

Improved seasonal prediction of UK regional precipitation using atmospheric circulation

Article

Accepted Version

Baker, L. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0738-9488, Shaffrey, L. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2696-752X and Scaife, A. A. (2018) Improved seasonal prediction of UK regional precipitation using atmospheric circulation. International Journal of Climatology, 38 (S1). e437-e453. ISSN 0899-8418 doi: 10.1002/joc.5382 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/73964/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/joc.5382/full To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joc.5382

Publisher: John Wiley & Sons

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Improved seasonal prediction of UK regional precipitation using atmospheric circulation

L. H. Baker $^{\ast 1},$ L. C. Shaffrey 1, and A. A. Scaife 2

¹NCAS Climate, Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK ²Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK

*Correspondence to: Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, P.O. Box 243, Reading, RG6 6BB, UK. l.h.baker@reading.ac.uk

Keywords: precipitation, atmospheric circulation, seasonal forecasting, down-scaling

Funding: LHB was supported by the NERC project IMPETUS (ref. NE/L010488/1). AAS was supported by the Joint DECC/Defra Met Office Hadley Centre Climate Programme (GA01101).

Abstract

The aim of this study is to further our understanding of whether skil-2 ful seasonal forecasts of the large-scale atmospheric circulation can be 3 downscaled to provide skilful seasonal forecasts of regional precipitation. 4 A simple multiple linear regression model is developed to describe winter 5 precipitation variability in nine UK regions. The model for each region is 6 a linear combination of two mean sea-level pressure (MSLP)-based indices which are derived from the MSLP correlation patterns for precipitation in 8 north-west Scotland and south-east England. The first index is a pressure 9 dipole, similar to the North Atlantic Oscillation but shifted to the east; 10 the second index is the MSLP anomaly centred over the UK. The multiple 11 linear regression model describes up to 76% of the observed precipitation 12 variability in each region, and gives higher correlations with precipitation 13 than using either of the two indices alone. The Met Office's seasonal fore-14 cast system (GloSea5) is found to have significant skill in forecasting the 15 two MSLP indices for the winter season, in forecasts initialised around the 16 17 start of November. Applying the multiple linear regression model to the 18 GloSea5 hindcasts is shown to give improved skill over the precipitation 19 forecast by the GloSea5, with the largest improvement in Scotland.

20 1 Introduction

In recent years, the UK has experienced several extreme seasonal precipita-21 tion events, with instances of heavy rain leading to flooding in some regions 22 (e.g. winter 2013-2014; Huntingford et al., 2014; Kendon and McCarthy, 2015; 23 Muchan et al., 2015; Sibley et al., 2015), and periods of low precipitation lead-24 ing to drought in others (e.g. the 2010-2012 drought; Kendon et al., 2013; 25 Parry et al., 2013). The ability to forecast the risk of such events on seasonal 26 timescales enables forward planning and the implementation of measures to 27 mitigate the effects of these events on society. 28

There have been recent advances in the capability of seasonal forecasting for the North Atlantic and Europe. For example, Scaife *et al.* (2014) demonstrated that the GloSea5 system was able to skilfully forecast the wintertime North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) from forecasts initialised around the start of November. However, it still remains extremely challenging to skilfully forecast the details of European weather on seasonal timescales.

One way to address this challenge is to utilise the observed relationships 35 between the NAO and European weather. The NAO is often defined as the 36 mean sea-level pressure (MSLP) difference between the Azores High and the 37 Icelandic Low (e.g. Hurrell et al., 2003) and is a well-known driver of the weather 38 in the UK and Northern Europe. When the NAO is positive, the North Atlantic 39 jet is stronger, the UK and Northern Europe experience milder temperatures, 40 stronger westerly winds, and more frequent passage of extratropical storms with 41 associated precipitation. When the NAO is negative, the UK and Northern 42 Europe experiences colder temperatures, with more frequent episodes of anti-43 cyclonic blocking, weaker winds and generally drier conditions. 44

This approach was adopted by Scaife *et al.* (2014), who showed that higher correlation skill scores are obtained for observed winter storminess, temperature and windspeed over much of Northern Europe when using the GloSea5 prediction of the NAO rather than the direct GloSea5 predictions of these weather

variables. Similarly, Svensson et al. (2015) made use of the GloSea5 NAO fore-49 50 cast skill by including the NAO index as an input to a river flow model. They showed that using the NAO index from GloSea5 seasonal forecasts improved the 51 skill of winter river flow forecasts for the UK. Palin et al. (2015) demonstrated 52 that the GloSea5 winter NAO forecasts can be used to provide skilful forecasts 53 of winter impacts on UK transport. Karpechko et al. (2015) found that skil-54 ful forecasts of Baltic Sea maximum ice extent could be obtained by using the 55 GloSea5 winter NAO forecasts, which were more skilful than using explicit sea 56 ice forecasts. 57

One key question is whether regional winter precipitation over the UK is pri-58 marily driven by the NAO or whether other patterns of atmospheric circulation, 59 such as the East Atlantic Pattern (EAP), are also important. The EAP is char-60 acterised by a MSLP anomaly centred to the east of the central North Atlantic 61 (Barnston and Livezey, 1987) and can affect the position of the North Atlantic 62 jet (Woollings et al., 2010). The positive phase of the EAP is associated with a 63 low pressure anomaly in the North Atlantic, with warmer temperatures in west-64 ern Europe and increased precipitation to the south of, and collocated with, 65 the low pressure centre. In the negative phase of the EAP, the high pressure 66 anomaly in the North Atlantic is associated with a northward displacement of 67 the jet and increased anticyclonic blocking in southwestern Europe. 68

The summer counterpart to the NAO, the summer NAO (SNAO) has a 69 more northward position and smaller spatial extent, with MSLP centres ap-70 proximately over Greenland and the UK (Folland et al., 2009). The positive 71 phase of the SNAO is associated with high pressure over the UK and a stronger 72 jet to the north, with the UK experiencing warmer, generally drier conditions; 73 the negative phase has lower pressure over the UK and a weaker jet to the north, 74 with the UK experiencing cooler, generally wetter conditions. In summer, the 75 EAP pressure anomaly is weaker than in winter and is located further east, just 76 to the west of the UK. 77

The relationship between regional precipitation and atmospheric circulation 78 was investigated by Wilby et al. (1997), who showed that for winters with a 79 strong positive NAO index, the west of Scotland had the strongest positive 80 rainfall anomalies, while eastern England had negative rainfall anomalies. In 81 contrast, in years with a strong negative NAO index, eastern England had pos-82 itive rainfall anomalies while the west of Scotland had negative rainfall anoma-83 lies. Murphy and Washington (2001) found that in winter an index similar 84 to the NAO (with slightly shifted centres) controlled the north-west/south-east 85 precipitation gradient, while a second mode of atmospheric variability, with cen-86 tres over Scotland and Madeira, controlled the precipitation amount over the 87 UK. In summer a MSLP index with centres over Scotland and Greenland con-88 trolled the precipitation over the whole UK, but not the north-west/south-east 89 gradient. Lavers et al. (2010) looked at the relationship between precipitation 90 and river flow at ten observation stations across the UK, and different atmo-91 spheric fields. They found that the relative importance of the different quantities 92 varied spatially and temporally. For stations in the north-western UK, winter 93 precipitation is correlated with westerly winds and a MSLP dipole similar to 94 the NAO. For stations in the south-east of England, winter precipitation is cor-95 related with negative MSLP anomalies centred over the UK and westerly winds 96 to the south. Similarly Folland and Woodcock (1986) used MSLP patterns to 97 forecast half-monthly rainfall in different UK regions, and show a correlation 98

⁹⁹ of -0.80 between MSLP and precipitation in South-West England and South
¹⁰⁰ Wales in the first half of January. Folland *et al.* (2015) found a similarly strong
¹⁰¹ correlation of -0.78 between the English Lowlands (the south-east of England)
¹⁰² rainfall and MSLP anomalies centred over this region for the winter half-year.

