

Potential ecological effects of Piriformospora indica, a possible biocontrol agent, in UK agricultural systems

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Rabiey, M., Ullah, I. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9367-6741>, Shaw, L. J. and Shaw, M. W. (2017) Potential ecological effects of Piriformospora indica, a possible biocontrol agent, in UK agricultural systems. Biological Control, 104. pp. 1-9. ISSN 1049-9644 doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.10.005 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/67733/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.10.005>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

**Potential ecological effects of *Piriformospora indica*, a possible biocontrol agent, in
UK agricultural systems**

Mojgan Rabiey^{a*}, Ihsan Ullah^b, Liz J Shaw^c, and Michael W Shaw^b

^a School of Biological Sciences, Knight Building, University of Reading, RG6 6AJ

^b School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, RG6 6AR,
UK;

^c Soil Research Centre, School of Archaeology, Geography and Environmental Science,
University of Reading, RG6 6AB, UK.

*Corresponding author: Mojgan Rabiey, m.rabiey@reading.ac.uk, Tel: +447415414119

Abstract

Piriformospora indica (Sebacinaceae), a root endophytic fungus, was originally isolated from an arid sub-tropical soil. *P. indica* forms mutualistic symbioses with a broad range of host plants, increases biomass production, resistance and tolerance to fungal pathogens and abiotic stresses. These characteristics make it a very attractive component of more sustainable agriculture. So, it is desirable to understand its wider ecosystem effects. We determined how long *P. indica* could survive in the soil and how it interacts with other soil microorganisms and some important arable weeds.

Survival of *P. indica* in the soil, under winter and summer conditions in the UK was tested by isolating DNA and RNA of *P. indica* from pots of soil which had been left open to winter-summer weather conditions without host plants, followed by PCR and reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) with *P. indica*-specific primers. *P. indica* effects on other soil and root microorganisms were tested by PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of DNA extracted from soil and roots from pots in which *P. indica*-infected wheat had been grown. The effect of *P. indica* on growth of black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*), wild-oat (*Avena fatua*) and cleavers (*Galium aparine*) was tested alone and in competition with wheat.

In soil *P. indica*-mRNA and DNA could still be detected after eight months, but not after 15 months. Soils from *P. indica*-inoculated pots had distinct fungal and bacterial species communities which were more diverse than non-inoculated controls. *P. indica* infected *A. myosuroides* and *A. fatua* but was not detected in *G. aparine*. The average above-ground competitiveness of the weeds with wheat was decreased.

If applied to field crops in the UK, *P. indica* would be persistent for up to 15 months and likely to alter competitive relations within vegetation. Increased soil microbial diversity during the first eight weeks after inoculation, although usually desirable, could alter soil composition or functioning.

Keywords: denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis, microbial diversity, reverses transcription-PCR, root endophytic fungus, soil microorganisms, sustainable agriculture.

1. Introduction

Piriformospora indica, a root endophytic fungus (Sebacinales: Basidiomycota), was first found in the Thar dessert of India (Verma et al., 1998), an arid region which experiences extreme day-time heat and diurnal temperature fluctuations as well as extended drought. *P. indica* promotes plant growth, increases root and above ground biomass and final yield during its mutualistic relationship with a wide variety of plants (Shrivastava & Varma, 2014). It increases resistance of several hosts from diverse families to many biotic stresses under glasshouse and field conditions (Waller et al., 2005, Deshmukh & Kogel, 2007, Ghahfarokhy et al., 2011, Harrach et al., 2013). Tolerance to abiotic stresses is also increased in a variety of hosts (Zarea et al., 2012, Alikhani et al., 2013, Ghabooli et al., 2013, Varma et al., 2013). Our previous studies showed that *P. indica* protected wheat from *Fusarium* crown rot in pot experiments (Rabiey et al., 2015). Our work also suggests that *Fusarium* head blight and mycotoxin deoxynivalenol contamination are reduced in pot experiments under UK weather conditions (Rabiey & Shaw, 2015). Tests on a field scale are therefore attractive, but as

the organism is an alien, it would first be necessary to understand its ecosystem effects and persistence.

How *P. indica* interacts with other soil microorganisms is still unclear. Endophytic fungal symbionts can have profound effects on plant ecology, fitness, and evolution (Brundrett, 2006), shaping plant communities (Clay & Holah, 1999), increasing plant tolerance to abiotic stresses (Murphy et al., 2015), increasing plant resistance to pathogens (Rodriguez et al., 2009, Murphy et al., 2014) and manifesting strong effects on the community structure and diversity of associated organisms (e.g. bacteria, nematodes and insects; Omacini et al. (2001)). Studies on the effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) on rhizosphere bacteria have shown variable results, with both negative (decreasing the population of bacteria) (Christensen & Jakobsen, 1993, Amora-Lazcano et al., 1998) and positive (increasing the population of bacteria) (Andrade et al., 1997, Abdel-Fattah & Mohamedin, 2000) effects. The variable results could be due to the fact that some bacteria are being stimulated and others being repressed by AMF (Wamberg et al., 2003). Söderberg et al. (2002) suggested that the effect of AMF differed between plant species; the strength of the effect on the bacterial community in the rizosphere depended more on the plant species than on AMF colonisation. If *P. indica* is going to be applied to crops, a clear picture of how it affects other soil microorganisms would be needed, as the soil microflora plays a major role in the availability of nutrients to plants and has a strong influence on plant health and productivity.

Weed competition can threaten crop quality and quantity and ultimately the farmer's profitability (Bockus et al., 2010); it is usually managed by herbicide application. The

key herbicide-resistant weed species of arable crops in the UK are: black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*), wild-oats (*Avena fatua*), cleavers (*Gallium aparine*), Italian rye-grass (*Lolium multiflorum*), common poppy (*Papaver rhoeas*), common chickweed (*Stellaria media*), and scentless mayweed (*Tripleurospermum inodorum*) (Bond et al., 2007, Moss et al., 2011, Hull et al., 2014). These are also important world-wide and in other crops (Yu et al., 2013). Herbicide resistance in the UK is an important and increasing problem, as in other parts of the world including western, central and northern Europe (Mennan & Isik, 2004, Moss et al., 2007, Bertholdsson, 2012). *P. indica* has a wide range of hosts which might include weeds as well. If *P. indica* was as beneficial to weeds as to wheat, it could make weed control more difficult, or increase the damage done by weeds; alternatively, it might increase the competitiveness of wheat against some species or in some settings, which would be useful in managing herbicide resistant weeds. Also, the spread of *P. indica* might have side-effects outside arable fields.

