

# The resolution sensitivity of the Asian summer monsoon and its inter-model comparison between MRI-AGCM and MetUM

Article

Accepted Version

Ogata, T., Johnson, S. J., Schiemann, R. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3095-9856, Demory, M.-E., Mizuta, R., Yoshida, K. and Arakawa, O. (2017) The resolution sensitivity of the Asian summer monsoon and its inter-model comparison between MRI-AGCM and MetUM. Climate Dynamics, 49 (9-10). pp. 3345-3361. ISSN 0930-7575 doi: 10.1007/s00382-016-3517-5 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/68644/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3517-5

Publisher: Springer

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in



the End User Agreement.

## www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

### CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1	
2	
3	
4	The Resolution Sensitivity of the Asian Summer Monsoon and
<b>5</b>	its Inter-Model Comparison between MRI-AGCM and
6	MetUM
7	
8	Tomomichi Ogata <sup>1</sup> ,
9	Stephanie J. Johnson <sup>2</sup> , Reinhard Schiemann <sup>2</sup> , Marie-Estelle Demory <sup>2</sup> ,
10	Ryo Mizuta <sup>3</sup> , Kohei Yoshida <sup>3</sup> , Osamu Arakawa <sup>1, 3</sup>
11	<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Japan
12	<sup>2</sup> National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Meteorology,
13	University of Reading, UK
14	<sup>3</sup> Meteorological Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan
15	
16	Submitted to Climate Dynamics
17	December 2016
18	
19	

20 Abstract

21In this study, we compare the resolution sensitivity of the Asian Summer 22Monsoon (ASM) in two Atmospheric General Circulation Models (AGCMs): the 23MRI-AGCM and the MetUM. We analyze the MetUM at three different resolutions, 24N96 (approximately 200-km mesh on the equator), N216 (90-km mesh) and N512 25(40-km mesh), and the MRI-AGCM at TL95 (approximately 180-km mesh on the 26equator), TL319 (60-km mesh), and TL959 (20-km mesh). The MRI-AGCM and the 27MetUM both show decreasing precipitation over the western Pacific with increasing 28resolution, but their precipitation responses differ over the Indian Ocean. In 29MRI-AGCM, a large precipitation increase appears off the equator (5-20°N). In MetUM, 30 this off-equatorial precipitation increase is less significant and precipitation decreases 31over the equator. Moisture budget analysis demonstrates that a changing in moisture 32 flux convergence at higher resolution is related to the precipitation response. 33 Orographic effects, intra-seasonal variability and the representation of the meridional 34thermal gradient are explored as possible causes of the resolution sensitivity. Both 35 high-resolution AGCMs (TL959 and N512) can represent steep topography, which 36 anchors the rainfall pattern over south Asia and the Maritime Continent. In MRI-AGCM, 37 representation of low pressure systems in TL959 also contributes to the rainfall pattern. 38 Furthermore, the seasonal evolution of the meridional thermal gradient appears to be 39 more accurate at higher resolution, particularly in the MRI-AGCM. These findings 40 emphasize that the impact of resolution is only robust across the two AGCMs for some 41 features of the ASM, and highlights the importance of multi-model studies of GCM

- 42 resolution sensitivity.

#### 45 **1. Introduction**

46 The Asian Summer Monsoon (ASM) is an important component of the global 47monsoon. Its multi-scale variability, ranging from sub-seasonal to inter-decadal time 48scales, impacts society through natural disasters and changes in water resources (e.g. 49Chang et al. 2000, Lau and Kim 2012, He and Zhou 2015, Joseph et al. 2015, Cho et al. 502015). Recent advances in climate simulation have improved the fidelity of the ASM, 51but large biases remain. Sperber et al. (2013) and Ogata et al. (2014) reported that there 52is little improvement in Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) 53General Circulation Models (GCM) seasonal climatology compared to CMIP3 GCMs 54and there are still substantial biases in the ASM simulations. At inter-annual timescales, 55the ENSO-Indian monsoon relationship (defined by all-India rainfall and Nino-3.4) is 56too strong in individual CMIP5 models, while the ENSO-East Asian monsoon 57relationship is too weak in multi-model mean. CMIP3 and CMIP5 GCMs also 58commonly exhibit a late monsoon onset (Sperber et al., 2013). At sub-seasonal 59timescales, CMIP5 GCMs depict a large inter-model spread in the reproducibility of the 60 Boreal Summer Intra-Seasonal Oscillation (BSISO) of about 20-60 day period (e.g. 61 Yasunari 1980, Jiang et al. 2004, Ajayamohan et al. 2009).

The most significant differences between CMIP3 and CMIP5 GCMs are the improvement of physics schemes and the increase in horizontal and vertical resolutions. CMIP results include the model-produced SST bias, which makes the detection of causes of model bias in the ASM simulation difficult. Therefore, an intercomparison of atmospheric GCMs (AGCM) in an "AMIP-style" (Atmospheric Model Intercomparison 67 Project) configuration, using observed SST and sea-ice boundary conditions, is often 68 more appropriate for diagnosing sources of bias or understanding the reason of response 69 changes in atmospheric models. In this paper, we focus on the effect of only increasing 70 horizontal resolution on the ASM simulation and perform an assessment with two 71 AGCMs.

72Development in high-performance computing enables high-resolution AGCMs 73that can resolve fine-scale orographic effect and synoptic-scale atmospheric variations. 74Kitoh and Kusunoki (2008) investigated the ASM simulation in a 20-km resolution 75AGCM and reported that increased resolution of steep orography improved the 76precipitation climatology (Xie et al. 2006). Other resolution sensitivity studies have 77shown that orographic precipitation over the Western Ghats and the Indochina peninsula 78and the wind speed of Somali Jet are generally improved with increasing resolution 79 (Sperber et al. 1994, Jha et al. 2000, Kobayashi and Sugi 2004, Sabin et al. 2013). 80 However, resolution sensitivity of precipitation in other areas such as the western 81 equatorial Indian Ocean and Indian peninsula differs between studies (Sperber et al. 82 1994, Stephenson et al. 1998, Martin 1999, Sabin et al. 2013).

The 20-60 day BSISO, other intra-seasonal modes of 10-20 days (e.g. Murakami 1976, Krishnamurti and Ardanuy 1980, Annamalai and Slingo 2001) and synoptic 3-5 day Low Pressure Systems (LPS; e.g. Mak 1987, Goswami et al. 2003) all interact on intra-seasonal time scales over the south Asia (Annamalai and Slingo 2001, Goswami et al. 2003). These intra-seasonal variations and its multi-scale interactions are considered as an important factor to the ASM reproducibility in GCMs (e.g. Liu et al. 2009, Sperber et al. 2013, Sabeerali et al. 2013), and it is believed that high-resolution GCMs better simulate such multi-scale interactions (e.g. Roberts et al, 2015; Vellinga et al, 2016). Increasing horizontal resolution is also key to improving simulations of tropical cyclones (TC; e.g. Oouchi et al. 2006, Murakami and Sugi 2010) and has some common effects including improvement of TC distribution and intensity, decrease of weak TC and increase of strong TC in the future climate (Murakami et al. 2012, Roberts et al. 2015).