Other studies used Lamb Weather Types (LWTs, Lamb, 1950) to cate-103 gorise atmospheric circulation patterns and linked them with UK weather. 104 Jones et al. (2014) studied relationships between UK precipitation and objec-105 tively defined LWTs (Jones et al., 2013). They found significant positive (neg-106 ative) correlations between England and Wales total seasonal precipitation and 107 the cyclonic (anticyclonic) LWTs in all four seasons. The LWTs can also 108 be expressed in terms of the mean flow direction and strength and vorticity 109 (Jenkinson and Collison, 1977). Osborn et al. (1999), Turnpenny et al. (2002) 110 and Jones et al. (2013) looked at the relationship between regional precipita-111 tion and these circulation measures. They found that in south-east England the 112 vorticity had the strongest link with the precipitation amount in all seasons, 113 with high vorticity and cyclonic conditions generally leading to more precipi-114 tation. In north-west England and western Scotland the precipitation amount 115 was most strongly influenced by flow strength, with stronger flows resulting in 116 more precipitation. 117

The aim of this study is to further our understanding of whether skilful seasonal forecasts of the large-scale atmospheric circulation can be statistically downscaled to provide skilful seasonal forecasts of regional precipitation. This will be addressed by:

investigating the atmospheric circulation patterns associated with winter
 precipitation in different UK regions;

 using these circulation patterns to produce a simple statistical downscaling method to describe UK regional precipitation variability and;

applying this downscaling methodology to the GloSea5 seasonal forecast
 data to provide improved seasonal forecasts of UK regional precipitation.

Section 2 describes the datasets used. In Section 3 the relationship between precipitation in different UK regions, and the relationship between regional precipitation and MSLP, are discussed. In Section 4 a multiple linear regression model is developed for UK regional precipitation, which is then applied to seasonal forecast data in Section 5 to test its capability at providing regional precipitation forecasts. Finally, Section 6 gives a summary of the results and a discussion of applications of this methodology.

¹³⁵ 2 Methodology and data

The precipitation observation data used in this study is the HadUKP UK re-136 gional precipitation series (Alexander and Jones, 2000). Data is available for 9 137 regions of coherent precipitation variability (as defined by Gregory *et al.* (1991); 138 see maps in Fig. 1), for the period 1931 to present for Scotland and Northern 139 Ireland, and the period 1873 to present for England and Wales. Only data 140 between 1931 and 2012 is used in this study, for consistency between regions. 141 The long period over which this data is available, and the fact that it is divided 142 into predetermined coherent regions, makes it a suitable choice for this study. 143

The precipitation data is derived from observed daily precipitation data from 144 145 a selection of quality-controlled rainfall stations within each region, which are combined to give area average daily and monthly precipitation values for each 146 region. Monthly means are used here, since daily data has been found to be too 147 noisy in similar studies (Lavers et al., 2010, 2013). In addition to this regional 148 precipitation dataset, the Met Office's UKCP09 gridded precipitation dataset 149 (Met Office *et al.*, 2017) is also used. This includes monthly mean precipitation 150 observations on a high-resolution $5 \text{km} \times 5 \text{km}$ grid over the UK, and is available 151 from January 1910 to December 2014. 152

The MSLP observation dataset used is HadSLP2r (Allan and Ansell, 2006). This is a gridded dataset created using marine and land observations, which are blended and interpolated onto a $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ regular grid. The HadSLP2r dataset extends back to the year 1850, and therefore covers the period studied in this paper.

The seasonal hindcast data is from the Met Office Global Seasonal forecast 158 system, GloSea5 (MacLachlan et al., 2015). This is a global ensemble forecast 159 system with 24 ensemble members. The hindcast set covers the period winter 160 1992-1993 to winter 2011-2012, and is the same hindcast dataset as used by 161 Scaife et al. (2014). Hindcasts were initialised on 25 October, 1 November and 162 9 November in each year, with eight members for each start date; members from 163 the same start date differ from each other by applying a stochastic physics pa-164 rameterisation. The model has a resolution of 0.83° longitude by 0.55° latitude, 165 85 levels in the vertical, with model top at 85km, and a relatively high-resolution 166 167 ocean ($\sim 0.25^{\circ}$ horizontally, 75 vertical levels) with interactive sea-ice. For consistency with observed MSLP, the model MSLP fields have been regridded to 168 the HadSLP2 $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ grid. For comparison between GloSea5 precipitation and 169 the UKCP09 observed precipitation, the UKCP09 is regridded to the GloSea5 170 grid and a land-sea mask applied to remove points where at least 50% of the 171 gridbox is ocean. 172

Throughout this paper 'winter' is defined as the average of December, January and February, and referred to as DJF, and 'summer' is defined as the average of June, July and August, and referred to as JJA. Individual winters are referred to by the year corresponding to the December at the start of the season (e.g. winter 2011-12 is referred to as winter 2011).

¹⁷⁸ 3 Regional precipitation variability in the UK

The aim of this section is to explore the relationships between precipitation 179 in each UK region, and the associated atmospheric circulation patterns. The 180 seasonal precipitation for winter and summer for each of the HadUKP regions is 181 shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1. In both seasons, there is a clear north-west/south-182 east gradient in precipitation, with more precipitation received in the north-183 western regions than the south-eastern regions. The Northern and Southern 184 Scotland regions (NS and SS respectively) receive the most precipitation in 185 both summer and winter, with more than double the amount in winter than 186 received by South-East and Central England (SEE and CE respectively). South-187 West England (SWE) receives a large amount of precipitation in winter, but 188 considerably less in summer. East Scotland (ES) is substantially drier than 189 NS, despite their close locations. Regions in the east have similar precipitation 190

totals in summer and winter, while regions in the west have more precipitation in winter.

To investigate the north-west/south-east gradient further, Figs. 2(a,c) show 193 the winter and summer correlations between precipitation in NS and precipita-194 tion in each region, while Figs. 2(b,d) show correlations between precipitation 195 in SEE and precipitation in each region; the correlations are given in detail in 196 Table 1. These two regions were chosen since they are at opposite ends of the 197 domain, and because the timeseries of precipitation in each of these regions are 198 not significantly correlated in either season. NS is strongly correlated with SS 199 in both seasons (Figures 2a and c), but the correlation rapidly weakens further 200 to the south. NS also has a relatively low correlation with ES in both sea-201 sons, despite ES being directly to the east of NS. This is due to the so-called 202 'rain shadow' effect (Weston and Roy, 1994; Fowler et al., 2005; Svensson et al., 203 2015), whereby regions to the east of mountain ranges receive considerably less 204 precipitation under westerly flow than occurs to the west. Correlations with 205 SEE are generally stronger and more widespread than for NS (Figures 2b,d). 206 The strongest correlations with SEE are seen in the two bordering regions (SWE 207 and CE) while the weakest SEE correlations are with NS and SS. The summer 208 correlations between regions are similar to the winter correlations. However, 209 in summer there is more spatial coherence across the country than in winter, 210 with stronger correlations seen in summer between more remote regions than in 211 winter. The low correlations between regions at opposite ends of the UK might 212 indicate that precipitation in each region has different atmospheric drivers. 213

Figure 3 shows correlation maps of winter mean MSLP with precipitation in 214 each UK region. There are substantial differences in spatial patterns between 215 north-western and south-eastern regions of the UK. The NS correlation pattern 216 (Fig. 3a) has a north-south pressure dipole, and resembles the positive phase 217 of the NAO but with centres shifted to the east. Over the UK, there is a 218 strong meridional pressure gradient, corresponding to westerly wind anomalies. 219 Periods with positive precipitation anomalies in NS are therefore associated 220 with a stronger North Atlantic jet stream, stronger westerlies and the passage of 221 more low pressure systems and associated fronts across the norther UK. Periods 222 with negative precipitation anomalies in NS are associated with easterly wind 223 anomalies over the UK, corresponding to a weaker or meandering North Atlantic 224 jet stream, and typically associated with more frequent atmospheric blocking 225 patterns. SS shows a similar correlation pattern to that of NS but with slightly 226 weaker magnitude (Fig. 3b). 227

In contrast, the SEE correlation pattern (Fig. 3i) has a region of negative 228 correlations, corresponding to a low pressure anomaly, centred over the UK. This 229 resembles the EA pattern (Barnston and Livezey, 1987) but with the area of 230 strongest correlation centred further to the east, over the UK. High precipitation 231 anomalies in SEE therefore occur when there is a low pressure anomaly centred 232 over the UK, with the jet passing roughly across the centre of the UK. Low 233 precipitation anomalies in SEE are associated with a blocking pattern over the 234 UK and western Europe. North-East England (NEE) and CE show similar 235 correlation patterns to SEE (Figs. 3f and h), although the correlations are 236 slightly weaker. The correlation patterns for Northern Ireland (NI) and North-237 West England (NWE) (Figs. 3d and e) have a north-south pressure dipole like 238 NS, but shifted further south, meaning that the low pressure part sits partly 239 over the UK, and the westerly wind anomalies are located over northern Spain. 240



Figure 1: Maps of HadUKP observed regional precipitation, showing average total precipitation (in mm) in each region in (a) winter and (b) summer, for the period 1931–2011.