In this study the following hypotheses were tested: *P. indica* would survive the UK weather and soil conditions; *P. indica* would not affect the composition of the bulk soil or root-zone microflora; and *P. indica* would be as beneficial to weeds as to wheat.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. *P. indica* survival and viability experiment

The utility of mRNA and DNA measurements as indicators of viability of *P. indica* was determined by performing RT-PCR and PCR on heat and cold treated pure cultures of *P. indica*. For this purpose, *P. indica* was obtained from Dr. Patrick Schafer, Warwick University, UK (originally from German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell

Culture, strain number DSM 11827) and mycelia was grown on complex modified *Aspergillus* liquid medium (CM medium) (Pham *et al.*, 2004) and incubated on an orbital shaker at 140 rpm at room temperature (21 ± 1 °C) for two weeks. Samples were then kept at 80 °C in a hot water bath for 6 hours, then stored at -80 °C for 6 hours, one and four weeks. After storage, separate samples of mycelia were transferred to potato dextrose agar to check whether they would grow and used for RNA and DNA extraction followed by RT-PCR and PCR respectively. This experiment was repeated to confirm the results.

P. indica survival in the soil under UK weather conditions was tested in different soil types based on either the soil series or textural classification and each soil was under a different crop management. The soils were collected from the Reading University Farm at Sonning (grid ref: SU76187547). These were (1) a Clay Loam (CL) of the Neville series, from an area under winter barley which had previously been under winter wheat; (2) a Sandy Clay Loam (SCL) of the Sonning series from an area under ryegrass at the time and for the previous two years; (3) a Loamy Sand (LSO) of the Rowland series, under organic management, from an area under faba bean cultivation; (4) a Loamy Sand (LS) of the Rowland series, under non-organic management, from an area under ryegrass cultivation. The experiment was carried out between December 2013 and March 2015 at the University of Reading, under outdoor weather conditions. Six pots (3 L, top diameter: 18 cm, bottom diameter: 14 cm, depth: 15 cm) were filled with each soil. Five out of six pots received 4 g of liquid culture of *P. indica* inoculum containing an unquantified mixture of chlamydospores and mycelium and mixed thoroughly with the soil. The control pot received 4 g of sterilised liquid culture of *P. indica* inoculum.

The pots were placed in holes with the tops level with the surrounding soil level to make temperature fluctuations realistic. Around 50 g of each soil type was collected, with a small core (diameter: 12 mm, depth: 8 cm) from the middle of pots, at three and half months (mid-March 2014), 8 months (end of July 2014) and 15 months (end of March 2015) after inoculation with *P. indica*. When collecting the samples, they were kept in a cool box on ice and transferred immediately to -20 °C before DNA and RNA were extracted and PCR or RT-PCR performed. Maximum and minimum temperatures of soil in the pots were recorded every 2 days by a digital thermometer placed in the centre of one of the pots.

2.2. Soil community composition

To examine whether *P. indica* affects other soil microorganisms, wheat was grown in 3 L pots containing one of two soil types, SCL or LSO, as above. Winter wheat seeds, cv. Battalion, were surface disinfected by rinsing for 2 mins in 20 mL L⁻¹ sodium hypochlorite (Fisher Scientific UK Ltd, UK), followed by three rinses in sterilized distilled water, and germinated on damp filter paper in a Petri dish at room temperature (21 ± 1 °C) under natural indoor light for 48 hours. No micro-organisms grew from a sample of seeds so treated and placed on PDA plates for one week. Pre-germinated seeds were planted into 3 L pots (one seed per pot). This experiment had a 2×2×4 factorial combinations of ±*P. indica* × two soil types × four harvesting points, with two replications completely randomised. The pots were incubated at temperatures ranging between 15 and 25 °C; humidity and light were not controlled. Inoculation with 4 g liquid culture of *P. indica* mixed with soil was done at the time of sowing. Root and soil samples were collected at 2, 4, 6 and 8 weeks after inoculation (wai) for DNA

extraction, PCR and DGGE analysis, as below. Samples were transferred and stored as described above.

2.2.1. DNA and RNA isolation

Total genomic DNA from *P. indica* and root samples was isolated using a DNeasy plant mini kit (QIAGEN, UK), and from soil samples by using a PowerLyzer™ PowerSoil® DNA Isolation kit (CAMBIO Ltd, UK) following the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA from *P. indica* was isolated using a RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, UK), and from soil samples by using a RNA PowerSoil® Total RNA Isolation kit (CAMBIO Ltd, UK). Samples were stored at -20 °C until required. Bulk DNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop-lite spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Life Technologies Ltd, UK). The extent of shearing of DNA and RNA was determined by electrophoresis of an aliquot of DNA in a 1 % agarose gel in 1x TAE buffer.

2.2.2. Primer development and PCR condition for RT-PCR study

The gene-specific primer for the RT-PCR study was designed using the PRIMER BLAST tool from NCBI (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast>) to amplify fragments of the *P. indica* mRNA for EF-1-alpha (*TEF* gene, forward: 5-CCACCATCACTGAAGTCCCTC-3 and reverse: 5-TCAATGCCACCGCACTTGTA-3, 148 bp, accession number AJ249912.1, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). The primers were supplied by Invitrogen (Thermo Scientific, Life Technologies Ltd, UK). To assess specificity of the primers for the targeted gene, RT-PCR was done using RNA isolated from a pure culture of *P. indica*. The PCR products of the selected primer were sent to Source Bioscience (<http://www.sourcebioscience.com/>) for sequencing to verify their specificity.

