A framework for integrating syntax, semantics and pragmatics for computer-aided professional practice: With application of costing in construction industry

Article

Accepted Version

Xu, S., Liu, K., Tang, L. C.M. T. and Li, W. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2878-3185 (2016) A framework for integrating syntax, semantics and pragmatics for computer-aided professional practice: With application of costing in construction industry. Computers in Industry, 83. pp. 28-45. ISSN 0166-3615 doi: 10.1016/j.compind.2016.08.004 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/68025/

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 Abstract

Producing a bill of quantity is a knowledge-based, dynamic and collaborative process, and evolves with variances and current evidence. However, within the context of information system practice in BIM, knowledge of cost estimation has not been represented, nor has it been integrated into the processes based on BIM.

This paper intends to establish an innovative means of taking data from the BIM linked to a project, and using it to create the necessary items for a bill of quantity that will enable cost estimation to be undertaken for the project. Our framework is founded upon the belief that three components are necessary to gain a full awareness of the domain which is being computerised; the information type which is to be assessed for compatibility (syntax), the definition for the pricing domain (semantics), and the precise implementation environment for the standards being taken into account (pragmatics). In order to achieve this, a prototype is created that allows a cost item for the bill of quantity to be spontaneously generated, by means of the semantic web ontology and a forward chain algorithm. Within this paper, 'cost items' signify the elements included in a bill of quantity, including details of their description, quantity and price. As a means of authenticating the process being developed, the authors of this work effectively implemented it in the production of cost items. In addition, the items created were contrasted with those produced by specialists. For this reason, this innovative framework introduces the possibility of a new means of applying semantic web ontology and forward chain algorithm to construction professional practice resulting in automatic cost estimation. These key outcomes demonstrate that, decoupling the professional practice into three key components of syntax, semantics and pragmatics can provide tangible benefits to domain user.

Keywords: rule-based, semantic, ontology, IFC, BIM, cost estimation, computer-aided professional practice, expert system

1 Introduction

The ability to produce a standardised bill of quantities is a key issue for those undertaking cost estimation activities. Although the recent introduction of BIM can assist the process of cost estimation, difficulties remain where specialist knowledge, for instance measuring quantities that comply with standards and apply subjective unit price from expert experiences, is required to input the original quantities. Cheng et al. [1] state that this is also greatly impacted by the subjective decision making practices of estimators. While the use and accessibility of previous data is of great value, it is again subjective and regardless of the complexity of the tool, basic spreadsheet or cost modelling software, being used a degree of specialist input is needed. In fact, this process is used extensively as a means of overcoming the issues surrounding successful cost estimation [2,3].

This paper will focus on the key limitations relating to the production of BIM models created for cost estimation purposes. It is necessary to employ a broad and open-minded point of view as many factors must be acknowledged including current standards of practice, issues surrounding compatibility and those affecting subjective decisions. The detailed elements relating to standards of practice and expert knowledge lead to the necessity for an elaborate software development process. Specifically, this is required in order to tackle the complexities of sharing the requirements of meeting industry standards with software developers, in addition to confirming the functionality of the software created. The resulting outcome of this particular model is that it does not generally tackle details of pricing systems.

The overall aim of this study is to construct, review and confirm a common rule-based semantic specialist cost estimating process. Those undertaking the work believe two key outcomes will emerge from its development, specifically: the ability of domain experts to understand and improve the standards of practice and degree of awareness incorporated within open software architecture; the growth in understanding that methodical cost estimating closely corresponds with rule-based analysis and the framework to incorporate expert reasoning into information system. The outcome of this is the ability to substantiate the approach with increased levels of precision.

Subsequent to this introductory section, the background of cost estimation will be explored, reviewing the issues existing in this area as well as the previous work into potential intelligent solutions that has been carried out. Following this, section three will focus on the foundation of the framework and its associated method, while the next section will present the proposed framework and its elements. Then a case study detailing the results generated will be presented. Finally, the results will be examined and future developments suggested.

2 Backgrounds

The process of cost estimation incorporates a great deal of subjectivity and specialist input is generally required whether a basic spreadsheet or intricate cost modelling system is used. An estimate is a specialist's view of an expected future cost. While expert problem solving is not a specific cost estimation procedure, it is well understood and often used [2,3]. Specialists in the field generally reach estimated figures through the use of analogies and comparisons [4–10]. Studies undertaken by Sinclair et al. [11] reached the conclusion that existing methods of reasoned application were overly simplified throughout the construction industry. These methods regularly use existing items (with known specifics) to draw comparisons

with new items (with unknown specifics). Once the details have been allocated to the item it then moves from being new to existing [12]. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) utilises a valuable cost estimation model that is similar to this, which incorporates clear processes for undertaking estimation. This style of process does, however, provide only an abstract portrayal of estimation requirements. This is particularly clear when considering the Eurostat survey method, which cannot be analysed by computers. Quantity surveying, in relation to project cost estimation, requires the completion of systematic or analogical tasks. They undertake these processes, using historical data, to build a cost estimate. In general, cost estimation activities require the detection of an analogical link between the project in question and the previous work, associating the specifics of the project with their equivalent points, leading to a clear result. Mair et al. [13] state that an electronic form of Case-based reasoning (CBR) cycle allows the development of an altered and approved outcome. As a result, as highlighted by RSMeans [14] and The Building Cost Information Service (BCIS) [15] problems are solved by linking the outcomes of previous work to current challenges.

On the other hand, there has been very little investigation into the cognitive reasoning that a specialist puts in place when reaching a decision or the ways in which this can be linked to the activities of cost estimating. Kiziltas et al. [16] argue that these factors are so often not documented that the details are not available to other specialists. As a result, very few studies have been carried out into the process or attempts made to summarise the rationale behind it. Research in the construction engineering field often focuses on algorithmic features because organisations are generally more at ease with a statistical approach because organizations concern individual subjective input as a 'black box' and hasn't been captured in the system. [17–19]. Hughes [20] explains that researchers are not content with such an undesirable attitude towards specialist knowledge, although an effective means of reinforcing this has not yet been established [16,21,22].

Attempts have previously been made towards automating the cost estimation process within BIM, and for this reason a broad investigation into intelligent solutions for cost estimation is required [23–27]. It should also be noted that the reports discussed have been selected from a literature review of over 100 sources. These were identified using keywords such as semantics model, construction, design, building, built-environment, ontology, resource description framework (RDF), semantic web ontology language (OWL), and IFC (dated between 2002 and 2015).

The process of identifying the most appropriate work related items for expense estimation can be effectively carried out using OWL and SWRL [27]. The data collected is extracted from an IfcXML file, to which semantic analysis is performed to produce a range of working conditions. This class of file allows data regarding measurements, methodology and materials to be gathered, thereby offering a clear indication of the items required for expense estimations to be made.

Technological advances in information management in the construction industry tend to be heavily dependent on the functionality of the latest internet release [28]. This is often referred to as knowledge demonstration and allows for the possibility of major steps forward in innovative processes. A key feature of this approach is that it must allow for interrelated functionality between different software products, not excluding web-based and intelligent offerings. When focusing on the construction industry the software products that they must work with are those featuring the ISO-10303 Standard Exchange of Product Data (STEP). Moreover, the IFC was created, using the specific elements of STEP, as a building information model to be used in

125 the construction industry. The semantic heavy model has led to the improvement of
126 building information through the use of semantic technologies. Overall, the main aim
127 is to develop the current availability of building information through the production
128 of data that can be electronically processed.
129 OWL is often regarded as offering the best expertise representation language,
130 specifically from a visual point of view, due to it being effective, well-known and
131 widely supported. It should be highlighted that this study does not take into account
132 offerings available in other languages, although it remains the case that information
133 relating to engineering processes does not include a wide range of semantics and
134 pragmatics [29].
135 It is vital that the model produced has the ability to build a degree of understanding
136 while supporting individual input. For this reason, the usefulness of the model is
137 largely focused on semantics, which allow for precision in the results produced and
138 functionality of the model. Where they are unclear, the outputs are open to
139 interpretation and unfortunately, the building models available tend to lack adequate
140 semantic detail. An example of such can be seen on traditional CAD programmes,
141 which provide sophisticated drawing options but lack a human perspective (e.g.
142 simple geometric shapes). In other words, most traditional CAD drawings rely on
143 user interpretation of constructed primitive geometric shapes and are not
144 semantically marked-up with relationships and labels. This is a result of an absence
145 of semantic details. In fact, the principle behind BIM was to construct models that
146 make use of object-based images, to enable an environment to be detailed
147 semantically. Furthermore, BIM offers the inclusion of an information source that
148 construction industry personnel can access to locate specific product information.
149 BIM has been largely supported by those in the construction industry since its
150 introduction as a means of tackling many long-standing concerns.
151 While OWL is the most commonly used form of knowledge representation language
152 within built-environment, analysis of estimations activity highlights the use of four
153 forms of semantic model [29]. Grzybek et al. [29] explain that issues arise due to an
154 overall incapacity of the general knowledge engineering process and suggest a
155 guideline of ontology development. We believe that there is an overall lack of
156 association between semantics and pragmatics within languages. Furthermore, based
157 on our interpretation, the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) has addressed this
158 issue in the provenance (PROV) Family of Documents as entity, artefact and process
159 respectively [30]. Additionally, the use of OWL as a knowledge representation
160 language for domain knowledge is common as it is the most well-used and known. It
161 should be noted, however, that its use does not take into account any concerns about
162 capacity with regard to languages. For this reason, a more extensive analysis of the
163 outcomes of the estimating process is needed[24,27,31,32], see table 1 below:
164 .
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174

Table 1 Comparison between Estimation Methods

Estimation method	General Cost Estimation Process	
	Cost Item Quantification	Unit Price Determine
Conventional Cost Estimation	Manual	Manual
BIM based Cost Estimation	Automatic*	Automatic*
Ontology Improved BIM and language used	1: describe cost item comply with standard; IFC-based ontology. 2: Identify working condition to select cost item; OWL	3: Identify project location to select labour cost; UML+OWL. 4: Identify construction condition to adjust unit price; ontology language not specified

Note: BIM based QTO and price identification does not comply with standard
1: [31]; 2: [27]; 3: [24]; 4: [32]

The findings of Ma, Wei and Zhang [31] explain the importance of maintaining a process that enables the transfer of data from IFC to OWL. This leads to the system being able to automatically catalogue the construction elements into expense items with associated costs, prior to producing a final cost summary. In this process, pricing details are not included. The reason for this, as explained by the researchers, is that the expense items have the ability to continually reflect market prices and for this reason item pricing is not required. On the other hand, OWL is used in the process of application while IFC is built upon the semantic process.

