

Anomalously weak Labrador Sea convection and Atlantic overturning during the past 150 years

Article

Accepted Version

Thornalley, D. J. R., Oppo, D. W., Ortega, P., Robson, J.
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3467-018X>, Brierley, C.
M., Davis, R., Hall, I. R., Moffa-Sanchez, P., Rose, N. L.,
Spooner, P. T., Yashayaev, I. and Keigwin, L. D. (2018)
Anomalously weak Labrador Sea convection and Atlantic
overturning during the past 150 years. *Nature*, 556 (7700). pp.
227-230. ISSN 0028-0836 doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0007-4
Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/76608/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0007-4>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0007-4>

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

“Anomalously weak Labrador Sea convection and Atlantic overturning during the past 150 years”

David J.R. Thornalley^{1,2*}, Delia W. Oppo², Pablo Ortega³, Jon I. Robson³, Chris M. Brierley¹, Renee Davis¹, Ian R. Hall⁴, Paola Moffa-Sanchez⁴, Neil L. Rose¹, Peter T. Spooner¹, Igor Yashayaev⁵ & Lloyd D. Keigwin²

1) University College London, Department of Geography, UK

2) Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Department of Geology and Geophysics, USA

3) University of Reading, Department of Meteorology, Reading, U.K.

4) Cardiff University, School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, UK

5) Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Canada

*To whom correspondence should be sent d.thornalley@cantab.net

The Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC) plays an essential role in climate through its redistribution of heat and its influence on the carbon cycle^{1,2}. A recent decline in the AMOC may reflect decadal variability in Labrador Sea convection, but short observational datasets preclude a longer-term perspective on the modern state and variability of Labrador Sea convection and AMOC^{1,3-5}. Here, we provide several lines of paleoceanographic evidence that Labrador Sea deep convection and AMOC have been anomalously weak over the past ~150 years (since the end of the Little Ice Age, LIA; ~1850 CE), in comparison to the preceding ~1500 years. The reconstructions suggest the transition occurred as an abrupt shift around the end of the LIA, or, a more gradual, continued decline over the past 150 years; this ambiguity likely arises from additional non-AMOC influences on the proxies or their varying sensitivity to different components of the AMOC. We suggest that enhanced freshwater fluxes from the Arctic and Nordic Seas, towards the end of the LIA, sourced from melting glaciers and thickened sea-ice that had developed earlier in the LIA, weakened Labrador Sea convection and the AMOC. The lack of a subsequent recovery may result from hysteresis or twentieth century melting of the Greenland ice sheet⁶. Our results highlight that recent decadal variability of Labrador Sea

convection and the AMOC has occurred during an atypical, weak background state. Future work should aim to constrain the role of internal climate variability versus early anthropogenic forcing in the AMOC weakening described here.

The AMOC is comprised of northward transport of warm surface and thermocline waters, and their deep southward return flow as dense waters that formed by cooling processes and sinking at high latitudes². The stability of the AMOC in response to ongoing and projected climate change is uncertain. Monitoring of the AMOC by an array at 26°N, spanning the last decade, suggests a weakening of the AMOC, occurring ten times faster than expected from climate model projections¹. However, it remains uncertain if this trend is part of a longer-term decline, natural multi-decadal variability, or a combination of both. Here, we develop past reconstructions of AMOC variability that can be directly compared to instrumental datasets and provide longer-term perspective.

The Labrador Sea is an important region for deep-water formation in the North Atlantic⁵. Moreover, modelling studies suggest that deep Labrador Sea density (dLSD) may be a useful predictor of AMOC change^{3,4,7}. This is because density anomalies produced in the Labrador Sea - predominantly caused by varying deep convection - can propagate southwards rapidly (on the order of months) along the western margin via boundary waves, altering the cross-basin zonal density gradient, thus modifying geostrophic transport and therefore AMOC strength^{2-4,7-9}. Building upon these studies, we show that dLSD anomalies are also associated with changes in the velocity of the deep western boundary current (DWBC) and the strength of the AMOC at 45°N in the high-resolution climate model HadGEM3-GC2 (see Methods and Fig. 1).

In addition to this link between the AMOC and dLSD and the DWBC, changes in AMOC also alter ocean heat transport. Modeling studies suggest that AMOC weakening affects the upper ocean heat content of the eastern subpolar gyre (SPG) with a lag time of ~10 years (ref. ¹⁰), and a distinct AMOC fingerprint on subsurface temperature (Tsub, 400m water depth)¹¹ characterizes weak AMOC phases, with a dipole pattern of warming of the Gulf Stream extension region¹² and cooling of the

subpolar Northeast Atlantic. We exploit the model-based covariance of decadal changes in AMOC with dLSD anomalies, SPG upper ocean heat content, and the Tsub fingerprint, to extend constraints on past AMOC variability (see Methods). Over the instrumental era (post ~1950), these indices suggest significant decadal variability in the AMOC, with coherent changes in dLSD, and lagged SPG upper ocean heat content and the Tsub AMOC fingerprint^{3,5,8,10,11}.

