

# Survey of the prevalence of Salmonella species on laying hen farms in Kosovo

Article

Accepted Version

Hulaj, B., Çabeli, P., Goga, I., Taylor, N., Hess, C. and Hess, M. I. (2016) Survey of the prevalence of Salmonella species on laying hen farms in Kosovo. Poultry Science, 95 (9). pp. 2030-2037. ISSN 1525-3171 doi: 10.3382/ps/pew149 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/69229/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pew149

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pew149

Publisher: Oxford University Press

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <a href="End User Agreement">End User Agreement</a>.

## www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

#### **CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading



Reading's research outputs online

#### SURVEY OF SALMONELLA IN LAYERS IN KOSOVO

- 2 Survey of the prevalence of Salmonella species on laying hen farms in Kosovo
- 3 BEQË HULAJ\*1, PRANVERA ÇABELI<sup>†</sup>, IZEDIN GOGA\*, NICK TAYLOR<sup>‡</sup>, CLAUDIA
- 4 HESS§, MICHAEL HESS§

- \* Kosovo Food And Veterinary Agency, Zona Industriale Pn 10000 Prishtine, Kosovo
- 6 † Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Agriculture Tirana, Albania
- 7 ‡ Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics Research Unit (VEERU), School of Agriculture,
- 8 Policy and Development, University of Reading, Reading, RG6 6AR, UK
- 9 § Clinic for Poultry and Fish Medicine, University of Veterinary Medicine, Veterinaerplatz 1,
- 10 1210 Vienna, Austria
- 11 Corresponding Author: <u>beqe.hulaj@rks-gov.net</u>, <u>bhulaj@yahoo.com</u>
- 12 Beqë Hulaj, Food And Veterinary Agency, Zona Industriale Pn 10000 Prishtine, Kosovë
- 13 Telephone: +381 38 200 38 378
- 14 Fax: +381 38 200 38 327
- 15 Scientific section for the paper: Immunology, Health and Disease

#### 16 **ABSTRACT**

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

A survey on the prevalence of Salmonella (S.) species was carried out on 39 layer farms in Kosovo between April and September 2012. In total 367 samples, comprising feces, dust, eggs and internal organs from dead birds, were investigated using bacteriological culture methods. Additionally, data on the location of the farm, the total number of birds on the farm, age of birds and laying performance were collected. Salmonella were isolated from 38 samples obtained from 19 (49%) farms. The most common serovar identified was Salmonella Enteritidis, found on 18 farms. The most common S. Enteritidis phage type was PT29 followed by PT6, PT7, PT21, PT13a, PT8, PT14b and PT4. One S. Enteritidis isolate was not typable. Six farms had more than one phage type. Furthermore, serovar S. Bovismorbificans was also found in samples from three farms. Flock size or production stage was not associated with the probability of isolating Salmonella. The only flock factor found to be significantly associated was percent hen/day production: it was 2.8 times more likely to isolate Salmonella from flocks with production above 80% hen/day production compared to flocks producing at a lower level. Analysis of antimicrobial resistance patterns of 30 isolates revealed that all isolates were sensitive to gentamicin, ampicillin, sulphamethoxazole trimethoprim and oxytetracycline, and 29 (97%) were sensitive to ciprofloxacin. All isolates showed intermediate resistance or were resistant to minocycline and cloxacillin. Twenty six isolates (86%) had intermediate resistance to amoxicillin and 27 isolates (90%) were fully resistant to streptomycin. The present survey revealed a high prevalence of Salmonella Enteritidis in layer flocks in Kosovo, indicating that table eggs have to be suspected as an important source of human salmonellosis.

**Key words:** Salmonella, Kosovo, prevalence, survey, layers

#### INTRODUCTION

In the 1980's, intensive poultry production based on what is now Kosovo territory ran to
about ten million broilers per year plus a standing flock of about one million laying hens.
Afterwards, political turbulences led to a decline of the poultry sector but since 2000 the
poultry industry has recovered, with currently more than half a million lying hens in about 80
flocks supplying 80% of table eggs consumed in Kosovo (the rest being imported). Layer
flock sizes range from 2,000 to 80,000 and most layer farms have only one house, although a
few larger farms have up to four.
Human salmonellosis is a major public health concern in Europe, mainly caused by the
serovar Enteritidis (EFSA, 2006; EFSA and ECDC, 2012). In Kosovo S. Enteritidis was
isolated from 45% of 247 cases of human gastro-enteritis reported to the Institute of Public
Health in Pristina in 2014 (Institute of Public Health, Pristina, 2014). Outbreaks in humans
are often related to contaminated poultry meat and eggs (Patrick et al., 2004; Jackson et al.,
2013; Middleton et al., 2014). The link between S. Enteritidis in humans and the consumption
of contaminated poultry products, especially undercooked and raw eggs, has been well
documented (Coyle et al., 1988; Hogue et al., 1997; Palmer et al., 2000; De Buck et al. 2004).
Commercial layer farms can be a significant reservoir of Salmonella infection and pose a
threat to humans (Garber et al., 2003; EFSA, 2005; Dewaele et al., 2012). However, a
Salmonella infection is usually not associated with clinical signs in chickens arguing for
specific strategies by the government or industry to protect public health.
Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is of growing public health concern, especially with the
appearance of multi drug resistant microorganisms. Zoonotic bacteria that are resistant to
antimicrobials are of special concern since they might compromise effective treatment
regimes in humans. It is therefore relevant to assess the nature and extent of AMR in

Salmonella found in poultry. In 2009, in the European Union, the occurrence of resistance in

Salmonella isolates from salmonellosis cases in humans was high for ampicillin, tetracyclines and moderate for sulphonamides, whereas resistance to the critically important antimicrobials for human medicine, cefotaxime (a third-generation cephalosporin) and ciprofloxacin (a fluoroquinolone) was relatively low (EFSA and ECDC, 2011). In the U.S.A., Han et al. (2013) found 30 out of 54 (56%) *Salmonella* isolates from a variety of human, chicken meat and egg-associated sources were resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent tested.

