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The structure of junctions between carbon nanotubes and graphene shells

Peter J.F. Harris\textsuperscript{a}, Irene Suarez-Martinez\textsuperscript{b} and Nigel A. Marks\textsuperscript{b}

Junctions between carbon nanotubes and flat or curved graphene structures are fascinating for a number of reasons. It has been suggested that such junctions could be used in nanoelectronic devices, or as the basis of three-dimensional carbon materials, with many potential applications. However, there have been few detailed experimental analyses of nanotube-graphene connections. Here we describe junctions between nanotubes and graphene shells in a material produced by passing a current through graphite. Transmission electron micrographs show that the junction angles are not random but fall close to multiples of 30°. We show that connections with these angles are the only ones which are consistent with the symmetry of the hexagonal lattice, and molecular models show that a continuous lattice requires the presence of large carbon rings at the junction. Some of the configurations we propose have not been previously considered, and could be used to construct new kinds of three-dimensional carbon architecture. We also discuss the possible formation mechanism of the junctions.

Carbon nanotubes \textsuperscript{1} and graphene \textsuperscript{2} are two of the most exciting nanomaterials to have been discovered in the past 25 years. The idea of joining nanotubes to flat graphene or to curved graphene structures has attracted much interest. For example, it has been suggested that such junctions could be used as the basis of three-dimensional carbon architectures such as “pillared” graphene, in which parallel graphene sheets are separated by short nanotubes \textsuperscript{3}. Such materials might have interesting mechanical \textsuperscript{4} or thermal \textsuperscript{5} properties or have applications in hydrogen storage \textsuperscript{5} or gas separation \textsuperscript{6}. However, synthesising covalently-bonded nanotube-graphene junctions has proved extremely challenging. While it is possible to grow nanotubes vertically on graphene using chemical vapor deposition (CVD) \textsuperscript{7-9}, in most cases the nanotubes in these materials are not covalently bonded to the graphene. The only evidence for seamless, covalent bonding between nanotubes and graphene in such materials is work by Tour et al. \textsuperscript{10}, where atomic resolution transmission electron microscopy appeared to show the presence of heptagonal rings at the junctions. An alternative, albeit less controlled, way of creating carbon materials containing nanotubes joined to graphene structures is to pass an electric current through graphite or through few-layer graphene ribbons. The aim of the present paper is to analyse nanotube-graphene junctions formed in this way.

Evidence that the structure of graphite or graphene nanoribbons could be transformed by the passage of an electric current was first presented in three papers published in 2009 \textsuperscript{11-13}. In two of these \textsuperscript{11,12}, the specimens used were graphite “nanoribbons” and the transformations were observed directly using \textit{in situ} Joule heating inside a TEM. In the third, by one of the present authors \textsuperscript{13}, the transformed structures were observed in bulk samples of graphite through which a current had been passed. The structural transformation involves the formation of a carbon material with a highly irregular edge morphology, displaying many unusual features, including the nanotube-graphene junctions which are the subject of this paper. Several subsequent studies have been carried out into the phenomenon \textsuperscript{14-21}. Interestingly, when the starting materials are graphite nanoribbons, or few-layer graphene, the transformed material is usually made up of single-layer graphene, while using bulk graphite as the starting material generally results in bilayer structures. The reasons for this are not fully understood. There is some disagreement about the mechanism of the transformation. Some authors have discussed the process in terms of sublimation and edge reconstruction of flat graphene \textsuperscript{11,12,18-21}. An alternative
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explanation is that the transformation actually involves a change from a flat to a three-dimensional structure.

Supporting evidence for the latter hypothesis has been obtained by using a combination of high-angle annular dark-field imaging and electron energy loss spectroscopy in the scanning transmission electron microscope.

In order to pass a current through small samples of graphite, we used a commercial arc-evaporator, which is normally used for carbon-coating specimens for scanning electron microscopy. In this unit the electrodes are graphite rods, one of which is thinned to a diameter of approximately 1.4 mm at the point of contact. Following evaporation, the thinned carbon rod was found to have slightly shortened, and a small deposit was formed in the area where the two rods made contact (see Supporting Information for more details). This was collected and ground under isopropyl alcohol, and droplets of the suspension were pipetted onto lacey carbon TEM grids. Material collected from the fresh graphite rods was also imaged by TEM for comparison. Conventional TEM imaging was carried out using a JEOL 2010 microscope, with a point resolution of 0.19 nm, operated at an accelerating voltage of 200kV. Experiments carried out with the samples studied here showed that visible damage occurred only after about 2 mins exposure to a beam with a current density of 15 pA cm\(^{-2}\). Care was taken not to expose the carbon to an electron beam for longer than this time.