96 Each resolution sensitivity study uses slightly different techniques and analyses 97 different aspects of resolution sensitivity. Moreover, it is also well known that a large 98 inter-model spread in the reproducibility of the ASM exists, even in AMIP-style 99 simulations. For example, on the south Asian monsoon, Kitoh and Kusunoki (2008) 100 exhibited that major precipitation maximum locates around 10-20°N in MRI-AGCM at 101 both low and high resolutions. In contrast, Johnson et al. (2016) showed that major 102precipitation maximum locates over the equator and precipitation around 10-20°N is 103 rather weak in MetUM at both low and high resolutions. In order to understand what 104 aspects of resolution sensitivity are common among GCMs, it is important to conduct a 105coordinated resolution sensitivity study of multiple AGCMs using the same analysis 106 techniques.

In this study, based on Johnson et al. (2016), we compare the resolution sensitivity of the ASM in two state-of-the-art AGCMs (MRI-AGCM and MetUM). We compare the MetUM integrations at N96 (200-km mesh on the equator), N216 (90-km mesh) and N512 (40-km mesh) that were used by Johnson et al. (2016) with an 111 MRI-AGCM series at TL95 (180-km mesh on the equator), TL319 (60-km mesh), and 112TL959 (20-km mesh) resolutions. Johnson et al. (2016) found that fine orography at 113N512 improves orographic rainfall over the south Asian continent and the Somali Jet 114 wind speed is increased by the better resolution of the East African Highlands. Over the 115Maritime Continent (MC), rainfall increases over land, while it decreases in the west 116 Pacific. Furthermore, Johnson et al. (2016) investigated the role of LPS on the rainfall 117change over India and concluded that LPS contribute to slightly more rainfall over 118 northeast India at N512. In this paper, we compare this resolution sensitivity to the 119 sensitivity of the MRI-AGCM, and analyze similarities and differences. Section 2 120describes the models used in this study. Section 3 shows the results of the seasonal 121mean ASM and its resolution sensitivity. Section 4 presents the orographic effects and 122intraseasonal variability. Upper tropospheric thickness and its seasonal evolution are 123 also investigated as a measurement of drivers of the ASM. Section 5 presents the 124summary and a discussion of the results.

125

127

#### 128 2.1. Experiments in MRI-AGCM and MetUM

We make use of the MRI-AGCM3.2 model (Mizuta et al. 2012) developed at 20-km and 60-km horizontal resolutions, with 64 vertical levels (TL959L64 and TL319L64). This model, in which an especially deep convective scheme was changed from a relaxed Arakawa–Schubert scheme to a Tiedtke-like scheme proposed by

<sup>126</sup> **2. Methodology** 

133Yoshimura et al. (2015), is an improved version of MRI-AGCM3.1 (Mizuta et al. 2006). 134 Using the 20-km resolution AGCM (MRI-AGCM3.2S), Murakami et al. (2012) 135reported that the simulated intensity of global TCs was significantly improved, as 136 compared with results of the previous version (MRI-AGCM3.1S). These 20-km and 137 60-km AGCMs are used for studies of TCs (Murakami et al. 2012), global monsoon 138(Endo et al. 2012) and East Asian monsoon (Kusunoki and Mizuta 2013) in the future 139climate. An additional simulation of MRI-AGCM3.2 at 180-km horizontal resolution 140(TL95L64) was performed for resolution sensitivity studies. In MRI-AGCM, the lower 141 boundary conditions are prescribed by observed monthly-mean SST and sea ice 142 concentration of the Met Office Hadley Centre Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature 143 version 1 (HadISST1; Rayner et al. 2003) for the period 1979-2003. To focus on 144resolution sensitivity, we only changed the horizontal resolution while other settings 145(i.e. vertical resolution and physical package) remain the same.

146The configuration of the MetUM used here is the Global Atmosphere 3.0 147 (Walters et al. 2011) configuration of the atmospheric component of the HadGEM3 148family. We used integrations from the UPSCALE simulation campaign (Mizielinski et 149al. 2014) at three horizontal resolutions: approximately 40-km, 90-km and 200-km, and 15085 vertical levels (N512L85, N216L85, N96L85 respectively). The lower boundary 151conditions are prescribed by the observed daily-mean SST and sea ice concentration of 152the OSTIA product (Donlon et al. 2012) for the period 1985–2011. The UPSCALE 153campaign simulations have previously been used for multiple resolution sensitivity 154studies, such as the global and regional hydrological cycles (Demory et al. 2014;

Schiemann et al. 2014; Vellinga et al. 2016), ASM (Johnson et al. 2016) and TCs
(Roberts et al. 2015). Similar to MRI-AGCM, only horizontal resolution was changed
between integrations. A detailed description of the integrations and model settings is
given in Mizielinski et al. (2014).

159

160 2.2. Observational datasets

161 The Japanese 55-year reanalysis (JRA-55, Kobayashi et al. 2015, 1.25° 162resolution) provided by Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) and CPC Merged 163 Analysis of Precipitation (CMAP, Xie and Arkin 1997, 2.5° resolution) were used to 164 verify 1986-2003 in both AGCMs at all resolutions. Furthermore, we used Global 165Precipitation Climatology Project version 1.2 (GPCP, Huffmann et al. 2001, 1° 166resolution) in Section 4.2 to verify synoptic rainfall variability and Tropical Rainfall 167 Measuring Mission product 3B43v7 (TRMM, Huffman et al. 2007, 0.25° resolution) in 168Section 4.1 to verify rainfall over orography. In these two observational datasets, due to 169 data coverage, different periods (1997-2012 in GPCP, and 1998-2012 in TRMM) were 170analyzed. Except in Section 4.1, all datasets were re-gridded to N96 (approximately 171200-km resolution).

172

173 2.3. Analysis methods

In Section 3, we used Taylor's skill scores to evaluate the model performance.
Using pattern correlation (R) and standard deviation ratio (SDR; GCM's value
normalized by observed value), Taylor (2001) proposed a skill score (S) to evaluate the

177 GCM reproducibility,

178 
$$S = \frac{4(1+R)^4}{(SDR+1/SDR)^2(1+R_0)^4}, R_0 \to 1 \dots (1).$$

179 . Higher S means higher reproducibility and if a GCM's performance is perfect (SDR $\rightarrow$ 

180 1 and  $R \rightarrow 1$ ), S = 1.

In Section 4.2, to investigate the resolution sensitivity of the intra-seasonal variability, we define the BSISO index (21-61 day rainfall variability over 12-22°N, 70-95°E) and calculate the lag covariance of intra-seasonal rainfall variability (21-61 day band-passed) onto the BSISO index (Ajayamohan et al. 2009) to assess the characteristics of northward propagation of BSISO during boreal summer (Figure 10).

