

In vitro fermentation and prebiotic potential of selected extracts from seaweeds and mushrooms

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Rodrigues, D., Walton, G., Sousa, S., Rocha-Santos, T. A. P., Duarte, A. C., Freitas, A. C. and Gomes, A. M. P. (2016) In vitro fermentation and prebiotic potential of selected extracts from seaweeds and mushrooms. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, 73. pp. 131-139. ISSN 0023-6438 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.06.004> Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/65834/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.06.004>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 ***In vitro* fermentation and prebiotic potential of selected extracts from**
2 **seaweeds and mushrooms**

3

4 Dina Rodrigues^{a*}, Gemma Walton^b, Sérgio Sousa^c, Teresa A.P. Rocha-Santos^a,
5 Armando C. Duarte^a, Ana C. Freitas^{a,d}, Ana M.P. Gomes^c

6

7 ^a CESAM - Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies & Department of
8 Chemistry, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193
9 Aveiro, Portugal

10 ^b Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, The University of Reading, RG6
11 6AP, UK

12 ^c Universidade Católica Portuguesa, CBQF – Centro de Biotecnologia e Química
13 Fina – Laboratório Associado, Escola Superior de Biotecnologia, Rua Arquiteto
14 Lobão Vital, Apartado 2511, 4202-401 Porto, Portugal

15 ^d ISEIT/Viseu, Instituto Piaget, Estrada do Alto do Gaio, Galifonge, 3515-776
16 Lordosa, Viseu, Portugal

17

18 * *Corresponding author*: Mailing address: CESAM & Department of Chemistry,
19 University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal. E-mail: dinarodrigues@ua.pt

20

21 This manuscript has been submitted for publication in **LWT-Food Science and**
22 **Technology**

23 It is not to be reproduced or cited without the written permission of the authors.

24 This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

25 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

26 **Abstract**

27 Extracts with prebiotic activity or bioactive compounds from natural sources such
28 as seaweeds or mushrooms, combining a broad spectrum of biological properties,
29 may offer great potential for their use as functional ingredients enabling intestinal
30 microbiota modulation. In this context, selected enzymatic extracts from
31 *Sargassum muticum*, *Osmundea pinnatifida* and *Pholiota nameko* were evaluated
32 *in vitro*. Faecal fermentations were conducted anaerobically under controlled
33 temperature and pH over 24 h. Enzymatic extracts of *Ph. nameko* and of *O.*
34 *pinnatifida* at 1% (w/v), lead to increases in *Bifidobacterium* spp. after 6 h of
35 fermentation in comparison to negative control, suggesting a stimulatory effect.
36 No significant changes over 24 h were observed of *Lactobacillus* spp. In
37 particular, the *Ph. nameko* extract obtained with Flavourzyme not only stimulated
38 growth and/or activity of *Bifidobacterium* spp. but also led to a decrease of
39 *Clostridium histolyticum* group upon 24 h, thus potentially benefiting colonic
40 health. Higher percentages of this extract (2 and 3%) impaired a *C. histolyticum*
41 reduction confirming this selective action and prebiotic potential. Differences in
42 short chain fatty acids (SCFA) and lactic acid production between the four extracts
43 may indicate a potential relationship between their physico-chemical properties,
44 which differ in composition and structures, and modulation of gut bacterial
45 species.

46 **Keywords:** Seaweeds, mushrooms, enzymatic extracts, *fluorescence in situ*
47 *hybridization (FISH)*, prebiotic activity

48

49

50

51 **1. Introduction**

52 Edible seaweeds and mushrooms are an excellent source of bioactive
53 compounds (Pádua, Rocha, Gargiulo, & Ramos, 2015; Ruthes, Smiderle, &
54 Iacomini, 2016) and therefore research into the biological potential of enzymatic
55 extracts of seaweeds *S. muticum* and *O. pinnatifida* and of mushroom *Ph.*
56 *nameko* to be explored within the functional food perspective, were selected for *in*
57 *vitro* fermentation study to consolidate their prebiotic potential (Rodrigues et al.,
58 2015,2016).

59 Prebiotics are defined as substrates that improve the host health by selectively
60 stimulating the growth and/or metabolic activity of one or a limited number of
61 beneficial bacteria in the colon (Roberfroid et al., 2010). The potential prebiotic
62 effect of the selected extracts has been evaluated by comparison with
63 fructooligosaccharides (FOS), the gold standard in comparison studies, using pure
64 cultures (*Lactobacillus acidophilus* La5; *Bifidobacterium animalis* BB12)
65 (Rodrigues et al., 2015,2016). The human gastrointestinal tract represents a
66 complex ecosystem where the available nutrients and the diverse microbiota will
67 influence changes within the community (Roberfroid et al., 2010). Hence, to
68 assess the possible importance that colonic catabolism of these extracts may
69 have on human gut microbiota, *in vitro* batch culture fermentation experiments
70 conducted with faecal inoculum from healthy volunteers, are in order to observe
71 changes in the main bacterial groups present within (Eid et al., 2014; Sánchez-
72 Patán et al., 2012). The human colon is considered the most metabolically active
73 site in the human body with over 1000 species of microorganisms reaching up to
74 10^{12} - 10^{13} bacteria per gram dry weight (Roberfroid et al., 2010). To study this
75 diverse community pH controlled, anaerobic faecal batch cultures enable

76 assessment of the fermentability of substrates in the intestinal lumen, whilst
77 simulating the conditions in the human distal colon (Bergillos-Meca, Costabile,
78 Walton, Moreno-Montoro, Ruiz-Bravo, & Ruiz-López, 2015). A growing body of
79 evidence suggests that the gut microbiota impacts on a wide range of host
80 metabolic pathways, barrier function and immune modulatory function influencing
81 the prevention and risk of a wide range of diseases, including inflammatory bowel
82 disease, diarrhoea and colorectal cancer. Much of this impact is mediated through
83 diet and the consumption of specific health-related foods, justifying the constant
84 need to modulate diet or identify compounds that can positively modify the gut
85 microbiota (Gibson, Scott, Rastall, & Tuohy, 2010).