Therefore NI and NWE have elements of both the NS and SEE correlation patterns. SWE has a similar correlation pattern to SEE (Fig. 3g) but with the low pressure centred a little further north, while ES (Fig. 3c) has generally weaker correlations, and the low centre further to the north-east.

Inspection of composites of the ten wettest and driest years for each region
(not shown) show that these MSLP patterns are roughly symmetric for the wet
and dry cases, with only small variations in the locations of high and low MSLP
anomaly centres.

Equivalent correlation maps are shown for summer in Fig. 4. NS shows a region of low pressure centred to the north of the UK and west of Norway (Fig. 4a). All other regions show a MSLP dipole with high positive correlations over Greenland and negative correlations centred just to the east of the UK; this pattern resembles the SNAO (Folland *et al.*, 2009).

The above results show that in both winter and summer, the seasonal-mean precipitation in regions in the north-west and south-east of the UK are not significantly correlated, and that they are associated with different atmospheric circulation patterns.

²⁵⁸ 4 Downscaling atmospheric drivers to estimate ²⁵⁹ UK regional precipitation

In this section the links between precipitation and MSLP circulation patterns
discussed in Section 3 are used to derive a simple multiple linear regression model
to estimate winter precipitation in each region based on historical observations.
Only winter is considered here, since the aim is to derive a model that can be



Figure 2: Maps showing seasonal correlations of HadUKP observed regional precipitation, for the period 1931–2011. Panels show correlations between each region and (a,c) NS, (b,d) SEE, in (a,b) DJF and (c,d) JJA.

developed for seasonal prediction, and currently the known skill of GloSea5 for
the North Atlantic region is only in winter. The potential to develop a similar
methodology for summer is discussed in Section 6.

Using the correlations discussed in Section 3, it is possible to derive a simple 267 multiple linear regression model to estimate the winter precipitation in each UK 268 region, making use of the fact that NS and SEE precipitation are uncorrelated 269 and driven by different atmospheric patterns of variability. Informed by the 270 MSLP correlation maps in Figs. 3a and i, two MSLP indices are constructed 271 that represent these atmospheric patterns. For NS precipitation, the maximum 272 correlation value is located in North Africa, at 35°N, 5°W, and the minimum is 273 over the ocean to the north of the UK, at 70°N, 5°W. We construct the index 274 MSLP_{NSI}, defined as the standardised (i.e. centred about the time-mean value 275 and divided by the standard deviation over the timeseries) MSLP difference 276 between the southern point and the northern point (i.e. similar to the NAO 277 index). For SEE, there is a strong negative correlation centred over the UK. 278 We therefore construct a MSLP index based only on MSLP at this point. We 279 define the index $MSLP_{UK}$ as the standardised mean MSLP anomaly in a box 280 centred over the UK $(50^{\circ}N-60^{\circ}N,10^{\circ}W-5^{\circ}E)$. The correlation between the two 281 indices $MSLP_{NSI}$ and $MSLP_{UK}$ in the period 1931–2011 is very small and not 282 significant (-0.06). 283

To construct the multiple linear regression model, a training period (1931– 284 (1991) is used, and a later period (1992-2011) is used to evaluate the model. 285 Figure 5a shows the correlation between winter precipitation in each region and 286 $MSLP_{NSI}$ and $MSLP_{UK}$ in the training period. Precipitation in NS, SS, NI 287 and NWE is significantly correlated with $MSLP_{NSI}$ (blue bars), while precipita-288 tion in all regions except for NS is significantly correlated with $MSLP_{UK}$ (green 289 bars). The geographical distribution of these correlations is shown in Fig. 6. 290 The four regions where precipitation is significantly correlated with $MSLP_{NSI}$ 291 are in the north-west of the UK, with the highest correlation in NS (Fig 6a). 292 Correlations between precipitation and $MSLP_{UK}$ are larger in the south of the 293



Figure 3: Maps of observed correlation between winter MSLP and winter precipitation in each of the HadUKP regions, for the period 1931–2011.



Figure 4: Maps of observed correlation between summer MSLP and precipitation in each of the HadUKP regions, for the period 1931-2011.

²⁹⁴ UK, with the highest correlations in SEE and SWE. In all regions, the precipi-²⁹⁵ tation is significantly correlated with at least one of the two MSLP indices, and ²⁹⁶ in three regions the precipitation is significantly correlated with both indices.

²⁹⁷ A multiple linear regression model for the estimated precipitation, $P_{\text{lin}i}$, in ²⁹⁸ each region *i* is constructed using MSLP_{UK} and MSLP_{NSI} as predictors. Thus ²⁹⁹ for region *i*:

$$P_{\text{lin}i} = \alpha_i \text{MSLP}_{\text{UK}} + \beta_i \text{MSLP}_{\text{NSI}} + c_i.$$
(1)

Each region i has a different set of regression coefficients α_i , β_i and c_i which 300 represent the relative importance of $MSLP_{UK}$ and $MSLP_{NSI}$ as atmospheric 301 drivers of precipitation in that region. The forward selection stepwise linear re-302 gression method is used. A significance criterion of p < 0.1 is used for inclusion 303 in the regression model: if p > 0.1 for one of the MSLP indices then the corre-304 sponding regression coefficient is 0. The regression coefficients for each region 305 are shown in Table 2. Here the standardised MSLP indices are used; that is, 306 anomalies are computed which are normalised by the standard deviation of the 307 index over the training period. $P_{\lim i}$ is therefore an estimate of the standard-308 ised precipitation anomaly, which can be scaled by the standard deviation of 309 the observed precipitation timeseries for each region, and recentred about the 310 mean, to give an actual precipitation estimate. Since the coefficients are for the 311 precipitation anomaly, the term $c_i = 0$. For correlation scores this choice of 312 standardisation makes no difference. The impact of detrending the $MSLP_{NSI}$, 313 $MSLP_{UK}$ and precipitation timeseries was found to make almost no difference 314 to the results (correlations within 0.01), so the non-detrended values are used. 315 For each region the correlation between $P_{\lim i}$ and the observed precipitation 316 is shown in Fig. 5a (purple bars). To evaluate the derived precipitation against 317 observed precipitation, the Spearman rank correlation is used in preference to 318 the Pearson correlation, as this avoids making assumptions about linearity, and 319 deals better with outliers (Wilks, 1995). Using the Pearson correlation gives 320 generally similar results. The correlations between $P_{\lim i}$ and observed precip-321 itation are significant in all regions. The highest correlations are in SEE and 322

SWE, with the lowest correlations in ES and NEE. In all regions apart from ES and NEE, this method explains more than 50% of the precipitation variance (i.e. the correlation $r \ge 0.71$), while in SEE more than 75% of variance is explained $(r \ge 0.87)$. Fig. 6c shows that the highest correlations are obtained for regions in the north-west and south of the country, with north-eastern regions having the lowest correlations.