Lee et al. [27] explores how employing ontology classification capacity can derive the working method of cost item.

Abanda et al. [24] investigated the expenses associated with work activities in Cameroon. There it is common practice to use simple data engineering processes to build UML models for work expenditure, which are then inputted to OWL. Following this, semantic web rule language (SWRL) for OWL is applied, which results in a range of job locations being identified and allowing expenditure estimations to be made for each location.

The research findings of Staub et al. [32] have previously identified a range of building features that enable experts to make precise pricing estimations. The method of application involves establishing the features of the particular element, using examples of building product models (for example IFC models), identifying the exact specifications of the element and relating the knowledge of experts to this in a project specific way. In doing this, it is possible to assess or incorporate requirements for each element of the building and leads to expenses being altered accordingly. Staub-French et al. [23] also provide details of an ontology of building elements that assist specialists in the cost estimating process. This is executed by producing details of the particular element from images captured in building product models, such as IFC- based outputs, noting the specific design details of the element and applying specialist knowledge specific to the project as a means of evaluating or including certain building features. Subsequently, the costs associated with the project are amended dependent on these outcomes.

Within this analysis of the background, a number of factors relating to BIM-based

211 cost estimation have been investigated. The potential for updated and advanced
212 processes has been suggested, specifically relating to the ontology employed in the
213 construction sector. To date, no studies have been undertaken that have successfully
214 combined the relevant elements within one model. Although many of the key studies
215 in this area note the importance of a semantic basis within the domain [33,34], it is
216 understood that to provide a summary of all the necessary opinions a structure based
217 on information is required, focusing on compatibility (syntax), a description of
218 pricing analysis (semantics), and exact details of the circumstances surrounding the
219 elements being assessed (pragmatics). Within the construction industry, this is
220 particularly important as there is a growing trend towards reverse engineering of the
221 semantics relating to the domain from the key information source, the IFCs [35].
222 There are however concerns regarding the suitability of this method, as IFCs have
223 been produced for the purpose of storing information and therefore are not able to
224 completely deliver the correct range of semantics required by construction
225 management professionals. The assimilation of these three aspects is the most
226 important advantage offered by our approach. It is hoped that it will continue to
227 expand the reasoning capacity of the pricing models used alongside BIM,
228 particularly in comparison to similar models outlined in this chapter, and that it will
229 serve as an advanced progression. In our opinion, this new approach offers plenty of
230 benefits to specialists who use BIM.

3   Semiotic Framework for an Integrated Solution

231
232 The study of signs, called semiotics, was independently developed by the logician
233 and philosopher Charles Sanders Peirce and the linguist Ferdinand de Saussure.
234 Stamper [36] furthered the field of semiotics with the inclusion of a philosophical,
235 radical subjectivist stance, that redefined information as signs concerned with an
236 individual's cognitive process. Stamper [37] develops a semiotics framework for
237 information system analysis and design with the use of three additional layers in
238 conjunction with the traditional three divisions of semiotics, namely; the physical,
239 empirical, and social layers (see Figure 1).
240

Figure 1 Semiotic Framework for BIM based Cost Estimation (adapted from Liu (2000))

241
242
243
244 Within the context of this research, there can be said to be two main 'pillars': human
245 informational functions (the upper three layers), which refer to BIM-based
246 construction cost estimation, and which are mainly concerned with signs; what signs
247 are, and how the signs are to function within the communication as well as the IT
248 platform. The IT platform (which forms the lower three layers) refers to open-BIM,

6

249 which answers questions regarding the structuring of signs, the means by which signs
250 are organized as well as the physical properties a sign has. More specifically, each
251 layers has been depicted as follows:

3.1 Six Perspectives of BIM-based Cost Estimation

253 Cost estimation is a service provided by professional organisations. Previously, this
254 kind of service was considered to be an approach intended to represent an objective
255 reality regardless of its nature of subjective and human intervention. Today's building
256 projects are more complicated than ever before, and are executed on a much larger
257 scale. However, today's cost estimation methods fail to satisfy users' requirements
258 since they tend to be technically sound but cumbersome in practice. Researchers
259 have found that where cost estimation software has not yet been successful, this is
260 down to a lack of knowledge about the cost estimation field. As mentioned earlier in
261 this paper, those within the industry must adopt a fresh strategy that emphasises the
262 human factor and its role in cost estimation. It has been established that whilst the
263 IFC is the most commonly-adopted standard of field-specific language within the
264 industry, it is not capable of representing one of the crucial elements of cost
265 estimation: the complicated nature of organisational behaviour. For this reason, it is
266 suggested that this topic is tackled through the application of normative elements.
267 Whilst it is widely acknowledged that there is a semantic flaw between cost
268 estimation software and organisation, those within the industry are still expected to
269 find a way to deal with the costing process of unclear boundaries. As such, Staub et
270 al. [39] argue that estimators will therefore be required to adopt this role based on
271 their own conscious or subconscious inclinations. This can be problematic if the
272 decision made by the estimator is not the best strategic decision for the organisation.

273 *Physical Level:* A sign studied at the physical level focuses upon the physical
274 properties of that sign, including the sign's shape, size, source and destination—
275 among other criteria—depending on the type of sign. From the physical perspective
276 of databases, there are collections of physical tokens which can be stored and moved
277 around for input, output or display [38]. Within the context of this research, models
278 of BIM at physical level describe the range of physical tokens that may be available
279 from physical components. Those physical tokens may refer to STEP P21 files and
280 IfcXML files [40,41].

281 *Empirics:* Empirics studies the properties of signs, based on a collection of signals or
282 marks. The questions regarding a stream of signals from the sending end to the
283 receiving end are investigated in the study at this level, irrespective of meaning and
284 any problems encountered at the physical level; such as transmission, reception,
285 coding and decoding, and channel capacity, among others. Within BIM, more
286 specifically, IFC-based BIM studies, this level is to focus more upon the efficiency of
287 utilising BIM, which corresponds to one core pillar of building SMART
288 technologies, namely; IDM/MDV.

289 *Syntactics:* Syntactics studies the presentation of meanings based on reliable signal
290 encoding methods to organise a collection of physical tokens at both the empirical
291 and physical levels. Syntactics is primarily concerned with the composition of more
292 complex signs from simpler ones. For example, an IfcEntity can be seen as a simple
293 sign, while a building model is more complex, which is a combination of IfcEntities
294 following language structure. Indeed, syntactics remain important when
295 distinguishing information from data as information may be syntactically understood
296 while data is not necessarily always understandable through such means.

297 *Semantics:* Semantics studies the meaning behind signs on the basis of a particular
298 language structure understandable to the sign receiver. The semantics of a sign

299 connects the sign itself to the entity, object or concept that that sign represents— a
300 sign denotes a denotatum. Between the objective truth and the reality within any
301 individual mind there will always be a semantic gap; and people need to interpret a
302 sign in order to comprehend the truth. A sign may potentially bridge the gap once it
303 possesses some meaning as a result of being mapped onto objects within reality.
304 However, within a social environment there can be said to be no unique reality—as
305 even a consensus is temporal and may not last 'forever'. For instance, an IfcCostItem
306 within the definition of IFC schema ensures that "An IfcCostItem can be used to
307 represent the cost of goods and services, the execution of works by a process,
308 lifecycle cost and more." [42]. From the perspective of a quantity surveyor, the use
309 of the standardised measurement model version 7 (SMM7), is composed of a first
310 division, second division, and a third division; according to specific standards.
311 Meanwhile, by employing new rules of measurement (NRM) [43], the cost item is
312 seen to be composed of building elements, construction products, product properties
313 and working methods. Therefore, it is important to acknowledge that a sign's
314 meaning is, in part, based upon the individual who interprets that sign. Consensus is
315 established when necessary and is subsequently shared by a group of people as their
316 reality, as it shall be questioned, criticised and modified over time.

317 *Pragmatics:* Pragmatics studies the use of signs that possess particular intentions
318 and/or the study of the "purposeful use of signs" [38]. Pragmatics is concerned with
319 the relationship between signs and an individual's behaviour. For the same purpose,
320 behaviour may vary according to the actor's personal experience, value system, and
321 expectations. This personal possession of knowledge and experience can be called
322 "pragmatic information". A scenario in which two individuals are communicating
323 with one another using their own pragmatic information can be said to be normative
324 practice. This communication not only includes the delivery of meanings, but also
325 the pragmatic information itself—the exchanging of values and expectations among
326 others. However, the pragmatics of signs does not make sense unless it is studied
327 with the support of semantics. Indeed, pragmatics can be seen as a communicative
328 shell which contains a core; their meaning. Another important view is that the
329 conflicts caused by communication within social group originate with the differences
330 within pragmatic information, which may potentially render communication
331 ineffective or inefficient. Indeed it may prove costly to improve communication to a
332 satisfactory level.

333 *Social Level:* The Social Level studies changes that occur within the real world due
334 to the effect of communication. These changes may be seen in culture, custom,
335 welfare, knowledge, attitudes or behaviours (among other facets). Within human
336 societies, change is usually made under the governance of a particular community,
337 such as a team, a company, an industry, or a nation. These communities accept and
338 establish a set of social norms or conventions that govern members' thinking and
339 behaviour. Consequently, communication too should be governed, and governed in
340 accordance with a particular pattern. Thus, communication and change interact with
341 one other to promote the development of the social world.

