

*Impact of fertiliser nitrogen formulation,
and N stabilisers on nitrous oxide
emissions in spring barley*

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Roche, L., Forrestal, P. J., Lanigan, G. J., Richards, K. G., Shaw, L. J. and Wall, D. P. (2016) Impact of fertiliser nitrogen formulation, and N stabilisers on nitrous oxide emissions in spring barley. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 233. pp. 229-237. ISSN 0167-8809 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.08.031> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/66747/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.08.031>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **Title: Impact of fertiliser nitrogen formulation, and N stabilisers on nitrous oxide**
2 **emissions in spring barley**

3

4 **Authors:** Roche, L.,^{1,2} Forrestal, P.J.,¹ Lanigan, G.J.,¹ Richards, K.G.,¹ Shaw, L.J.,² Wall,
5 D.P.¹

6 Corresponding authors, Lanigan, G.J. gary.lanigan@teagasc.ie and Wall, D.P. david.wall@teagasc.ie

7 **Affiliations:**

8

9 ¹*Teagasc Environment Research Centre, Johnstown Castle, Co.Wexford, Ireland.*

10 ²*Soil Research Centre, Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of*
11 *Reading, UK.*

12

13 **Abstract**

14 The application of nitrogen (N) fertilisers to agricultural soils is a major source of nitrous
15 oxide (N₂O) emissions. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has set a
16 default emission factor of 1% (EF₁) for N fertiliser applied to managed agricultural soils. This
17 value does not differentiate between different N fertiliser formulations or rates of N
18 application. The objective of this field study under spring barley was to determine N₂O EF's
19 for different N fertiliser formulations including urea and urea stabilised with the nitrification
20 inhibitor dicyandiamide (DCD) and/or the urease inhibitor *N*-(*n*-butyl) thiophosphoric
21 triamide (NBPT) and to evaluate their N₂O loss abatement potential relative to calcium
22 ammonium nitrate (CAN). The highest EF₁ measured was 0.49% for CAN which was less
23 than half the IPCC default value of 1%. While the urease inhibitor did not reduce emissions
24 relative to CAN; the nitrification inhibitor significantly reduced emissions compared to CAN
25 with EF₁ as low as 0.00% for a typical spring barley site. There was no significant impact of
26 CAN or urea application rate on EF₁ but there was a significant negative relationship

27 observed for urea in 2013. The study highlights the importance of generating higher Tier
28 emission factors in terms of fertiliser type for use in national inventories.

29

30 **Keywords:** urea; emission factor; arable soil; DCD; Inhibitors; NBPT

31

32 **1 Introduction**

33 Concentrations of atmospheric greenhouse gases (GHGs) have increased since the beginning
34 of the industrial era, due to anthropogenic activities (U.S. EPA, 2015). Between 1990 and
35 2005, global non-carbon dioxide (CO₂) GHG emissions grew by 10% to approximately
36 10,800 megatons CO₂ equivalent (Mt CO₂ eq) and are expected to increase by 43% by 2030
37 (U.S. EPA, 2012). Globally, the agriculture sector accounts for the largest proportion of non-
38 CO₂ GHG emissions, accounting for 54% in 2005 (U.S. EPA, 2012). Nitrous oxide comprises
39 approximately 32% of agricultural emissions (U.S. EPA, 2012) and is a potent GHG, with a
40 global warming potential 265 times that of CO₂ over a 100 year time frame (Myhre et al.,
41 2013). The atmospheric concentration of N₂O has increased at an average rate of 0.75 ppb yr⁻¹
42 ¹, rising 20% since 1750 to 324 ppb (IPCC, 2014). Emissions associated with nitrogen (N)
43 application to agricultural soils comprise 60% of global N₂O emissions and are projected to
44 increase from 6.1 to over 7 Tg N₂O-N yr⁻¹ by 2030, due to increased global population and
45 food demand (Reay et al., 2012). The use of mineral fertilisers has been one of the principal
46 drivers of this increase in emissions (Davidson, 2009). Excess N application has resulted in
47 enhanced reactive N losses to the environment (Bell et al., 2015). Furthermore N₂O is the
48 single most important ozone-depleting gas and is expected to remain so throughout the 21st
49 century (Ravishankara et al., 2009).

50 In order to generate total N₂O emissions for inputting into national inventories, the quantity
51 of a given activity (e.g. tonnes of fertiliser applied) is multiplied by an emission factor (EF).
52 This emission factor is defined as the percentage of N₂O emitted as a proportion of the N
53 applied. The IPCC default EF for direct N₂O emissions, associated with the application of
54 mineral or organic fertiliser to managed soils, (termed EF₁) is 1% of the N applied (IPCC,
55 2006). This value is a crude estimate as it does not account for crop and soil type, climatic
56 conditions or management practices, all of which affect N₂O emissions (Dobbie and Smith,

57 2003a, 2003b; Dobbie et al., 1999; Lesschen et al., 2011). Country and cropping system
58 specific data would allow temperate regions to use the Tier 2 emission inventory
59 methodology, where these more detailed and accurate emission factors that are specific for
60 soil and crop type are required (IPCC, 2006). Subsequently, these data could support the
61 development of new N fertiliser recommendations in Ireland; therefore promoting continued
62 reductions of GHG emissions in line with the 2030 targets to reduce GHG emissions by 40%
63 (EC, 2014).

64 In Ireland the agricultural sector contributes 32% of national GHG emissions (Duffy et al.,
65 2015). Nitrogen application to agricultural soils is one of the key categories, accounting for
66 22% of total emissions from agriculture and this is projected to increase by 12% by 2020
67 (EPA, 2013). The focus of this study is on arable land, specifically examining the N₂O
68 emissions resulting from the addition of N fertiliser to spring cereal crops, which is one of the
69 largest contributors to GHGs from this land use type. Altering fertiliser formulation and/or
70 rate as well as the incorporation of inhibitors may be a key abatement strategy for reducing
71 N₂O emissions from agriculture (Harty et al., 2016).