The survey reported in this paper was carried out to estimate the prevalence of *Salmonella* in egg-laying farms in Kosovo along with the identification of serotypes, phage types and antimicrobial resistance patterns.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Sampling Plan

The survey was carried out between April and September 2012. The method used was based on the technical specifications document (SANCO/34/2004 Rev3) annexed to Decision 2004/665/EC published by the European Commission concerning the baseline study to estimate the prevalence of *Salmonella* species in flocks of laying hens across the European Union (EC, 2004). On the basis of an expected 50% farm prevalence, to give 95% confidence interval with a precision of  $\pm 10\%$ , a sample size of 44 farms out of the total 80 farms in Kosovo would be needed. Due to some practical limitations it was possible to sample 39 farms, selected randomly across 13 municipalities of Kosovo. This resulted in a 95% confidence interval for the prevalence estimate with a precision of  $\pm 15\%$ .

#### Sample Collection

All layer farms in Kosovo at the time of the survey operated caged systems. All except one of the sampled farms had only one house. Therefore only one house was sampled on all farms

except the largest farm that had 80,000 hens in four houses, where two houses were sampled. As required by the technical specification for caged systems, five samples (each about 60g) of naturally mixed feces representative of the whole house were taken from droppings belts, scrapers or deep pits. Two dust samples (each about 25g) were taken, one from the floor and one from the fan housing. All feces and dust samples were collected into separate sterile containers. Thirty eggs were collected from different places around the house. These numbers and types of samples were taken from each of the two sampled houses on the large farm. The intention was also to collect two fresh carcasses from each farm, but in practice only 11 carcasses (up to 24 hours old) of dead chickens were collected, one from each of 11 farms.

#### Salmonella Culture and Typing Method

Salmonella culture and typing was carried out in the Food and Veterinary Laboratory of the Kosovo Food and Veterinary Agency. The method used for the culture of Salmonella was according to ISO 6579:2002 (ISO 2002). From each feces and dust sample, 25g of feces or dust material was mixed in 225ml of buffered peptone water (BPW, CM 059, Oxoid UK). For the egg samples, pools were created using 1ml of yolk from each of 15 eggs to make two 15ml pools per farm. Each 15ml pool of mixed egg yolk was mixed into 135ml of BPW. From carcasses, the liver, spleen and intestines were harvested and 25g of the pooled and macerated material was mixed into 225ml of BPW. Each of these inoculated BPW mixtures was then incubated initially at 37°C for 18-24 hours.

Three separate and equally-spaced drops of the inoculated broth (0.1ml total) were placed on the surface of a modified semi-solid Rapapport Vassiliadis (MSRV) medium with novobiocin (1868-17 Difco) plate. The plates were examined after 24 and 48 hours incubation at 41.5°C for suspect *Salmonella* growth. Suspected colonies were streaked onto Brilliant Green agar (CM 0263, Oxoid UK), Xylose-Lysine-Desoxycholate Agar (XLD CM

111 0469, Oxoid UK), Xylose-Lysine-Tergitol 4 (113919 Merck, Germany) and Brilliance<sup>™</sup> 112 *Salmonella* agar (CM 1092, Oxoid, UK) and incubated at 37°C for a further 24 hours.

Suspect *Salmonella* colonies were confirmed by serotyping according to the Kauffman-White scheme (Popoff, 2001). Phage typing of *Salmonella* is a useful typing tool for subcategorizing the more common *Salmonella enterica* serovars, i.e. *S.* Enteritidis and *S.* Typhimurium. Isolates of *S.* Enteritidis, were phage-typed according to the World Health Organization collaboration center Colindale schemes (Ward et al., 1987).

Thirty *Salmonella* isolates were tested by disc diffusion for their in vitro sensitivity to eight antimicrobials. The test was performed using the protocol from Bauer et al. (1966). Antimicrobial discs (Oxoid UK) were placed on inoculated Mueller Hinton Agar plates using a disc dispenser. The discs used contained the following antibiotics: streptomycin (S 10mcg); gentamicin (Cn 10mcg); ampicillin (AMP 10mcg); amoxicillin (AML 2mcg); cloxacillin (OB 5mcg); ciprofloxacin (CIP 1mcg); sulphamethoxazole + trimethoprim (SXT 25mcg); oxytetracycline (OT 30mcg); minocycline (MH 30mcg).

#### Data Collection and Analysis

For the purposes of estimating the population prevalence, the primary sampling unit was the farm. Farms were subsequently designated as positive or negative according to the presence or absence of *Salmonella* in one or more of the samples. At the time of sample collection a brief information sheet was also filled in. This covered the location, total number birds on the farm, production stage of flock in months (time since start of lay), the percent hen.day egg production, appearance of any clinical disease and the number of carcasses found on the day of sampling.