342 Although Stamper and Liu [44] include all six layers within their communication
343 framework, the focus of organizational semiotics still rests upon the three upper
344 layers, namely, semantics, and pragmatics, as well as the social world level that are
345 to study the human information functions and its relationship with IT platforms. The
346 lower three layers are to be studied initially—in order to illustrate the IT functions—
347 after which the upper three layers will be studied. It is interesting to note that some
348 researchers have started to consider the IFC model as a semantically 'rich' model

349 [45–47], however, others claim that the IFC is, in fact, insufficiently semantic [48–
350 50]. This conflict will be addressed and considered as part of the framework of this
351 study. IFC as an object-oriented model language is capable of carrying this
352 information for all stakeholders within construction, however, a purely technological
353 perspective is insufficient to bring meaningful changes to the industry as a whole.
354 More specifically, it should consider existing working practices and business
355 processes if it is to be successfully implemented [51].
356 The IFC does not have the formal specifications related to the various entities and
357 relationships regarding reasoning mechanisms from the perspective of the
358 organisation. As previously expounded upon and discussed; without an integrated
359 process of cost estimation and a systematic framework—at both the semantic and
360 pragmatic levels—patterns of behaviour are not fully recognised or defined. With
361 regard to the new framework, introduced to BIM-based cost estimation, IFC lacks
362 semantic, pragmatic and social form of organisational perspectives of which there are
363 two aspects of knowledge. These are 'know-what' and 'know-how' [52].

3.2 Semantic analysis

365 Together with the development of information systems, this research adopt semiotics
366 that try to comprehend cost estimation from an informational, systematic perspective.
367 By capturing this knowledge, both reasoning and inference steps can be used to
368 improve the development of the information system. This research incorporates a
369 suite of semiotics tools: semantic analysis (SA, produce ontology chart) and norm
370 analysis (NA) [38]. This permits the identification of conceptual interests along with
371 the ontological dependency between semantic units, and having established the
372 ontology chart, it will then proceed to ascertain the semantic relations—hence
373 formalising these relationships to model the behaviour of informational systems
374 design [53].
375 The SA is the process of conceptualising a business organisation. It is the catalyst
376 through which the behaviour of the organisation is analysed, and captured within the
377 ontology model [38]. As previously outlined, it was initially found that the logic and
378 inference steps can only be understood by experts, which means that their behaviour
379 patterns are not recognised. Therefore, SA is suitable to perform this task. The
380 semantic primitives which appear in the model represent the possible patterns of
381 actions of a complex agent, or 'affordances' as they are known, within the NORMA
382 model, deriving from NORM and Affordance and devised as a language for
383 specifying norms and affordances as systems analysis and requirement specification,
384 see table 1 NORMA syntax .

385

Table 2 Table of NORMA Syntax

Rectangle	employs	Relationship
Ellipse	nation	Concept
@	@	Knowledge denote
Ax	A——x	x is an affordance of A
Ax.y	A——x——•—— y	y is a part of x; they are all afforded by A
Ax#y	A——x——#y	A affords x which has a determiner y
A((a:b:c:d) → f	A—— f a b c d	a,b,c,d are specifics of f

(A#x,B#y)z		A with role name x, and B with role name y jointly afford z

## 4 A conceptualized framework for computer-aided professional practice in construction industry

Figure 2 A framework for costing professional services under BIM environment (modified from Xu et al [52])

Semantic information is at the heart of the planned framework for providing automated cost estimating data, supported by additional detail regarding the work requirements of a traditional quantity surveying practice. The primary aim associated with the creation of this framework is that the output from problem solving specialists should be closely related to those concerned with the domain.

Figure 2 represents the three key areas of development within the framework construction, explicitly how those focused aspects being connected thus can provide tangible benefits to information system development: (1) the data structure being mapped based on entity in domain semantics; (2) the domain semantics result in entities captured with explicit knowledge input; (3) the domain pragmatic result in processes captured.

Syntax results in semantic data exchange: This element is largely concerned with the device that uses semantic mapping to access the data file, through the use of semantic analysis. It identifies links between the categories in the data format and the

411 populated semantic fields. Additionally, the connection between each of the elements
412 needs to be recorded. Traditionally, the intricacy of this process has led to the
413 information required being entered using a routine method. The outcome of this is
414 that the BIM coordinator is required to produce a set of process driven activities as
415 well as the semantic mapping.
416
417 **Semantic of professional practice concepts**: The cost details on which this system
418 is based are built around a set of interconnected ontologies that are either prepared in
419 advance or created within the knowledge engineering process. These key details are
420 classified using semantic analysis (SA), a systematic system engineering process.
421 They are then developed further using pre-constructed terminology box (T-Box)
422 ontologies, which represents the schema or taxonomy of the domain at hand, such as,
423 core domain ontology, code of practice ontology, specialist knowledge ontology, or
424 database ontology. The advantages associated with this method are explored in the
425 following section of this paper.
426
427 **Pragmatic activities with reasoning engine:** This element is associated with the
428 delivery of guidelines for the specialist problem solving process. As previously
429 stated, the key concept of this framework is its ability to connect the three
430 approaches, in contrast with models currently available, making use of semantic web
431 ontology such as SWRL. Issues can arise however when incorporating SWRL in that
432 it can become uncontrollable as the system grows and new techniques are employed.
433 For this reason, this framework will incorporate systems out-with those of logical
434 programming. It also includes variable problem solving methods that will be carried
435 out by a specialist in order to obtain the required outcome. There is no traditional
436 clarification available for the potential outcomes of these processes. As previously
437 mentioned, the researchers believe that successful cost estimation can only occur
438 using a framework incorporating three main elements: (1) a comprehension of the
439 data format (syntax); (2) the significance of the costing domain (semantics); and (3)
440 the exact application parameters of the particular items (pragmatics).
441 In addition to the above the intended framework offers a diverse method at a
442 conceptual level and provides direction as to how the model can be put to use within
443 a BIM environment. The framework demonstrates that the IFC data formation is
444 analysed for available building data and supports the delivery of cost estimations. An
445 evaluation of the semiotics framework based on the IFC standards [54,55] confirmed
446 this, along with the cost estimation domain standards [56], providing details of a
447 semantic based model for building information that does not support specialist input
448 [49]. For this reason, IFC holds a place as the key source of information for
449 construction projects, while domain ontology has been introduced as a provision for
450 specialist knowledge.
451 The proposed framework will employ a number of software items:
452   • A user interface that enables specialists to extract semantic information from
453   the available code of practice papers and company information through the
454   use of an ontology chart, leading to the creation of cost estimation ontology.
455   • A first order logic rule creator that will generate rules from the metadata
456   provided in the detailed code of practice papers.
457   • A semantic translator that, using established costing processes, serves the
458   purpose of translating the information gathered from a standard file and
459   producing an assertions box (A-Box) ontology, which describes the attributes
460   of instances (or individuals), the roles between instances, and other assertions

461   about instances regarding their class membership with the TBox concepts,
462   from the semantics of the current ontology.
463 The subsequent section will provide further information regarding the methods used
464 to implement the framework within the case study. Initially, the process of collating
465 the costing information from the company and code of practice is explored. This is
466 followed by an analysis of how this information is then recorded on a standard data
467 model and detailed code of practice documents. Finally, the means by which the data
468 gathered from these processes is used in the production of automated cost estimation.

469 5   Domain application and case study

470 As a means of authenticating this framework, a case study has been carried out
471 within the area of cost estimation, incorporating the expertise of industry specialists,
472 in order to detail how the framework achieves its main goals:

473   • Enabling the domain experts to map their organisational procedures based on
474   the organisational structure and individual knowledge usage;
475   • Enabling data transfer through the use of the IFC open standard, while
476   continuing to permit information from other sources to be mapped;
477   • Enabling domain specialists from the cost estimation sector to interrogate the
478   outcomes using the processes of NRM;
479   • Demonstrating that the cost estimation created is precise in comparison to the
480   manual version;
481   • Demonstrating how this process has assimilated cost estimation in
482   comparison with previous studies.

483 The following section will initially provide details of the QS company and the
484 project requiring cost estimation being undertaken. It will then explain the way the
485 framework was utilised and the outcomes achieved.

486 5.1 Background

487
488 All the fundamental semantic elements required to complete construction project cost
489 estimations are provided through semantic analysis and ontological charts.
490 Intriguingly, the process of undertaking an investigative cost estimation is triggered
491 by the client making a request for these details. The ontological chart used depicts
492 the organisational structure of a standard project-centred construction project (as
493 shown in Figure 3). This structure is key to the needs of this research as it provides
494 the options necessary for multi-national project teams located in various sites. A
495 project team can be created by a client organisation that hopes to build in a certain
496 location and who hires a design company for the purposes of designing the job. On
497 the other hand, it can be located in a different area, with the consulting organisation.
498 These circumstances demand a variable transfer of information, regardless of the site,
499 to allow for the physical transfer of files.
500

Figure 3 The Project Team based Structure of Studied Cost Consulting Company

The figure above displays the selection for codes of practice that are available. In this situation, the RICS new rules of measurement (NRM) will be utilised. This details clear direction on the requirements needed for the production of bills of quantities and quantified schedules of work for building works taking place. Additionally, it also offers details on the preparation of standard or bespoke schedules of rates. The RICS new rules of measurement: Bill of Quantities for Works Procurement also stipulates the requirements for measuring and defining building work and represents an example of good practice [43].

5.2   Detailing the framework with industry application

5.2.1   Syntax results in semantic data exchange

Figure 4 Data mapping engine

514

13

515 Following completion of the process of semantic analysis of the methods employed
516 using metadata and the construction of the ontology, the subsequent task involves
517 charting the semantics within the ontology into a specific format. This is undertaken
518 in order to allow information to be transferred between the physical IFC document
519 and OWL, and is assisted by the semantics detailed in the preceding phase. This step
520 is carried out through the use of a data exchange engine that has been created.

