering calculations (as

done in hex_cav1) were repeated using a distortion of 0.4, forming the basis of the hex_cav2 parameterization. This distortion value was chosen as the halo peak is completely removed, therefore producing a featureless phase function similar to those observed in situ (Labonnote et al., 2001). For hex_cav2, the large values of distortion used caused the outgoing ray paths to be significantly deviated. Near the particle edges, this bending of the outgoing ray path can cause outgoing rays to re-enter the space occupied by the crystal. This can cause errors where the ray is not correctly defined as being either in the scattering particle or the host medium, and the particle can no longer be considered a closed system. This issue limits the applicable size range of RTDF, therefore the applicable size range of RTDF varies between hex_cav1 and hex_cav2 (see Appendix C).




Figure 5: Phase functions of a randomly oriented stepped hollow column, aspect ratio 2, with varying values of distortion. Simulations were run using RTDF, with a wavelength of 635nm. Halo features are evident for distortion values of 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3, but not for 0.4. Therefore a distortion value of 0.4 was used to remove these features.

317 2.4. Bulk Scattering Properties

318 In order to calculate the bulk scattering properties, we use 28 PSDs
 319 as parameterized in Field et al. (2007), referred to as Field2007 from this
 320 point forward. The Field2007 parameterization is based on in-situ mea-
 321 surements from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission/Kwajalein Exper-
 322 iment (TRMM/KWAJEX), Cirrus Regional Study of Tropical Anvils and
 323 Cirrus Layers-Florida Area Cirrus Experiment (CRYSTAL-FACE) and the
 324 First International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project Research Experi-
 325 ment(FIRE). Together, these field campaigns include more than 10,000 mea-
 326 sured PSDs for tropical anvils and midlatitude stratiform cloud, covering
 327 temperatures from 0°C to -60°C. Field2007 improves upon earlier parame-
 328 terizations as it covers a larger and therefore more representative tempera-
 329 ture range. Furthermore, the Field2007 parameterization filters out shattered
 330 particles by analysis of ice crystal inter-arrival times, thus reducing the bias
 331 caused by shattering artifacts which is known to have affected historic PSDs
 332 (Field et al., 2006). Generally, bulk optical properties are related to the
 333 microphysical scheme through the use of the diagnosed variable, D_e , as dis-
 334 cussed in section 1. Instead, we directly couple the bulk optical properties
 335 to the prognostic variable IWC.

336 In order to calculate the bulk scattering properties for each of these PSDs,
 337 firstly, the single scattering properties are interpolated onto size bins in each
 338 PSD, where the number of size bins in each PSD was 500 and these ranged in
 339 size between about 0.4 μm to 28 000 μm . The single scattering properties at
 340 each bin size were then integrated over the PSD, thus finding a weighted av-
 341 erage of each property. The scattering and extinction cross sections (β_{sca} and

β_{ext} , respectively) are weighted by the mass of cloudy air per unit volume
 (in units of kg m^{-3}). This yields the mass scattering and mass extinction
 coefficients (K_{sca} and K_{ext} , respectively), which describe the scattering and
 extinction cross sections per unit mass of cloudy air. The bulk asymmetry
 parameter was then found by weighting with respect to scattering cross sec-
 tion. These weightings give bulk optical values consistent with the Met Office
 Unified Model definitions. In the Met Office global model the bulk scattering
 and extinction coefficients are represented by the mass scattering and extinc-
 tion coefficients per unit mass of cloudy air, and so the units are $\text{m}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$.
 The values of each of the bulk scattering properties are plotted as a function
 of wavelength for each of the 28 PSDs, these can be seen in Appendix D.1
 and Appendix D.2 for parameterizations hex_cav1 and hex_cav2, respectively.
 These are used to find parameterized fits for g , K_{ext} , K_{sca} and ω_0 for the 6
 short wave bands used in the Met Office configuration 6 atmosphere only
 model. A table of these fits can be found in Appendix E.

2.5. Implementation in the GCM

The hex_cav1 and hex_cav2 short-wave optical parameterizations are used,
 assuming the current Edwards2007 parameterization applied to the long-
 wave. In the climate model runs that follow, the Edwards2007 parame-
 terization is used as the control model, and comparison is also made with
 the more recent Baran2014 parametrization. For all four model runs pre-
 sented here (using the optical parameterizations: Edwards2007, Baran2014,
 hex_cav1 & hex_cav2), the same microphysical scheme is used, based on PSDs
 from Field2007, fall speeds parameterized by Furtado et al. (2014) and mass-
 dimensional relationships derived by Cotton et al. (2013). This is done so that

any changes in the short-wave is entirely attributable to the parameterization presented in this paper. The bulk scattering properties are implemented into the GA6 configuration of the Met Office atmosphere only unified model. This is used to simulate the annual twenty year short-wave fluxes (fluxes averaged over 20 one year intervals) at the top of the atmosphere and the corresponding zonal mean temperatures and specific humidities. Details of the GA4 configuration can be found in Walters (2016), the subsequent GA5 and GA6 configurations include a new dynamical core, described by Wood et al. (2014), and the new spectral files for GA6 can be described in section 3 of Manners et al. (2015).

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of Bulk Scattering Properties

In this section, we compare the bulk scattering properties predicted by the hex_cav parameterizations with the Edwards2007 parameterization and the recent Baran2014 parameterization. The Edwards2007 model is an effective dimension based scheme, with D_e as a function of temperature. Both hex_cav models and Baran2014 have no temperature dependence so instead we compare bulk scattering properties at set temperatures of 200K, 230K and 270K with respect to ice mass mixing ratio between 1.0×10^{-7} and 1.0×10^{-3} kg kg⁻¹ as these ranges are found in the GA6 model. We compare results for short-wave band 1 and band 5 (0.2–0.32μm and 1.19–2.38μm, respectively). These particular bands are chosen for comparison due to their contrasting absorption properties, therefore we expect results to differ largely between the weakly absorbing band 1 and the strongly absorbing band 5.

391 *Mass Extinction Coefficient*

392 Figures 6, 7 and 8 show the mass extinction coefficient for hex_cav1, Baran
 393 2014, and Edwards 2007. From these figures we see that the hex_cav model
 394 has the lowest extinction at all fixed values of temperature for short-wave
 395 band 1. Results from short-wave band 5 and from hex_cav2 were found to
 396 be similar, these are not shown for reasons of brevity.