To evaluate the simple multiple linear regression model, the coefficients de-329 rived for the 1931–1991 training period were applied to observed MSLP data for 330 the test period 1992–2011, and the results evaluated against regional precipita-331 tion for this later period. Timeseries of the observed and derived precipitation 332 for three sample regions are shown in Fig. 7. In NS (Fig. 7a) there is very 333 good agreement between observed and derived precipitation, and in particu-334 lar the precipitation extremes are well captured. In NWE (Fig. 7b), where 335 precipitation is controlled by both pressure indices relatively equally, the ex-336 tremes are again well captured, but there are a few years where the derived 337 precipitation does not match the observed precipitation. A similarly good cor-338 respondence between observed and derived precipitation is seen for CE (Fig. 339 (7c), but again there are a few years where the derived precipitation does not 340 match the observed. The years with poor correspondence between derived and 341

observed precipitation tend to be those where the precipitation is close to the 342 343 mean value, which suggests that the model may not perform so well when the driving circulation patterns are weak. The correlations for the test period are 344 shown in Fig. 5b. These are similar to the correlations for the training period 345 (Fig. 5a). The good agreement between the downscaled and observed precip-346 itation for the independent evaluation period suggest that the multiple linear 347 regression model is robust, and is not over-fitted to the training dataset. Repeat-348 ing the evaluation of the multiple regression model on other 20-year sub-periods 349 (1932–1951, 1952–1971 and 1972-1991) also give similar correlations to those 350 for the full training period. In regions NI and NWE, there is a difference in 351 the relative importance of the two pressure indices between the training period 352 and the test period: in the training period precipitation in these regions has a 353 higher correlation with $MSLP_{UK}$ than $MSLP_{NSI}$, while in the test period the 354 correlation with MSLP_{NSI} is higher (compare Fig. 5a and b). This emphasizes 355 the need for a long training period that is independent from the test period. 356

The same methodology can be applied to the UKCP09 gridded precipitation 357 A multiple linear regression model based on the two pressure indices 358 data. can be derived for each grid point, over the training period 1931–1991. As 359 for the regional precipitation, this leads to the strongest correlations between 360 observed and derived precipitation in the south of England and the north-west 361 of Scotland, with slightly lower correlations in the north-east of the country (not 362 shown). The observed MSLP-precipitation relationships derived for each grid 363 point are used in Section 5.2 to derive forecasts of precipitation on these scales. 364

5 Seasonal precipitation forecasts using the multiple linear regression model

The aim of this section is to evaluate seasonal hindcasts of UK regional precipitation obtained by applying the multiple linear regression model developed in Section 4 to GloSea5 hindcasts of MSLP.

370 5.1 Evaluation of GloSea5

The current GloSea5 system has been shown to have good skill in forecasting 371 the wintertime NAO from forecasts initialised around the start of November, 372 with a correlation skill score of 0.62 for the period 1992–2011 (Scaife et al., 373 2014). Less has been said about the skill in forecasting precipitation, although 374 MacLachlan et al. (2015) showed that there was little skill in raw model output 375 for Northern Europe for DJF upper and lower terciles of precipitation (their 376 Figure 13). Figure 8(a) shows a map of the correlation skill for the ensemble 377 mean precipitation from GloSea5 evaluated against the UKCP09 gridded pre-378 cipitation observations (regridded first to the GloSea5 grid). There are a few 379 gridboxes with high skill (correlations exceeding 0.5), mostly in south Wales 380 and moderate (but not significant) skill in some gridboxes in western Scotland. 381 In general the grid-point skill within the HadUKP regions is coherent, although 382 in the SWE region this is not true, as South Wales has higher skill than further 383 south. Most of the eastern parts of the UK have low or no skill (correlations less 384 than 0 in some places). These results should, however be taken with caution 385





Figure 5: Absolute value of Spearman rank correlations between observed winter regional precipitation and the two pressure indices MSLP_{NSI} (blue), MSLP_{UK} (green) and derived precipitation P_{lin} (purple) for (a) the training period (1931–1991) and (b) the test period (1992–2011). Correlations that are not significant (p > 0.1) in the training period (and therefore correspond to indices not used in the construction of P_{lin}) are shown in pale blue/green.



Figure 6: Correlation between winter regional precipitation and (a) MSLP_{NSI}, (b) MSLP_{UK}, and (c) P_{lin} for observations in the training period (1931–1991). In (a,b) correlations that are significant at the 90% level are overlayed with hatched lines; in (c) all correlations are significant so hatching is omitted for clarity.

since data output from models such as seasonal forecast models is not designed
to be evaluated on the grid-point scale (e.g. Lander and Hoskins, 1997).

Figure 9 shows a spatial map of the skill of the GloSea5 ensemble mean in di-388 rectly forecasting DJF MSLP, as compared to the HadSLP2 observation dataset, 389 over a domain covering the North Atlantic and Europe. Regions over the UK 390 and to the north and south, including the $\rm MSLP_{NSI}$ centres, have reasonable skill, with correlation values between 0.4 and 0.6. The model correlation skill 391 392 scores for the two indices defined in Section 4 are 0.56 for $\mathrm{MSLP}_{\mathrm{NSI}}$, and 0.50 393 for $MSLP_{UK}$. These are both significant at the 95% level. The skill of GloSea5 394 in forecasting DJF atmospheric circulation variability in the North Atlantic is 395 therefore not restricted to the NAO, but also includes other modes of variability. 396 397 It is also important to understand whether the GloSea5 forecast system can spatially represent the atmospheric drivers of UK regional precipitation. 398 Correlation maps of MSLP against MSLP_{NSI} and MSLP_{UK} are shown in Fig. 399 10, both for the observations for the full period 1931–2011 and for GloSea5 400 for the period 1992–2011. As expected, the observed correlation pattern for 401 MSLP_{NSI} (Fig. 10a) shows a dipole structure, and looks almost identical to the 402 NS precipitation correlation pattern (Fig. 3a). The equivalent correlation map 403 for GloSea5 is very similar (Fig. 3b), although the southern centre of the dipole 404 is slightly weaker in GloSea5 than the observations. The observed correlation 405 pattern for $\mathrm{MSLP}_{\mathrm{UK}}$ (Fig. 10c) looks much like the SEE correlation pattern 406 (Fig. 3i) with the signs reversed. The equivalent correlation map for GloSea5 407 408 again strongly resembles the observed pattern (Fig. 10d). The fact that these correlation maps are similar for GloSea5 and for the observations indicates that 409 these MSLP indices correspond to the same atmospheric circulation patterns. 410



Figure 7: Time series of DJF observed precipitation (blue lines) and precipitation derived using the multiple linear regression model applied to HadSLP2 observed pressure indices (red lines), for the period 1992–2011. Panels show precipitation in (a) Northern Scotland, (b) North-West England and (c) Central England. The dotted black line marks the time-mean observed precipitation.



Figure 8: Spearman rank correlation scores for winter precipitation for the period 1992–2011. (a) Correlation skill for ensemble mean precipitation from GloSea5 at each grid-box compared with the UKCP09 observed precipitation regridded to the GloSea5 model grid. (b,c) Correlation skill for ensemble mean precipitation derived from GloSea5 MSLP indices using the multiple linear regression model compared with the UKCP09 observed precipitation. In (b) the correlation map is regridded to the GloSea5 grid for comparison with (a); (c) is on the native UKCP09 5km grid.



Figure 9: Correlation skill score between GloSea5 ensemble mean MSLP and observed MSLP for the hindcast period 1992–2011. '+' symbols indicate the locations of the MSLP_{NSI} centres, while the rectangular box indicates the averaging area for MSLP_{UK}.



Figure 10: Point-based correlation between MSLP fields and (a, b) MSLP_{NSI} and (c, d) UK MSLP, for (a, c) observations for the period 1931–2011 and (b, d) GloSea5 for the period 1992–2011. In (b,d) the map shows the mean of the individual ensemble members' correlations between MSLP and the respective indices. '+' symbols in (a,b) indicate the MSLP_{NSI} centres, while the rectangle in (c,d) indicates the averaging area for MSLP_{UK}.

411 5.2 Forecasting precipitation using the multiple linear re-412 gression model based on observations

The multiple linear regression model was applied to the GloSea5 hindcasts of the two pressure indices. The model was applied to each ensemble member individually. In this section the skill for the ensemble mean is discussed. In Section 5.3 a discussion of how this method can be used to produce a probabilistic forecast is given.