The model results in Figure 1 imply that we can use flow speed reconstructions of the DWBC to infer past changes in dLSD and AMOC. We analyzed the sortable silt (SS) mean grain size, a proxy for near-bottom current flow speed¹³, in two marine sediment cores (48JPC and 56JPC; see Methods, Extended Data Fig. 1 and 2) located under the influence of southward flowing Labrador Sea Water (LSW) within the DWBC off Cape Hatteras (hereafter DWBC_{LSW}). The high accumulation rates (~0.5-1 cm/yr) and modern core-top enable direct comparison of the record from 56JPC to observational datasets (Fig. 2).

In agreement with the model-predicted relationship (i.e. Fig. 1), changes in inferred flow speed of the DWBC_{LSW} show similar, in-phase, variability with observed deep Labrador Sea density⁵. Moreover, there is strong covariability of our DWBC_{LSW} proxy with the lagged (12 year) SPG upper ocean heat content and Tsub index from observational analysis (Fig. 2a). Over the past ~100 years, the spatial correlation of upper ocean heat content anomalies associated with our DWBC_{LSW} proxy closely resembles the Tsub AMOC fingerprint (Fig. 2b,c), supporting the concept that the DWBC_{LSW} proxy and upper ocean temperature changes provide complementary, coherent, information on a common phenomenon, namely AMOC variability. Combined, these datasets imply that decadal variability has been a dominant feature of the past 130 years, with the most recent strengthening of LSW formation during the mid-1990s, and the subsequent decline, being particularly prominent features.

To gain insight prior to the instrumental era, we first extend our DWBC_{LSW} flow speed reconstruction (Fig. 3e). The DWBC_{LSW} proxy suggests that AMOC has been weaker during the last ~150 years than at any other time during the last 1600 years. The emergence of this weaker state (i.e.

77 smoothed record exceeds a noise threshold of 2σ pre-Industrial era variability), takes place at ~1880
78 CE in both cores. The overall transition occurs from ~1750 to ~1900 CE, late in the Little Ice Age
79 (LIA, ~1350-1850 CE) and the early stages of the Industrial era (defined as ~1830 onwards¹⁴).
80 Applying the flow speed calibration for sortable silt¹³ suggests a decrease from 17 to 14.5 cm/s at
81 56JPC, and 14 to 12 cm/s at 48JPC, implying a decrease in DWBC_{LSW} strength of ~15% (assuming
82 constant DWBC_{LSW} cross-sectional area). This decrease is equivalent to 3σ and 4σ of the pre-Industrial
83 era variability in 48JPC and 56JPC, respectively.

84 Secondly, we compile quantitative proxy records of subsurface (~50-200m) ocean temperatures
85 from key locations to extend the Tsub AMOC proxy (Fig. 3a-c; see Methods and Extended Data Fig. 3
86 & 4). The Tsub proxy reconstruction provides support for the proposed AMOC weakening. Opposing
87 temperature anomalies recorded in the two regions after ~1830 CE, with warming of the Gulf Stream
88 extension region and cooling of the subpolar Northeast Atlantic, together suggest a weaker Industrial-
89 era AMOC. Further support for the AMOC weakening is suggested by the spatial pattern of Tsub
90 change in the Northwest Atlantic during the onset of the Industrial era (Extended Data Fig. 5). In
91 contrast to the prominent changes recorded in our proxy reconstructions at the end of the LIA, more
92 subdued variability occurs during the earlier part of our records (400-1800 CE). This implies that the
93 forcing and AMOC response was weaker, or it supports mechanisms in which the AMOC does not play
94 a leading role in the (multi-)centennial climate variability of this period^{15,16}.

