

# Enhancement of glucosinolate and isothiocyanate profiles in brassicaceae crops: addressing challenges in breeding for cultivation, storage, and consumerrelated traits

Article

Accepted Version

Bell, L. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2895-2030 and Wagstaff, C. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9400-8641 (2017) Enhancement of glucosinolate and isothiocyanate profiles in brassicaceae crops: addressing challenges in breeding for cultivation, storage, and consumer-related traits. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 65 (43). pp. 9379-9403. ISSN 1520-5118 doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b03628 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/73332/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b03628

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b03628

Publisher: American Chemical Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law,



including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

# CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Enhancement Of Glucosinolate & Isothiocyanate Profiles In Brassicaceae Crops: Addressing Challenges In Breeding For Cultivation, Storage, and Consumer Related Traits

Luke Bell<sup>1</sup> & Carol Wagstaff<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Food & Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, Berkshire. RG6 6AP, UK

#### 1 ABSTRACT

2 Glucosinolates (GSLs) and isothiocyanates (ITCs) produced by Brassicaceae plants 3 are popular targets for analysis due to the health benefits associated with them. Breeders aim 4 to increase the concentrations in commercial varieties, however there are few examples of 5 this. The most well known is Beneforté broccoli, which has increased 6 glucoraphanin/sulforaphane concentrations compared to conventional varieties. It was 7 developed through traditional breeding methods with considerations for processing, 8 consumption and health made throughout this process. Many studies presented in the 9 literature do not take a holistic approach, and key points about breeding, cultivation methods, 10 postharvest storage, sensory attributes and consumer preferences are not properly taken into 11 account. In this review, we draw together data for multiple species and address how such 12 factors can influence GSL profiles. We encourage researchers and institutions to engage with 13 industry and consumers to produce research that can be utilised in the improvement of 14 Brassicaceae crops.

15

16 Keywords: Brassica, Phytochemicals, Plant breeding, Nutrition, Processing, 17 Chemoprotection, Glucoraphanin, Indoles, Broccoli, Cabbage, Mustards 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

#### **26 INTRODUCTION**

27 Crops of the Brassicaceae family contain numerous phytochemicals that are known, or are suspected to be, beneficial for human health. These include sulfur-containing 28 glucosinolates (GSLs)<sup>1</sup>, which have a range of hydrolysis products that are noted for 29 beneficial effects on human health<sup>2</sup>. GSLs are secondary metabolites that are hydrolysed by 30 myrosinases and modified by specifier proteins into numerous breakdown products <sup>3</sup>; these 31 32 include isothiocyanates (ITCs), thiocyanates, nitriles, ascorbigens, indoles, oxazolidine-2thiones and epithioalkanes<sup>4</sup>. This process is part of a complex defense strategy utilised by 33 Brassicaceae plants to protect against herbivory, pests and diseases <sup>5</sup>. These compounds also 34 give the family their distinctive sulphurous, hot, mustard and pepper flavors<sup>6</sup>. 35

36 Potential health benefits such as anti-carcinogenic and anti-metastatic activity have been linked with these compounds (such as ITCs and indoles) in cell and animal studies <sup>7</sup>. 37 38 Clinical, epidemiological and pharmacological research in humans has demonstrated beneficial effects in vivo on some cancers, on cardiovascular health<sup>8,9</sup>, and on 39 neurodegenerative prevention <sup>10</sup>. For these reasons, there is huge interest in enhancing 40 Brassicaceae crop GSL content <sup>11</sup>. Despite initiatives such as the "5-a-day" campaign, fruit 41 42 and veg consumption remains low in Western countries, and chronic diseases such as cancer and cardiovascular disease are leading to premature deaths<sup>12</sup>. 43

This review will explore prominent species and some underutilised edible Brassicaceae crops with the potential for GSL/ITC profile improvement. The health benefits that have been linked to these compounds and how they can be maximized will also be discussed. We aim to highlight and explore the challenges faced in developing enhanced Brassicaceae varieties in three key areas: plant breeding, agronomic practice, and 'the consumer'. Previous review papers have not directly addressed the discrepancies between scientific research methods and common agricultural and commercial practices, or how plant 51 breeders can use scientific findings to inform their selections. Our goal is not to define the 52 ideal crop for enhancement, but to highlight species that require further study and 53 development. We encourage research groups to consider the entire commercial supply chain, 54 and how this affects plant phytochemistry in a 'real world' context. We also highlight the 55 need for consideration of the sensory preferences and end consumer metabolic genotypes. In 56 this way, commercial breeders/producers can utilise better scientific research to improve crop 57 nutritional density, and make informed decisions about varietal selection and agronomic 58 practice.

59

# 60 BRASSICACEAE CROPS & GLUCOSINOLATE PROFILES

## 61 General

Table 1 summarises and compares the GSL profiles of several major, minor and underutilised Brassicaceae crops, and gives examples of typical concentrations that have been reported within the scientific literature. The following section describes these profiles and illustrates how concentrations and profiles vary between species.

### 66 Broccoli (Brassica oleracea var. italica)

Perhaps the most well studied Brassicaceae crop is broccoli<sup>13</sup>. It is a well-known 67 vegetable that is grown and consumed worldwide, and production rates are increasing <sup>14</sup>. The 68 key factor in its popularity from a research perspective is that it contains significant 69 glucoraphanin concentrations in florets and sprouts (Table 1) <sup>11,14-34</sup>. Total reported 70 concentrations in broccoli florets are modest (~7.9 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw, Table 1) compared to other 71 commonly consumed crops such as Brussels sprouts (~13.3 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw). That being said, 72 some varieties have high total concentrations (26.9 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw<sup>16</sup>), well in excess of the 73 74 average.

# 75 Brussels Sprouts (Brassica oleracea var. gemmifera)

Although broccoli and kale are most often ascribed with the most potent health benefits associated with GSLs, Brussels sprouts have higher total concentrations than both, on average (~13.3 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw). Although not containing high levels of glucoraphanin, sprouts do have high amounts of glucobrassicin (Table 1) <sup>18,19,25,31,32,34,35</sup>.

# 80 Cabbage, Red Cabbage, & White Cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata, Brassica

# 81 oleracea var. capitata f. rubra, & Brassica oleracea var. capitata f. alba)

82 Cabbage is a widely consumed and studied crop, but has modest total GSL 83 concentrations compared to other crops (Table 1)  $^{1,25,31,32,36,37}$ . White cabbage is similar to the 84 green variety in terms of its overall GSL profile  $^{31,32,38}$ .

Red cabbage contains similar GSLs to white and green cabbages, but differs in the relative amounts present within leaf tissues; e.g. it contains greater concentrations of glucoraphanin and gluconapin, and less sinigrin <sup>1,31,32,39–41</sup>. Overall, average reported concentrations are higher in red cabbages than other types.

#### 89 Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis)

90 Total GSL reports in cauliflower florets range from  $0.7 - 11.4 \text{ mg.g}^{-1}$  dw, but average 91 ~4.1 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw; much lower than broccoli and Brussels sprouts. The predominant major GSL 92 reported is glucobrassicin (~1.7 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw) <sup>18,19,21,22,25,31,32,34,42</sup>.

# 93 Chinese Cabbage (Brassica rapa var. chinensis & Brassica rapa var. pekinensis)

There are two predominant Chinese cabbage varieties: *B. oleracea* var. *pekinensis* and *B. oleracea* var. *chinensis*. These crops originate and are popular in China and southeast Asia, and have been identified as candidates for GSL accumulation trait improvement through breeding, due to large phenotypic variation <sup>43,44</sup>. Total average GSL contents reported are modest compared to other crops (Table 1). Indolic GSLs make up a large proportion of the overall profile <sup>31,32,36,43-45</sup>.

#### 100 Chinese Kale (*Brassica oleracea* var. *alboglabra*)

101 Also known as gai lan, Chinese kale is a popular crop in China and southeast Asia, 102 but not well known in other parts of the world. It is noted for high GSL concentrations 103 (compared with broccoli florets). Total concentrations in mature leaves have been reported to 104 be 14.9 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw <sup>21</sup> (broccoli florets:  $\sim$ 7.9 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw). In sprouts, GSL concentrations have 105 been reported as high as 98.2 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw <sup>46</sup> and as low as 3.7 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw <sup>47</sup>.

# 106 Collards (Brassica oleracea var. sabellica)

107 Collards are an understudied variety of *B. oleracea*, but have high total GSL 108 concentrations (18.2 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw). Sinigrin concentrations (6.5 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw), glucobrassicin (4.6 109 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw), progoitrin (2.9 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw) and glucoiberin (1.0 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw) make up the typical 110 profile  $^{18,19}$ .

## 111 Ethiopian mustard (Brassica carinata)

Ethiopian mustard is a traditional leafy crop of Africa and contains modest GSL concentrations. These include minor amounts of glucoalyssin, gluconapin, progoitrin, glucobrassicin, 4-hydroxyglucobrassicin, 4-methoxyglucobrassicin, neoglucobrassicin and gluconasturtiin, with the vast majority composed of sinigrin (Table 1) <sup>48</sup>. The crop is underutilised in terms of breeding and could be developed to a higher quality, both for human consumption and as a potential biofumigant crop <sup>49</sup>.

# 118 Ezo-wasabi (Cardamine fauriei)

Ezo-wasabi is a niche herb crop that originates from Hokkaido, Japan. It is a popular herb in this region and is characterised by a pungent wasabi-like flavor due to very high GSL concentrations. Abe et al. <sup>50</sup> identified three GSL compounds within leaves: glucoiberin, gluconapin and glucobrassicin. Total concentrations were reported to average 63.0 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw.

123 Kale (Brassica oleracea var. acephala)

124 Kale has been reported as having a wide range of health benefits, including those 125 associated with GSLs <sup>51</sup>. Total concentrations are generally modest <sup>18,19,25,37,52</sup>, but some studies report concentrations higher than broccoli. A comprehensive analysis of 153 fieldgrown cultivars by Cartea et al. <sup>37</sup>, found the average concentrations to be higher at 10.7 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw. The profile consists of predominantly aliphatic GSLs: with some aromatic and indole compounds present. The concentrations of the latter are reported as being highest, on average.

# 131 Kohlrabi (Brassica oleracea var. gongylodes)

Kohlrabi stems are low in GSLs with average concentrations amounting to ~2.2 mg.g<sup>-1</sup>
 <sup>1</sup> dw. The profile is composed of glucoiberin, glucoraphanin, glucoalyssin, glucoiberverin,
 glucoerucin, glucobrassicin, gluconasturtiin, and neoglucobrassicin, with some other trace
 GSLs identified <sup>18,19,31,32</sup>.

## 136 Leaf rape & Turnip rape (*Brassica napus* var. *pabularia* & *Brassica napus*)

Rapeseed leaves contain modest GSL amounts, but like collards are not widely consumed by the public. The bulk of the leaf rape GSL profile is made up of glucobrassicanapin, progoitrin and gluconapin <sup>53</sup>. Turnip rape by contrast is composed predominantly of gluconapin <sup>36</sup>. Sprouts have relatively high GSL abundances compared to the mature leaf tissue (Table 1) <sup>11</sup>.

# 142 Maca (Lepidium meyenii)

Maca roots are not commonly consumed in western diets, but are prominent in South American cuisine. Three main cultivar forms are consumed (red, purple, and black) and powders are popular as "food supplements" with anecdotal health benefits attributed to them. The species is an ideal candidate for improvement efforts, as it contains a wide variety of traits and compounds with purported health benefits, such as phytosterols <sup>54</sup>.

148Total GSL concentrations are high relative to other root Brassicaceae with the149primary compound being glucotropaeolin, and secondary glucolimnathin. This profile makes

the crop somewhat unique among Brassicaceae, with only glucoalyssin and glucosinalbin
shared with more common cultivated species <sup>54</sup>.

#### 152 Moringa (Moringa oleifera)

153 Moringa species are non-cruciferous known for the high concentrations of aromatic GSLs found within tissues <sup>55</sup>, and the unusual multiglycosylated conformation of their 154 155 structures. Within leaf tissues 4- $\alpha$ -rhamnopyranosyloxy-benzyl GSL (glucomoringin) is the 156 dominant compound, with lower concentrations of acetyl-4- $\alpha$ -rhamnopyranosyloxy-benzyl, which exists in three isomeric forms (Ac-Isomer-GSLs I, II, III); these latter molecules each 157 158 have an acetyl group at different positions on the rhamnose moiety. Due to the nature of these 159 structures, standard methods of desulfation extraction are not recommended for moringa as 160 artifacts are formed, which are not reflective of intact GSL analysis. A method for the stable extraction of these compounds has been developed by Förster et al. <sup>56</sup>. For this reason, papers 161 162 utilising desulfation extraction in moringa should not be taken as representative of GSL 163 profiles in planta.

The concentrations of GSLs reported for moringa leaves vary greatly (Table 1) <sup>57–60</sup>, due to diverse growing environments and cultivar choice. Stems and roots tend to have lower concentrations of glucomoringin and the acetyl isomers, but are noticeably higher than for more commonly consumed crops such as kohlrabi and rutabaga.

# 168 Mustard Greens (Brassica juncea)

Like collards, mustard greens are high in GSLs (~25.9 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw), but not widely consumed due to their pungent and bitter tastes. Virtually all of the GSL profile is composed of sinigrin <sup>18,19,36</sup>. There are a large diversity of accessions and cultivars of this species, which provides an excellent resource for any breeding programs focused on culinary improvement.

173 Radish (Raphanus sativus)

174 Radish encompasses several varieties such as 'common' radish, China Rose <sup>11</sup> radish, 175 and Spanish black radish <sup>61</sup>. GSL concentrations reported from radish sprouts are very high <sup>11</sup> 176 compared to some reports for roots <sup>31,32</sup>. There is special interest in the compound 177 glucoraphasatin (also known as dehydroerucin) contained within radish tissues. It has been 178 postulated that the cell detoxification properties of its ITC (4-methylthio-3-butenyl ITC; 179 MIBITC) are comparable to sulforaphane (SFN) <sup>61</sup>.

#### 180 Rocket (Eruca sativa, Diplotaxis tenuifolia, Diplotaxis muralis & Erucastrum spp.)

181 The rocket (rucola, arugula, roquette) species *Eruca sativa, Diplotaxis tenuifolia,* and 182 *Diplotaxis muralis* are often grouped and classed together due to the similarity in GSL 183 profiles. Other species, known as dogmustards (*Erucastrum* spp.), are also morphologically 184 and phytochemically very similar to rocket.

185 Rocket species have five major GSL constituents: glucoraphanin, diglucothiobeinin, 186 glucosativin, dimeric-glucosativin (DMB) and glucoerucin (Table 1)  $^{62-65}$ . By comparison to 187 broccoli, total average GSL concentrations are higher for rocket (*E. sativa*: ~15.3 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw; 188 *D. tenuifolia*: ~11.2 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw), but average glucoraphanin concentrations are lower (*E. sativa*: ~2.0 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw, *D. tenuifolia*: ~1.7 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw).

Dogmustard and annual wall-rocket (*D. muralis*) profiles are somewhat different from 'wild' (*D. tenuifolia*) and 'salad' (*E. sativa*) species, but not as well studied. Dogmustard GSL profiles are low in total concentration, but much of this is glucoraphanin. Annual wallrocket is high in this GSL too, by comparison to the commercially cultivated species, but few cultivars have been characterised to-date. It is also high in diglucothiobeinin, DMB and glucoerucin, giving a moderate total GSL concentration<sup>65</sup>.

196 The existence of dimeric GSLs in rocket species has proved controversial, with many 197 papers accepting the hypothesis that they are products of extraction, without any supporting 198 experimental evidence. Work by Cataldi et al. <sup>66</sup> a decade ago cast significant doubt on this 199 assumption, but has largely gone unnoticed within the literature. The addition of tris(2-200 carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) to rocket extracts is common within the literature, and acts 201 as a reducing agent to break disulfide bonds, such as those that exist in DMB and 202 diglucothiobeinin. This so-called 'prevention of artifact formation' may actually be 203 drastically modifying the GSL profile from its natural configuration. As is seen in Moringa 204 spp., multiglycosylated GSLs do occur in nature, and so it is not inconceivable that these 205 compounds are naturally synthesised. Little is known about rocket GSL biosynthesis beyond 206 compounds common to other species (e.g. glucoraphanin and glucoerucin). The pathway for glucosativin, and indeed dimeric GSL, biosynthesis has yet to be elucidated <sup>67</sup>, and even less 207 208 is known about their possible evolutionary and biological functions. In light of these 209 unresolved questions dimeric compounds have been included in Table 1.

# 210 Rutabaga (Brassica oleracea var. rapifera)

Rutabaga (or swede) is consumed as a root crop and undergoes heavy processing and cooking before consumption (i.e. peeling, chopping & boiling) to soften the tissue. Raw GSL concentrations have been reported to range between 3.5 and 5.6 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw, with progoitrin reported as the most abundant GSL overall. The GSL profile is very diverse (Table 1), with concentrations being particularly high in sprouts <sup>31,32,68</sup>.

## 216 Spider plant (*Cleome gynandra*)

*C. gynandra* is known by several other common names, including: Shona cabbage, African cabbage, spiderwisp, chinsaga and stinkweed. It is a popular leafy vegetable in African traditional diets, and is routinely consumed for its purported medicinal properties. Despite this popularity, current cultivars perform poorly, making the species an ideal candidate for improvement <sup>69</sup>. Only one GSL is reported for spider plant, which is 3hydroxypropyl (glucoerysimumhieracifolium; Table 1) <sup>70</sup>, and is most concentrated in the stems, siliques and flowers, with low leaf abundance <sup>69</sup>.

#### 224 Watercress (*Nasturtium officinale*)

Watercress is a crop that is gaining popularity in foods such as soups and smoothies, as well as a traditional garnish <sup>71</sup>. Like rocket, watercress cannot be considered domesticated due to a lack of breeding programs, and the tendency for commercial crops to be propagated through clonal cuttings rather than seeds <sup>6</sup>. Its GSL composition is made up almost entirely of gluconasturtiin. Its ITC is phenylethyl-ITC (PEITC) and is known to infer potential health benefits in humans <sup>6</sup>.

Small amounts of indolic GSLs are also found within tissues (Table 1)  $^{36,71}$ , but few aliphatic GSLs have been reported. Total concentrations are modest (~5.0 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw) but like rocket species, cooking is not essential before consumption.