Figure 5 The architecture of data mapping engine

521 Figure 5 demonstrates the engine design that has been created for the planned
522 framework. The engine functions on data sourced within IFC 2*3 documents [55],
523 which is connected to the researchers belief that IFC is a suitable provider of
524 building semantics [29,52,57,58]. For this reason, the narrative is largely concerned
525 with the transfer of information and employing the features of the IFC instead of
526 recording semantic elements between each category.
527 The nature of this engine is that it operates on specific items within a tangible
528 document, an IFC physical file that comprises the following: ID, EN and EA. Under
529 these circumstances ID provides an identity to the IFC item being considered, EN
530 refers to the item's name and EA details the item specifics along with values. These
531 elements are sorted and entered into the A-Box of OWL for use at a later date.
532 Figure 4 provides details of a transfer relating to the requirements of a wall item and
533 a quantity item, which are then recorded under the relevant category for each item.
534 Most commonly three specific activities are performed: recording the category;
535 recording the property and recording the relationship. Recording the category
536 requires the relationship that exists between a category in the OWL and an item with
537 a certain ID within the data file to be detailed. The purpose behind the inclusion of
538 the item ID is to address the unique name condition of the OWL. By recording the
539 property details, the one to one relationships that exists between the particular
540 characteristics of the item and the data held within the OWL are identified.
541 Additionally, figure 5 demonstrates the way in which relationships are recorded. The

542 relationships have been clarified using semantic analysis, as they are ambiguous
543 when presented in data format. At present, the recordings display the relationships
544 within the data file in terms of their inverse attributes, connection and property
545 details.
546 It requires a mapping mechanism which can convert the IFC physical file into Web
547 Ontology, see figure 5. Briefly, it will employ specification and mapping engine.
548 There are multiple choices of mapping engine implementation, for instance IFC API,
549 IFC DLL and IFC Open Shell etc. [59]. Discussion of this mechanism
550 implementation is outside the scope of this research.

Figure 6 Example of Analytical Cost Estimation Ontology with IFC Instances

551 The instances from the IFC physical file have been organised according to the IFC
552 triplets (ID, EN, EA); moreover, as is required by the unique name assumption in
553 Protege, IFC ID is being inputted into the instances' name as shown in the Figure
554 below. Figure 6 states that IFC instances: ifcwall_15478 *has_quantity*
555 ifcquantitylength_33507 while ifcquantitylength_33507 has type Width.
556 Furthermore, the data property assertions states that: ifcquantitylength_33507
557 *has_value* "0.5", *has_unit* "meter".

558 5.2.2   Semantic of professional practice concepts
559 This section will describe the overall process of extracting concepts, to elaborate the
560 SA [38] method of semantic data from analysing organization, and how this method
561 results in the population of our costing concepts. The SA method includes the
562 problem definition, semantic units classification and ontological dependency
563 checking. Ontological dependency can be defined as one item (y) existing only if
564 another item (x) also exists. This provides an assurance of the abilities of the cost
565 estimation process. Each of the concepts are linked through their ontological
566 dependency, which is a required factor in Semantic Analysis. Liu [38] explains that
567 there are two key purposes for selecting organisational semiotics. The first of these
568 relates to issues surrounding the various ontology languages. This is due to a belief
569 that a lack of knowledge associated with the process of cost estimation will lead to
570 expertise being mislaid by the system as this is generally sustained by the individual.

15

571 The semiotic ladder provides complete details of syntax, semantic and pragmatic
572 elements, as well as a social perspective. Stamper [60] explains that semantic
573 analysis enables the incorporation of semantics and pragmatics into a fully
574 functioning process. For this reason, the overall process can be dissected to provide
575 assistance for specific activities, such as, the identification, selection, description and
576 adjustment of information throughout the process of cost estimation. The second key
577 reason for utilising organisational semiotics is the necessity for an automated
578 approach to estimation resulting from the increasingly technology led environment in
579 which the work is carried out. There is a requirement for human input within this
580 system and the authors of this study strongly support the inclusion of compatible and
581 process driven, investigative strategies that are used in information system
582 engineering and other linked activities. It is of key importance to note that this does
583 not promote the replacement of individuals with automated processes, rather than
584 they can be brought together within an organisation.
585 Through the process of categorising semantic units, it is possible to expand the
586 information gathered. As an example, the 'building element' highlighted through
587 semantic analysis, is an incomplete knowledge that needs to be explored further. In
588 order to do this it can be linked to prevailing ontologies, detailed as follows:
589 **Core domain ontology:** the core ontology is a high level concept of the main
590 elements within a specific code of practice domain. As a rule, ontologies for a
591 number of domains have already been established, which leads to the possibility of
592 their inclusion within the framework. **Error! Reference source not found.**
593 demonstrates the exploration of the building element within the core ontology.
594 **Code of practice ontology:** this is created as a means of obtaining rules from files.
595 When the process is completed, the T-Box ontology generated will signify the
596 semantics included in the code of practice and the link to the semantics within the
597 core ontology. The outcome of this is the collation of a complete range of semantics
598 demonstrating the novel approach that a specific selection of semantics can have
599 upon the core semantics of the domain in question. These factors will be explored
600 further in the following section.
601 **Expert knowledge ontology:** this signifies the semantics associated with the
602 elements that guide construction costs and the process involved in defining the
603 particular cost of each item. Within construction there are a number of variables, for
604 example the specific measurements of an element [21,61–63], the formation of the
605 element [39,61,63,64], climatic influences [65–69], congestions factors on site
606 [62,68–70], equipment size [68,71], temporary requirements [68,70,71], and the
607 make-up of the construction team [68,70], although the one study that entails every
608 element of intelligent solutions is an item-based cost estimation model [72]. Within
609 the proposed system an item-based ontology [32] was employed in order to provide
610 greater detail within the specialist knowledge available, as shown in **Error!**
611 **Reference source not found.**.

612   **Database ontology:** the database ontology charts the costing database semantics that
613   are used in the transfer of information from the database to the model. The semantic

614   Figure 7 Further expansion of Incomplete Knowledge (building element and
615   specialist knowledge)
616   details are gathered following the supplementary analysis of cost items, allowing the
617   ontology to be generated with information delivered from the database.
618   5.2.3   Pragmatic activates with reasoning engine
619   Within this section the complete process associated with obtaining rules from current
620   code of practice documents will be defined, explaining the NA [38] approach to
621   extracting detail from documents, and how this was adapted to meet the authors'
622   needs, leading to entry of information into their clauses. The method of obtaining
623   quantifiable rules from the code of practice documents has been achieved by using

17

624 the rules existing within the norm specification. To accomplish this task the NA
625 approach, which was formerly used as a means of evaluating the information held
626 within a company, was adapted. This approach was employed for three main
627 purposes [73] namely: its ability to present clear information; the simplicity with
628 which existing information can be amended or expanded; and the ease with which a
629 tool can be created in the future that will display information, which can be easily
630 understood.
631 **Norm specification:** Norm specification is at the centre of the framework being
632 developed. Most of the rules that exist within a business environment can be
633 classified as behavioural standards that provide expectations for the way people
634 should conduct themselves, comparable with deontic operators, which specify
635 whether an activity is compulsory, acceptable or forbidden [74]. This arrangement
636 can also be attributed to the conditions of behavioural norms, as suggested by Liu
637 [38]: Whatever the requirements of the situations are, the agent is the deontic
638 operator of the activity.
639 Table 3 provides an example of the norm analysis that has been exposed by semantic
640 analysis. The norm analysis discovered that all the construction items linked to
641 building elements need to be identified. The quantity surveyor is required to include
642 all the details relating to the building element as a means of categorising them into
643 cost items, as shown in Table 4 Norm specification example and Table 3 Summary of
644 norm tags. (It should be noted that N 1.2 will be detailed in the next section,
645 exploring how this discovery was identified, as it does not form part of the norm
646 analysis).

647 <div align="center">Table 3 Norm analysis example</div>

Trigger decompose		
Input:	trigger description:	Output:
1. Building elements 2. Construction work result	Elements need to be further deconstructed; the building element has been attached to construction work, resulting in IFC property criteria. According to these standards, the construction product should list all the properties of the construction work results.	construction product;
Determiner		
Example		
A wall is designed as adobe brick, 102mm*102mm*305mm, cement mortar used, and located in a bath room.	The deconstruction needs to link all essential information to the construction products.	Wall, adobe brick, 102mm*102mm*305mm, cement mortar, bath room.
Knowledge: The principle which states that deconstruction of elements is to be grouped by different material, different types, and different properties.		

648 <div align="center">Table 4 Norm specification example</div>

N1.1:   whenever [quantifying **building element**]
         if [**construction work results**] [**is**] [**not null**]
         then the [quantity surveyor]
         is [obliged]
         to [list all the properties]

N1.3:	whenever [quantifying **building element**]
	if [**material and type**] [**is**] [**not same**]
	then the [quantity surveyor]
	is [obliged]
	to [classify construction product into different categories]

<p style="text-align:center">Table 5 Summary of norm tags</p>

649

whenever [quantifying] [**building element**]
        if [**property**] [**comparison**] [**value**]
        then [quantity surveyor]
        is [obliged]
650   to do **[action]**

651

652 **Addition of meta-data**: refers to the inclusion of tags stemming from norm analysis.
653 The addition of these tags to a document will lead the specialist to include addition
654 metadata items.

655   • The building component, i.e. Door, Wall, Window etc.
656   • The property i.e. type, material, location etc.
657   • The comparison i.e. equal to (=), greater than (>), less than (<) etc.
658   • The value
659   • The action

660 The two main sources of information that have a semantic impact are the building
661 component and the property. When entering data for a particular tag the specialist
662 would follow these steps: (1) choose a building component from those within the
663 core ontology and (2) stipulate a property from either a pre-existing selection of
664 properties or enter a new one. This procedure has been demonstrated in Table 6 –
665 Adding metadata to code of practice document.