86 Research has been focused on 'prebiotics', and in particular the ability of certain
87 types of dietary fibre, especially indigestible oligosaccharides, to stimulate the
88 growth of and/or activity of beneficial gut bacteria such as bifidobacteria and
89 lactobacilli while retarding the development of *C. histolyticum*, leading to a
90 concomitant positive effect on colonic health (Gibson et al., 2010; Aida, Shuhaimi,
91 Yazid & Maaruf, 2009). Better understanding of the benefits of prebiotics has
92 urged a need to search for and develop new and alternative sources of prebiotics.
93 According to Zaporozhets et al. (2014), the prebiotic activity of extracts or of
94 polysaccharides from marine seaweeds, combined with a broad spectrum of
95 biological properties, evidences great potential for their use as functional nutrition
96 ingredients enabling modulation of intestinal microbiota and of gastrointestinal
97 tract (GIT) inflammation as well as normalization of the immune system. Therefore
98 the main objective in this study was to evaluate the potential of the digested
99 (closely simulating physiological conditions) seaweed and mushroom extracts
100 containing different polysaccharide and oligosaccharide structures on gut

101 microbial ecology. To our best knowledge there are no studies regarding the
102 impact of water-based enzyme-assisted extracts for seaweeds *S. muticum*, *O.*
103 *pinnatifida* or mushroom *Ph. nameko* on gut microbial ecology confirm and
104 consolidate the biological potential of these selected extracts, for their application
105 as functional food and bioactive ingredient sources.

106

107 **2. Material and methods**

108 2.1. Selected seaweeds and mushrooms extracts

109 In this study water-based enzyme-assisted extracts from two seaweeds and one
110 mushroom were selected following demonstration of potential prebiotic effect with
111 pure cultures (Rodrigues et al., 2015,2016). Selected extracts included extracts of
112 *S. muticum* obtained with Alcalase, *O. pinnatifida* obtained with Viscozyme and
113 *Ph. nameko* obtained with Cellulase and with Flavourzyme. For each extract, 1g
114 of dried mushroom or 2 g of dry seaweed was dispersed in 50 mL of deionised
115 water and incubated in an agitated water bath for 10 min. After adjusting pH to
116 specific enzyme optimum conditions (Alcalase: pH=8 – 50 °C; Flavourzyme:
117 pH=7.0 – 50 °C; Cellulase: pH=4.5 – 50 °C; Viscozyme® L: pH=4.5 – 50 °C; All
118 enzymes were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich), 100 mg of enzyme was added and
119 incubated for enzymatic hydrolysis for 24h at 50 °C. The enzymatic reaction was
120 stopped by heating the sample at 90-100 °C for 10 min followed by immediate
121 cooling in an ice bath. The pH of enzymatic extracts was adjusted to pH 7.0 with
122 1M HCl and/or NaOH and then centrifuged, filtered and freeze-dried according to
123 Rodrigues et al. (2015, 2016).

124

125 2.2. *In vitro* fermentation by human gut microbiota

126 Samples of the selected extracts were submitted to three consecutive steps: 1)
127 Simulated gastrointestinal digestion; 2) Faecal batch-culture fermentation and, 3)
128 Bacterial enumeration using FISH.

129 2.2.1. Simulated gastrointestinal digestion

130 To simulate the digestion of the selected seaweed and mushroom extracts
131 through the gastrointestinal tract and therefore evaluate the main effects of the
132 digested extracts on human microbiota, samples were treated according to Mills
133 et al. (2008), with slight alterations. Water (25 mL) was added to 10 g of
134 lyophilized extract, and the mixture was stomached (Seward, UK) for 5 min using
135 200 paddle-beats per min. The extract solution was then mixed with α -amylase
136 (A4551, Sigma; 3.33 mg) in CaCl_2 (0.001 M, pH 7.0; 1.04 mL) and incubated at 37
137 °C for 30 min and at 130 rpm in a shaker. Afterwards, the pH was decreased to
138 2.0 with 6 M HCl and pepsin (P 7000, Sigma; 0.45 g) dissolved in HCl (0.1 M;
139 4.16 ml) was added. The sample was incubated at 37 °C for 2 h and at 130 rpm in
140 a shaker. After this period, the pH was increased to 7 with 6 M NaOH, and
141 pancreatin (P 8096, Sigma; 0.93 g) and bile (B 8631, Sigma; 0.58 g) in NaHCO_3
142 (0.5 M; 20.8 mL) were added. The extract solution was then incubated at 37 °C
143 and at 45 rpm in a shaker for 3 h and afterwards transferred to 1 kDa molecular
144 weight cut-off regenerated cellulose dialysis tubing (Spectra/Por® 6, Spectrum
145 Europe, Netherlands) and dialyzed against NaCl 0.01 M at 5 °C, to remove low
146 molecular mass digestion products. After 15 h the NaCl dialysis fluid was changed
147 and dialysis continued for an additional 2 h. Afterwards the digested samples
148 were frozen at -80 °C and lyophilized in a freeze dryer (Armfield SB4 model,
149 Ringwood, UK). All chemicals were purchased from Sigma (St Louis, USA).

150 2.2.2. Faecal batch-culture fermentation

151 Three independent fermentation experiments were carried out. Faecal samples
152 were obtained fresh at the premises of the Department of Food and Nutritional
153 Sciences from 3 apparently healthy adult volunteers who ingested a normal diet,
154 had not ingested any antibiotics for at least 6 months and were not regular
155 consumers of pre or probiotics. Samples were collected into sterile vials and kept
156 in an anaerobic cabinet and used within 30 min of collection. A 1/10 (w/w) dilution
157 in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) was prepared and homogenized using a
158 stomacher (Serward, Worthing, UK) for 2 min at 460 paddle-beats per min.

159 Sterile stirred batch culture fermentation vessels (50 mL working volume) were set
160 up and aseptically filled with 45 mL sterile, pre-reduced, basal medium [peptone
161 water 2 g/L, yeast extract 2 g/L, NaCl 0.1 g/L, K₂HPO₄ 0.04 g/L, KH₂PO₄ 0.04 g/L,
162 MgSO₄·7H₂O 0.01 g/L, CaCl₂·6H₂O 0.01 g/L, NaHCO₃ 2 g/L, Tween 80 2 mL/L
163 (BDH, Poole, UK), Hemin 0.05 g/L, vitamin K1 10 µL/L, L-cysteine-HCl 0.5 g/L,
164 bile salts 0.5 g/L, pH 7.0] and gassed overnight with O₂-free N₂ (15 mL/min) with
165 constant agitation. All media and chemicals were purchased from Oxoid
166 (Basingstoke, UK) and Sigma (St Louis, USA). The temperature was kept at 37 °C
167 and pH was controlled between 6.7 and 6.9 using an automated pH controller
168 (Fermac 260, Electrolab, Tewkesbury, UK), which added acid or alkali (0.5 M HCl
169 and 0.5 M NaOH) in order to mimic conditions that resemble the distal region of
170 the human large intestine (Sánchez-Patán et al., 2012).