Figure 6: Mass extinction plotted against ice mass mixing ratio as predicted by hex_cav1, Edwards2007 and Baran2014 at a temperature of 200 K.




Figure 7: Mass extinction plotted against ice mass mixing ratio as predicted by hex_cav1, Edwards2007 and Baran2014 at a temperature of 230 K.




Figure 8: Mass extinction plotted against ice mass mixing ratio as predicted by hex_cav1, Edwards2007 and Baran2014 at a temperature of 270 K.

397 *Asymmetry Parameter*

398 Figures 9 and 10 show asymmetry parameters for Edwards2007, Baran2014,
 399 hex_cav1 and hex_cav2 at $T = 200K$ for short-wave bands 1 and 5, respec-
 400 tively. We see that for the Edwards2007 control model, asymmetry parameter
 401 is invariant with respect to ice mass mixing ratio as the aspect ratio of the
 402 particle does not change with particle size. However, the asymmetry values
 403 for Edwards2007 do vary slightly with temperature, whilst Baran2014 and
 404 the hex_cav parameterizations remain constant, as a function of temperature.
 405 For the more absorbing case (figure 10), we see that the hex_cav2 parameter-
 406 ization is closest to the fully randomized Baran2014 model. At this band, the
 407 asymmetry parameters predicted by Edwards2007 changes significantly as a

408 function of temperature due to the larger (and therefore more absorbing) ice
 409 crystals, but still remain invariant with respect to ice mass mixing ratio.




Figure 9: Asymmetry parameter plotted against ice mass mixing ratio for Edwards2007, Baran2014, hex_cav1 and hex_cav2, for short wave band 1 at 200K.




Figure 10: Asymmetry parameter plotted against ice mass mixing ratio for Edwards2007, Baran2014, hex_cav1 and hex_cav2, for short wave band 5 at 200K.

410 *Single Scattering Albedo*

411 Figures 11, 12 and 13 show single scattering albedos for Edwards2007,
 412 Baran2014, hex_cav1 and hex_cav2 at temperatures of 200, 230 and 270K
 413 respectively. At short-wave band 1, $\omega_0 \approx 1$, so instead we concentrate on the
 414 more absorbing short-wave band 5. The Edwards2007 ω_0 values are larger
 415 than both the Baran2014 and the hex_cav models. Both hex_cav values of ω_0
 416 increase with ice mass mixing ratio due to the decrease in volume absorption.




Figure 11: Single scattering albedos plotted against ice mass mixing ratio, as predicted by hex_cav, Edwards2007 and Baran2014 at $T = 200\text{K}$.




Figure 12: Single scattering albedos plotted against ice mass mixing ratio, as predicted by hex_cav, Edwards2007 and Baran2014 at $T = 230\text{K}$.




Figure 13: Single scattering albedos plotted against ice mass mixing ratio, as predicted by hex_cav, Edwards2007 and Baran2014 at $T = 270\text{K}$.

417 3.2. GCM simulations

418 This section shows results from hex_cav1 and hex_cav 2 from the GA6 con-
 419 figuration of the Met Office unified model, compared with the Edwards2007
 420 control model and CERES observations.

421 Figures 14 and 15 show the twenty-year averaged annual down-welling and
 422 up-welling short-wave flux at top-of-atmosphere (TOA) as predicted by the
 423 hex_cav2 parameterization, respectively. The TOA downwelling short-wave
 424 flux is defined as the short-wave irradiance that reaches the Earth's surface
 425 from the model top of atmosphere (80 km). Differences between the two
 426 parameterizations in predicting the downwelling and upwelling fluxes at top-
 427 of-atmosphere can be seen in Figures 14b and 15b, respectively. Results from

428 hex_cav1 were found to be similar and are therefore not shown for reasons
429 of brevity. We see differences between the hex_cav parameterization and
430 Edwards2007 are highest around the tropics and the southern ocean. When
431 compared with observations, we see that the control model generally under
432 predicts down-welling flux, except in the southern ocean where it tends to be
433 over predicted. On the contrary, the hex_cav2 parameterization tends to over
434 predict down-welling flux when compared with observations, particularly in
435 the tropics and southern ocean. However, there are regional improvements to
436 be seen in the hex_cav prediction of TOA fluxes. Improvements can be seen
437 over the Atlantic, and parts of the Pacific Ocean. Converse to this, figures
438 15c and 15d show that the Edwards2007 and hex_cav2 parameterizations
439 generally over predict and under predict the upwelling short-wave flux at
440 TOA, respectively.




Figure 14: Annual short-wave down-welling flux at top of atmosphere. Clockwise from top left: predictions from hex_cav2, hex_cav2 minus control model, hex_cav2 minus observations, control model minus observations.




Figure 15: Annual short-wave up-welling flux at top of atmosphere. Clockwise from top left: predictions from hex_cav2, hex_cav2 minus control model, hex_cav2 minus observations, control model minus observations.

Figure 16 shows the zonal mean temperatures predicted by the Edwards2007 and hex_cav2 parameterizations. From this it can be seen that the under prediction of reflected short-wave flux in the tropics (as seen in figures 14 and 15) leads to the warming of the tropical troposphere by about 1K and cooling of the stratosphere by about 0.5K. Over the North pole this results in a significant reduction in the warming relative to the control model, and over the South Pole there is a reduction in the cooling relative to the control. This warming over the tropics leads to an increase in the specific

449 humidity relative to the control, reducing the dry bias in the upper tropical
 450 troposphere (shown in figure 17).




Figure 16: Zonal mean temperatures predicted by the hex_cav2 parameterization. Clock-wise from top left: predictions from hex_cav2, hex_cav2 minus control model, hex_cav2 minus observations, control model minus observations.




Figure 17: Zonal mean specific humidity predicted by the hex_cav2 parameterization. Clockwise from top left: predictions from hex_cav2, hex_cav2 minus control model, hex_cav2 minus observations, control model minus observations.