666 <p style="text-align:center">Table 6 Adding metadata to code of practice document</p>

18 Tile and slate roof and wall coverings Plain tiling						
Interlocking tiling Fibre cement slating Natural slating Natural or artificial stone slating Timber of bituminous felt shingles Any other type of tile, slate, slab or block roof or wall covering						
Item or work to be measured	Unit		Level one		Level two		Level three	
Roof coverings Wall covering	m2	1	Pitch stated	1	Underlays and battens	1	Curved: radii stated.	
		2	Vertical			2	Conical: maximum and minimum radii stated	
Boundary work; location and method of forming described	m	1	Dimensioned description stating net girth		Abutments. Eaves. Ridges. Verges. Valleys. Hips. Vertical angles		Horizontal Sloping Raking. Vertical. Curved: radius stated. Stepped Pre formed	
Note:	Coverings are deemed to include underlays, battens and work in forming voids $\leq$ 1m2						
	No deduction is made for voids $\leq$ 1m2						
	Boundary work to voids is only measured where the void exceeds 1.00m2						

Condition on Building elements		Property	Comparison	Value	Assertion
Decompose	Wall Covering	work result, location, type,	=	Not null	List

		material			
Decompose	Wall Covering	voids	>	1 m2	Create
Decompose	Boundary work	work result, location, type, material	=	wall covering work result, and location	List

667

668 These stages of work impact the underlying pragmatics of the code of practice
669 information. When a norm is stipulated, it will be recorded as a relationship within
670 the code of practice ontology, after which a sub-class relationship will be created
671 linking activities with sub-activities. This is a vital step in producing a full awareness
672 of the semantic structure and pragmatics within the codes of practice. A subsequent
673 result of this method is that a grasp of the structure of the code of practice is created,
674 while the categories within the cost engine are also filled with data.
675 In conclusion, there are 13 steps in total for cost estimation [75], each step should
676 repeat this process and 14 potential scenario have been engineered. The norm
677 specifications created are being used as semantic tags to improve the code of practice
678 documents and obtain categories for the cost engine. It is interesting to note that each
679 step is supposed to correspond to one scenario, however during the norm analysis
680 and interviewing with an expert, when an expert is identifying the item in the
681 database, it occasionally happens that they cannot find such a data item and quotation
682 from the market is required. Thus it is important to include this scenario in the step
683 identifying N5, see Figure 8, Knowledge-based cost estimation application process.
684 This is not intended to be an exhaustive list of potential scenarios however it is
685 adequate for the purposes of the case study.

686

Figure 8 Knowledge-based Cost Estimation Application Process

687

688
689 **Generating the clauses:**
690 The norm specification tags attributed to the code of practice include a detailed
691 rational structure. Adapting this structure to present a format that can be
692 electronically read requires it to be translated into Horn clauses [76]. All the
693 categories provided in this section relate to Prolog clauses syntax [77].
694 The procedure involved in translating the populated tags into Horn clauses is carried
695 out by employing a range of logical formulae (rule operand) derived from the norm
696 [38]. Typically, each tag is translated into a clause, which is then linked into a series
697 of rules in Prolog, which in turn drive the outcome. As an example, by defining the
698 link between the building element and the specific construction items, it is possible
699 to produce a new cost item for a particular building component, such as the wall
700 covering for the boundary work described above.
701
702 Figure *9* relates to the rational formula that is used in defining the consequences. The
703 heading 'Whenever' identifies the state of the building component as a certain point,
704 which it will then compare with the details in each of the clauses, after which the
705 action will be performed. This will involve each clause being proven to be true or
706 false. Where they are all true, the action will take place. A key advantage of this is
707 that a record of data is collated, which can be referred to when specific information is
708 absent.

Controlled· Process· in· Prolog¤	Whenever¤	Clauses·Specification¤
decomposing¤	(Buildinge lement)¤	wall(Buildingelement),¶ has_type(Buildingelement,Y),¶ has_material(Y,A),¶ layerthickness(Y,B),¶ has_working_method(Y,C),¶ has_method(C,D),¶ member(A,[brick,adobe_brick])¶ →¶ E=[A,B,D],¶ assert(has_name(constructionproduct,E)),¶ assert(decompose(Buildingelement,E)),¶ assert(walls(constructionproduct).¤

709
710
711 Figure 9 Rule logic

5.3 Deployment and Implementation of application

713 To implement the framework in order to allow its authentication within the case
714 study, a prototype of the application was created to provide cost estimation details.
715 The framework was introduced in three stages: (1) metadata was obtain from code of
716 practice documents, which related to cost estimation and new rules of measurement
717 [43]; (2) semantic details were recorded; and (3) the knowledge-based cost
718 estimation application process was introduced.
719 Semantic analysis: to accomplish this outcome of the framework the Order of Cost
720 Estimation [56] and key procedures with regard to cost estimation [11,12,78–80]
721 have been considered. The outcome has led to 123 units of metadata.
722 Semantic data transfer: to accomplish this outcome of the framework the semantic
723 items used within the code of practice and the language of the IFCs have been
724 recorded, as shown in Table 7.
725 Table 7 Semantic units mapping

Documents	Total	IFC	Number of	Number	of

	entity	attributes	relationships
Order of Cost Estimation	22	27	8
Bill of Quantities	42	59	22

726 Implementation of procedures: the process then requires the introduction of the
727 procedures that were highlighted by the semantic analysis process. These procedures
728 relate to the activities carried out by the specialist and each step is linked to a
729 particular knowledge set. Additionally, as a means of validating each of these 13
730 steps, a feedback form was created to provide assurance.
731 The next activity to be undertaken in the creation of the system is to authenticate the
732 costing elements. This can be completed for official ontologies by using a reasoning
733 engine. Protege offers this service through built in reasoners, for example FACT++,
734 Hermit and Pellet [81–83]. The consistency of each element was checked and an
735 inconsistent element was produced and identified using the reasoner.
736 The last stage required for this case study is confirmation that the rules have been
737 created. This was achieved by translating norms using a logic programme. The
738 engine developed has been created in such a way as to cater for the largest number of
739 codes of practices possible and to allow the following actions to be performed: the
740 ability to interrogate and amend the semantic model; the ability to extrapolate non-
741 existing building components; and the ability to carry out cost estimation following
742 the analytical process.
743 As a means of confirming the abilities of the cost estimation system, it is to be tested
744 out on a genuine building project. This will be achieved by linking the cost
745 estimation system to a specific version of IFC. For the purposes of the case study, a
746 tool has been applied to the IFC to enable the IFC physical file version 2*3 to be
747 translated into a resource description framework (RDF) format [84]. A sample of the
748 translated IFC physical file is shown in Figure 10.
749

```
<!-- #ifcwall_15478 -->
  <owl:NamedIndividual rdf:about="&untitled-ontology-12;ifcwall_15478">
    <has_id rdf:datatype="&xsd;string">15478</has_id>
    <ace_lexicon:PN_sg                  rdf:datatype="&xsd;string">IfcWall_SW-
007</ace_lexicon:PN_sg>
    <has_type rdf:datatype="&xsd;string">external wall</has_type>
    <name rdf:datatype="&xsd;string">sw-007</name>
    <has_constructionworkresult rdf:resource="&untitled-ontology-12;brick1"/>
    <has_quantity rdf:resource="&untitled-ontology-12;ifcquantityarea_33501"/>
    <has_quantity rdf:resource="&untitled-ontology-12;ifcquantitylength_33505"/>
    <has_quantity rdf:resource="&untitled-ontology-12;ifcquantitylength_33506"/>
    <has_quantity rdf:resource="&untitled-ontology-12;ifcquantitylength_33507"/>
    <has_quantity rdf:resource="&untitled-ontology-12;ifcquantityvolume_33499"/>
    <has_spacefunction rdf:resource="&untitled-ontology-12;ifcspace_1234568"/>
  </owl:NamedIndividual>
```

750 Figure 10 IFC Entity represented by Web Ontology
751 5.4 Results
752 Following completion of the development of the costing model, it was trialled on an
753 actual building project. An IFC model for the creation of a residential project was
754 employed in this instance and for the purposes of the case study, the building was a
755 two storey villa.
756 The strategy that has been employed in the course of this assessment is to utilise the
757 developed system alongside the traditional manual cost estimating system, using the

758   standard procedures currently in existence within the industry. This enables the
759   researchers to see a clear comparison between the outputs.
760   Overall the new rules of measurement (NRM) entails more than 350 rules; that being
761   said this analysis focused on those concerned with wall coverings as a means of
762   providing an example. Figure 11 shows the key rules associated with understanding
763   the non-existing building components of walls.


```
kace2.pl<2> [modified]
File  Edit  Browse  Compile  Prolog  Pce  Help
kace2.pl<2> [modified]

:- dynamic costitem/1.

:- dynamic constructionproduct/1.


decomposing(Buildingelement) :-
        wall(Buildingelement),
        has_type(Buildingelement,Y),
        has_material(Y,A),
        layerthickness(Y,B),
        has_working_method(Y,C),
        has_method(C,D),
        member(A,['brick','adobe brick'])
        ->
        E=[A,B,D],
        assert(has_name(constructionproduct1,E)),
        assert(decompose(Buildingelement,constructionproduct1)),
        assert(walls(constructionproduct1)),
        assert(material(constructionproduct1,A)),
        assert(thickness(constructionproduct1,B)).

decomposing(Buildingelement):-
        finishes(Buildingelement),
        has_opening(Buildingelement,A),
        overallwidth(A,B),overallheight(A,C),
        D is B*C/1000000, D>1,
        has_type(Buildingelement,Y),has_material(Y,E),member(E,['tile','ceramic tile','cera
mic tile 15.24cm x 15.24cm']),has_working_method(Y,F),has_method(F,G),layerthickness(Y,I)
        ->
        H=[E,I,G],
        assert(decompose(Buildingelement,constructionproduct2)),
        assert(decompose(Buildingelement,constructionproduct3)),
        assert(roofcoveringsandwallcoverings(constructionproduct2)),
        assert(boundarywork(constructionproduct3)),
        assert(has_name(constructionproduct2,H)),
        assert(material(constructionproduct2,E)),
        assert(thickness(constructionproduct2,I)),
        assert(has_name(constructionproduct3,H)),
        assert(material(constructionproduct3,E)),
        assert(thickness(constructionproduct3,I)).

selecting(ConstructionProduct) :-
        boundarywork(ConstructionProduct)->
        assert(measuredby(ConstructionProduct,'meter')).

selecting(ConstructionProduct) :-
        dampproof(ConstructionProduct),
        has_quantity(ConstructionProduct,Y), width(Y),
        has_value(Y,Z),
        C is Z*1000,
        C >300->

Colourising buffer ... done, 0.03 seconds, 1137 fragments                  Line: 229
```

764

765   Figure 11 Rules developed

766   Table *8* displays the outcome of a contrast between the planned and existing methods
767   of cost estimation. Specialists were invited to produce the cost item using the same
768   information that is provided. Specialist 1 reported that there were not enough details
769   given with regards to tiles and additional quotations were needed, while specialist 2
770   did not initially identify the requirement for boundary work. Through the feedback
771   loop they concurred that the measurement requirements highlighted the need for this
772   work and that the cost could be translated from the database supplied. Specialist 3
773   identified that, due to the height of the wall, on-site productivity may fall by 20%,
774   which impacts the labour unit cost (rising by 20%). They also noted the possibility
775   that the height may affect the tile use within the boundary works. Additionally, our

application includes a particular piece of expert knowledge that the wall having a height of between 2.74m and 3.96m, rolling scaffolding can be used for construction which improve our productivity by 30%, which is an example provided in Staub's research [85].