72 Calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) is the dominant N fertiliser used by arable farmers in
73 Ireland. CAN contains 27% N, of which 50% is in the nitrate-N form and immediately
74 contributes to the soil nitrate pool. Nitrate is then available for N₂O loss through the
75 denitrification processes. Nitrification may also be an important source of N₂O from the
76 application of urea or ammonium based fertilisers (Bremner and Blackmer, 1978).
77 Substituting CAN with urea as an alternative N fertiliser formulation has the potential to
78 reduce direct N₂O emissions, associated with denitrification, because urea or ammonium N
79 forms are not immediately available for denitrification after application. However, there is
80 potential for nitrifier denitrification to be a source of N₂O (Kool et al., 2011) coupled with the
81 potential for urea to favour N loss as ammonia during urea hydrolysis. The addition of a

82 urease inhibitor has potential to reduce ammonia volatilisation which not only contributes to
83 air pollution but which can also contribute to indirect N₂O emissions (Watson et al., 2009;
84 Forrestal et al., 2015). The addition of a nitrification inhibitor has potential to regulate the soil
85 nitrate pool and further reduce direct N₂O emissions by both nitrification and denitrification
86 (Dobbie and Smith, 2003a). The rate of N fertiliser application is also important as generally
87 the higher the N fertiliser rate, the higher the N₂O emissions (Hinton et al., 2015). Using the
88 IPCC default EF₁ assumes a linear relationship between N₂O emissions and N fertiliser rate
89 which Hinton et al (2015) observed. Other studies have observed nonlinear relationships
90 between N₂O emissions and N fertiliser rate (Hoben et al., 2011; McSwiney and Roberston,
91 2005).

92 In this study, N₂O emissions were measured from spring barley after fertiliser applications of
93 CAN and urea with and without N stabilisers. Nitrogen stabilisers are fertiliser additives that
94 reduce environmental N losses thereby stabilising the N in the soil. These can either a) reduce
95 urea N loss via volatilisation and are termed urease inhibitors or reduce N loss via
96 denitrification of nitrate and are termed nitrification inhibitors. These stabilisers can thus
97 increase fertiliser use efficiencies by increasing plant N uptake and crop yields. The N
98 stabilisers evaluated in this study were the urease inhibitor N-(n-butyl) thiophosphoric
99 triamide (NBPT (trade name Agrotain™) and also referred to as n-BTPT in other studies), the
100 nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide (DCD), and the Maleic-Itaconic acid Co-polymer
101 (MICO (trade name NutriSphere-N®)) which is a urease and nitrification inhibitor. The aims
102 of this study were to quantify the effect of N fertiliser rate and formulation on direct N₂O
103 emissions from spring barley in a temperate maritime climate and to develop crop specific
104 emission factors for use in national N₂O emissions inventories. The hypothesis of this study
105 is that changing N fertiliser source from CAN to stabilised urea reduces N₂O emissions.

106

107 **2 Materials and Methods**

108 2.1 Site description

109 Field plot trials were conducted on spring malting barley on a free-draining loam soil located
110 in Marshalstown, Co. Wexford (Table 1). This field site was located within the main malting
111 barley growing region in Ireland (Duffy et al., 2015) and was representative of the typical soil
112 type used for arable cropping. The site history was long term arable production for at least 20
113 years.

114

115 2.2 Crop husbandry

116 The spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cultivar used was ‘Sebastian’. The site was ploughed
117 to approximately 20 cm depth in February 2013 and March 2014. The crop was sown in mid-
118 April in both years and was harvested in mid-August in both years. The experiment ran from
119 April 2013 to April 2015 and generated emission factors for two years (crop sowing time to
120 the following sowing time each year). The site characteristics are described in Table 1 and are
121 based on the top 10 cm of soil which is the standard agronomic soil sampling depth in
122 Ireland. Each year basal P, K and S were applied to the soil, according to the Teagasc Green
123 Book of nutrient advice (Coulter and Lalor, 2008) to prevent nutrient deficiencies from
124 occurring. A robust pesticide programme was applied to the crop to control weeds, pests and
125 diseases as per standard agronomic practice for spring barley crops (Teagasc, 2015).

126

127

128

129

130

131

132 **Table 1.** Site location and soil characteristics (0-10 cm depth)

GPS Co-ordinates	52° 33' 37.3" N 6° 36' 09.0" W
Drainage Class	Free- draining
Texture	Loam
Sand %	31.8
Silt %	41.4
Clay %	26.8
Stone volume (v/v)	28
pH	6.76
LOI %	8.99
Total C %	2.88
Total N %	0.281
C : N ratio	10
CEC (meq/100g)	21.1
Ca (mg/kg soil)	1616
K (mg/kg soil)	267
Mg (mg/kg soil)	164
P (mg/kg soil)	37.3
S (mg/kg soil)	4.8

133

134

135 2.3 Experimental Design

136 A randomised block design was used with five replicates of each fertiliser formulation. In
 137 addition to the unfertilised control, six fertiliser formulations were used: (i) CAN, (ii) urea
 138 (iii) urea + NBPT (iv) urea + DCD (v) urea + NBPT + DCD, and (vi) urea + MICO included
 139 in 2014 only. All fertiliser formulations were applied at the common N rate of 150 kg N ha⁻¹

140 as this was the recommended N rate for spring barley as per the target crop yield. CAN and
 141 urea were applied at additional rates and details of the N fertiliser rates used are shown in
 142 Table 2. Each experimental unit (trial plot) measured 6m by 2.5m. Fertiliser was applied in
 143 two splits for all treatments. The first split comprised 30 kg N ha⁻¹ and was surface applied
 144 within seven days of sowing. The second split was comprised of the remaining N fertiliser to
 145 make up the individual treatment rate (for 150 kg N ha⁻¹ the 2nd split was 120 kg N h⁻¹) and
 146 was applied during early to mid-tillering (Zadoks GS 20-25). The first split fertiliser was
 147 applied 15th April 2013 and the 23rd April 2014. The second split was applied 13th May in
 148 both years. Pre-weighed fertiliser was applied by hand to the chamber base separately to the
 149 plot area to ensure the correct N application rate within the chambers.

150

151 **Table 2.** Nitrogen fertiliser formulations and rate

Fertiliser Formulation	N Rate (kg N ha ⁻¹)				
	100	125	150	175	200
CAN	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Urea	✓	✓	✓		✓
Urea + NBPT			✓		
Urea + DCD			✓		
Urea + NBPT + DCD			✓		
Urea + MICO			✓		

152

153

154 2.4 Soil and Climatic Analysis

155 Total daily rainfall, air temperature and humidity were recorded at a weather station adjacent
 156 to the site. Atmospheric pressure from the nearest available weather station at Johnstown
 157 Castle was used. Soil moisture was recorded on each day of N₂O measurement to a depth of