95 Labrador Sea deep convection is a major contributor to the AMOC, but susceptible to
96 weakening⁵. Combined with its role in decadal variability over the last ~100 years (Fig. 2), and model
97 analysis of mechanisms in operation today⁸, it is likely that changes in Labrador Sea convection were
98 involved in the weakening of AMOC at the end of the LIA. Additional correlative (not necessarily
99 causative) support is revealed by paleoceanographic evidence from the Labrador Sea. Strong deep
100 convection in the Labrador Sea is typically associated with cooling and freshening of the subsurface

101 ocean⁵. Therefore, the reconstructed shift to warmer and saltier subsurface conditions in the northeast
102 Labrador Sea¹⁷ over the past ~150 years (Fig. 3d; equivalent to $\sim 2\sigma$ of pre-Industrial era variability) is
103 consistent with a shift to a state characterized by reduced deep convection, with only occasional
104 episodes of sustained deep convection. Reconstructions of the other major deep-water contributors to
105 the AMOC - the two Nordic Seas overflows - suggest that on centennial timescales they have varied in
106 anti-phase and thus likely compensated for one another during the last 3000 years¹⁸. Hence, changes in
107 Labrador Sea deep convection may have been the main cause of AMOC variability over this period.

108 While atmospheric circulation has played a dominant role in recent decadal variability of
109 AMOC (and LSW)^{2,8}, there is no strong evidence that the AMOC decrease at the end of the LIA was
110 similarly caused by a shift in atmospheric circulation¹⁹. Instead, we hypothesize that the AMOC
111 weakening was caused by enhanced freshwater fluxes associated with the melting and export of ice and
112 freshwater from the Arctic and Nordic Seas. During the LIA, circum-Arctic glaciers and multi-year
113 Arctic and Nordic sea ice were at their most advanced state of the last few thousand years, and there
114 were large ice-shelves in the Canadian Arctic and exceptionally thick multi-year sea-ice. Yet, by the
115 early 20th century, many of these features had disappeared or were retreating²⁰⁻²³.

116 Modelling studies suggest enhanced freshwater fluxes of ~10-100 mSv over a few decades can
117 weaken Labrador Sea convection and AMOC²⁴, although models with strong hysteresis of Labrador
118 Sea convection²⁵ suggest this may be as little as 5-10 mSv. Unfortunately, there is little data to
119 constrain the Arctic and Nordic Seas freshwater fluxes associated with the end of the LIA. The earliest
120 observational datasets suggest ~10 mSv from sea ice loss in the Arctic and Nordic Seas during 1895-
121 1920^{26,27}, to which we must also add melting of previously expanded circum-Arctic glaciers and ice-
122 shelves, and enhanced melting of the Greenland ice-sheet (GIS). Alternatively, we can estimate that a 1
123 m reduction in average Arctic sea-ice thickness during the termination of the LIA could yield a
124 freshwater flux of 10 mSv for 50 years. While additional work is required to improve this incomplete

125 estimate, there was likely sufficient freshwater stored in the Arctic and Nordic Seas during the LIA to
126 impact Labrador Sea convection and AMOC.

127 The AMOC weakening recorded in our two marine reconstructions is broadly similar to that in
128 a predominantly terrestrial-based AMOC proxy reconstruction⁶ (Fig. 3c). Our Tsub AMOC proxy and
129 the AMOC proxy of ref. 6 (Fig. 3c), both suggest a decline in AMOC through the 20th century, whereas
130 our DWBC_{LSW} AMOC proxy and the observational-based Tsub AMOC index (Fig. 2a and Extended
131 Data Fig. 6) suggest no long-term AMOC decline during the 20th century. These differences may be
132 attributed to several factors. Firstly, our sediment-core based Tsub proxy is subject to artificial
133 smoothing, caused by combining numerous records with substantial (~10-100 year) individual age
134 uncertainties, and compounded by bioturbation. Furthermore, the Tsub proxy sediment cores were
135 retrieved in the late 1990s and early 2000s, therefore they cannot capture the strong Tsub index
136 recovery from ~2000-2010 that reverses the earlier prolonged decline (see Extended Fig. 6).
137 Alternatively, the earlier, more threshold-like change in the DWBC_{LSW} AMOC proxy may be due to
138 local shifts in the position of the DWBC, and/or non-linear dynamics of the DWBC response to AMOC
139 change. However, based on the similarity of the DWBC_{LSW} reconstructions from cores 56JPC and
140 48JPC, located at different water depths, and the strong correlation of DWBC_{LSW} with Labrador Sea
141 density and the Tsub AMOC index over the instrumental period, we suggest these factors are not
142 substantial. Finally, the differences between the AMOC reconstructions may reflect their varying
143 response timescales and sensitivities to the different components of the AMOC and the SPG^{28,29}.