Figure 11 shows the skill obtained in forecasting precipitation for each re-418 gion by applying the multiple linear regression model to the $MSLP_{NSI}$ and 419 MSLP_{UK} indices obtained from the GloSea5 hindcast data for DJF, from fore-420 casts initialised around the start of November. The highest skill is obtained 421 for NS, which has a correlation skill score of 0.64. CE and SS also have high 422 correlation scores above 0.5. NI and NWE have reasonable correlation scores 423 above 0.4, which are significant at the 90% level. The remaining three regions 424 have lower skill, with the lowest correlation skill score seen in SWE. 425

The high skill in forecasting NS and SS precipitation is due to the model's relatively high skill in forecasting the MSLP_{NSI}, and the high correlation between this pressure index and precipitation in these regions (Fig. 12). The fact that good skill is obtained in the north-west of the UK is consistent with the findings of Svensson *et al.* (2015) that this region is strongly influenced by the NAO, which is a similar MSLP dipole index to MSLP_{NSI}.

In regions NI and NWE, significant skill in forecasting precipitation is also 432 obtained (Fig. 11). It can be seen from Fig. 12, however, that in these regions, 433 the correlation between GloSea5 forecast $MSLP_{NSI}$ and observed precipitation 434 is higher than the correlation between the estimated precipitation P_{\lim} and ob-435 served precipitation. This is related to the fact that, in these two regions, in 436 the test period the observed precipitation is more strongly related to observed 437 $MSLP_{NSI}$ while in the training period $MSLP_{UK}$ is more important (as dis-438 cussed at the end of Section 4). For more general periods it would therefore 439 be advisable to use P_{lin} rather than only MSLP_{NSI} to forecast precipitation in 440 these regions. 441

The remaining regions are those where precipitation is driven by $MSLP_{UK}$. 442 CE has relatively high skill (0.51) compared to the remaining four regions. ES 443 and NEE are the two regions with the lowest correlations in the observations in 444 the training period, so this is not unexpected. In contrast, SEE and SWE have 445 relatively low correlation skill scores, but have the highest correlations in the ob-446 servations between the actual precipitation and predicted precipitation P_{lin} , and 447 therefore high potential predictability. This is partly due to the lower skill in the 448 model forecast of MSLP_{UK} compared with the skill for MSLP_{NSI}. Therefore 449 future improvements in GloSea5's ability to represent variability in $MSLP_{UK}$ 450 would lead to improvements in precipitation forecasts using this method. 451

Using relationships derived for the UKCP09 gridded precipitation data, it 452 is possible to apply this methodology to generate high-resolution gridded pre-453 cipitation forecasts. Figure 8c shows the correlation scores obtained using this 454 method to forecast precipitation at each grid point in the UK. This shows a 455 similar pattern of skill to that for regional precipitation, with the highest skill 456 seen in the north-west of the UK. In this case Southern Scotland has areas with 457 458 the highest correlation skill. There are some differences in detail; in particular there is a narrow band of regions with lower skill extending southwards from 459

north-east Scotland; this is collocated with high orography, and may be a result 460 461 of limited or less reliable observations in these regions. These results are also shown regridded to the GloSea5 grid in Fig. 8b, for comparison with the GloSea5 462 direct precipitation output. This downscaling method gives an improvement in 463 skill over the GloSea5 direct precipitation output in most gridboxes. There are 464 a few gridboxes, in Northern Ireland and the West of England where the derived 465 precipitation gives slightly worse results than GloSea5 direct precipitation out-466 put. However, it should be noted that the 5km precipitation forecast obtained 467 using this method are potentially much more useful for streamflow modelling, as 468 it will allow distinction between river basins not possible with the much coarser 469 resolution GloSea5 precipitation forecast. 470

471 5.3 Generating a probabilistic forecast for regional pre-472 cipitation

We have focussed on correlation skill so far because unlike probabilistic mea-473 sures like reliability, the correlation is robust to post-processing changes to the 474 ensemble spread. Nevertheless, probabilistic forecasts are useful to represent 475 uncertainty and so in this section we demonstrate how a well calibrated prob-476 abilistic forecast for UK regional precipitation can be produced. Scaife et al. 477 (2014) noted that, while the winter NAO prediction skill is high, the magni-478 tude of the signal in the ensemble mean is much smaller than the interannual 479 variability of the observations. Furthermore, the forecast skill is higher than 480 would be expected given the size of the ensemble mean signal and the ensem-481 ble spread. To address this issue, Eade et al. (2014) defined a quantity, which 482 they termed the ratio of predictable components (RPC), to give an estimate 483 of the ratio of the 'predictability of the real world' to the 'predictability of 484 the model'. The 'predictability of the real world' is estimated by the ensem-485 ble mean correlation coefficient with the observations, while the 'predictability 486 of the model' is estimated from the standard deviation of the ensemble mean 487 divided by the standard deviation of ensemble members. This quantity should 488 be 1 for a perfect forecast system. Eade et al. (2014) developed a method to 489 correct the ensemble mean signal and ensemble members accordingly, to make 490 RPC equal to unity. This method alters the ensemble mean variance according 491 to the correlation skill, and adjusts the ensemble members such that the ensem-492 ble variance about the ensemble mean is equal to the unpredictable noise of the 493 observations. The correction does not affect correlation skill and is described 494 in full in Eade *et al.* (2014). The correction method can be applied in real-time 495 using ensemble information from a hindcast period. The RPC and the correc-496 tion method are described in more detail in Appendix **B**. Here we show results 497 both with and without this correction by applying it to the GloSea5 predictions 498 of $\rm MSLP_{NSI}$ and $\rm MSLP_{UK}$ before they are used to infer rainfall. The RPC values for $\rm MSLP_{NSI}$ and $\rm MSLP_{UK}$ are 2.07 and 1.48, respectively. 499 500

The observed and estimated precipitation timeseries for two regions (NS and CE) obtained for the 20-year test period are shown in Figure 13. Although the correlation skill is high for NS precipitation (0.64, Fig. 11), Fig. 13a shows that the magnitude of the signal in the ensemble mean predicted precipitation is much smaller than that of the observed precipitation variability, by a factor of 5. The ensemble is also overdispersed; the ensemble spread is larger than the observed extreme precipitation values in the timeseries. Similarly the magnitude of the



Figure 11: Spearman rank correlation skill for predicting winter precipitation in each of the HadUKP regions using the multiple linear regression model applied to GloSea5 MSLP fields for the period 1992–2011. Correlations that are significant at the 90% level are overlayed with hatched lines.



Figure 12: Absolute value of Spearman rank correlations between observed winter regional precipitation and the two pressure indices MSLP_{NSI} (blue), MSLP_{UK} (green) and derived precipitation P_{lin} (purple) from GloSea5 hind-casts, over the period 1992–2011. Correlations that are not significant (p > 0.1) in the training period (and therefore correspond to indices not used in the construction of P_{lin}) are shown in pale blue/green.

signal of the CE ensemble mean precipitation estimates (Fig. 13b) is a factor 508 509 of 3 smaller than the observed precipitation variability in this region, and the ensemble spread is again large. Similar features are also seen for precipitation in 510 the remaining seven regions (not shown). Equivalent series produced using the 511 RPC-corrected pressure indices are shown in Figures 13c and d. For NS (Fig. 512 13c) using the RPC correction gives an ensemble mean signal magnitude around 513 double that obtained using the uncorrected values (Fig. 13a). The ensemble 514 spread is also smaller in this case. In particular, in winters 1994 and 2011, the 515 ensemble forecast confidently predicts the high precipitation anomalies observed. 516 The RPC correction has less effect on CE precipitation predictions (Fig. 13d) 517 and other regions where precipitation is driven mainly by $MSLP_{UK}$. This is 518 due to the lower correlation skill for $MSLP_{UK}$, which means that the inflation 519 of the ensemble mean signal is smaller. Nevertheless, the ensemble mean signal 520 for CE precipitation is increased by a factor of 1.5 by the RPC correction, and 521 gives smaller ensemble variance than obtained using the uncorrected values (Fig. 522 13b). Finally, it is interesting to note that in winter 2011, both the observations 523 and ensemble mean show a relatively large positive precipitation anomaly in NS 524 (Figs. 13a and c) and a relatively large negative precipitation anomaly in CE 525 (Figs. 13b and d). This is an example of how this method can predict regional 526 differences in precipitation. 527