158 10 cm using a Delta T ML2 probe (Delta-T Devices, Burwell, Cambridge, UK). In addition
159 to this, soil samples were taken on a weekly basis at the beginning of the year and on each
160 day of measurement once the frequency of N₂O measurement was reduced later in the
161 growing season. The gravimetric water content (GWC) of the soil was measured using these
162 soil samples. Soil samples were taken to 10 cm depth using a soil corer (2 cm diameter). Five
163 cores were taken from each plot, bulked together in sealed plastic bags and placed in a cool-
164 box. Following sampling (i.e. within 2 hours) the soil samples were taken to the laboratory
165 where they were wet sieved to 2 mm followed immediately by mineral N extraction using 2M
166 potassium chloride (KCl) (1:5 ratio of soil to KCl) (Keeney and Nelson, 1982; Mulvaney,
167 1996). The mineral N extracts were analysed colorimetrically using an Aquakem 600A
168 (Aquakem 600A, 01621, Vantaa, Finland) to determine the concentration of the mineral N
169 species i.e. Total Oxidised N (TON (including nitrite (NO₂⁻) and nitrate (NO₃⁻)) and
170 ammonium-N (NH₄⁺-N). Soil sampling and mineral N extraction occurred weekly at the
171 beginning of the experiment and was reduced to once fortnightly coinciding with the
172 frequency of N₂O measurements. The gravimetric water content (GWC) of the soil samples
173 was also measured on each day of sampling .Soil bulk density was measured four times over
174 the course of the experiment (after the crop was planted and after harvest) and this was used
175 with GWC to calculate volumetric water content (VWC). Soil bulk density and VWC were
176 used to calculate water filled pore space percentage (WFPS %)

177

178 2.5 Nitrous oxide (N₂O) sampling and analysis

179 Daily N₂O fluxes were measured using the static chamber technique (Smith et al., 1995;
180 Chadwick et al., 2014), adhering to the methodology guidelines compiled by the Global
181 Research Alliance (de Klein and Harvey, 2012). The N₂O chamber measurement area was
182 0.4m². Collars were installed to at least 5 cm into the soil (Smith et al., 2012) and contained a

183 neoprene filled channel in order to maintain an air-tight seal. Collars were installed at least
184 three days prior to the first sampling and were left in place for the duration of the study.
185 Collars were removed for harvest and ploughing events and then reinstalled afterwards.
186 When sampling, a stainless steel lid was placed onto the collar and a 10 kg weight was placed
187 on top to compress the neoprene gasket, thus ensuring an airtight seal inside the chamber.
188 There were two different chamber sizes. A chamber with air volume 0.017 m^3 (10cm height)
189 was used from sowing until Zadoks GS 32-33 (stem extension). Subsequently, larger
190 chambers with an air volume of 0.096 m^3 (60cm height) were used until harvest, after which
191 small chambers were used again. Chambers were sampled prior to fertilisation, and then on a
192 reducing temporal resolution for four weeks after fertiliser was applied i.e. four times per
193 week for the first two weeks, twice per week for the next two weeks, and once per week
194 thereafter. This sampling frequency was adopted to capture the period of most active N loss
195 in more detail. In Year two, N_2O sampling was reduced to once every three weeks (after the
196 initial four weeks of sampling after fertilisation) after reviewing year one data. The chamber
197 lids were left on for 40 minutes, (larger chamber lids were left on for 60 minutes), then a 10
198 ml sample was taken from each chamber and immediately injected into a 7 ml pre-evacuated
199 exetainer (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) fitted with double wadded septa (Labco, High Wycombe,
200 UK). On each sampling date eight samples of ambient air were taken around the site and the
201 average used as time zero (T_0) sample for each chamber. Chadwick et al. (2014) have shown
202 that ambient samples are a useful surrogate for individual chamber T_0 samples. On each
203 sampling day, five chambers were chosen at random to check for linearity. These chambers
204 were sampled at T_0 , T_{15} , T_{30} , T_{40} , T_{60} and samples were statistically analysed to test for
205 flux/no flux, quadratic or linearity. On each sampling day two sampling vials were injected
206 with 0.5 ppm N_2O standard from the laboratory to ensure the integrity of samples during
207 storage. Samples were analysed for N_2O using an electron capture detector (ECD) at 300°C .

208 A CTC Analytics Combi-pal auto sampler (CTC Analytics, Industriestrasse 20, Zwingen,
209 Switzerland) was used to inject gas samples into the Bruker Gas Chromatograph (Bruker,
210 Bremen, Germany). Evolved N₂O was expressed as parts per million by volume (ppmv)
211 having allowed for ambient concentrations and up-scaled to a flux in g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ d⁻¹ using
212 the following equation adapted from de Klein and Harvey (2012):

213

$$214 \quad F_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} = (\partial c / \partial t) * ((M * P) / R * T) * (V / A)$$

215 Where:

216 ∂c is the change in gas concentration in the chamber headspace during the enclosure period
217 (ppbv), ∂t is the enclosure period expressed in minutes, M is the molar mass of N₂O-N (28 g
218 mol⁻¹), P is atmospheric pressure (Pa) at the time of sampling, T is the temperature (K) at the
219 time of sampling, R is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J k⁻¹ mol⁻¹), V is the headspace volume of
220 the chamber and A is the area covered by the chamber (ha).

221 Sampling occurred between 10 am and 2 pm each day as per Chadwick et al. (2014). The
222 limit of detection of the method was calculated by averaging the standard deviation of all
223 ambient samples for each year and then subtracting three standard deviations. This was 0.26
224 ppm and 0.28 ppm for 2013 and 2014, respectively. Anything below this was excluded from
225 the study. In total over the two years 39 data points were removed as limits of detection out
226 of a total of 5980 data points.

227

228 2.6 Emission Factor calculation

229 Cumulative N₂O fluxes from each chamber were calculated using trapezoidal integration to
230 interpolate fluxes between sampling dates. Trapezoidal integration was used to linearly
231 integrate fluxes from one sampling day to the next sampling day in order to generate fluxes
232 for 365 days in order to generate cumulative fluxes. For each formulation, cumulative fluxes

233 were calculated using the mean of the five replicates. The EFs were then calculated using the
234 following equation:

235

$$236 \quad EF (\%) = \frac{N_2O-N \text{ cumulative (formulation)} - N_2O-N \text{ cumulative (unfertilised control)}}{Fertiliser N \text{ applied}} \times 100$$

237

238

239 Annual EFs were calculated over a 365 day period (IPCC, 2006). As calendar year (January
240 – December) measurement is not appropriate for tillage systems, EFs were calculated from
241 sowing date to the subsequent years sowing date and normalised to 365 days. Nitrous oxide
242 yield efficiency was calculated by dividing the cumulative $N_2O-N \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (kg) of a treatment by
243 the grain yield (t ha^{-1}) for the same treatment which produced N_2O yield efficiency ($\text{kg } N_2O-$
244 N t^{-1} grain).

245

246 2.7 Linearity of N_2O flux

247 Results from the randomly selected N_2O chambers, used to assess if the N_2O flux was linear,
248 showed on average linear accumulation. Initial analysis of this data was conducted to assess
249 if a flux in N_2O emissions occurred. In some cases there was no flux evident (Table 3). The
250 chambers showing N_2O flux were then analysed for linear or quadratic accumulation of N_2O .
251 Over 90% of these chamber measurements in both sites in both years showed linear
252 accumulation according to the criteria of Chadwick et al. (2014) (Table 3). This shows that
253 the assumption of linear accumulation in the headspace can be used. This is in agreement
254 with work conducted by Chadwick et al. (2014) where over 90% of chamber measurements
255 ($n=1970$) from multiple field experiments, showed linear N_2O accumulation.