Overall, the predictions of TOA short wave flux, zonal mean temperature and zonal mean specific humidity differ from observations more so than than the current operational model. However, there are regional improvements that can be seen. For upwelling and downwelling flux, improvements on the current model are seen over the North Atlantic, Indian and much of the Pacific Ocean. For both the zonal mean temperature and zonal mean specific humidity, although biases in the tropical tropopause are increased, biases in the polar regions are decreased. Many of these differences may be

explained by the largely different mass extinction values predicted by each of the parameterizations. As seen in figures 6, 7 and 8, the hex_cav parameterizations consistently predicted lower mass extinction values compared with Edwards2007 and Baran2014 (this is due to large aspect ratios needed to fit the particle to within observed relationships). These regional improvements may correspond to areas containing smaller particles, and therefore the larger particles (with large aspect ratios and low mass extinction) have had little influence on the region. Alternatively, it is known that the orientation of ice crystals in the atmosphere is not fully randomized (Yang et al., 2003). Factors such as gravitational sedimentation can cause preferential orientation of ice crystals, particularly for $\alpha \ll 1$ or $\alpha \gg 1$ (Hashino et al., 2014). In convective systems, electric fields can also cause preferential alignment (Foster and Hallett, 2008). In these cases, the projected area, and hence mass extinction of the ice crystals would be larger than for randomly oriented particles. Therefore the assumption of random orientation in the GCM may lead to larger biases in regions where orientation is not negligible. In figures 15 and 14 we see that the largest biases in hex_cav2 occur in the southern ocean and over tropical Asia. The derivation of the area-ratio dimensional relationship is based on data collected in situ via cloud probes. These data are also orientation dependent, and may be affected by particle orientation in the sample volume. Data from a variety of cirrus are used to generate a globally averaged relationship, which may be more representative of certain regions compared with others.

482 4. Conclusions

483 It has been argued that, to properly model the optical properties of cir-
 484 rus ice clouds, the individual particle models used must adhere to observed
 485 mass-dimensional and area ratio dimensional relationships. By maintaining
 486 these relationships, the optical parameterization not only becomes physically
 487 consistent with the microphysics scheme (in which these relationships are as-
 488 sumed), but should ensure that the predicted ice mass and projected areas
 489 are accurate. In this paper, we have investigated the ability of a single par-
 490 ticle geometry (in this case a hollow hexagonal column) to fit within these
 491 constraints.

492 In order to fit a hexagonal prism (whether solid or hollow) to observed
 493 area ratios, preferentially oriented particles had to be assumed, as described
 494 in Appendix A. This resulted in very large aspect ratios of up to 20 being as-
 495 sumed. Despite the assumption of preferential orientation for the selection of
 496 particle aspect ratio, single scattering properties were found using randomly
 497 oriented particles, as required by the GCM. In comparison to preferential
 498 orientation, the projected area in random orientation is reduced, which is
 499 particularly significant for larger aspect ratios. Therefore the use of such
 500 large, and unrealistic aspect ratios caused much lower predictions of mass
 501 extinction coefficient compared with other parameterizations, as shown in
 502 figures 6, 7 and 8. Although the use of the hollow particle model reduced the
 503 asymmetry parameter (causing clouds to become brighter) compared with
 504 an equivalent solid model, the effect of reducing the asymmetry parameter
 505 was cancelled out by the very small mass extinction values (causing clouds
 506 to become darker). Therefore, more short-wave radiation will be transmit-

ted to Earth, which is evident in figures 14 and 15, where we can see that hex_cav2 under-predicts the reflected short-wave radiation at TOA. The effect of this is to warm the tropical troposphere (figure 16). Generally speaking, the hex_cav predictions of TOA SW flux, zonal mean temperature and specific humidity differed from observation more so than Edwards2007 and Baran2014, however, some regional improvements were seen. Areas in the North Atlantic, Indian and much of the Pacific Ocean showed improvements for upwelling and downwelling flux, and temperature and humidity biases in polar regions were decreased. This highlights the sensitivity of the climate to small changes in the microphysical properties of ice clouds and it is therefore pivotal to construct parameterizations that are microphysically consistent. Furthermore, it is crucial to evaluate microphysical properties of cirrus and the single scattering properties of individual ice particles.

The results suggest that a single hexagonal prism cannot be used to approximate ice of all sizes. As seen in figure 4, the particle could not be fitted to the high values of ice effective density as observed for smaller particles. In order to conserve the ice mass for such particles, quasi-spherical particles might be a better approximation (McFarquhar et al., 2002), allowing for higher area ratios to be achieved. As for large particles, the use of very elongated hexagonal prisms leads to under-predictions in orientation-averaged projected area. These particles may be better represented by spatial aggregate models, which can achieve the low values of area ratio required, but are less sensitive to particle orientation. The stepped hollow particle has been observed in field studies (Weickmann, 1949) and in laboratory studies (Smith et al., 2015, 2016), where clouds below -25° were found to contain almost ex-

clusively stepped hollow particles. Therefore, it is likely that such structures occur frequently in cirrus ice cloud, however the internal structures are often unseen with current measurement techniques. Due to their predominance in these studies, in conjunction with their particular optical properties (Smith et al., 2015, 2016), these stepped hollow columns should be incorporated into future habit mixture models.

In current habit mixture models, perturbations from the pristine form are often treated with the use of distortion as a proxy for surface roughness, or by the use of inclusions. Whilst these methods may yield values of scattered intensity close to observations, they may overlook other properties of the scattered light. Measurements from the A-train now provide us with polarization measurements from ice cloud, and it has been shown that particles with similar phase functions may differ significantly with respect to degree of linear polarisation (Mishchenko et al., 2007; Baran and Labonnote, 2006; Stephens et al., 2002). It has also been shown in laboratory studies that hollow particles are more weakly depolarizing compared with solid crystals (Schnaiter et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2016). In this case, roughness proxies may not be representative of various micro-scale features such as cavities, inclusions, and real surface roughness.

