

Reconstructing ice–age climates: quantifying low-CO₂ effects on plants

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Prentice, I. C., Cleator, S. F., Huang, Y. H., Harrison, S. P. and Roulstone, I. (2017) Reconstructing ice–age climates: quantifying low-CO₂ effects on plants. *Global and Planetary Change*, 149. pp. 166-176. ISSN 0921-8181 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.12.012> Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/68643/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.12.012>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Reconstructing ice-age palaeoclimates: quantifying low-CO₂ effects on plants

Prentice, I.C.^{a,b}, Cleator, S.F.^c, Huang, Y.H.^c, Harrison, S.P.^{b,d}, Roulstone, I.^c

^a*AXA Chair of Biosphere and Climate Impacts, Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road, Ascot SL5 7PY, UK*

^b*Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, North Ryde, NSW 2109, Australia*

^c*Department of Mathematics, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, UK*

^d*School of Archaeology, Geography and Environmental Sciences (SAGES), University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AH, UK*

Corresponding author: Iain Colin Prentice

AXA Chair of Biosphere and Climate Impacts, Department of Life Sciences

Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road

Ascot SL5 7PY, UK

iain.colin.prentice@gmail.com

Preprint accepted for publication in *Global and Planetary Change*

Abstract

We present a novel method to quantify the ecophysiological effects of changes in CO₂ concentration during the reconstruction of climate changes from fossil pollen assemblages. The method does not depend on any particular vegetation model. Instead, it makes use of general equations from ecophysiology and hydrology that link moisture index (MI) to transpiration and the ratio of leaf-internal to ambient CO₂ (χ). Statistically reconstructed MI values are corrected *post facto* for effects of CO₂ concentration. The correction is based on the principle that e , the rate of water loss per unit carbon gain, should be inversely related to effective moisture availability as sensed by plants. The method involves solving a non-linear equation that relates e to MI, temperature and CO₂ concentration via the Fu-Zhang relation between evapotranspiration and MI, Monteith's empirical relationship between vapour pressure deficit and evapotranspiration, and recently developed theory that predicts the response of χ to vapour pressure deficit and temperature. The solution to this equation provides a correction term for MI. The numerical value of the correction depends on the reconstructed MI. It is slightly sensitive to temperature, but primarily sensitive to CO₂ concentration. Under low LGM CO₂ concentration the correction is always positive, implying that LGM climate was wetter than it would seem from vegetation composition. A statistical reconstruction of last glacial maximum (LGM, 21±1kyr BP) palaeoclimates, based on a new compilation of modern and LGM pollen assemblage data from Australia, is used to illustrate the method in practice. Applying the correction brings pollen-reconstructed LGM moisture availability in southeastern Australia better into line with palaeohydrological estimates of LGM climate.

Keywords: Last Glacial Maximum, palaeoclimate reconstruction, moisture index, water-use efficiency, plant available moisture

1. Introduction

Atmospheric CO₂ concentration, [CO₂], has a direct effect on plant physiological processes that is distinct from the effects of climate change. Increasing [CO₂] allows plants that use the standard C₃ pathway of photosynthesis (including most crops, temperate grasses and forbs, and nearly all trees) to assimilate more carbon while losing less water, implying an increase in water use efficiency or, equivalently, a reduction in e , the water lost by transpiration per unit carbon fixed by photosynthesis. Increasing [CO₂] today is reducing e , as can be shown directly by comparing CO₂ and latent heat fluxes, or indirectly from stable carbon isotope discrimination ($\Delta^{13}C$) measured in tree rings (Keenan et al., 2013; Dekker et al., 2016). $\Delta^{13}C$ provides a quantitative indication of the ratio (χ) of leaf-internal to ambient [CO₂]. Theory and laboratory studies (e.g. Dewar, 1997; Ward et al., 2005; Ainsworth and Rogers, 2007; Gerhart et al., 2012; Prentice et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016) indicate that χ is conservative with respect to changes in ambient [CO₂]. Therefore, leaf-internal [CO₂] (c_i) increases with increasing ambient [CO₂] (c_a). The rate of carbon assimilation increases with c_i , but at a diminishing rate, resulting in partial stomatal closure - as required to keep χ constant. Thus carbon assimilation increases while water loss decreases, both effects causing a reduction in e . Consequences of declining e in C₃ plants today include observable greening in warm, semi-arid regions of the world (Donohue et al., 2013; Ukkola et al., 2015) and woody thickening (shift from grass- to tree-dominance) in mixed tree-grass ecosystems (Bond et al., 2003; Kgope et al., 2010).

Given the significance of [CO₂] for plants and vegetation, effects of low [CO₂] should be considered when interpreting vegetation of the past, including glacial maxima when [CO₂] was low (Farquhar, 1997; Prentice and Harrison, 2009; Gerhart and Ward, 2010; Bragg et al., 2013 and references therein). The contrary view of Huang et al., 2001, although widely cited, appears to rest on the misconception that climate and CO₂ effects are mutually exclusive explanations for vegetation changes (see the discussion in Bragg et al., 2013). Vegetation changes from the last glacial maximum (LGM, 21±1 kyr BP) to the present (Holocene) interglacial include a major shift from C₄ to C₃ plant dominance in tropical Africa, and a worldwide increase in forest cover. These changes cannot be explained by climate change alone, but they become predictable by process-based models when [CO₂] effects are considered in addition to those of climate (Harrison and Prentice, 2003; Bragg et al., 2013; Martin Calvo and Prentice, 2015).

Current standard modern-analogue methods to reconstruct past temperature and moisture regimes from pollen or plant macrofossil data do not take CO₂ effects into account, although several studies have shown that these effects are important (e.g. Jolly and Haxeltine, 1997; Cowling and Sykes, 1999) and have pointed out that the failure to account for these effects may help to explain discrepancies between observed and simulated climates (e.g. Harrison and Prentice, 2003; Ramstein et al., 2007). One possible approach, based on the inversion of process-based vegetation models, has shown significant effects on LGM palaeoclimate reconstructions when changes in [CO₂] are imposed (e.g. Guiot et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2007). The success of the model inversion approach depends on the

correctness of a particular (complex) model. The structure of existing process-based vegetation models includes discontinuities caused *inter alia* by climatic limits of different plant functional types; these could potentially cause artefacts in the form of discontinuities in reconstructed climate variables. Results are likely to be model-dependent, leading to an unquantified structural uncertainty in the reconstructions. These are significant drawbacks, which have probably limited the wider use of the model inversion approach.