Figure 1a shows material from the fresh graphite rod. As expected, this consists mainly of flat crystallites, ranging from a few 100 nm to about 5 \(\mu\)m in size. Lattice imaging showed that these crystallites contained up to 100 layers.

Examples of nanotube-graphene junctions can be seen in Figure 1b and 1c, and further examples are shown in Figure 2. A large number of images of the junctions were recorded, and the angles of the junctions measured. When making these measurements, it must be recognised that the correct angle will only be observed if the junction is precisely perpendicular to the electron beam, and this will not always be the case. Nevertheless, it was clear that the measured angles were not randomly distributed. This can be seen in Figure 2a, which shows the distribution of angles from 100 such junctions. There are peaks at angles of 30°, 60°, 90°, 120°, 150°, with the larger
angles being rather more common than the smaller ones. In the case of the junction angles clustered around 60°, the highest frequency is closer to 65° degrees than 60°. This is simply a consequence of the small number of 60° junctions we observed (7 in total). For the larger junction angles the frequency distributions tend more closely towards Gaussian. Micrographs showing junctions with angles close to 30°, 60°, 90°, 120° and 150° are shown in Figure 2b–f.

We now discuss the possible structures of the junctions, and the reasons why certain angles are apparently favoured. For simplicity we consider single-layer graphene in our simulations, although the experimental structures were bilayer. This does not affect the arguments. A generic nanotube-graphene junction is depicted schematically in Figure 3a. The angle between the tube and the folded layer, \( \alpha \), may take any value in a continuous model. However, when the atomistic structure of graphene is considered, the chirality of the tube dictates the topology of folded graphene and vice-versa. Consideration of the hexagonal network shows that the only possible junction angles are multiples of 30°, as seen in our experimental images. The argument holds to any chirality as we will demonstrate but we will describe it in detail for the example of the possible folded graphenes with a (2,3) nanotube. The unrolled (2,3) nanotube is depicted in dark grey on the graphene lattice in Figure 3b. The chiral vector (2,3) is depicted in red and is perpendicular to the translational vector (8, -7) depicted in blue. The chiral vector and its rotated equivalents are depicted in green for each possible folded graphene. When folded through the dashed black line, two of the green vectors will overlap, and the folded graphene will present an edge (marked by a black dot and thick black line) which will atomistically match with the nanotube.

This geometrical reasoning can be generalized as for the front and the back of the folded graphene to atomistically match with the nanotube, the in-plane vectors of the graphene sheet (marked in green in Figure 3a) and its perpendicular vector must be in the same lattice direction as the chiral vector and translational vector of the tube respectively (marked in red and blue in Figure 3a). A perfect graphene layer has a C6 symmetry axis, meaning it repeats upon rotation every 60° degrees rotation. Therefore, the angle in which a nanotube connects with folded graphene can only be \( n \times 30° \), \( n \) being an integer from 1 to 5.

While the hexagonal network of graphene explains the observed angles, details of the topology of the junction (depicted by a black dot in Figure 3) can only be hypothesised. Molecular models for the different junctions are constructed by bonding a capped nanotube to a folded sheet of graphene. For simplicity in the atomistic construction an armchair tube is chosen and therefore the graphene sheet is folded along the zigzag directions. We chose a (5,5) nanotube as it is easily capped by half fullerene. All models are optimised using the Universal Force Field. Models for junctions of 150°, 120° and 90° are shown in Figure 4. All junctions can be modelled by a single non-hexagonal ring: 150° by a heptagon, 120° by an octagon, 90° by a nonagon, 60° by a decagon and 30° by a hendecagon. The junction of 150° can only be modelled by a...
single heptagon. This junction appears to be very similar to certain kinds of nanotube cap, first identified by Iijima et al. 23, in which a flattened cone is joined to a short, closed, tube. Iijima and colleagues proposed that a single heptagonal ring was present at the junction, and we believe this is also the case in the 150° junctions seen here. All other junctions can be modelled by combinations of heptagons or by combinations of pentagons and octagons. For example, a 90° junction can be produced by a single nonagon, 3 adjacent heptagons or 2 octagons and a pentagon. The schematics in Figure 4c show how transformation between these combinations may occur. Similar transformations occur for all other junctions. Models of 30° and 60° junctions are given in Supplementary Information.