171 Six stirred pH-controlled batch fermenters were run in parallel. The different
172 digested extracts (1% w/v) were aseptically added to four vessels, the other two
173 vessels were used as controls. For the positive control vessel 1% (w/v) of FOS
174 (95% oligofructose, 0.5 kDa dextran with 25% α-1,2 branching, 1 kDa dextran with
175 32% α-1,2 branching; Orafti®P95, Oreye, Belgium) was used. To the negative

176 control vessel no source of carbon was added. The experiment was performed in
177 triplicate, using one faecal sample given by a different donor for each run of six
178 batch fermenters. Each vessel, with 45 mL sterile medium and digested extract,
179 was inoculated with 5 mL of fresh faecal slurry (1/10 w/w). The batch cultures
180 were run under anaerobic conditions for a period of 24 h, during which 5 mL
181 samples were collected from each vessel at 0, 6, 12 and 24 h for FISH and
182 analysis of lactic acid and short chain fatty acids (SCFA). For this latter analysis,
183 samples were stored at -70 °C until required.

184 In order to assess the effect of the addition of 2 and 3% of digested extracts of *O.*
185 *pinnatifida* obtained with Viscozyme and of *Ph. nameko* obtained with
186 Flavourzyme, faecal batch-culture fermentations were repeated, in triplicate,
187 under similar conditions but non-pH controlled and at lower volumes (10 mL) and
188 for a period of 12 h.

189 2.2.3. Bacterial enumeration using FISH.

190 To assess differences in bacterial composition, FISH was used with
191 oligonucleotide probes designed to target specific diagnostic regions of 16S rRNA
192 based on the method described by Daims, Stoecker, & Wagner (2005). A total of
193 6 different probes commercially synthesized and 5'-labelled with the fluorescent
194 dye (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, USA) were used in addition to an overall stain with
195 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), which measures all cells by staining DNA
196 (Harmsen, Wildeboer-Veloo, Grijpstra, Knol, Degener, & Welling, 2000a; Harmsen
197 et al., 2000b).

198 Samples (375 µL) obtained from each vessel and sampling time were fixed for a
199 minimum of 4 h (4 °C) in 1125 µL 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde. Fixed cells were
200 centrifuged at 13,000 g for 5 min and washed twice in 1 mL filtered sterilized PBS.

201 The washed cells were re-suspended in 150 μ L filtered PBS and stored in 150 μ L
202 ethanol (99%) at -20 $^{\circ}$ C until further processing. Samples were then diluted in a
203 suitable volume of PBS in order to obtain countable fluorescent cells in each field
204 of view and 20 μ L of the above solution was added to each well of a 6 well
205 PTFE/poly-L-lysine coated slide (Tekdon Inc., Myakka City, USA). The samples
206 were dried for 15 min in a drying chamber (46 $^{\circ}$ C).

207 To permeabilize cells for use with probes Bif164 and Lab 158 (Table 1), samples
208 were treated with 20 μ L of lysozyme at room temperature for 15 min before being
209 washed briefly in water. Slides were dehydrated, using an alcohol series (50, 80
210 and 96% (v/v) ethanol) for 3 min in each solution. Slides were returned to the
211 drying oven for 2 min to evaporate excess ethanol before adding the hybridization
212 mixture to each well [(0.9 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tris/HCl (pH 8.0), 0.01% sodium
213 dodecyl sulphate and 4.55 ng/mL probe]. For probes EUB338 I-II-III, the
214 hybridization mixture contained formamide [(0.9 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tris/HCl (pH 8.0),
215 35% formamide, 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulphate and 4.55 ng/mL probe].
216 Hybridization occurred for 4 h in a microarray hybridization incubator (Grant-
217 Boekel, Cambridge, UK). After hybridization, slides were washed in 40 mL
218 washing buffer [0.9 M NaCl and 0.02 M Tris/HCl (pH 8.0)], and 0.005 M
219 ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid for the EUB338 I-II-III probes with 20 μ L nucleic
220 acid stain 4', 6-diamidino-2- phenylindole (DAPI; 50 ng/ μ L) for 15 min. They were
221 then dipped in cold water for a few seconds and dried with compressed air. Five
222 microlitres of polyvinyl alcohol mounting medium with 1,4-
223 diazabicyclo(2,2,2)octane (DABCO) was added onto each well and a cover slip
224 was placed on each slide (20 mm, thickness No 1, VWR, Lutterworth, UK). Slides
225 were examined by epifluorescence microscopy (Eclipse 400, Nikon, Surrey, UK)

226 using the Fluor 100 lens. For each well, 15 fields with a maximum of 300 positive
227 cells were counted.

228 2.2.4. Lactic acid and SCFA analysis

229 Samples were collected from each batch culture at each sampling point (0, 6, 12
230 and 24 h) and frozen at -70 °C until required. Samples were assessed for lactic
231 acid and SCFA (acetic, propionic, butyric, isobutyric and isovaleric acids) using an
232 HPLC apparatus from Merck LaChrom (Fullerton CA, USA), in a single run, based
233 on calibration curves previously prepared with appropriate chromatographic
234 standards; an Aminex HPX-87X cation exchange column from BioRad (Richmond
235 CA, USA) was used for separation; the eluant was pumped at 0.8 mL/min and
236 consisted of 13 mM H₂SO₄ (Merck); and detection was by UV absorbance at 220
237 nm. Prior to analysis, samples were defrosted, centrifuged (13,000 g for 10 min at
238 4 °C) and filtered through a 0.22-µm membrane filter (Millipore, USA) to remove
239 all particulate matter.