Ninety five percent confidence intervals for percentage estimates were calculated using the Wilson score intervals method, with correction for population size, (Wilson, 1927; Wallis,

2013) as provided in the statistical toolbox at *OpenEpi.com* (Dean et al., 2015). This method provides exact, non-symmetrical confidence intervals that are robust even when sample size is small or the percentages are close to 0% or 100%. To test for differences in percentages between groups the Chi squared test was used as a test for homogeneity among multiple groups. A Fisher or mid-P exact test was used as a test for difference between two groups, which is also summarized using relative risk (RR) with confidence intervals calculated using the Taylor series method (O'Brien et al., 1994) as provided in the statistical toolbox at *OpenEpi.com*. Statements about statistical significance of differences are based on the probability (p) value for the test statistic being less than or equal to 0.05 as the arbitrary criterion for significance.

145 RESULTS

#### Salmonella Prevalence

From 367 samples tested, *Salmonella* was isolated from 38 samples: 22 isolates from feces, 13 from samples of dust, 2 from eggs and 1 isolate from poultry internal organs (Table 1). With respect to sample type, the highest prevalence of positive samples was for the pooled dust samples. If samples from positive farms are considered only, 34% of the dust pools tested yielded *Salmonella* isolates, compared with 23% of the pooled feces samples, a relative risk of 1.48 (although this tendency was not statistically significant with a mid-p exact p-value of 0.2038). Pooled egg samples had the lowest prevalence of positive samples, with only 5.3% of the pooled samples from positive farms yielding *Salmonella* isolates, a relative risk compared to feces pools of 0.23 (statistically significant, with a mid-p exact p-value: 0.0119).

Of the 39 farms sampled in the survey, 19 tested positive for *Salmonella* in one or more samples (Table 2) giving an estimated farm level prevalence of *Salmonella* in Kosovo layer

farms of 48.7% (95% confidence interval: 33.9% to 63.8%) (Table 3). Only two different serovars were identified: *S.* Enteritidis and *S.* Bovismorbificans. *S.* Enteritidis was found on 18 of the 19 positive farms, giving an estimated farm level prevalence of *S.* Enteritidis in Kosovo layer farms of 46.2% (95% confidence interval: 31.6% to 61.4%). *S.* Bovismorbificans was found in three of the farms, giving an estimated farm level prevalence of *S.* Bovismorbificans on Kosovo layer farms of 7.7% (95% confidence interval: 2.7% to 20.3%). *S.* Bovismorbificans was found in two farms along with *S.* Enteritidis and on one farm as the only serovar.

Table 2 provides details of the types of samples from which *Salmonella* was isolated on the survey farms. On 15 of the 19 positive farms *Salmonella* was isolated from one or more of the feces samples. On 10 of these farms, feces samples were the only samples to be positive. *Salmonella* was isolated from dust samples on 8 farms, on five of which feces samples were also positive. *Salmonella* was isolated from eggs on only one farm (where all other samples were negative) and from dead bird organs on only one farm (of 11 farms where carcasses were collected) where feces and dust samples were also positive.

The farm level prevalence of *Salmonella* was calculated for farms grouped according to different categories among the variables captured on the questionnaire: location (grouped into five administrative regions), flock size, the production stage and production level (Table 3). The prevalences were calculated regardless of serovar, although *S*. Enteritidis was found on all but one of the positive farms. Layer farms are unevenly geographically distributed, with 'concentrations' of poultry farms in the regions of Prizren, in the south, and Peje, in the west. The distribution of number of birds per farm was highly skewed; with most flocks being less than 6,000 birds (minimum 2,400; median 5,200; maximum 80,000 and interquartile range 3,600 to 10,000). There was just one farm with 80,000 birds kept as four flocks in four houses. This was the only farm with more than one house. The flocks sampled were between

four and 18 months into production (median 10; interquartile range 8 to 12). Percent hen.day production at the time of sampling varied between 60% and 95% (median 80%; interquartile range 75% to 85%). There was a trend for production to decrease with increasing time into production: 67% of flocks nine months or less into production had over 80% hen.day production, compared with only 24% of those over nine months (mid-p exact p-value: 0.00958).

Table 3 shows that *Salmonella* prevalence was significantly higher among farms in two regions, Gjilan and Peje, compared with the rest (these two regions are geographically at opposite sides of the country, east and west). Flock size or production stage were not associated with different prevalences. The only flock factor found to be significantly associated with different prevalences was percent hen.day production: it was 2.8 times more likely to isolate *Salmonella* from flocks with production above 80% hen.day production compared to flocks producing at a lower level.

#### Phage Types

All the isolates of *S.* Enteritidis were phage typed. Table 4 shows the phage types of *S.* Enteritidis identified and the proportion of positive farms from which each phage type was isolated. The most common *S.* Enteritidis phage type was PT29, which was isolated from five (28%) of the positive farms. However, PT6, PT7 and PT21 were also found frequently, each being present on four (22%) of the positive farms (Table 4). The other phage types isolated were PT13a (three farms, 17%), PT8, PT14b (each found on two farms, 11%) and PT4, the least common *S.* Enteritidis phage type, found on only one farm. Six farms had combined infections with more than one phage type: types 7 & 21; types 8 & 21; types 7 & 29; types 6 & 13a; types 4 & 6; types 7, 8 & 13a.