The 120° and 90° junctions resemble Y- and T- nanotube-nanotube junctions, respectively. Combinations of double heptagons have been proposed previously by Chernozatonskii in models of 120° nanotube Y-junctions 24. Li and colleagues have described models in which nonagons occur at 90° junctions between very narrow nanotubes and flat bilayer graphene (separated by the normal interlayer distance of approximately 0.34 nm) 21, while Menon and Srivastava employed 3 adjacent heptagons in models of nanotube T-junctions 25. All those combinations are in agreement with our model of nanotube-graphene junctions.

While there are similarities between the junctions in the present work and nanotube-nanotube connections, they are different to nanotube-graphene junctions which have previously been considered 3-6, 26, 27. The structures presented here can be thought of as tubes joined to folded graphene structures whose edges constitute “semi-tubes”. However previous nanotube-graphene junctions models have envisaged tubes joined perpendicularly to flat graphene. The junctions have generally contained 6 heptagons distributed around the base of the tube. It has proved very difficult to synthesise three-dimensional architectures containing such junctions. With the exception of the work by Tour et al. 10, mentioned above, there is little clear experimental evidence for such junctions. A three-dimensional carbon prepared by Dai and colleagues 28 did seem to have seamless junctions, but these were found to have angles of 135°, apparently due to funnel-like structures at the connecting points. The present work shows that there are many types of nanotube-graphene connection, in addition to the usually considered 90° one. It would be an interesting challenge for theoreticians to come up with new three-dimensional architectures containing some of the new junctions described here.

Figure 3  (a) Continuous model of a nanotube-graphene junction. The nanotube is depicted in dark grey and its chiral vector, Ch, which is depicted in red, defines it. The tube axis is parallel to the transitional vector, T, depicted in blue, and it is perpendicular to the chiral vector. A folded graphene is depicted in light grey where a dashed line indicates the fold. (b) Example of the (2,3) nanotube and its possible folded graphene junctions.
We now consider the possible formation mechanism of the nanotube-graphene junctions. As discussed in previous papers, we believe that the restructuring of graphite which occurs on passage of a current involves an opening or separation of the layers, apparently beginning at folded edges, as illustrated schematically in Figure 5a. As supporting evidence for this mechanism, we have published images of graphite fragments which display the early stages of the process (see for example Figure 8c in ref. 16). However, the reasons why the passage of a current should produce such a transformation remain unclear. Concerning the formation of nanotubes attached to larger graphene structures, it is possible that these nucleate at the pentagonal rings which are believed to occur where zig-zag and armchair edges meet, as shown in Figure 5b. A possible mechanism is illustrated schematically in Figure 5c. This shows three stages in the transformation of an initially flat, 4-layer graphene structure, with closed edges, into a three-dimensional structure in which a bilayer nanotube is joined to a graphene shell with bilayer walls. As the opening process begins, the presence of the pentagon impedes the separation of the layers, forcing the hexagons around it to adopt a hemispherical morphology which evolves into a...
nanotube cap. As the folded graphene layers either side of the incipient cap become separated and expand perpendicular to the plane of the paper, a short nanotube is left behind. Processes rather similar to this can be seen in the videos which accompanied reference 12, although in this case the final structures are monolayer rather than bilayer. Further in situ studies of this kind would be very valuable in understanding the mechanism in greater detail.

The method we have used in this study to transform the structure of graphite is very uncontrolled. It is possible that a more controlled application of a current could be used to build structures in which nanotubes are joined to graphene in a more organised way. One approach would be to create a patterned structure of carbon archi-

Conclusions

In summary, we have presented an analysis of junctions between nanotubes and graphene shells in a carbon material produced by passing a current through graphite. Transmission electron microscopy of the junctions has shown that the junction angles generally fall close to multiples of 30°. A consideration of the hexagonal graphene network has shown that such angles are the only ones which are consistent with a continuous lattice. It has also been demonstrated that all junctions can be modelled by a single non-hexagonal ring at the point of contact between the nanotube and the graphene shell, although configurations involving multiple non-hexagonal rings are also possible. The junctions described here could form the basis for new three-dimensional carbon architectures with many potential applications.
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