## 234 White Mustard (*Sinapis alba*)

White mustard leaves are not widely consumed due to their pungent attributes. They are high in GSLs, which is almost entirely made up of the aromatic GSL glucosinalbin <sup>24</sup>. These crops are predominantly used as biofumigants to control soil borne pests, such as nematodes.

239

#### 240 PLANT BREEDING

241 General

To quote Dr. Howard-Yana Shapiro, "It is not so much a question of more food. It is more a question of better food" <sup>72</sup>. This statement encapsulates the ethos of breeding Brassicaceae crops for enhanced GSL content. The trend in many crop breeding programs over the last 60 years has been to increase yield, but this has come at the expense of nutritional value in some instances <sup>73</sup>. It is hoped that by creating new and nutritionally dense varieties, development of chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, and dementia can be reduced through elevated concentrations in people's diets. Cereal crops have seen the greatest interest and investment in terms of genomics and breeding improvement over the last 150 years. It has been estimated that plant breeding has accounted for 58% of the increases in maize yields seen between 1930 and 1980<sup>74</sup>, and if the same concerted effort were to be made in Brassicaceae vegetables, it is not inconceivable that compounds related to health-benefits could also be improved.

As pointed out by Goldman<sup>75</sup>, the irony is that many of the most beneficial health compounds are being bred out of crops because they are also responsible for pungency and sensory traits which consumers dislike. But this could be remedied through breeding by also looking at corresponding ratios with free sugars, some amino acids, and the relative abundances of green-leaf volatiles. These have been shown to infer reductions in the perceptions of such traits, while maintaining GSL concentrations<sup>76</sup>.

260 The majority of genomic research for traits related to GSL metabolism has been conducted in species such as A. thaliana <sup>77</sup> and B. oleracea <sup>78</sup>. De novo genome sequencing 261 262 costs are still high, but falling, and this may entice new exploration of minor Brassicaceae 263 crop genomes in unprecedented fashion. There is however still a lack of understanding within 264 the literature of how new Brassicaceae varieties are developed commercially through plant 265 breeding methods. Such considerations are often absent from many nutritional, biochemical and medical studies <sup>79</sup>. Individuals who are skilled and adept at computational genomics, 266 267 practical plant breeding, cultivation, analytical chemistry, and molecular biology techniques 268 are scarce, and having a deep knowledge of these fields and how they each interact is 269 challenging. This may be a reason why breeding efforts for phytochemical health traits to-270 date have lagged behind physiological traits as it requires interdisciplinarity, even when genomic information is available<sup>80</sup>. It is likely that in the private sector molecular breeding is 271 272 already well established in some Brassicaceae crops, but the degree to which these efforts have focused on GSL improvement are not readily apparent in commercial varieties availablefor human consumption.

A minority of people in Western countries consume an adequate amount of vegetables <sup>81</sup>, and even fewer are likely to consume the recently reported optimum of ten-per-day <sup>82</sup>. Breeders are recognising that getting consumers to eat more vegetables is not a realistic goal <sup>83</sup>. Instead, breeding strategies are concentrating on elevating compounds such as GSLs and ITCs through selection so that the vegetables on offer to the consumer have a higher nutritional density. A large proportion of people could benefit from resultant new varieties without having to modify their diets at all.

282 Much of the reported health effects are attributed to the GSL hydrolysis products of glucoraphanin, glucoerucin and glucobrassicin<sup>84</sup>, which could be increased through 283 284 appropriate breeding selection. The ITC and indole products (SFN, erucin and indole-3-285 carbinol; I3C, respectively) have shown strong anti-carcinogenic effects in cell and animal studies<sup>85</sup>, but as will be discussed, these studies are limited in their applicability to humans 286 287 and day-to-day consumption. There are many different factors that must be considered when 288 breeding for modified GSL profiles. These will be discussed in the following sections; see 289 Figure 1 for a summary.

## 290 Breeding For Increased Glucosinolate Content

As highlighted within Table 1 there is huge scope for individual crop improvement, as evidenced by the diversity of GSLs and concentrations reported <sup>86</sup>. There are very few examples of successful stabilisation of GSL concentrations across environments however <sup>87</sup>. In order to develop enhanced varieties, species diversity must be scrutinised on a large number of cultivars/accessions before any breeding or genomics can take place <sup>79</sup>.

In *Arabidopsis thaliana* quantitative trait loci (QTLs), and the generation of robust single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers have allowed detailed understanding of numerous genotypes <sup>88</sup>. In order to develop such comparable resources for specific Brassicaceae crops, breeders and researchers must have a comprehensive and extensive knowledge of the cultivar breeding history, as well as a detailed knowledge of the GSL/ITC types produced across environments <sup>89</sup>. Due to the complexity of the *Brassica* genome and comparatively long life cycles of commercial crops, generating such genetic resources can take decades.

The GSL pathway itself in *Brassica* and *Arabidopsis* is now well elucidated <sup>90</sup> and it is possible to identify orthologous genes for biosynthesis, transcriptional regulation and environmental response in other species <sup>87</sup>. MYB transcription factors control the complete GSL biosynthetic pathway, and also influence primary and sulfate metabolic pathways. Differing transcript levels associated with MYB genes has been shown to affect indole GSL accumulation and the related metabolism products when plants are under pathogen stress <sup>91</sup>.

Aliphatic GSLs are synthesised from the amino acid methionine, and indolic GSLs from tryptophan <sup>92</sup>. The gene *BoGSL-PRO* in *B. oleracea* converts methionine into dihomomethionine and a chain-elongation process begins. This is further regulated by other genes such as *BoGSL-ELONG*, and determines the carbon side-chain length (e.g. propyl, butyl, pentyl, etc.). Other genes, such as *BoGSL-ALK*, further modify the R-group later in the synthesis pathway, and determine its final configuration <sup>77</sup>.

GSL biosynthesis levels are regulated by plant defense signaling compounds, such as salicylic acid (SA), ethylene and jasmonic acid (JA). The synergistic or antagonistic crosstalk between these three compounds determines the relative gene expression. Genes such as *CYP79B2, CYP79B3, CYP79F1* and *CYP79F2* regulate the GSL biosynthesis pathway and determine the overall GSL tissue profile, influencing the ratios between aliphatic and indolic GSLs <sup>93</sup>. The level to which these and other biosynthetic genes are expressed depends on the stimuli that initiate transcription, which can be both biotic and abiotic in nature. The relationship with primary sulfur metabolism is also important for GSL production, as two to
 three sulfur atoms are required per aliphatic GSL molecule <sup>94</sup>.

The difficulty comes in generating breeding populations and having resources large enough to develop such detailed knowledge in non-model species. Some papers have advocated plant selection based on highest total GSL concentrations <sup>37,44</sup>, however this is an unsophisticated approach, as not all GSLs produce breakdown products which are beneficial for health, or positive for consumer acceptability. It also does not account for the potentially harmful effects of specific GSLs when ingested in large quantities.

331 The most comprehensive and thoroughly tested example of a crop bred for enhanced 332 GSL content is *Beneforté* broccoli. This variety is an F<sub>1</sub> hybrid derived from an original cross 333 between *B. oleracea* var. *italica* and *Brassica villosa* – a wild relative. The resultant variety is 334 able to assimilate sulfur at an enhanced rate, but also allocate greater amounts to methionine-335 derived GSL production, rather than partitioned into the form of S-methylcysteine sulfoxide 336 (SMCSO). SMCSO levels are reduced by an average of ~7% in plants containing the 337 introgressed B. villosa Myb28 allele, which in turn corresponds to a reciprocal increase in glucoraphanin<sup>23</sup>. Sarikamis et al.<sup>20</sup> also introgressed markers from *B. villosa* into broccoli 338 339 which are associated with genes controlling the ratios between glucoraphanin and 340 glucoiberin. Selection for such genes could influence the downstream health beneficial 341 effects to the consumer.

Another area that could be targeted through breeding is hydrolysis product pathway modification. It is known for example that a gene in *A. thaliana* called *epithiospecifier modifier 1 (ESM1)* encodes a protein that inhibits epithiospecifier protein (ESP) function, preventing it from converting GSLs into nitriles. Identifying, selecting and breeding for such genes in Brassicaceae crops would be instrumental for improving the predictability of hydrolysis product formation. Nitriles are much less bioactive than ITCs, and it would be

favourable to decrease production of them <sup>95</sup>. This would lead to increases in ITC abundance and enhance potential health benefits. Selecting for GSL accumulations alone is therefore not sufficient to produce enhanced varieties; ITC abundance ratios must also be considered, as these vary between species, varieties and genotypes <sup>96</sup>.

The variability of GSL concentrations in crops is due to genetic responses which are influenced by environmental interactions <sup>17</sup>. The specific mechanisms responsible for such large variations seen in varieties are complex <sup>97</sup>, and are not well understood in the commercial supply chain context. Few research papers have replicated the food system to determine the effects on GSL and hydrolysis product concentrations from a plant breeding perspective <sup>98</sup>, and so it is difficult to make informed selections.

358 If products like Beneforté are to be developed for other species, it will require 359 screening a large number of germplasm accessions in multiple environments, and phytochemical analysis throughout the commercial food chain <sup>86</sup>. Gene bank accessions are 360 361 an underutilised resource for breeding enhanced GSL accumulation traits. Screening these 362 large collections for enhanced traits is challenging, but wild genotypes with enhanced 363 glucoraphanin, glucoerucin, glucoraphenin, glucoraphasatin, glucoiberin, sinigrin and indole GSLs may be found <sup>37</sup>. Blueprint breeding schemes for this method of introgression already 364 exist <sup>20</sup> and so it is feasible that other crops could be developed with enough time and 365 366 resources.

367 Developing the genomic tools to improve varieties will also be necessary in future. 368 Despite detailed knowledge of the *Arabidopsis* and *Brassica* genomes there are few other 369 related crops that have been sequenced. Developing analogous genetic markers, linkage and 370 QTL maps using these species will serve for a time to screen for common GSL traits; 371 however, species such as rocket, radish and watercress have very different GSL profiles to *B*. 372 *oleracea* and *Arabidopsis*. As such, the time will come when full genome sequences will be

373 required for these crops, to develop and enhance GSLs/ITCs with a high level of precision <sup>80</sup>.
374 Having species specific SNPs associated with GSL/ITC QTLs, genes, transcription factors,
375 and other plant defense and senescence pathways will be a powerful tool for enhancing crops,
376 and significantly reduce the generation number required to develop new breeding lines and
377 varieties <sup>89</sup>.

#### 378 Breeding For Decreased Glucosinolate Content

379 From the late 1960s to the mid-1990s, much of the focus on GSLs and the associated hydrolysis products was in relation to adverse health effects. There was concern surrounding 380 goitrogenic compounds, which are produced from the GSLs epiprogoitrin and progoitrin. The 381 382 oxazolidine-2-thiones and thiocyanate compounds produced by the hydrolysis of these GSLs 383 interfere with thyroid metabolism and induce a condition known as goiter. In the presence of 384 nitrate they also undergo nitrosation reactions, which is thought to have negative health consequences <sup>99</sup>. High doses of GSL-derived nitriles have also been shown to be toxic <sup>100</sup> but 385 reports are conflicting <sup>101</sup>. This has led to arguments for decreasing certain GSL compounds 386 387 in Brassicaceae crops through selective breeding. Progoitrin, sinigrin, gluconapin and indole GSLs have all been cited as contributors to bitterness<sup>87</sup>, and a reduction is thought to 388 improve consumer acceptance <sup>102</sup>. 389

Sinigrin is common (in low concentrations) in important crops, such as cabbage, kale, broccoli and Brussels sprouts (Table 1). The relative abundances in these are minor compared to those found in mustard greens (~16.6 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw), Chinese kale sprouts (~8.4 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw) and collards ( $6.5 \text{ mg.g}^{-1} \text{ dw}$ )<sup>18,19</sup>. The reduced bitter compound concentrations in commercial crops have led some to speculate if this is partly the reason why pesticides have to be used so intensely, as these varieties may be more prone to disease and herbivory<sup>102</sup>.

There are opposing opinions relating to sinigrin concentrations within Brassicaceae foods. Sensory analysts advocate its reduction, as it is *"regarded not as a health benefit but*"

*as a major sensory defect*<sup>9, 102</sup>. Other studies by contrast have argued that sinigrin should be increased due to the associated health benefits of allyl-ITC (AITC) <sup>37</sup>. Opinions expressed in sensory quality reviews perhaps do not appreciate how difficult 'removal' is from a breeding perspective, or what the effects are from a pest and disease management standpoint. These compounds do not exist simply for the pleasure or displeasure of the human species. It perhaps demonstrates a misunderstanding of the endogenous function of such compounds within plants, and ignores any health benefits they have.

Progoitrin has been found to be prevalent in Chinese kale sprouts (~14.8 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw), collards (2.9 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw <sup>18,19</sup>), and leaf rape (2.2 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw <sup>53</sup>; Table 1). Arguments have been made for progoitrin reduction in commercial crops because of the association between its degradation products and goiter <sup>87</sup>. Double recessive alleles of GSL biosynthesis genes have been identified and utilized in reducing concentrations in rapeseed to improve livestock feed <sup>90</sup>. Similar efforts to reduce harmful GSLs in other Brassicaceae is a realistic goal, but must be targeted so that beneficial GSL accumulation is not affected.

412 Most arguments for the goitrogenic effects of GSLs are outdated and unsupported in 413 humans, however. Not all GSLs have goitrogenic breakdown products, and so are unlikely to adversely affect otherwise healthy humans <sup>103</sup>. Most cited evidence stems from studies in 414 415 herbivores, such as rabbits and cows, which can ingest large amounts of seed meal and leaves a day <sup>104,105</sup>. Assuming humans who eat Brassicaceae vegetables don't have a severe pre-416 417 existing thyroid condition, and are not suffering iodine deficiency, there is little evidence of 418 healthy people developing goiter through ingestion of leaves, sprouts, roots, or indeed the milk of animals that consume large GSL quantities 103. At low-moderate levels the 419 420 compounds are beneficial to humans and enhance cellular defenses against cancer and other diseases <sup>106</sup>. 421

# 423 CULTIVATION, POSTHAVEST PROCESSING & STORAGE

#### 424 General

425 Improved genetics and phytochemical content through breeding must be synergistic 426 with improvements in Brassicaceae agronomy and cultivation methods. Important aspects to 427 be considered when attempting to enhance GSL concentrations through breeding include: 428 appropriate varietal selection, responses to fertilizer application, water availability, harvest time/growth stage, light levels, and local temperature <sup>107–112</sup>. These factors and many more 429 430 can have a significant impact on the quantities of GSLs produced by plants (see Table 2). It 431 has been reported that GSLs can be enhanced through better and more informed cultivation methods by up to ten times in the case of broccoli and cauliflower, and doubled in radish<sup>86</sup>. 432

# 433 Varietal Selection

It is well documented that GSLs and the respective breakdown products vary between species, within species, and even within individual cultivars <sup>86</sup>. The data collated in Table 1 gives examples of this variability, with large concentration ranges reported for species according to different growing environments (e.g. field or glasshouse).

438 It has been reported that a high degree of variation in GSL concentrations can exist between plants of the same variety (e.g. in *Marathon* broccoli heads)<sup>113</sup>. This poses a 439 440 significant challenge, especially if varieties are uniform hybrids for morphological traits; and 441 indicates just how great an impact environment has upon GSL accumulation. In experimental 442 terms, it has been suggested that replicates be increased or samples pooled to create a 'representative' picture <sup>113</sup>. This is perhaps a neater approach statistically, but obscures the 443 444 inherent variation present between plants of the same variety, giving a false sense of 445 uniformity. If plants have not been selected for GSL profile modification, it is unsurprising that such high variations exist <sup>96</sup>; therefore the development of uniform breeding lines and 446 447 varieties will mitigate this by considering individual plant chemotypes and sensotypes.

#### 448 Light Intensity

449 It has been demonstrated in A. thaliana that UV-B radiation can induce gene expression that promotes GSL accumulation <sup>114</sup>. In crops such as broccoli and cauliflower it 450 451 has also been observed that increased light levels can increase glucoraphanin and glucoiberin concentrations within florets <sup>86,115</sup>. In an excellent recent paper by Moreira-Rodríguez et al. 452 <sup>116</sup> it was demonstrated that 24 hours after exposure to high UVB treatment, broccoli spouts 453 454 showed large increases in GSL concentrations. This included a 73% increase in 455 glucoraphanin, 78% increase in glucobrassicin, and a 170% increase in 4-456 methoxyglucobrassicin. The authors indicated that UVB radiation triggers signal transduction 457 pathways, leading to up-regulation of GSL biosynthesis genes as part of a UV protection 458 mechanism. Within a segregating population of plants, it is theoretically possible to select for 459 plant with genes predisposing them for such higher accumulations. With more advanced 460 genetic analysis of such genes, it should also be possible to identify polymorphisms 461 underlying the propensity for increased glucoraphanin and indole GSL biosynthesis. As the 462 authors discuss, it may be theoretically possible to 'tailor' GSL profiles to a degree, by 463 exposing sprouts to differing combinations of UVA and UVB light intensities. As with most 464 studies of this kind, only a single variety of broccoli was used, and so it is not possible to 465 determine how much these responses vary according to genotype. It was also not determined 466 how these respective increases affected ITC/nitrile/indole production. Other studies have 467 noted that GSL profiles are not necessarily indicative of myrosinase activity or hydrolysis product profiles <sup>110</sup>. Nevertheless, the results indicate that this is an area for future study, and 468 469 it would be intriguing to determine how such responses vary within segregating populations 470 of broccoli and other Brassicaceae.

471 GSL accumulation is generally much higher when plants are exposed to longer 472 periods of light. A study by Kim et al. <sup>117</sup> showed that GSL concentrations of Chinese

cabbage seedlings were up to 6.9 times higher in plants exposed to light ten days after
sowing. This suggests that raising seedlings in the dark for several days may increase the
potential accumulations within the plants at later developmental stages.

476 GSL concentrations also fluctuate according to diurnal rhythms imposed by exposure to light and dark. Huseby et al. <sup>118</sup> demonstrated that relative expression of genes associated 477 478 with GSL biosynthesis in *A. thaliana* were significantly increased in plants grown in dark 479 conditions before being exposed to light, compared with those which were only exposed to a 480 normal diurnal cycle. This implies not only that GSL biosynthesis can be influenced by light, 481 but also that GSL concentrations can be enhanced through controlled exposure. Huseby et al. 482 also saw GSL concentrations peak eight hours after light exposure was initiated in a diurnal 483 cycle, with concentrations then subsequently declining. This has large implications for 484 commercial operations that may harvest at specific times during the day. More research is 485 needed to understand how these mechanisms function in commercial crops, but it is likely 486 that recommendations for optimum harvest times could be generated in order to maximise 487 GSLs.