Appendix A. Derivation of aspect ratio equations

Fitting the model to observed $A_r(D)$ relationships

Appendix A.0.1. Randomly Oriented Particles

For a solid convex particle, the average projected cross section is given by $S/4$. Where S is the particle surface area. Although the hollow particle

is concave, the projected area is not influenced by the concavities, and therefore the same equation can be applied. For a randomly oriented hexagonal prism, the average projected area is given by:

$$A_{average} = \frac{D^2(12\alpha + 3\sqrt{3})}{16(1 + \alpha^2)} \quad (A.1)$$

and the area ratio is given by:

$$A_{r_average} = \frac{12\alpha + 3\sqrt{3}}{4\pi(1 + \alpha^2)} \quad (A.2)$$

Appendix A.0.2. Preferentially Oriented Particles

In reality, elongated ice particles tend to fall preferentially with their largest projection perpendicular to the direction of propagation (Platt, 1978; Chepfer et al., 1999), although vertically aligned prism facets have also been observed (Westbrook, 2011). As such, columns fall preferentially with their prism facet parallel to the ground, whereas plates fall preferentially with their basal facet parallel to the ground.




Figure A.18: Projected area of a hexagonal prism when oriented like a column (left) and a plate (right). Green shaded areas represent projected cross sections. ‘Hollowness’, in the form of basal cavities, does not affect the particles projected area, therefore cavities are omitted from the diagram.

574 For column-oriented particles, the projected cross section is given by:

575

$$A_{column} = \frac{D^2 \alpha}{1 + \alpha^2} \quad (A.3)$$

576

577

578 and the area ratio is given by:

579

$$A_{r,column} = \frac{4\alpha}{\pi(1 + \alpha^2)} \quad (A.4)$$

580

581

For plate-oriented particles, the projected cross section is given by:

$$A_{plate} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8} \times \frac{D^2}{(1 + \alpha^2)} \quad (A.5)$$

and the area ratio is given by:

$$A_{r,plate} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi(1 + \alpha^2)} \quad (A.6)$$

The area ratios for preferential and random orientations are plotted against aspect ratio in figure A.19.




Figure A.19: Area ratio plotted against aspect for randomly oriented and preferentially oriented hexagonal columns.

By assuming a randomly oriented particle, the maximum area ratio for a

594 hexagonal column is 0.7271. However, observed $A_r(D)$ relationships exceed
 595 this, with a maximum value of 0.8. In order to achieve this value, we must
 596 assume oriented plates. Therefore the two orientation specific relationships
 597 are used rather than the randomly oriented one.

598 If we extend the $A_r(D)$ relation to cover the full size range used in this
 599 parameterization (0.4-28127 μm) we get a range of values of A_r from 2.8–
 600 0.1360. Physically, the area ratio for a hexagonal column cannot exceed
 601 0.8270, and therefore this observational relationship cannot be extrapolated
 602 to smaller particles. As D tend to infinity, A_r tends asymptotically towards
 603 0. So in theory, the relationship can be extrapolated to larger sizes.

604 For plate-oriented prisms, we can equate equations A.6 and 6 to get:

605

$$\alpha = \sqrt{4.59D^{0.271} - 1} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

606

607

608 For column-oriented prisms we equate equations A.4 and 6 to get:

609

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4(0.045\pi D^{-0.271})^2}}{0.09\pi D^{-0.271}} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

610

611

612 Below $D = 100\mu\text{m}$, equation A.8 does not yield real results, and therefore
 613 all particles $< 100\mu\text{m}$ are assumed to be oriented plates.

614 *Appendix A.1. Fitting the particles to $M(D)$ relationships*

615 For the hollow column used in this parameterization, the mass is given by:

616

$$M(D) = \rho \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8} \left(1 - \frac{29h}{19200} \right) \alpha (1 + \alpha^2)^{-3/2} D^3 \quad (\text{A.9})$$

617

618

619 where:

620

621 M = particle mass, kg

622 ρ = density of ice, kgm^{-3}

623 h = hollowness described as the combined length of both cavities, expressed
624 as a percentage of the length of prism facet, p

625

626 Varying the hollowness caused little difference in the particle mass, and
627 therefore a constant hollowness of 80% was assumed, as commonly observed
628 in cloud chamber investigations (Smith et al., 2015). In order to fit the hol-
629 low particle to observed mass-dimensional relationships, we equate equations
630 7 and A.9 to get:

631

$$D = 4.91 \times 10^{-5} \times \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 + \alpha^2)^{3/2} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

632

633

634 The relationship between α and D is approximated by a 10th degree polyno-
635 mial:

636

$$\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{10} c_n D^n \quad (\text{A.11})$$

637

638

639 where c_n are the polynomial coefficients, given in table A.2.

640

n	c_n
10	-2.15×10^{18}
9	4.97×10^{17}
8	-4.93×10^{16}
7	2.75×10^{15}
6	-9.45×10^{13}
5	2.07×10^{12}
4	-2.9×10^{10}
3	2.53×10^8
2	-1.33×10^6
1	4820
0	0.45702

Table A.2: Coefficients of D^n for equation A.11.

641 Equations A.7 and A.8 relate the aspect ratio and the maximum di-
642 mension of the hollow column in order to adhere to observed area ratio-

dimensional relationships, whilst equation A.11 relates aspect ratio and maximum dimension in order to obey observed mass-dimensional power laws. These equations are not in agreement and therefore the aspect ratio cannot be fitted exactly to both observed relationships. Instead, we take a weighted average in order to fit the values within observed ranges. It was found that a 50:50 weighting gave the best agreement for sizes $>70\mu\text{m}$. In the size range $40\text{--}70\mu\text{m}$, a 65:35 weighting was used ($M(D):A_r(D)$). These weightings were chosen as they produced the most amount of crystals within the observed ranges of $M(D)$ and $A_r(D)$. For particles below $40\mu\text{m}$, there is no established $A_r(D)$ relationship and so particles are fitted using only the $M(D)$ relationship.

These equations were used to find the aspect ratios of 26 particles ranging in size from 0.4 to $28\,127\mu\text{m}$, given in table A.3.

Maximum Dimension, D / μm	Aspect Ratio, α
0.4	0.7070
3.0	0.7070
7.5	0.7070
15	0.7070
25	0.7070
35	0.7070
45	0.4546
60	0.5294
80	0.6626
100	1.0585
130	1.3235
175	1.5361
225	1.7582
275	1.9540
350	2.1834
450	2.5289
550	2.8103
650	3.0682
750	3.3072
900	3.6362
1150	4.1212
1400	4.5433
1750	5.0525
2500	5.9192
3500	6.7936
28127	20.0000

Table A.3: Aspect ratios and maximum dimensions of the 26 particles used in the hex_cav parameterizations. For particles $\leq 80\mu\text{m}$, plate orientation is assumed, for particles $\geq 80\mu\text{m}$, column orientation is assumed.