186

#### 187 **3. Resolution sensitivity of the ASM seasonal mean**

188 First, in the ASM seasonal mean, we compare the June-July-August (JJA) 189 climatology of precipitation and 850 hPa circulation in MRI-AGCM and MetUM. TL95 190 MRI-AGCM and N96 MetUM (Figure 1a and 1c) shows similar clockwise ASM 191 circulation at 850 hPa. However, their JJA precipitation pattern is quite different. In 192TL95 MRI-AGCM, the precipitation maximum is over the Indian subcontinent and 193 South Asia, while in N96 MetUM, precipitation peaks in the equatorial Indian Ocean. 194 The difference between the AGCM biases (defined as 'AGCM minus CMAP') in 195MRI-AGCM and MetUM is shown in Fig. 1b and 1d. Over the tropical Indian Ocean, 196 dry (wet) bias exists near the equator while wet and cyclonic (dry and anticyclonic) bias 197 appears over the northern hemisphere in MRI-AGCM (MetUM). Over the western 198 Pacific, cyclonic bias appears south of Japan in both MRI-AGCM and MetUM.

199 Next, we investigate the resolution sensitivity of precipitation over the south 200Asian monsoon domain. In MRI-AGCM, dry bias over the tropical Indian Ocean is 201improved (Fig. 2a-2c). For example, mean bias over the tropical Indian Ocean (20°S-20°N, 40-100°E) is 0.62 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in TL95 and -0.19 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in TL959 (Fig. 202 203 3a). On the other hand, the root mean square error (RMSE) (2.6 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in TL95 and 3.1 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in TL959) and the pattern correlation (0.80 in TL95 and TL959) are not 204 205improved (Fig. 3b and 3c). This is probably because some places show improvements, 206 but others do not and may even degrade, so the scores do not change. In MetUM, the meridional dipole bias over the tropical Indian Ocean (wet bias on the equator and dry 207 208 bias around 10-20°N) is improved (Fig. 2d-2f). However, on the basin-wide average 209(20°S-20°N, 40-100°E), mean bias (0.12 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N96 and 0.08 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N512), RMSE (3.9 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N96 and 3.6 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N512), and pattern correlation (0.60 210211in N96 and 0.62 in N512) are not substantially improved (Fig. 3a-3c). Taylor's skill 212 scores also show that the scores are not improved in both MRI-AGCM and MetUM (Fig. 2134a). Consistent with Johnson et al. (2016)'s results, the resolution sensitivity decreases 214as resolution increases, so the resolution sensitivity of precipitation and 850 hPa 215circulation is larger from TL95 to TL319 in MRI-AGCM (N96 to N216 in MetUM), than from TL319 to TL959 in MRI-AGCM (N216 to N512 in MetUM) (Fig. 2). 216 217Resolution sensitivity of precipitation is different between MRI-AGCM and MetUM, 218 with a pattern correlation of 0.22 (0.21-0.24 in Jackknife method) over the Indian Ocean 219(20°S-20°N, 40-100°E). In MRI-AGCM, large off-equatorial precipitation increase

appears both north and south of the equator. Particularly, a large precipitation increase can be seen over the west of the Western Ghats and a cyclonic anomaly appears over the Arabian Sea (Fig. 2a-2c). Precipitation also increases with resolution over the northern Indian subcontinent and southern Indian Ocean around 0-10°S. In MetUM, this off-equatorial precipitation increase with resolution is less significant and a precipitation decrease appears over the equator (Fig. 2d-2f).

226MRI-AGCM and MetUM share a similar resolution sensitivity of precipitation 227 over the western Pacific (Fig. 2c and 2f), with a pattern correlation of 0.48 (0.41-0.53 in 228 Jackknife method) over the western Pacific (0-40°N, 120-180°E). At higher resolution, 229precipitation decreases over the northwestern Pacific and northern MC, while it 230increases over East Asia (around 20-40°N) and the southern MC., An anticyclonic 231circulation change associated with the precipitation decrease also appears over the 232northwestern Pacific. Such anticyclonic circulation transports moisture from the tropics 233to the East Asia and reinforces the Baiu-Meiyu front, which is consistent with previous 234studies showing that high-resolution AGCMs can reproduce a more realistic 235Baiu-Meiyu rainband (Kitoh and Kusunoki 2008). Taylor's skill scores (Fig. 4c) 236quantitatively show that the scores over the Baiu-Meiyu front (20-40°N, 120-150°E) are 237improved in both MRI-AGCM (0.20 in TL95 and 0.50 in TL959) and MetUM (0.20 in 238N96 and 0.27 in N512).

In order to investigate the resolution sensitivity of precipitation, we analyze the moisture budget at different resolutions (Figures 5 through 7). Fig. 5a and 5e show JJA climatology of TL95 MRI-AGCM and N96 MetUM moisture transport and 242 convergence. The clockwise moisture transport of ASM occurs in both models. On the 243other hand, moisture convergence maximum over the Indian subcontinent appears in 244 MRI-AGCM, while convergence stays over the equatorial Indian Ocean in MetUM. 245Over the southern MC, MetUM shows a large increase in moisture convergence (Fig. 2465h), which is less significant in MRI-AGCM (Fig. 5d). Moisture budget analysis also 247exhibits these features (Fig. 6d). Compared to the moisture convergence changes, 248surface evaporation increases only slightly with resolution over the MC in MRI-AGCM, 249while it does not change in MetUM (Fig. 6c). Over the northern Indian Ocean, similar 250to the pattern of precipitation change (Fig. 2), a large moisture convergence increase 251occurs over the west of the Western Ghats and Northern Indian Ocean in MRI-AGCM 252(Fig. 5d), while this is less significant in the MetUM where increased divergence 253appears over the equator (Fig. 5h). It should be noted that westward moisture flux 254anomaly appears over the South China Sea and Bay of Bengal in both models, which is 255probably related to the anticyclonic circulation anomaly seen in Fig. 2 and the decreased 256diabatic heating in the west Pacific.

Johnson et al. (2016) showed in the MetUM that decreasing precipitation over the northern Maritime Continent/west Pacific and increasing precipitation over the southern Maritime Continent were related to changes in moisture flux convergence. Following their study, we applied an area-integrated moisture budget analysis over their Maritime Continent regions and show the results in Figure 6b-6d. In MRI-AGCM, the precipitation decrease (and moisture convergence decrease) with resolution is consistent with MetUM over the northern box. In MRI-AGCM (MetUM), precipitation decreases

from 9.67 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in TL95 (7.73 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N96) to 8.73 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in TL959 (6.22 264mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N512). Moisture convergence in MRI-AGCM (MetUM) also decreases 265with increasing resolution: 5.05 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in TL95 (3.01 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N96) to 4.11 mm 266day<sup>-1</sup> in TL959 (1.85 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N512). In contrast, precipitation and moisture 267268convergence tendencies in southern box are different between these AGCMs. In MetUM, 269the precipitation increase from 5.5 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N96 to 6.5 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N512 is mainly due to an increase in moisture convergence (0.5 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in N96 and 1.5 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in 270N512). On the other hand, precipitation (5.2 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in TL95 and 5.3 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in 271272TL959) and moisture convergence (0.3 mm day<sup>-1</sup> in TL95 and TL959) are not sensitive 273to resolution in MRI-AGCM.