256

257

258 **Table 3.** Linearity samples for 2013 and 2014

	2013	2014
Total No. chambers	260	212
Chambers without N ₂ O flux	212	73
Chambers with N ₂ O flux	48	139
Of chambers with flux	%	
Quadratic	8	6
Linear	92	94

259

260

261 2.8 Statistical Analysis

262 Statistical analysis was conducted using the PROC GLIMMIX and PROC MIXED
 263 procedures in SAS 9.3 (2002-2010, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, U.S.A.). PROC MIXED
 264 was used to conduct a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the temporal N₂O
 265 and mineral N data. Residual graphs were generated to check for normality. Log
 266 transformation of all temporal data was conducted as there was high variability within the
 267 dataset and nonconformity with the assumptions about normality in ANOVA. Residual
 268 influence statistics were used to identify potential outliers and showed which data points were
 269 the most influential on the entire dataset. These ‘potential outliers’ were then assessed to
 270 check if they were genuine outliers. The assessment of the temporal N₂O data identified only
 271 six individual flux measurements that were ‘genuine outliers’. These were subsequently
 272 removed from the dataset and the average of the other four replicates was then used for that
 273 day for gap-filling to generate the cumulative flux. The PROC GLIMMIX procedure was
 274 used to test for treatment differences in cumulative emissions. Significant differences were
 275 determined according to the F-protected least significant difference test ($p < 0.05$).

276 Dixons test was used to identify outliers in the ambient data. The minimum detectable flux
277 was then calculated according to Appendix 2 in the chamber methodology guidelines (de
278 Klein and Harvey, 2012). Repeatability, standard deviation and repeatability limit was
279 calculated as per (Ellison et al., 2009). The minimum detectable flux (MDF) was calculated
280 to be 2.59 and 7.78 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ in 2013 for small and large chambers, respectively. In
281 2014 the MDF was calculated to be 2.86 and 7.84 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ for small and large
282 chambers, respectively.

283

284 **3 Results**

285 3.1 Soil and Climatic conditions

286 The weather during the experiment was typical of the weather for this region with most of the
287 rainfall occurring during the autumn and winter months and the highest temperatures
288 occurring during the summer months (Figure 1a and 2a). In both years, the highest average
289 daily temperature was 17°C in July and the highest total monthly rainfall was in October with
290 189 mm in 2013 and 173mm in 2014. Total monthly rainfall and average temperature were
291 higher in April (68.4mm) and May (74.8mm) in 2014 compared with April and May 2013
292 with 47.2mm and 53.6mm and the 30 year average with 59.1 and 55.7mm for April and May
293 respectively. Water filled pore space ranged from 15.74% - 66.09% in 2013 and 28.6% -
294 68.2% in 2014 with the lowest WFPS% occurring in the summer months. Soil total oxidised
295 nitrogen (TON) and ammonium (NH₄⁺) concentrations increased after fertiliser application
296 (Figure 1b and 2b). Elevated soil TON levels occurred following the 2nd split application of
297 CAN. In 2013, levels reached 95.2 mg TON kg⁻¹ soil two days post-application and 106.8 mg
298 TON kg⁻¹ soil 24 days after application for CAN. After this TON levels from CAN were
299 reduced to below 50 mg TON kg⁻¹ soil. In 2014, TON levels from CAN reached 190 mg
300 TON kg⁻¹ soil three days after application. Generally, all other fertiliser formulations had

301 lower TON levels than CAN. Urea + DCD and urea + NBPT + DCD levels were similar to
302 the unfertilised control levels. The highest NH_4^+ concentration in 2013 was 161.13 mg NH_4^+
303 kg^{-1} soil (urea + NBPT + DCD) and in 2014 was $257.98 \text{ mg NH}_4^+ \text{ kg}^{-1}$ soil (urea + DCD). All
304 fertiliser formulations produced an NH_4^+ peak after application but CAN produced the
305 highest TON peak.

306

307 3.2 N_2O emissions: fertiliser formulation and N stabilisers at 150 kg N ha^{-1}

308 Nitrous oxide emissions increased from background levels post-fertiliser application with the
309 highest observed fluxes of $44 \text{ g N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in 2013 (Figure 1c) and $43 \text{ g N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in
310 2014 (Figure 2c). This peak in N_2O emission corresponded closely with timing of fertiliser
311 application and rainfall occurring 15 and 13 days following the main fertiliser split
312 application in 2013 and 2014 respectively. The association of N_2O emissions with fertiliser
313 application was most pronounced following the second fertiliser application of 120 kg N ha^{-1} .
314 The initial split was 30 kg N ha^{-1} and resulted in a lower quantity of N_2O loss.

315 In 2013 the largest daily fluxes came from urea, CAN and urea + NBPT, in that order. The
316 profile of temporal emissions from urea + DCD and urea + NBPT + DCD were similar to the
317 unfertilised control. Approximately 16 weeks after fertiliser application, emissions returned
318 to background levels (i.e. similar to that of the unfertilised control) and remained so for the
319 remainder of the year in 2013 for all formulations.

320 In 2014 there was a peak in emissions after fertiliser application with the largest daily fluxes
321 from CAN, urea + NBPT and urea in that order. Approximately four to six weeks after the
322 second split fertiliser application emissions returned to background levels and remained so
323 for the remainder of the year for all formulations.

324

325

326 3.3 Cumulative emissions and emission factors

327 Cumulative N₂O emissions were all below 0.5% across all formulations and years. In both
328 years CAN produced significantly higher emissions than the unfertilised control with 1161 g
329 N₂O-N ha⁻¹ in 2013 and 513 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ in 2014 (Table 4) compared with 424 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹
330 from the control in 2013 and 191 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ from the control in 2014. In 2013 N₂O loss
331 from CAN, urea, urea + DCD was not significantly different. Urea + NBPT and urea + NBPT
332 + DCD had significantly lower emissions compared to CAN and were also not significantly
333 different to N₂O emissions from the unfertilised control. In 2014 urea + DCD was the sole
334 fertiliser formulation which had significantly lower N₂O loss compared to CAN, urea and
335 urea + MICO. EFs ranged from 0 – 0.49% with the numerically highest EF of 0.49% from
336 CAN in 2013. CAN and urea had the highest direct EFs in each year and all EFs were lower
337 than the IPCC default of 1% regardless of formulation. Urea + NBPT + DCD had the lowest
338 EF in 2013 and urea + DCD had the lowest EF in 2014 and the lowest mean EF.