240 2.3. Statistical Analysis

241 A paired Student's *t* test was used to test for significant differences in the bacterial
242 group populations between extracts and controls as well as for time *in vitro*
243 fermentation experiments by human gut microbiota. All data of bacterial
244 populations (Log₁₀ cell/mL) are expressed as average of three replicates (donors)
245 plus or minus standard deviation, justifying the high variability reported in several
246 cases.

247

248 **3. Results and discussion**

249 3.1. Modulation of intestinal microbiota by seaweed and mushroom digested
250 extracts.

251 Assessment of the prebiotic potential of bioactive compounds or extracts by *in*
252 *vitro* fermentation with human faecal microbiota provides a cost-effective and
253 rapid alternative to assess the fermentation and modulation capacity of different
254 substrates on a laboratory scale comparative basis (Gullon, Gullon, Tavaría,
255 Pintado, Gomes, Alonso, & Parajo, 2014). It is important to note that before
256 performance of *in vitro* fermentations care was taken to submit each extract to
257 simulated gastrointestinal digestion because resistance to gastric acidity and
258 hydrolysis by mammalian enzymes are limiting factors that have to be assured in
259 order to enable the substrate to reach the colon and be fermented by intestinal
260 microbiota, meeting the pre-requisite for a prebiotic effect or gut modulation effect.
261 According to Gibson et al. (2010) any dietary material that is non-digestible and
262 enters the large intestine is a candidate prebiotic. The few studies that have
263 evaluated the prebiotic potential of seaweed polysaccharides using *in vitro*
264 fermentation (laminarin and low molecular weight polysaccharides from agar and
265 alginate) did not undergo previous gastrointestinal digestion, hindering analysis of
266 true effectiveness of intact compounds (Devillé, Gharbi, Dandriofosse, & Peulen,
267 2007; Ramnani et al., 2012). During the experimental time course (0, 6, 12 and 24
268 h) of the *in vitro* fermentation of the digested seaweed and mushroom extracts at
269 1% (w/v) changes in the different bacterial populations and accumulation of lactic
270 acid and SCFA (acetic, propionic, butyric acids) were assessed. For comparative
271 purposes, the same experimental strategy was used with the well-established
272 prebiotic FOS (positive control) and with medium without carbon source present
273 (negative control) (Fig. 1).

274 FISH was used to monitor the modifications among populations of selected
275 bacterial species caused by the different digested *S. muticum*, *O. pinnatifida* and

276 *Ph. nameko* enzymatic extracts added at 1%, on a comparative basis. Depending
277 on the bacterial group different effects were observed. Both enzymatic extracts of
278 *Ph. nameko* and that of seaweed *O. pinnatifida* obtained with Viscozyme lead to
279 overall increases in *Bifidobacterium* spp. populations as compared to the negative
280 control between 6 and 24 h of fermentation, confirming a stimulatory effect (Fig.
281 1.a). Highest shift was observed for medium containing *Ph. nameko* extract
282 obtained with Flavourzyme raising bifidobacterial counts from $8.06 \pm 0.66 \text{ Log}_{10}$
283 cell/mL at 0h to $8.49 \pm 0.06 \text{ Log}_{10}$ cell/mL at 24 h ($p=0.391$) in comparison to the
284 negative control at 24 h ($p=0.021$). Evidence for a potential prebiotic effect for
285 pure culture of *B. animalis* BB12 was observed for undigested *Ph. nameko*
286 enzymatic extracts (Rodrigues et al., 2016). The positive control FOS, did
287 however induce a higher increase in bifidobacterial numbers after 24 h of
288 fermentation; from 8.04 ± 0.47 at 0 h to 8.98 ± 0.13 at 24 h ($p=0.017$). Indeed a two-
289 fold higher increase was observed for FOS (0.94 log_{10}) when compared to *Ph.*
290 *nameko* extract obtained with Flavourzyme (0.43 log_{10}).

291 No significant lactobacilli populations changes were observed over the 24 h in
292 comparison to the negative control for any of the four extracts tested at 1% except
293 for FOS (Fig. 1.b). These results contrast with those reported in previous studies
294 obtained with pure cultures of *L. acidophilus* La-5; significant higher values
295 ($p<0.05$) of viable cells were observed for the majority of culture media enriched
296 with seaweed water-based extracts (Rodrigues et al., 2015) and with *Ph. nameko*
297 extracts (Rodrigues et al., 2016) after 24 h of incubation in comparison to growth
298 in media with glucose or FOS. Ramnani et al. (2012) also reported absence of
299 effect on gut lactobacilli populations by low molecular weight polysaccharides
300 from agar and alginate seaweeds.

301 The stimulation of growth and/or activity of beneficial gut bacteria such as
302 *Bifidobacterium* by the digested extract of *Ph. nameko* obtained with Flavourzyme
303 was associated with a decrease in numbers of the *C. histolyticum* after 24 h
304 fermentation, in comparison to the negative control (Fig. 1.e). Such a change can
305 be considered a concomitant positive effect on colonic health (Gibson et al., 2010;
306 Aida et al., 2009). Furthermore, it can also be highlighted that although not
307 statistically significant, the positive control FOS was associated with an increased
308 number of cells of *C. histolyticum* after 12 and 24 h in comparison to the negative
309 control (Fig. 1.e); in contrast, decreased numbers of *C. histolyticum* were
310 observed in particular for the extracts of *O. pinnatifida*, *S. muticum* and *Ph.*
311 *nameko* obtained with Flavourzyme. Although there is a clear difference in
312 response between FOS and studied extracts, it must be mentioned that some
313 authors have mentioned that an increase in *C. histolyticum* numbers may be a
314 consequence of culture conditions rather than a specific effect mediated by the
315 tested prebiotic compounds (Bergillos-Meca et al., 2015). Bergillos-Meca et al.
316 (2015) reported such an increase in *C. histolyticum* numbers for both positive
317 control (FOS) and for tested probiotic/prebiotic conditions which is not the case
318 presented herein. The digested extract of *S. muticum* obtained with Alcalase
319 seems to be the less promising of the tested extracts considering the absence of
320 a positive shift for both the *Bifidobacterium* and lactobacilli groups and their
321 positive influence on the *Clostridium* groups at 6 and 12 h (Fig 1.a,b and e).