EF (EF-1-alpha (*TEF* gene)) primer amplified cDNA of 148 bp and gDNA of 227 bp. The PCR amplicon sequence corresponded to genomic sequence from 1547 to 1756 bp of the *P. indica TEF* gene, GenBank: accession number AJ249911.2, as expected. PCR was performed in 0.2 mL PCR tubes (Fisher Scientific UK Ltd, UK) with 20 µL final reaction volume containing 2x Biomix PCR master mix, 0.25 µM forward and reverse primer, and template genomic DNA. Amplification was performed in a thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems® GeneAmp® PCR System 9700, Thermo Scientific, Life Technologies Ltd, UK) programmed as: 94 °C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 45s and 72 °C for 30 s, followed by incubation at 72 °C for 7 min. Amplification was confirmed by electrophoresis of an aliquot of the PCR products in 2 % agarose gel in 1x TAE buffer.

2.2.3. Reverse Transcription-PCR (RT-PCR)

RT-PCR for *P. indica* was performed by using Invitrogen SuperScript® III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix (Life Technologies Ltd, UK) in a 20 µL final reaction volume using 10 µL 2× RT Reaction Mix, 2 µL RT Enzyme Mix, RNase-free water and 4 µL *P. indica* RNA. Reverse transcription was done in a thermal cycler. Samples were first incubated at 50 °C for 30 minutes, then held at 85 °C for 5 minutes and then chilled on ice for 5 min. Thereafter, 1 µL *E. coli* RNase H was added to the tube which was then incubated at 37 °C for 20 minutes. PCR was then performed using the complementary DNA (cDNA) obtained from the reverse transcription.

RT-PCR for soil samples was performed by using a One-Step RT-PCR Kit (QIAGEN, UK), in a 25 µL final reaction volume using 5 µL 5x QIAGEN OneStep RT-PCR Buffer, 1 µL dNTP Mix, 1 µL of Enzyme Mix, 0.6 µM of each primer, RNase-free

water and 4 μ L *P. indica* and samples RNA. Thermal cycler was set up at 30 min 50 °C, 15 min 95 °C, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 45 s, 72 °C for 30 s, followed by incubation at 72 °C for 7 min.

2.2.4. Primer and PCR condition for DGGE study

Bacterial 16S rRNA genes, from the extracted DNA, were amplified using the primer 341F-

CGCCCGCCGCGCGCGGGCGGGGCGGGGGCACGGGGGGCCTACGGGAG

GCAGCAG and 534R-ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG (Muyzer et al., 1993). Fungal 18S

rRNA genes were amplified using the primer NS1F-GTAGTCATATGCTTGTCTC and

GCFung-R-

CGCCCGCCGCGCCCCGCGCCCGGCCCGCCGCCCCCGCCCCATTCCCCGTTAC

CCGTTG (Hoshino & Morimoto, 2008).

The PCR was performed in a 20 μ L final reaction volume using 2 \times Biomix PCR master mix, 50 pmol μ L⁻¹ (for bacterial study) and 0.3 pmol μ L⁻¹ (for fungal study) of forward and reverse primer, and sample DNA. Touchdown PCR for the bacterial study was performed in a thermal cycler set up at 94 °C for 10 min, denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, an annealing temperature which was set at 65 °C initially, then decreased by 1 °C after each 2 cycles until it reached 55 °C. Primer extension was performed at 72 °C for 2 min. The above reaction was performed for 20 cycles, followed by 15 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 2 min. A final extension step was performed for 10 min at 72 °C (Sasaki et al., 2009).

For the fungal primers, amplification was set at 94°C for 2 min, 30 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 50 °C for 30 s and 68 °C for 30 s with a final extension of 72 °C for 5 minutes (Hoshino & Morimoto, 2008).

2.2.5. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of fungi and bacteria

Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis was performed according to the method described by Muyzer et al. (1993) (for bacterial study) and Hoshino & Morimoto (2008) (for fungal study) using the Bio-Rad DCode™ Universal Mutation Detection System. PCR samples (20 µL+loading dye) were applied directly onto 8 % (wt/vol) polyacrylamide gels (40 % acrylamide 37.5:1) with denaturing gradients of 40-60 % (for bacteria) and denaturing gradients of 20-40 % (for fungi), where 60 % denaturant comprised 24 mL 100 mL⁻¹ Formamide and 25.2 g 100 mL⁻¹ Urea (Sigma Aldrich Company Ltd, UK). Electrophoresis was performed at a constant voltage of 75 V and a temperature of 60 °C for 17 hours for bacteria and voltage of 50 V and a temperature of 60 °C for 20 hours for fungi. After electrophoresis, the gels were fixed (0.5 % glacial acetic acid and 10 % ethanol) and silver-stained (1 g L⁻¹ silver nitrate), scanned, and the images analysed.

2.2.5.1. Statistical analysis of DGGE banding patterns

The DNA bands that migrated within each gel to the same relative distance were each ascribed the same label. In each lane, corresponding to a sample, the presence of a band with that label was scored 1 and absence scored 0. The band corresponding to *P. indica* band (which had the same position in all *P. indica*-inoculated samples) was not included in the scoring. These data were then analysed by two methods:

(i) Canonical variates analysis (CVA, GenStat 17th ed, VSN) was used to evaluate differences in community structure and allow the comparison of community profiles between groups of samples. CVA differentiate between groups variation, using a trace statistic as a summary of differentiation. CVA will produce a visualization of the data that shows groups as clearly separated, whether the differences are genuine or the result of chance sampling effects. The natural measure of how separate the groups found are is the trace of the matrix ratio $W^{-1}B$, where B is the matrix of between-group sums of squares and products and W is the matrix of within-group sums of squares and products. This measure and a randomization test (10,000 replicates) were used. The significance of the observed separation between groups, to determine whether groups were more distinct than expected by chance, was assessed by randomisation tests of 10,000 replicates (Rajaguru & Shaw, 2010).

(ii) Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H' , GenStat 17th ed, VSN) was used to quantify the diversity of species (bands) present in a group of samples. This index was calculated by the following equation:

$$H' = - \sum_i (R_i / R) \times \log (R_i / R)$$

where R_i is the total number of occurrences of band i in a group of observations, and R is total number of bands of any type observed in the group. Confidence intervals for the index were obtained by randomly re-sampling band abundances from a multinomial with the observed probabilities of each band type, and re-calculating the index.