To give a probabilistic evaluation of the ensemble forecasts' ability to predict 528 higher or lower than average precipitation, the Brier skill score is used (see 529 Appendix A for more details). Brier skill scores for each region are shown in 530 Table 3, for both the uncorrected and RPC-corrected ensembles. In all regions 531 except for ES the BSS is greater than zero, indicating that the ensemble forecast 532 has more skill than climatology. In general the RPC-corrected ensemble gives 533 better Brier skill scores than the uncorrected ensemble. However, in the regions 534 with low skill (ES and SWE) the RPC correction does not improve the Brier 535 skill scores. The five regions with significant correlation skill (Fig. 11) have 536 high Brier skill scores, while those with lowest correlation skill have lower Brier 537 skill scores. 538

539 6 Discussion and conclusions

The aim of this study was to determine whether skilful seasonal forecasts of the
large-scale atmospheric circulation can be downscaled to provide skilful seasonal
forecasts of UK regional precipitation.

Precipitation in the UK has a north-west/south-east gradient, in terms of 543 both the total amount of precipitation and the main atmospheric drivers of pre-544 cipitation. This gradient is stronger in winter than in summer. In winter, there 545 are two distinct atmospheric circulation patterns associated with precipitation 546 variability in the north-west regions and in the south-east regions. Precipita-547 tion in the north-west is associated with a MSLP dipole with centres to the 548 north and south of the UK (which we refer to as the $\mathrm{MSLP}_{\mathrm{NSI}}$ index); precip-549 itation in the south-east is associated with a MSLP anomaly centred over the 550 UK (which we refer to as the $\mathrm{MSLP}_{\mathrm{UK}}$ index). These modes of variability re-551 semble eastward-shifted versions of the NAO and the EA Pattern, respectively. 552 GloSea5 seasonal hindcasts were found to skilfully represent both these modes 553 of variability in winter in forecasts initialised around the start of November. 554



Figure 13: Timeseries of observed and estimated winter precipitation (in mm) in regions (a,c) Northern Scotland and (b,d) Central England. Blue lines show the observed precipitation, purple lines show the ensemble mean estimate precipitation, with shading and dotted purple lines indicating plus and minus two standard deviations of the ensemble member estimates. The dotted black line marks the time-mean observed precipitation. (a) and (b) show timeseries obtained using the unadjusted ensemble forecasts of MSLP_{NSI} and MSLP_{UK}; (c) and (d) show timeseries obtained using the RPC-corrected ensemble forecasts of MSLP_{NSI} and MSLP_{UK}.

The skill of GloSea5 in winter is therefore not restricted to the NAO, but also extends to MSLP variability centred over the UK.

A simple multiple linear regression model has been developed to describe 557 the variability of winter precipitation in each UK region, using indices based 558 on these two circulation patterns. This multiple linear regression model de-559 scribes between 50 and 76% of observed precipitation variability in each region. 560 Applying this multiple linear regression model to GloSea5 seasonal hindcasts 561 of winter MSLP leads to more skilful forecasts than simply using precipitation 562 forecasts directly from GloSea5. The correlation skill is particularly high for 563 north-western regions of the UK (0.64), in which precipitation is driven primar-564 ily by the $MSLP_{NSI}$ dipole-based index. In general lower skill is obtained for 565 south-eastern regions, which are more strongly influenced by the $MSLP_{UK}$ in-566 dex, although Central England shows promising forecast correlation skill (0.51). 567 The generally lower skill in England than in Scotland may be because GloSea5 568 has lower skill for MSLP_{UK} than for MSLP_{NSI}, therefore improvements in 569 forecasting MSLP over the UK could lead to skilful seasonal forecasts of winter 570 precipitation for all UK regions. 571

The downscaling methodology developed in this study has also be applied 572 the UKCP09 5km gridded precipitation data, which gives broadly similar to 573 results to the regional analysis. Comparison between the derived precipitation 574 and GloSea5 direct precipitation output showed that this downscaling technique 575 gives better correlation skill than simply using the direct GloSea5 precipitation 576 output. In addition, the 5km gridded precipitation forecast produced using this 577 method are potentially useful for streamflow modelling, as they allow distinction 578 between river basins not possible with the much coarser resolution GloSea5 579 precipitation forecasts. Due to the constraints of computational cost, seasonal 580 forecast models cannot currently be run at higher resolution, and certainly they 581 will not be run operationally at horizontal resolutions close to 5km in the near 582 future. Even if run at kilometre-scale resolutions, biases in the model mean state 583 such as positioning of the North Atlantic jet would make it difficult to use direct 584 precipitation output from these models on seasonal timescales, so downscaling 585 methods such as the one used in this paper would still be useful. 586

A probabilistic ensemble forecast for regional UK precipitation can be made 587 using this methodology by applying the multiple linear regression model to 588 $MSLP_{UK}$ than for $MSLP_{NSI}$ forecast by individual GloSea5 ensemble member. 589 However, post-processing of the ensemble forecasts must be performed in order 590 to correct for the low signal-to-noise ratio of the ensemble. The RPC correction 591 used here is one such post-processing technique. Applying this correction to 592 the forecast pressure indices gives a larger signal in the ensemble mean regional 593 precipitation forecasts, and smaller ensemble spread, or more confident forecasts. 594 Brier skill scores show that the ensemble of derived precipitation forecasts using 595 this method has skill higher than climatology in most regions. 596

This multiple linear regression approach could also be applicable to decadal 597 forecasting and future climate projections. In these lower-resolution models, 598 regions with different precipitation drivers could well be contained within one 599 gridbox. The sub-grid-scale or near-grid-scale variability means that it is dif-600 ficult to use precipitation directly from these models to provide forecasts or 601 to draw conclusions about future changes in precipitation. In particular, the 602 larger interannual variability of precipitation received by north-western UK re-603 gions compared to those in the south-east means that variability in precipitation 604

in the north-western regions dominate variability in the UK total precipitation. 605 606 As shown in this study, precipitation in the south-east and north-west regions is uncorrelated. Therefore any forecast or projection based on a UK-average pre-607 cipitation contains little information about precipitation in south-eastern UK 608 regions. This has implications for forecasts or future projections of drought, 609 to which the south-east is more vulnerable than the north-west (Folland *et al.*, 610 2015). Using the multiple linear regression model, however, provides informa-611 tion about each region separately. One consideration for using this method in 612 this context would be how much the relationship between atmospheric circu-613 lation and regional precipitation can be assumed to be stationary over longer 614 timescales. 615

The method used in this study was designed to utilise known skill of the 616 GloSea5 model at forecasting the wintertime NAO and circulation described by 617 MSLP. If other fields such as vorticity, wind strength and wind direction can 618 be forecast with similar levels of skill, then a similar method could be devel-619 oped based on the Jenkinson indices (Jenkinson and Collison, 1977), utilising 620 the relationships between these and regional precipitation found by Jones et al. 621 (2014). Future model developments will lead to further increases in forecasting 622 skill for atmospheric circulation patterns, both due to higher model resolution 623 and larger ensemble sizes. This increased skill could be utilised in more complex 624 downscaling methods, perhaps using the above-mentioned fields in addition to 625 MSLP. In addition, furthering our understanding of the processes that underlie 626 modes of atmospheric variability such as the NAO is essential for improving 627 seasonal predictions and capturing the relationships with patterns of precip-628 itation. This includes external processes such as ocean-atmosphere coupling 629 (e.g. Kushnir, 1994) and internal atmospheric processes such as eddy-mean flow 630 interactions (e.g. Wallace and Lau, 1985). 631