322 The four digested extracts at 1% led to similar increases in numbers of total
323 bacteria after 24 h fermentation in comparison to the negative control, which y
324 reported a slight reduction in numbers by 24 h (Fig. 1.f). Furthermore, the four
325 digested extracts at 1%, as well as the negative and positive controls, all led to a

326 decrease in numbers of the *Clostridium cocoides/E. rectale* group (Fig. 1.d), which
327 is a major anaerobic population in the human gut. Statistically significant
328 decreases ($p < 0.05$) were observed for *S. muticum* extract alongside both negative
329 and positive controls for *C. cocoides/E. rectale*.

330 *Bacteroides/Prevotella* population (*Bacteroides* group) showed an increase over
331 the 24 h of fermentation for all the four digested extracts, being statistically
332 significant for the *S. muticum* extract and for both extracts of *Ph. nameko* in
333 comparison to the negative control or to the positive FOS which revealed no
334 significant shift in numbers over the 24 h fermentation period (Fig. 1c). It is known
335 that these genera vary greatly with the nature of the diet and while studies have
336 revealed increased proportions of *Bacteroides* in vegetarians (Matijasic,
337 Obermajer, Lipoglavsek, Grabnar, Avgustin, & Rogelj, 2014), or upon ingestion of
338 resistant starch type 4 (Martinez, Kim, Duffy, Schlegel, and Walter, 2010), further
339 studies have detected no alterations in *Bacteroides* upon ingestion of formula diet
340 containing FOS and pea fibre (Benus et al., 2010) or upon blueberry drink
341 consumption (Vendrame, Guglielmetti, Riso, Arioli, Klimis-Zacas, & Porrini, 2011).
342 In contrast, in a study by Vulevic, Juric, Tzortzis, & Gibson (2013),
343 galactooligosaccharide consumption by overweight adults led to a reduction in the
344 *Bacteroides* population. Although *Bacteroides/Prevotella* populations increased
345 with the addition of the digested extracts to the medium it is important to correlate
346 shift in population with the production of SCFA. *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella*
347 genera are organisms capable of using a very wide range of substrates and are
348 major producers of propionic acid. As may be seen from data listed in Table 2 and
349 discussed further, propionic production is significant by 24 h fermentation, and of
350 the same order of magnitude, for the positive control FOS and for both the *Ph.*

351 *nameko* extracts, yet branched-chain fatty acids are reduced, which is concurrent
352 with a decrease in protein fermentation and of positive influence as far as
353 *Bacteroides* modulation is concerned.

354 In order to observe if increasing concentrations of the digested enzymatic
355 seaweeds and mushroom extracts would have a higher impact on gut microbiota
356 modulation, similar *in vitro* fermentations were repeated with 2 and 3% (w/v) of
357 extracts of *O. pinnatifida* obtained with Viscozyme and of *Ph. nameko* obtained
358 with Flavourzyme. The selection of these two extracts was based on the best
359 prebiotic potential selectivity effect demonstrated within each group of extracts,
360 seaweeds and mushroom. The respective results are displayed in Figure 2.

361 Interesting results were obtained for *Bifidobacterium* spp., *Lactobacillus* spp. and
362 *C. histolyticum* group (Fig. 2.a, 2.b and 2.e), respectively; - an increase in the
363 concentration of the digested extracts did not bring about a higher impact on
364 *Bifidobacterium* spp. abundance and increases in population numbers were
365 similar between digested extracts and the positive control FOS in comparison to
366 the negative control which registered no alteration over 12 h fermentation; -
367 abundance in the lactobacilli group was significantly increased with 2 and 3%
368 digested extracts in comparison to the digested extracts at 1% fermentation (Fig.
369 1.b) where no significant increases had been observed for the extracts; - higher
370 increases in lactobacilli populations, although not statistically significant ($p>0.05$),
371 were observed between 0 and 6h for both concentrations of *Ph. nameko* extracts
372 than with FOS at 2% and at 12 h of fermentation similar numbers of cells were
373 observed for both *Ph. nameko* extracts and these were higher than those
374 obtained with FOS 2% and with the negative control; - although the experiments
375 with 2 and 3% *Ph. nameko* extract started with the lowest level of *C. histolyticum*

376 in the faecal inocula these extracts brought about the only statistical significant
377 decreases of *C. histolyticum* by 12 h ($p=0.0003$ for 2% and $p=0.028$ for 3%,
378 respectively) in comparison to 0 h and in comparison to FOS ($p>0.05$) and
379 negative control ($p>0.05$). Numbers of *C. histolyticum* continued to diminish with
380 higher percentages of *Ph. nameko* Flavourzyme extracts (in comparison to 1%
381 extract) confirms their selectivity properties and prebiotic potential, although this
382 result could be a consequence of the lower pH (non-pH controlled experiment)
383 inhibitory effect on the microbial group, must not be overlooked. At higher
384 percentages cross-feeding may become more predominant and selectivity could
385 in fact be lost, yet this is not the case since *C. histolyticum* showed no proliferation
386 and decreased in numbers.

387 3.2 Lactic acid and SCFA production

388 Lactic acid and SCFA, the main products arising from the microbial fermentation
389 of carbohydrates, can provide energy to the colonic epithelium, modulate
390 cholesterol and lipid metabolism, suppress pathogenic intestinal bacteria and
391 modulate the immune system (Salazar, Prieto, Leal, Mayo, Bada-Gancedo, &
392 Madiedo, 2009). Furthermore, they act as electron sinks of anaerobic respiration
393 in the gut and decrease the intestinal pH, thus enhancing the bioavailability of
394 minerals such as calcium and magnesium (Gullon et al., 2014). The concentration
395 of acetic, propionic, butyric, isobutyric and isovaleric acids as well as lactic acid
396 produced during 24 h fermentation of the different extracts added at 1% is shown
397 in Table 2. Significant differences were found between donors with respect to the
398 levels of the different SCFA, in particular with acetic and butyric acids. In some
399 cases the SCFA were detected in only one donor, particularly for propionic,