2.3. *P. indica* interaction with weeds

Black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*, 16 seeds per pot), wild-oat (*Avena fatua*, 6 seeds per pot), cleavers (*Galium aparine*, 3 seeds per pot) with and without wheat (6 seeds per

pot) were planted in 5 L pots (top diameter: 22.5 cm, bottom diameter: 16.5 cm, depth: 17.5 cm) at a depth of 1 cm in one part non-sterilised vermiculite (Medium, Sinclair, UK) and one part sand, mixed with 4 g L⁻¹ of slow release fertiliser (3-4 months, Osmocote® Pro), with and without 4 g pot⁻¹ of liquid *P. indica* inoculum mixed into the soil. Four replicates, distributed in four randomised blocks, were used with the following factorial combinations of treatments: \pm *P. indica*, \pm wheat, and three weed species. Wheat alone with and without *P. indica* was included as a control.

The pots were placed outside under natural conditions in the first two weeks of November/2014 for vernalisation, and then incubated in the glasshouse at temperatures ranging between 5 °C and 18 °C; humidity and light were not controlled. All pots were harvested, when wheat flag leaf was fully emerged (Zadoks Growth Stage (GS) 39; Zadoks et al. (1974)), and roots teased apart, washed and separated from the above ground parts before drying and weighing. This experiment was repeated to confirm the results.

In a separate experiment, to confirm the colonisation of weed roots with *P. indica* microscopically, seeds of black-grass, wild-oat and cleavers were planted separately in 1 L pots (top diameter: 13 cm, bottom diameter: 10 cm, depth: 11 cm) in one part non-sterilised vermiculite (Medium; Sinclair) and one part sand, and inoculated with *P. indica* at sowing. The roots were harvested at one and four weeks after inoculation, stained according to the method described in Rabiey et al., 2015, and viewed under a microscope with 10x and 40x objectives.

Competitiveness of each weed species with wheat was quantified as log (wheat biomass/weed biomass).

2.4. Statistical analysis of pot experiments

ANOVA was used to analyse all data using Genstat 17th ed, (VSN, UK) with appropriate blocking.

3. Results

3.1. Weather conditions during 2013-15

Winter 2013-14 was an “exceptionally” stormy season, with at least 12 major winter storms affecting the UK. Mean temperatures and total rainfall were 2 °C and 211 mm respectively, above the long-term average over Reading (Nov-Mar 2013-14 average 7.1 °C). Soil temperature was 1 °C above average. Soil froze on only five occasions (Fig. 1).

Following this, the mean air and soil temperature of spring and summer 2014 was near the average (April-June 2014 average 13.5 °C); total rainfall was, 55 mm and 31 mm respectively, above the long term average (Fig. 1).

The weather of autumn 2014 was warm (Sep-Nov 2014 average 12.7 °C), 1.6 °C above the average with the number of air frosts well below average. Rainfall totals and soil temperature were above average, 11 mm and 1.5 °C respectively. Winter 2014-15 was sunny with mean air (Dec-Mar 2014/15 average 5.6 °C) and soil temperature near average. Soil froze on 20 occasions. Rainfall totals were 13 mm below average (Fig. 1. www.met.reading.ac.uk/weatherdata).

3.2. *P. indica* viability under UK winter weather conditions

The viability of *P. indica* mycelia was tested under laboratory conditions. Exposure of mycelia to 80 °C for 6 hours, then to -80 °C for 6 hours, one or four weeks killed them: plates showed no growth of fungus after one month. RT-PCR detected *P. indica* mRNA

after 6 hours exposure to 80 °C then 6 hours at -80 °C, but did not detect *P. indica* mRNA after exposure to 80 °C followed by one or four weeks storage at -80 °C. PCR detected DNA in all treatments (Table 1).

RNA and DNA of *P. indica* were successfully isolated from all four soil after winter 2013 (collected mid March 2014) (Table 2). DNA of *P. indica* was successfully isolated from all different soil types following a UK spring and summer (collected end of July 2014), but RNA could be detected in only six of the pots. After 15 months (collected mid March 2015), neither RNA, nor DNA of *P. indica* could be detected from any of the soils (Table 2). *P. indica* could not be detected in the controls that was not inoculated with *P. indica*, which shows the primers could only detect *P. indica* mRNA.

3.3. *P. indica* effect on other soil microorganisms

3.3.1. Canonical variate analysis

Canonical variate analysis was used to differentiation between groups variation, using a trace statistic as a summary of differentiation. Canonical variate analysis of band patterns (Fig. 2), including both bacteria and fungi separated the four different harvested time points (trace: 1.9, $P < 0.0001$), mainly because the first sample was distinct (Fig. 3 a). Root samples were clearly distinguishable from soil samples (trace: 3.9, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 3 b), and soil types were clearly distinct (trace: 1.6, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 3 c). *P. indica*-inoculated and non-inoculated samples were distinct (trace: 0.6, $P = 0.001$, Fig. 3 d), *P. indica*-inoculated were distinguishable from non-inoculated samples by CVA when restricted to either fungal (trace: 1.1, $P < 0.03$, Fig. 3 e), or bacterial primers (trace: 1.2, $P < 0.02$, Fig. 3 f) or soil samples (trace: 2.9, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 3 g) but not root samples (trace: 0.6, $P = 0.6$, Fig. 3 h).

To check the interaction between the effects of *P. indica* and soil-root zones combined factors were created. CVA of groups of samples classified by both *P. indica* inoculation and root-soil zone, including both bacterial and fungal bands, separated *P. indica*-inoculated from non-inoculated samples (trace: 5.5, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 3 i).

3.3.2. Shannon-Wiener diversity index

Samples harvested at different time points did not differ in diversity. Rowland series soils (LSO) had more fungal and bacterial band diversity than Sonning series (SCL). Both types of soil had more fungal and bacterial band diversity in the presence of *P. indica* (Fig. 4) and samples inoculated with *P. indica* had more bands of all types than non-inoculated samples. Root samples had more fungal species diversity when *P. indica* was present, but slightly fewer bacterial species diversity (Fig. 5).