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351 **Table 4.** Cumulative direct N₂O emissions in g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ and emission factors for 2013 and
 352 2014

Fertiliser Formulation	<u>2013</u>		<u>2014</u>		<u>Average</u>
	Cumulative Emissions	Emission Factor	Cumulative Emissions	Emission Factor	Emission Factor
	g N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹	(%)	g N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹	(%)	(%)
CAN	1161a (166)	0.49	513a (94)	0.21	0.35
Urea	889ab (45)	0.31	538a (99)	0.23	0.27
Urea + NBPT	772bc (173)	0.23	427ab (41)	0.16	0.20
Urea + DCD	804ab (140)	0.25	191b (62)	0	0.13
Urea + NBPT + DCD	723bc (105)	0.20	364ab (105)	0.12	0.16
Urea + MICO	N/A		455a (176)	0.18	0.18
Control	423c (57)		191b (95)		

353 *Different letters represent significant differences between treatments for cumulative emissions using F protected LSD test
 354 (P<0.05) and comparisons are within each year

355 *Treatment SE (standard error) for each treatment at each site shown in brackets.

356
 357
 358
 359
 360

361 3.4 Impact of fertiliser rate on N₂O emissions

362 The impact of N rate (100-200 kg N ha⁻¹) on EF₁ was unclear. There was no significant
 363 impact of application rate on the CAN EF in either year as evidenced by the lack of a
 364 significant correlation between the EF and N rate (Table 5). However, a significant negative
 365 correlation between N rate and the urea EF was observed in 2013 but not in 2014 (Table 5).
 366 The model that best fitted this equation was quadratic with an r² value of 0.96; the equation of
 367 the line is presented in Table 5.

368

369 **Table 5.** Effect of N rate on N₂O emission factors for CAN and Urea

Treatment	P value (slope different to zero)	Equation *
CAN 2013	0.258	N/A
Urea 2013	0.0321	$y = 8E-05x^2 - 0.0287x + 2.8594$
CAN 2014	0.225	N/A
Urea 2014	0.0811	N/A

370 *y = Emission factor and x = N fertiliser rate

371

372 3.5 N₂O yield efficiency

373 Nitrous oxide yield efficiency ranged from 0.09 – 0.16 kg N₂O-N t⁻¹ grain in 2013 and 0.02 –
 374 0.07 kg N₂O-N t⁻¹ grain in 2014. There were no significant differences between fertiliser
 375 formulations in either year but there were differences between the unfertilised control and
 376 fertiliser treatments (Figure 3). In 2013, the unfertilised control was significantly higher than
 377 all fertiliser treatments with 0.16 kg N₂O-N t⁻¹ grain except for CAN and in 2014 the
 378 unfertilised control was higher than urea + DCD with 0.05 kg N₂O-N t⁻¹ grain and not
 379 different to any other treatment.

380 **4 Discussion**

381 4.1 Effect of environmental factors on N₂O emissions

382 The application of N resulted in a peak in soil mineral N concentrations with CAN producing
383 significantly higher NO₃⁻ peaks compared to other N forms and all fertiliser formulations
384 producing NH₄⁺ peaks. This study showed that using a urea based fertiliser reduced the soil
385 NO₃⁻ pool compared to CAN. Thus, there is less TON for denitrification and leaching from
386 the urea based fertilisers. The soil NH₄⁺ pool was similar regardless of the N formulation
387 used.

388 Whilst rainfall and temperature at the time of fertiliser application were higher in 2014 than
389 in 2013, cumulative emissions were lower in 2014 compared with 2013. In 2013 there were
390 multiple emission peaks resulting in higher cumulative emissions whereas in 2014 there was
391 one main peak after each fertiliser application. The slightly lower levels of N₂O in 2014 could
392 indicate that either complete denitrification occurred producing N₂ instead of N₂O (Focht et
393 al., 1979), NO₃⁻ or that the nitrate was leached due to higher rainfall events combined with
394 the free-draining soil texture, or that more N was taken up by the crop due to less drought
395 stress.

396 In general, cumulative emissions were low ranging from 191 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ to 1161 g
397 N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and with EF₁ ranging from 0 to 0.49%. This is consistent with previous
398 studies on spring barley sites in Ireland, where EF for CAN during the growing season (not
399 full year) was observed to be 0.5% (Abdalla et al., 2010). The relatively low EF₁ could be
400 explained, in part, by the soil characteristics. The soil was a free-draining cambisol with a C
401 content of 2.88%, which is typical of Irish arable soils. In a meta-analysis of over 1000
402 studies, Stehfest and Bouwman (2006) concluded that N₂O emissions were significantly
403 lower on soils with SOC <3% and Gilsanz et al (2016) observed the lowest EFs in soil
404 textures with low clay content (less than 50%) and with sand content greater than 50%. In a

405 study at three arable sites, the EF₁ for ammonium nitrate was observed to be substantially
406 lower than the default value (0.2% and 0.33%) at two free-draining sites (Bell et al., 2015). In
407 contrast, grasslands exhibit both higher mean emissions and a larger range in EFs (Harty et
408 al., 2016). Dobbie and Smith (2003a) reported EF₁ ranging from 1%-3% in Scottish
409 grasslands whilst previous studies on total N₂O losses in Irish grasslands (including N
410 deposition from fertiliser (EF₁) and animal excreta (EF₃)) have exhibited a range from 0.7%
411 to 7.7% (Hyde et al., 2006; Rafique et al., 2011; Li et al., 2011, Burchill et al., 2014).

412

413 4.2 Effect of fertiliser formulation and incorporation of N stabilisers on N₂O emissions

414 N₂O emission peaks in general corresponded with rainfall events and elevated soil TON and
415 NH₄⁺ concentrations. The majority of N₂O emissions occurred after the second and the larger
416 split fertiliser application with the highest N₂O emissions and EFs associated with CAN and
417 urea application. There were no significant differences in N₂O emissions between CAN and
418 urea. A comparison between urea and ammonium nitrate (AN) at three UK sites also found
419 no differences in N₂O emissions between fertiliser formulations, with higher emissions for
420 both fertilisers at the site with highest rainfall (Bell et al., 2015). Similarly, Louro et al.
421 (2015) reported no significant fertiliser formulation effect on N₂O emissions. In contrast,
422 Dobbie and Smith (2003a) observed lower N₂O emissions associated with urea application
423 compared to ammonium nitrate (AN). This effect was season dependant with no differences
424 when fertiliser was applied in late summer. The findings from this study suggest that the
425 addition of the nitrification inhibitor DCD to urea has potential to reduce N₂O emissions by
426 30% compared to CAN. The inhibitory effect of DCD can vary depending on climate and soil
427 conditions as well as vegetation type (Gilsanz et al., 2016) and is likely to be more effective
428 where there are higher losses such as wetter soils. Bell et al. (2015) observed a decrease in
429 the EF₁ for AN from 0.55% to 0.06% upon application of DCD. In addition, Dobbie and