656 Appendix B. Wavelengths and Refractive Indices

657 Calculations were done for 54 wavelengths in the shortwave ranging from
658 $0.2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ – $5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. The complex refractive indices are taken from Warren and
659 Brandt (2008), and are given in figure B.20.





Figure B.20: Complex refractive indices for ice over the range of wavelengths used. The left axis shows the real component of the refractive index and the left axis shows the imaginary component.

660 Appendix C. Scattering Models used

a) Scattering Models for hex_cav1



b) Scattering Models for hex_cav2





Figure C.21: Scattering models used for differing values of wavelength and particle size, for parameterizations hex_cav1 and hex_cav2. Particle size increases from left to right, numeric values can be found in table A.3. Wavelength increases from top to bottom, values can be found in figure B.20.

661 Appendix D. Bulk optical properties

662 The bulk optical properties for the 28 PSDs are shown below with respect
 663 to wavelength. Large differences can be seen in each of the optical
 664 properties at wavelengths of $\approx 3\mu\text{m}$ due to large values of absorption,
 665 which can be seen in figure B.20.

666 Appendix D.1. Bulk properties for hex_cav1




Figure D.22: Bulk K_{ext} values calculated using the hex_cav1 parameterization. Each trace represents a different PSD from Field2007.




Figure D.23: Bulk K_{sca} values calculated using the hex_cav1 parameterization. Each trace represents a different PSD from Field2007.




Figure D.24: Bulk ω_0 values calculated using the hex_cav1 parameterization. Each trace represents a different PSD from Field2007.




Figure D.25: Bulk g values calculated using the hex_cav1 parameterization. Each trace represents a different PSD from Field2007.

667 *Appendix D.2. Bulk properties for hex_cav2*




Figure D.26: Bulk K_{ext} values calculated using the hex_cav2 parameterization. Each trace represents a different PSD from Field2007.




Figure D.27: Bulk K_{sca} values calculated using the hex_cav2 parameterization. Each trace represents a different PSD from Field2007.




Figure D.28: Bulk ω_0 values calculated using the hex_cav2 parameterization. Each trace represents a different PSD from Field2007.




Figure D.29: Bulk g values calculated using the hex_cav2 parameterization. Each trace represents a different PSD from Field2007.

668 Appendix E. Parameterized fits

Wavelength, m	K_{ext}	K_{sca}	g
$2.0 \times 10^{-07} - 3.2 \times 10^{-07}$	$92.4557 \times q_i^{1.25807}$	$92.4557 \times q_i^{1.25807}$	$0.809881 \times q_i^{5.22739 \times 10^{-03}}$
$3.2 \times 10^{-07} - 5.05 \times 10^{-07}$	$92.7110 \times q_i^{1.25847}$	$92.7111 \times q_i^{1.25847}$	$0.841690 \times q_i^{6.38061 \times 10^{-03}}$
$5.05 \times 10^{-07} - 6.90 \times 10^{-07}$	$92.3745 \times q_i^{1.25793}$	$92.3761 \times q_i^{1.25794}$	$0.844428 \times q_i^{6.14116 \times 10^{-03}}$
$6.9 \times 10^{-07} - 1.19 \times 10^{-06}$	$92.5359 \times q_i^{1.25816}$	$92.6756 \times q_i^{1.25852}$	$0.855544 \times q_i^{7.21452 \times 10^{-03}}$
$1.19 \times 10^{-06} - 2.38 \times 10^{-06}$	$92.0046 \times q_i^{1.25726}$	$104.484 \times q_i^{1.29188}$	$0.927497 \times q_i^{1.03785 \times 10^{-02}}$
$2.38 \times 10^{-06} - 1.00 \times 10^{-05}$	$92.2832 \times q_i^{1.25774}$	$64.4584 \times q_i^{1.27846}$	$0.967942 \times q_i^{6.66089 \times 10^{-03}}$

Table E.4: Parameterized fits of K_{ext} , K_{sca} and g for 6 short-wave bands for the hex_cav1 parameterization. Where q_i is the ice mass mixing ratio in Kg per Kg.

Wavelength, m	K_{ext}	K_{sca}	g
$2.0 \times 10^{-07} - 3.2 \times 10^{-07}$	$92.4557 \times q_i^{1.25807}$	$92.4557 \times q_i^{1.25807}$	$0.792337 \times q_i^{5.40227 \times 10^{-03}}$
$3.2 \times 10^{-07} - 5.05 \times 10^{-07}$	$92.7110 \times q_i^{1.25847}$	$92.7111 \times q_i^{1.25847}$	$0.815496 \times q_i^{5.57400 \times 10^{-03}}$
$5.05 \times 10^{-07} - 6.90 \times 10^{-07}$	$92.3745 \times q_i^{1.25793}$	$92.3761 \times q_i^{1.25794}$	$0.819914 \times q_i^{5.62200 \times 10^{-03}}$
$6.9 \times 10^{-07} - 1.19 \times 10^{-06}$	$92.5359 \times q_i^{1.25816}$	$92.6756 \times q_i^{1.25852}$	$0.824879 \times q_i^{5.99128 \times 10^{-03}}$
$1.19 \times 10^{-06} - 2.38 \times 10^{-06}$	$92.0046 \times q_i^{1.25726}$	$104.484 \times q_i^{1.29188}$	$0.901148 \times q_i^{9.62755 \times 10^{-03}}$
$2.38 \times 10^{-06} - 1.00 \times 10^{-05}$	$92.2832 \times q_i^{1.25774}$	$64.4584 \times q_i^{1.27846}$	$0.958268 \times q_i^{5.73484 \times 10^{-03}}$

Table E.5: Parameterized fits of K_{ext} , K_{sca} and g for 6 short-wave bands for the hex_cav2 parameterization. Where q_i is the ice mass mixing ratio in Kg per Kg.