3.4. *P. indica* interaction with weeds

Two *Avena fatua* root samples out of 10 were colonised by *P. indica* at two wai and three out of ten at four wai. Two *Alopecurus myosuroides* root samples out of ten were colonised at four wai. No *Galium aparine* root samples (of ten samples) were colonised. *P. indica* application at sowing time increased wheat shoot and root biomass by 33 % (main effect $P = 0.05$) and 100 % (main effect $P = 0.02$) respectively, as expected (Table 3; supporting information Table 1).

P. indica increased root biomass, averaged over *Avena fatua*, *Alopecurus myosuroides* and *G. aparine*, by 35 % ($P = 0.04$). As expected, competition reduced root biomass (by about 26 %, $P = 0.05$) and there were differences between species ($P = 0.03$; *A. fatua* was about 50 % heavier than the other two species). All interactions were non-significant ($P > 0.4$). In particular, the effect of inoculation did not differ between weed species, and

the effect of inoculation did not differ in the competition pots (Table 3; supporting information Table 1).

Shoot biomass of all plants was decreased about 24 % ($P=0.005$) by competition and differed greatly between the species ($P=0.001$) because *G. aparine* had a lower biomass. The effect of *P. indica* was slight (a 12 % increase; $P=0.2$) and no interactions were significant ($P>0.2$ in all cases) (Table 3; supporting information Table 1).

The average competitiveness with wheat of *Avena fatua*, *Alopecurus myosuroides* and *G. aparine*, measured by the ratio of shoot weights, was reduced by 40 % (backtransformed from the \log_{10} scale; $P=0.02$) when *P. indica* was present in the soil (Table 4; supporting information Table 2). Although the competitiveness differed significantly between species, no interaction terms were significant ($P>0.5$). There were no significant differences in competitiveness measured by the ratio of root weights ($P>0.13$ for all main and interaction terms). This experiment was repeated (supporting information Table 3, 4) and the results were confirmed.

4. Discussion

This study indicates (1) that *P. indica* can survive the UK weather and soil conditions for period of months, even when there is no host present (Table 2); (2) that the inoculation of *P. indica* to soil has a substantial effect on soil and wheat root-associated microflora at least during the first eight weeks (Fig. 3,4,5); (3) that *P. indica* affects at least two of three tested native arable weeds, and alters their competitive relations with wheat, and with each other and at least some other species (Table 3,4; and supporting information Table 3,4).

If it were used in field applications in England, *P. indica* would probably remain active in the soil for up to 15 months and there might be no need to re-apply it within season. However, in the event of adverse side-effects, it would be hard or impossible to eradicate. The longevity of *P. indica* inoculum in the soil, coupled with its strong growth promotional effects on some species might alter the competitive relations between existing native species. It also might affect other methods of disease management as the altered soil microflora could influence crop physiology in undetermined ways. The longevity of inoculum in soil might be specifically due to the mild weather of 2013-15 compared with the climatic average. However, the UK is predicted to experience milder winter conditions over the next decades (UKCIP; www.ukcip.org.uk/).

Exposure of *P. indica* to heat (80 °C) then immediately to -80 °C, killed the mycelia (Table 1). mRNA can be used as an indicator of *P. indica* viability, as it could not be detected a few hours after mycelia of *P. indica* were killed, while DNA of *P. indica* could be detected even four weeks after mycelium was killed (Table 1). This agrees with other studies. Herdina et al. (2004) concluded that mycelium of *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici* killed by heating to 55 °C for 1 hour and DNA could still be detected by PCR after eight days. Chimento et al. (2012) killed *Phytophthora ramorum* mycelia by rapid lyophilisation and could detect DNA three months later while mRNA was only detected up to one week after the treatment, despite its relatively mild nature.

The DGGE analysis showed detectable changes in the microbial community structure and increased diversity in the fungal and bacterial community of both root and soil samples inoculated with *P. indica*, which are reflected in increases in Shannon diversity

indices (Fig. 3,4,5). How this might affect soil function is unknown. There is lots of debate about the importance of microbial community structure and diversity for soil function, plant productivity, resilience and stability. Changes in the composition of the soil microbial community can change ecosystem process rates, specifically decomposition, and affect plant productivity (positively, negatively or not at all) depending on the composition of the initial microbial community (McGuire & Treseder, 2010, Gera Hol et al., 2015). The two soils tested differed in their initial diversity, but responded similarly to inoculation with *P. indica*. The increase in microbial diversity might be due to *P. indica* causing changes in root exudate (composition and quantity) patterns, or directly through fungal exudates, as reported for AMF (Barea, 2000, Gryndler, 2000, Jeffries et al., 2003).

The primer sets 341/534 and NS1/GCFung for the bacterial and fungal community study were used as Muyzer et al. (1993) and Hoshino and Morimoto (2008) suggested these primer sets could most clearly discriminate bacterial and fungal communities in the soil. To obtain more specific results from DGGE, PCR primers must amplify only specific groups of fungi and bacteria (Jumpponen, 2007, Hoshino, 2012). The DGGE gave an overview of *P. indica*-induced changes in bacterial and fungal community structure but next generation sequencing approaches could be employed in the future for in depth study of the effects of *P. indica* on community structure and composition (Rincon-Florez et al., 2013).

P. indica has a very wide host range, and may be able to interact with and improve growth of economically-damaging weeds as well as crops. The effect of *P. indica* on *Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Avena fatua* and *Galium aparine*, three of the most important

weeds in UK wheat production was evaluated. As expected, the weeds reduced wheat's root and shoot biomass significantly. *P. indica* did not colonise *G. aparine*, but did colonise *A. fatua* and *A. myosuroides*, though less than wheat (Table 3,4; supporting information Table 3,4). The average root biomass of the three species was nonetheless increased by inoculation with *P. indica*, but less than that of wheat. The ratio of wheat shoot biomass to weed shoot biomass was increased in pots inoculated with *P. indica* so the effect on wheat had outweighed the effect on the weeds. This suggests that wheat might be a favourable host for *P. indica* and that field application of *P. indica* might not make weed control more difficult. However, since only three species were tested, on a small scale, the main conclusion is that the fungus can alter competitive relations among both host and non-host species. The survival time and wide host range suggests that the fungus would escape into natural communities and might alter their composition or functioning. Changes would not necessarily be detrimental but these results do imply a need for extensive assessments on an ecosystem scale.