This study has focused on winter only for building the multiple linear regres-632 sion model. However a similar approach can also be used for summer. Based on 633 the correlation patterns in Fig. 4, two MSLP indices can be identified to model 634 regional summer precipitation variability: the first index is a representation 635 of the SNAO, defined using the pressure difference between a Greenland box 636 $(70^{\circ}W-45^{\circ}W, 70^{\circ}N-85^{\circ}N)$ and a UK box (defined as for winter); the second 637 index is the pressure at $(5^{\circ}W, 60^{\circ}N)$. Constructing a multiple linear regression 638 model with observations of these two indices gives correlations with observed re-639 gional summer precipitation of between 0.7 and 0.8, so this model explains more 640 than about 50% of the precipitation variability in each region. In future sea-641 sonal forecast models with more skilful representation of summer atmospheric 642 circulation, this method could be useful in forecasting summer precipitation as 643 well as winter. 644

645 Acknowledgements

LHB was supported by the NERC project IMPETUS (ref. NE/L010488/1).
AAS was supported by the Joint DECC/Defra Met Office Hadley Centre Climate Programme (GA01101). We thank the two annoymous reviewers for providing helpful comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

650 A The Brier skill score

⁶⁵¹ In Section 5.3 the Brier skill score is used to evaluate the probabilistic skill of the

- ⁶⁵² forecasts at forecasting higher or lower than average precipitation. Following
- (Jolliffe and Stephenson, 2003), the Brier skill score is defined as

$$BSS = 1 - \frac{B}{B_{\text{ref}}},\tag{2}$$

⁶⁵⁴ where B is Brier score B, defined as

$$B = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j - o_j,$$
(3)

⁶⁵⁵ n is the number of years, f_j is the forecast probability of the event in year ⁶⁵⁶ j, and o_j is equal to 1 if the event occurred and 0 if not. In this case the ⁶⁵⁷ event is the occurrence of higher (or lower) than average precipitation in a ⁶⁵⁸ given region. The forecast probability f_j is calculated by taking the average of ⁶⁵⁹ all ensemble members' forecasts of the event occurring (either 1 or 0 for each ⁶⁶⁰ ensemble member). B_{ref} is the climatology, in this case 0.5 since higher (lower) ⁶⁶¹ than average precipitation occurs 50% of the time.

⁶⁶²Brier skill score values greater than 0 indicate that the ensemble system is ⁶⁶³more skilful than climatology; negative values indicate poorer skill than clima-⁶⁶⁴tology.

$_{665}$ B The ratio of predictable components (RPC) and RPC correction

⁶⁶⁷ In Section 5.3 the RPC correction is used. The RPC gives an estimate of the ⁶⁶⁸ ratio of the 'predictability of the real world' to the 'predictability of the model' ⁶⁶⁹ (Eade *et al.*, 2014). The predictable component of the observations (PC_{obs}) is ⁶⁷⁰ defined as the correlation r between the ensemble mean and observations, given ⁶⁷¹ by

$$PC_{obs} = r = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\overline{x_j} - \hat{x})(y_j - \hat{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\overline{x_j} - \hat{x})^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_j - \hat{y})^2}},$$
(4)

where $\overline{x_j}$ and y_j are the ensemble mean and observation (respectively) in year j, and \hat{x} and \hat{y} are the time-means of these quantities over n years. The predictable component of the model (PC_{mod}) is defined as the ratio of the ensemble mean standard deviation to the average ensemble member standard deviation, given by

$$PC_{mod} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\overline{x}}^2}{\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_{x_i}^2}},$$
(5)

where m is the number of ensemble members, x_i is ensemble member i and σ_x represents the standard deviation over time of a quantity x. The RPC is then defined as the ratio

$$RPC = \frac{PC_{obs}}{PC_{mod}}.$$
 (6)

RPC can have any value, but if the model predictability accurately reflects the
observed predictability then RPC= 1. Values of RPC greater than one indicate
an overdispersive system; positive values lower than one indicate underdispersion; and negative values indicate that there is no skill.

The RPC correction developed by Eade *et al.* (2014) adjusts the ensemble mean and ensemble members such that the RPC= 1. The ensemble mean is adjusted so that its variance is equal to the predictable part of the observed variance: $PC_{obs}^2 = r^2 \sigma_y^2$. The adjusted ensemble mean $\overline{x_j}'$ in year *j* is given by

$$\overline{x_j}' = (\overline{x_j} - \hat{x})\frac{\sigma_y r}{\sigma_{\overline{x}}} + \hat{x},\tag{7}$$

where σ_y is the standard deviation of the observations. The ensemble members are then recentred about the adjusted mean and their variance adjusted to be equal to the variance of the unpredictable noise part of the observations: $(1 - r^2)\sigma_y^2$. The adjusted ensemble member *i* at time *j*, x'_{ij} , is given by

$$x'_{ij} = (x_{ij} - \hat{x})\frac{\sigma_y \sqrt{(1 - r^2)}}{\sigma_{\text{mem}j}} + \overline{x_j}'$$
(8)

where $\sigma_{\text{mem}j}$ is the standard deviation of the ensemble members about the ensemble mean at time *j*. Full details can be found in Eade *et al.* (2014).

694 **References**

- Alexander L, Jones P. 2000. Updated precipitation series for the UK and discussion of recent extremes. *Atmos. Sci. Let.* 1(2): 142–150, doi:10.1006/asle.
 2000.0016.
- ⁶⁹⁸ Allan R, Ansell T. 2006. A new globally complete monthly historical gridded
 ⁶⁹⁹ mean sea level pressure dataset (hadslp2): 1850-2004. Journal of Climate
 ⁷⁰⁰ 19(22): 5816–5842, doi:10.1175/JCLI3937.1.
- Barnston AG, Livezey RE. 1987. Classification, seasonality and persistence
 of low-frequency atmospheric circulation patterns. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 115:
 1083–1126.
- Eade R, Smith D, Scaife A, Wallace E, Dunstone N, Hermanson L, Robinson N. 2014. Do seasonal-to-decadal climate predictions underestimate the predictability of the real world? *Geophysical research letters* 41(15): 5620–5628,
 doi:10.1002/2014GL061146.
- Folland C, Hannaford J, Bloomfield J, Kendon M, Svensson C, Marchant
 B, Prior J, Wallace E. 2015. Multi-annual droughts in the English Lowlands: a review of their characteristics and climate drivers in the winter half-year. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 19(5): 2353–2375, doi:
 10.5194/hess-19-2353-2015.
- Folland CK, Knight J, Linderholm HW, Fereday D, Ineson S, Hurrell JW. 2009.
 The summer north atlantic oscillation: past, present, and future. *Journal of Climate* 22(5): 1082–1103, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2459.1.

- Folland CK, Woodcock A. 1986. Experimental monthly long-range forecasts for
 the United Kingdom. part I: Description of the forecasting system. *Met. Mag.*115: 301–318.
- ⁷¹⁹ Fowler H, Ekström M, Kilsby C, Jones P. 2005. New estimates of future changes
- in extreme rainfall across the uk using regional climate model integrations. 1.
 assessment of control climate. *Journal of Hydrology* **300**(1): 212–233.
- Gregory J, Jones P, Wigley T. 1991. Precipitation in Britain: an analysis of
 area-average data updated to 1989. Int. J. Climatol 11(3): 331–345.

Huntingford C, Marsh T, Scaife AA, Kendon EJ, Hannaford J, Kay AL, Lockwood M, Prudhomme C, Reynard NS, Parry S, Lowe JA, Screen JA, Ward
HC, Roberts M, Stott PA, Bell VA, Bailey M, Jenkins A, Legg T, Otto FEL,
Massey N, Schaller N, Slingo J, Allen MR. 2014. Potential influences on the
United Kingdom's floods of winter 2013/14. Nature Climate Change 4(9):

729 769–777, doi:10.1038/nclimate2314.

Hurrell J, Kushner Y, Ottersen G, Visbeck M. 2003. The North Atlantic oscillation: climatic significance and environmental impact. In: An overview of the
 North Atlantic oscillation, Hurrell J (ed), AGU, pp. 1–35.