488 Different light wavelengths that are applied to Brassicaceae crops also cause differing 489 effects on GSL concentrations. Blue light has been shown to increase total GSLs in ezowasabi leaves <sup>50</sup> and turnip roots <sup>119</sup> (Table 2) via possible activation of GSL biosynthesis 490 enzymes. This mechanism has been postulated but not verified, and is thought to impact 491 492 aliphatic and aromatic GSLs, not indolic, as there is no corresponding increase for these compounds under blue light <sup>120</sup>. This phenomenon could be exploited in controlled 493 494 environment cultivation or vertical farming methods, to improve the nutritive value of niche 495 microleaf and baby leaf crops. In contrast, increased levels of red and far-red light have 496 resulted in elevations of gluconasturtiin in watercress. It has also been reported that red light 497 (640 nm) applied to kale sprouts increases the production of specific GSLs, such as sinigrin;
498 but other wavelengths have no significant effect <sup>107</sup>.

## 499 Environmental Temperature

Unlike light intensity, increasing temperature does not have a reciprocal effect on GSL concentrations. Myrosinase activity is known to increase with higher daily mean temperature, and it is hypothesised that this leads to increased GSL degradation upon harvest <sup>86</sup>. It has been noted that high summer field temperatures have a detrimental effect on specific GSL concentrations at the point of commercial harvest in 'salad' rocket, but this is not indicative of postharvest concentrations, which have been observed to increase during shelf life storage <sup>98</sup>.

507 There are reports of increasing GSL concentrations with warmer weather in kale and 508 red cabbage<sup>1</sup>, but these come from spring and autumn comparisons where differences in light 509 levels may contribute more to the elevations observed than the relative increase/decrease in temperature. Steindal et al. <sup>52</sup> found a specific increase of sinigrin in kale at low growing 510 temperatures. Schonhof et al.<sup>121</sup> analysed broccoli at different growth temperatures and 511 found that low temperatures increased aliphatic GSLs, and high temperatures increased 512 indolic GSLs. This trend was not observed by Steindal et al. <sup>52</sup> in kale, where both high and 513 low temperatures (32°C & 12°C) increased aliphatic GSLs. The authors suggested that cold 514 515 temperature stress is beneficial for GSL accumulation, but is dependent on the organs and 516 species in question.

517 Water Availability

In broccoli plants it has been observed that a reduction in water availability causes large increases in GSL concentrations <sup>86</sup>. This may be due to a concentration effect within the plant tissues, but it is also possible that this is a defensive response in times of vulnerability and stress. Various reasons have been hypothesised for such increases when plants are experiencing drought, including increased synthesis of sugars, amino acids, and sulfur
 availability <sup>107</sup>.

As with other abiotic factors influencing GSL concentrations, there are conflicting reports. Some studies suggest that increased rainfall in the spring (coupled with increasing temperatures) increases GSLs <sup>1</sup>; but these interacting factors, combined with lengthening days and stronger light might be the primary cause. The timing of irrigation before harvest also impacts the abundance of GSLs, and is another factor for consideration <sup>107</sup>.

#### 529 Sulfur Application

530 Fertilizer application to Brassicaceae crops is common practice in the commercial 531 setting but can lead to changes in GSL composition. High sulfur doses applied to crops can 532 facilitate sizeable increases in GSLs with known health benefits (Table 2) such as glucoraphanin<sup>23</sup>. Application to broccoli plants (600 mg S plant<sup>-1 86</sup>) has been shown to 533 534 increase concentrations. Combined with a reduction in watering, this can also boost the concentration, but at the sacrifice of yield <sup>86</sup>. Fertilizer cost may be a limiting factor for many 535 536 growers, however. So while sulfur application to enhance GSLs may be effective, farmers 537 will not be likely to adopt it unless yields can be maintained.

In radish, a lower amount of sulfur has been reported to be efficacious in increasing glucoraphasatin concentrations (150 mg S plant<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>86</sup>, meaning that application on specific crops could be more preferable and affordable from a commercial perspective. Increases in total GSLs, sinigrin, glucobrassicanapin, gluconapin and progoitrin have also been reported with increased sulfur <sup>107</sup>. For an excellent review of sulfur assimilation, its relationship with GSL biosynthesis, and the underlying genetic mechanisms responsible in *Brassica* species, see Borpatragohain et al. <sup>122</sup>.

#### 545 Nitrogen Application

With decreasing nitrogen application GSLs have been observed to increase <sup>86</sup>. In combination with sulfur fertilization (60 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>), increasing nitrogen (80 – 320 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>) has been shown to be ineffective at increasing total GSL concentrations in turnip, but can shift the ratio towards greater indolic GSL production. This is in contrast with sulfur applications at a low level (10 – 20 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>) and increasing nitrogen, where aromatic and aliphatic GSLs decrease <sup>123</sup>.

Experiments by Schonhof et al. <sup>124</sup> in broccoli found that inadequate nitrogen increased GSLs, and inadequate sulfur decreased them. Hirai et al. <sup>125</sup> found that under nitrogen and/or sulfur limited growth conditions in *A. thaliana*, the genes encoding myrosinase enzymes were down-regulated in order to facilitate GSL storage in leaf tissues. The strategy for fertilizing commercial Brassicaceae crops should therefore take these factors into account if enhanced health properties are to be produced.

# 558 Methionine Application

559 Another means of increasing GSL concentration in crops is amino acid application (Table 2). As aliphatic GSLs (such as glucoraphanin) are derived from methionine, 560 application to crops could enhance production in species such as broccoli<sup>86</sup>. It has been 561 562 applied to broccoli sprouts and rutabaga with encouraging results. In these crops, total GSLs were increased by 19% and 85%, respectively <sup>11</sup>. The effects on glucoraphanin and 563 564 glucoiberin in the broccoli sprouts were modest, with a 7% increase. By contrast, indolic 565 GSLs 4-hydroxyglucobrassicin, glucobrassicin and 4-methoxyglucobrassicin increased by 566 28%. In the rutabaga the large total increase was due to elevations in both aliphatic and 567 indolic GSLs.

Baenas et al. <sup>11</sup> have suggested that the effects are strongest at lower concentrations (5 - 10 mM applications) which result in total GSL increases of 21 - 23% in sprouts. Other studies have applied up to 200 mM of methionine and still seen increases of up to 28% <sup>126</sup>, though the application method was different. The effects on specific GSLs in sprouts related to health benefits such as glucoraphanin, glucoraphenin and glucoraphasatin seem not to be affected by methionine application according to Baenas et al. <sup>11</sup>, but this may be related to the immature growth stage at which plants were tested.

#### 575 Selenium Application

576 Selenium is an essential micronutrient for humans. There is a significant relationship 577 between the amount of selenium within the diet and the risk of developing conditions such as 578 cancer, heart-disease and immune system diseases <sup>127</sup>. It has been estimated that 33% of 579 children (age 11-18), 39% of adults (age 19-64), and 44% of older adults (age 65+) consume 580 less selenium than the recommended Lower Reference Nutrient Intake (LRNI) 581 recommendation <sup>128</sup>.

Research has been conducted to apply selenium to crops (such as broccoli) to enhance nutritional properties <sup>129</sup>. Studies have shown that excess selenium application can reduce GSL content by 90% <sup>30</sup>. By contrast, selenium application to radish plants has been shown to increase glucoraphanin concentrations within roots <sup>129</sup>. With more moderate application, SFN concentrations can be increased in broccoli <sup>130</sup>, but other studies have reported no change in sprouts, indicating the optimum benefits of application depend on growth stage <sup>127</sup>.

#### 588 Plant-Bacterial Interaction

In a 2009 paper, Schreiner et al. <sup>36</sup> demonstrated that an auxin-producing bacterial strain (*Enterobacter radicicitans* DSM 16656) could influence and utilise GSL concentrations in several Brassicaceae species. The bacterial strain colonized the plant phyllosphere, and it was hypothesised that the response could be two-fold: 1) that GSL concentrations increased due to defense mechanism activation, and 2) that the bacterial auxin supply to leaves could induce GSL synthesis by metabolism of indole-3-acetaldoxime. The species with the greatest bacterial growth of *E. radicicitans in vitro* had high aliphatic GSL concentrations (*B. rapa & B. rapa* var. *chinensis*), whereas aromatic GSL-containing species
showed little increase (*N. officinale*).

Very few papers have linked bacterial colonisation of leaves with GSL accumulation, but Bell et al. 2017 <sup>98</sup> found strong correlations between GSL concentration and bacterial load of rocket within the commercial supply chain after processing. This could be suggestive of defensive responses due to damage incurred through processing, but also that bacteria influence the GSL profile in some way during shelf life. This is an area of research that requires much more thorough exploration.

# 604 Developmental Stage (Ontogeny)

The developmental stage (ontogeny) at which plants are harvested is a significant determining factor in the GSL concentrations that will be ingested by consumers <sup>37</sup>. Crop maturity from a culinary perspective does not always coincide with peak GSL accumulation, as this can vary over life cycle. In broccoli heads, the highest glucoraphanin concentrations have been observed at 180 days after sowing, with a subsequent decline at the onset of flowering <sup>14</sup>. In contrast, Chinese kale GSL concentrations are reported to peak at the sprout growth stage <sup>47</sup>.

612 Sprouts are often the subjects of environmental, elicitation and postharvest studies to increase GSL accumulation <sup>47</sup>. This is because of the fast turnaround times in which crops of 613 614 such age can be sown and harvested, and because it has been reported that GSLs are of higher 615 concentration at this point. This is thought to be due to a concentration effect as leaves are not fully expanded, and therefore not diluted by growth and expansion <sup>11</sup>. Broccoli, 616 617 cauliflower and cabbage studies have shown that total aliphatic GSL concentrations decline during a seven day sprouting period, but that indolic GSLs increased <sup>107</sup>. This is a very short 618 619 space of time compared to the entire plant life cycle, and not representative of peak accumulation. Baenas et al.<sup>11</sup> specified that eight-day-old sprouts were optimum for 620

621 enhancing GSL concentrations, broccoli, turnip, rutabaga, and radish all much higher than 622 their average reported mature values. They reported broccoli glucoraphanin concentrations of 18.3 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw. China Rose radish sprouts are especially rich in glucoraphenin and 623 glucoraphasatin, and rutabaga high in progoitrin. Qian et al.<sup>46</sup> reported total concentrations 624 as high as 98.2 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw in Chinese kale (grown hydroponically). It may be that sprout 625 626 concentrations vary between species and varieties, and this needs to be addressed by 627 analysing multiple commercial varieties and wild cultivars of each species. Sprout 628 consumption is an uncommon practice for the consumer at the present time, so research in the 629 mature crop may be of more relevance for enhancing GSL intake. That being said there is 630 little consensus on what the best harvest point is to maximise GSL concentrations for individual crops, or even commercial varieties. As pointed out by Bell et al. <sup>62</sup>, some studies 631 632 analysing the GSL composition of mature rocket leaves are often long after a commercially 633 relevant time point, and so this needs to be addressed with consideration for common commercial practices. 634

An excellent paper published recently by Hanschen & Schreiner <sup>110</sup> explored the 635 636 effects of ontogeny upon GSL and ITC concentrations in broccoli, cauliflower, cabbage, 637 savoy cabbage, and red cabbage sprouts and heads. Importantly, they also tested multiple 638 varieties for each crop, highlighting how important this is as a consideration for enhancing 639 health-promoting compounds. It was observed that both the types and concentrations of GSLs 640 and hydrolysis products differed between sprouts and heads, with up to ten times more 641 present in the former than the latter. It was also apparent that for the tested varieties nitriles 642 were the predominant hydrolysis product, indicating that this is an area for potential 643 improvement through selection of genes related to ITC-nitrile ratios. The authors also pointed 644 out that 'mini heads' contained the greatest concentrations of ITCs (such as sulforaphane), 645 and are perhaps a better alternative to fully mature heads in terms of maximizing ITC

646 consumption. The only drawback of the study was that the reported concentrations were for 647 raw plant material, not cooked. As discussed in the following 'Consumer' section, this may 648 have drastic effects upon myrosinases and ESP proteins, and determining the amounts and 649 types of hydrolysis products present at the point of ingestion.

In watercress, a crop which does not require cooking, an ontogenic study by Palaniswamy et al. <sup>131</sup> showed that leaves harvested at 40 days of growth after transplantation contained 150% higher PEITC than leaves at 0 days. This was a linear increase with no significant changes at 50 and 60 days. In species such as watercress where establishment of new breeding programs and varieties is difficult (due to the commercial preference of vegetative propagation), the selection of an optimum harvest date may be the most effective way in the short-term to promote maximum ITC formation in commercial crops.

# 657 **Postharvest Commercial Processing & Storage**

It is well known that GSL profiles change during postharvest processing and storage. Processing can alter food matrix composition, which increases the accessibility and bioavailability of compounds <sup>34</sup> such as ITCs. The atmosphere in which produce is stored also affects GSL concentrations <sup>13</sup>.

662 In rocket species simulated shelf life storage has revealed that individual GSLs such as diglucothiobeinin increase <sup>63</sup>. After harvest and commercial processing significant 663 664 increases in glucosativin and SFN have been observed. This indicates that postharvest 665 industrial practices induce GSL synthesis and may boost the health beneficial effects for the consumer <sup>98</sup>. Glucoraphanin has likewise been shown to increase <sup>63</sup> or remain stable <sup>98</sup> 666 667 throughout cold storage conditions, and the increases in ITCs over nitriles during storage has also been documented <sup>96</sup>. These results are encouraging, as it was previously assumed that 668 669 concentrations would be detrimentally affected by rigorous harvest and washing procedures.

These trends have also been reported in broccoli, where total GSLs have been shown to increase by up to 42%, but at high storage temperature  $(10^{\circ}C)^{132}$ . It has been suggested that increases in glucoraphanin are due to the vegetative state of the broccoli heads <sup>14</sup>. At cold-chain temperatures (0-4°C) results are more conflicting; Rybarczyk-Plonska et al. <sup>14</sup> reported no changes in GSL concentrations, Fernández-León et al. <sup>133</sup> reported increases in aliphatic GSLs and decreases in indole, and Rodrigues & Rosa <sup>134</sup> saw stable indole GSLs, but a 31% reduction in glucoraphanin.

When combined with the addition of low postharvest light (13-25  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) at 677 10°C and 4°C, aliphatic GSL concentrations have been observed to increase by up to 130%, 678 with 4-methoxyglucobrassicin also increasing <sup>14</sup>. It is unclear if the shift to warmer 679 temperature during storage has any implication for tissue degradation or increased microbial 680 681 load. These increases are arguably the result of stress responses due to the shifts in temperature from  $0^{\circ}C^{14}$ , with the relative increases seen are dependent upon dose, frequency, 682 duration of UV-B exposure <sup>135</sup>. Increases have been reported for 4-683 and hydroxyglucobrassicin at 18°C with 25  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light <sup>14</sup>, but it is difficult to see how these 684 685 recommendations can be applied to commercial produce.

686

#### 687 THE CONSUMER

## 688 General

Some consumers are becoming more health conscious, and while not always the primary decision in purchasing and eating food, nutritional content is an aspect which is more evident in the decision-making process <sup>86</sup>. They are looking for products that are "healthy" and "natural", and scrutinizing the nutritional value of Brassicaceae crops <sup>136,137</sup>. This is especially the case for young consumers, who are open to trying new foods <sup>138</sup>. That being said, the average contribution to the "five-a-day" that Brassicaceae account for is between 0.2 695 - 0.5 servings <sup>137</sup>, and even further from the optimum "ten-a-day" <sup>82</sup>. This section will
696 explore the processes relating directly to the consumer after purchase, such as cooking,
697 sensory perceptions and preferences, and human health and metabolic aspects.

698 Previous reviews have addressed the mechanisms involved in processing and the changes initiated in GSL and ITC profiles <sup>2,139</sup>. Few however have done so with the purpose 699 700 of using such data to inform plant-breeding selections and improving the varieties 701 themselves, rather than the methods used to process them. The effects of cooking on ITC 702 formation in one variety of cabbage may not be the same as another, for example. The taste 703 of one rocket variety may be preferred over another because of underlying phytochemical 704 interactions with ITCs. The relative stability of myrosinases between broccoli varieties may 705 determine the formation of ITCs over nitriles. All of these are quantifiable traits that can be 706 used to inform breeding selections, and can be linked to the biochemistry and physiology of 707 plants, which are ultimately determined at the genetic level.

# 708 Cooking Methods

The means by which produce is prepared by the consumer influences the amounts of beneficial compounds that are ingested <sup>140</sup>. This includes all aspects relating to peeling, chopping and cooking. Depending on the species, this affects GSL concentrations and the production of hydrolysis products that are responsible for health benefits.

The heat generated by cooking often leads to myrosinase inactivation at temperatures > $60^{\circ}C^{18}$ , and is a barrier to increasing health benefits. In addition to this, high temperatures ( $\geq 100^{\circ}C$ ) also cause GSL degradation when tissue water content is > $34\%^{33}$ ; this means commercial produce would be severely affected. Boiling crops like watercress results in severe GSL losses – probably through such thermal degradation <sup>71</sup>.

Steaming of vegetables has produced some conflicting results. Papers have reported
 GSL losses, some no-significant change, and others have observed significant increases <sup>140</sup>. A

study by Giallourou et al. <sup>71</sup> on the effects of cooking on watercress, found that steaming 720 significantly increased gluconasturtiin concentrations (from 1.8 to 2.0 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw), and 721 Gliszczyńska-Świgło et al.<sup>141</sup> reported a 1.2-fold increase in total GSLs in broccoli. In the 722 723 latter study, the authors hypothesised that this increase was time dependent, having seen no 724 significant effects before 3.5 minutes of steaming. Similarly with watercress, steaming for 2-725 5 minutes saw no major losses in GSLs. This suggests there is an optimum time to steam in 726 order to increase or preserve GSL bioavailability and avoid their breakdown due to prolonged heat. Another study looking at broccoli steaming found an increase in total GSL content <sup>141</sup>. 727 728 however it is speculated that this is because cooking and heating increases compound extractability <sup>33</sup>. This translates into greater bioavailability and benefits to the consumer <sup>30</sup>, 729 730 and it has been demonstrated in simulated in vitro digestion of cauliflower that sinigrin 731 bioavailability is increased by 29.5% and 114.7% after steaming and boiling, respectively <sup>142</sup>. Ciska & Kozłowska<sup>143</sup> hypothesised that the disintegration of tissues by heat releases GSLs 732 733 which would otherwise be bound within cell walls; this would account for the relative 734 increases observed. But GSL bioavailability is of little significance for human health unless 735 there is a means by which they can be hydrolysed into ITCs/indoles.