Here we describe a prototype of a more general and analytically tractable method. It is an extension of the simple *post facto* correction approach that Wang et al., 2013 used to estimate the effects of projected future [CO₂] increases on vegetation patterns, as simulated in that study by a statistical model. The idea behind our new method is that climate changes are reconstructed statistically first (using any suitable method), then a correction term is added to the reconstructed values of the moisture variable (here Moisture Index, MI defined as the ratio P/E_q where P is mean annual precipitation and E_q is mean annual equilibrium evapotranspiration: see e.g. Prentice et al., 2011). The correction term (Δm) allows for the fact that under low [CO₂] vegetation appears dry due to the higher plant demand for water (increased e) at low [CO₂]. We introduce a set of equations to describe the controls on e , and derive a computationally efficient method to solve the coupled equation system for Δm . We show how Δm depends on the reconstructed value of MI, modern and reconstructed temperatures, and [CO₂]. We then apply the method to a statistically based reconstruction of LGM climates in Australia. The restriction to Australia allows us to ignore some complications that would need to be tackled in a global analysis. These include the influence of large elevation differences on various non-climate parameters (such as the partial pressure of O₂ on the affinity of Rubisco for CO₂) that influence plant carbon uptake and water loss, and the decoupling of summer and winter temperatures that characterizes the northern continents and would require the seasonal cycle to be treated explicitly. For simplicity, we consider only mean annual values of climate variables, and do not consider the possibility of seasonally differentiated climate changes between LGM and recent times.

2. Methods

2.1. Model

Fig.1 summarizes the web of influences of climate and [CO₂] on e . The climate variables to be reconstructed from pollen data (see Bartlein et al., 2011 for a global overview of pollen-based climate reconstructions) are assumed to be mean annual temperature (T) and MI (m). We consider each intermediate variable in turn, and specify general equations to represent the controls on each variable as shown by the arrows in Fig.1. Many approximations are involved, but they are all explicit, and their consequences testable.

2.1.1. Moisture index, net radiation and evapotranspiration

By the definition of MI,

$$m = \frac{P}{E_q} \quad (2.1)$$

where E_q represents the equilibrium evapotranspiration, i.e. the theoretical rate of evaporation from a well-watered vegetation surface under steady-state conditions (see e.g. Huntingford and Monteith, 1998). E_q is an increasing function of net radiation and temperature:

$$\lambda E_q = R_n \left[\frac{s}{s + \gamma} \right] \quad (2.2)$$

where λ is the latent heat of vaporization of water (2.45 MJ kg⁻¹), R_n is the annual net radiation at the vegetated surface (MJ m⁻²), γ is the psychrometer constant (0.067 kPa K⁻¹ at sea level), and s (kPa K⁻¹) is $\frac{\partial e_s}{\partial T}$ where e_s is the saturated vapour pressure of water (kPa). The term s is closely approximated by the Roche-Magnus formula (Allen et al., 1998):

$$e_s = 0.6108 \exp \left[\frac{17.27T}{237.3 + T} \right] \quad (2.3)$$

where T is Celsius temperature (°C). R_n is the balance of net incoming shortwave and net outgoing longwave radiation, approximated here by (Linacre, 1968):

$$R_n = 0.83R_0(0.25 + 0.5S_f) - (107 - T)(0.2 + 0.8S_f) \quad (2.4)$$

where R_0 is the incident solar radiation at the top of the atmosphere (insolation) and S_f is the fraction of possible sunshine hours (approximately the one-complement of cloud cover fraction). Annual insolation is a function of latitude and the Earth's axial obliquity, and was only marginally ($\approx 0.1\%$) different at the LGM at the latitude of

Australia; we ignore this difference. The influence of S_f on R_n is large, however and cannot be ignored even if we generally lack direct evidence for changes in S_f . Strong empirical relationships between mean annual values of S_f and m (as shown in Fig.10) allow us to estimate S_f . We used climate data for the interval from 1970 – 1999 (M. Hutchinson, pers.comm.) to fit the following relationship by non-linear regression:

$$S_f = 0.6611e^{-0.74m} + 0.2175. \quad (2.5)$$

Area-averaged actual evapotranspiration (E_a) is a compromise between the energy-limited rate in wet climates, and the water-limited rate in dry climates, with a smooth transition between these limits. This behaviour is encapsulated in the Budyko curve. The Fu-Zhang form of this curve provides a relationship between E_a and m (Zhang et al., 2004):

$$E_a = E_q \left[1 + m - (1 + m^\omega)^{\frac{1}{\omega}} \right] \quad (2.6)$$

where $\omega \approx 3$. We disregard variation around this canonical value.

2.1.2. Plant water use, CO₂ drawdown and the atmospheric moisture deficit

The CO₂ drawdown, or the ratio between leaf-internal (c_i) and ambient (c_a) [CO₂] (symbolized here by χ), is an index of the regulation of water vapour loss through stomata. The proximal driver of water vapour loss at the leaf scale is the vapour pressure deficit, D (the difference between e_a , the actual water vapour pressure, and e_s). We use the cumulative atmospheric moisture deficit ΔE (the difference between E_q and E_a) as a surrogate for D . Prentice et al., 2014 showed how optimal values of χ (which minimize total costs of maintaining the biochemical capacity for photosynthesis and the capacity for water transport) can be predicted from T and ΔE . The Appendix in Prentice et al. (2014) shows that ΔE is related to D by a scaling constant g_0 , following the empirical model for E_a by Monteith, 1995 (later derived theoretically by Huntingford and Monteith, 1998). Optimal χ is then approximated by (Wang et al., 2014):

$$\chi = \left[1 + C \left(\frac{\eta}{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta E^{\frac{1}{4}} \right]^{-1} \quad (2.7)$$

where η is the viscosity of water (mPa s); K is the effective Michaelis-Menten coefficient for carboxylation ($\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$); and C is an empirical constant (14.76, when ΔE is in mm). The value of C was chosen so as to give a realistic value of χ under warm-temperate, humid conditions (Wang et al., 2014). Both η and K are functions of absolute temperature $T_K(K)$:

$$\eta = 0.024258 \exp \left[\frac{580}{T_K - 183} \right] \quad (2.8)$$

(Vogel, 1921) and

$$K = K_C \left(1 + \frac{O}{K_O} \right) \quad (2.9)$$

(Farquhar et al., 1980) where

$$K_C = 404.9 \exp \left[\frac{\Delta H_C}{R} \left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{T_K} \right) \right] (\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$K_O = 278.4 \exp \left[\left(\frac{\Delta H_O}{R} \right) \left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{T_K} \right) \right] (\%) \quad (2.11)$$

(Bernacchi et al., 2001). Here the ΔH are activation energies (79430 J mol⁻¹ for K_C and 36380 J mol⁻¹ for K_O) (Bernacchi et al., 2001), R is the universal gas constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) and O is the atmospheric concentration of oxygen (210‰).