274To examine the difference in the resolution sensitivity of precipitation in the 275Indian Ocean, we also perform a moisture budget analysis for the regions outlined in 276Fig. 6a. Over the southern Indian Ocean (around 60-90°E, 0-10°S), the precipitation 277increase is more significant in MRI-AGCM than in MetUM. Over the Northern Indian 278Ocean (Fig. 6e-6g), increasing precipitation (and increasing moisture convergence) is 279clear in MRI-AGCM. High-resolution MetUM also shows a slight precipitation and 280moisture convergence increase in the northern box. However, there are large 281inter-model differences in the low-resolution climatology in these two models. Moisture 282 converges (diverges) over the northern (southern) region in MRI-AGCM while the 283opposite occurs in MetUM (Fig. 6e-6g).

To investigate the origin of the different behavior of moisture budget over the southern MC, Figure 7 shows averaged moisture flux into and out of the 286southern/northern MC and equatorial IO boxes (Fig. 7a). In MetUM, the increase of 287moisture in finer-resolution over the southern MC is mainly caused by the decrease of 288cross equatorial moisture flux (Fig. 7e-7g). On the other hand, in MRI-AGCM, change 289of cross equatorial moisture flux is smaller than in MetUM (Fig. 7b-7d). Furthermore, 290difference in the moisture supply across 160°E and moisture loss across 90°E seems 291important. Across 160°E, moisture supply decreases (increases) in MRI-AGCM 292(MetUM) with higher resolution, which partly contributes to the different resolution 293sensitivity of moisture convergence between two AGCMs over the southern MC box.

This difference over the southern MC may be related to differences in the southern IO. In MRI-AGCM, budget of southern equatorial IO box shows distinct decrease of cross equatorial moisture flux, which causes increase of moisture particularly from TL95 to TL319 (Fig. 7c). Moisture flux across 90°E increases (decreases) moisture over the southern equatorial IO (southern MC).

299

#### 300 4. What contributes to the resolution sensitivity of the ASM seasonal mean?

The previous section motivates a question: why is the resolution sensitivity of precipitation similar over the MC and west Pacific, but different over the Indian Ocean and India in the two models? To answer this, we examine possible mechanisms driving the resolution sensitivity, including better resolution of orography, better resolution of intraseasonal/synoptic variability and the resolution sensitivity of the meridional temperature gradient that drives the monsoon.

307

#### 308 4.1. Orography

309 High-resolution AGCMs are able to represent steep topography over south Asia 310 (Figure 8) and MC (Figure 9), which may affect the simulation of precipitation and 311 circulation. In both MRI-AGCM and MetUM, sharper rainfall peaks appear on the 312western side of mountains at higher resolutions (Fig. 8b and 8d). The monsoon westerly 313 wind intersects the Western Ghats (75°E), Arakan Yoma (90-95°E), Bilauktang (100°E), 314 Annam Cordillera (110°E), and Cordillera Central (120°E). Precipitation is clearly 315intensified around these mountains in TL959 and TL319 in MRI-AGCM (N512 and 316 N216 in MetUM), while TL95 MRI-AGCM and N96 MetUM cannot represent such 317 steep orography and the precipitation is smoothed (Fig. 8). Orography-induced 318 precipitation can be also seen in the MC. Fig. 9 shows that rainfall increases over the 319 mountains of New Guinea in the high-resolution AGCMs (around 5°S, Fig. 9b and 9d). 320 Although there is observation uncertainty, AGCMs are too wet over the land because of 321the orography (Fig. 9b and 9d). The spatial distribution of precipitation over this area is 322 probably better at high resolution, but precipitation is more intense because of the 323 steepness of the mountains, causing a larger drag. High-resolution observation (TRMM) also shows orography-induced rainfall, which can not be reproduced in 324 325coarse-resolution AGCM (Fig. 8 and 9).

As mentioned in Section 3, in both models, rainfall decreases north of the Maritime Continent. Johnson et al. (2016) hypothesized that increased resolution of the orography of the Maritime Continent and Indochina created this precipitation decrease through increasing moisture convergence over the orography, which reduces the 330 westerly and southerly moisture transport into the west Pacific. As mentioned in Section 331 3, the resolution sensitivity of westerly moisture flux into the northern Maritime 332 Continent is larger in the MRI-AGCM than the resolution sensitivity of southerly 333 moisture flux. In the MRI-AGCM, the change in westerly moisture flux could be related 334 to the increased rainfall in the Indian Ocean basin. The lack of a precipitation increase 335over the southern Maritime Continent in MRI-AGCM is likely due to the increase in 336 moisture convergence over the southern Indian Ocean, which is not present in MetUM. 337 It is not clear whether the same mechanisms are leading to the decrease in precipitation 338 in the west Pacific in both GCMs, and this would be an interesting subject for future 339 sensitivity experiments.

340

#### 341 4.2. Synoptic and Intra-seasonal variations over India

342 Intra-seasonal variability can be decomposed into three modes: BSISO (21-61 343 day; Yasunari 1980, Jiang et al. 2004, Ajayamohan et al. 2009), biweekly-mode (9-21 344 day; Murakami 1976, Krishnamurti and Ardanuy 1980, Annamalai and Slingo 2001), 345and LPS (3-9 day; Mak 1987, Goswami et al. 2003). The lead-lag covariance of 346 precipitation over 70-95°E onto the BSISO index exhibits the characteristics of 347 northward propagation of BSISO during boreal summer (Figure 10). The northward 348 propagation with about 50-day period is clear in both MRI-AGCM and MetUM (Fig. 349 10a-10d), consistent with previous studies (Ajayamohan et al. 2009). In MetUM, there 350 is little difference in the BSISO propagation between N96 (Fig. 10c) and N512 (Fig. 35110d). In MRI-AGCM, BSISO rainfall in TL959 (Fig. 10b) shifts slightly northward

(around 20°N) compared to TL95 (Fig. 10a), but there is little change in amplitude.
Therefore, the BSISO activity has very little resolution sensitivity in either GCM.

354Another possible driver of the resolution sensitivity of rainfall and circulation is 355 synoptic variability. For example, high-resolution AGCMs often improve the 356 representation of LPS over the northern Indian Ocean (Stowasser et al. 2009, Sabin et al. 357 2013, Johnson et al. 2016). Figure 11 shows the standard deviation of 358 synoptic-timescale (3-9 day band-passed) rainfall variability. The intra-seasonal 359 variability over central India increases substantially in the high-resolution (TL959) 360 MRI-AGCM (Fig. 11c). In N512 MetUM, synoptic timescale variability increases 361 (decreases) slightly over Bangladesh (ocean, e.g. Bay of Bengal), which is consistent 362 with the shift of the synoptic systems (Fig. 11f), shown using LPS tracking in Johnson 363 et al. (2016). The larger increase synoptic variability in MRI-AGCM than in MetUM 364 partly explains why the resolution sensitivity of precipitation is different in the two 365 GCMs over India and the Indian Ocean. An increase in LPS increases condensation 366 heating over India and the atmospheric response to this heating can increase the 367 moisture transport to the continent. These processes may act as a positive feedback to 368 enhance the precipitation. Observed daily precipitation (GPCP, 1997-2012) in Figure 12 369 shows that too much (little) synoptic rainfall variability appears over the southern 370 (northern) subcontinent in TL95 MRI-AGCM (Fig. 12b) while synoptic rainfall 371variability is generally too small over India in N96 MetUM (Fig. 12c). The resolution 372 sensitivity of LPS (Fig. 11), has the opposite sign of the bias, particularly in the 373 MRI-AGCM, and consequently improves the bias of synoptic rainfall variability over