144 Our study raises several issues regarding the modelling of AMOC in historical experiments.
145 The inferred transition to a weakened AMOC occurred near the onset of the Industrial-era, several
146 decades before the strongest global warming trend, and has remained weak up to the present day. This
147 either suggests hysteresis of the AMOC in response to an early climate forcing – natural (solar,
148 volcanic) or anthropogenic (greenhouse gases, aerosols, land-use change) - or alternatively, continued
149 climate forcing, such as the melting of the GIS⁶, has been sufficient to keep AMOC weak. Our

150 reconstructions also differ from most climate model simulations, which show either negligible AMOC
151 change or a later, more gradual reduction³⁰. Many factors may be responsible for this model-data
152 discrepancy: a misrepresentation of AMOC-related processes and possible hysteresis, including
153 underestimation of AMOC sensitivity to climate (freshwater) forcing^{29,31}; the underestimation or
154 absence of important freshwater fluxes during the end of the LIA; and the lack of transient forced
155 behaviour in the “constant forcing” pre-Industrial controls used to initialize historical forcings.
156 Resolving these issues will be important for improving the accuracy of projected changes in AMOC.

157 In conclusion, our study reveals an anomalously weak AMOC over the last ~150 years. Because
158 of its role in heat transport, it is often assumed that AMOC weakening cools the northern hemisphere.
159 However, our study demonstrates that changes in AMOC are not always synchronous with temperature
160 changes. That AMOC weakening occurred during the late LIA and onset of the Industrial era, rather
161 than earlier in the LIA, may point to additional forcing factors at this time, such as an increase in the
162 export of thickened Arctic and Nordic sea ice, or melting of circum-Arctic ice-shelves. The persistence
163 of weak AMOC during the 20th century, when there was pronounced northern hemisphere and global
164 warming, implies that other climate forcings, such as greenhouse gas warming, were dominant during
165 this period. We therefore infer that AMOC has responded to recent centennial-scale climate change,
166 rather than driven it. Regardless, the weak state of AMOC over the last ~150 years may have modified
167 northward ocean heat transport, as well as atmospheric warming through altering ocean-atmosphere
168 heat transfer^{32,33}, underscoring the need for continued investigation of the role of the AMOC in climate
169 change. Determining the future behaviour of AMOC will depend in part on constraining its sensitivity
170 and possible hysteresis to freshwater input, for which improved historical estimates of these fluxes
171 during the AMOC weakening reported here will be especially useful.

172

173




Fig. 1. Modelled link of DWBC velocity with deep Labrador Sea density and AMOC. **a**, Correlation of the vertically-averaged ocean density (1000-2500m) with dLSD; green box, 1000-2500m average) in HadGEM3-GC2 control run; cores sites for DWBC flow speed reconstruction shown. **b**, Climatology of the modelled meridional ocean velocity (ms^{-1}) 30-35°N (see Methods and Extended Data Fig. 7&8), illustrating the modelled position of the DWBC. **c**, Cross-correlations between modelled averaged DWBC flow speed in pink box in **b** and indices of dLSD and AMOC at 45°N (dashed line is without the Ekman component).




Fig. 2. Proxy validation and recent, multi-decadal variability. **a**, SS mean grain size (56JPC, blue) compared with: central Labrador Sea annual density⁵ (black; $r^2=0.56$, $n=54$), comparable to model-based dLSD (Extended Data Fig. 9); and with 12-year lagged SPG upper ocean heat content (0-700m, 55-65°N, 15-60°W, EN4 dataset; red; $r^2=0.58$, $n=116$) and Tsub AMOC fingerprint¹¹ (brown; dashed line zero-line; $r^2=0.76$, $n=55$). Correlations (and 2σ SS error bar, $n=30$) are for 3-point means (bold). Low resolution 48JPC data not shown. **b**, 10- and 12-yr lagged spatial correlation of upper ocean heat content (0-700m) with reconstructed DWBC_{LSW} flow speed (56JPC), heat content lags. Grey contours, spatial Tsub AMOC proxy¹¹; green triangles, Tsub proxy sites; green circle, surface region controlling benthic temperatures at site 7. Grey circles, DWBC sites; grey star, core site ref. 17.




Fig. 3. Proxy reconstructions of AMOC changes over the last 1600 years. **a,b**, Subsurface Northwest Atlantic shelf (**a**) and Northeast Atlantic subpolar gyre (**b**) temperatures; sites in Fig. 2b; composite stacks, black. **c**, Tsub AMOC proxy (black, grey), various binning (see Extended Data Fig 4); orange, Rahmstorf AMOC proxy⁶, 1°C = ~2.3Sv, 21-yr smooth, thin line; thick line and symbols, binned as for Tsub AMOC proxy. **d**, *N. pachyderma* Mg/Ca- $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ subsurface (~100-200m) temperature and salinity for northeast Labrador Sea¹⁷. **e**, SS mean grain size (56JPC, blue; 48JPC, purple; bold, 3-point means); dashed lines, Industrial/Preindustrial-era averages. Error bars/shading, $\pm 2\text{SE}$. DACP (Dark Ages Cold Period, ~400-800 CE), MCA (Medieval Climate Anomaly, ~900-1250 CE).