Plant water use can be quantified using Fick's law, which applies to both water lost and CO₂ gained by diffusion through the stomata. CO₂ uptake (A) is given by:

$$A = g_s c_a (1 - \chi) \quad (2.12)$$

where g_s is the surface conductance (dependent on leaf area and stomatal conductance). Water loss by transpiration (E) is given by:

$$E = 1.6 g_s D \quad (2.13)$$

The factor 1.6 arises because water, as a smaller molecule, diffuses more readily than CO₂. The ratio E/A is then given by:

$$e = 1.6 \frac{D}{c_a(1 - \chi)} \quad (2.14)$$

which is, conveniently, independent of g_s . Equation (2.14) expresses the fact that water loss is proportional to vapour pressure deficit, while CO₂ gain is proportional to ambient [CO₂]. It also shows how both are regulated by χ , which is a conservative quantity that nonetheless consistently declines with increasing D ; thus partially counteracting the effect of vapour pressure deficit on water loss.

2.2. Pollen Base Climate Reconstruction Method

The pollen data set is an updated version of the Australasian pollen data set originally developed for the BIOME 6000 project (Pickett et al., 2004; Herbert and Harrison, 2016). We follow the convention of defining the modern period as the interval < 500 yr BP, and the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) as between 22,000 and 20,000 yr BP (Bartlein et al., 2011). The modern data set (Herbert and Harrison, 2016) has 1464 surface and core-top samples dated to < 500 yr BP from 321 sites (SI Table 1). The LGM data set contains 52 samples from 27 sites (SI Table 2).

Most pollen taxa were generally identified to genus level in the original data sets, but some were only assigned to broad palynological types or even families. Some rarer and not necessary taxonomically-related types were grouped together. In order to overcome the differences in taxonomic resolution between different records, the pollen taxa were allocated to 25 plant functional types (PFTs) on the basis of information on life form, leaf form, phenology and climatic range (SI Table 3). Some pollen taxa were necessarily assigned to multiple PFTs (SI Table 4). Pollen taxa likely to represent local conditions (i.e. aquatic taxa, mangroves, parasitic plants, mosses) or anthropogenic disturbance of the natural vegetation (i.e. agricultural crops, introduced species) were removed from the pollen data set prior to analysis and are therefore not included in SI Table 4.

Of the 903 taxa represented in either the modern or LGM data sets, only 63% are allocated to a unique PFT. This problem is partly caused by the large number of identifications to only families or sub-families (15% of the taxa); 70% of the families represented in the data set can include representatives of multiple PFTs. However, the Australian flora is characterised by a large number of genera of high diversity and broad climatic ranges. The classic case is *Eucalyptus*, with more than 700 species including trees and shrubs, and occupying almost all extant climatic niches across the continent. Although attempts have been made to distinguish broad ecological groups of *Eucalyptus* from their pollen morphology (see e.g. Pickett and Newsome, 1997), this practice is not widespread. Other genera in our dataset which are generally not distinguished to species level in Australian pollen records and which represent multiple PFTs include e.g. *Acacia* (7 PFTs), *Melaleuca* (5 PFTs), *Dodonea* (5 PFTs), *Euphorbia* (7 PFTs).

One way of reducing the ambiguity of PFT allocations is to use information on the occurrence of other PFTs in the sample. For example, the presence of obligate tropical taxa in a sample makes it likely that taxa that have a wider climatic range were, in reality, also tropical. Similarly, the presence of forest taxa in a sample makes it likely that taxa that could occur as trees in forests or as shrubs in open vegetation were, in reality, trees. PFTs were assigned an index for cold temperature tolerance (V_T) and for the degree of openness of the vegetation (V_C) in which they occur (SI Table 5). The distribution of some PFTs is unaffected by low temperature limits and these were not assigned a value for V_T . Initial values of V_T and V_C were calculated for each sample based on the original allocation of taxa to PFTs, including to multiple PFTs. Weights were then recalculated for each PFT in the sample based on the likelihood that it was present given the value of V_T and V_C in the sample compared to the values for the individual PFTs. The general form of the Gaussian is given by:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma^2 e^{-d^2/2\sigma^2} \quad (2.15)$$

where d is the difference (in our case, between the V_T or V_C value of the PFT and the current V_T or V_C value of the sample) and σ is the standard deviation, which is a measure of the "window width" (set to 1 in this case). New weights were derived by multiplying these weights by the weights obtained for the original assignment. This procedure was iterated twice to yield a set of 'PFT scores' for each sample.

PFT scores were normalized to sum to 1 for each sample, then (following Wang et al., 2013) we fitted a GLM with logit link function and assumed binomial distribution of residuals (i.e. multiple logistic regression) using a linear predictor composed of the climate variables and their squares; this approach fits independent unimodal response functions for each variable. We used climate data for the interval from 1970 – 1999, interpolated using the ANUSPLIN thin-plate spline fitting package (Hutchinson, 2004) to interpolate the meteorological data to a 0.05°

grid cell resolution climatology (M. Hutchinson, pers.comm.). These data are now available from ANUClimate grids (<http://www.emast.org.au/our-infrastructure/models/anuclimate-1-0-2/>). The RMSE of the T reconstructions for the modern samples is 1.97°C, while the reconstructions of MI have a RMSE of 0.23. Palaeoclimate estimates were obtained for each fossil pollen spectrum by maximum likelihood, based on the fitted model.

2.3. Estimation of the correction term: principle

Our goal was to estimate a term Δm such that $m_t = m_{rec} + \Delta m$, where m_t approximates the true MI for an LGM sample, and m_{rec} is the statistically reconstructed value for that sample. This term should satisfy the following equality:

$$e(T_{rec}, m_t, c_a) = e(T_m, m_{rec}, c_{am}) \quad (2.16)$$

where e is now expressed as a function of temperature, MI and $[\text{CO}_2]$ in that order; T_{rec} is the statistically reconstructed annual mean temperature for a given time and location; c_a is the $[\text{CO}_2]$ at that time; T_m is the modern annual mean temperature at that location; and c_{am} is the modern $[\text{CO}_2]$. We assume that T_{rec} is correct, and not biased by CO_2 effects. Equation (2.16) states that the true MI under low $[\text{CO}_2]$, at the palaeotemperature, should be the value for which the plant water loss per unit carbon gain is equal to that corresponding to the apparent (reconstructed) MI under modern $[\text{CO}_2]$ at the modern temperature. This statement assumes that the degree of water stress to which plants are subject (and to which different plant types are differentially adapted) is quantified by e , that is, the rate at which the plant has to lose water in order to gain carbon.