374 India.

375

#### 376 *4.3. Upper tropospheric warming*

377 Meridional thermal gradient (MTG) in the upper troposphere is a major driver of 378 the south Asian monsoon (e.g. Li and Yanai 1996, Xavier et al. 2007). In boreal summer, 379 warming over the south Asian continent causes reversal of the MTG and can be used to 380 define a south Asian monsoon (SAM) onset date. To investigate the resolution 381sensitivity of the upper tropospheric warming, the resolution sensitivity of the 200-600 382 hPa tropospheric thickness is shown in Figure 13. As resolution increases, tropospheric 383 cooling appears over the tropics (from 30°S to 30°N) in MRI-AGCM and over the 384 Indo-Pacific in MetUM. Over the northwestern Pacific (NWP) and South China Sea 385(SCS) region (0-20°N, 105-180°E), 200-600 hPa thickness change is larger in 386 MRI-AGCM (-23.08 m in MRI-AGCM, while -5.02 m in MetUM, equal to 21.8% of 387 MRI-AGCM). Such cooling seems to originate from the NWP, consistent with rainfall 388 decrease and anti-cyclonic anomaly. Tropospheric cooling spreads into the entire tropics 389 zonally, and this enhances land-sea thermal contrast over the South Asian continent. 390 Such thermal contrast change is larger in MRI-AGCM (Fig. 13a-c) than in MetUM (Fig. 391 13d-f).

To diagnose whether the resolution sensitivity of heating over the NWP is important for this tropospheric cooling response, we investigate the Matsuno-Gill like response (Matsuno 1966, Gill 1980) to regional heating using a linear baroclinic model (LBM), similar to Ogata (2013). LBMs are derived from the linearized atmospheric 396 primitive equation using a sigma coordinate system. The LBM used here has a rest 397 background state (i.e. zero background velocity), a zonally averaged equatorial thermal 398 structure (i.e. uniform stratification) derived from JRA-55, and a resolution of 2.5° 399 horizontally with 14 vertical levels. Heating was estimated for each model individually 400 from the precipitation pattern seen in Fig. 2c and 2f, and is shown in Figure 14 a and c. 401 To define the vertical profile, a heating maximum of 0.47 K day<sup>-1</sup> per 1 mm day<sup>-1</sup> is 402 assumed at 600 hPa and the heating reachs zero at 200 and 1000 hPa. Figure 14 shows 403 the response to the change in heating when resolution is increased in the MRI-AGCM 404 and MetUM. In MRI-AGCM and the MetUM, response to western Pacific forcing 405 (30°S-30°N, 120-180°E in Fig. 14a, c) contributes to tropospheric cooling on 200-600 406 hPa due to the rainfall decrease (Fig. 14b, d), but in the MetUM the response is much 407 weaker. It should be noted that key features of tropospheric cooling in Fig. 13 (e.g. 408 larger tropospheric cooling in MRI-AGCM) cannot be reproduced in this linear model 409 by the Indian Ocean forcing (not shown). Particularly the effect of warming by the 410 Indian Ocean forcing may be overestimated.

Figure 15 shows the seasonal evolution of MTG, as defined by Xavier et al. (2007): the difference between the 200-600 hPa upper tropospheric thickness (units: m) averaged over south Asia (5-35°N, 40-100°E) and the equatorial Indian ocean (15°S-5°N, 40-100°E). In both MRI-AGCM and MetUM, the MTG changes sign from negative to positive between May and June. During May-June, the MTG is stronger in TL959 MRI-AGCM than in TL95 MRI-AGCM, and similarly MTG in N512 MetUM is stronger than in N96 MetUM. Interestingly, resolution sensitivity is comparable in 418 magnitude to the inter-model difference (Fig. 15). During August-September, in contrast, 419 there is little resolution sensitivity and the inter-model difference is larger. In reanalysis 420 (JRA-55), sign reversal of MTG starts earlier and the MTG is larger throughout the 421season. This means the higher-resolution GCMs are more accurate (Fig. 15) than the 422low-resolution. The stronger MTG in the high-resolution MRI-AGCM is likely related 423to the increasing SAM precipitation. MTG is also linked to the easterly vertical wind 424 shear between U850 and U200, which contributes to synoptic variability through an 425internal instability condition (Charney and Stern 1962, Shukla 1978). The larger MTG 426 in the high-resolution MRI-AGCM may consequently be related to the increase in 427synoptic variability seen in Fig. 11 and the associated with increased precipitation from 428 monsoon LPS.

429

#### 430 **5. Summary and discussion**

In this study, the resolution sensitivity of the ASM in two AGCMs, MRI-AGCM and MetUM, is investigated and compared. We compare the MetUM series at N96 (200-km mesh on the equator), N216 (90-km mesh) and N512 (40-km mesh), with the MRI-AGCM series at TL95 (180-km mesh on the equator), TL319 (60-km mesh) and TL959 (20-km mesh).

Both MRI-AGCM and MetUM share a similar precipitation decrease over the western Pacific with increasing resolution. Associated with the precipitation decrease, low-level anti-cyclonic circulation change appears over the northwestern Pacific. On the other hand, over the Indian Ocean, the resolution sensitivity of precipitation is quite

440 different between the two AGCMs. In MRI-AGCM, a large precipitation increase 441 appears off the equator, while such off-equatorial precipitation increase is less 442significant and precipitation decreases over the equator in MetUM. The resolution 443 sensitivity is not a clear improvement on the mean state in either GCM, and the 444 resolution sensitivity is not proportional to the GCM bias. To examine the difference in 445the resolution sensitivity of precipitation, we also performed a moisture budget analysis. 446 Over the Indian Ocean, there are large inter-model differences in the low-resolution 447 climatology in these two models. Moisture converges (diverges) over the northern 448 (southern) region in MRI-AGCM while the opposite occurs in MetUM. Over the 449 Maritime Continent, decrease of cross equatorial moisture flux which is important for 450precipitation in MetUM (Johnson et al. 2016) is small in MRI-AGCM.

451As possible causes of the resolution sensitivity of the ASM, orographic effect, 452intra-seasonal variability, and changes to the meridional temperature gradient have been 453considered. Both high-resolution AGCMs (TL959 and N512) can represent steep 454 topography, which anchors rainfall pattern over south Asia and the Maritime Continent. 455Furthermore, increase of synoptic rainfall variability by low pressure systems at TL959 456 and N512 seems to contribute to the rainfall increase over the land, but is much more 457significant in the MRI-AGCM. Additionally, an upper-tropospheric cooling over the 458tropics, linked to rainfall and diabatic heating changes over the west Pacific (Section 4594.3), causes a stronger meridional thermal gradient at high resolution, particularly in 460 MRI-AGCM, and improves the seasonal evolution of the MTG. The larger resolution 461 sensitivity of LPS and the MTG in the MRI-AGCM than the MetUM may be related, and likely contribute to the larger resolution sensitivity of Indian precipitation in theMRI-AGCM.