Table 8 Result of comparison (Exterior Tile Finishes Boundary work)

Components	Traditional method				Proposed method
	1 (4 years)	2 (8 years)	3 (2 years)	4 (12 years)	
Cost Item Description*	A, D	Missed	A, B, C	A,B,C,D,E	A,B,C,D,E
Measured Quantity	9m	Missed	9m	9m	9m
Material Unit Cost	unknown	Missed	33.1$/m	33.1$/m	33.1$/m
Labour Unit Cost	unknown	Missed	34.18$/m	34.18$/m	34.18$/m
Adjust on Labour Unit Cost	0	Missed	Increase 20%	0	Decrease 30%
Scoped Labour Unit Cost	unknown	Missed	41.02$/m	34.18$/m	23.93$/m
Total	0	Missed	$667.08	$605.52	$513.23

*Note: A: Material, B: Working Methods, C: Size, D: Location, E: Additions

The third section provides a contrast between cost estimation processes. In comparison with the advanced BIM-based cost estimation system, a method originating from semantic analysis, providing additional itemisation of the costing process is examined, as shown in Table *9*.

Table 9 Comparison between existing cost estimation methods and proposed cost estimation method

Estimation methods	General process of cost estimation Part 1			
	Describing cost item	Infer working method	Find unit cost	Form unit price
Conventional cost estimation	Manual	Manual	Manual	Manual
BIM based cost estimation	Manual	Manual	Manual	Manual
Other studies	1	2	2	None
Framework based application (BIM+Ontology/Logic)	Auto	Auto	Auto	Auto
Estimation methods	General process of cost estimation Part 2			
	Calculate quantity	Identify construction condition	Adjust unit price	Review cost plan
Conventional cost estimation	Manual	Manual	Manual	Manual
BIM based cost	Automatic*	Manual	Manual	Manual

estimation				
Other studies	1	3	3	None
Framework based application (BIM+Ontology/Logic)	Auto	Auto	Auto	N/A

* BIM based quantity calculation is model based, doesn't comply with standard

Note: 1. [31]; 2. [27]; 3. [32]

6 Discussion

This study has encompassed elements of both cost estimation and information systems. It is understood that the incorporation of technological elements into the everyday undertakings of construction professionals has significant value, particularly with regard to time reduction within cost estimation activities. Key research activities have been considered in producing an information system engineering process, such as knowledge engineering processes, semiotic frameworks, semantic analysis and norm analysis. The information has been collated from literature reviews, evaluation of systems and an appraisal of existing standards, prior to their assessment using the methods described above. This has led to the understanding the there is significant value in adopting a methodical approach, which has been carried out in this study.

In addition, the use of rules and ontology to produce intelligent solutions for construction projects is extensively recognised. Of the studies carried out between 1990 and 2012, 30.74% focused on knowledge-based and specialist systems [86]. In particular, the most recent studies concentrate on rule-based semantic methods, for activities such as regulation checking [35] and an ontological method relating to cost estimation [27]. This study emphasises the advantages associated with a rule-based semantic method of cost estimation and it has undertaken a systematic approach to establishing a rule-based semantic process. It is anticipated that the advantages gained by utlising OS can provide opportunities to discuss an appropriate process for transforming text-based documents into quantifiable clauses.

Table 6 demonstrates that when the empty space is larger than $1m^2$, a new activity is required to develop a new cost item for boundary work. At the same time, a property 'inter-section area' is absent from the available data. The situation that is likely to be confronted during the addition of metadata into a code of practice document is an element that has not been included in the data structure. This particular situation will be met on a regular basis within actual construction projects. In these circumstances the quantity surveyor must ensure the reliability of the bill of quantity or risk complaint regarding contract variations. Under these circumstances, if a cost estimation is undertaken, the literature identifies three outcomes. Firstly, an interface could be created that allows the specialist to enter data directly into the system. In this instance this may relate to the boundary work's location, type and material required to produce a new cost item or alternatively the value of the inter-section of the specific elements. Secondly, a request could be made to the developer of the data format to include details of the absent element. Thirdly, using a semantic method, the SWRL could be employed to surmise the details. These possibilities are not appropriate for the research currently being carried out however for the following reasons: the first entails extensive amounts of manual data input due to variances in each wall covering and the values for each inter-section needing to be computed separately for each element. The second would involve time-consuming involvement

26

831 from the data format developers. To put this in context, an IFC developer takes at
832 least six months to produce a new item [87]. The third option presents an innovative
833 method of cost estimation however it is not entirely practical [88]. The proposed
834 method, however, makes use of Horn clauses by stating pragmatics in order to
835 employ the ontology. For this reason, the new approach will be included in the norm
836 specification and the 'inter-section area' will be computed by selecting a specific
837 activity to be completed, as shown in Table 10 Adding of norm specification.
838   Table 10 Adding of norm specification

N1.2:	whenever [quantifying **building element**]
	if [**the voids**] [**greater than**] [**certain value**]
	then the [quantity surveyor]
	is [obliged]
	to [create a boundary work cost item]

839 This paper depicts a framework of developing a knowledge based system based on
840 IFC schema for cost estimation. The translated rules are based on the new rules of
841 measurement which is suitable for most construction works for housing [89]. A
842 developed knowledge base can be reused for real projects with constraints. Firstly,
843 the measurement standards should be NRM, and the system is applicable to UK
844 practice; secondly, the construction project should be a residential housing project;
845 thirdly, the IFC file should be a version of 2*4; fourthly, the level of details should
846 reach LOD500, which is just before tendering; moreover the costing database should
847 be R.S. Mean and, lastly, only the wall case in a residential villa project has been
848 tested. Furthermore, there are more than 350 rules in the NRM and translating all
849 rules and testing them will be a manual intensive process. It requires large amounts
850 of labour efforts but it is believed that it can benefits the company as the rules are
851 reusable for individual similar projects. Fully developed system and related
852 evaluation is not in the scope of this research paper.
853 This study makes an initial attempt to establish an overarching framework, resulting
854 in a highly conceptualised mapping. The elements detailed allow for the activities of
855 the standards of practice for the framework to be easily adapted, without impacting
856 either the file formats containing area information or how the overall execution of the
857 concept is achieved. The system in use requires analysis of the individual concepts,
858 which in turn ensured that data formats and procedures relating to producing a
859 methodical implementation were entirely successful. This led to the production of
860 many information sources for the overall framework. Additionally, it should be
861 highlighted that this allows further data formats to be created, notwithstanding the
862 original goal of focusing solely on the area of construction pricing.
863 The novelty of this framework is that it explicates the functionality of the three focal
864 aspects, i.e. syntax, semantics and pragmatics. Each aspects serves certain functions
865 within the framework and by integrating them it can bring tangible benefits to the
866 domain experts. Furthermore, from the practical perspective of semantic technologies
867 [90] and data provenance [30], the proposed framework brings together the entity,
868 agent, and process into an industrial usage through the deployment of various logics.

869 ## 7   Conclusion

870 This paper has detailed the activities undertaken in the application of the framework
871 for construction cost estimation, which has been evaluated using NRM. Moreover, a
872 representative example has been demonstrated to define the use of the model by
873 industry specialists in the production of a trustworthy cost estimation framework that

meets their requirements. The framework produced as an outcome of this study has been shown to be effective, dependable and suitable for the completion of quantity surveying on building data, as authenticated by NRM.

The selection of this situation as an example of the activities undertaken by costing experts within construction has been appropriately confirmed. It is also the case that they are suitable for use with BIM products, due to their ability to: (1) display standards of practice without any requirement for additional software products; (2) analysing and updating the standards of practice as they alter by each area; and (3) identifying specialist analytical instructions for individual use. This process of improving the standards of practice and analytical instructions can be carried out in a simple manner through the development of semantic assessment and semantic recording tools created at the outset of the process. In addition, the inclusion of semiotic procedures to assess the BIM-based cost estimation details confirms the concept that the IFC structure lacks a degree of analytical ability, which is a key requirement of advanced cost estimation processes. It has also permitted the implementation of supplementary items of data to improve the IFC provisions, through the added support of expense prediction actions. These elements can be transferred back to buildingSMART where required in a much clearer set of cost estimations.

The current sample model employs a number of software products, including IFC Parser, Protégé and Prolog, which verifies the original theories connected to the system. Moreover, the system also has the ability to be improved by: (1) incorporating plug-ins with the initial BIM system (such as Benley Microstation and ArchiCAD BIMx) as a means of automating the costing documents; (2) employing an interface of specific procedures and guidelines; or (3) producing a spontaneous ontology based on semantic assessments. It is anticipated that natural language processing and efforts to build in spontaneous ontologies will bring about semi-automation of various elements within the planned system, in particular the partial removal of the original semantic and pragmatic details from text based documents. Finally, it is expected that, in light of the growing requirement for electronic services within the industry, standards of practice will be detailed in a strongly semantic style, and therefore clearly defining the connections between items.