Previous studies (Rabiey & Shaw, 2015, Rabiey et al., 2015) show that *P. indica* could be extremely useful in stabilising and increasing wheat yields and quality in the UK; other studies in northern Europe suggest it might also benefit other crops (Achatz et al., 2010, Fakhro et al., 2010, Sun et al., 2010). The present results suggest *P. indica* effect on both weeds and soil function should be studied further. Considering a close relative of *P. indica*, *Serendipita herbamans*, has recently been discovered in Germany (Riess et al 2014), a search for native organisms with similar characteristics might be a better direction to go in (Hodkinson & Murphy, 2015).

4.1. Conclusion

In the case of field application, *P. indica* would probably remain active in the soil within season for up to 15 months. *P. indica* increased root and soil fungal and bacterial diversity. Although usually desirable, this indicates substantial effects on soil composition or functioning during the first eight weeks after inoculation. The organism would be likely to alter competitive relations among both host and non-host species. The wider effects of *P. indica* and similar organisms need to be better understood before agricultural deployment.

Acknowledgment

This work was funded by the Sir Halley Stewart Trust.

5. References

- Abdel-Fattah GM, Mohamedin AH, 2000. Interactions between a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (*Glomus intraradices*) and *Streptomyces coelicolor* and their effects on sorghum plants grown in soil amended with chitin of prawn scales. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **32**, 401-9.
- Achatz B, Von Ruden S, Andrade D, Neumann E, Pons-Kuhnemann J, Kogel KH, Franken P, Waller F, 2010. Root colonization by *Piriformospora indica* enhances grain yield in barley under diverse nutrient regimes by accelerating plant development. *Plant and Soil* **333**, 59-70.
- Alikhani M, Khatabi B, Sepehri M, Nekouei MK, Mardi M, Salekdeh GH, 2013. A proteomics approach to study the molecular basis of enhanced salt tolerance in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) conferred by the root mutualistic fungus *Piriformospora indica*. *Molecular Biosystems* **9**, 1498-510.
- Amora-Lazcano E, Vazques MM, Azcon R, 1998. Response of nitrogen-transforming microorganisms to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **27**, 65–70.

- 482 Andrade G, Mihara KL, Linderman RG, Bethlenfalvay GJ, 1997. Bacteria from
483 rhizosphere and hyphosphere soils of different arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi. *Plant and*
484 *Soil* **192**, 71–9.
- 485 Barea JM, 2000. Rhizosphere and mycorrhiza of field crops. In: Toutant Jp. BE,
486 Galante E., Lynch J.M., Schepers J.S., Werner D., Werry P.A., ed. *Biological resource*
487 *management: connecting science and policy*. (OECD) INRA Editions and Springer,
488 Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 110-125.
- 489 Bertholdsson NO, 2012. Allelopathy-a tool to improve the weed competitive ability of
490 wheat with herbicide-resistant black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds.) *Agronomy*
491 **2**, 284-94.
- 492 Bockus WW, Bowden RL, Hunger RM, Morrill WL, Murray TD, Smiley RW, 2010.
493 Compendium of Wheat Diseases and Pests, Third Edition. *American Phytopathological*
494 *Society*.
- 495 Bond W, Davies G, Turner R, 2007. The biology and non-chemical control of Cleavers
496 (*Galium aparine* L.). *HDRA The Organic Organisation*.
497 <http://www.gardenorganic.org.uk/organicweeds>.
- 498 Brundrett MC, 2006. Understanding the roles of multifunctional mycorrhizal and
499 endophytic fungi. In: Schulz B.J.E. BCJC, Sieber T.N., ed. *Microbial root endophytes*.
500 Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 281-293 pp.
- 501 Chimento A, Cacciola SO, Garbelotto M, 2012. Detection of mRNA by reverse-
502 transcription PCR as an indicator of viability in *Phytophthora ramorum*. *Forest*
503 *Pathology* **42**, 14-21.
- 504 Christensen H, Jakobsen I, 1993. Reduction of bacterial growth by a vesicular-
505 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus in the rhizosphere of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.).
506 *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **15**, 253-8.
- 507 Clay K, Holah J, 1999. Fungal endophyte symbiosis and plant diversity in successional
508 fields. *Science* **285**, 1742-4.
- 509 Deshmukh SD, Kogel KH, 2007. *Piriformospora indica* protects barley from root rot
510 caused by *Fusarium graminearum*. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection* **114**, 263-
511 8.

- 512 Fakhro A, Andrade-Linares DR, Von Bargen S, Bandte M, Buttner C, Grosch R,
513 Schwarz D, Franken P, 2010. Impact of *Piriformospora indica* on tomato growth and on
514 interaction with fungal and viral pathogens. *Mycorrhiza* **20**, 191-200.
- 515 Gera Hol WH, De Boer W, De Hollander M, Kuramae EE, Meisner A, Van Der Putten
516 W, 2015. Context dependency and saturating effects of loss of rare soil microbes on
517 plant productivity. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **6**, 485, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00485.
- 518 Ghabooli M, Khatabi B, Ahmadi FS, Sepehri M, Mirzaei M, Amirkhani A, Jorrin-Novo
519 JV, Salekdeh GH, 2013. Proteomics study reveals the molecular mechanisms
520 underlying water stress tolerance induced by *Piriformospora indica* in barley. *Journal*
521 *of Proteomics* **94**, 289-301.
- 522 Ghahfarokhy MR, Goltapeh EM, Purjam E, Pakdaman, B.S., Modarres Sanavy SaM,
523 Varma A, 2011. Potential of mycorrhiza-like fungi and Trichoderma species in
524 biocontrol of Take-all Disease of wheat under greenhouse condition *Journal of*
525 *Agricultural Technology* **7(1)**, 185-95. <http://www.ijat-aatsea.com/>.
- 526 Gryndler M, 2000. Interactions of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi with other soil
527 organisms. In: Kapulnik Y, And Douds D.D., ed. *Arbuscular mycorrhizas: physiology*
528 *and function*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 239-262.
- 529 Harrach BD, Baltruschat H, Barna B, Fodor J, Kogel K-H, 2013. The mutualistic fungus
530 *Piriformospora indica* protects Barley roots from a loss of antioxidant capacity caused
531 by the necrotrophic pathogen *Fusarium culmorum*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe*
532 *Interactions* **26**, 599-605.
- 533 Herdina, Neate S, Jabaji-Hare S, Ophel-Keller K, 2004. Persistence of DNA of
534 *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici* in soil as measured by a DNA-based assay.
535 *FEMS Microbiol Ecology* **47**, 143-52.
- 536 Hodkinson TR, Murphy BR, 2015. Native fungal microorganisms enhance important
537 agronomic traits in barley. . *Graduate Students' Union of the University of Dublin,*
538 *Trinity College. Journal of Postgraduate Research* **14**.
- 539 Hoshino Y, T., 2012. Molecular analyses of soil fungal community. In: S. MCH, ed.
540 *Methods and Applications, Soil Health and Land Use Management*. InTech, pp. 279-
541 304.