430 Smith (2003a) observed a 50% reduction in cumulative emissions using urea + DCD
431 compared to urea alone, but observed no benefit of urea + NBPT on direct N₂O emissions.
432 The potential effects of DCD uptake by the plant and contamination in crop off takes is
433 needed as recently highlighted in New Zealand (Pal et al., 2016). In studies with higher
434 emissions the inclusion of a urease inhibitor with urea reduced N₂O emissions compared with
435 CAN (McTaggart et al., 1997). While NBPT treated urea did not reduce direct N₂O emissions
436 compared to urea in this study, inclusion of NBPT with urea has been shown to reduce
437 volatilisation from urea (Watson et al., 2009; Forrestal et al., 2015) which will reduce indirect
438 N₂O emission associated with the deposition of atmospheric NH₃ (Asman et al., 1998). Urea
439 + MICO showed no effect on N₂O emissions compared to CAN or urea. This corresponds
440 with the literature which shows that urea + MICO is not an effective nitrification or urease
441 inhibitor (Chien et al., 2014; Franzen et al., 2011., Goos, 2013). The EFs for all fertiliser
442 formulations were <50% of the IPCC default value of 1%. Against this background these
443 fertiliser formulations appear to have similar N₂O loss potential in spring barley which tends
444 to be cropped to free draining sites similar to this study. Other studies on arable land in
445 similar climates have also shown EFs lower than the IPCC default (Bell et al., 2015; Abdalla
446 et al., 2010).

447 The N₂O yield efficiency was highest for the unfertilised control but there were no
448 differences between fertiliser formulations which is in agreement with Hinton et al (2015).
449 It's important to account for crop yield as well as N₂O emissions when assessing fertiliser
450 formulations to determine if they are economically viable (Hinton et al., 2015). This study
451 showed similar N₂O yield efficiency regardless of the fertiliser formulation used.

452

453

454

455 4.3 Effect of N rate on N₂O emissions

456 There was no EF response to rate of N application for CAN and urea in 2014. Bell et al
457 (2015) also observed no consistent increase in EF₁ in response to increased rate of AN
458 applied to arable cropped soils. In the current study there was a negative correlation observed
459 between EF₁ and rate of urea application 2014, with EF₁ 0.7% at the lowest N application
460 (100 kg N ha⁻¹) compared to 0.4% at the highest N application (200 kg N ha⁻¹). This may be
461 related to higher ammonia volatilisation occurring at higher N fertiliser application rates
462 (Black et al., 1985; Van der Weerden and Jarvis, 1997). The negative or lack of correlation
463 between EF₁ and applied N rate in the present study indicates that higher NH₃ loss may have
464 taken place and this could result in reduced yields which has been observed in previous
465 studies (Conry et al., 1997; Gately, 1994; Devine and Holmes, 1963). The addition of NBPT
466 protects against this NH₃ loss with reductions of 78.5% on average measured in Irish
467 grassland (Forrester et al., 2015).

468

469 4.5 Emission Factors and comparison to IPCC default

470 Over the two year period of the study the EFs from all fertiliser formulations ranged from 0%
471 (from urea + DCD in 2014) - 0.49% (from CAN in 2013). Other studies on UK soils have
472 shown higher EFs from AN than those observed from CAN in this study (Hinton et al., 2015;
473 Dobbie et al., 1999). The fact that the highest EF recorded (0.49%) was half the magnitude of
474 the IPCC 1% default, highlights the potential importance of countries moving to a tier 2
475 methodology using system specific data to generate more accurate N₂O emission inventories.
476 Further research is required in order to determine the appropriateness of the use of the default
477 EF in other scenarios such as different land use types. Furthermore the use of nitrification
478 inhibitors significantly decreased the observed EF. While the use of urease inhibitors did not
479 lead to significant reductions in direct N₂O EFs, potential reductions in ammonia

480 volatilisation as a result of urease inhibition could significantly reduce indirect N₂O losses
481 associated with the redeposition of atmospheric ammonia (EF₄).

482

483 **5 Conclusions**

484 Overall, N₂O emissions from the fertilisers tested in this study were less than half the IPCC
485 default value of 1%. The lack of a clear relationship between fertiliser rate and direct N₂O
486 emissions questions the appropriateness of the IPCC default values on soils with low
487 emissions in temperate conditions. This site is representative of the soil type for the majority
488 of spring barley in Ireland and so, based on this study, it is likely that N₂O emissions from the
489 majority of spring barley in Ireland are below the IPCC default value. In terms of fertiliser
490 form, it is important to account for indirect emissions from NH₃ volatilisation when
491 calculating EFs upon switching from ammonium nitrate to urea-based fertiliser forms, as
492 otherwise total emissions associated with N application will be underestimated. The present
493 research emphasises the importance of developing country and system specific emission
494 factors to better estimate greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture.

495

496 **6 Acknowledgements**

497 We thank the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (Grant No. 11/S/138), the
498 Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Research Initiative for Ireland (Grant No. 10/RD/SC/716) and
499 the Walsh Fellowship Scheme for funding this work. We thank the technical and farm staff at
500 Teagasc Johnstown Castle and Oak Park for their help with sampling and analysis and thanks
501 to Jim Grant for help with statistical analysis. We thank the agricultural catchments program
502 for weather data and farmer James Masterson for access to the field site.

503

504 **Figure 1.** 2013 temporal emissions data (a) daily total rainfall (mm) and daily average
505 temperature (°C) and, (b) daily soil mineral N concentrations (0–10 cm). (c) daily N₂O
506 emissions in g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ *arrows represent fertiliser application

507

508 **Figure 2.** temporal emissions data (a) daily total rainfall (mm) and daily average temperature
509 (°C) and, (b) daily soil mineral N concentrations (0–10 cm). (c) daily N₂O emissions in g
510 N₂O-N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ *arrows represent fertiliser application

511

512 **Figure 3.** N₂O yield efficiency (kg N₂O-N t⁻¹ grain) for 2013 and 2014 *Different letters
513 represent significant differences between treatments using F protected LSD test (P<0.05) and
514 comparisons are within each year

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526 **7 References**

527 Abdalla, M., Jones, M., Ambus, P., Williams, M., 2010. Emissions of nitrous oxide from
528 Irish arable soils: effects of tillage and reduced N input. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 86, 53-65.

529

530 Asman, W.A.H., Sutton, M.A., Schjørring, J.K., 1998. Ammonia: emission, atmospheric
531 transport and deposition. *New Phytol.* 139,27-48.