400 butyric and branched-chain fatty acids. It is estimated that 90% of SCFA are
401 absorbed and therefore low levels may be found in faeces
402 , SCFA production in the negative control was the lowest (14.3 mM by 24 h) in
403 comparison with the media containing either the seaweed or the mushroom
404 extracts. The lack of a carbohydrate may ascribe SCFA production in the negative
405 control to protein degradation by putrefactive bacteria (Gullon et al., 2014) or to
406 residual undigestive components within the faeces. The total SCFA
407 concentrations achieved were highest for medium containing the positive control
408 FOS (75.1 mM by 24 h), followed by medium added with the *Ph. nameko* extracts
409 (50.9 and 50.5 mM by 24 h for *Ph. nameko* Flavourzyme extract and *Ph. nameko*
410 Cellulase extract, respectively) and lastly by media added with *O. pinnatifida*
411 Viscozyme extract (26.7 mM) and *S. muticum* Alcalase extract (20.0 mM by 24 h).
412 These observations correlate well with the observed modulation by the associated
413 extracts of SCFA producer bifidobacterial and lactobacilli bacterial populations
414 discussed in the previous section. Importantly, most of the SCFA production
415 occurred during the first 12 h of fermentation. Acetic and propionic acids were the
416 main SCFA produced in all media containing any of the four extracts or FOS.
417 Highest values for both acetic and propionic acids were observed in media
418 containing FOS, yet importantly concentrations were also produced in media
419 containing either of the *Ph. nameko* extracts. Propionic acid concentrations were
420 highest for media containing FOS or the *Ph. nameko* extract obtained with
421 Flavourzyme. These concentrations may be related to the high numbers of
422 *Bacteroides* present or to the presence of specific compounds in the extracts.
423 Broekaert, Courtin, Verbeke, Van de Wiele, Verstraete, & Delcour (2011),
424 associated propionic acid production with the side chains found in

425 xilooligosaccharides. The acetic-to-propionic ratio increased along fermentation
426 for all substrates except for the *Ph. nameko* Flavourzyme extract, which
427 registered an effective decrease between 12 and 24 h (1.1 to 0.8). Low acetic-to-
428 propionic ratios have been proposed as a positive marker for a hypolipidemic
429 effect consequence of cholesterol biosynthesis inhibition (Salazar, Gueimonde,
430 Hernández-Barranco, Ruas- Madiedo, & de los Reyes-Gavilán, 2008). All tested
431 extracts led to the production of low levels of butyric acid by 24 h fermentation in
432 comparison to the positive control FOS. In general, levels of butyric acid were
433 either similar to those obtained by FOS, as is the case of *O. pinnatifida* extract
434 (average values of 5.9 mM against 6.6 mM FOS) or ca. two-fold lower as for *Ph.*
435 *nameko* extracts (average values of 3.0 and 2.3 mM); values reported for FOS
436 were however quite moderate in comparison to values reported for other studies
437 (for example 12-24 mM in Gullon et al., 2014) albeit a high variability between
438 donors must be highlighted in this latter case. Related results were reported by
439 Benus et al. (2010) who showed that butyric acid was reduced following the fibre-
440 supplemented diet (FOS and pea fibre).

441 Concentrations of the branched chain fatty acids, isobutyric and isovaleric acids
442 were either below detection limit or, in many cases, were detected in only one
443 donor.

444 Lactic acid production was highest when FOS was used as a substrate correlating
445 well with the predominant *Bifidobacterium/Lactobacillus* populations. Lactic acid
446 was also produced in the media containing the tested substrates during the first 6
447 h of fermentation, in contrast to medium with FOS which achieved maximum lactic
448 acid production by 12 h fermentation. Thereafter, lactic acid was consumed
449 independently of the substrate in question. Consumption rate was highest in

450 media containing FOS. This observation may eventually suggest a cross-feeding
451 mechanism (Gullon et al., 2014).

452 The differences observed in SCFA and lactic acid production for the four
453 substrates tested tend to indicate that a relationship may exist between physico-
454 chemical properties of extracts and modulation of individual bacterial species and
455 SCFA production in the gut. The four extracts tested displayed different
456 composition (for example, higher content of sugars was observed in extracts
457 obtained with Viscozyme and Cellulase) and structures (less sulphated
458 polysaccharides in *O. pinnatifida* extract or presence of α and β -glycosidic
459 structures such as glucans and glucan-protein complexes in both *Ph. nameko*
460 extracts) some of which may be more accessible for use (Rodrigues et al.,
461 2015,2016). The different enzymatic treatments on the different seaweed or
462 mushroom sources lead to the release of different oligomer residues from the
463 structural and storage polysaccharides making these susceptible to degradation.
464 Similarly, Ramnani et al. (2012) showed that low molecular weight extracts
465 derived from agar and alginate seaweeds were fermentable by gut microbiota
466 leading to important increases in acetate and propionate.

467

468 **4. Conclusions**

469 All tested extracts had an influence on the composition of human gut microbiota,
470 albeit to different extents. The digested *Ph. nameko* extract obtained with
471 Flavourzyme was found to hinder growth of *C. histolyticum* and growth of
472 members of the *C. coccoides*–*E. rectale* group, while growth of *Bifidobacterium*
473 spp. was enhanced and *Lactobacillus* spp. remained relatively unaffected. This

474 selective increase in bifidobacteria coupled to a consistent increase in total SCFA
475 and lactic acid production suggest its potential prebiotic character.

476 Seaweed extracts, in particular that of *O. pinnatifida* obtained with Viscozyme,
477 were fermentable by gut microbiota as indicated by an increase in SCFA.
478 Increase in SCFA was not always correlated with an increase in bacterial
479 populations for the seaweed extracts.

480

481 **Acknowledgments**

482 This work forms part of a collaboration with the Food Microbial Sciences Unit
483 Laboratory at the University of Reading and was supported by Portuguese
484 Science Foundation (FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia) through
485 individual research grants references SFRH/BPD/73781/2010 and
486 SFRH/BD/77647/2011 under QREN - POPH funds, co-financed by the European
487 Social Fund and Portuguese National Funds from MCTES. Thanks are due, for
488 the financial support to CESAM (UID/AMB/50017), to FCT/MEC through national
489 funds, and the co-funding by the FEDER, within the PT2020 Partnership
490 Agreement and Compete 2020. For CBQF, this work was supported by National
491 Funds from FCT through project UID/Multi/50016/2013.