#### Antimicrobial Resistance Patterns

The results of the antimicrobial sensitivity testing of 30 of the *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. *Bovimorficans* isolates are shown in Table 5. All isolates were sensitive to gentamicin, ampicillin, sulphamethoxazole trimethoprim and oxytetracycline, and 29 (97%) were sensitive to ciprofloxacin. All isolates showed intermediate resistance or were resistant to minocycline and cloxacillin. Twenty six isolates (86%) had intermediate resistance to amoxicillin and 27 isolates (90%) were fully resistant to streptomycin.

**DISCUSSION** 

This survey found *Salmonella* on almost half of the poultry layer farms sampled in Kosovo. *S.* Enteritidis, the serovar most frequently associated with human illness in relation to eggs (EFSA, 2006; EFSA, 2010), was found on 18 of the 19 positive farms. *S.* Bovismorbificans was the only other serovar isolated. Therefore, of the five serovars given top priority by the EU because of their public health significance, *S.* Enteritidis, *S.* Typhimurium, *S.* Virchow, *S.* Infantis and *S.* Hadar, only one was isolated from the farms.

The high flock prevalence of *S*. Enteritidis, is similar to that found in some EU countries by baseline surveys carried out between October 2004 and September 2005 (EFSA, 2007). In those surveys the flock prevalence of *S*. Enteritidis was similarly high or higher in Czech Republic (59.4%), Poland (54.6%), Spain (48.2%), Portugal (47.7%) and Lithuania (44.4%). High flock prevalence of *S*. Enteritidis infection in layer flocks has also been found outside Europe, for example Min Chin Im et al. (2015) found 34 infected out of 67 flocks (51%) tested in a survey in Korea. This demonstrates that Kosovo is not unusual in facing a high flock prevalence of *S*. Enteritidis in its newly developing poultry sector. Nevertheless, across the EU as a whole the baseline surveys found a range of flock prevalence of *S*. Enteritidis from quite low (for example: Austria, 9.5%; UK, 6.2% and the Netherlands, 6.1%), through

intermediate levels (for example: Germany, 22.8% and Hungary, 32.2%) to the high prevalences mentioned above.

In the baseline surveys carried out in EU, dust samples had a higher likelihood of being positive compared to feces samples (EFSA, 2007). A similar tendency was found in this survey, although, because more feces samples were taken and tested on each farm, more positive feces samples were found overall and it was more common to find a farm positive on the basis of a positive feces sample than a positive dust result. This result suggests that dust sampling could be a more sensitive method of surveillance for *Salmonella* than feces sampling. Isolation of *Salmonella* from dust may be easier than from fresh feces because *Salmonella* is relatively more resistant to desiccation than many competitor organisms (Miura et al., 1964; Davies and Wray, 1996; Davies and Breslin, 2003a). Dust sampling might pick up presence of infection over a longer retrospective period and also infection in the environment (from contaminated feed and from wild birds) while feces samples reflect more closely the current infection status of the birds present at the time of sampling.

Only 5.3% of the pooled egg samples tested from the positive layer flocks in the survey yielded *Salmonella*. The EU member state baseline surveys did not routinely include eggs in the survey sample, but in several other studies of naturally *Salmonella* infected laying flocks the proportion of infected eggs was also found to be low (often below 3%) (Humphrey et al., 1991; de Louvois, 1993; Henzler et al., 1994; Kinde et al., 1996; Schlossar et al., 1999; Advisory Committee on the Microbiological Safety of Food, 2001). Arnold et al. (2012) found similarly low percentages of contaminated eggs from infected layer flocks and the rate of contamination was much higher for shells than for contents. Gole et al. (2014) demonstrated an association between indoor environmental contamination by *S.* enterica and contamination of eggs on layer farms in Australia. Arnold et al. (2012) also found the rate of egg shell contamination was higher per infected bird in flocks with high within flock

prevalence of *Salmonella* infection, possibly due to a correlation between high Salmonella prevalence and poor hygiene standards. This means that high prevalence flocks could contribute disproportionately to eggs with contaminated shells. In a survey in Korea, Min Chin Im et al. (2015) found lower rates of *Salmonella* detection inside eggs (5%) and egg shells (17%) relative to detection from environmental dust samples (40%) on layer farms. Sampling on a *Salmonella* infected layer farm in Spain (Garcia et al., 2011) detected *Salmonella* in 92% of feces samples and 34% of samples from eggshells, but no *Salmonella* spp. were detected in the egg contents. Even what may be perceived as a low proportion of egg production contaminated with *Salmonella* may pose a significant risk for human health considering the large number of eggs consumed. It is therefore important to reduce the risk of egg *Salmonella* contamination and the numbers of *Salmonella* bacteria present.