2.4. The CO_2 compensation point as a constraint

C_3 photosynthesis requires at least that

$$c_i > \Gamma^* \quad (2.17)$$

where Γ^* is the photorespiratory compensation point, that is the leaf-internal $[\text{CO}_2]$ at which CO_2 uptake (even in the absence of mitochondrial respiration) would be zero. Γ^* increases with temperature as follows:

$$\Gamma^* = 42.75 \exp \left[\frac{\Delta H_\Gamma}{R} \left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{T_K} \right) \right] (\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}) \quad (2.18)$$

where $\Delta H_\Gamma = 37830 \text{ J mol}^{-1}$ (Bernacchi et al., 2001). This requirement imposes a constraint. It implies that the range of environments that are too dry for C_3 plants to survive, other things being equal, is extended under low $[\text{CO}_2]$. However, the temperature dependence implies that reduced ice-age temperatures (a climatic consequence of low $[\text{CO}_2]$) mitigated this effect (Cowling and Shin, 2006; Martin Calvo and Prentice, 2015). Taking dark respiration into account, the CO_2 compensation point is closely approximated by $\Gamma^* + bK$ where $b \approx 0.025$ (Prentice et al., 2014). Here we use the requirement that $c_i > \Gamma^* + 0.025K$ to limit the range of allowable values for m_t .

2.5. Estimation of the correction term: solution

Equation (2.16) has no analytical solution. SI Appendix A describes a method developed here to solve it efficiently through an algebraic simplification of the equation system represented by equations (2.1)-(2.16). The equation to be solved is ultimately expressed as:

$$F(m_t) = (ue^{-0.74m} + v) \left((1 + m_t^\omega)^{\frac{1}{\omega}} - m_t \right) - \Delta E(T_m, m_{rec}, c_{am}) \quad (2.19)$$

where ΔE is a function of $m_{rec}, c_a, c_{am}, T_{rec}$ and T_m , and u and v are functions of T_{rec} only. Although a numerical solution of the whole equation system is possible, a numerical solution of equation (2.19) achieves identical results in a fraction of the computation time.

2.6. Sensitivity analysis

The sensitivity of computed Δm was investigated with respect to the control variables $m_{rec}, T_m, \Delta T = T_{rec} - T_m$ (the reconstructed LGM temperature anomaly) and c_a/c_{am} (the ratio of palaeo to modern $[\text{CO}_2]$). Here, sensitivity is represented by the partial derivatives of the computed Δm with respect to each variable in turn, normalised as follows:

$$S(x) = \frac{\text{range}(x)}{\text{range}(\Delta m)} \frac{\partial \Delta m}{\partial x} \quad (2.20)$$

where $S(x)$ is the sensitivity of Δm to the variable x and $\text{range}(\cdot)$ denotes the absolute difference between mean values for the modern data set and the LGM.

3. Results

3.1. Properties of the MI correction

Fig.2 provides an example of the computed values of the correction term Δm as a function of m_{rec} and Δc_a . Modern $[\text{CO}_2]$ (c_{am}) is taken to be $340 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ to reflect the fact that modern vegetation is not in equilibrium with the present, rapidly changing $[\text{CO}_2]$. This value is close to that typically chosen as the baseline for process-based vegetation models (e.g. Haxeltine and Prentice, 1996). For illustration, Fig. 2 applies to a modern temperature of 20°C ($T_m = 20$) and a reconstructed palaeotemperature of 15°C ($\Delta T = -5$). Colours are shown only for cases where $c_i > \Gamma^*$; in the left lower part of the rectangle, climate is too dry and $[\text{CO}_2]$ too low for C_3 photosynthesis. Some key points are immediately visible in Fig.2. At modern $[\text{CO}_2]$ (represented by the right-hand edge of the graph), reconstructed MI should be corrected slightly downwards due to the reduced temperature. At low $[\text{CO}_2]$ (the left-hand edge represents $170 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$), reconstructed MI requires substantial upward correction. The numerical values of the corrections generally increase with the absolute value of MI.

The sensitivity of the computed Δm to different variables (Fig.3) carries a clear visual message, showing that $[\text{CO}_2]$ - over the range by which it varies between glacial and interglacial climates - is by far the most important determinant of Δm . Δm also becomes more sensitive to m_{rec} at low $[\text{CO}_2]$. The sensitivities of Δm to temperature are very small by comparison.

Figure 4 illustrates how leaf-internal CO_2 (c_i) is influenced by ambient CO_2 (c_a), moisture and temperature. The CO_2 compensation point represents the point at which no net photosynthesis can take place in C_3 plants. (C_4 plants can thrive below this point because of their possession of a leaf-internal CO_2 concentrating mechanism). Note that this point increases quite steeply with temperature so that low temperatures to some extent mitigate the negative effect of low CO_2 on C_3 plants, while the advantage of C_4 photosynthesis becomes decisive at high temperatures.

3.2. Statistical reconstruction and the effect of the correction term

The reconstructed LGM climate was on average 3°C cooler than today. The magnitude of the cooling varied, however, with sites in the continental interior showing changes of up to 10°C (e.g. Lake Frome, Ulungra Springs) while changes elsewhere were more muted (Figure 5; SI Table 6). Four sites in the southeastern part of the continent show temperatures $> 2^\circ\text{C}$ warmer than today. This apparently anomalous signal arises because there is a large shift at these sites from assemblages dominated by woody taxa under modern conditions to assemblages consisting of between 80–100% grasses and forbs at the LGM dataset. While the warming signal may be real, it could also reflect the absence of samples from cool/cold grasslands in the modern training set. The temperature reconstructions are broadly consistent with inferences about LGM climates in this region (Reeves et al., 2013). Pollen-based estimates suggest a cooling of 4°C in lowland Tasmania (Fletcher and Thomas, 2010); acid-racimisation of emu eggshell indicates a cooling of the order of 9°C in the continental interior (Miller et al., 1997). This gradient with greater cooling in the interior is also apparent in our reconstructions.