Microwaving has been found to induce severe GSL losses in numerous studies. As with steaming, it has been hypothesised that microwaves cause a cell structure collapse leading to contact between GSLs and myrosinase <sup>140</sup>. No studies have determined if there is a respective increase in ITCs as a result, or whether myrosinase is inactivated due to high temperatures.

Matusheski et al. <sup>144</sup> have demonstrated that cooking chopped broccoli heads at 60°C for 5 – 10 minutes increases and favors SFN production. It was hypothesised that the 60°C heat inactivated ESPs leaving myrosinase active and free to convert GSLs to ITCs. Such optimization methods for maximizing content signify that high SFN concentrations could be realize ingested even after cooking, providing that heating is not too prolonged or intense. Breeding
efforts should therefore focus on selecting plant lines with greater myrosinase function and
stability ant higher temperatures.

# 748 **Condiment Selection**

There is some evidence to suggest that the condiment with which Brassicaceae are ingested aids in ITC production and enhances absorption within the gastrointestinal tract (studied in rats). Ippoushi et al. <sup>145</sup> have demonstrated that when raw, grated daikon radish is prepared in oil, the ITC absorptive content was increased compared to water. This perhaps suggests that oil stabilizes and preserves ITCs before ingestion.

The addition of exogenous myrosinase to cooked Brassicaceae has also been suggested as a means to boost GSL conversion to ITCs <sup>18</sup>. This commonly means the addition of mustard to foods, but many people find the pungency of this condiment too intense.

#### 757 Sensory Perceptions

758 The effects of differing GSL content in produce on the consumer and their tastes are very complicated <sup>68</sup>. It is known that not all consumers are the same in their preferences for 759 Brassicaceae vegetables due to differences in genotype and life experience <sup>146</sup>. Certain GSLs 760 761 and their hydrolysis products have been attributed with bitter tastes. The rejection of bitter tastes by some consumers is a barrier to encouraging greater consumption <sup>13</sup>, especially if 762 breeding goals are to increase quantities within tissues <sup>102</sup>. It has been demonstrated that 763 bitterness perceptions can be reduced or even masked <sup>147</sup> by enhancing relative sugar 764 concentrations within tissues <sup>146</sup>. Therefore, through selective breeding, health-beneficial 765 766 bitter compounds can be enhanced without negatively impacting on consumer acceptance.

Crop sensory improvement through plant breeding is perhaps even further behind efforts to breed for health benefits. These two should go hand-in-glove, but often are not considered together in published research papers. The trends seen in consumers preferring to purchase more nutritious foods has not been mirrored by an improvement of the sensory properties of the foods themselves <sup>148</sup>. This means that if this trend is to be expanded or sustained, new varieties will need to be produced with enhanced sensory and nutritional traits, not just one or the other.

#### 774 Gut Microflora

775 Many cooking studies on Brassicaceae have reported significant increases in available 776 GSLs, but often omit that the temperatures involved would significantly or completely 777 inactivate myrosinases. This means that any GSL to ITC and indole conversion would be 778 reliant upon gut microflora. Some bacteria found within the human gut are known to possess 779 myrosinase-like enzymes. They act as a potential means by which humans can ingest ITCs, 780 even if cooking has inactivated plant myrosinase. It has been speculated that such bacteria 781 play a vital role in mediating the health benefits of ITCs, but the degree to which this occurs 782 is unclear and requires extensive study <sup>106</sup>.

# 783 Consumer Health Benefits – Evidence From Cell & Animal Studies

784 The vast majority of knowledge accumulated around ITCs comes from cell and 785 animal studies. ITCs and indoles are classed as anticarcinogens and act as blocking agents that increase cytochrome P450 activity <sup>149</sup>; see Figure 2 for chemical structures of the most 786 787 widely studied compounds. The prevailing mechanism of action suggested within studies is 788 phase II metabolic detoxification enzyme activation, such as glutathione-S-transferase (GST), NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase (NQO), and phase I enzyme inhibition <sup>149–151</sup>. Waste 789 790 metabolites produced by cells are excreted into the blood and converted by the liver into mercapturic acid; this is then excreted in the urine  $^{96}$ . 791

SFN has been linked with detoxification pathway modification, which increases the excretion of potential carcinogens from cells <sup>30</sup>. It is also linked with prostate cancer cell apoptosis, and has been shown to act in a dose-dependent manner against kidney and colorectal cancer cell lines by inhibiting histone deacetylation <sup>150</sup>. There is also evidence to suggest that the increase in phase II detoxification enzymes by SFN could help reduce damaging effects in basal ganglia, and protect dopaminergic neurons <sup>10</sup>; this has significant implications for neurodegenerative diseases. For an excellent review of the neuroprotective effects of SFN see Giacoppo et al. <sup>10</sup>.

800 ITCs such as PEITC (abundant in watercress) and AITC (abundant in mustards) have 801 been shown in cell studies to inhibit tumorigenesis, protect DNA from damage, and induce 802 apoptosis. The specific structure and length of the alkyl chain an ITC has is linked to its 803 efficacy in inhibiting tumor formation. Phenylhexyl ITC (C<sub>6</sub>; PHITC) is 50 – 100 times more 804 efficacious in this respect than PEITC <sup>150</sup> in studies focused on reducing the effects of 805 smoking. The dose used however was 5  $\mu$ mol (1.1 mg) per mouse for four days – far in 806 excess of what an equivalent human could realistically ingest <sup>152</sup>.

The juice extracts from Brassicaceae plants such as 'salad' rocket <sup>63</sup>, garden cress <sup>153</sup> 807 and radish <sup>61</sup>, and their application to cancerous cell lines, such as colon cancer (HT-29) or 808 809 hepatoma (HepG2) cells, are used to establish antigenotoxic, detoxification or 810 antiproliferative effects. In rocket, it has been shown that extracts have protective effects against DNA damage in comet assays <sup>63</sup>. ITCs and their cysteine conjugates have shown 811 efficacy in inhibiting HL-60 leukemia cells at concentrations as low as  $0.8 \mu mol.L^{-1150}$ . In the 812 use of other cell lines, the results are more mixed: some respond with an increase in CYP 813 activity when exposed, whereas others do not <sup>149</sup>. 814

Similar effects have been associated with indolic-GSL breakdown products, such as I3C and 3,3'-diindolylmethane (DIM). Dietary studies conducted in rats have found that phase II detoxification enzymes are enhanced in the stomach, liver and small intestine after consumption of these compounds. Indoles are thought to act somewhat differently to ITCs however, inhibiting cancer cells through cytostatic mechanisms, rather than apoptosis <sup>96</sup>.
## 820 Consumer Health Benefits – Evidence From Human Clinical Trials & Epidemiology

821 The increase in consumption of fruits and vegetables is accepted to be beneficial to human health <sup>154</sup>, but the compounds responsible and the interactions with genotype are not 822 clear. It is assumed that what is beneficial for one person to consume, is beneficial for all 823 824 people. This is not the case for many food types, and some evidence suggests it is the same 825 for Brassicaceae vegetable consumption. It is known that human metabolic genotypes vary in 826 the degree of beneficial effects that they will impart after ingestion of phytochemical compounds <sup>155</sup>, and adds an additional layer of complexity to producing Brassicaceae with 827 enhanced GSL/ITC traits <sup>75</sup>. 828

829 The quantities required to elicit benefits in humans (both acute and chronic) are 830 difficult to define due to variations in bioavailability within Brassicaceae food matrices and GSL-metabolism by gut microbiota in subjects <sup>156</sup>. The experimental quantities used in 831 832 clinical research trials frequently do not translate into realistic or sustainable amounts that the average person can achieve. A study by Bogaards, Verhagen, & Willems<sup>157</sup> demonstrated 833 834 that after human males consumed 300 g of Brussels sprouts per day, there was a significant 835 increase in GST products in the blood compared to those on a GSL-free diet. While 836 indicative of an underlying metabolic mechanism for ITC degradation, few people would be 837 willing or able to consume such large Brussels sprout quantities on a daily basis. The 838 impracticality of studies in the 'real world' and to ordinary people often detracts from the 839 importance of the mechanistic findings. Doses are also often administered in a form that would not regularly be consumed (i.e. as a drink or powder supplement)<sup>158</sup>, which limits the 840 841 relevance of results and the conclusions drawn. This raises the question: are the beneficial 842 effects seen in trials 'real-world' effects, or just ones induced by extreme acute consumption? 843 Epidemiological studies looking at cancer risk vs. Brassicaceae vegetable 844 consumption have reported mixed results. Studies in patients with prostate cancer, for example, have found both significant inverse associations and no significant associations. For other cancers, such as endometrial, the risk reductions reported are moderate <sup>151</sup>. Data are encouraging, but do not identify or distinguish the modes of action that are responsible <sup>106</sup>. ITCs and indoles are strong candidates, but other compounds such as flavonoids, carotenoids and anthocyanins are also present in Brassicaceae. It is unlikely that these compounds act in isolation within the human body, and it may be the combined effect of ingesting a diverse range of phytochemicals contributes towards such risk reductions <sup>63</sup>.

852 Genetic studies on humans have identified several genes that play a role in ITC metabolism. GST loci and the associated GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP genotype 853 854 polymorphisms impact the relative protective effects of ITCs that an individual will receive. 855 Individuals that are GSTT1-null and GSTM1-null are at higher risk of developing some 856 cancers, such as renal cell carcinoma. Those who carry present copies of both GSTT1 and 857 GSTM1, and have only a low Brassicaceae intake, are still at a lower risk than null individuals by comparison  $^{151}$ . It has been estimated that up to 40% of the population may 858 859 benefit from increased Brassicaceae consumption due to the elevated risk associated with some null genotypes <sup>13</sup>. Breeding goals selecting for certain GSLs/ITCs have not considered 860 861 consumer genotype as a variable, but in future this must be an expressed goal if populations are to gain full benefits of newly developed varieties <sup>75</sup>. This means that selection and 862 863 enhancement for other compounds such as flavonoid glycosides, anthocyanins and 864 carotenoids may be practical way of ensuring an 'all-round' health benefit to Brassicaceae 865 crops.

It is well documented in clinical studies of raw vs. cooked vegetables that cancer risk (of multiple types) decreases with raw plant matter ingestion <sup>159</sup>. Consuming uncooked species (such as rocket or watercress) increases the contact between GSLs and myrosinase and the amounts of ITCs absorbed <sup>18</sup>. Due to the detrimental effects of cooking on GSLs and 870 myrosinase, *B. oleracea* crops may not be as effective/efficient as uncooked species at 871 eliciting such reductions in overall risk.

872 The reported anticancer effects of Brassicaceae in the diet are poorly substantiated by 873 empirical quantification of the total GSL/ITC amounts that are ingested and absorbed by the 874 body, due to the potential variables previously outlined. A review of the health promoting properties of broccoli by Ares et al. <sup>160</sup> concluded that even with high broccoli intake, it is 875 876 likely to be insufficient to stimulate anticancer effects at doses outlined in clinical studies. 877 Broccoli varieties bred for high glucoraphanin content have showed promise however. It has been observed that doubling the level of glucoraphanin in florets can produce a three-fold 878 879 increase in sulforaphane metabolites within the bloodstream compared with a standard variety <sup>155</sup>. This is supported by some excellent and rigorous human clinical studies with 880 Beneforté broccoli, and have shown encouraging results <sup>161-163</sup> 881

882

## 883 SUMMARY

884 Cell and animal studies have shown that ITCs and indoles have strong protective effects against some cancers <sup>164</sup>. Epidemiological evidence also suggests that vegetables 885 containing GSLs are associated with reduced risks of developing cancer, heart disease <sup>165</sup> and 886 neurodegenerative diseases <sup>10</sup>. These two kinds of studies are measuring very different things 887 888 however. In vitro and in vivo animal studies often use ITC compounds in isolation and at high doses <sup>166</sup> measuring only acute effects. Epidemiological research often takes place over 889 890 several years, and does not account for compounds acting in isolation (i.e. the beneficial effects cannot be wholly attributed to GSLs/ITCs)<sup>55</sup>. Flavonols, anthocyanins and 891 892 carotenoids are but a few of the other classes present in these crops, and all have similar reported effects attributed to them <sup>96,167</sup>. 893

894 The health benefits a consumer receives from long-term Brassicaceae ingestion 895 depends on the type and abundances of GSLs/ITCs/indoles within tissues. It depends on the 896 environment in which these crops were grown, and their genetic predisposition for producing 897 certain myrosinase breakdown products over others (i.e. ITC: nitrile ratio). It depends on how 898 the crop is stored, prepared and cooked; it even depends on the metabolic genotype of the 899 individual consumer. This therefore means that GSL measurement at harvest, as a proxy for 900 ITCs/indoles at the time of consumption is extremely tenuous. It makes suggesting how much 901 Brassicaceae should be consumed difficult and filled with caveats that are specific to the 902 species in question and the person consuming it.

903 In order to breed new Brassicaceae varieties with enhanced health benefits, the concentrations and relative myrosinase hydrolysis product abundances must be considered <sup>75</sup>. 904 905 The literature is plentiful in studies analysing and reporting GSL concentrations, but is 906 lacking in corresponding ITC, nitrile and indole measurements. The predominant reason for 907 this is that these compounds are difficult to extract, identify and quantify, due to their volatile/unstable nature and reactivity <sup>168</sup>. Simple methods have now been developed 908 however, which give robust and informative results <sup>98,169</sup>. While the extraction methods take 909 910 longer than a crude methanol GSL extraction, it is possible to analyse ITCs/nitriles easily by 911 GC-MS. The information about these compounds will be vital to breeders in making 912 informed selections for any possible health benefits. GSLs are a convenient proxy 913 measurement for the types of breakdown products, but are not in-and-of themselves a good 914 indicator of ITC:nitrile ratios, total abundances, or myrosinase activity.

In conclusion, the future of breeding for enhanced GSL/ITC Brassicaceae crops is positive due to the abundance of phenotypic variation available for selection by breeders, and the increased interest in developing health-beneficial products for the consumer. Consumers themselves are actively looking for such products, and are more aware about the long-term

38

920	breed	ling in this way is achievable for commercial Brassicaceae crops, but must be done in a
921	holist	tic way which accounts for every stage of varietal development, commercial production,
922	agron	nomic, and environmental factors - as well as the tastes, preferences and genotypes of
923	the er	nd consumer <sup>75</sup> . This may take decades to achieve, but a roadmap has been established.
924		
925	Refe	rences
926	(1)	Choi, SH.; Park, S.; Lim, Y. P.; Kim, SJ.; Park, JT.; An, G. Metabolite profiles of glucosinolates in
927	(-)	cabbage varieties ( <i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i> ) by season, color, and tissue position. <i>Hortic.</i>
928		Environ. Biotechnol. 2014, 55, 237–247.
929	(2)	Verkerk, R.; Schreiner, M.; Krumbein, A.; Ciska, E.; Holst, B.; Rowland, I.; De Schrijver, R.; Hansen,
930	(2)	M.; Gerhauser, C.; Mithen, R.; Dekker, M. Glucosinolates in <i>Brassica</i> vegetables: The influence of the
931		food supply chain on intake, bioavailability and human health. <i>Mol. Nutr. Food Res.</i> 2009, 53, S219–
932		S265.
933	(3)	Grubb, C. D.; Abel, S. Glucosinolate metabolism and its control. <i>Trends Plant Sci.</i> <b>2006</b> , <i>11</i> , 89–100.
934	(4)	Mithen, R. Leaf glucosinolate profiles and their relationship to pest and disease resistance in oilseed
935	()	rape. <i>Euphytica</i> <b>1992</b> , <i>63</i> , 71–83.
936	(5)	Ahuja, I.; Rohloff, J.; Bones, A. M. Defence mechanisms of Brassicaceae: implications for plant-insect
937	(5)	interactions and potential for integrated pest management. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable
938		Development, 2010, 30, 311–348.
939	(6)	Voutsina, N.; Payne, A. C.; Hancock, R. D.; Clarkson, G. J. J.; Rothwell, S. D.; Chapman, M. A.;
940	(0)	Taylor, G. Characterization of the watercress ( <i>Nasturtium officinale</i> R. Br.; Brassicaceae) transcriptome
941		using RNASeq and identification of candidate genes for important phytonutrient traits linked to human
942		health. <i>BMC Genomics</i> <b>2016</b> , <i>17</i> , 378.
943	(7)	Moreno, D. A.; Carvajal, M.; López-Berenguer, C.; García-Viguera, C. Chemical and biological
944	(.)	characterisation of nutraceutical compounds of broccoli. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2006, 41, 1508–1522.
945	(8)	Higdon, J. V; Delage, B.; Williams, D. E.; Dashwood, R. H. Cruciferous vegetables and human cancer
946		risk: epidemiologic evidence and mechanistic basis. <i>Pharmacol. Res.</i> <b>2007</b> , <i>55</i> , 224–236.
947	(9)	Zhang, X. L.; Shu, X. O.; Xiang, Y. B.; Yang, G.; Li, H. L.; Gao, J.; Cai, H.; Gao, Y. T.; Zheng, W.
948	(-)	Cruciferous vegetable consumption is associated with a reduced risk of total and cardiovascular disease
949		mortality. <i>Am. J. Clin. Nutr.</i> <b>2011</b> , <i>94</i> , 240–246.
950	(10)	Giacoppo, S.; Galuppo, M.; Montaut, S.; Iori, R.; Rollin, P.; Bramanti, P.; Mazzon, E. An overview on
951	()	neuroprotective effects of isothiocyanates for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. <i>Fitoterapia</i>
952		<b>2015</b> , <i>106</i> , 12–21.
953	(11)	Baenas, N.; García-Viguera, C.; Moreno, D. A. Biotic elicitors effectively increase the glucosinolates
954	× /	content in Brassicaceae sprouts. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2014, 62, 1881–1889.