669 Acknowledgments

670 This work was funded by a Doctoral Training Grant from the Natural
671 Environment Research Council (NERC) in conjunction with a CASE stu-
672 dentship from Met Office.

673 References

- 674 Bacon, N. J., Swanson, B. D., 2000. Laboratory measurements of light scat-
675 tering by single levitated ice crystals. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*
676 57, 2094–2104.
- 677 Bailey, M. P., Hallett, J., 2009. A Comprehensive Habit Diagram for At-
678 mospheric Ice Crystals: Confirmation from the Laboratory, AIRS II, and
679 Other Field Studies. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 66 (9), 2888–
680 2899.
- 681 Baran, A., Labonnote, L. C., 2007. A self-consistent scattering model for
682 cirrus. I: The solar region. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological*
683 *Society* 132, 1899–1912.
- 684 Baran, A. J., 2004. On the scattering and absorption properties of cirrus
685 cloud. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* 89 (1-
686 4), 17–36.
- 687 Baran, A. J., 2005. The dependence of cirrus infrared radiative properties on
688 ice crystal geometry and shape of the size distribution function. *Quarterly*
689 *Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 131, 1129–1142.

- 690 Baran, A. J., 2012. From the single-scattering properties of ice crystals to
691 climate prediction: A way forward. *Atmospheric Research* 112, 45–69.
- 692 Baran, A. J., Hill, P., Furtado, K., Field, P., Manners, J., 2014. A Coupled
693 Cloud Physics-Radiation Parameterization of the Bulk Optical Properties
694 of Cirrus and its Impact on the Met Office Unified Model Global Atmo-
695 sphere 5.0 Configuration. *Journal of Climate* 27, 7725–7752.
- 696 Baran, A. J., Labonnote, L.-C., 2006. On the reflection and polarisation
697 properties of ice cloud. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative*
698 *Transfer* 100, 41–54.
- 699 Baum, B. A., Heymsfield, A. J., Yang, P., Bedka, S. T., 2005. Bulk scattering
700 properties for the remote sensing of ice clouds. Part I: Microphysical data
701 and models. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 44, 1885–1895.
- 702 Baum, B. A., Yang, P., Heymsfield, A. J., Bansemer, A., Cole, B. H., Merrelli,
703 A., Schmitt, C., Wang, C., 2014. Ice cloud bulk single-scattering property
704 models with the full phase matrix at wavelengths from 0.2 to 100microns.
705 *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* 146, 123–139.
- 706 Bozzo, A., Maestri, T., Rizzi, R., Tosi, E., 2008. Parameterization of single
707 scattering properties of mid-latitude cirrus clouds for fast radiative transfer
708 models using particle mixtures. *Geophysical Research Letters* 35, L16809.
- 709 Chepfer, H., Brogniez, G., Goloub, P., Breon, F. M., Flamant, P. H., 1999.
710 Observations of horizontally oriented ice crystals in cirrus clouds with
711 POLDER-1 ADEOS-1. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radia-*
712 *tive Transfer* 63, 21–43.

- 713 Cotton, R. J., Field, P. R., Ulanowski, Z., Kaye, P. H., Hirst, E., Green-
714 away, R. S., Crawford, I., Crosier, J., Dorsey, J., 2013. The effective den-
715 sity of small ice particles obtained from in situ aircraft observations of
716 mid-latitude cirrus. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*
717 139 (676), 1923–1934.
- 718 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi,
719 S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P.,
720 Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C.,
721 Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hers-
722 bach, H., Holm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kallberg, P., Kohler, M., Matricardi,
723 M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-K.,
724 Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thepaut, J.-N., Vitart, F., 2011.
725 The era-interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data as-
726 simulation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*
727 137, 53–597.
- 728 Edwards, J. M., Havemann, S., Thelen, J.-C., Baran, A. J., 2007. A new
729 parameterization for the radiative properties of ice crystals: Comparison
730 with existing schemes and impact in a GCM. *Atmospheric Research* 83,
731 19–35.
- 732 Field, P. R., Heymsfield, A. J., Bansemer, A., 2006. Shattering and particle
733 interarrival times measured by optical array probes in ice clouds. *Journal*
734 *of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology* 23, 1357–1371.
- 735 Field, P. R., Heymsfield, A. J., Bansemer, A., 2007. Snow size distribution

- parameterization for midlatitude and tropical ice cloud. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 64, 4346–4365.
- Field, P. R., Heymsfield, A. J., Bansemer, A., Twohy, C. H., 2008. Determination of the combined ventilation factor and capacitance for ice crystal aggregates from airborne observations in a tropical anvil cloud. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 65, 376–391.
- Foster, T. C., Hallett, J., 2008. Enhanced alignment of plate ice crystals in a non-uniform electric field. *Atmospheric Research* 90 (1), 41–53.
- Fu, Q., Sun, W. B., Yang, P., 1999. Modeling of scattering and absorption by nonspherical cirrus ice particles at thermal infrared wavelengths. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 56, 2937–2947.
- Furtado, K., Field, P. R., Cotton, R., Baran, A. J., 2014. The sensitivity of simulated high clouds to ice crystal fall speed, shape and size distribution. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*.
- Gayet, J.-F., Mioche, G., Shcherbakov, V., Gourbeyre, C., Busen, R., Minikin, A., 2011. Optical properties of pristine ice crystals in mid-latitude cirrus clouds: a case study during CIRCLE-2 experiment. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 11 (6), 2537–2544.
- Gerber, H., Takano, Y., Garrett, T. J., Hobbs, P. V., 2000. Nephelometer measurements of the asymmetry parameter, volume extinction coefficient, and backscatter ratio in Arctic clouds. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* (1999), 3021–3034.