- Jenkinson A, Collison F. 1977. An initial climatology of gales over the North
 Sea. Synoptic Climatology Branch Memorandum (62). Meteorological Office,
 Bracknell.
- Jolliffe IT, Stephenson DB. 2003. Forecast verification. Wiley. Retrieved 6
 September 2017, from http://www.myilibrary.com?ID=27190.
- Jones PD, Harpham C, Briffa KR. 2013. Lamb weather types derived from
 reanalysis products. *International Journal of Climatology* 33(5): 1129–1139,
 doi:10.1002/joc.3498, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joc.3498.
- Jones PD, Osborn TJ, Harpham C, Briffa KR. 2014. The development of Lamb
 weather types: from subjective analysis of weather charts to objective approaches using reanalyses. Weather 69(5): 128–132, doi:10.1002/wea.2255,
 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wea.2255.
- Karpechko AY, Peterson KA, Scaife AA, Vainio J, Gregow H. 2015. Skilful
 seasonal predictions of Baltic sea ice cover. *Environmental Research Letters*10(044007).
- Kendon M, Marsh T, Parry S. 2013. The 2010–2012 drought in England and
 Wales. Weather 68(4): 88–95.
- ⁷⁵⁰ Kendon M, McCarthy M. 2015. The UK's wet and stormy winter of 2013/2014.
 ⁷⁵¹ Weather **70**(2): 40–47.
- Kushnir Y. 1994. Interdecadal variations in north atlantic sea surface temperature and associated atmospheric conditions. *Journal of Climate* 7(1): 141–
 157.

Lamb HH. 1950. Types and spells of weather around the year in the British 755

756 Isles: Annual trends, seasonal structure of the year, singularities. *Quarterly*

Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 76(330): 393–429, doi:10.1002/ 757

qj.49707633005, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qj.49707633005. 758

Lander J, Hoskins B. 1997. Believable scales and parameterizations in a spectral 759 transform model. Monthly weather review **125**(2): 292–303. 760

Lavers D, Prudhomme C, Hannah DM. 2010. Large-scale climate, precipitation 761 and british river flows: Identifying hydroclimatological connections and dy-762 namics. Journal of Hydrology **395**(3): 242–255, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.10. 763 036. 764

Lavers D, Prudhomme C, Hannah DM. 2013. European precipitation connec-765 tions with large-scale mean sea-level pressure (mslp) fields. Hydrological Sci-766 ences Journal 58(2): 310-327, doi:10.1080/02626667.2012.754545. 767

MacLachlan C, Arribas A, Peterson K, Maidens A, Fereday D, Scaife A, Gor-768 don M, Vellinga M, Williams A, Comer R, Camp J, Xavier P, Madec G. 2015. 769 Global seasonal forecast system version 5 (GloSea5): a high-resolution sea-770 sonal forecast system. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 771 141: 1072–1084, doi:10.1002/gj.2396.

772

Met Office, Hollis D, McCarthy M. 2017. UKCP09: Met Office gridded and 773 regional land surface climate observation datasets. Centre for Environmental 774 Data Analysis. 15 September 2017. 775

Muchan K, Lewis M, Hannaford J, Parry S. 2015. The winter storms of 776 2013/2014 in the UK: hydrological responses and impacts. Weather 70(2): 777 55 - 61.778

Murphy SJ, Washington R. 2001. United Kingdom and Ireland precipitation 779 variability and the North Atlantic sea-level pressure field. International Jour-780 nal of Climatology 21(8): 939–959, doi:10.1002/joc.670. 781

Osborn TJ, Conway D, Hulme M, Gregory JM, Jones PD. 1999. Air flow in-782 fluences on local climate: observed and simulated mean relationships for the 783 United Kingdom. Climate Research 13(3): 173–191. 784

Palin EJ, Scaife AA, Wallace E, Pope EC, Arribas A, Brookshaw A. 2015. Skilful 785 seasonal forecasts of winter disruption to the UK transport system. J. Appl. 786 Meteor. Climatol doi:10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0102.1. 787

Parry S, Marsh T, Kendon M. 2013. 2012: from drought to floods in England 788 and Wales. Weather 68(10): 268–274. 789

Scaife A, Arribas A, Blockley E, Brookshaw A, Clark R, Dunstone N, Eade 790 R, Fereday D, Folland C, Gordon M, Hermanson L, Knight JR, Lea DJ, 791 MacLachlan C, Maidens A, Martin M, Peterson AK, Smith D, Vellinga M, 792 Wallace E, Waters J, Williams A. 2014. Skillful long-range prediction of Euro-793 pean and North American winters. Geophysical Research Letters 41(7): 2514– 794 2519, doi:10.1002/2014GL059637. 795

significant at the 95% level, based on a two-tailed t-test. Region DJF NS DJF SEE DJF JJA NS JJA SEE JJA precipitation correlation correlation precipitation correlation correlation NS 497.31 0.13327.3 1 0.21SS410.6 0.88 0.32299.3 0.750.48 ES 200.8 0.44 0.65204.2 0.500.65 NI 286.9 0.520.57251.30.56 0.620.67 0.61 NWE 278.0245.60.500.67NEE 207.6 0.110.79200.40.310.71SWE 314.50.340.91 212.8 0.430.81CE 158.90.050.90 175.60.200.89

1

168.4

0.21

1

Table 1: Average total precipitation (mm) in winter and summer seasons, along with regional correlation with NS and SEE regional precipitation in the two seasons, for HadUKP regions in years 1931–2012. Correlations in bold are significant at the 95% level, based on a two-tailed t-test.

0.13

⁷⁹⁹ Svensson C, Brookshaw A, Scaife A, Bell V, Mackay J, Jackson C, Hannaford J, Davies H, Arribas A, Stanley S. 2015. Long-range forecasts of
⁸⁰¹ UK winter hydrology. *Environmental Research Letters* 10(6): 064006, doi:
⁸⁰² 10.1088/1748-9326/10/6/064006.

Turnpenny JR, Crossley JF, Hulme M, Osborn TJ. 2002. Air flow influences
on local climate: comparison of a regional climate model with observations over the united kingdom. *Climate Research* 20(3): 189–202, URL
http://www.jstor.org/stable/24866806.

- Wallace J, Lau NC. 1985. On the role of barotropic energy conversions in the general circulation. *Advances in geophysics* **28**: 33–74.
- Weston KJ, Roy MG. 1994. The directional-dependence of the enhancement of rainfall over complex orography. *Meteorological Applications* 1(3): 267–275.

Wilby R, O'Hare G, Barnsley N. 1997. The north atlantic oscillation and british
isles climate variability, 1865–1996. Weather 52(9): 266–276.

Wilks DS. 1995. Statistical methods in the atmospheric sciences. Academic
 Press.

Woollings T, Hannachi A, Hoskins B. 2010. Variability of the north atlantic
 eddy-driven jet stream. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society
 126 (640): 856 868 doi:10.1002/gi 625

136(649): 856-868, doi:10.1002/qj.625.

SEE

198.0

<sup>Sibley A, Cox D, Titley H. 2015. Coastal flooding in England and Wales from
Atlantic and North Sea storms during the 2013/2014 winter. Weather 70(2):
62–70.</sup>

Table 2: Regression coefficients for the estimated precipitation anomaly in each
region, as given by equation 1. Values in italics are those that fail the significance
testing $(p > 0.1)$ so are set to zero in the regression equation.

Region	α	β
NS	-21.31	106.32
SS	-26.73	70.81
ES	-31.40	6.45
NI	-34.69	14.80
NWE	-42.74	31.27
NEE	-38.33	-8.00
SWE	-71.87	16.41
CE	-37.08	-6.04
SEE	-56.11	0.60

Table 3: Brier skill scores for precipitation in each HadUKP region obtained from GloSea5 hindcasts of MSLP using the linear regression method, for the period 1992–2011. The two columns show the unadjusted and RPC-adjusted forecasts.

101000000		
Region	Uncorrected	RPC-corrected
NS	0.21	0.36
\mathbf{SS}	0.14	0.28
\mathbf{ES}	-0.04	-0.16
NI	0.14	0.25
NWE	0.21	0.33
NEE	0.13	0.14
SWE	0.05	-0.04
CE	0.13	0.19
SEE	0.13	0.09