effects of bad dietary habits <sup>170</sup>. As the development of *Beneforté* broccoli has demonstrated,

919

- 955 (12) Rekhy, R.; McConchie, R. Promoting consumption of fruit and vegetables for better health. Have
  956 campaigns delivered on the goals? *Appetite* 2014, *79*, 113–123.
- 957 (13) Jones, R. B.; Faragher, J. D.; Winkler, S. A review of the influence of postharvest treatments on quality
  958 and glucosinolate content in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) heads. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.*959 2006, 41, 1–8.
- 960 (14) Rybarczyk-Plonska, A.; Hagen, S. F.; Borge, G. I. A.; Bengtsson, G. B.; Hansen, M. K.; Wold, A.-B.
  961 Glucosinolates in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*) as affected by postharvest temperature and
  962 radiation treatments. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2016, *116*, 16–25.
- 963 (15) Pérez-Balibrea, S.; Moreno, D. A.; García-Viguera, C. Glucosinolates in broccoli sprouts (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) as conditioned by sulphate supply during germination. J. Food Sci. 2010, 75, C673–C677.
- 966 (16) Mølmann, J. A. B.; Steindal, A. L. H.; Bengtsson, G. B.; Seljåsen, R.; Lea, P.; Skaret, J.; Johansen, T. J.
  967 Effects of temperature and photoperiod on sensory quality and contents of glucosinolates, flavonols and
  968 vitamin C in broccoli florets. *Food Chem.* 2015, 172, 47–55.
- 969 (17) Brown, A. F.; Yousef, G. G.; Jeffrey, E. H.; Klein, B. P.; Wallig, M. A.; Kushad, M. M.; Juvik, J. A.
  970 Glucosinolate profiles in broccoli: Variation in levels and implications in breeding for cancer
  971 chemoprotection. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 2002, 127, 807–813.
- 972 (18) Deng, Q.; Zinoviadou, K. G.; Galanakis, C. M.; Orlien, V.; Grimi, N.; Vorobiev, E.; Lebovka, N.;
  973 Barba, F. J. The Effects of Conventional and Non-conventional Processing on Glucosinolates and Its
  974 Derived Forms, Isothiocyanates: Extraction, Degradation, and Applications. *Food Eng. Rev.* 2015, *7*,
  975 357–381.
- (19) Carlson, D. G.; Daxenbichler, M. E.; Van Etten, C. H.; Kwolek, W. F.; Williams, P. H. Glucosinolates
  in Crucifer Vegetables Broccoli, Brussels-Sprouts, Cauliflower, Collards, Kale, Mustard Greens, and
  Kohlrabi. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 1987, 112, 173–178.
- 979 (20) Sarikamis, G.; Marquez, J.; MacCormack, R.; Bennett, R. N.; Roberts, J.; Mithen, R. High glucosinolate
  980 broccoli: a delivery system for sulforaphane. *Mol. Breed.* 2006, *18*, 219–228.
- 981 (21) Schonhof, I.; Krumbein, A.; Brückner, B.; Bruckner, B. Genotypic effects on glucosinolates and sensory
  982 properties of broccoli and cauliflower. *Food/Nahrung* 2004, *48*, 25–33.
- 983 (22) Schreiner, M. C.; Peters, P. J.; Krumbein, A. B. Glucosinolates in mixed-packaged mini broccoli and
  984 mini cauliflower under modified atmosphere. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 2218–2222.
- 985 (23) Traka, M. H.; Saha, S.; Huseby, S.; Kopriva, S.; Walley, P. G.; Barker, G. C.; Moore, J.; Mero, G.; van
  986 den Bosch, F.; Constant, H.; Kelly, L.; Schepers, H.; Boddupalli, S.; Mithen, R. F. Genetic regulation of
  987 glucoraphanin accumulation in Beneforté broccoli. *New Phytol.* 2013, *198*, 1085–1095.
- (24) Zasada, I. A.; Ferris, H. Nematode suppression with brassicaceous amendments: application based upon
  glucosinolate profiles. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2004, *36*, 1017–1024.
- (25) Charron, C. S.; Saxton, A. M.; Sams, C. E. Relationship of climate and genotype to seasonal variation in
  the glucosinolate-myrosinase system. I. Glucosinolate content in ten cultivars of *Brassica oleracea*grown in fall and spring seasons. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2005, 85, 671–681.
- 993 (26) Jeffery, E. H.; Brown, A. F.; Kurilich, A. C.; Keck, A. S.; Matusheski, N.; Klein, B. P.; Juvik, J. A.
- 994 Variation in content of bioactive components in broccoli. J. Food Compos. Anal. 2003, 16, 323–330.

- (27) Kushad, M. M.; Brown, A. F.; Kurilich, A. C.; Juvik, J. A.; Klein, B. P.; Wallig, M. A.; Jeffery, E. H.
  Variation of glucosinolates in vegetable crops of *Brassica oleracea*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1999, 47, 1541–1548.
- (28) Latte, K. P.; Appel, K. E.; Lampen, A. Health benefits and possible risks of broccoli An overview. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2011, 49, 3287–3309.
- 1000 (29) Jones, R. B.; Frisina, C. L.; Winkler, S.; Imsic, M.; Tomkins, R. B. Cooking method significantly
  effects glucosinolate content and sulforaphane production in broccoli florets. *Food Chem.* 2010, *123*,
  1002 237–242.
- 1003 (30) Mahn, A.; Reyes, A. An overview of health-promoting compounds of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var.
  1004 *italica*) and the effect of processing. *Food Sci. Technol. Int.* 2012, *18*, 503–514.
- 1005 (31) Mithen, R. F.; Dekker, M.; Verkerk, R.; Rabot, S.; Johnson, I. T. The nutritional significance,
  biosynthesis and bioavailability of glucosinolates in human foods. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2000, 80, 967–
  1007 984.
- 1008 (32) Tiedink, H. G. M.; Davies, J. A. R.; van Broekhoven, L. W.; van der Kamp, H. J.; Jongen, W. M. F.
  1009 Formation of mutagenic N-nitroso compounds in vegetable extracts upon nitrite treatment: A
  1010 comparison with the glucosinolate content. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 1988, 26, 947–954.
- 1011(33)Oliviero, T.; Verkerk, R.; Dekker, M. Effect of water content and temperature on glucosinolate1012degradation kinetics in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). Food Chem. 2012, 132, 2037–2045.
- 1013 (34) Pellegrini, N.; Chiavaro, E.; Gardana, C.; Mazzeo, T.; Contino, D.; Gallo, M.; Riso, P.; Fogliano, V.;
  1014 Porrini, M. Effect of different cooking methods on color, phytochemical concentration, and antioxidant
  1015 capacity of raw and frozen *Brassica* vegetables. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2010, *58*, 4310–4321.
- 1016 (35) Smith, T. K.; Lund, E. K.; Clarke, R. G.; Bennett, R. N.; Johnson, I. T. Effects of Brussels sprout juice
  1017 on the cell cycle and adhesion of human colorectal carcinoma cells (HT29) in vitro. J. Agric. Food
  1018 Chem. 2005, 53, 3895–3901.
- 1019 (36) Schreiner, M.; Krumbein, A.; Ruppel, S. Interaction between plants and bacteria: glucosinolates and
   1020 phyllospheric colonization of cruciferous vegetables by *Enterobacter radicincitans* DSM 16656. *J. Mol.* 1021 *Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2009, 17, 124–135.
- 1022 (37) Cartea, M. E.; Velasco, P.; Obregon, S.; Padilla, G.; de Haro, A. Seasonal variation in glucosinolate
  1023 content in *Brassica oleracea* crops grown in northwestern Spain. *Phytochemistry* 2008, *69*, 403–410.
- 1024 (38) Dekker, M.; Verkerk, R.; Jongen, W. M. F. Predictive modelling of health aspects in the food
  1025 production chain: a case study on glucosinolates in cabbage. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2000, 11, 174–
  1026 181.
- 1027 (39) Volden, J.; Borge, G. I. A.; Bengtsson, G. B.; Hansen, M.; Thygesen, I. E.; Wicklund, T. Effect of
  1028 thermal treatment on glucosinolates and antioxidant-related parameters in red cabbage (*Brassica*1029 oleracea L. ssp. capitata f. rubra) Food Chem. 2008, 109, 595–605.
- 1030 (40) Oerlemans, K.; Barrett, D. M.; Suades, C. B.; Verkerk, R.; Dekker, M. Thermal degradation of
  1031 glucosinolates in red cabbage. *Food Chem.* 2006, 95, 19–29.
- 1032 (41) Verkerk, R.; Dekker, M. Glucosinolates and myrosinase activity in red cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.
  1033 var. *Capitata* f. *rubra* DC.) after various microwave treatments. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 7318–
  1034 7323.

- 1035 (42) Volden, J.; Borge, G. I. A.; Hansen, M.; Wicklund, T.; Bengtsson, G. B. Processing (blanching, boiling,
  1036 steaming) effects on the content of glucosinolates and antioxidant-related parameters in cauliflower
  1037 (*Brassica oleracea* L. ssp. *botrytis*). *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 2009, 42, 63–73.
- 1038 (43) Kang, J. Y.; Ibrahim, K. E.; Juvik, J. A.; Kim, D. H.; Kang, W. J. Genetic and environmental variation
  1039 of glucosinolate content in Chinese cabbage. *Hortscience* 2006, *41*, 1382–1385.
- 1040 (44) Kim, J. K.; Chu, S. M.; Kim, S. J.; Lee, D. J.; Lee, S. Y.; Lim, S. H.; Ha, S.-H.; Kweon, S. J.; Cho, H. S.
  1041 Variation of glucosinolates in vegetable crops of *Brassica rapa* L. ssp *pekinensis*. *Food Chem.* 2010, 119, 423–428.
- 1043 (45) Baek, S.-A.; Jung, Y.-H.; Lim, S.-H.; Park, S. U.; Kim, J. K. Metabolic Profiling in Chinese Cabbage
  1044 (*Brassica rapa* L. subsp. *pekinensis*) Cultivars Reveals that Glucosinolate Content Is Correlated with
  1045 Carotenoid Content. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2016, 64, 4426–4434.
- (46) Qian, H.; Liu, T.; Deng, M.; Miao, H.; Cai, C.; Shen, W.; Wang, Q. Effects of light quality on main
  health-promoting compounds and antioxidant capacity of Chinese kale sprouts. *Food Chem.* 2016, *196*,
  1048 1232–1238.
- 1049 (47) Guo, R.; Huang, Z.; Deng, Y.; Chen, X.; XuHan, X.; Lai, Z. Comparative Transcriptome Analyses
  1050 Reveal a Special Glucosinolate Metabolism Mechanism in *Brassica alboglabra* Sprouts. *Front. Plant*1051 Sci. 2016, 7, 1497.
- 1052 (48) Schreiner, M.; Beyene, B.; Krumbein, A.; Stützel, H. Ontogenetic Changes of 2-Propenyl and 31053 Indolylmethyl Glucosinolates in *Brassica carinata* Leaves as Affected by Water Supply. *J. Agric. Food*1054 *Chem.* 2009, *57*, 7259–7263.
- 1055 (49) Bellostas, N.; Sørensen, J. C.; Sørensen, H. Profiling glucosinolates in vegetative and reproductive
  1056 tissues of four Brassica species of the U-triangle for their biofumigation potential. J. Sci. Food Agric.
  1057 2007, 87, 1586–1594.
- 1058 (50) Abe, K.; Kido, S.; Maeda, T.; Kami, D.; Matsuura, H.; Shimura, H.; Suzuki, T. Glucosinolate profiles in
   1059 *Cardamine fauriei* and effect of light quality on glucosinolate concentration. *Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam).* 1060 2015, 189, 12–16.
- 1061 (51) Avato, P.; Argentieri, M. P. Brassicaceae: a rich source of health improving phytochemicals.
   1062 *Phytochem. Rev.* 2015, 14, 1019–1033.
- 1063 (52) Steindal, A. L. H.; Rødven, R.; Hansen, E.; Mølmann, J. Effects of photoperiod, growth temperature and
  1064 cold acclimatisation on glucosinolates, sugars and fatty acids in kale. *Food Chem.* 2015, *174*, 44–51.
- 1065 (53) Font, R.; del Rio-Celestino, M.; Cartea, E.; de Haro-Bailon, A. Quantification of glucosinolates in
  1066 leaves of leaf rape (*Brassica napus* ssp *pabularia*) by near-infrared spectroscopy. *Phytochemistry* 2005,
  1067 66, 175–185.
- 1068 (54) Yábar, E.; Pedreschi, R.; Chirinos, R.; Campos, D. Glucosinolate content and myrosinase activity
  1069 evolution in three maca (*Lepidium meyenii* Walp.) ecotypes during preharvest, harvest and postharvest
  1070 drying. *Food Chem.* 2011, *127*, 1576–1583.
- 1071 (55) Possenti, M.; Baima, S.; Raffo, A.; Durazzo, A.; Giusti, A. M.; Natella, F. In *Glucosinolates*; Springer
  1072 International Publishing: Cham, 2016; pp. 1–46.
- 1073 (56) Förster, N.; Ulrichs, C.; Schreiner, M.; Müller, C. T.; Mewis, I. Development of a reliable extraction
  1074 and quantification method for glucosinolates in *Moringa oleifera*. *Food Chem.* 2015, *166*, 456–464.

- 1075 (57) Doerr, B.; Wade, K. L.; Stephenson, K. K.; Reed, S. B.; Fahey, J. W. Cultivar Effect on *Moringa*1076 *oleifera* Glucosinolate Content and Taste: A Pilot Study. *Ecol. Food Nutr.* 2009, *48*, 199–211.
- 1077 (58) Förster, N.; Ulrichs, C.; Schreiner, M.; Arndt, N.; Schmidt, R.; Mewis, I. Ecotype Variability in Growth
  and Secondary Metabolite Profile in *Moringa oleifera*: Impact of Sulfur and Water Availability. J.
  1079 Agric. Food Chem. 2015, 63, 2852–2861.
- 1080 (59) Cosme, M.; Franken, P.; Mewis, I.; Baldermann, S.; Wurst, S. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi affect
  1081 glucosinolate and mineral element composition in leaves of *Moringa oleifera*. *Mycorrhiza* 2014, 24,
  1082 565–570.
- 1083 (60) Bennett, R. N.; Mellon, F. A.; Foidl, N.; Pratt, J. H.; Dupont, M. S.; Perkins, L.; Kroon, P. A. Profiling
  1084 Glucosinolates and Phenolics in Vegetative and Reproductive Tissues of the Multi-Purpose Trees
  1085 Moringa oleifera L. (Horseradish Tree) and Moringa stenopetala L. and Marketing and Enterprise
  1086 Program for Natural Resource-Based Products, Malawi Agr. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003, 51, 3546–
  1087 3553.
- 1088 (61) Hanlon, P. R.; Webber, D. M.; Barnes, D. M. Aqueous extract from spanish black radish (*Raphanus sativus* L. Var. *niger*) induces detoxification enzymes in the HepG2 human hepatoma cell line. J. Agric.
  1090 Food Chem. 2007, 55, 6439–6446.
- 1091 (62) Bell, L.; Oruna-Concha, M. J.; Wagstaff, C. Identification and quantification of glucosinolate and
  1092 flavonol compounds in rocket salad (*Eruca sativa, Eruca vesicaria* and *Diplotaxis tenuifolia*) by LC1093 MS: highlighting the potential for improving nutritional value of rocket crops. *Food Chem.* 2015, *172*,
  1094 852–861.
- 1095 (63) Jin, J.; Koroleva, O. A.; Gibson, T.; Swanston, J.; Magan, J.; Zhang, Y.; Rowland, I. R.; Wagstaff, C.
  1096 Analysis of Phytochemical Composition and Chemoprotective Capacity of Rocket (*Eruca sativa* and *Diplotaxis tenuifolia*) Leafy Salad Following Cultivation in Different Environments. J. Agric. Food
  1098 Chem. 2009, 57, 5227–5234.
- 1099 (64) Selma, M. V; Martinez-Sanchez, A.; Allende, A.; Ros, M.; Hernandez, M. T.; Gil, M. I. Impact of
  1100 Organic Soil Amendments on Phytochemicals and Microbial Quality of Rocket Leaves (*Eruca sativa*).
  1101 J. Agric. Food Chem. 2010, 58, 8331–8337.
- 1102 (65) Taranto, F.; Francese, G.; Di Dato, F.; D'Alessandro, A.; Greco, B.; Onofaro Sanajà, V.; Pentangelo,
  1103 A.; Mennella, G.; Tripodi, P. Leaf Metabolic, Genetic, and Morphophysiological Profiles of Cultivated
  1104 and Wild Rocket Salad (*Eruca* and *Diplotaxis* Spp.). J. Agric. Food Chem. 2016, 64, 5824–5836.
- 1105 (66) Cataldi, T. R. I.; Rubino, A.; Lelario, F.; Bufo, S. A. Naturally occuring glucosinolates in plant extracts
  1106 of rocket salad (*Eruca sativa* L.) identified by liquid chromatography coupled with negative ion
  1107 electrospray ionization and quadrupole ion-trap mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*1108 2007, 21, 2374–2388.
- 1109 (67) Agerbirk, N.; Olsen, C. E. Glucosinolate structures in evolution. *Phytochemistry* 2012, 77, 16–45.
- 1110 (68) Johansen, T. J.; Hagen, S. F.; Bengtsson, G. B.; Mølmann, J. A. B. Growth temperature affects sensory
  1111 quality and contents of glucosinolates, vitamin C and sugars in swede roots (*Brassica napus* L. ssp.
  1112 *rapifera* Metzg.). *Food Chem.* 2016, 196, 228–235.
- 1113 (69) Omondi, E. O.; Engels, C.; Nambafu, G.; Schreiner, M.; Neugart, S.; Abukutsa-Onyango, M.;
  1114 Winkelmann, T. Nutritional compound analysis and morphological characterization of spider plant