203 **References**

- 204 1. Srokosz, M. A. & Bryden, H. L. Observing the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation yields
205 a decade of inevitable surprises. *Science* 348, doi:10.1126/science.1255575 (2015).
- 206 2. Buckley, M. W. & Marshall, J. Observations, inferences, and mechanisms of the Atlantic
207 Meridional Overturning Circulation: A review. *Reviews of Geophysics* 54, 5-63,
208 doi:10.1002/2015RG000493 (2016).
- 209 3. Jackson, L. C., Peterson, K. A., Roberts, C. D. & Wood, R. A. Recent slowing of Atlantic
210 overturning circulation as a recovery from earlier strengthening. *Nature Geosci* 9, 518-522,
211 doi:10.1038/ngeo2715 (2016).
- 212 4. Robson, J., Hodson, D., Hawkins, E. & Sutton, R. Atlantic overturning in decline? *Nature Geosci*
213 7, 2-3, doi:10.1038/ngeo2050 (2014).
- 214 5. Yashayaev, I. Hydrographic changes in the Labrador Sea, 1960–2005. *Progress in Oceanography*
215 73, 242-276, doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2007.04.015 (2007).
- 216 6. Rahmstorf, S. et al. Exceptional twentieth-century slowdown in Atlantic Ocean overturning
217 circulation. *Nature Clim. Change* 5, 475-480, doi:10.1038/nclimate2554 (2015).
- 218 7. Hodson, D. L. R. & Sutton, R. T. The impact of resolution on the adjustment and decadal
219 variability of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation in a coupled climate model. *Climate*
220 *Dynamics* 39, 3057-3073, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1309-0 (2012).
- 221 8. Ortega, P., Robson, J., Sutton, R. T. & Andrews, M. B. Mechanisms of decadal variability in the
222 Labrador Sea and the wider North Atlantic in a high-resolution climate model. *Climate Dynamics*,
223 doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3467-y (2016).
- 224 9. Roberts, C. D., Garry, F. K. & Jackson, L. C. A Multimodel Study of Sea Surface Temperature and
225 Subsurface Density Fingerprints of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation. *Journal of*
226 *Climate* 26, 9155-9174, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-12-00762.1 (2013).
- 227 10. Robson, J., Ortega, P. & Sutton, R. A reversal of climatic trends in the North Atlantic since 2005.
228 *Nature Geosci* 9, 513-517, doi:10.1038/ngeo2727 (2016).
- 229 11. Zhang, R. Coherent surface-subsurface fingerprint of the Atlantic meridional overturning
230 circulation. *Geophysical Research Letters* 35, doi:10.1029/2008GL035463 (2008).
- 231 12. Saba, V. S. et al. Enhanced warming of the Northwest Atlantic Ocean under climate change.
232 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 121, 118-132, doi:10.1002/2015JC011346 (2016).
- 233 13. McCave, I. N., Thornalley, D. J. R. & Hall, I. R. Relation of sortable silt grain-size to deep-sea
234 current speeds: Calibration of the ‘Mud Current Meter’. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic*
235 *Research Papers*, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2017.07.003 (2017).
- 236 14. Abram, N. J. et al. Early onset of industrial-era warming across the oceans and continents. *Nature*
237 536, 411-418, doi:10.1038/nature19082 (2016).