464 There are, however, large differences in circulation and precipitation over the 465Indian Ocean between MRI-AGCM and MetUM. On the equatorial Indian Ocean, there 466 is a negative rainfall anomaly in MRI-AGCM. In contrast, a positive rainfall anomaly 467 appears around 10-20°N (Figure 16). These results suggest that the anti-symmetric 468 meridional dipole anomaly over the Indian Ocean generates a clockwise circulation and, 469 in presence of a positive feedback, the westerly response to the diabatic heating around 47010-20°N further generates a condensation heating through moisture supply over south 471Asia. Such mean state difference in the Indian monsoon between MRI-AGCM and 472 MetUM may cause the different resolution sensitivity through the interaction with 473topography (e.g. larger rainfall increase in MRI-AGCM than in MetUM on the western 474 side of the mountains; Fig. 2 and 8), and synoptic intra-seasonal variability by moist 475instability (e.g. different patterns in synoptic rainfall variability between MRI-AGCM 476 and MetUM; Fig. 11). It should be noted that the inter-model difference becomes 477 smaller at high resolution over the equatorial Indian Ocean (Fig. 16b and 16c).

In Section 4.1 and 4.2, we discussed orographic and non-orographic effects on resolution sensitivity. However, quantitative evaluation of their effect is still unclear. Sensitivity experiments would help quantify the relative importance of orographic and non-orographic effects. In MetUM, for example, previous study has reported that annual mean precipitation over the MC is sensitive to the representation of coastal lines through mean circulation change (Schiemann et al. 2014).

484 Our findings have highlighted some common features in the resolution 485sensitivity of the ASM simulation between the MetUM and the MRI-AGCM. However, 486 we have also highlighted some major differences, which are likely due to the differences 487 in the model physics schemes, which also cause different model mean biases. These 488 results highlight the necessity of multi-model assessments regarding the role of 489 resolution in climate simulations, such as the upcoming EU Horizon 2020 490 PRIMAVERA (PRocess-based climate sIMulation: AdVances in high-resolution 491 modelling and European climate Risk Assessment) project.

492

493

#### 494 Acknowledgements

495SJJ and RS were supported by the Joint Weather and Climate Research 496 Programme (JWCRP), a partnership between the Natural Environment Research 497 Council (NERC) and the UK Met Office, under University of Reading Contract 498 R8/H9/37. RS and MED were supported by the National Centre for Atmospheric 499Science Climate directorate (NCAS-Climate), a collaborative centre of NERC. MED 500acknowledges NCAS Climate contract R8/H12/83/001 for the High Resolution Climate 501Modelling programme. The MetUM simulations analysed here were produced as part of 502the UPSCALE project (information about data access is available from the project 503website: http://proj.badc.rl.ac.uk/upscale). We thank the UPSCALE team (P.L. Vidale 504[PI], M. J. Roberts, M. S. Mizielinski, J. Strachan, RS, MED), the large team of model 505developers, infrastructure experts and all the other essential components required to 506conduct the UPSCALE campaign, in particular the PRACE infrastructure and the 507Stuttgart HLRS supercomputing centre, as well as the STFC CEDA service for data 508storage and analysis using the JASMIN platform. We also acknowledge use of the 509MONSooN system, a collaborative facility supplied under JWCRP, and HECToR, the 510UK national supercomputer. Numerical experiments of MRI-AGCM were executed on 511the Earth Simulator of the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology 512(JAMSTEC). This work was conducted under the SOUSEI Program of the Ministry of 513Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

514

#### 515 Reference

- Ajayamohan RS, Rao SA, Luo J-J, Yamagata T (2009) Influence of Indian Ocean
  Dipole on boreal summer intraseasonal oscillations in a coupled general
  circulation model. J Geophys Res 114: D06119. doi:10.1029/2008JD011096
- 519 Annamalai H, Slingo JM (2001) Active/break cycles: Diagnosis of the intraseasonal
- 520 variability of the Asian summer monsoon. Clim Dyn 18: 85–102
- 521 Chang CP, Zhang YS, Li T (2000) Interannual and interdecadal variations of the East
  522 Asian summer monsoon and tropical Pacific SSTs. Part I: Roles of the subtropical
  523 ridge. J. Clim 13: 4310–4325
- 524 Charney JG, Stern ME (1962) On the stability of internal baroclinic jets in a rotating
  525 atmosphere. J Atmos Sci 19(2): 159-172
- 526 Cho C, Li R, Wang SY, Yoon JH, Gillies RR (2015) Anthropogenic footprint of climate
- 527 change in the June 2013 northern India flood. Clim Dyn 46: 1-9

528 Demory ME, Vidale PL, Roberts MJ, Berrisford P, Strachan J, Schiemann R,

- 529 Mizielinski MS (2014) The role of horizontal resolution in simulating drivers of
  530 the global hydrological cycle. Clim Dyn 42(7-8): 2201-2225
- Donlon CJ, Martin M, Stark J, Roberts-Jones J, Fiedler E, Wimmer W (2012) The
  operational sea surface temperature and sea ice analysis (OSTIA) system. Remote
  Sens Environ 116: 140-158
- Endo H, Kitoh A, Ose T, Mizuta R, Kusunoki S (2012) Future changes and
  uncertainties in Asian precipitation simulated by multiphysics and multi-sea
  surface temperature ensemble experiments with high-resolution Meteorological
  Research Institute atmospheric general circulation models (MRI-AGCMs). J
  Geophys Res 117: D16118. doi:10.1029/2012JD017874
- 539 Gill A (1980) Some simple solutions for heat-induced tropical circulation. Quart J Roy
  540 Meteor Soc 106(449): 447-462
- 541 Goswami BN, Ajayamohan RS, Xavier PK, Sengupta D (2003) Clustering of synoptic
- 542 activity by Indian summer monsoon intraseasonal oscillations. Geophys Res Lett
- 543 30(8). doi: 10.1029/2002GL016734
- 544 Huffman GJ et al (2001) Global precipitation at one-degree daily resolution from
  545 multisatellite observations. J Hydrometeor 2(1): 36-50
- 546 Huffman GJ et al (2007) The TRMM multisatellite precipitation analysis (TMPA):
- 547 Quasi-global, multiyear, combined-sensor precipitation estimates at fine scales. J
- 548 Hydrometeor 8(1): 38-55
- 549 He C, Zhou T (2015) Decadal change of the connection between summer western North