- 1115 (*Cleome gynandra*) an African indigenous leafy vegetable. *Food Res. Int.* **2017**, *100*, 284–295.
- 1116 (70) Clarke, D. B. Glucosinolates, structures and analysis in food. *Anal. Methods* 2010, *2*, 310–325.
- 1117 (71) Giallourou, N.; Oruna-Concha, M. J.; Harbourne, N. Effects of domestic processing methods on the
  1118 phytochemical content of watercress (*Nasturtium officinale*). *Food Chem.* 2016, *212*, 411–419.
- 1119 (72) Anonymous. *ICRISAT Happenings*. February 2015, pp. 1–2.
- 1120 (73) Davis, D. R.; Epp, M. D.; Riordan, H. D. Changes in USDA food composition data for 43 garden crops,
  1121 1950 to 1999. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* 2004, *23*, 669–682.
- 1122 (74) Brown, J.; Caligari, Peter, D.; Campos, H. *Plant Breeding*; 2nd ed.; Wiley-Blackwell, 2014.
- (75) Goldman, I. L. The Future of Breeding Vegetables with Human Health Functionality: Realities,
  Challenges, and Opportunities. *Hortscience* 2014, 49, 133–137.
- (76) Bell, L.; Methven, L.; Signore, A.; Jose Oruna-Concha, M.; Wagstaff, C. Analysis of Seven Salad
  Rocket (*Eruca sativa*) Accessions: The Relationships Between Sensory Attributes and Volatile and
  Non-volatile Compounds. *Food Chem.* 2017, 218, 181–191.
- 1128 (77) Ishida, M.; Hara, M.; Fukino, N.; Kakizaki, T.; Morimitsu, Y. Glucosinolate metabolism, functionality
  1129 and breeding for the improvement of Brassicaceae vegetables. *Breed. Sci.* 2014, 64, 48–59.
- 1130 (78) Tian, M.; Xu, X.; Liu, Y.; Xie, L.; Pan, S. Effect of Se treatment on glucosinolate metabolism and
  1131 health-promoting compounds in the broccoli sprouts of three cultivars. *Food Chem.* 2016, *190*, 374–
  1132 380.
- 1133 (79) Farnham, M. W.; Simon, P. W.; Stommel, J. R. Improved phytonutrient content through plant genetic
  1134 improvement. *Nutr. Rev.* 1999, *57*, S19–S26.
- 1135 (80) Moose, S. P.; Mumm, R. H. Molecular plant breeding as the foundation for 21st century crop
  1136 improvement. *Plant Physiol.* 2008, *147*, 969–977.
- (81) Casagrande, S. S.; Wang, Y.; Anderson, C.; Gary, T. L. Have Americans increased their fruit and vegetable intake? The trends between 1988 and 2002. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 2007, *32*, 257–263.
- Aune, D.; Giovannucci, E.; Boffetta, P.; Fadnes, L. T.; Keum, N.; Norat, T.; Greenwood, D. C.; Riboli,
  E.; Vatten, L. J.; Tonstad, S. Fruit and vegetable intake and the risk of cardiovascular disease, total
  cancer and all-cause mortality–a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective
  studies. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 2017, 1–28.
- 1143 (83) Kopsell, D. A.; Barickman, T. C.; Sams, C. E.; McElroy, J. S. Influence of nitrogen and sulfur on
  biomass production and carotenoid and glucosinolate concentrations in watercress (*Nasturtium officinale* R. Br.). J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 10628–10634.
- 1146 (84) Vaughn, S. F.; Berhow, M. A. Glucosinolate hydrolysis products from various plant sources: pH effects,
  1147 isolation, and purification. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2005, *21*, 193–202.
- 1148 (85) Sun, B.; Liu, N.; Zhao, Y.; Yan, H.; Wang, Q. Variation of glucosinolates in three edible parts of
  1149 Chinese kale (*Brassica alboglabra* Bailey) varieties. *Food Chem.* 2011, *124*, 941–947.
- 1150 (86) Schreiner, M. Vegetable crop management strategies to increase the quantity of phytochemicals. *Eur. J.*1151 *Nutr.* 2005, *44*, 85–94.
- 1152 (87) Wang, J.; Gu, H.; Yu, H.; Zhao, Z.; Sheng, X.; Zhang, X. Genotypic variation of glucosinolates in
  1153 broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) florets from China. *Food Chem.* 2012, *133*, 735–741.
- 1154 (88) Balasubramanian, S.; Schwartz, C.; Singh, A.; Warthmann, N.; Kim, M. C.; Maloof, J. N.; Loudet, O.;

- 1155Trainer, G. T.; Dabi, T.; Borevitz, J. O.; Chory, J.; Weigel, D. QTL Mapping in New Arabidopsis1156thaliana Advanced Intercross-Recombinant Inbred Lines. PLoS One 2009, 4, 1–8.
- 1157 (89) Aljanabi, S. Genomics and plant breeding. *Biotechnol. Annu. Rev.* 2001, 7, 195–238.
- (90) Li, G.; Riaz, A.; Goyal, S.; Abel, S.; Quiros, C. F. Inheritance of three major genes involved in the
  synthesis of aliphatic glucosinolates in *Brassica oleracea. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 2001, *126*, 427–431.
- (91) Frerigmann, H.; Piślewska-Bednarek, M.; Sánchez-Vallet, A.; Molina, A.; Glawischnig, E.;
  Gigolashvili, T.; Bednarek, P. Regulation of pathogen triggered tryptophan metabolism in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by MYB transcription factors and indole glucosinolate conversion products. *Mol. Plant* 2016,
  9, 682–695.
- 1164 (92) Kim, J. H.; Jander, G. *Myzus persicae* (green peach aphid) feeding on *Arabidopsis* induces the
  1165 formation of a deterrent indole glucosinolate. *Plant J.* 2007, 49, 1008–1019.
- 1166 (93) Mikkelsen, M. D.; Petersen, B. L.; Glawischnig, E.; Jensen, A. B.; Andreasson, E.; Halkier, B. A.
  1167 Modulation of CYP79 genes and glucosinolate profiles in *Arabidopsis* by defense signaling pathways.
  1168 *Plant Physiol.* 2003, *131*, 298–308.
- 1169 (94) Jensen, L. M.; Halkier, B. A.; Burow, M. How to discover a metabolic pathway? An update on gene
  1170 identification in aliphatic glucosinolate biosynthesis, regulation and transport. *Biol. Chem.* 2014, 395,
  1171 529–543.
- 1172 (95) Ku, K. M.; Jeffery, E. H.; Juvik, J. A. Optimization of methyl jasmonate application to broccoli florets
  1173 to enhance health-promoting phytochemical content. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2014, *94*, 2090–2096.
- (96) Bell, L.; Wagstaff, C. Glucosinolates, Myrosinase Hydrolysis Products, and Flavonols Found in Rocket
   (*Eruca sativa* and *Diplotaxis tenuifolia*). J. Agric. Food Chem. 2014, 62, 4481–4492.
- 1176 (97) Witzel, K.; Neugart, S.; Ruppel, S.; Schreiner, M.; Wiesner, M.; Baldermann, S. Recent progress in the
  1177 use of 'omics technologies in brassicaceous vegetables. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2015, *6*, 244.
- 1178 (98) Bell, L.; Yahya, H. N.; Oloyede, O. O.; Methven, L.; Wagstaff, C. Changes In Rocket Salad
  1179 Phytochemicals Within The Commercial Supply Chain: Glucosinolates, Isothiocyanates, Amino Acids
  1180 And Bacterial Load Increase Significantly After Processing. *Food Chem.* 2017, 221, 521–534.
- 1181 (99) Bones, A. M.; Rossiter, J. T. The enzymic and chemically induced decomposition of glucosinolates.
  1182 *Phytochemistry* 2006, 67, 1053–1067.
- (100) Chiang, W. C. K.; Pusateri, D. J.; Leitz, R. E. A. Gas chromatography mass spectrometry method for the
  determination of sulforaphane and sulforaphane nitrile in broccoli. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1998, 46,
  1185 1018–1021.
- (101) Suzuki, C.; Ohnishi-Kameyama, M.; Sasaki, K.; Murata, T.; Yoshida, M. Behavior of glucosinolates in
  pickling cruciferous vegetables *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2006, *54*, 9430–9436.
- 1188 (102) Drewnowski, A.; Gomez-Carneros, C. Bitter taste, phytonutrients, and the consumer: a review. Am. J.
  1189 Clin. Nutr. 2000, 72, 1424–1435.
- (103) Steinmetz, K. A.; Potter, J. D. Vegetables, Fruit, and Cancer .2. Mechanisms. *Cancer Causes Control*1191 1991, 2, 427–442.
- (104) Nishie, K.; Daxenbichler, M. E. Toxicology of glucosinolates, related compounds (nitriles, R-goitrin, isothiocyanates) and vitamin U found in cruciferae. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* 1980, *18*, 159–172.
- 1194 (105) Van Etten, C. H.; Daxenbichler, M. E.; Wolff, I. A. Natural glucosinolates (thioglucosides) in foods and

- feeds. J. Agric. Food Chem. **1969**, 17, 483–491.
- (106) Angelino, D.; Dosz, E. B.; Sun, J.; Hoeflinger, J. L.; Van Tassell, M. L.; Chen, P.; Harnly, J. M.; Miller,
  M. J.; Jeffery, E. H. Myrosinase-dependent and –independent formation and control of isothiocyanate
  products of glucosinolate hydrolysis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2015, *6*.
- (107) Bjorkman, M.; Klingen, I.; Birch, A. N. E.; Bones, A. M.; Bruce, T. J. A.; Johansen, T. J.; Meadow, R.;
  Molmann, J.; Seljasen, R.; Smart, L. E.; Stewart, D. Phytochemicals of Brassicaceae in plant protection
  and human health Influences of climate, environment and agronomic practice. *Phytochemistry* 2011,
  72, 538–556.
- (108) Sodhi, Y. S.; Mukhopadhyay, A.; Arumugam, N.; Verma, J. K.; Gupta, V.; Pental, D.; Pradhan, A. K.
  Genetic analysis of total glucosinolate in crosses involving a high glucosinolate Indian variety and a low
  glucosinolate line of *Brassica juncea*. *Plant Breed*. 2002, *121*, 508–511.
- (109) Aires, A.; Rosa, E.; Carvalho, R. Effect of nitrogen and sulfur fertilization on glucosinolates in the
  leaves and roots of broccoli sprouts (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). J. Sci. Food Agric. 2006, 86, 1512–
  1208 1516.
- (110) Hanschen, F. S.; Schreiner, M. Isothiocyanates, Nitriles, and Epithionitriles from Glucosinolates Are
   Affected by Genotype and Developmental Stage in *Brassica oleracea* Varieties. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2017,
   8, 1095.
- 1212 (111) Engelen-Eigles, G.; Holden, G.; Cohen, J. D.; Gardner, G. The effect of temperature, photoperiod, and
  1213 light quality on gluconasturtiin concentration in watercress (*Nasturtium officinale* R. Br.). J. Agric.
  1214 Food Chem. 2006, 54, 328–334.
- 1215 (112) Guo, L.; Yang, R.; Wang, Z.; Guo, Q.; Gu, Z. Glucoraphanin, sulforaphane and myrosinase activity in
  1216 germinating broccoli sprouts as affected by growth temperature and plant organs. *J. Funct. Foods* 2014,
  1217 9, 70–77.
- (113) Winkler, S.; Faragher, J.; Franz, P.; Imsic, M.; Jones, R. Glucoraphanin and flavonoid levels remain
  stable during simulated transport and marketing of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) heads. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2007, 43, 89–94.
- (114) Wang, Y.; Xu, W.; Yan, X.; Wang, Y. Glucosinolate content and related gene expression in response to
  enhanced UV-B radiation in Arabidopsis. *African J. Biotechnol.* 2011, *10*, 6481–6491.
- (115) Martínez-Ballesta, M. D. C.; Moreno, D. A.; Carvajal, M. The physiological importance of
  glucosinolates on plant response to abiotic stress in *Brassica. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2013, 14.
- (116) Moreira-Rodríguez, M.; Nair, V.; Benavides, J.; Cisneros-Zevallos, L.; Jacobo-Velázquez, D. UVA,
  UVB Light Doses and Harvesting Time Differentially Tailor Glucosinolate and Phenolic Profiles in
  Broccoli Sprouts. *Molecules* 2017, 22, 1065.
- (117) Kim, Y. B.; Chun, J.-H.; Kim, H. R.; Kim, S.-J.; Lim, Y. P.; Park, S. U. Variation of Glucosinolate
  Accumulation and Gene Expression of Transcription Factors at Different Stages of Chinese Cabbage
  Seedlings under Light and Dark Conditions. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* 2014, *9*, 533–537.
- (118) Huseby, S.; Koprivova, A.; Lee, B.-R.; Saha, S.; Mithen, R.; Wold, A.-B.; Bengtsson, G. B.; Kopriva, S.
  Diurnal and light regulation of sulphur assimilation and glucosinolate biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot.* 2013, 64, 1039–1048.
- 1234 (119) Antonious, G. F.; Kasperbauer, M. J.; Byers, M. E. Light Reflected from Colored Mulches to Growing

- 1235 Turnip Leaves Affects Glucosinolate and Sugar Contents of Edible Roots. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 1996,
  1236 64, 605–610.
- (120) Kopsell, D. A.; Sams, C. E. Increases in Shoot Tissue Pigments, Glucosinolates, and Mineral Elements
  in Sprouting Broccoli after Exposure to Short-duration Blue Light from Light Emitting Diodes. J. Am.
  Soc. Hortic. Sci. 2013, 138, 31–37.
- (121) Schonhof, I.; Kläring, H.-P.; Krumbein, A.; Claußen, W.; Schreiner, M. Effect of temperature increase
  under low radiation conditions on phytochemicals and ascorbic acid in greenhouse grown broccoli. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2007, *119*, 103–111.
- 1243 (122) Borpatragohain, P.; Rose, T. J.; King, G. J. Fire and Brimstone: Molecular Interactions between Sulfur
  1244 and Glucosinolate Biosynthesis in Model and Crop Brassicaceae. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016.
- 1245 (123) Li, S.; Schonhof, I.; Krumbein, A.; Li, L.; Stützel, H.; Schreiner, M. Glucosinolate Concentration in
  1246 Turnip (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *rapifera* L.) Roots as Affected by Nitrogen and Sulfur Supply. J. Agric.
  1247 Food Chem. 2007, 55, 8452–8457.
- (124) Schonhof, I.; Blankenburg, D.; Muller, S.; Krumbein, A. Sulfur and nitrogen supply influence growth,
  product appearance, and glucosinolate concentration of broccoli. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. Fur
  Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkd. 2007, 170, 65–72.
- (125) Hirai, M. Y.; Yano, M.; Goodenowe, D. B.; Kanaya, S.; Kimura, T.; Awazuhara, M.; Arita, M.;
  Fujiwara, T.; Saito, K. Integration of transcriptomics and metabolomics for understanding of global
  responses to nutritional stresses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2004, *101*,
  10205–10210.
- 1255 (126) Scheuner, E. T.; Krumbein, A.; Schonhof, I.; Schreiner, M. Increasing the alkyl glucosinolate level in
  1256 Broccoli by leafstalk infusion of methionine. J. Appl. Bot. food Qual. 2005, 79, 175–178.
- (127) Piekarska, A.; Kołodziejski, D.; Pilipczuk, T.; Bodnar, M.; Konieczka, P.; Kusznierewicz, B.;
  Hanschen, F. S.; Schreiner, M.; Cyprys, J.; Groszewska, M.; Namieśnik, J.; Bartoszek, A. The influence
  of selenium addition during germination of *Brassica* seeds on health-promoting potential of sprouts. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2014, *65*, 692–702.
- (128) Bates, B.; Lennox, A.; Prentice, A.; Bates, C.; Swan, G. National Diet and Nutrition Survey Headline
  results from Years 1, 2 and 3 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2008/2009 2010/11); 2012.
- (129) Schiavon, M.; Berto, C.; Malagoli, M.; Trentin, A.; Sambo, P.; Dall'Acqua, S.; Pilon-Smits, E. A. H.
  Selenium Biofortification in Radish Enhances Nutritional Quality via Accumulation of MethylSelenocysteine and Promotion of Transcripts and Metabolites Related to Glucosinolates, Phenolics, and
  Amino Acids. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, *7*, 1371.
- (130) Robbins, R. J.; Keck, A.-S.; Banuelos, G.; Finley, J. W. Cultivation Conditions and Selenium
  Fertilization Alter the Phenolic Profile, Glucosinolate, and Sulforaphane Content of Broccoli. J. Med. *Food* 2005, 8, 204–214.
- (131) Palaniswamy, U. R.; Mcavoy, R. J.; Bible, B. B.; Stuart, J. D. Ontogenic Variations of Ascorbic Acid
  and Phenethyl Isothiocyanate Concentrations in Watercress (*Nasturtium officinale* R.Br.) Leaves. J. *Agric. Food Chem.* 2003, 51, 5504–5509.
- 1273 (132) Hansen, M.; Moller, P.; Sorensen, H.; Detrejo, M. C. Glucosinolates in broccoli stored under controlled1274 atmosphere. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 1995, 120, 1069–1074.