- 238 15. Moreno-Chamarro, E., Zanchettin, D., Lohmann, K. & Jungclaus, J. H. An abrupt weakening of
239 the subpolar gyre as trigger of Little Ice Age-type episodes. *Climate Dynamics* 48, 727-744,
240 doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3106-7 (2017).
- 241 16. Miller, G. H. et al. Abrupt onset of the Little Ice Age triggered by volcanism and sustained by sea-
242 ice/ocean feedbacks. *Geophysical Research Letters* 39, doi:10.1029/2011gl050168 (2012).
- 243 17. Moffa-Sánchez, P., Hall, I. R., Barker, S., Thornalley, D. J. R. & Yashayaev, I. Surface changes in
244 the eastern Labrador Sea around the onset of the Little Ice Age. *Paleoceanography* 29, 160-175,
245 doi:10.1002/2013PA002523 (2014).
- 246 18. Moffa-Sanchez, P., Hall, I. R., Thornalley, D. J. R., Barker, S. & Stewart, C. Changes in the
247 strength of the Nordic Seas Overflows over the past 3000 years. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 123,
248 134-143, doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.06.007 (2015).
- 249 19. Ortega, P. et al. A model-tested North Atlantic Oscillation reconstruction for the past millennium.
250 *Nature* 523, 71-74, doi:10.1038/nature14518 (2015).
- 251 20. Bradley, R. S. & England, J. H. The Younger Dryas and the Sea of ancient ice. *Quaternary*
252 *Research* 70, 1-10 (2008).
- 253 21. Funder, S. et al. A 10,000-Year Record of Arctic Ocean Sea-Ice Variability—View from the
254 Beach. *Science* 333, 747-750, doi:10.1126/science.1202760 (2011).
- 255 22. Vincent, W. F., Gibson, J. A. E. & Jeffries, M. O. Ice-shelf collapse, climate change, and habitat
256 loss in the Canadian high Arctic. *Polar Record* 37, 133-142, doi:10.1017/S0032247400026954
257 (2001).
- 258 23. Cabedo-Sanz, P., Belt, S. T., Jennings, A. E., Andrews, J. T. & Geirsdóttir, Á. Variability in drift
259 ice export from the Arctic Ocean to the North Icelandic Shelf over the last 8000 years: A multi-
260 proxy evaluation. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 146, 99-115,
261 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.06.012 (2016).
- 262 24. Yang, Q. et al. Recent increases in Arctic freshwater flux affects Labrador Sea convection and
263 Atlantic overturning circulation. 7, 10525, doi:10.1038/ncomms10525 (2016).
- 264 25. Schulz, M., Prange, M. & Klocker, A. Low-frequency oscillations of the Atlantic Ocean
265 meridional overturning circulation in a coupled climate model. *Climate of the Past* 3, 97-107
266 (2007).
- 267 26. Polyakov, I. V. et al. Arctic Ocean Freshwater Changes over the Past 100 Years and Their Causes.
268 *Journal of Climate* 21, 364-384, doi:10.1175/2007jcli1748.1 (2008).
- 269 27. Vinje, T. Anomalies and Trends of Sea-Ice Extent and Atmospheric Circulation in the Nordic Seas
270 during the Period 1864–1998. *Journal of Climate* 14, 255-267, doi:10.1175/1520-
271 0442(2001)014<0255:aatosi>2.0.co;2 (2001).
- 272 28. Drijfhout, S., Oldenborgh, G. J. v. & Cimatoribus, A. Is a Decline of AMOC Causing the Warming
273 Hole above the North Atlantic in Observed and Modeled Warming Patterns? *Journal of Climate*
274 25, 8373-8379, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-12-00490.1 (2012).

- 275 29. Sgubin, G., Swingedouw, D., Drijfhout, S., Mary, Y. & Bennabi, A. Abrupt cooling over the North
276 Atlantic in modern climate models. 8, doi:10.1038/ncomms14375 (2017).
- 277 30. Weaver, A. J. et al. Stability of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation: A model
278 intercomparison. *Geophysical Research Letters* 39, doi:10.1029/2012gl053763 (2012).
- 279 31. Liu, W., Xie, S.-P., Liu, Z. & Zhu, J. Overlooked possibility of a collapsed Atlantic Meridional
280 Overturning Circulation in warming climate. *Science Advances* 3, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1601666
281 (2017).
- 282 32. Drijfhout, S. Competition between global warming and an abrupt collapse of the AMOC in Earth's
283 energy imbalance. *Scientific Reports* 5, 14877, doi:10.1038/srep14877 (2015).
- 284 33. Kostov, Y., Armour, K. C. & Marshall, J. Impact of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation
285 on ocean heat storage and transient climate change. *Geophysical Research Letters* 41, 2108-2116,
286 doi:10.1002/2013GL058998 (2014).

287

288 **Acknowledgements**

289 We thank Ellen Roosen for help with core sampling, Henry Abrams, Sean O'Keefe, Kathryn Pietro,
290 Lindsey Owen and Francesco Pallottino for assistance in processing sediment samples; Kitty Green for
291 faunal counts in 10MC; Martin Andrews at the UK Met Office for providing the model data; and
292 Stefan Rahmstorf for useful suggestions. Funding was provided by NSF grant OCE-1304291 to DO,
293 DT, LK; NERC Project DYNAMOC (NE/M005127/1) to PO and JR; NERC LTSM ACSIS to JR; by
294 the Leverhulme Trust and ATLAS to DT. This project has received funding from the European Union's
295 Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 678760 (ATLAS). This
296 output reflects only the authors' views and the European Union cannot be held responsible for any use
297 that may be made of the information contained therein.