In this survey, flock size was not associated with the risk of *Salmonella*. This differs from the findings of other surveys. For example in a survey by Snow et al. (2007), the highest prevalence of *Salmonella* occurred in the largest farm size category (30,000 birds or more). In the current survey, most flocks contained less than 6,000 birds. Only two farms had 30,000 birds or more, and of these two, the largest was negative for *Salmonella*. Hence, increased risk was not associated with increasing flock size in this survey. This is possibly related to the fact that in Kosovo the larger flocks tend to be managed by owners who have a higher level of training and knowledge. In comparison, the relatively small-scale flocks of up to 6,000 birds are often managed by non-specialized managers with little training. In particular, understanding and application of biosecurity and hygiene measures are poor. In contrast, a survey in Barbados found that the odds of testing positive for Salmonella were 10 times higher in large farms, compared to small farms and the authors related this to the finding that more small farms cleaned and disinfected poultry facilities quarterly or more often than large farms did (Aimey et al., 2013). All the flocks in Kosovo used caged (battery) systems, which

were also found to have higher risk for *Salmonella* in other surveys (Snow et al., 2007). This survey showed a significantly higher probability of isolating *Salmonella* from flocks with higher production levels (greater than 80% hen.day production). This might be explained by increased physiological stress on the birds leading to increased likelihood of shedding *Salmonella*.

Phage typing of *S*. Enteritidis was performed for the first time in Kosovo during this survey. Nine phage types of *S*. Enteritidis were detected. The most common *S*. Enteritidis phage type was PT29. Phage types PT6, PT7 and PT21 were also frequently found in more than 20% of the positive farms. The least common *S*. Enteritidis phage type was PT4 in contrast to other EU countries where PT4 is the most or more common phage type (EFSA, 2007). Improvement of the regular sampling of flocks would be useful in monitoring infection levels. Phage typing of any *Salmonella* isolates could show possible linkages between seemingly sporadic cases which could help in recognizing the spread of infection between flocks.

The antimicrobial sensitivity testing revealed a mixture of sensitivity and resistance of the isolates to different classes of antimicrobial. Most isolates were resistant to the aminoglycoside, streptomycin, but 100% were sensitive to gentamicin. All were resistant to the penicillinase-resistant penicillin, cloxacillin, and most had intermediate resistance to the aminopenicillin, amoxicillin, but 100% were sensitive to ampicillin. Almost two thirds of the isolates were resistant to the tetracycline, minocycline, but 100% were sensitive to oxytetracycline. 100% were also sensitive to sulphamethoxazole and trimethoprim and all but one were sensitive to ciprofloxacin. In contrast to the findings here, a survey of layer flocks in UK, in which 177 Salmonella isolates were tested against 16 antimicrobials, 77% were sensitive to all 16, and no more than 15% of isolates were resistant to any single antimicrobial (Snow et al., 2007). In a survey of layer farms in Korea, 93 out of 101 isolates

were fully susceptible to a range of antimicrobials (Min Chin Im et al., 2015). Although based on only a small number of tested isolates, the high level of resistance observed in this survey is cause for concern.

Because Salmonella is an important cause of food borne disease in humans the EU agreed a programme for the reduction of Salmonella of public health significance in farm animals under Regulation EC No 2160/2003. In view of the findings of this survey Kosovo might consider following a similar programme at least with respect to the commercial poultry sector. Good cleaning and disinfection practice has previously been shown to be effective in reducing Salmonella overall (Davies and Breslin 2003b, Garber et al. 2003). Inactivated Salmonella Enteritidis vaccines, when used in conjunction with good hygiene and disinfection practices, have also been shown to decrease the presence of Salmonella Enteritidis in layer flocks (Oliveiro Caetano de Freitas Neto et al., 2008). In conclusion, the results of this survey show that Salmonella enterica, particularly S. Enteritidis, occurs in the commercial large-scale laying hen production in Kosovo, indicating that table eggs could be an important source of human salmonellosis in Kosovo. Kosovo should consider taking steps to address this threat to human health.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work was funded by the Kosovo Food and Veterinary Agency.

**REFERENCES** 

- Advisory Committee on the Microbiological Safety of Food. 2001. Second Report on Salmonella in Eggs. The Stationery Office. London, UK. ISBN 0-11-322466-4.
- 327 Aimey, V., K. Hunte, P. Whitehall, B. Sanford, M. Trotman, A. Delgado, T. Lefrancois, J.
- 328 Shaw and J. Hernandez. 2013. Prevalence of and examination of exposure factors for
- 329 Salmonella on commercial egg-laying farms in Barbados. Prev. Vet. Med. 110:489–496.