- 550 Pacific Subtropical High and tropical SST in the early 1990s. Atmos Sci Lett
  551 16: 253–259. doi: 10.1002/asl2.550
- 552 Jha B, Krishnamurti TN, Christides Z (2000) A note on horizontal resolution 553 dependence for monsoon rainfall simulations. Meteor Atmos Phys 74(1-4): 11-17
- Jiang X, Li T, Wang B (2004) Structures and mechanisms of the northward propagating
- boreal summer intraseasonal oscillation. J Clim 17: 1022–1039
- 556 Johnson SJ et al (2016) The resolution sensitivity of the South Asian monsoon and
- 557 Indo-Pacific in a global 0.35° AGCM. Clim Dyn 46(3): 807-831
- 558 Joseph S et al (2015) North Indian heavy rainfall event during June 2013: diagnostics
- and extended range prediction. Clim Dyn 44(7-8): 2049-2065
- 560 Kitoh A, Kusunoki S (2008) East Asian summer monsoon simulation by a 20-km mesh
- 561 AGCM. Clim Dyn 31(4): 389-401
- 562 Kobayashi C, Sugi M (2004) Impact of horizontal resolution on the simulation of the
- 563 Asian summer monsoon and tropical cyclones in the JMA global model. Clim
- 564 Dyn 23(2): 165-176
- 565 Kobayashi S et al (2015) The JRA-55 reanalysis: general specifications and basic
  566 characteristics. J Met Soc Jpn 93(1): 5-48
- 567 Krishnamurti TN, Ardanuy P (1980) The 10 to 20-day westward propagating mode and
  568 "Breaks in the Monsoons". Tellus 32(1): 15-26
- 569 Kusunoki S, Mizuta R (2013) Changes in precipitation intensity over East Asia during
- 570 the 20th and 21st centuries simulated by a global atmospheric model with a 60 km
- 571 grid size. J Geophys Res 118(19). doi:10.1002/jgrd.50877

572	Lau	WK,	Kim	KM	(2012)	The	2010	Pakistan	flood	and	Russian	heat	wave:

- 573 Teleconnection of hydrometeorological extremes. J Hydromet 13(1): 392-403
- Li C, Yanai M (1996) The onset and interannual variability of the Asian summer
  monsoon in relation to land-sea thermal contrast. J Clim 9(2): 358-375
- 576 Liu P et al (2009) Tropical Intraseasonal Variability in the MRI-20km60L AGCM. J
  577 Clim 22(8): 2006-2022
- Mak M (1987) Synoptic-scale disturbances in the summer monsoon. In: Monsoon
  Meteorology. Edited by Chang CP, Krishnamurti TN. Oxford Univ Press, pp 435–
  460
- Martin GM (1999) The simulation of the Asian summer monsoon, and its sensitivity to
  horizontal resolution, in the UK Meteorological Office Unified Model. Quart J
  Roy Meteor Soc 125(557): 1499-1525
- 584 Matsuno T (1966) Quasi-geostrophic motions in the equatorial area. J Met Soc Jpn
  585 44(1): 25-43
- 586 Mizielinski MS et al (2014) High-resolution global climate modelling: the UPSCALE
- 587 project, a large-simulation campaign. Geosci Model Dev 7(4): 1629-1640
- 588 Mizuta R et al (2006) 20-km-mesh global climate simulations using JMA-GSM
  589 model-Mean climate states. J Met Soc Jpn 84(1): 165-185
- 590 Mizuta R et al (2012) Climate simulations using MRI-AGCM3.2 with 20-km grid. J
- 591 Met Soc Jpn 2 90(0): 233-258
- 592 Murakami M (1976) Analysis of Summer Monsoon Fluctuations over India. J Met Soc
- 593 Jpn 54: 15-31

- Murakami H, Sugi M (2010) Effect of model resolution on tropical cyclone climate
  projections. SOLA 6: 73-76
- 596 Murakami H et al (2012) Future changes in tropical cyclone activity projected by the
  597 new high-resolution MRI-AGCM. J Clim 25(9): 3237-3260
- 598 Ogata T (2013) The Effect of the Australian-Maritime Continents on the Indian Ocean
  599 Dipole Mode in an Idealized Coupled General Circulation Model. SOLA 9(0):
- 600 84-88
- 601 Ogata T et al (2014) Projected future changes in the Asian Monsoon: A comparison of
  602 CMIP3 and CMIP5 model results. J Met Soc Jpn 92(3): 207-225
- 603 Oouchi K et al (2006) Tropical cyclone climatology in a global-warming climate as
- simulated in a 20 km-mesh global atmospheric model: Frequency and wind
  intensity analyses. J Met Soc Jpn 84(2): 259-276
- 606 Rayner NA et al (2003) Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night
- 607 marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century. J Geophys Res 108(D14).
- 608 doi: 10.1029/2002JD002670
- Roberts MJ et al (2015) Tropical Cyclones in the UPSCALE Ensemble of
  High-Resolution Global Climate Models. J Clim 28(2): 574-596
- 611 Sabeerali CT, Ramu Dandi A, Dhakate A, Salunke K, Mahapatra S, Rao SA (2013)
- 612 Simulation of boreal summer intraseasonal oscillations in the latest CMIP5
  613 coupled GCMs. J Geophys Res 118(10): 4401-4420
- 614 Sabin TP, Krishnan R, Ghattas J, Denvil S, Dufresne JL, Hourdin F, Pascal T (2013)
- 615 High resolution simulation of the South Asian monsoon using a variable

- 616 resolution global climate model. Clim Dyn 41(1): 173-194
- 617 Schiemann R, Demory ME, Mizielinski MS, Roberts MJ, Shaffrey LC, Strachan J,
- 618 Vidale PL (2014) The sensitivity of the tropical circulation and Maritime
  619 Continent precipitation to climate model resolution. Clim Dyn 42(9-10):
  620 2455-2468
- 621 Shukla J (1978) CISK-barotropic-baroclinic instability and the growth of monsoon
  622 depressions. J Atmos Sci 35(3): 495-508
- 623 Sperber KR, Hameed S, Potter GL, Boyle JS (1994) Simulation of the northern summer
  624 monsoon in the ECMWF model: sensitivity to horizontal resolution. Mon
  625 Weather Rev 122(11): 2461-2481
- 626 Sperber KR, Annamalai H, Kang IS, Kitoh A, Moise A, Turner A, Wang B, Zhou T
- 627 (2013) The Asian summer monsoon: an intercomparison of CMIP5 vs. CMIP3
  628 simulations of the late 20th century. Clim Dyn 41(9-10): 2711-2744
- 629 Stephenson DB, Chauvin F, Royer JF (1998) Simulation of the Asian summer monsoon
- and its dependence on model horizontal resolution. J Met Soc Jpn 76(2): 237-265
- 631 Stowasser M, Annamalai H, Hafner J (2009) Response of the South Asian Summer
  632 Monsoon to Global Warming: Mean and Synoptic Systems. J Clim 22(4):
  633 1014-1036
- Taylor KE (2001) Summarizing multiple aspects of model performance in a single
  diagram. J Geophys Res 106(D7): 7183-7192
- 636 Vellinga M, Roberts M, Vidale PL, Mizielinski MS, Demory ME, Schiemann R,
  637 Strachan J, Bain C (2016) Sahel decadal rainfall variability and the role of model

horizontal resolution. Geophys Res Lett 43. doi:10.1002/2015GL066	690
---	-----

- 639 Walters DN et al (2011) The Met Office Unified Model global atmosphere 3.0/3.1 and
- 640 JULES global land 3.0/3.1 configurations. Geosci Model Dev 4(4): 919-941
- 641 Xavier PK, Marzin C, Goswami BN (2007) An objective definition of the Indian 642 summer monsoon season and a new perspective on the ENSO-monsoon 643
- relationship. Quart J Roy Meteor Soc 133(624): 749-764
- 644 Xie P, Arkin PA (1997) Global precipitation: A 17-year monthly analysis based on 645 gauge observations, satellite estimates, and numerical model outputs. Bull Amer 646 Meteor Soc 78(11): 2539-2558
- 647 Xie SP, Xu H, Saji NH, Wang Y, Liu WT (2006) Role of Narrow Mountains in 648 Large-Scale Organization of Asian Monsoon Convection. J Clim 19(14): 649 3420-3429
- 650 Yasunari T (1980) A quasi-stationary appearance of 30- to 40-day period in the 651 cloudiness fluctuations during the summer monsoon over India. J Met Soc Jpn 58: 652 225-229
- 653 Yoshimura H, Mizuta R, Murakami H (2015) A spectral cumulus parameterization 654 scheme interpolating between two convective updrafts with semi-Lagrangian 655calculation of transport by compensatory subsidence. Mon Weather Rev 143(2):
- 656 597-621
- 657
- 658