- 1275 (133) Fernández-León, M. F. F.; Fernández-León, A. M. M.; Lozano, M.; Ayuso, M. C. C.; González-Gómez,
  1276 D. Altered commercial controlled atmosphere storage conditions for `Parhenon' broccoli plants
  1277 (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*). Influence on the outer quality parameters and on the health1278 promoting compounds. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 2013, *50*, 665–672.
- 1279 (134) Rodrigues, A. S.; Rosa, E. A. Effect of post-harvest treatments on the level of glucosinolates in broccoli.
  1280 *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 1999, 79, 1028–1032.
- (135) Mewis, I.; Schreiner, M.; Nguyen, C. N.; Krumbein, A.; Ulrichs, C.; Lohse, M.; Zrenner, R. UV-B
  irradiation changes specifically the secondary metabolite profile in broccoli sprouts: induced signaling
  overlaps with defense response to biotic stressors. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2012, *53*, 1546–1560.
- (136) Guillén, S.; Mir-Bel, J.; Oria, R.; Salvador, M. L. Influence of cooking conditions on organoleptic and
  health-related properties of artichokes, green beans, broccoli and carrots. *Food Chem.* 2017, *217*, 209–
  216.
- (137) West, L. G.; Meyer, K. A.; Balch, B. A.; Rossi, F. J.; Schultz, M. R.; Haas, G. W. Glucoraphanin and 4hydroxyglucobrassicin contents in seeds of 59 cultivars of broccoli, raab, kohlrabi, radish, cauliflower,
  brussels sprouts, kale, and cabbage. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2004, *52*, 916–926.
- (138) McFarlane, T.; Pliner, P. Increasing Willingness to Taste Novel Foods: Effects of Nutrition and Taste
   Information. *Appetite* 1997, *28*, 227–238.
- (139) Nugrahedi, P. Y.; Hantoro, I.; Verkerk, R.; Dekker, M.; Steenbekkers, B. Practices and health
  perception of preparation of *Brassica* vegetables: translating survey data to technological and nutritional
  implications. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2015, *66*, 633-641.
- (140) Palermo, M.; Pellegrini, N.; Fogliano, V. The effect of cooking on the phytochemical content of
  vegetables. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2014, 94, 1057–1070.
- (141) Gliszczyńska-Świgło, A.; Ciska, E.; Pawlak-Lemańska, K.; Chmielewski, J.; Borkowski, T.;
  Tyrakowska, B. Changes in the content of health-promoting compounds and antioxidant activity of
  broccoli after domestic processing. *Food Addit. Contam.* 2006, 23, 1088–1098.
- (142) Girgin, N.; El, S. N. Effects of cooking on in vitro sinigrin bioaccessibility, total phenols, antioxidant
  and antimutagenic activity of cauliflower (*Brassica oleraceae* L. var. *Botrytis*). J. Food Compos. Anal.
  2015, 37, 119–127.
- (143) Ciska, E.; Kozłowska, H. The effect of cooking on the glucosinolates content in white cabbage. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2001, *212*, 582–587.
- 1305 (144) Matusheski, N. V.; Juvik, J. A.; Jeffery, E. H. Heating decreases epithiospecifier protein activity and
  1306 increases sulforaphane formation in broccoli. *Phytochemistry* 2004, 65, 1273–1281.
- 1307 (145) Ippoushi, K.; Ueda, H.; Takeuchi, A. Milk prevents the degradation of daikon (*Raphanus sativus* L.)
  1308 isothiocyanate and enhances its absorption in rats. *Food Chem.* 2014, *161*, 176–180.
- (146) Bell, L.; Methven, L.; Wagstaff, C. The influence of phytochemical composition and resulting sensory
  attributes on preference for salad rocket (*Eruca sativa*) accessions by consumers of varying TAS2R38
  diplotype. *Food Chem.* 2017, 222, 6–17.
- 1312 (147) Ley, J. P. Masking Bitter Taste by Molecules. Chemosens. Percept. 2008, 1, 58–77.
- (148) Pohjanheimo, T. A.; Sandell, M. A. Headspace volatiles contributing to flavour and consumer liking of
  wellness beverages. *Food Chem.* 2009, *115*, 843–851.

- 1315 (149) Bonnesen, C.; Eggleston, I. M.; Hayes, J. D. Dietary indoles and isothiocyanates that are generated from
  1316 cruciferous vegetables can both stimulate apoptosis and confer protection against DNA damage in
  1317 human colon cell lines. *Cancer Res.* 2001, *61*, 6120–6130.
- 1318 (150) Wu, X.; Zhou, Q. H.; Xu, K. Are isothiocyanates potential anti-cancer drugs? *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.*1319 2009, 30, 501–512.
- (151) Kim, M. K.; Park, J. H. Y. Cruciferous vegetable intake and the risk of human cancer: Epidemiological
  evidence. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 2009, *68*, 103–110.
- (152) Morse, M. A.; Eklind, K. I.; Hecht, S. S.; Jordan, K. G.; Choi, C.-I.; Desai, D. H.; Amin, S. G.; Chung,
  F.-L. Structure-Activity Relationships for Inhibition of 4-(Methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone
  Lung Tumorigenesis by Arylalkyl Isothiocyanates in A/J Mice. *Cancer Res.* 1991, *51*, 1846–1850.
- (153) Kassie, F.; Rabot, S.; Uhl, M.; Huber, W.; Qin, H. M.; Helma, C.; Schulte-Hermann, R.; Knasmuller, S.
  Chemoprotective effects of garden cress (*Lepidium sativum*) and its constituents towards 2-amino-3methyl-imidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ)-induced genotoxic effects and colonic preneoplastic lesions. *Carcinogenesis* 2002, 23, 1155–1161.
- 1329 (154) Fernando Reyes, L.; Emilio Villarreal, J.; Cisneros-Zevallos, L. The increase in antioxidant capacity
  1330 after wounding depends on the type of fruit or vegetable tissue. *Food Chem.* 2007, *101*, 1254–1262.
- (155) Gasper, A. V; Al-janobi, A.; Smith, J. A.; Bacon, J. R.; Fortun, P.; Atherton, C.; Taylor, M. A.;
  Hawkey, C. J.; Barrett, D. A.; Mithen, R. F. Glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphism and
  metabolism of sulforaphane from standard and high-glucosinolate broccoli. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2005, *82*,
  1283–1291.
- 1335 (156) Lampe, J. W. Sulforaphane: from chemoprevention to pancreatic cancer treatment? *Gut* 2009, *58*, 900–
  1336 902.
- 1337 (157) Bogaards, J. J. P.; Verhagen, H.; Willems, M. I.; Poppel, G. van; Bladeren, P. J. van. Consumption of
   1338 Brussels sprouts results in elevated α-class glutathione S-transferase levels in human blood plasma.
   1339 Carcinogenesis 1994, 15, 1073–1075.
- 1340 (158) Houghton, C. A.; Fassett, R. G.; Coombes, J. S. Sulforaphane: Translational research from laboratory
  bench to clinic. *Nutr. Rev.* 2013, 71.
- (159) Link, L. B.; Potter, J. D. Raw versus cooked vegetables and cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 2004, *13*, 1422–1435.
- 1344 (160) Ares, A. M.; Nozal, M. J.; Bernal, J. Extraction, chemical characterization and biological activity
  1345 determination of broccoli health promoting compounds. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2013, *1313*, 78–95.
- (161) Armah, C. N.; Derdemezis, C.; Traka, M. H.; Dainty, J. R.; Doleman, J. F.; Saha, S.; Leung, W.; Potter,
  J. F.; Lovegrove, J. A.; Mithen, R. F. Diet rich in high glucoraphanin broccoli reduces plasma LDL
  cholesterol: Evidence from randomised controlled trials. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2015, 0, 1–9.
- (162) Armah, C. N.; Traka, M. H.; Dainty, J. R.; Defernez, M.; Janssens, A.; Leung, W.; Doleman, J. F.;
  Potter, J. F.; Mithen, R. F. A diet rich in high-glucoraphanin broccoli interacts with genotype to reduce
  discordance in plasma metabolite profiles by modulating mitochondrial function. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2013**, *98*, 712–722.
- 1353 (163) Traka, M.; Gasper, A. V; Melchini, A.; Bacon, J. R.; Needs, P. W.; Frost, V.; Chantry, A.; Jones, A. M.
  1354 E.; Ortori, C. A.; Barrett, D. A.; Ball, R. Y.; Mills, R. D.; Mithen, R. F. Broccoli Consumption Interacts

1355		with GSTM1 to Perturb Oncogenic Signalling Pathways in the Prostate. PLoS One 2008, 3.
1356	(164)	Steinkellner, H.; Rabot, S.; Freywald, C.; Nobis, E.; Chabicovskyk, M.; Knasmuller, S.; Kassie, F.
1357		Effects of cruciferous vegetables and their constituents on drug metabolizing enzymes involved in the
1358		bioactivation of DNA-reactive dietary carcinogens. Mutat. Res. Mol. Mech. Mutagen. 2001, 480, 285-
1359		297.
1360	(165)	Traka, M.; Mithen, R. Glucosinolates, isothiocyanates and human health. Phytochem. Rev. 2009, 8,
1361		269–282.
1362	(166)	Conaway, C. C.; Yang, Y. M.; Chung, F. L. Isothiocyanates as cancer chemopreventive agents: Their
1363		biological activities and metabolism in rodents and humans. Curr. Drug Metab. 2002, 3, 233-255.
1364	(167)	Traka, M. H.; Mithen, R. F. Plant Science and Human Nutrition: Challenges in Assessing Health-
1365		Promoting Properties of Phytochemicals. Plant Cell 2011, 23, 2483-2497.
1366	(168)	Jin, Y.; Wang, M.; Rosen, R. T.; Ho, CT. Thermal Degradation of Sulforaphane in Aqueous Solution.
1367		J. Agric. Food Chem. 1999, 47, 3121–3123.
1368	(169)	Ku, KM.; Kim, M. J.; Jeffery, E. H.; Kang, YH.; Juvik, J. A. Profiles of Glucosinolates, their
1369		Hydrolysis Products, and Quinone Reductase Inducing Activity from 39 Arugula (Eruca sativa Mill.)
1370		Accessions. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2016, 64, 6524–6532.
1371	(170)	Ragaert, P.; Verbeke, W.; Devlieghere, F.; Debevere, J. Consumer perception and choice of minimally
1372		processed vegetables and packaged fruits. Food Qual. Prefer. 2004, 15, 259-270.
1373		
1374	Figur	re legends
1375		
1376	Figur	re 1. A schematic of the most important factors for consideration when breeding for
1377	impro	ved glucosinolate/isothiocyanate profiles of Brassicaceae species.
1378		
1379	Figur	e 2. Molecular structures of isothiocyanates and indole compounds with known health-
1380	benef	icial properties.

**Table 1.** Summary examples of glucosinolate content of edible crop species. Concentrations are expressed as mg.g<sup>-1</sup> dw of sinigrin. Values presented represent the average control concentration or raw material at the point of harvest unless otherwise stated. Values for leaves, sprouts, florets, stems and roots are presented separately.

Common name	Species	No. of cultivars tested	Environment	Glucoiberin	Progoitrin	Glucoraphenin	Glucoraphanin	Sinigrin	Glucoalyssin	Gluconapin	Diglucothiobeinin	Glucoiberverin	Glucosativin	4-hydroxyglucobrassicin	Glucolepiidin	Glucobrassicanapin	Gluconapoleiferin	Glucotropaeolin	Dimeric glucosativin	Glucoerucin	Glucobrassicin	Gluconasturtiin	4-methoxyglucobrassicin	Neoglucobrassicin	Total	References
Leaves																										
Ezo-wasabi	Cardamine fauriei	1	Н	13.0						47.0											3.0				63.0	50
	Brassica rapa var. chinensis	1	CE		nd		0.4	0.2	nd	0.7				nd		nd	nd			nd		<0.1		nd	1.9	36
		23	F		0.6		nd	nd	nd	nd				nd		nd	nd			nd	0.8	1.7	nd	0.1	3.3	43
		7	G		0.4		nd	<0.1	0.4	1.0				0.2		nd	nd			nd	0.5	0.1	0.8	<0.1	4.8	45
Chinese cabbage	Brassica rapa var.	23	G		0.4		nd	nd	nd	nd				nd		nd	nd			nd	0.5	2.1	nd	0.7	3.3	43
	pekinensis	12	G		0.5		0.1	<0.1	0.5	nd				0.1		1.4	1.0			0.1	0.8	nd	1.3	0.1	5.9	44
		1	?		nd		nd	nd	nd	nd				nd		nd	nd			nd	0.5	0.2	0.6	< 0.1	1.4	31, 32
	Average	-	-		0.3		0.1	<0.1	0.2	0.3				0.1		0.2	0.2			<0.1	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.2	3.4	
		28	CE		nd	<0.1	0.2		<0.1		<0.1	<0.1	3.9	<0.1	<0.1			<0.1	2.2	0.2					6.7	62
	_	1	CE		nd	nd	0.3		nd		1.4	0.7	4.2	nd	nd			nd	nd	<0.1					6.6	63
Salad rocket	Eruca sativa	1	CE		nd	nd	4.6		nd		nd	nd	10.8	nd	nd			nd	nd	2.9					18.3	64
		21	G		0.8	nd	2.8		0.6		6.8	nd	3.3	nd	nd			nd	9.8	5.4					29.5	65
	Average	-	-		0.2	tr	2.0		0.2		2.1	0.2	5.6	tr	tr			tr	3.0	2.1					15.3	

Common name	Species	No. of cultivars tested	Environment	Glucoiberin	Progoitrin	Epi-progoitrin	Glucoraphenin	Glucoraphanin	Sinigrin	Glucoalyssin	Gluconapin	Diglucothiobeinin	Glucoiberverin	Glucosativin	4-hydroxyglucobrassicin	Glucolepidin	Glucotropaeolin	Dimeric glucosativin	Glucoerucin	Glucobrassicin	Gluconasturtiin	4-methoxyglucobrassicin	Neoglucobrassicin	Total	References
		7	CE		nd		< 0.1	0.2		nd		nd	<0.1	2.4	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	4.7	0.2					7.7	62
W/:1.414	Diplotaxis tenuifolia	1	CE		nd		nd	0.4		nd		1.1	0.9	3.6	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.8					6.8	63
Wild rocket		16	G		0.4		nd	4.6		0.8		3.5	nd	2.0	nd	nd	nd	5.5	2.2					19.0	65
	Average	-	-	••••••	0.1		tr	1.7		0.3		1.5		2.7	tr	tr	tr	3.4	1.1	•••••			•••••	11.2	
		1	CE	2.0	nd			0.4	0.4		nd			••••••	0.2				nd	2.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	5.3	52
		153	F	3.2	0.3			0.1	3.9		nd				nd				nd	2.9	< 0.1	nd	0.3	10.7	37
Kale	Brassica oleracea var. acephala	5	F	1.3	3.1			0.6	0.6		0.1				nd				<0.1	2.9	0.4	nd	nd	15.1	18
		2	G	1.1	<0.1			0.1	0.4		nd				0.1				nd	1.8	nd	0.1	0.3	3.9	19
	Average	-	-	1.9	0.9		••••••	0.3			<0.1		•••••		0.1		•••••	••••••	tr	2.4	0.1	<0.1	0.2	8.8	
		1	CE	nd	nd	nd		0.1		nd	0.1		nd	••••••	nd			••••••		0.1	<0.1	nd	nd	1.8	36
		26	F	2.7	0.3	0.3		<0.1	1.0	<0.1	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1					2.5	nd	nd	0.2	7.2	37
	Brassica oleracea var. capitata	6	F	0.2	0.6	nd		0.2	0.6	nd	0.2		<0.1		nd					0.8	nd	0.1	<0.1	2.5	1
Cabbage		2	G	1.6	0.3	nd		1.1	1.7	nd	0.2		nd		0.1					2.6	nd	0.3	0.3	8.8	25
Cabbage		1	?	2.9	0.1	nd		0.1	4.1	nd	nd		nd		nd					2.7	nd	0.5	nd	10.3	
	Brassica oleracea var. capitata f. Savoy	1	?	1.7	0.1	nd		0.1	1.7	0.2	0.2		nd		0.2					1.0	nd	0.7	<0.1	5.8	31, 32
	Brassica oleracea var. capitata f. Oxheart	1	?	0.3	0.1	nd		0.1	<0.1	0.4	nd		nd		<0.1					0.4	nd	<0.1	nd	1.4	
	Average	-	-	1.3	0.2	<0.1		0.2	1.5	0.1	0.1		tr		<0.1					1.4	tr	0.2	0.1		

Common name	Species	No. of cultivars tested	Environment	Glucoiberin	Progoitrin	Glucoraphanin	Sinigrin	Glucoalyssin	Gluconapin	Glucoiberverin	4-hydroxyglucobrassicin	Glucobrassicanapin	Glucoerucin	Glucobrassicin	Gluconasturtiin	4-methoxyglucobrassicin	Neoglucobrassicin	Total	References
		4	F	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.2	nd	0.3	<0.1	nd		nd	1.7	<0.1	0.1	0.2	3.4	1
		1	G/F	1.5	3.6	0.6	1.6	nd	1.4	nd	0.3		0.3	1.2	0.1	1.9	nd	18.4	39
D-1	Brassica oleracea var. capitata f. rubra	1	?	0.6	0.5	<0.1	1.1	0.1	0.2	nd	<0.1		nd	1.5	nd	0.1	nd	4.1	31, 32
Red cabbage		1	?	0.4	0.7	1.3	0.6	nd	1.3	nd	0.1		nd	0.2	nd	0.1	nd	4.7	40
		1*	?	nd	nd	1.1	1.3	nd	nd	nd	0.2		nd	0.3	nd	0.3	nd	3.0	41
	Average	-	-	0.5	1.1	0.7	1.0	<0.1	0.6	tr	0.1		0.1	1.0	<0.1	0.5	<0.1	6.7	
		?	?	1.2	0.3	nd	1.1	nd						nd		nd	nd	2.6	38
White cabbage	Brassica oleracea var. capitata f. alba	1	?	2.7	0.1	0.1	1.7	<0.1						1.4		0.2	<0.1	6.1	31, 32
	Average	-	-	2.0	0.2	0.1	1.4	tr						0.7		0.1	tr	4.4	
Collards	Brassica oleracea var. sabellica	5	F	1.0	2.9	0.3	6.5	•••••	0.7		•••••			4.6	0.1				18, 19
		1	CE			nd	3.9		0.2					<0.1	0.1			4.3	36
Mustard greens	Brassica juncea	2	F			<0.1	29.3		0.2					0.3	0.3			47.4	18, 19
	Average	-	-			tr	16.6		0.2					0.2	0.2			25.9	
Leaf rape	Brassica napus var. pabularia	36	G		2.2			0.4	1.1		•••••	3.2		0.4				7.9	53

Common name	Species	No. of cultivars tested	Environment	Glucoiberin	Progoitrin	Glucoraphanin	Sinigrin	Glucoalyssin	Glucosinalbin	Gluconapin	Diglucothiobeinin	Glucoiberverin	Glucosativin	4-hydroxyglucobrassicin	Gluconapoleiferin	Dimeric glucosativin	Glucoerucin	Glucobrassicin	Gluconasturtiin	4-methoxyglucobrassicin	Neoglucobrassicin	Glucomoringin	Acetyl glucomoringin (I, II, III)	3-hydroxypropyl GSL	Total	References
	Nasturtium officinale	1	CE											nd				0.2	6.6	nd					7.1	36
Watercress	nustui tum officinate	1	H <sup>\$</sup>											0.2				0.5	1.8	0.3					2.8	71
	Average	-	-											0.1				0.4	4.2	0.2					5.0	
Chinese kale	Brassica oleracea var. alboglabra	1	F	0.1	1.9	4.0	0.1			7.6		<0.1		0.2	0.1		0.1	0.6		0.1	0.2				14.9	21
Turnip rape	Brassica napus	1	CE			•••••	<0.1		•••••	4.8								0.1	0.7		••••••				5.6	36
Dogmustard	Erucastrum spp.	1	G		<0.1	1.9		0.9			<0.1		<0.1			0.2	0.6								3.6	<i>(5</i>
Annual wall-rocket	Diplotaxis muralis	2	G		0.3	3.2		0.4			4.0		0.9			5.9	2.8								17.4	65
White mustard	Sinapis alba	1	G	•••••		•••••	2.0	•••••	27.1	••••••	•••••	•••••			•••••			••••••							29.1	24
		6	$\mathrm{F}^{\vee}$			•••••		•••••		••••••	•••••				•••••			••••••				50.2	2 9.3		59.5	60
		30	F																			12.0	) 12.0	)	24.0	57
Moringa	Moringa oleifera	1	G/F																			17.1	11.8		28.9	59
C		6	G																			48.5	5 34.2		82.7	58^
	Average						•••••	•••••							•••••			••••••							43.4	
	~						•••••											••••••								
Spider plant	Cleome gynandra	6	F																					3.1	3.1	69
	Brassica carinata	2	CE		<0.1		6.9	<0.1		<0.1				<0.1				0.1	nd	<0.1	<0.1				7.1	48
Ethiopian mustard		1	G		nd		1.3	nd		0.1				nd				0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				1.7	49
	Average	-	-		tr	•••••	4.1	tr	•••••	0.1				tr				0.2	tr	<0.1	<0.1				4.4	
Sprouts																										
	Brassica oleracea var. italica	1	CE	1.1	tr	18.3		<0.1		tr				4.0			3.9	5.5	tr	2.9	3.1				38.8	11
Broccoli	Drussicu oleraceu val. hancu	1	CE	2.9	nd	7.7		nd		nd				1.5			0.3	1.4	nd	3.5	1.6				18.9	15
	Average	-	-	2.0	tr	13.0		tr		tr				2.75			2.1	3.5	tr	3.2	2.4				28.9	

\$ = cultivars were grown commercially in outdoor water beds;  $\land$  = concentrations determined from reported % of total;  $\lor$  = grown in various geographical locations.