Although many sites register an increase in MI at the LGM compared to today, the reconstructed LGM climate was on average slightly drier than today (-0.05). However, some sites in the southeastern part of the region and in the northeast show reductions in MI of > 0.8 (Figure 5), implying a substantial shift towards more arid conditions (SI Table 6). These sites remain more arid than today after the CO_2 correction is applied (Figure 5), but the anomalies are smaller and more consistent with the changes at other sites. Overall, the corrected reconstructions suggest that the climate was wetter than today at the LGM. The shift towards more open vegetation shown by pollen records at the LGM has generally been interpreted as indicating drier conditions (Harrison and Dodson, 1993; Pickett et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2009). However, geomorphic evidence from southeastern Australia indicates that lakes were high (Harrison and Dodson, 1993; Page et al., 1994; Bowler et al., 2012) and there was increased fluvial activity in the Riverine Plains and the Flinders Range (Page et al., 2009; Kemp and Rhodes, 2010; Haberlah et al., 2010) while speleothem records from South Australia indicate increased precipitation (Ayliffe et al., 1998). There is also geomorphic evidence for periodically wet conditions in northern Australia (Nott and Price, 1999; Reeves et al., 2007; Croke et al., 2010; Reeves et al., 2013). The discrepancy between the different lines of evidence has been interpreted as reflecting increased seasonality in precipitation (Kemp and Rhodes, 2010; Fitzsimmons et al., 2013) or an increase in runoff resulting from less water uptake by plants (Dosseto et al., 2010; Bowler et al., 2012). Our results suggest that the interpretation that the shift towards open vegetation is a direct reflection of increased aridity is simplistic.

As shown in Figure 6, the effect of the moisture index correction is a general uplift of the reconstructed MI values, amounting to a near-doubling at the lowest values of m_{rec} and an increase by as much as 1.5 units at the highest values of m_{rec} . Adopting the corrected values of MI also has an important effect on the implied leaf-internal CO_2 .

Without correction, estimated c_i values are notably low both in absolute terms (at around 40 to 80 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, c_i values are dangerously close to the compensation point in the upper range of reconstructed temperatures); and in relation to the LGM value of c_a (implying $\chi \approx 0.2$ to 0.4, which is substantially lower than normally encountered even under today's higher c_a). Correction of the MI elevates the values of c_i to a more realistic range of 100 to 160 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ and $\chi \approx 0.5$ to 0.9, similar to values seen in contemporary data (Wang et al., 2016).

4. Discussion and Conclusion

The analysis of global-scale patterns in ecophysiological quantities such as χ is a fast-developing field (see e.g. Wang et al., 2016). It seems likely that the method could be streamlined in various ways. It could also be extended to cover C_4 photosynthesis, and to include palaeorecords of $\delta^{13}C$ in the analysis, for example. Nonetheless, the results provided here amount to a useful proof of concept. They show that a set of general equations can be used to make corrections of climatic moisture availability that take account of a major artefact in many current approaches to the reconstruction of past climates from data derived from plants. They also show that in order to consider ecophysiological effects it is not necessary to resort to numerical inversion of complex models with multiple PFTs. The analytical approach adopted here is considerably more transparent, as well as more general.

Nevertheless, the application of this approach to other regions of the world will require consideration of factors that are relatively unimportant and could therefore be neglected in the Australian case study presented here. Elevation, for example, has a direct impact on carbon assimilation because it affects both the partial pressure of O_2 (and thus the affinity of Rubisco for CO_2) and the vapour pressure deficit (and hence the cost of water uptake). The relationship between elevation and χ is well-constrained by theory and empirical evidence (Wang et al., 2016) and this relationship could therefore be applied to sites at different elevation. It could also adjust for the very slight effect of the difference in elevation of individual sites between LGM and present day (ca 120 m) caused by the lowering of sea level (Kageyama et al., 2016). In the Australian case study, it was possible to simplify the model inversion by only considering mean annual changes in climate. This simplification was based on the strong correlation between seasonal temperatures today (Herbert and Harrison, 2016) and the assumption that changes in temperature seasonality between the LGM and present would be small because of the strong maritime influence on Australian palaeoclimates. While the assumption of minimal changes in temperature seasonality is borne out by palaeoclimate simulations, this is not the case in the northern extratropics where the seasonal temperature range was 5-10°C greater than today (see e.g. Izumi et al., 2013, Figure 1). Changes of this magnitude could influence the seasonal cycle of moisture changes and thus would require a seasonally resolved treatment of carbon assimilation and water loss. Thus, while the methodology described here could be applied to other regions of the globe, it would require a series of other corrections to be made.

The specific application is encouraging, as it suggests a possible resolution of a long-standing puzzle regarding the LGM climate of southeastern Australia: namely, the apparent wetness of part of southeastern Australia at the LGM, based on physical (geomorphic) evidence for enhanced river runoff and high lake levels at a time when pollen-based reconstructions indicate aridity. Although a number of papers have dealt with the issue of $[CO_2]$ effects at a global scale, and a number for Africa, to our knowledge these effects have not been considered before as a factor in the interpretation of regional Australian palaeoclimate records.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Ines Hessler and Annika Herbert for assistance with the pollen data compilation, and Dr. Kev Willis for implementing the method of statistical climate reconstruction. We also thank Nancy Nichols for discussions of the limiting analyses and numerics. The work was supported by the Australian Research Council, grant number DP1201100343 (SPH). It is a contribution to the AXA Chair Programme in Biosphere and Climate Impacts and the Imperial College initiative on Grand Challenges in Ecosystems and the Environment (ICP). Sean Cleator was supported by a UK Natural Environment Research Programme (NERC) scholarship as part of the SCENARIO Doctoral Training Partnership.

Appendices

A. Model Inversion

The model can be solved for m_t numerically by solving equation (2.16); however, this set of governing equations can be simplified by solving for ΔE independent of m_t .

We first take

$$s = \frac{g_0 c_a e^{(T_m, m_{rec}, c_{a_m})}}{1.6} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and

$$t = \frac{1}{C} \left(\frac{K}{\eta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and so we can write equation (2.16) as

$$\Delta E \cdot (t \Delta E^{-\frac{1}{4}} + 1) = t \Delta E^{\frac{3}{4}} + \Delta E = s. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We binomially expand to a quartic in ΔE in the form of

$$\Delta E^4 + (-4s - t^4) \Delta E^3 + 6s^2 \Delta E^2 + (-4s^3) \Delta E + s^4 = 0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The root of (A.4) can be found using a quartic formula or solver.