#### Click here to view linked References



1

Figure 1: JJA precipitation (shaded, units: mm day<sup>-1</sup>) and horizontal 850 hPa wind
(vector, units: m s<sup>-1</sup>) in (a) TL95 MRI-AGCM and (c) N96 MetUM. Difference between
(b) TL95 MRI-AGCM, (d) N96 MetUM and CMAP observed precipitation / JRA-55
reanalysis wind.

- 8
- 9



Figure 2: Resolution sensitivity of JJA precipitation (shaded, units: mm day<sup>-1</sup>) and
horizontal 850 hPa wind (vector, units: m s<sup>-1</sup>) : (a) TL319-TL95, (b) TL959-TL319, and
(d) TL959-TL95 MRI-AGCM. Right panels are same as (a)-(c) but for (d) N216-N96,
(e) N512-N216, and (f) N512-N96 MetUM.

15

16



Figure 3: Area average over the tropical Indian Ocean (20°S-20°N, 40-100°E) of (a)
mean JJA precipitation bias (units: mm day<sup>-1</sup>), (b) RMSE, and (c) pattern correlation.
(d)-(f) are same as (a)-(c) but for the western Pacific (0-40°N, 120-180°E). All datasets
are re-gridded to CMAP resolution (2.5 degree). In (c) and (f), p-value at R=0.4 is p <</li>
0.00001.


Figure 4: Taylor's skill scores (Taylor 2001) of JJA precipitation over (a) the tropical
Indian Ocean (20°S-20°N, 40-100°E), and (b) the western Pacific (0-40°N, 120-180°E),
and (c) Baiu-Meiyu front (20-40°N, 120-150°E). All datasets are re-gridded to CMAP
resolution (2.5 degree).



Figure 5: JJA vertical-integrated moisture flux (vector, units: kg m<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) and its
convergence (shaded, units: mm day<sup>-1</sup>) in (a) TL95 MRI-AGCM, (b) TL319-TL95, (c)
TL959-TL319, and (d) TL959-TL95. (e)-(h) are same as (a)-(d) but for (e) N96 MetUM,
(f) N216-N96, (g) N512-N216, and (d) N512-N96.



Figure 6: Resolution sensitivity of the JJA moisture budget over the regions outlined in (a) (units: mm day<sup>-1</sup>): (b) precipitation, (c) surface evaporation, and (d) moisture flux convergence. Red and blue bars correspond to the northern (0-10°N, 90-160°E) and southern (0-10°S, 90-160°E) MC box regions, respectively. (e)-(g) are same as (b)-(d) for the Indian Ocean (IO) box region. Red and blue bars correspond to the northern (5-25°N, 40-100°E) and southern (15°S-5°N, 40-100°E) IO box regions, respectively.



Figure 7: Resolution sensitivity of the JJA moisture flux across the borders shown in (a)
(units: mm day<sup>-1</sup>). Since this is an area average quantity there are two values at the
borders between the Indian Ocean regions and Maritime Continent regions.: (b) TL95,
(c) TL319-TL95, and (d) TL959-TL95 MRI-AGCM. (e) N96, (f) N216-N96, and (g)
N512-N96 MetUM. Red (blue) arrows shows increase (decrease) of moisture flux.



Figure 8: Zonal section at 16°N showing the resolution sensitivity of topography (units:
m) in MRI-AGCM (a) and MetUM (c), and JJA precipitation (units: mm day<sup>-1</sup>) in
MRI-AGCM (b) and MetUM (d).



61 Figure 9: Same as Fig. 8, for a meridional section at 140°E.



Figure 10: Latitude-time sections of lag covariance of intra-seasonal rainfall variability
onto BSISO index (21-61 day rainfall variability over 12-22°N, 70-95°E during
June-August) in (a) TL95 MRI-AGCM3, (b) TL959 MRI-AGCM3, (c) N96 MetUM,
and (d) N512 MetUM. Units are mm day<sup>-1</sup>. The covariance fields were normalized by
the standard deviation of BSISO index.



Figure 11: (a) Standard deviation of synoptic-scale (3-9 day band-passed) rainfall
variability during JJA (shaded, units: mm day<sup>-1</sup>) in (a) TL95, (b) TL959, and (c) its
difference (TL959-TL95). (d)-(f) is same as (a)-(c) but for MetUM: (d) N96, (e) N512,
and (f) its difference (N512-N96).



Figure 12: Same as Fig. 11 but for (a) GPCP daily observation (1997-2012), (b) TL95

- 80 MRI-AGCM minus GPCP, and (c) N96 MetUM minus GPCP.
- 81



Figure 13: Differences in JJA 200-600 hPa upper tropospheric thickness (shaded, units:
m): (a) TL319-TL95, (b) TL959-TL319, and (c) TL959-TL95 in MRI-AGCM. (d)-(f)
are same as (a)-(c) but for (d) N216-N96, (e) N512-N216, and (f) N512-N96 in MetUM.
Contours in (a) show upper tropospheric thickness in TL95 MRI-AGCM (units: m), and
contours in (d) are for N96 MetUM.



Figure 14: Responses (200-600 hPa thickness) to individual heating in MRI-AGCM and MetUM in a linear model. (a) Heating distribution (100-1000 hPa averaged, units: K day<sup>-1</sup>) of western Pacific forcing (30°S-30°N, 120-180°E) and (b) response to the forcing (units: m) in MRI-AGCM. (c)-(d) are same as (a)-(b) but for MetUM.



Figure 15: Seasonal evolution of monsoon temperature gradient (MTG, units: m) in
Reanalysis (JRA-55, black solid line), MRI-AGCM (solid coloured lines) and MetUM
(dash coloured lines). Higher resolution models are shown in red and lower resolution
GCMs are shown in green.



Figure 16: Difference in JJA precipitation (shaded, units: mm day<sup>-1</sup>) and horizontal 850
hPa wind (vector, units: m s<sup>-1</sup>) between the two GCMs compared in this study: (a)TL95
MRI-AGCM minus N96 MetUM, (b) TL319 MRI-AGCM minus N216 MetUM, and (c)
TL959 MRI-AGCM minus N512 MetUM.