It should be noted that adding further metadata and obtaining the clauses is carried out manually at present. There is the possibility of improving the system to allow this to take place automatically. On the other hand, as reliance on automated systems increases, it is anticipated that codes of practice will be delivered in a semantically focused manner, allowing the connections between items to be clearly identified. This conceptual change in the presentation of codes of practice will ensure that they are produced in such a way as to allow them to be automatically processed, with the ability for human understanding therefore being an output of the automated system rather than an input. Beach et al. (2015) support this approach in their current goals of achieving automated regulation checking processes.

It is also believed that the framework can be generalized to a wider domains which are in the nature of expert reasoning based upon rules/clauses. By decoupling the syntax, semantics and pragmatics, the domain can be fully understood and expert knowledge can be captured for computing. Meanwhile, it has a practical impact on the industrial implementation of knowledge-based systems in that the publishing of ontology is separated from the expert reasoning processes. This means that company/expert competitiveness can be guaranteed.

8 Reference

[1]   M.-Y. Cheng, H.-C. Tsai, W.-S. Hsieh, Web-based conceptual cost estimates for construction projects using Evolutionary Fuzzy Neural Inference Model, Autom. Constr. 18 (2009) 164–172. doi:10.1016/j.autcon.2008.07.001.

[2]   M.N. Beltramo, Beyond Parametrics: The role of subjectivity in cost models, Elsevier Eng. Costs Prodcution Econ. An Int. J. Ind. 14 (1988) 131–136.

[3]   Stapel, S., 2002, March. The Eurostat construction price surveys: history, current methodology and new ways for the future. In International Conference on ICP, World Bank, Washington, DC, March (pp. 11-13).

[4]   H. Karanci, A Comparative Study of Regression Analysis, Neural Networks and Case-based Reasoning for Early Range Cost Estimation of Mass Housing Projects, 2010.

[5]   U.S. Department of Energy, Cost Estimating Guide, Washington, D.C., 2011.

[6]   International Society of Parametric Analysts, Parametric Estimating Handbook, 2008.

[7]   M. Shivani, R. Ghanshyam, A. Khandekar, M.E. Student, Review on Cost Estimation Methods for Software Development, (2013) 132–134.

[8]   S.-H. An, G.-H. Kim, K.-I. Kang, A case-based reasoning cost estimating model using experience by analytic hierarchy process, Build. Environ. 42 (2007) 2573–2579. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.06.007.

[9]   R. Roy, P. Souchoroukov, E. Shehab, Detailed cost estimating in the automotive industry: Data and information requirements, Int. J. Prod. Econ. 133 (2011) 694–707. doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.05.018.

[10]   L.C. Briand, K. El Emam, F. Bomarius, COBRA : A Hybrid Method for Software Cost Estimation , Benchmarking , and Risk Assessment COBRA : A Hybrid Method for Software Cost Estimation , Benchmarking , and Risk Assessment, 24 (n.d.).

[11]   N. Sinclair, P. Artin, S. Mulford, Construction cost data workbook, in: World Bank (Ed.), Conf. Int. Comp. Progr., Washington, D.C., 2002. http://4estimators.ucoz.com/_ld/0/5_dms.final.doc (accessed March 4, 2014).

[12]   S. Isakowitz, NASA Cost Estimation Handbook, NASA HQ, Washingt. DC, Spring. (2002). http://www.nasa.gov/pdf/263676main_2008-NASA-Cost-Handbook-FINAL_v6.pdf (accessed March 4, 2014).

[13]   Mair, C., Martincova, M., & Shepperd, M. (2009). A literature review of expert problem solving using analogy.

[14]   RSMeans, RSMeans Building Construction Cost Data 2013, 2013. https://www.rsmeans.com/.

[15]   BCIS, Standard Form : Property Occupancy Cost Analysis Standard Form of Property Occupancy Cost Analysis, RICS. (n.d.).

[16]   Kiziltas, S., & Akinci, B. (2009). Contextual information requirements of cost estimators from past construction projects. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 135(9), 841-852.

[17]   R.D. Stewart, R.M. Wyskida, J.D. Johannes, Cost Estimators Reference Manual, 1995.

[18]   Department of Defence, Parametric Estimating Handbook, 2nd Ed., DoD, 1999.

[19]   R.A. Mileham, C.G. Currie, A.W. Miles, D.T. Bradfor, A Parametric Approach to Cost Estimating at the Conceptual Stage of Design, J. Eng. Des. 4 (1993) 117–125.

[20]   R.T. Hughes, Expert Judgement as an Estimating Method, Inf. Softw. Technol. 38 (1996) 67–75.

[21]   S. Staub-French, M. Fischer, J. Kunz, B. Paulson, A generic feature-driven activity-based cost estimation process, Adv. Eng. Informatics. 17 (2003) 23–39. doi:10.1016/S1474-0346(03)00017-X.

[22]   Staub-French, S., Fischer, M., Kunz, J., Paulson, B., & Ishii, K. (2002). An ontology for relating features of building product models with construction activities to support cost estimating. Center for Integrated Facility Engineering Working Paper, (70).

[23]   S. Staub-french, A.M. Asce, M. Fischer, J. Kunz, B. Paulson, M. Asce, An Ontology for Relating Features with Activities to Calculate Costs, (2003) 243–254.

[24]   F. H. Abanda, J.H.M. Tah, C. Pettang, M. B. Manjia (2011) An ontology-driven building construction labour cost estimation in cameroon, ITcon Vol. 16, pg. 617-634, http://www.itcon.org/2011/35

[25]   G. Fidan, I. Dikmen, A. Tanyer, B. M., Ontology for relating risk and vulnerability to cost overrun in international projects., J. Comput. Civ. Eng. 25 (2011).

[26]   Z. Ma, Z. Wei, Framework for Automatic Construction Cost Estimation Based on BIM and

984		Ontology Technology, in: Proceedings of the CIB W78 2012: 29th International Conference –
985		Beirut, Lebanon, 17-19 October
986		
987	[27]	S.S.-K. Lee, K.-R.K. Kim, J.J.-H. Yu, BIM and ontology-based approach for building cost
988		estimation, Autom. Constr. 41 (2014) 96–105. doi:10.1016/j.autcon.2013.10.020.
989	[28]	F.H. Abanda, J.H.M. Tah, R. Keivani, Trends in built environment semantic Web applications:
990		Where are we today?, Expert Syst. Appl. 40 (2013) 5563–5577.
991		doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2013.04.027.
992	[29]	H. Grzybek, S. Xu, S. Gulliver, V. Fillingham, Considering the Feasibility of Semantic Model
993		Design in the Built-Environment, Buildings. 4 (2014) 849–879.
994		doi:10.3390/buildings4040849.
995	[30]	B. Plale, S. Miles, C. Goble, P. Missier, R. Barga, Y. Simmhan, et al., The open provenance
996		model (v1. 01), Univ Southampt. TR. (2008).
997	[31]	Z. Ma, Z. Wei, X. Zhang, Semi-automatic and specification-compliant cost estimation for
998		tendering of building projects based on IFC data of design model, Autom. Constr. 30 (2013)
999		126–135. doi:10.1016/j.autcon.2012.11.020.
1000	[32]	S. Staub-French, M. Fischer, I.K. Kunz J, B. Paulson, A feature ontology to support
1001		construction cost estimating, Artif. Intell. Eng. Des. Anal. Manuf. 17 (2003) 133–154.
1002	[33]	M.P. Nepal, S. Staub-French, R. Pottinger, J. Zhang, Ontology-Based Feature Modeling for
1003		Construction Information Extraction from a Building Information Model, J. Comput. Civ.
1004		Eng. (2012) 120814090309004. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000230.
1005	[34]	Z. Ma, Z. Wei, P.D. Candidate, Z. Liu, Ontology-Based Computerized Representation of
1006		Specifications for Construction Cost Estimation, (2013) 707–716.
1007	[35]	T.H. Beach, Y.R. Rezgui, H. Li, T. Kasim, A Rule-based Semantic Approach for Automated
1008		Regulatory Compliance in the Construction Sector, Expert Syst. Appl. 42 (2015) 5219–5231.
1009		doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2015.02.029.
1010	[36]	R. Stamper, K. Liu, M. Hafkamp, Y. Ades, Understanding the Roles of Signs and Norms in
1011		Organisations-A semiotic approach to information systems design, J. Behav. Inf. Technol. 19
1012		(2000) 15–27.
1013	[37]	Information in business and administrative systems,John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, NY,
1014		USA ©1973, ISBN:0471820458
1015	[38]	K. Liu, Semiotics in Information Systems Engineering, Cambridge University Press,
1016		Cambridge, 2000. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511543364.
1017	[39]	F.S. Staub, M. Fischer, J. Kunz, K. Ishii, B. Paulson, S. Staub-French, et al., A feature
1018		ontology to support construction cost estimating, Artif. Intell. Eng. Des. Anal. Manuf. 17
1019		(2003) 133–154. doi:10.1017/S0890060403172034.
1020	[40]	ISO 10303-21, Industrial automation systems and integration -- Product data representation
1021		and exchange -- Part 21: Implementation methods: Clear text encoding of the exchange
1022		structure, (2002).
1023	[41]	ISO 10303-28, Industrial automation systems and integration—Product data representation
1024		and exchange—Part 28: Implementation methods: XML representations of EXPRESS schema
1025		and data, (2007).
1026	[42]	buildingSMART International Limited, IFC Standard, Build. Int. Ltd. (2013).
1027		http://www.buildingsmart-tech.org/specifications/ifc-overview (accessed July 25, 2016).
1028	[43]	The Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors, RICS New Rules of MEASUREMENT Bill of
1029		Quantities for Works Procurement, Coventry, 2011.
1030	[44]	Stamper, R., & Liu, K. (1994, January). Organisational dynamics, social norms and
1031		information systems. In System Sciences, 1994. Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh Hawaii
1032		International Conference on (Vol. 4, pp. 645-654). IEEE.
1033	[45]	H. Grzybek, S. Gulliver, Z. Huang, Inclusion of Temporal Databases with Industry
1034		Foundation Classes-A Basis for Adaptable Intelligent Buildings., in: ICISO, 2010.
1035		http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Inclusion+of+Temporal+Da
1036		tabases+with+Industry+Foundation+Classes+-
1037		+a+basis+for+adaptable+intelligent+buildings#0 (accessed June 24, 2014).
1038	[46]	Nicolle, C., & Cruz, C. (2010, April). Semantic Building Information Model and multimedia
1039		for facility management. In International Conference on Web Information Systems and
1040		Technologies (pp. 14-29). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
1041	[47]	J. Steel, R. Drogemuller, B. Toth, Model interoperability in building information modelling,
1042		Softw. Syst. Model. 11 (2010) 99–109. doi:10.1007/s10270-010-0178-4.
1043	[48]	Z. Shen, Semantic 3D CAD and Its Applications in Construction Industry — An Outlook of

1044   Construction Data Visualization, (2007).

1045   [49]   Venugopal, M. (2011). Formal specification of industry foundation class concepts using
1046   engineering ontologies. Georgia Institute of Technology.