- Arnold, M. E., F. Martelli, I. McLaren and R. H. Davies. 2012. Estimation of the rate of egg
- contamination from Salmonella-infected chickens. Zoonoses Public Health. 61:18–27.
- 332 doi:10.1111/zph.12038
- Bauer, A. W., W. M. Kirby, J. C. Sherris and M. Turck. 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility
- testing by a standardized single disk method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 45:493–496.
- Coyle, E. F., S. R. Palmer, C. D. Ribeiro, H. I. Jones, A. J. Howard, L. A. Ward and B. Rowe.
- 1988. Salmonella Enteritidis phage type 4 infection: association with hens' eggs. Lancet 2:
- 337 1295-1297.
- Davies, R. H. and C. Wray. 1996. Persistence of Salmonella Enteritidis in poultry units and
- 339 poultry feed. Br. Poult. Sci. 37: 589-596.
- Davies, R. H. and M. Breslin. 2003a. Persistence of Salmonella Enteritidis PT4 in the
- environment and arthropod vectors on an empty free-range chicken farm. Environ.
- 342 Microbiol. 5: 79-84.
- Davies, R. H. and M. Breslin. 2003b. Observations on Salmonella contamination of
- commercial laying farms before and after cleaning and disinfection. Vet. Rec. 152: 283-
- 345 287.
- Dean A. G., K. M. Sullivan and M. M. Soe. OpenEpi: Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics
- for Public Health. Accessed May 2015. http://www.OpenEpi.com
- De Buck, J., F. Van Immerseel, F. Haesebrouck and R. Ducatelle. 2004. Colonization of the
- chicken reproductive tract and egg contamination by Salmonella. J. Appl. Microbiol. 97:
- 350 233-245.
- de Louvois, J.. 1993. Salmonella contamination of eggs: a potential source of human
- salmonellosis. PHLS Microbiology Digest, 10: 158-162.
- Dewaele I., G. Rasschaert, C. Wildemauwe, H. Van Meirhaeghe, M. Vanrobaeys, E. De
- Graef, L. Herman, R. Ducatelle, M. Heyndrickx and K. De Reu. 2012. Polyphasic
- characterization of Salmonella Enteritidis isolates on persistently contaminated layer farms
- during the implementation of a national control program with obligatory vaccination: A
- longitudinal study. Poult. Sci. 91: 2727–2735.
- 358 EC (European Commission), 2004. Commission Decision of 22 September 2004 concerning
- a baseline study on the prevalence of salmonella in laying flocks of *Gallus gallus*.
- 360 2004/665/EC. Official Journal of the European Union 2004; **L303/30**: 30.9.2004.
- 361 (http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/food/biosafety/salmonella/sanco-2155-2004\_rev3\_en.pdf)
- 362 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2005. Trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic
- agents and antimicrobial resistance in the European Union in 2004. The EFSA Journal
- 364 2005: 310. ISBN: 92-9199-016-7. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2005.310ar Accessed Jan. 2016.

- 365 http:// 366 www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific\_output/files/main\_documents/310ar.pdf 367 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2006. The Community summary report on trends 368 and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents, antimicrobial resistance and foodborne 369 outbreaks in the European Union in 2005. The EFSA Journal 2006: 94. ISBN: 978-92-370 9199-046-7. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2006.94r Accessed Jan. 2016. http:// 371 www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific\_output/files/main\_documents/94r.pdf 372 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2007. Report of the task force on zoonoses data 373 collection on the analysis of the baseline study on the prevalence of Salmonella in 374 holdings of laying hen flocks of Gallus gallus. EFSA Journal 2007: 97. doi: 375 10.2903/j.efsa.2007.97r Accessed Jan. 2016. http:// 376 www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific\_output/files/main\_documents/97r.pdf 377 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2010. Scientific opinion on a quantitative 378 estimation of the public health impact of setting a new target for the reduction of Salmonella in laying hens. EFSA Journal 8: 1546 [86 pp]. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1546 379 380 Accessed Jan. 2016. http:// 381 www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific\_output/files/main\_documents/1546.pdf 382 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) and ECDC (European Centre for Disease 383 Prevention and Control). 2011. The European Union summary report on antimicrobial 384 resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in the 385 European Union in 2009. EFSA Journal 9: 2154 [321 pp]. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2154 386 Accessed Jan. 2016. http:// 387 www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific\_output/files/main\_documents/2154.pdf 388 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) and ECDC (European Centre for Disease 389 Prevention and Control). 2012. The European Union summary report on trends and 390 sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2010. EFSA Journal 10: 391 2597 [442 pp]. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2597 Accessed Jan. 2016. http:// 392 www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific\_output/files/main\_documents/2597.pdf 393 Garcia C., J. M. Soriano, V. Benitez, and P. Catala-Gregori. 2011. Assessment of Salmonella 394 spp. in feces, cloacal swabs, and eggs (eggshell and content separately) from a laying hen 395 farm. Poult. Sci. 90:1581–1585. doi: 10.3382/ps.2010-01104
- Garber, L., M. Smeltzer, P. Fedorka-Cray, S. Ladely and K. Ferris. 2003. Salmonella enterica
   serotype Enteritidis in table egg layer house environments and in mice in US layer houses
   and associated risk factors. Avian Dis. 47: 134-142.
- Gole V. C., V. Torok, M. Sexton, C. G. B. Caraguel and K. K. Chousalkara. 2014.

  Association between indoor environmental contamination by Salmonella enterica and contamination of eggs on layer farms. J. Clin. Microbiol. 52, 3250–3258.