We can then use equation (2.6) with the ΔE as the solution of equation (A.4) to write

$$\Delta E(s, t) = (u e^{-0.74m} + v) \left((1 + m_t^\omega)^{\frac{1}{\omega}} - m_t \right) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where

$$u = 0.6611(0.83R_0 0.5 - (107 - T_{rec}) 0.8) \tau$$

and

$$v = (0.83R_0 0.25 - (107 - T_{rec}) 0.2 + 0.2175(0.83R_0 0.5 - (107 - T_{rec}) 0.8)) \tau.$$

with

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma(c + T_{rec})^2}{abc} \exp \left[-\frac{bT_{rec}}{c + T_{rec}} \right] \right)^{-1}.$$

Equation (A.5) is then posed as a minimisation problem

$$F(m_t) = (u e^{-0.74m} + v) \left((1 + m_t^\omega)^{\frac{1}{\omega}} - m_t \right) - \Delta E(s, t) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where we use the fortran MINPACK solver to find the m_t which minimises F . Since $\Delta E(s, t)$, u , v and τ don't depend on m_t they can be pre calculated and so the solver need only solve equation (A.5). Making this simplification is computationally cheaper and gives the same results compared to solving equation (2.19).

References

- Ainsworth, E. A., Rogers, A., 2007. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising $[\text{CO}_2]$: mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 30, 258–270.
- Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., Smith, M., 1998. Crop evapotranspiration - guidelines for computing crop water requirements - FAO irrigation and drainage paper 56. FAO, Rome 300, D05109.
- Ayliffe, L., Marianelli, P., Moriarty, K., Wells, R., McCulloch, M., Mortimer, G., Hellstrom, J., 1998. 500 ka precipitation record from southeastern Australia: evidence for interglacial relative aridity. *Geology*, 147–150.
- Bartlein, P. J., Harrison, S. P., Brewer, S., Connor, S., Davis, B. A. S., Gajewski, K., Guiot, J., Harrison-Prentice, T. I., Henderson, A., Peyron, O., Prentice, I. C., Scholze, M., Seppä, H., Shuman, B., Sugita, S., Thompson, R. S., Viau, A. E., Williams, J., Wu, H., 2011. Pollen-based continental climate reconstructions at 6 and 21 ka: a global synthesis. *Climate Dynamics* 37, 775–802.
- Bernacchi, C., Singaas, E., Pimentel, C., Portis Jr, A., Long, S., 2001. Improved temperature response functions for models of Rubisco-limited photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 24, 253–259.
- Bond, W., Midgley, G., Woodward, F., 2003. The importance of low atmospheric CO_2 and fire in promoting the spread of grasslands and savannas. *Global Change Biology* 9, 973–982.
- Bowler, J. M., Gillespie, R., Johnston, H., Boljkovac, K., 2012. Wind v water: Glacial maximum records from Willandra lakes. *Terra Australia* 34, 271–296.

- Bragg, F. J., Prentice, I. C., Harrison, S. P., Eglinton, G., Foster, P. N., Rommerskirchen, F., Rullkötter, J., 2013. Stable isotope and modelling evidence for CO₂ as a driver of glacial-interglacial vegetation shifts in southern Africa. *Biogeosciences* 10, 2001–2010.
- Cowling, S. A., Shin, Y., 2006. Simulated ecosystem threshold responses to co-varying temperature, precipitation and atmospheric CO₂ within a region of Amazonia. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 15, 553–566.
- Cowling, S. A., Sykes, M. T., 1999. Physiological significance of low atmospheric CO₂ for plant-climate interactions. *Quaternary Research* 52, 237–242.
- Croke, J., Jansen, J., Amos, K., Pietsch, T., 2010. A 100 ka record of fluvial activity in the Fitzroy river basin, tropical northeastern Australia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 1681–1695.
- Dekker, S. C., Groenendijk, M., Booth, B. B. B., Huntingford, C., Cox, P. M., 2016. Spatial and temporal variations in plant water use efficiency inferred from tree-ring, eddy covariance and atmospheric observations. *Earth System Dynamics* 7, 525–533.
- Dewar, R. C., 1997. A simple model of light and water use evaluated for *Pinus radiata*. *Tree Physiology* 17, 259–265.
- Donohue, R. J., Roderick, M. L., McVicar, T. R., Farquhar, G. D., 2013. Impact of CO₂ fertilization on maximum foliage cover across the globe's warm, arid environments. *Geophysical Research Letters* 40, 3031–3035.
- Dosseto, A., Hesse, P., Maher, K., Fryirs, K., Turner, S., 2010. Climatic and vegetation control on sediment dynamics during the last glacial cycle. *Geology* 38, 395–398.
- Farquhar, G. D., 1997. Carbon dioxide and vegetation. *Science* 278, 1411.
- Farquhar, G. D., von Caemmerer, S., Berry, J. A., 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta* 149, 78–90.
- Fitzsimmons, K., Cohen, T., Hesse, P., Jansen, J., Nanson, G., May, J.-H., Barrows, T., Haberlah, D., Hilgers, A., Kelly, T., Larsen, J., Lomax, J., Treble, P., 2013. Late Quaternary palaeoenvironmental change in the Australian drylands. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 74, 78–96.
- Fletcher, M.-S., Thomas, I., 2010. A quantitative late Quaternary temperature reconstruction from western Tasmania, Australia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 29, 2351–2361.
- Gerhart, L. M., Harris, J. M., Nippert, J. B., Sandquist, D. R., Ward, J. K., 2012. Glacial trees from the La Brea tar pits show physiological constraints of low CO₂. *New Phytologist* 194, 63–69.
- Gerhart, L. M., Ward, J. K., 2010. Plant responses to low [CO₂] of the past. *New Phytologist* 188, 674–695.
- Guiot, J., Torre, F., Jolly, D., Peyron, O., Boreux, J. J., Cheddadi, R., 2000. Inverse vegetation modeling by Monte Carlo sampling to reconstruct palaeoclimates under changed precipitation seasonality and CO₂ conditions: application to glacial climate in Mediterranean region. *Ecological Modelling* 127, 119–140.
- Haberlah, D., Williams, M., Halverson, G., Mctainsh, G., Hill, S., Hrstka, T., Jaime, P., Butcher, A., Glasby, P., 2010. Loess and floods: high-resolution multiproxy data of Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) slackwater deposition in the Flinders Ranges, semi-arid South Australia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 29, 2673–2693.
- Harrison, S., Dodson, J., 1993. Climates of Australia and New Guinea since 18,000 yr B.P. In *Global Climates since the Last Glacial Maximum* (H.E. Wright, Jr, J.E. Kutzbach, T. Webb III, W.F. Ruddiman, F.A. Street-Perrott, and P.J. Bartlein, eds). University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, 265–293.
- Harrison, S. P., Prentice, I. C., 2003. Climate and CO₂ controls on global vegetation distribution at the Last Glacial Maximum: analysis based on palaeovegetation data, biome modelling and palaeoclimate simulations. *Global Change Biology* 9, 983–1004.
- Haxeltine, A., Prentice, I. C., 1996. BIOME3: An equilibrium terrestrial biosphere model based on ecophysiological constraints, resource availability, and competition among plant functional types. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 10, 693–709.
- Herbert, A. V., Harrison, S. P., 2016. Evaluation of a modern-analogue methodology for reconstructing Australian palaeoclimate from pollen. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 226, 65–77.