1047   [50]   M. Venugopal, C. Eastman, J. Teizer, Formal Specification of the IFC Concept Structure for
1048   Precast Model Exchanges, Comput. Civ. Eng. 2012 (2012) 213–220. doi:
1049   10.1061/9780784412343.0027.

1050   [51]   T. Hartmann, A Semiotic Analysis of Building Information Model Systems, Comput. Civ.
1051   Eng. (2012) 381–388. doi:10.1061/9780784412343.0048.

1052   [52]   S. Xu, K. Liu, L.C. Tang, Incorporation of expert reasoning into the BIM-based cost
1053   estimating process, in: A.B. Raidén, E. Aboagye-Nimo (Eds.), Procs 31st Annu. ARCOM
1054   Conf., Lincoln, Uk, 2015: pp. 1–7.

1055   [53]   Tan, S., Liu, K. and Xie, Z., 2004. A Semiotic approach to organisational modelling using
1056   norm analysis. In 6th Int. Conf. Enterp. Inf (pp. 1-15).

1057   [54]   ISO 16739, Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) for data sharing in the construction and
1058   facility management industries, (2013).

1059   [55]   BuildingSMART, IFC4 - the new buildingSMART Standard, 2013.
1060   http://www.buildingsmart-tech.org/ifc/IFC4/final/html/

1061   [56]   The Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors, RICS New Rules of Measurement - Order of
1062   Cost Estimating and Elemental Cost Planning, Coventry, 2007.

1063   [57]   Ma, H., Ha, K. M. E., Chung, C. K. J., & Amor, R. (2006, June). Testing semantic
1064   interoperability. In Proc. of the Joint International Conference on Computing and Decision
1065   Making in Civil and Building Engineering (ICCCBE) (pp. 1216-1225).

1066   [58]   S. Xu, K. Liu, W. Li, Knowledge-based Design Cost Estimation through Extending Industry
1067   Foundation Classes, in: 16th Int. Conf. Enterp. Inf. Syst., Institute for Systems and
1068   Technologies of Information, Control and Communication, Lisbon, Portual, 2014: pp. 161–
1069   168.

1070   [59]   buildingSMART International Limited, Freeware - Ifc, (2015).
1071   http://www.ifcwiki.org/index.php/Free_Software (accessed March 27, 2015).

1072   [60]   R. Stamper, The semiotic framework for information systems research, in: H. Nissen, H.
1073   Klein, R. Hirschhaim (Eds.), Inf. Syst. Res. Contemp. Approaches Emergent Tradit., North
1074   Holland, Amsterdam, 1991: pp. 515–528.

1075   [61]   G.R. Smith, A.S. Hanna, Factors influencing formwork productivity, Can. J. Civ. Eng. 20
1076   (1993) 144–153.

1077   [62]   Portas, J., & AbouRizk, S. (1997). Neural network model for estimating construction
1078   productivity. Journal of construction engineering and management, 123(4), 399-410.

1079   [63]   B.H.R. Thomas, I. Zavrs, P. Ractice, Construction Baseline Productivity: Theory and
1080   Practice, (1999) 295–303.

1081   [64]   RSMeans., Building construction cost data, 2005. https://www.rsmeans.com/.

1082   [65]   E. Koehn, G. Brown, Climatic Effects on Construction, J. Constr. Eng. Manag. 111 (1985)
1083   129–137. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(1985)111:2(129).

1084   [66]   A. Touran, M. Asce, Probabilistic Model for Cost Contingency, (2003) 280–284.

1085   [67]   A. Russell, Computerized daily site reporting, J. Constr. Eng. Manag. 119 (1993) 385–402.
1086   http://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(1993)119:2(385) (accessed February
1087   12, 2014).

1088   [68]   M. Liberda, J.Y. Ruwanpura, G. Jergeas, Construction productivity improvement: A study of
1089   human, managerial and external factors, in: Proc., ASCE Constr. Res. Congr., ASCE, Reston,
1090   Va., Honolulu, 2003.

1091   [69]   E. Choy, J.Y. Ruwanpura, Situation based modeling for construction productivity, in: Proc.,
1092   Constr. Res. Congr., ASCE, Reston, San Diego, CA, USA, 2005: pp. 662–666.

1093   [70]   A. Boussabaine, T. Elhag, Knowledge discovery in residential construction project cost data,
1094   15th Annu. ARCOM Conf. 2 (1999) 15–17. http://www.arcom.ac.uk/-
1095   docs/proceedings/ar1999-489-498_Boussabaine_and_Elhag.pdf (accessed July 25, 2016).

1096   [71]   R. Akbaş, Geometry-based modeling and simulation of construction processes, Standford
1097   University, 2003. http://cife.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/TR151.pdf (accessed February 12,
1098   2014).

1099   [72]   S. Staub-french, B. Columbia, Feature-based Product Modeling for Building Construction,
1100   (1991) 1–10.

1101   [73]   K. Liu, L. Sun, J. Barjis, J.L.. Dietz, Modelling dynamic behaviour of business
1102   organisations—extension of DEMO from a semiotic perspective, Knowledge-Based Syst. 16
1103   (2003) 101–111. doi:10.1016/S0950-7051(02)00077-1.

1104 [74] J.C. Meyer, Applications of Deontic Logic in Computer Science : A Concise Overview, (n.d.)
1105 1–28.
1106 [75] Xu, S., Liu, K., & Tang, L. C. (2015, March). Applying Organizational Semiotics for
1107 Developing Knowledge-Based Cost Estimation of Construction Project. In International
1108 Conference on Informatics and Semiotics in Organisations (pp. 80-91). Springer International
1109 Publishing.
1110 [76] K. Samuel, L. Obrst, S. Stoutenberg, K. Fox, P. Franklin, A. Johnson, et al., Translating OWL
1111 and Semantic Web Rules into Prolog : Moving Toward Description Logic Programs, (2003) 1–
1112 21.
1113 [77] J. Wielemaker, S. Ss, I. Ii, SWI-Prolog 6.6.6-Reference Manual, 2014. http://www.swi-
1114 prolog.org/download/stable/doc/SWI-Prolog-6.6.6.pdf(accessed June 1, 2014).
1115 [78] F. Tan, T. Makwasha, " Best practice " cost estimation in land transport infrastructure
1116 projects, (2010) 1–15.
1117 [79] Evans & Peck, Best Practice Cost Estimation for Publicly Funded Road and Rail
1118 Construction, 2008.
1119 [80] V.O. Schinnerer, Construction Cost Estimating, (2007) 1–2.
1120 [81] Shearer, R., Motik, B. and Horrocks, I., 2008, October. HermiT: A Highly-Efficient OWL
1121 Reasoner. In OWLED (Vol. 432, p. 91).
1122 [82] S. Bechhofer, OWL : FaCT++, Dep. Comput. Sci. Kilburn Build. Univ. Manchester. (2004).
1123 http://owl.man.ac.uk/factplusplus/ (accessed January 7, 2015).
1124 [83] E. Sirin, B. Parsia, B.C. Grau, A. Kalyanpur, Y. Katz, Pellet: A practical OWL-DL reasoner,
1125 Web Semant. Sci. Serv. Agents World Wide Web. 5 (2007) 51–53.
1126 doi:10.1016/j.websem.2007.03.004.
1127 [84] P. Pauwels, J. Oraskari, IFC-to-RDF-converter, (2015). https://github.com/mmlab/IFC-to-
1128 RDF-converter.
1129 [85] F.S. Staub, M.P. Nepal, Reasoning about component similarity in building product models
1130 from the construction perspective, Autom. Constr. 17 (2007) 11–21.
1131 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2007.02.013.
1132 [86] Z. Irani, M.M. Kamal, Intelligent systems research in the construction industry, Expert Syst.
1133 Appl. 41 (2014) 934–950. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2013.06.061.
1134 [87] Z. Ma, Z. Wei, W. Song, Z. Lou, Application and extension of the IFC standard in
1135 construction cost estimating for tendering in China, Autom. Constr. 20 (2011) 196–204.
1136 doi:10.1016/j.autcon.2010.09.017.
1137 [88] B. Parsia, E. Sirin, B. Cuenca, E. Ruckhaus, D. Hewlett, Cautiously Approaching SWRL,
1138 (2005). http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.222.7885.
1139 [89] T.R.I. of C. Surveyors, The Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors, RICS New Rules of
1140 Measurement - Order of Cost Estimating and Elemental Cost Planning, Coventry, 2007.
1141 [90] T. Segaran, C. Evans, J. Taylor, Programming the semantic web, 2009.
1142 http://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=XShw5YSHoRUC&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=Pr
1143 ogramming+the+Semantic+Web&ots=DxVnXYcJL2&sig=qwUXB191zfC4c8bdgTSbspDe1
1144 F8 (accessed April 11, 2014).
1145