- 402 Han J., K. Gokulan, D. Barnette, S. Khare, A. W. Rooney, J. Deck, R. Nayak, R. Stefanova,
- M. E. Hart and S. L. Foley. 2013. Evaluation of virulence and antimicrobial resistance in
- Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis isolates from humans and chicken- and egg-
- 405 associated sources. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 10: 1008-1015. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2013.1518
- 406 Henzler, D.J., E. Ebel and J. Sanders. 1994. Salmonella Enteritidis in eggs from commercial
- 407 chicken layer flocks implicated in human outbreaks. Avian Dis. 38: 37-43.
- Hogue, A., P. White, J. Guard-Petter, W. Schlosser, R. Gast, E. Ebel, J. Farrar, T. Gomez, J.
- Madden, M. Madison, A. M. McNamara, R. Morales, D. Parham, P. Sparling, W.
- Sutherlin, and D. Swerdlow. 1997. Epidemiology and control of egg-associated
- Salmonella Enteritidis in the United States of America. Rev. Off. Int. Epizoot. 16: 542-
- 412 553.
- Humphrey, T. J., A. Whitehead, A. H. Gawler, A. Henley and B. Rowe. 1991. Numbers of
- Salmonella Enteritidis in the contents of naturally contaminated hens' eggs. Epidemiol.
- 415 Infect. 106: 489-96.
- 416 Institute of Public Health, Prisitna. 2014. Annual report for epidemiology situation of
- infectious diseases and food and waterborne diseases in Kosovo for the period January –
- December 2014. Internal annual report.
- 419 ISO. 2002. ISO 6579:2002. Annex D: Detection of Salmonella spp in animal feces and in
- samples of the primary production stage. International Organization for Standardization,
- 421 Geneva, Switzerland.
- Jackson B. R, P. M. Griffin, D. Cole, K. A. Walsh and S. J. Chai. 2013. Outbreak-associated
- 423 Salmonella enterica serotypes and food commodities, United States, 1998–2008. Emerg.
- 424 Infect. Dis. 19: 1239-1244.
- 425 Kinde, H., D. H. Read, and I. A. Gardner. 1996. Salmonella Enteritidis, phage type 4
- 426 infection in a commercial layer flock in southern California: Bacteriologic and
- 427 epidemiologic findings. Avian Dis. 40: 665-671.
- 428 Middleton D., R. Savage, M. K. Tighe, L. Vrbova, R. Walton, Y. Whitfield, C. Varga, B.
- Lee, L. Rosella, B. Dhar, C. Johnson, R. Ahmed, V. G. Allen and S. Crowcroft. 2014.
- Risk factors for sporadic domestically acquired Salmonella serovar Enteritidis infections:
- a case-control study in Ontario, Canada, 2011. Epidemiol. Infect. 142: 1411–1421.
- 432 Min Chan Im, So Jeong Jeong, Yong-Kuk Kwon, Ok-Mi Jeong, Min-Su Kang and Young Ju
- Lee. 2015. Prevalence and characteristics of Salmonella spp. Isolated from commercial
- layer farms in Korea. Poult. Sci. 94: 1691–1698. http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pev137
- 435 Miura, S., G. Sato, and T. Miyamae. 1964. Occurrence and survival of Salmonella organisms
- in hatcher chick fluff from commercial hatcheries. Avian Dis. 8: 546-554.

- 437 Mughini-Gras L, R. Enserink, I. Friesema, M. Heck, Y. van Duynhoven and W. van Pelt.
- 438 2014. Risk factors for human salmonellosis originating from pigs, cattle, broiler chickens
- and egg laying hens: a combined case-control and source attribution analysis. PLoS ONE
- 440 9(2): e87933. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087933
- O'Brien, B. J., M. F. Drummond, R. J. Labelle and A. Willan. 1994. In search of power and
- significance: issues in the design and analysis of stochastic cost effectiveness studies in
- 443 health care. Med. Care. 32: 150–163.
- Oliveiro Caetano de Freitas Neto, Aline Lopes Mesquita, Jaqueline Boldrin de Paiva, Fábio
- Zotesso, Angelo Berchieri Júnior. 2008. Control of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis
- in laying hens by inactivated Salmonella Enteritidis vaccines. Braz J Microbiol. 39(2):
- 447 390–396.
- Palmer, S., S. Parry, D. Perry, R. Smith, M. Evans, L. Nehaul, R. Roberts, M. Walapu and D.
- Wright. 2000. The role of outbreaks in developing food safety policy: population based
- 450 surveillance of Salmonella outbreaks in Wales 1986-98. Epidemiol. Infect. 125: 467-472
- 451 Patrick M. E., P. M. Adcock, T. M. Gomez, S. F. Altekruse, B. H. Holland, R. V. Tauxe and
- D. L. Swerdlow. 2004. Salmonella Enteritidis infections, United States, 1985–1999.
- 453 Emerg. Infect. Dis. 10: 1–7.
- 454 Popoff, M. Y. 2001. Antigenic formulas of the Salmonella serovars. WHO Collaborating
- 455 Centre for Reference and Research on Salmonella, Paris, France.
- 456 Snow L. C., R. H. Davies, K. H. Christiansen, J. J. Carrique-Mas, A. D. Wales, J. L.
- O'Connor, A. J. C. Cook and S. J. Evans. 2007. Survey of the prevalence of Salmonella
- species on commercial laying farms in the United Kingdom. Vet. Rec. 161: 471-476. doi:
- 459 10.1136/vr.161.14.471
- 460 Wallis, S. A. 2013. Binomial confidence intervals and contingency tests: mathematical
- fundamentals and the evaluation of alternative methods. J. Quant. Linguist. 20: 178-208.
- Ward L. R., J. D. H. de Sa and B. Rowe. 1987. A phage typing scheme for Salmonella
- Enteritidis. Epidemiol. Infect. 99: 291-294.
- Wilson, E. B. 1927. Probable inference, the law of succession, and statistical inference. J.
- 465 Am. Stat. Assoc. 22: 209-212...