- Huang, Y., Street-Perrott, F. A., Metcalfe, S. E., Brenner, M., Moreland, M., Freeman, K., 2001. Climate change as the dominant control on glacial-interglacial variations in C_3 and C_4 plant abundance. *Science* 293, 1647–1651.
- Huntingford, C., Monteith, J., 1998. The behaviour of a mixed-layer model of the convective boundary layer coupled to a big leaf model of surface energy partitioning. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 88, 87–101.
- Hutchinson, M. F., 2004. ANUSPLIN Version 4.3. Centre for Resource and Environmental Studies. The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT.
- Izumi, K., Bartlein, P., Harrison, S., 2013. Consistent behaviour of the climate system in response to past and future forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters* 40, 1817–1823.
- Jolly, D., Haxeltine, A., 1997. Effect of low glacial atmospheric CO_2 on tropical African montane vegetation. *Science* 276, 786–788.
- Kageyama, M., Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Haywood, A. M., Jungclaus, J., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Peterschmitt, J.-Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albani, S., Bartlein, P. J., et al., 2016. PMIP4-CMIP6: the contribution of the Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project to CMIP6. *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions*.
- Keenan, T. F., Hollinger, D. Y., Bohrer, G., Dragoni, D., Munger, J. W., Schmid, H. P., Richardson, A. D., 2013. Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations rise. *Nature* 499, 324–327.
- Kemp, J., Rhodes, E., 2010. Episodic fluvial activity of inland rivers in southeastern Australia: Palaeochannel systems and terraces of the Lachlan River. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 29, 732–752.
- Kgope, B. S., Bond, W. J., Midgley, G. F., 2010. Growth responses of African savanna trees implicate atmospheric $[CO_2]$ as a driver of past and current changes in savanna tree cover. *Austral Ecology* 35, 451–463.
- Linacre, E., 1968. Estimating the net-radiation flux. *Agricultural Meteorology* 5, 49–63.
- Martin Calvo, M., Prentice, I. C., 2015. Effects of fire and CO_2 on biogeography and primary production in glacial and modern climates. *New Phytologist* 208, 987–994.
- Miller, G., Magee, J., Jull, A., 1997. Low-latitude glacial cooling in the Southern Hemisphere from amino-acid racemisation in emu eggshells. *Nature* 385, 241–244.
- Monteith, J., 1995. Accommodation between transpiring vegetation and the convective boundary layer. *Journal of Hydrology* 166, 251–263.
- Nott, J., Price, D., 1999. Waterfalls, floods and climate change: evidence from tropical Australia. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 171, 267–276.
- Page, K., Dare-Edwards, A., Nanson, G., Price, D., 1994. Late Quaternary evolution of Lake Urana, New South Wales, Australia. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 9, 47–57.
- Page, K. J., Kemp, J., Nanson, G., 2009. Late Quaternary evolution of riverine plain paleochannels, southeastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* 56, 19–33.
- Pickett, E. J., Harrison, S. P., Hope, G., Harle, K., Dodson, J. R., Kershaw, A. P., Prentice, I. C., Backhouse, J., Colhoun, E. A., D’Costa, D., Flenley, J., Grindrod, J., Haberle, S., Hassell, C., Kenyon, C., Macphail, M., Martin, A. H., McKenzie, M., Newsome, J. C., Penny, D., Powell, J., Raine, J. I., Southern, W., Stevenson, J., Sutra, J. P., Thomas, I., van der Kaars, S., Ward, J., 2004. Pollen-based reconstructions of biome distributions for Australia, Southeast Asia and the Pacific (SEAPAC region) at 0, 6000 and 18,000 14C yr BP. *Journal of Biogeography* 31, 1381–1444.
- Prentice, I. C., Dong, N., Gleason, S. M., Maire, V., Wright, I. J., 2014. Balancing the costs of carbon gain and water transport: testing a new theoretical framework for plant functional ecology. *Ecology Letters* 17, 82–91.
- Prentice, I. C., Harrison, S. P., 2009. Ecosystem effects of CO_2 concentration: evidence from past climates. *Climate of the Past* 5 (3), 297–307.
- Ramstein, G., Kageyama, M., Guiot, J., Wu, H., Hély, C., Krinner, G., Brewer, S., 2007. How cold was Europe at the Last Glacial Maximum? A synthesis of the progress achieved since the first PMIP model-data comparison. *Climate of the Past* 3, 331–339.

- Reeves, J., Chivas, A., Garcia, A., De Deckker, P., 2007. Palaeoenvironmental change in the Gulf of Carpentaria (Australia) since the last interglacial based on Ostracoda. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 246, 163–187.
- Reeves, J. M., Barrows, T. T., Cohen, T. J., Kiem, A. S., Bostock, H. C., Fitzsimmons, K. E., Jansen, J. D., Kemp, J., Krause, C., Petherick, L., Phipps, S. J., 2013. Climate variability over the last 35,000 years recorded in marine and terrestrial archives in the Australian region: an OZ-INTIMATE compilation. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 74, 21–34.
- Ukkola, A. M., Prentice, I. C., Keenan, T. F., van Dijk, A. I., Viney, N. R., Myneni, R. B., Bi, J., 2015. Reduced streamflow in water-stressed climates consistent with CO₂ effects on vegetation. *Nature Climate Change* 6, 75–78.
- Vogel, H., 1921. Das temperaturabhängigkeitsgesetz der viskosität von flüssigkeiten. *Physikalische Zeitschrift* 22, 645–646.
- Wang, H., Prentice, I., Davis, T., 2014. Biophysical constraints on gross primary production by the terrestrial biosphere. *Biogeoscience* 11, 5987–6001.
- Wang, H., Prentice, I., Ni, J., 2013. Data-based modelling and environmental sensitivity of vegetation in China. *Biogeosciences* 10, 5817–5830.
- Wang, H., Prentice, I. C., Cornwell, W., Keenan, T., Davis, T., Wright, I., Evans, B., Peng, C., 2016. A universal model for carbon dioxide uptake by plants. *bioRxiv*, Preprint.
- Ward, J. K., Harris, J. M., Cerling, T. E., Wiedenhoft, A., Lott, M. J., Dearing, M.-D., Coltrain, J. B., Ehleringer, J. R., 2005. Carbon starvation in glacial trees recovered from the La Brea tar pits, southern California. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102, 690–694.
- Williams, M., Cook, E., van der Kaars, S., Barrows, T., Shulmeister, J., Kershaw, P., 2009. Glacial and deglacial climatic patterns in Australia and surrounding regions from 35 000 to 10 000 years age reconstructed from terrestrial and nearshore proxy data. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28, 2398–2419.
- Wu, H., Guiot, J., Brewer, S., Guo, Z., 2007. Climatic changes in Eurasia and Africa at the Last Glacial Maximum and mid-Holocene: reconstruction from pollen data using inverse vegetation modelling. *Climate Dynamics* 29, 211–229.
- Zhang, L., Hickel, K., Dawes, W., Chiew, F. H., Western, A., Briggs, P., 2004. A rational function approach for estimating mean annual evapotranspiration. *Water Resources Research* 40.