298

299 **Author contributions**

300 Conceived by DT; NSF project proposal written and managed by DO and DT; cores 56JPC and 48JPC
301 collected by LK; SS analysis and interpretation by DT, with contributions from PS and RD; modelling

work by PO and JR; SCP analysis by NR; Monte-Carlo modeling by PS; first draft written by DT; all authors contributed to discussion and final version of the manuscript.

Author Information

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to d.thornalley@cantab.net

METHODS

Climate model investigation of AMOC and DWBC changes

The climate model used in this study was the UK Met Office's Third Hadley Centre Global Environmental Model – Global Coupled Configuration two (HadGEM3–GC2). The ocean model for HadGEM3–GC2 is the Global Ocean version 5.0, which is based on the version 3.4 of the Nucleus for European Models of the Ocean model (NEMO)³⁴. The ocean model has 75 vertical levels, and is run at a nominal $\frac{1}{4}^\circ$ resolution using the NEMO tri-polar grid. The atmospheric component is the Global Atmosphere version 6.0 of the UK Met Office Unified Model, and is run at N216 resolution (~60km in mid-latitudes), with 85 vertical levels. More information on the model can be found in Williams et al³⁵. The experiment analyzed here was a 310-year control simulation of HadGEM3–GC2, i.e. it includes no changes in external forcings. This experiment was previously run and analyzed in Ortega et al⁸, where details of the specific model experiment are included. This coupled simulation has a relatively high spatial resolution for a more accurate representation of the boundary currents, and is sufficiently long to resolve a large number of decadal oscillations. All model data has been linearly detrended to remove any potential drift, and smoothed with a 10-year running mean in order to focus on the decadal and multi-decadal variability.

326 We use the model-based relationships to support the interpretation of the proxy-based AMOC
327 reconstructions, which cannot be validated with the limited observations available. The AMOC at 45°N
328 is chosen as this is the latitude with the largest correlations with both the deep Labrador Sea Density
329 (dLSD) and deep western boundary current (DWBC) velocity index in the model. Note that AMOC
330 indices defined at other latitudes (e.g. 35°N, 40°N) produce weaker, but still significant correlations
331 with both dLSD and the DWBC. The simulated DWBC velocity index is the average of 30-35°N as at
332 35°N (the latitude where the sediment cores were taken) the DWBC is found offshore, which we
333 believe is associated with the model's Gulf Stream separating further north than in the observations
334 (Extended Data Fig. 7). It should be noted, however, that changes in the position of the observed Gulf
335 Stream do not appear to directly control the reconstructed flow speed changes in the DWBC_{LSW} (see
336 Extended Data Fig. 10).

337 We have also assessed the robustness of the model-based relationships to the smoothing. For
338 example we reproduced the cross-correlation analysis in Fig. 1c using undetrended and/or unsmoothed
339 data instead. In all cases, the lead-lags relationships are similar, with larger correlations emerging when
340 the decadal smoothing is applied. Furthermore, we also tested the sensitivity of the model-based
341 relationships to the specific model used. In particular, we repeated the analysis of Fig. 1 in the 340 year
342 control experiment using the HiGEM climate model³⁶. HiGEM has a similar horizontal ocean
343 resolution (1/3°), but is based on a different ocean model. Encouragingly, Extended Data Fig. 8 shows
344 that the results are consistent across the two models, in particular the link between dLSD and the
345 DWBC, and between the DWBC and the AMOC at 45°N. However, there are some caveats. For
346 example, both models' Gulfstream separate too far north, which led us to define the DWBC flow
347 indices slightly south of the core sites. HiGEM also has a deeper DWBC than HadGEM3-GC2.
348 Therefore, the DWBC index was computed at different levels in both models in order to represent the
349 link between dLSD and the DWBCs. However, despite these differences, both models support the

350 general interpretation that the DWBC in the vicinity of Cape Hatteras is strongly connected with
351 changes in the dLSD and the AMOC.

352 The interpretation of the model results is consistent with previously published model studies
353 (both low and high resolution) that have revealed a coupling between the AMOC and/or Labrador Sea
354 density, and the DWBC^{3,7,11,37}. These modelled relationships support a causal link for the correlations
355 between the instrumental records of Labrador Sea density and the reconstructed DWBC velocity,
356 presented in Fig 2. Furthermore, recent instrumental data of the DWBC at 39°N spanning 2004-2014
357 reveal that a reduction in the velocity of classical LSW within the DWBC is also accompanied by a
358 decrease in its density³⁸, as hypothesized here. The observed decrease in the velocity and density of
359 classical LSW within the DWBC between 2004 and 2014 is also consistent with the decrease in the
360 density of the deep Labrador Sea over this period (Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 9), although a longer
361 observational DWBC time-series is needed to gain confidence in this relationship.