532

533 Bell, M., Hinton, N., Cloy, J., Topp, C., Rees, R., Cardenas, L., Scott, T., Webster, C.,
534 Ashton, R., Whitmore, A., 2015. Nitrous oxide emissions from fertilised UK arable soils:
535 Fluxes, emission factors and mitigation. *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.* 212, 134-147.

536

537 Black, A.S., Sherlock, R.R., Smith, N.P., Cameron, K.C., Goh, K.M., 1985. Effects of form
538 of nitrogen, season, and urea application rate on ammonia volatilisation from pastures. *N. Z.*
539 *J. Agric. Res.* 28,469-474.

540

541 Bremner, J.M., Blackmer, A.M., 1978. Nitrous oxide: emission from soils during nitrification
542 of fertilizer nitrogen. *Science.* 199,295-296.

543

544 Burchill, W., Li, D., Lanigan, G.J., Williams, M., Humphreys, J., 2014. Interannual variation
545 in nitrous oxide emissions from perennial ryegrass/white clover grassland used for dairy
546 production. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20,3137-3146.

547

548 Chadwick, D.R., Cardenas, L., Misselbrook, T.H., Smith, K.A., Rees, R.M., Watson, C.J.,
549 McGeough, K.L., Williams, J.R., Cloy, J.M., Thorman, R.E., Dhanoa, M.S., 2014.
550 Optimizing chamber methods for measuring nitrous oxide emissions from plot-based
551 agricultural experiments. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 65, 295–307.

552

553 Chien, S.H., Edmeades, D., McBride, R., Sahrawat, K.L., 2014. Review of Maleic–Itaconic
554 Acid Copolymer Purported as Urease Inhibitor and Phosphorus Enhancer in Soils. *Agronomy*
555 *J.*106,423-430.
556

557 Conry, M.J., 1997. The Influence of Different Nitrogenous Fertilisers and Foliar-Applied
558 Sulphur on the Yield, Grain Nitrogen and Screenings of Spring Malting Barley. In *Biol.*
559 *Environ.:* Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy, 133-138.

560 Coulter, B.S., Lalor, S. 2008. Major and micro nutrient advice for productive agricultural
561 crops. Teagasc, Johnstown Castle, Co. Wexford, Ireland.
562

563 Davidson, E. A., 2009. The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric
564 nitrous oxide since 1860. *Nature Geosci.* 2, 659-662.
565

566 De Klein, C.A.M., Harvey, M. (Eds.), 2012. Nitrous oxide chamber methodology guidelines.
567 Version 1.0. Global Research Alliance, New Zealand.
568

569 Devine, J.R., Holmes, M.R.J., 1963. Field experiments on the value of urea as a fertilizer for
570 barley, sugar beet, potatoes, winter wheat and grassland in Great Britain. *J. Agri. Sci.* 61,391-
571 396.
572

573 Dobbie, K.E., McTaggart, I.P., Smith, K.A., 1999. Nitrous oxide emissions from intensive
574 agricultural systems: variations between crops and seasons, key driving variables and mean
575 emission factors. *J. Geophys. Res.*104, 26891–26899.
576

577 Dobbie, K., Smith, K. 2003a. Impact of different forms of N fertilizer on N₂O emissions from
578 intensive grassland. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 67, 37-46.

579

580 Dobbie, K.E., Smith, K.A., 2003b. Nitrous oxide emission factors for agricultural soils in
581 Great Britain: the impact of soil water filled pore space and other controlling variables. *Glob.*
582 *Change Biol.* 9, 204–218.

583

584 Duffy, P., Hanley, E., Black, K., Hyde. B., Ponzi, J., Alam, S. Ireland National Inventory
585 Report 2015, Greenhouse Gas Emissions 1990 – 2013. Reported to the United Nations
586 Framework Convention on Climate Change. Environmental Protection Agency, Johnstown
587 Castle, Co.Wexford, Ireland.

588

589 Ellison, S. L., Farrant, T. J., Barwick, V. 2009. Practical statistics for the analytical scientist:
590 a bench guide, R. Soc. Chem.

591

592 EPA – Environmental Protection Agency, 2013. Irealnds Greenhouse Gas Emission
593 Projections 2012-2030.

594 https://www.epa.ie/pubs/reports/air/airemissions/EPA_GHG_Emission_Proj_pub_2013_FIN
595 [AL.pdf](#) (date accessed 31.03.2016)

596

597 European Commission (EC), 2014. Communication from the Commission to the European
598 Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee
599 of the regions – A policy framework for climate and energy in the period from 2020 to 2030.
600 <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52014DC0015&from=EN>
601 (date accessed 16.04.2016).

602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626

Focht, D.D., Stolzy, L.H., Meek, B.D., 1979. Sequential reduction of nitrate and nitrous oxide under field conditions as brought about by organic amendments and irrigation management. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 11,37-46.

Forrestal, P., Harty, M., Carolan, R., Lanigan, G., Watson, C., Laughlin, R., Mcneill, G., Chambers, B. & Richards, K. 2015. Ammonia emissions from urea, stabilized urea and calcium ammonium nitrate: insights into loss abatement in temperate grassland. *Soil Use Manag.* (accepted for publication November 2015).

Franzen, D., Goos, R.J., Norman, R.J., Walker, T.W., Roberts, T.L., Slaton, N.A., Endres, G., Ashley, R., Staricka, J., Lukach, J., 2011. Field and laboratory studies comparing Nutrisphere-nitrogen urea with urea in North Dakota, Arkansas, and Mississippi. *J. Plant Nutr.* 34,1198-1222.

Gately, T.F., 1994. A note on urea versus calcium ammonium nitrate for winter wheat. *Irish J. Agri. Food Res.* 33,193-196.

Gilsanz, C., Báez, D., Misselbrook, T.H., Dhanoa, M.S., Cárdenas, L.M., 2016. Development of emission factors and efficiency of two nitrification inhibitors, DCD and DMPP. *Agri. Ecosyst. Environ.* 216, 1-8.

Goos, R.J., 2013. A Comparison of a Maleic-Itaconic Polymer and-(-butyl) Thiophosphoric Triamide as Urease Inhibitors. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 77,1418-1423.