492

493 **References**

- 494 Aida, F. M. N. A., Shuhaimi, M., Yazid, M., & Maaruf, A. G. (2009). Mushroom as
495 a potential source of prebiotics: a review. *Trends in Food Science and*
496 *Technology*, 20, 567-575.
- 497 Benus, R. F., van der Werf, T. S., Welling, G. W., Judd, P. A., Taylor, M. A.,
498 Harmsen, H. J., & Whelan, K. (2010). Association between *Faecalibacterium*

499 *prausnitzii* and dietary fibre in colonic fermentation in healthy human subjects.
500 *British Journal of Nutrition*, 104, 693-700.

501 Bergillos-Meca, T., Costabile, A., Walton, G., Moreno-Montoro, M., Ruiz-Bravo,
502 A., & Ruiz-López, M. D. (2015). In vitro evaluation of the fermentation
503 properties and potential probiotic activity of *Lactobacillus plantarum* C4 in
504 batch culture. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 60, 420-426.

505 Broekaert, W. F., Courtin, C. M., Verbeke, K., Van de Wiele, T., Verstraete, W., &
506 Delcour, J. A. (2011). Prebiotic and other health-related effects of cereal-
507 derived arabinoxylans, arabinoxylan-oligosaccharides, and
508 xylooligosaccharides. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 51, 178-
509 194.

510 Daims, H., Brühl, A., Amann, R., Schleifer, K. -H., & Wagner, M. (1999). The
511 domain specific probe EUB338 is insufficient for the detection of all bacteria:
512 development and evaluation of a more comprehensive probe set. *Systematic
513 and Applied Microbiology*, 22, 434-444.

514 Daims, H., Stoecker, K., & Wagner, M. (2005). Fluorescence in situ hybridization
515 for the detection of prokaryotes, In *Molecular Microbial Ecologym* Chapter 9m
516 pp. 213. Edited by A. M. Osborn & C. J. Smith. Abingdon, UK: Garland
517 Science.

518 Devillé, C., Gharbi, M., Dandrifosse, G., & Peulen, O. (2007). Study on the effects
519 of laminarin, a polysaccharide from seaweed, on gut characteristics. *Journal
520 of Science of Food and Agriculture*, 87, 1717-1725.

521 Eid, N., Enani, S., Walton, G., Corona, G., Coabile, A., Gibson, G., Rowland, I., &
522 Spencer, J. P. E. (2014). The impact of date palm fruits and their component
523 polyphenols, in gut microbiota ecology, bacterial metabolites and colon cancer
524 cell proliferation. *Journal of Nutritional Science*, 9 pages. 10.1017/jns.2014.16

525 Franks, A. H., Harmsen, H. J. M., Raangs, G. C., Jansen, G. J., Schut, F., &
526 Welling, G. W. (1998). Variations of bacterial populations in human feces
527 measured by fluorescent in situ hybridization with group-specific 16S rRNA
528 targeted oligonucleotide probes. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, 64,
529 3336-45.

530 Gibson, G. R., Scott, K. P., Rastall, R. A., & Tuohy, K. M. (2010). Dietary
531 prebiotics: current status and new definition. *Food Science and Technological*
532 *Bulletin: Functional Foods*, 7, 1-19.

533 Gullon, B., Gullon, P., Tavaría, F., Pintado, M., Gomes, A. M., Alonso, J. L., &
534 Parajo, C. J. (2014). Structural features and assessment of prebiotic activity of
535 refined arabinoxylooligosaccharides from wheat bran. *Journal of Functional*
536 *Foods*, 6, 438-449.

537 Harmsen, H. J. M., Elfferich, P. Schut, F., & Welling, G. W. (1999). A 16S rRNA-
538 targeted Probe for Detection of Lactobacilli and Enterococci in Faecal
539 Samples by Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization. *Microbial Ecology in Health and*
540 *Disease*, 3-12.

541 Harmsen, H. J. M., Wildeboer-Veloo, A. C. M., Grijpstra, J., Knol, J., Degener, J.
542 E., & Welling, G.W. (2000a). Development of 16S rRNA ebased probes for
543 the Coriobacterium group and the Atopobium cluster and their application for

544 enumeration of Coriobacteriaceae in human feces from volunteers of different
545 aged groups. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66, 4523-7.

546 Harmsen, H. J. M., Wildeboer-Veloo, A. C. M., Raangs, G. C., Wagendorp, A. A.,
547 Klijn, N., Bindels, J. G., & Welling, B.W. (2000b). Analysis of intestinal flora
548 development in breast-fed and formula-fed infants using molecular
549 identification and detection methods. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and*
550 *Nutrition*, 30, 61-7.

551 Langendijk, S. P., Schut, F., Jansen, J., Raangs, C. G., Kamphuis, R. G.,
552 Wilkinson, F. H. M., & Welling, G. W. (1995). Quantitative fluorescence in situ
553 hybridization of Bifidobacterium sp with genus-specific 16S rRNA-targeted
554 probes and its application in fecal samples. *Applied Environmental*
555 *Microbiology*, 61, 3069-75.

556 Manz, W., Amann, R. R., Ludwig, W., Vancanneyt, M., & Schleiffer, H. K. (1996).
557 Application of a suite of 16S rRNA-specific oligo nucleotide probes designed
558 to investigate bacteria of the phylum cytophaga-flavobacter-bacteroides in the
559 natural environment. *Microbiology*, 142, 1097-1106.

560 Martinez, I., Kim, J., Duffy, P. R., Schlegel, V. L., & Walter, J. (2010). Resistant
561 starches types 2 and 4 have differential effects on the composition of the fecal
562 microbiota in human subjects. *PLoS One*, 5: e15046.

563 Matijasic, B. B., Obermajer, T., Lipoglavsek, L., Grabnar, I., Avgustin, G., &
564 Rogelj, I. (2014). Association of dietary type with fecal microbiota in
565 vegetarians and omnivores in Slovenia. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 53,
566 1051-64.

567 Mills, D. J. S., Tuohy, K. M., Booth, J., Buck, M., Crabbe, M. J. C., Gibson, G. R.,
568 & Ames, J. M. (2008). Dietary glycated protein modulates the colonic
569 microbiota towards a more detrimental composition in ulcerative colitis
570 patients and non-ulcerative colitis subjects. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*,
571 *105*, 706-714.