- 542 Hoshino YT, Morimoto S, 2008. Comparison of 18S rDNA primers for estimating
543 fungal diversity in agricultural soils using polymerase chain reaction-denaturing
544 gradient gel electrophoresis. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* **54**, 701-10.
- 545 Hull R, Tatnell LV, Cook SK, Beffa R, Moss SR, 2014 Current status of herbicide-
546 resistant weeds in the UK. Crop Production in Southern Britain: Precision Decisions for
547 Profitable Cropping *Aspects of Applied Biology* **127**, 261-72.
- 548 Jeffries P, Gianinazzi S, Perotto S, Turnau K, Barea J-M, 2003. The contribution of
549 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in sustainable maintenance of plant health and soil
550 fertility. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **37**, 1-16.
- 551 Jumpponen A, 2007. Soil fungal communities underneath willow canopies on a primary
552 successional glacier forefront: rDNA sequence results can be affected by primer
553 selection and chimeric data. *Microbial Ecology* **53**, 233-46.
- 554 Mcguire KL, Treseder KK, 2010. Microbial communities and their relevance for
555 ecosystem models: decomposition as a case study. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **42**,
556 529-35.
- 557 Mennan H, Isik D, 2004. The competitive ability of *Avena* spp. and *Alopecurus*
558 *myosuroides* Huds. influenced by different wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cultivars. *Turkish*
559 *Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* **28**, 245-51.
- 560 Moss SR, Marshall R, Hull R, Alarcon-Reverte R, 2011. Current status of herbicide-
561 resistant weeds in the United Kingdom. Crop Protection in Southern Britain. *Aspects of*
562 *Applied Biology* **106**, 1-10.
- 563 Moss SR, Perryman SaM, Tatnell LV, 2007. Managing herbicide-resistant blackgrass
564 (*Alopecurus myosuroides*): Theory and practice. *Weed Technology* **21**, 300-9.
- 565 Murphy BR, Doohan FM, Hodkinson TR, 2014. Fungal endophytes of barley roots. *The*
566 *Journal of Agricultural Science* **152**, 602-15.
- 567 Murphy BR, Martin Nieto L, Doohan FM, Hodkinson TR, 2015. Fungal endophytes
568 enhance agronomically important traits in severely drought-stressed barley. *Journal of*
569 *Agronomy and Crop Science* **201** 419-27.

570 Muyzer G, Dewaal EC, Uitterlinden AG, 1993. Profiling of Complex Microbial-
571 Populations by Denaturing Gradient Gel-Electrophoresis Analysis of Polymerase Chain
572 Reaction-Amplified Genes-Coding for 16s Ribosomal-Rna. *Applied and Environmental*
573 *Microbiology* **59**, 695-700.

574 Omacini M, Chaneton EJ, Ghera CM, Müller CB, 2001. Symbiotic fungal endophytes
575 control insect host-parasite interaction webs. *Nature* **409**, 78-81.

576 Rabiey M, Shaw MW, 2015. *Piriformospora indica* reduces fusarium head blight
577 disease severity and mycotoxin DON contamination in wheat under UK weather
578 conditions. *Plant Pathology*, DOI: 10.1111/ppa.12483.

579 Rabiey M, Ullah I, Shaw MW, 2015. The endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica*
580 protects wheat from fusarium crown rot disease in simulated UK autumn conditions.
581 *Plant Pathology* **64**, 1029–40. DOI: 10.1111/ppa.12335.

582 Rajaguru BaP, Shaw MW, 2010. Genetic differentiation between hosts and locations in
583 populations of latent *Botrytis cinerea* in southern England. *Plant Pathology* **59**, 1081-
584 90.

585 Rincon-Florez VA, Carvalhais LC, Schenk PM, 2013. Culture-independent molecular
586 tools for soil and Rhizosphere microbiology *Diversity* **5**, 581-612.

587 Rodriguez RJ, White JF, Jr., Arnold AE, Redman RS, 2009. Fungal endophytes:
588 diversity and functional roles. *New Phytologist* **182**, 314-30.

589 Shrivastava S, Varma A, 2014. From *Piriformospora indica* to rootonic: a review.
590 *African Journal of Microbiology Research* **8**, 2984-92.

591 Söderberg KH, Olsson PA, Bååth E, 2002. Structure and activity of the bacterial
592 community in the rhizosphere of different plant species and the effect of arbuscular
593 mycorrhizal colonisation. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **40**, 223-31.

594 Sun C, Johnson JM, Cai D, Sherameti I, Oelmüller R, Lou B, 2010. *Piriformospora*
595 *indica* confers drought tolerance in Chinese cabbage leaves by stimulating antioxidant
596 enzymes, the expression of drought-related genes and the plastid-localized CAS protein.
597 *Journal of Plant Physiology* **167**, 1009-17.