627 Harty, M.A., Forrester, P.J., Watson, C.J., McGeough, Carolan, R., Elliot, C., Laughlin, R.J.,
628 Richards, K.G., Lanigan, G.J., 2016 *Sci. Total Environ.* (accepted for publication April 2016)
629

630 Hinton, N.J., Cloy, J.M., Bell, M.J., Chadwick, D.R., Topp, C.F.E., Rees, R.M., 2015.
631 Managing fertiliser nitrogen to reduce nitrous oxide emissions and emission intensities from a
632 cultivated Cambisol in Scotland. *Geoderma Reg.* 4,55-65.
633

634 Hoben, J.P., Gehl, R.J., Millar, N., Grace, P.R., Robertson, G.P., 2011. Nonlinear nitrous
635 oxide (N₂O) response to nitrogen fertilizer in on-farm corn crops of the US Midwest. *Glob.*
636 *Change Biol.* 17,1140-1152.
637

638 Hyde, B.P., Hawkins, M.J., Fanning, A.F., Noonan, D., Ryan, M., O'toole, P., Carton, O.T.,
639 2006. Nitrous oxide emissions from a fertilized and grazed grassland in the South East of
640 Ireland. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 75,187-200.
641

642 IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2014. Hartmann, D.L., A.M.G. Klein
643 Tank, M. Rusticucci, L.V. Alexander, S. Brönnimann, Y. Charabi, F.J. Dentener, E.J.
644 Dlugokencky, D.R. Easterling, A. Kaplan, B.J. Soden, P.W. Thorne, M. Wild and P.M. Zhai,
645 2013: Observations: Atmosphere and Surface. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical*
646 *Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the*
647 *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M.
648 Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)].
649 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
650

651 IPCC 2006. N₂O emissions from managed soils, and CO₂ emissions from lime and urea
652 application. In: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by
653 the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K.,
654 Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan.

655

656 Keeney, D.R., Nelson, D.W., 1982. Nitrogen – Inorganic forms. Page, A.L., Baker, D.E.,
657 Ellis, R., Keeney, D.R., Miller, R.H., Rhoades, J.D. (Eds.). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2.
658 Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 2nd ed. ASA and SSSA, Madison, Wisconsin, 643-
659 698.

660

661 Kool, D.M., Dolfing, J., Wrage, N., Van Groenigen, J.W., 2011. Nitrifier denitrification as a
662 distinct and significant source of nitrous oxide from soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 43,174-178.

663

664 Lesschen, J.P., Velthof, G.L., de Vries, W., Kros, J., 2011. Differentiation of nitrous oxide
665 emission factors for agricultural soils. *Environ. Pollut.* 159, 3215–3222.

666

667 Li, D., Lanigan, G., Humphreys J. (2011) Measured and simulated N₂O emissions from
668 ryegrass and ryegrass/clover swards in a Moist Temperate Climate. *Plos-One* 6(10): e26176.
669 doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0026176

670

671 Louro, A., Báez, D.,García, M.I., Cárdenas, L. (2015). Nitrous oxide emissions from forage
672 maize production on a Humic Cambisol fertilized with mineral fertilizer or slurries in Galicia,
673 Spain. *Geoderma Reg.* 5, 54-63.

674

675 McSwiney, C.P., Robertson, G.P., 2005. Nonlinear response of N₂O flux to incremental
676 fertilizer addition in a continuous maize (*Zea mays* L.) cropping system. *Glob. Change Biol.*
677 11,1712-1719.

678

679 McTaggart, I. P., Clayton, H., Parker, J., Swan, L., Smith, K. A. 1997. Nitrous oxide
680 emissions from grassland and spring barley, following N fertiliser application with and
681 without nitrification inhibitors. *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 25, 261-268.

682

683 Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen – inorganic forms. In: Sparks, D.L., Page, A.L., Helmke,
684 P.A., Loeppert, R.H., Soltanpour, P.N., Tabatabai, M.A., Johnston, C.T., Sumner, M.E.
685 (Eds.). *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3 Chemical Methods*. SSSA, Madison, Wisconsin, pp.
686 1123-1184.

687

688 Myhre, G., D. Shindell, F.-M. Bréon, W. Collins, J. Fuglestedt, J. Huang, D. Koch, J.-F.
689 Lamarque, D. Lee, B. Mendoza, T. Nakajima, A. Robock, G. Stephens, T. Takemura and H.
690 Zhang, 2013: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: *Climate Change 2013: The*
691 *Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of*
692 *the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M.
693 Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)].
694 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

695

696 Pal, P., McMillan, A.M., Saggar, S., 2016. Pathways of dicyandiamide uptake in pasture
697 plants: a laboratory study. *Biol.Fert. Soils*. 1-8.

698

699 Rafique, R., Hennessy, D., Kiely, G., 2011. Nitrous oxide emission from grazed grassland
700 under different management systems. *Ecosyst.* 14,563-582.
701

702 Ravishankara, A., Daniel, J. S., Portmann, R. W. 2009. Nitrous oxide (N₂O): the dominant
703 ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century. *Science.* 326, 123-125.
704

705 Reay, D. S., Davidson, E. A., Smith, K. A., Smith, P., Melillo, J. M., Dentener, F. & Crutzen,
706 P. J. 2012. Global agriculture and nitrous oxide emissions. *Nat. Climate Change.* 2, 410-416.
707

708 Smith, K.A., Clayton, H., McTaggart, I.P., Thomson, P.E., Arah, J.R.M., Scott, A., Goulding,
709 K.W.T., Monteith, J.L., Phillips, V.R., 1995. The Measurement of Nitrous Oxide Emissions
710 from Soil by Using Chambers [and Discussion]. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. L. Math. Phys.*
711 *Engineering Sci.* 351,327-338.
712

713 Smith, K.A., Dobbie, K.E., Thorman, R., Watson, C.J., Chadwick, D.R., Yamulki, S., Ball,
714 B.C., 2012. The effect of N fertiliser forms on nitrous oxide emissions from UK arable land
715 and grassland. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 93, 127–149.
716

717 Stehfest, E., Bouwman, L., 2006. N₂O and NO emission from agricultural fields and soils
718 under natural vegetation: summarising available measurement data and modelling of global
719 environmental emissions. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 74, 207–228.
720

721 Teagasc, 2015. The Spring Barley Guide. Crops, Environment and Land-Use Programme,
722 Teagasc. <http://tnet.teagasc.net/crops/> (date accessed 31.03.2016).

723

724 U.S. EPA, 2012. Global Anthropogenic Non-CO2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions: 1990-2030
725 (EPA-R-12-006). Office of Atmospheric Programs, Climate Change Division, U.S.
726 Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC
727 20460, pp 1-188.

728

729 U.S. EPA 2015. Greenhouse Gases. In: Climate Change Indicators in the Unites States.
730 <http://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/science/indicators/ghg/>. (Date accessed 18.01.2016)

731

732 Van der Weerden, T.J., Jarvis, S.C., 1997. Ammonia emission factors for N fertilizers applied
733 to two contrasting grassland soils. Environ. Pollut. 95,205-211.

734

735 Watson, C. J., Laughlin, R. J., Mcgeough, K. L., 2009. Modification of nitrogen fertilisers
736 using inhibitors: opportunities and potentials for improving nitrogen use efficiency. Proc. Int.
737 Fert. Soc.65,1-40.