Figures




Figure 1: Environmental influences on water lost per unit carbon gained (e), the inverse of water use efficiency, for C_3 photosynthesis. Symbols: P precipitation, S_f sunshine fraction, m moisture index or MI ($= P/E_q$), R_n net radiation, R_0 insolation, E_a actual evapotranspiration, E_q equilibrium evapotranspiration, ΔE atmospheric water deficit ($= E_q - E_a$), χ ratio of leaf-internal (c_i) to ambient (c_a) [CO_2].




Figure 2: The computed MI correction term (Δm) as a function of the reconstructed MI value (m_{rec}) and the ratio of past to modern CO_2 (Δc_a), for a modern annual mean temperature of 20°C and a cooling (relative to modern) by 5°C . The white area lies below the $[\text{CO}_2]$ compensation point.




Figure 3: Plots showing the sensitivity of the change in MI (Δm) to uncertainties in the input following equation (2.20). Top left shows the sensitivity of Δm to ΔT , top right T_{rec} , bottom left m_{rec} and bottom right c_a/c_{am} , for the range of values of m_{rec} and c_a ratio plotted at a modern annual mean temperature of 20°C and a cooling (relative to modern) by 5°C . Figure 9 shows these sensitivities with varying mean annual temperature and LGM cooling.




Figure 4: The black line represents the compensation point. The coloured lines represent the leaf internal CO₂ for various combinations of atmospheric CO₂ and moisture index. These are then plotted against temperature, hence all parts of the coloured line above the black line are above the compensation point and all parts below the line are below the compensation point.




Figure 5: The anomaly of MAT (bottom left), uncorrected moisture index (top left) and corrected moisture index (top right) for all the sites sampled in the data set plotted against their location. The samples from the same site are averaged together.




Figure 6: The change in moisture index generated by the model. The blue line is the 1 : 1 line.




Figure 7: The blue line is the compensation point as in figure 4. The dots represent the calculated plant internal CO_2 from a specific site, before and after the application of the correction term Δm .

Figure 8: An animation showing the same as figure 2 for different values of modern annual mean temperature (T_{ref}) and cooling relative to the modern (ΔT).

Figure 9: An animation showing the same as figure 3 for different values of modern annual mean temperature (T_{ref}) and cooling relative to the modern (ΔT).




Figure 10: The strong empirical relationship between the sunshine fraction (S_f) and moisture index (m). The blue dots show the average sunshine fraction for a particular moisture index that has been rounded to 1 decimal place. These are data points from Australia in the interval of 1970 – 1999 (M. Hutchinson, pers.comm.). The blue line shows equation (2.5), the curve found through applying non-linear regression to the data points.

Supplementary Information: Reconstructing ice-age palaeoclimates: quantifying low-CO₂ effects on plants

Prentice, I.C.^{a,b}, Cleator, S.F.^c, Huang, Y.H.^c, Harrison, S.P.^{b,d}, Roulstone, I.^c

^a*AXA Chair of Biosphere and Climate Impacts, Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road, Ascot SL5 7PY, UK*

^b*Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, North Ryde, NSW 2109, Australia*

^c*Department of Mathematics, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, UK*

^d*School of Archaeology, Geography and Environmental Sciences (SAGES), University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AH, UK*

Corresponding author: Iain Colin Prentice

AXA Chair of Biosphere and Climate Impacts, Department of Life Sciences

Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road

Ascot SL5 7PY, UK

iain.colin.prentice@gmail.com

This SI contains information on the modern (SI Table 1) and fossil (SI Table 2) pollen data used for reconstructions, the definition of the plant functional types (PFTs) used (SI Table 3) and details of the allocation of individual pollen taxa to these PFTs (SI Table 4). The weightings used to allocate ambiguous taxa to PFTs are given in SI Table 5. SI Table 6 gives the modern and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) reconstructions at each of the LGM sites.

Table 1: Modern pollen samples used for the test the climate reconstruction method. The table gives the names of the site (site name) and of the core (entity name), the latitude and longitude of the core location (in decimal degrees) and the elevation, the sample number and the estimated age of the sample (in calendar years before present (yr BP)). Present is conventionally defined as 1950 CE, so negative ages represent years post-1950 CE.

Table 2: Pollen samples used for the reconstructions of Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) climates. The table gives the names of the site (site name) and of the core (entity name), the latitude and longitude of the core location (in decimal degrees) and the elevation, the sample number and the estimated age of the sample (in calendar years before present, yr BP).

Table 3: Definition of plant functional types (PFTs) used in the statistical reconstructions, and the codes used for each PFT.

Table 4: Allocation of pollen taxa to plant functional types (PFTs). The codes for the PFTs are given in SI Table 3.

Table 5: Relative weightings for cold tolerance (V_T) and the degree of openness of the vegetation (V_C) for different plants functional types (PFTs) recognized in Australia. The ranges go from a minimum of 1 (cold tolerant, open vegetation) to a maximum of 4 (cold intolerant, closed vegetation). No attempt is made to classify PFTs that have no obvious cold-temperature limit (eurythermic plants) and these are shown as n/a.

Table 6: Modern and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) reconstructions of mean annual temperature (MAT, $^{\circ}C$) and moisture index (MI, unitless) for each of the LGM samples. The latitude and longitude of the site, and of the grid cell used for the derivation of the modern climate data are given in decimal degrees. The modern observed MAT is T_m , the reconstructed LGM MAT is T_{rec} and the reconstructed LGM MI is M_{rec} . The estimated age of each LGM sample (in calendar years before present, yr BP) is also given. The change in MAT and MI between LGM and present-day is given in the final columns.