572 Pádua, D., Rocha, E., Gargiulo, D., & Ramos, A. A., (2015). Bioactive compounds
573 from brown seaweeds: Phloroglucinol, fucoxanthin and fucoidan as promising
574 therapeutic agents against breast cancer. *Phytochemistry Letters*, *14*, 91-98.

575 Ramnani, P., Chitarrari, R., Tuohy, K., Grant, J., Hotchkiss, S., Philp,
576 K., Campbell, R., Gill, C., & Rowland, I. (2012). In vitro fermentation and
577 prebiotic potential of novel low molecular weight polysaccharides derived from
578 agar and alginate seaweeds. *Anaerobe*, *18*, 1-6.

579 Ruthes, A. C., Smiderle, F. R., & Iacomini, M. (2016). Mushroom
580 heteropolysaccharides: A review on their sources, structure and biological
581 effects. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *136*, 358-375.

582 Roberfroid, M., Gibson, G. R., Hoyles, L., McCartney, A. L., Rastall, R., Rowland,
583 I., Wolvers, D., Watzl, B., Szajewska, H., Stahl, B., Guarner, F., Respondek,
584 F., Whelan, K., Coxam, V., Davicco, M. J., Léotoing, L., Wittrant,
585 Y., Delzenne, N. M., Cani, P. D., Neyrinck, A. M., & Meheust, A. (2010).
586 Prebiotic effects: metabolic and health benefits. *British Journal of Nutrition*,
587 *104*, S1-S63.

588 Rodrigues, D., Sousa, S., Silva, A. G., Amorim, M., Pereira, L., Rocha-Santos, T.
589 A. P., Gomes, A. M. P., Duarte, A. C., & Freitas, A. C. (2015). Impact of
590 Enzyme- and Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction Methods on Biological

591 Properties of Red, Brown, and Green Seaweeds from the Central West Coast
592 of Portugal. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, 63, 3177-3188.

593 Rodrigues D, Freitas AC, Sousa S, Amorim M, Vasconcelos MW, Costa J, Silva
594 AMS, Rocha-Santos TAP, Duarte AC, Gomes AMP. 2016. Chemical and
595 structural characterization of *Pholiota nameko* extracts with biological
596 properties. *Food Chemistry*, Submitted, FOODCHEM D-16-01609.

597 Salazar, N., Gueimonde, M., Hernández-Barranco, A. M., Ruas- Madiedo, P., &
598 de los Reyes-Gavilán, C. G. (2008). Exopolysaccharides produced by intestinal
599 Bifidobacterium strains act as fermentable substrates for human intestinal
600 bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 74, 4737-4745.

601 Salazar, N., Prieto, A., Leal, J. A., Mayo, B., Bada-Gancedo, J. C., & Madiedo, C.
602 G. (2009). Production of exopolysaccharides by *Lactobacillus* strains of human
603 origin, and metabolic activity of the producing bacteria in milk. *Journal of Dairy
604 Science*, 92, 52-58.

605 Sánchez-Patán, F., Cueva, C., Monagas, M., Walton, G. E., Gibson, G. R.,
606 Quintanilla-López, J. E., Lebrón-Aguilar, R., Martín-Álvarez, P. J., Moreno-
607 Arribas, M. V., & Bartolomé, B. (2012). In vitro fermentation of a red wine
608 extract by human gut microbiota: Changes in microbial groups and formation
609 of phenolic metabolites. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60, 2136-
610 2147.

611 Vendrame, S., Guglielmetti, S., Riso, P., Arioli, S., Klimis-Zacas, D., & Porrini, M.
612 (2011). Six-week consumption of a wild blueberry powder drink increases
613 bifidobacteria in the human gut. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
614 59, 12815-20.

615 Vulevic, J., Juric, A., Tzortzis, G., & Gibson, G. R. (2013). A mixture of
616 transgalactooligosaccharides reduces markers of metabolic syndrome and
617 modulates the fecal microbiota and immune function of overweight adults.
618 *Journal of Nutrition*, 143, 324-31.

619 Zaporozhets. T. S., Besednova, N. N., Kuznetsova, T. A., Zvyagintseva, T. N.,
620 Makarenkova, I. D., Kryzhanovsky, S. P., & Melnikov, V. G. (2014). The
621 prebiotic potential of polysaccharides and extracts of seaweeds. *Russian*
622 *Journal of Marine Biology*, 40, 1-9.

623

624 **Figure captions**

625 **Figure 1.** Bacterial populations (a) *Bifidobacterium* spp.; b) *Lactobacillus*
626 spp./*Enterococcus* spp.; c) Bacteroides group; d) *C. cocoides*/*E. rectale* group; e)
627 *C. histolyticum* group; f) Total bacteria) analysed by FISH in batch cultures
628 containing 1% (w/v) of digested extracts of seaweeds *O. pinnatifida* obtained by
629 Viscozyme (*O.pin_Visc*) and *S. muticum* obtained by Alcalase (*S.mut_Alc*) and of
630 mushroom *Ph. nameko* obtained by Flavourzyme (*Ph.nam_Flav*) and by Cellulase
631 (*Ph.nam_Cell*) and the respective controls. Error bars indicate SD of the replicates
632 involving 3 adult donors. ^ap<0.05; significantly different compared to 0h within the
633 same substrate. *p<0.05; significantly different compared to negative control.
634 #p<0.05; significantly different compared to FOS, positive control.

635

636 **Figure 2.** Bacterial populations (a) *Bifidobacterium* spp.; b) *Lactobacillus*
637 spp./*Enterococcus* spp.; c) Bacteroides group; d) *C. cocoides*/*E. rectale* group; e)
638 *C. histolyticum* group; f) Total bacteria) analysed by FISH in batch cultures
639 containing 2 and 3% (w/v) of digested extracts of seaweed *O. pinnatifida* obtained

640 by Viscozyme (O.pin_Visc) and of mushroom *Ph. nameko* obtained by
641 Flavourzyme (Ph.nam_Flav) and the respective controls. Error bars indicate SD of
642 the replicates involving 3 adult donors. ^ap<0.05; significantly different compared to
643 0h within the same substrate. *p<0.05; significantly different compared to negative
644 control. #p<0.05; significantly different compared to 2% FOS, positive control.