- 598 Varma A, Kost G, Oelmüller R, Eds., 2013. *Piriformospora indica; Sebaciniales and*
 599 *their biotechnological applications*. Soil Biology 33. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-
 600 Verlag. pp 17.
- 601 Verma S, Varma A, Rexer KH, Hassel A, Kost G, Sarbhoy A, Bisen P, Butehorn B,
 602 Franken P, 1998. *Piriformospora indica*, gen. et sp. nov., a new root-colonizing fungus.
 603 *Mycologia* **90**, 896-903.
- 604 Waller F, Achatz B, Baltruschat H, Fodor J, Becker K, Fischer M, Heier T,
 605 Huckelhoven R, Neumann C, Von Wettstein D, Franken P, Kogel KH, 2005. The
 606 endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica* reprograms barley to salt-stress tolerance,
 607 disease resistance, and higher yield. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
 608 *of the United States of America* **102**, 13386-91.
- 609 Wamberg C, Christensen S, Jakobsen I, Müller AK, Sørensen SJ, 2003. The
 610 mycorrhizal fungus (*Glomus intraradices*) affects microbial activity in the rhizosphere
 611 of pea plants (*Pisum sativum*). *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **35**, 1349-57.
- 612 Yu Q, Ahmad-Hamdani MS, Han H, Christoffers MJ, Powles SB, 2013. Herbicide
 613 resistance-endowing ACCase gene mutations in hexaploid wild oat (*Avena fatua*):
 614 insights into resistance evolution in a hexaploid species. *Heredity* **110**, 220-31.
- 615 Zadoks JC, Chang TT, Konzak CF, 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of
 616 cereals. *Weed Research* **14**, 415-21.
- 617 Zarea MJ, Hajinia S, Karimi N, Goltapeh EM, Rejali F, Varma A, 2012. Effect of
 618 *Piriformospora indica* and *Azospirillum* strains from saline or non-saline soil on
 619 mitigation of the effects of NaCl. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **45**, 139-46.

620

621

622

623

Figure Legends

Fig 1. Reading mean air temperature, mean 10 cm soil temperature, and total rainfall between winter 2013-14 and winter 2014-15, compared with 1981-2010 average (source: www.met.reading.ac.uk/weatherdata).

Fig 2. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis profiles of the wheat (a) root fungal and (b) bacterial community in Sonning series (SCL) or Rowland series (LSO) soil inoculated with (+) or without (-) *Piriformospora indica*, harvested at 2 weeks after inoculation (wai) (T1), 4 wai (T2), 6 wai (T3) and 8 wai (T4), (first lane: Hyper Ladder I-100 lanes (Bioline)).

Fig 3. Canonical variates analysis of bands from denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis using universal fungal and bacterial primers for wheat root samples grown in Sonning series (SCL) or Rowland series (LSO) soils, inoculated with/without *Piriformospora indica*, (Pi: *P. indica*). First or first and second canonical axes are shown for data classified by (a) the four time points of harvest; (b) Root and soil source; (c) soil types; (d) *P. indica*-inoculation status; (e-h) *P. indica*-inoculation status using but restricted to

648 fungal (e), or bacterial primers (f) or to soil samples (g) or root samples (h); (i) both *P.*
649 *indica* inoculation and root or soil source. In (a) and (i) the circles indicate 95%
650 confidence intervals for the centre of the group in the canonical variate space under
651 normality assumptions.

652
653 **Fig 4.** Shannon-Weiner diversity index for Sonning (SCL) and Rowland series (LSO)
654 soil samples inoculated or not with *Piriformospora indica*. Based on denaturing gel
655 electrophoresis of DNA extracts amplified using universal fungal and bacterial primers.
656 Each bar shows mean \pm 95% bootstrap confidence interval.

657
658 **Fig 5.** Shannon-Weiner diversity index for wheat root and soil samples inoculated or
659 not with *Piriformospora indica* (Pi), based on denaturing gel electrophoresis of DNA
660 extracts amplified using universal fungal and bacterial primers. Both soil types
661 (Sonning series (SCL) and Rowland series (LSO)) are combined. Each bar shows mean
662 \pm 95% bootstrap confidence interval.

665 **Table Legends**

666 **Table 1.** Recovery of *Piriformospora indica* DNA and RNA after the mycelia were
667 killed by exposure to heat and cold or grown in covered petri dishes of potato
668 dextrose agar (n=3 for each condition).

669
670 **Table 2.** Recovery of *Piriformospora indica* DNA and RNA from four soil types, left in
671 pots under prevailing weather conditions without plant roots present from December
672 2013 with sample collections at mid-March 2014, end-July 2014 and mid-March 2015,
673 n=5 (S.e.d.: Standard error of the difference of means).

674
675 **Table 3.** Dry weights (g) of root and shoot of *Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Avena fatua* and
676 *Galium aparine* alone and in competition with wheat, with and without inoculation with
677 *Piriformospora indica* (error d.f.: 33; S.e.d.: Standard error of the difference of means).

Table 4. Competitiveness of *Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Avena fatua*, and *Galium aparine* with wheat, measured as \log_{10} (weed dry weight/wheat dry weight), in the presence and absence of inoculum of *Piriformospora indica* in the soil (d.f.: 15).

Supporting Information

Table 1. ANOVA P-value for Table 3. for dry weights (g) of root and shoot of weed species (*Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Avena fatua* and *Galium aparine*) alone and in competition with wheat, with and without inoculation with *Piriformospora indica*.

Table 2. ANOVA P-value for Table 4. for competitiveness of weed species (*Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Avena fatua*, and *Galium aparine*) with wheat, in the presence and absence of inoculum of *Piriformospora indica* in the soil.

Table 3a. Dry weights (g) of root and shoot of *Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Avena fatua* and *Galium aparine* alone and in competition with wheat, with and without inoculation with *Piriformospora indica* (error d.f.: 33; S.e.d.: Standard error of the difference of means) (second repeat).

Table 3b. ANOVA P-value for Table 3a. for dry weights (g) of root and shoot of weed species (*Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Avena fatua* and *Galium aparine*) alone and in competition with wheat, with and without inoculation with *Piriformospora indica*.

Table 4a. Competitiveness of *Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Avena fatua*, and *Galium aparine* with wheat, measured as \log_{10} (weed dry weight/wheat dry weight), in the presence and absence of inoculum of *Piriformospora indica* in the soil (d.f.:15; S.e.d.: Standard error of the difference of means) (second repeat).

Table 4b. ANOVA P-value for Table 4a. for competitiveness of weed species (*Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Avena fatua*, and *Galium aparine*) with wheat, in the presence and absence of inoculum of *Piriformospora indica* in the soil.