Table	1.	Continued

Common name	Species	No. of cultivars tested	Environment	Glucoiberin	Progoitrin	Epi-progoitrin	Glucoraphenin	Glucoraphanin	Sinigrin	Glucoalyssin	Gluconapin	Glucoiberverin	4-hydroxyglucobrassicin	Glucobrassicanapin	Gluconapoleiferin	Glucoerucin	Glucoraphasatin	Glucobrassicin	Gluconasturtiin	4-methoxyglucobrassicin	Neoglucobrassicin	Total	References
Turnip	Brassica rapa var. rapa	1	CE		4.2					0.1	0.8		2.4	tr	tr			2.3		2.2	2.4	15.0	
Rutabaga	Brassica napus var. rapifera	1	CE		18.5						1.6		1.7	tr	tr			3.0		3.8	3.4	31.9	11
China rose radish	Raphanus sativus	1	CE				3.3						1.5			0.2	41.1			2.7	•••••	48.8	
Radish	1	1	CE				16.7						2.7				17.2			tr		36.6	
	Brassica oleracea var. alboglabra	1	Н	1.0	28.7	••••••		1.7	16.7		45.9	•••••	0.6		0.4	0.5		0.9		1.8	0.2	98.2	46
Chinese kale	Drussieu oleraeeu val. utooglaora	2	?	1.2	11.9			nd	nd		15.9		nd		nd	nd		0.6		3.0	nd	32.8	47
	Average	-	-	1.1	20.3			0.9	8.4		30.9		0.3		0.2	0.3		0.8		2.4	0.1	65.5	
Florets/Buds																							
		1	CE/F	1.7	nd	nd		17.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.8	nd	nd	nd		4.0	nd	0.8	1.2	26.9	16
		10	F	nd	0.4	nd		4.0	<0.1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	6.4	17
		6	F	0.3	0.1	nd		2.3	<0.1	nd	< 0.1	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.1		2.3	< 0.1	nd	nd	7.3	18, 19
Broccoli	Brassica oleracea var. italica	6	F	0.4	nd	nd		2.2	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.1	nd	nd	nd		1.4	nd	0.6	0.2	4.9	20
		4	F	0.2	0.3	nd		1.8	<0.1	nd	< 0.1	nd	<0.1	nd	0.1	<0.1		0.9	nd	0.1	0.3	3.9	21
		1	F	0.3	nd	nd		1.7	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.1	nd	nd	nd		0.6	nd	0.1	0.3	3.1	22
		1	F	0.7	nd	nd		4.6	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.1	nd	nd	nd		1.7	nd	3.8	0.2	11.1	23

Common name	Species	No. of cultivars tested	Environment	Glucoiberin	Progoitrin	Epi-progoitrin	Glucoraphanin	Sinigrin	Glucoalyssin	Gluconapin	Glucoiberverin	4-hydroxyglucobrassicin	Glucobrassicanapin	Gluconapoleiferin	Glucotropaeolin	Glucoerucin	Glucobrassicin	Gluconasturtiin	4-methoxyglucobrassicin	Neoglucobrassicin	Total	References
		1	F	nd	nd	nd	0.9	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	<0.1	nd	nd	1.0	24
		2	G	nd	nd	nd	4.6	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.2	nd	nd		nd	2.6	nd	0.3	1.9	9.3	25
		148	G	0.1	0.9	0.6	1.4	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	nd	0.1	nd	nd		0.1	2.0	nd	0.3	0.7	5.8	87
		50	G/F	<0.1	0.1	nd	2.8	<0.1	0.1	0.4	nd	0.1	0.1	0.3		nd	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	5.1	26, 27
		-	М	1.6	3.2	<0.1	7.7	<0.1	1.2	0.2	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	0.2		<0.1	6.9	0.1	0.4	4.0	25.6	28 <sup>+</sup>
Broccoli	Brassica oleracea var. italica	2	?	nd	nd	nd	8.3	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	8.3	29
(continued)		-	?	nd	nd	nd	1.9	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.4	30
		1	?	0.2	2.3	nd	0.1	nd	2.3	0.2	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	0.8	nd	0.1	0.4	6.6	31, 32
		1	?	1.3	nd	nd	3.2	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	0.4	nd	0.1	0.6	5.6	33
		1	?	0.2	nd	nd	0.9	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.1	nd	nd		nd	0.7	nd	0.4	0.2	2.5	34
		1	?	0.3	nd	nd	2.8	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.1	nd	nd		nd	2.3	nd	0.3	0.9	6.9	14
	Average	-	-	0.4	0.4	<0.1	3.8	0.4	0.2	<0.1	tr	0.1		<0.1		<0.1	1.5	<0.1	0.4	0.5	7.9	
		6	F	0.4	0.5		0.5	0.5	nd	0.3	<0.1	nd	•••••			<0.1				nd	22.4	18, 19
		1	F	0.1	2.1		nd	10.5	nd	0.9	nd	nd			0.4	nd	2.6	0.2	0.7	0.1	17.6	35
	Brassica oleracea var. gemmifera	2	G	1.4	0.8		0.6	0.9	nd	0.3	nd	0.2			nd	nd	4.4	nd	0.4	0.2	10.3	25
Brussels sprouts		1	?	1.2	3.0		0.1	3.3	0.8	2.8	nd	0.5			nd	nd	1.8	0.1	0.6	nd	13.9	31, 32
		1	?	0.9	nd		0.2	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.1			nd	nd	0.9	nd	nd	0.1	2.2	34
	Average	-	-	0.8	1.3		0.3	3.0	0.2	0.9	tr	0.2			0.1	tr	5.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	13.3	

+ = Median values taken from range data

Common name	Species	No. of cultivars tested	Environment	Glucoiberin	Progoitrin	Glucoraphanin	Sinigrin	Glucoberteroin	Glucoalyssin Chreasinalhin	Gluconapin	Glucoiberverin	4-hydroxyglucobrassicin	Glucobrassicanapin	Gluconapoleiferin	Glucotropaeolin	Glucoerucin	Glucoraphasatin	Glucobrassicin	Gluconasturtiin	4-methoxyglucobrassicin	Neoglucobrassicin	Glucomoringin	Acetyl glucomoringin (I, II, III) 3-hvdroxvpropyl GSL	Total	References
		5	F	0.5	nd	<0.1	0.3			<0.1	0.2	nd		nd		<0.	1 2	.5 <	<0.1	nd	nd				18, 19
		4	F	0.9	0.1	0.4	0.1			<0.1	0.1	<0.1		<0.1		<0.	1 0	.9	nd	0.1	0.1			2.9	21
		1	F	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.7			nd	nd	nd		nd		nc	0	.9	nd	<0.1	<0.1			2.5	22
Cauliflower	Brassica oleracea var. botrytis	2	G	0.6	0.1	< 0.1	0.8			<0.1	nd	0.2		nd		nc	2	.3	nd	0.2	1.5			5.8	25
Caulinower		5	G/F	1.3	2.5	0.9	0.6			nd	nd	0.7		nd		0.	4	.1	nd	0.7	0.5			11.4	42
		1	?	0.1	nd	0.1	0.1			nd	nd	<0.1		nd		nc	0	.3	nd	0.1	<0.1			0.7	31, 32
		1	?	0.4	nd	< 0.1	nd			nd	nd	<0.1		nd		nc	0	.7	nd	0.2	0.1			1.5	34
	Average	-	-	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.4	•••••		tr	<0.1	0.1		tr		<0.	1 1	.7	tr	0.2	0.3			4.1	
Stem																									
Kohlrabi	Brassica oleracea var. gongylodes	1	F ?	0.1 0.1	<0.1	0.2 <0.1			nd 0.1		0.4 nd	nd <0.1				1.3 nd		.1 (		nd <0.1	nd				18, 19 31, 32
	Average	-					•••••			•••••			•••••	•••••					0.1						- ,-
Moringa	Moringa oleifera		F	0.1		0.1	•••••		0.1	••••••	0.2		•••••	•••••		0.							4.8		
Spider plant	Cleome gynandra	8					•••••	•••••	••••••	••••••		•••••	•••••	••••••			••••••					10.5		6 7.6	69
Ethiopian mustard	Brassica carinata		•••••		•••••	•••••	2.8		•••••	0.4	•••••	0.1	•••••	•••••					2.3						
Root	Brussicu curmutu	1	U				2.0			0.4		0.1					0		2.5	0.1	0.1			0.0	47
1000		1	CE		2.8	nd		1.2	0.2			0.1	0.1	0.4		0.4	4 0	.2	nd	0.1	0.1			5.6	68
Rutabaga	Brassica oleracea var. rapifera	1	?		0.9	0.3		nd	nd			0.1	nd	nd		nc		.4		0.1	0.4			3.5	31, 32
	Average	-	-		1.9			0.6						0.2		0.2			0.6					4.6	
Maca	Lepidium meyenii	3	F						0.1 0.	2					6.9 1	.5								8.6	54
Radish	Raphanus sativus	1	?	0.1		0.1											1.9 0	.1	0.1	0.2				2.8	31, 32
Moringa	Moringa oleifera	3					•••••	•••••	•••••			•••••	•••••	••••••			••••••		•••••				10.2	18.8	

			Species	
Variable	Broccoli	Reference	Cauliflower	Refere
	<ul> <li>↑ Indole GSLs</li> <li>↑ Alkyl GSLs</li> </ul>	86	<b>↑</b> Indole GSLs	

	<ul> <li>▲ Alkyl GSLs</li> </ul>	86	<b>↑</b> Indole GSLs
	Significant differences in total GSLs, indole GSLs & glucoraphanin	25	Significant differences in total GSLs, indole GSLs & glucoraphanin
Genotype			
	Significant differences among cultivars for alkyl, alkenyl, indole and total GSLs.	21	Significant differences among cultivars for
	Significant differences between individual GSL concentrations between cultivars	87	alkyl, alkenyl, indole and total GSLs.
	↑ Total GSLs at low temp. ~14°C	86	↑Total GSLs at low temp. ~14°C
Environmental temperature		25	<b>↓</b> Total GSLs with
	Variability of individual GSLs according to temp.	16	increasing temperature
	↑Total GSLs at high light levels (450 µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	86	
Light intensity	Total & indole GSLs influenced by day length & light intensity ♥Glucoraphanin with high light at harvest	25	↑Total GSLs at high light levels (450 µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
	<b>↑</b> Total GSLs with light	115	Total & indole GSLs influenced by day
	Variability of individual GSLs according to day length	16	length & light intensity ↓Glucoraphanin with high light at harvest

↑Increase;  $\leftarrow$  → no-effect;  $\checkmark$  decrease

		Species					
Variable	Broccoli	Reference	Cauliflower	Reference	Radish	Reference	
Sulfur application	↑Alkyl & indole GSLs (600 mg S per plant)	86	-	-	∱Alkenyl GSLs (30 mg S per plant)	86	
	$\bigstar$ (150 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )						
	←→Low S (15 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	115					
	▲Aliphatic & total GSLs (>15 mg.L <sup>-1</sup> )	15					
Nitrogen application	↑Total GSLs with reduced N	86	<b>↑</b> Total GSLs with	86	↑Total GSLs with reduced N	86	
	↑Total GSLs with reduced N (1g N per plant)	115	reduced N				
Selenium application	↑Total GSLs (5.2 mM Se)	115			<ul> <li>↑Total GSLs &amp; glucoraphanin in soil</li> <li>↓Total GSLs in hydroponics</li> </ul>	129	
	<b>←</b> →	127		_			
Water availability	↑Total GSLs with reduced water	86	↑Total GSLs with reduced water	86	↑Total GSLs with reduced water	86	
	↑Total GSLs with severe drought	115					
Soil salinity	↑Total GSLs (40, 80mM)	115	-	-	-	-	
Season	↑Total GSLs in spring & autumn	86	↑Total GSLs in spring & autumn	86	←→	86	
Amino acid supplementation	▲Alkyl GSLs with methionine	86	-	-	▲Alkenyl GSLs with methionine	86	
Developmental stage ▲Increase: ←→no-effe	▲Indole GSLs in immature florets	85	↑Glucoraphanin between transplanting 25 & harvest				
	↑Glucoraphanin between transplanting & harvest	25		25	<b>←→</b>	86	

↑Increase;  $\leftarrow$  → no-effect;  $\checkmark$  decrease

			Species							
Variable	Cabbage	Reference	Brussels sprouts	Reference	Wild rocket	Reference				
Genotype	★Sinigrin content in some varieties	37	Significant differences in total GSLs, indole GSLs & glucoraphanin	25	Significant differences between genotypes for	62				
	Significant differences in total GSLs, indole GSLs & glucoraphanin	25			aliphatic and total GSLs					
Environmental	↓Total GSLs with increasing temperature	25	<b>↓</b> Total GSLs with	25						
temperature	↑Total GSLs at 32°C	115	increasing temperature	20						
Light intensity	Total & indole GSLs influenced by day length & light intensity ♥Glucoraphanin with high light at harvest ↑Total GSLs during the night ♥Total GSLs during the day	25 115	Total & indole GSLs influenced by day length & light intensity ♥Glucoraphanin with high light at harvest	25	÷→	63				
Selenium application	<b>←</b> →	127	-	-	-	-				
Water availability	<ul> <li>↑Total GSLs with severe drought</li> <li>↓Total GSLs under mild and severe drought</li> </ul>	115	←→No effect under mild drought	25	-	-				
Season	↑Glucoiberin & glucobrassicin in spring ↑Total GSL in spring	37	-		-	-				
	↑Total GSL in spring ↑Indolic GSLs in fall	1				62 				
Developmental stage	▲Glucoraphanin between transplanting & harvest	25	↑Glucoraphanin between transplanting & harvest	25	-	-				

↑Increase;  $\leftarrow$  → no-effect;  $\checkmark$  decrease

Table 2.	Continued
----------	-----------

Variable			Species						
	Ezo-wasabi	Reference	Salad rocket	Reference	Kale	Reference			
Genotype	-	-	Significant differences between genotypes for aliphatic and total GSLs		Significant differences in total GSLs, indole GSLs & glucoraphanin	25			
Environmental temperature						25			
	-	-	-	-		52			
Light intensity	<ul> <li>↑Total GSLs; red+blue light</li> <li>↑Indolic:aliphatic GSL ratio; red or green light</li> <li>↑Aliphatic, ↓ indolic GSLs; blue light</li> </ul>	50	÷→	63	Total & indole GSLs influenced by day length & light intensity ♥Glucoraphanin with high light at harvest	25			
Developmental stage	-	-	-	-	▲Glucoraphanin between transplanting & harvest	25			
Variable	Turnip	Reference	Ethiopian mustard	Reference	Thale cress	Reference			
Light intensity	-	-	-	-	↑Total GSLs with light ↓Total GSLs in the dark	115			
Sulfur application	↑Total GSLs (60 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	115	-	-	-	-			
Potassium application		-	-	-	↑Total GSLs with K deficiency	115			
Water availability	↑Total GSLs with mild drought	l 115	<ul> <li>← No effect under mild drought</li> <li>↑Total GSLs with severe drought</li> </ul>	86, 115		115			

**↑**Increase; **←→**no-effect; **↓**decrease

Table 2. Continued.

			Species					
Variable	Swede	Reference	Chinese cabbage	Reference	Rapeseed	Reference		
Genotype		-	Significant differences between genotypes for glucobrassicin and gluconasturtiin	43	_	-		
			Total and indolic glucosinolates vary between genotypes	44				
Environmental temperature	↑Progoitrin & glucoberteroin at 21°C	68	<ul> <li>↑Total GSLs between 21-34°C</li> <li>↓Total GSLs between 15-27°C</li> </ul>	115	-	-		
Water availability	-	-	-	-	<ul> <li>↑Total GSLs with severe drought</li> <li>← → No effect under mild drought</li> </ul>	115		
Soil salinity	-	-	<b>↑</b> Total GSLs (40, 80mM)	115	-	-		
Variable	White mustard	Reference	Chinese kale	Reference				
Genotype	-	-	Significant differences among cultivars for alkyl, alkenyl, indole and total GSLs.	21				
Light intensity	-	-	<ul> <li>♥Gluconapin under blue light</li> <li>↑Glucoraphanin under blue light</li> </ul>	46				
Selenium application	<del>&lt;                                    </del>	127	-	-				

▲Increase; ←→no-effect; ↓decrease



## Figure 2.





Sulforaphane (SFN)



Phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC)



Indole-3-carbinol (I3C)



Benzyl isothiocyanate (BITC)



For Table of Contents Only