- 758 Groth, S. P., Baran, A. J., Betcke, T., Havemann, S., Smigai, W., 2015. The
759 boundary element method for light scattering by ice crystals and its imple-
760 mentation in bem++. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative*
761 *Transfer* 167, 40–52.
- 762 Hashino, T., Chiruta, M., Polzin, D., Kubicek, A., Wang, P. K., 2014. Nu-
763 merical simulation of the flow fields around falling ice crystals with inclined
764 orientation and the hydrodynamic torque. *Atmospheric Research* 150, 79–
765 96.
- 766 Havemann, S., Baran, A. J., 2004. Calculation of the phase matrix elements
767 of elongated hexagonal ice columns using the T-matrix method. *Journal*
768 *of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* 89, 87–96.
- 769 Hesse, E., Macke, A., Havemann, S., Baran, A. J., Ulanowski, Z., Kaye, P. H.,
770 2012. Modelling diffraction by faceted particles. *Journal of Quantitative*
771 *Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* 113 (5), 342–347.
- 772 Hesse, E., McCall, D., Ulanowski, Z., Stopford, C., Kaye, P. H., 2009. Ap-
773 plication of rtdf to particles with curved surfaces. *Journal of Quantitative*
774 *Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* 110, 1599–1603.
- 775 Heymsfield, A. J., Bansemer, A., Field, P. R., Durden, S. L., Stith, J. L., Dye,
776 J. E., Hall, W., Grainger, C. A., 2002. Observations and Parameterizations
777 of Particle Size Distributions in Deep Tropical Cirrus and Stratiform Pre-
778 cipitating Clouds : Results from In Situ Observations in TRMM Field
779 Campaigns. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 59, 3457–3491.

- 780 Heymsfield, A. J., Miloshevich, L. M., 2003. Parameterizations for the Cross-
781 Sectional Area and Extinction of Cirrus and Stratiform Ice Cloud Particles.
782 Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences 60, 936–956.
- 783 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2013. The Physical Science
784 Basis: Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fifth Assessment Report
785 of the IPCC. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 786 Korolev, A. V., Emery, E. F., Strapp, J. W., Cober, S. G., Isaac, G. A.,
787 Wasey, M., Marcotte, D., 2011. Small ice particles in tropospheric clouds:
788 Fact or artifact? Airborne Icing Instrumentation Evaluation experiment.
789 Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 92, 967–973.
- 790 Labonnote, L. C., Brogniez, G., Buriez, J.-., Doutriaux-Boucher, M., Gayet,
791 J.-F., Macke, A., Jun. 2001. Polarized light scattering by inhomogeneous
792 hexagonal monocrystals: Validation with ADEOS-POLDER measure-
793 ments. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 106 (D11), 12139–
794 12153.
- 795 Loeb, N. G., Wielicki, B. A., Doelling, D. R., Smith, G. L., Keyes, D. F.,
796 Kato, S., Manalo-Smith, N., Wong, T., 2009. Toward optimal closure of
797 the earth’s top-of-atmosphere radiation budget. Journal of Climate 22,
798 748–766.
- 799 Macke, A., Francis, P. N., Mcfarquhar, G. M., Kinne, S., 1998. The Role of Ice
800 Particle Shapes and Size Distributions in the Single Scattering Properties
801 of Cirrus Clouds. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences 55, 2874–2883.

802 Macke, A., Mishchenko, M. I., 1996. Applicability of regular particle shapes
803 in light scattering calculations for atmospheric ice particles. *Applied Optics*
804 35, 4291–4296.

805 Macke, A., Mishchenko, M. I., Cairns, B., 1996a. The influence of inclusions
806 on light scattering by large ice particles. *Journal of Geophysical Research*
807 101, 23,311–23,316.

808 Macke, A., Mueller, J., Raschke, E., 1996b. Single scattering properties of
809 atmospheric ice crystals. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 53, 2813–
810 2825.

811 Manners, J., Edwards, J. M. Hill, P., Thelen, J.-C., April 2015. Socrates
812 technical guide suite of community radiative transfer codes based on
813 edwards and slingo. Tech. rep., Met Office, FitzRoy Rd, Exeter EX1 3PB.
814 URL <https://code.metoffice.gov.uk/trac/socrates/attachment/wiki/WikiStart/socra>

815 Mano, Y., Oct 2000. Exact solution of electromagnetic scattering by a three-
816 dimensional hexagonal ice column obtained with the boundary-element
817 method. *Applied Optics* 39 (30), 5541–5546.

818 McFarquhar, G. M., Heymsfield, A., 1998. The definition and significance
819 of an effective radius for ice clouds. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*,
820 2039–2052.

821 McFarquhar, G. M., Heymsfield, A. J., 1996. Microphysical characteristics
822 of three anvils sampled during the central equatorial pacific experiment
823 (cepex). *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 53, 2401–2423.

- 824 McFarquhar, G. M., Um, J., Jackson, R., 2013. Small Cloud Particle Shapes
825 in Mixed-Phase Clouds. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*
826 52 (5), 1277–1293.
- 827 McFarquhar, G. M., Yang, P., Macke, A., Baran, A. J., 2002. A new pa-
828 rameterization of single scattering solar radiative properties for tropical
829 anvils using observed ice crystal size and shape distributions. *Journal of*
830 *the Atmospheric Sciences* 59, 2458–2478.
- 831 Mishchenko, M. I., Cairns, B., Kopp, G., Schueler, C. F., Fafaul, B. A.,
832 Hansen, J. E., Hooker, R. J., Itchkawich, T., Maring, H. B., Travis, L. D.,
833 2007. Accurate monitoring of terrestrial aerosols and total solar irradiance
834 introducing the glory mission. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological*
835 *Society* 88, 677–691.
- 836 Mitchell, D. L., 2002. Effective diameter in radiative transfer: General def-
837 inition, applications and limitations. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*
838 59, 2330–2346.
- 839 Mitchell, D. L., Lawson, R. P., Baker, B., 2011. Understanding effective diam-
840 eter and its application to terrestrial radiation in ice clouds. *Atmospheric*
841 *Chemistry and Physics* 11, 3417–3429.
- 842 Platt, C. M. R., 1978. Lidar backscatter from horizontal ice crystal patterns.
843 *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 17, 482–8.
- 844 Sassen, K., Wang, Z., Liu, D., 2008. Global distribution of cirrus clouds from
845 CloudSat Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observa-