Table 1: Total samples taken and the numbers of positive samples (isolates), by sample type

		Number of			% positive
	Total	samples			(of samples
	samples	from	Number of	% positive	taken on
	from all	positive	positive	(of all	positive farms
Type of sample	farms	farms	samples	samples)	only)
Feces (5 x 60g pools per farm)	200	95	22	11.0%	23.2%
Dust swabs (2 x 25g pools per farm)	80	38	13	16.3%	34.2%
Eggs (2 x 15 eggs pooled per farm)	76	38	2	2.6%	5.3%
Internal organs (up to one carcass per farm)	11	7	1	9.1%	14.3%
Total samples – all types (tested pools)	367	178	38	10.4%	21.3%

### 468 Table 2: Types of samples positive for Salmonella on the survey farms

Types of samples	
positive for	Number of
Salmonella	farms
All samples negative	20
Positive samples	19
Egg only	1
Dust swab only	3
feces only	10
feces and dust swab	4
feces, dust swab and	1
internal organs	
Total	39

Table 3: Farm level prevalence of Salmonella among layer farms in the survey

	number of farms	positive f	arms:	
	sampled	number		$(95\% \text{ c.i.})^1$
Overall	39	19	(48.7%)	(33.9% to 63.8%)
by region				
Ferizaj (south/east)	4	1	(25.0%)	(4.6% to 70.0%)
Gjilan (east)	6	4	(66.7%)	(30.0% to 90.3%)
Peje (west)	13	9	(69.2%)	(42.4% to 87.3%)
Pristina (centre/east)	4	0	(0.0%)	(0.0% to 49.0%)
Prizren (south)	12	5	(41.7%)	(19.3% to 68.1%)
Overall Chi-Square: 7	7.903 p-value: 0	0.995		` ,
by two groups of reg	ions			
Gjilan + Peje	19	13	(68.4%)	(46.0% to 84.6%)
The rest	20	6	(30.0%)	(14.6% to 51.9%)
		Relati	ve risk: 2.28	(1.09 to 4.76)
Fisher exact (2-tail) p	-value: 0.03633	Mid-P exa	ct (2-tail) p-v	alue: 0.02107
by flock size category	y			
<5,000	18	9	(50.0%)	(29.0% to 71.0%)
5,000 < 10,000	10	5	(50.0%)	(23.7% to 76.3%)
10,000 < 20,000	7	3	(42.9%)	(15.8% to 75.0%)
>=20,000	4	2	(50.0%)	(15.0% to 85.0%)
Overall Chi-Square: (	).1173 p-value	e: 0.990		
by two flock size gro	ups			
<5,000	18	9	(50.0%)	(29.0% to 71.0%)
>=5,000	21	10	(48.0%)	(28.3% to 67.6%)
		Relati	ve risk: 1.05	(0.55 to 2.00)
Fisher exact (2-tail) p-value: >0.9999 Mid-P exact (2-tail) p-value: 0.888				alue: 0.888
by production stage				
<=9m	18	10	(56%)	(33.7% to 75.4%)
>9m	21	9	(43%)	(24.5% to 63.5%)
		Relati	, ,	(0.68 to 2.47)
Fisher exact (2-tail) p	-value: >0.6392	Mid-P exa	ct (2-tail) p-v	alue: 0.4526
by hen.day production			_	
<=80%	22	6	(27%)	(13.2% to 48.2%)
>80%	17	13	, ,	(52.7% to 90.4%)
			, ,	(1.35 to 5.83)
Fisher exact (2-tail) p	-value: >0.005702			p-value: 0.003126

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> c.i.: confidence interval. For proportion/percentage these are Wilson score intervals; for relative risk these are Taylor series.

470

472 Table 4: Phage types of S. Enteritidis identified on 18 Salmonella positive farms

	number of	percentage of the
Phage type	farms <sup>1</sup>	18 positive farms
nPT29	5	27.8%
nPT6	4	22.2%
nPT7	4	22.2%
nPT21	4	22.2%
nPT13a	3	16.7%
nPT8	2	11.1%
nPT14b	2	11.1%
nPT4	1	5.6%
untypeable	1	5.6%

<sup>473</sup> Tsix farms had more than one phage type (details in text)

# Table 5: Antimicrobials included in AMR testing of the Salmonella isolates, and the resulting sensitivity

#### **Antimicrobial class**

and sub-classes	Active ingredient in the disc	sensitivity / resistance
Aminoglycocide	streptomycin (S 10mcg)	3/30 sensitive
		27/30 resistant
Aminoglycocide –	gentamicin (Cn 10mcg)	30/30 sensitive
2 deoxystreptamine		
Penicillin –	ampicillin (AMP 10mcg)	30/30 sensitive
aminopenicillin	amoxicillin (AML 2mcg)	4/30 sensitive
		26/30 intermediate
Penicillin –	cloxacillin (OB 5mcg)	0/30 sensitive
penicillinase-resistant	-	30/30 resistant
2 <sup>nd</sup> generation quinolone	ciprofloxacin (CIP 1mcg)	29/30 sensitive
(fluoroquinolone)		1/30 intermediate
Sulphonamide +	Sulphamethoxazole +	30/30 sensitive
diaminopyrimidine	trimethoprim (SXT 25mcg)	
Tetracyclines	oxytetracycline (OT 30mcg)	30/30 sensitive
-	minocycline (MH 30mcg)	11/30 intermediate
		19/30 resistant