- 846 tions (CALIPSO) measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 113,
847 D00A12.
- 848 Schmitt, C. G., Heymsfield, A. J., 2007. On the Occurrence of Hollow Bullet
849 Rosette and Column Shaped Ice Crystals in Midlatitude Cirrus. *Journal*
850 *of the Atmospheric Sciences* 64 (12), 4514–4519.
- 851 Schmitt, C. G., Iaquinta, J., Heymsfield, A. J., Iaquinta, J., 2006. The Asym-
852 metry Parameter of Cirrus Clouds Composed of Hollow Bullet Rosette
853 Shaped Ice Crystals from Ray-Tracing Calculations. *Journal of Applied*
854 *Meteorology and Climatology* 45, 973–981.
- 855 Schnaiter, M., Kaye, P. H., Hirst, E., Ulanowski, Z., Wagner, R., 2011.
856 Exploring the surface roughness of small ice crystals by measuring high
857 resolution angular scattering patterns. *AAPP* 89 (1), 5–8.
- 858 Schnaiter, M., Schon, R., Mohler, O., Saathoff, H., Wagner, R., 2007.
859 Backscattering linear depolarization ratio of laboratory generated ice
860 clouds composed of pristine and complex-shaped ice crystals. In: 10th
861 International Conference on Light Scattering by Non-spherical Particles.
862 pp. 193–196.
- 863 Smith, H. R., Connolly, P. J., Webb, A. R., Baran, A. J., 2016. Exact and near
864 backscattering measurements of the linear depolarisation ratio of various
865 ice crystal habits generated in a laboratory cloud chamber. *Journal of*
866 *Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*.
- 867 Smith, H. R., Connolly, P. J., Baran, A. J., Hesse, E., Smedley, A. R. D.,
868 Webb, A. R., 2015. Cloud chamber laboratory investigations into the scat-

- 869 tering properties of hollow ice particles. *Journal of Quantitative Spec-*
870 *troscopy and Radiative Transfer* 157, 106–118.
- 871 Stephens, G. L., Li, J., Wild, M., Clayson, C. A., Loeb, N., Kato, S.,
872 L’Ecuyer, T., Stackhouse Jr, P. W., Lebsock, M., Andrews, T., 2012. An
873 update on Earth’s energy balance in light of the latest global observations.
874 *Nature Geoscience*.
- 875 Stephens, G. L., Vane, D. G., Boain, R. J., Mace, G. G., Sassen, K., Wang,
876 Z., Illingworth, A. J., O’Connor, E. J., Rossow, W. B., Durden, S. L.,
877 Miller, S. D., Austin, R. T., Benedetti, A., Mitrescu, C., Team, T. C. S.,
878 2002. The Cloudsat Mission and the A-Train. *Bulletin of the American*
879 *Meteorological Society* 83 (12), 1771–1790.
- 880 Ulanowski, Z., Hesse, E., Kaye, P. H., Baran, A. J., 2006. Light scattering by
881 complex ice-analogue crystals. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and*
882 *Radiative Transfer* 100 (1-3), 382–392.
- 883 Um, J., McFarquhar, G. M., 2007. Single-Scattering Properties of Aggre-
884 gates of Bullet Rosettes in Cirrus. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and*
885 *Climatology* 46 (June), 757–775.
- 886 van de Hulst, H., 1957. *Light scattering by small particles*. John Wiley &
887 Sons.
- 888 Van Diedenhoven, B., Ackerman, A. S., Cairns, B., Fridlind, A. M., 2014. A
889 Flexible Parameterization for Shortwave Optical Properties of Ice Crystals.
890 *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 71 (5), 1763–1782.

- 891 Walden, V. P., Warren, S. G., Tuttle, E., 2003. Atmospheric ice crystals
892 over the Antarctic Plateau in winter. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 42,
893 1391–1405.
- 894 Walters, 2016. The Met Office Unified Model Global Atmosphere 6.0/6.1 and
895 JULES Global Land 6.0/6.1 configurations, in preparation.
- 896 Warren, S. G., Brandt, R. E., 2008. Optical constants of ice from the ul-
897 traviolet to the microwave: A revised compilation. *Journal of Geophysical*
898 *Research* 113, 1–10.
- 899 Weickmann, H., 1949. Die Eisphase in der Atmosphäre. *Berichte des*
900 *Deutschen Wetterdienstes in der US-Zone*. Dt. Wetterdienst in der US-
901 Zone.
- 902 Westbrook, C. D., 2011. Origin of the parry arc. *Quarterly Journal of the*
903 *Royal Meteorological Society* 137 (655), 538–543.
- 904 Wood, N., Staniforth, A., White, A., Allen, T., Diamantakis, M., Gross, M.,
905 Melvin, T., Smith, C., Vosper, S., Zerroukat, M., Thuburn, J., 2014. An
906 inherently mass-conserving semi-implicit semi-lagrangian discretization of
907 the deep-atmosphere global non-hydrostatic equations. *Quarterly Journal*
908 *of the Royal Meteorological Society* 140, 1505–1520.
- 909 Xie, Y., Yang, P., Kattawar, G. W., Baum, B. A., Hu, Y., 2011. Simulation
910 of the optical properties of plate aggregates for application to the remote
911 sensing of cirrus clouds. *Applied Optics* 50 (8), 1065–81.
- 912 Yang, P., Hu, Y. X., Winker, D. M., Zhao, J., Hostetler, C. A., Poole,
913 L., Baum, B. A., Mishchenko, M. I., Reichardt, J., 2003. Enhanced lidar

- 914 backscattering by quasi-horizontally oriented ice crystal plates in cirrus
915 clouds. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* 79-
916 80, 1139–1157.
- 917 Yang, P., Liou, K. N., 1998. Single-scattering properties of complex ice crys-
918 tals in terrestrial atmosphere. *Contr. Atmos. Phys.* 71, 223–248.
- 919 Yang, P., Zhang, Z., Kattawar, G. W., Warren, S. G., Baum, B., Huang,
920 H.-L., Hu, Y. X., Winker, D., Iaquinta, J., 2008. Effect of Cavities on the
921 Optical Properties of Bullet Rosettes: Implications for Active and Passive
922 Remote Sensing of Ice Cloud Properties. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*
923 and Climatology 47 (9), 2311–2330.

Highlights –

- A short-wave parametrization for cirrus is presented
- A single habit is chosen to test its applicability
- A hollow column structure is chosen based on laboratory experiments
- The particle is fit to observations of mass, area-ratio, size
- Predictions of short-wave fluxes, temperature and humidity are discussed