362

363 **Age models**

364 New and updated age models for the cores are presented in Extended Figures 1 & 2, and are based on
365 ¹⁴C, ²¹⁰Pb and spheroidal carbonaceous particle (SCP) concentration profiles³⁹.

366

367 **Sortable silt data**

368 Two marine sediment cores were used for DWBC flow speed reconstruction: KNR-178-56JPC
369 (~35°28'N, 74°43'W, 1718 m water depth) and KNR-178-48JPC (35°46'N, 74°27'W, 2009 m water
370 depth). Sediments were processed using established methods⁴⁰ taking 1cm wide samples, every 1cm for
371 the top 63cm and then every 4cm down to 200cm in 56JPC, and every 1cm down to 71cm in 48JPC.
372 Samples were analyzed at Cardiff University on a Beckman Coulter Multisizer 4 using the Enhanced
373 Performance Multisizer 4 beaker and stirrer setting 30 to ensure full sediment suspension. Two or three
374 separate aliquots were analyzed for each sample, sizing 70,000 particles per aliquot. Analytical

375 precision was $\sim 1\%$ ($\pm 0.3\mu\text{m}$), whilst full procedural error (based on replicates of $\sim 25\%$ of samples,
376 starting from newly sampled bulk sediment) was $\pm 0.8\mu\text{m}$.

377

378 **Temperature data and constructing the Tsub index**

379 Numerous studies have suggested AMOC variability is associated with a distinct surface or subsurface
380 (400m) temperature fingerprint in the North Atlantic^{6,11,28,41}. However, the lack of long-term
381 observations of AMOC prevents accurate diagnosis of the precise AMOC temperature fingerprint, and
382 models display a range of different AMOC temperature fingerprints^{9,42}. In this study we focus on the
383 Tsub AMOC fingerprint, proposed by Zhang¹¹ on the basis of covariance between modelled AMOC,
384 the spatial pattern of the leading mode of subsurface (400m) temperature variability, and sea-surface
385 height changes. These model-based relationships were supported by similar relationships (spatial and
386 temporal) observed in recent instrumental data of subsurface temperature and sea surface height. The
387 agreement between our DWBC_{LSW} AMOC reconstruction, observed Labrador Sea density changes, and
388 the Tsub AMOC fingerprint, provides support for our approach and suggests the Tsub AMOC
389 fingerprint is capturing an important component of deep AMOC variability. Differences between the
390 various proposed AMOC temperature fingerprints likely reflects their sensitivity to different aspects of
391 AMOC and heat transport in the North Atlantic e.g. AMOC versus SPG circulation²⁸; the temperature
392 response to each of these components may be resolved if more comprehensive spatial networks of past
393 North Atlantic temperature variability are generated⁴³.

394 Records used in the OCEAN 2K synthesis⁴⁴ from the Northwest Atlantic slope and the subpolar
395 Northeast Atlantic were selected and supplemented with additional records that also record past
396 temperature variability in the subsurface ocean of the chosen region. Cores that did not have a modern
397 core top age (1950 CE or younger) or resolution of better than 100 years were not included.
398 Foraminiferal-based temperature proxies were selected because they record subsurface temperatures
399 (typically 50-200m), upon which the Tsub proxy is based. We avoid other temperature proxies (e.g.

400 alkenones, coccolithores, diatoms) that are typically more sensitive to sea surface temperature, rather
401 than Tsub, and which also use the fine fraction that at the drift sites required for the necessary age
402 resolution contains significant allochthonous material, compromising the fidelity of *in situ* temperature
403 reconstruction^{45,46}.

404 All Tsub records were normalized to the interval 1750-2000 CE (the length of the shortest
405 records). The Tsub proxy reconstruction was calculated as the difference between the stacked
406 temperature records of the Northwest and Northeast Atlantic. Our results are insensitive to the precise
407 binning or stacking method, as shown in Extended Data Fig. 4. The sedimentation rates of the cores
408 used, combined with the effects of bioturbation mean we cannot resolve signals on timescales shorter
409 than ~20-50 years. Age model uncertainty is estimated to be up to ~30 years for the last ~150 years
410 where cores have ²¹⁰Pb dating, and ~100 years for 400-1800 CE where ¹⁴C dating is relied upon.
411 Therefore, the optimal bin intervals chosen were 50 years for 1800-2000 CE, and 100 years for 400-
412 1800 CE. Results for only using 50 year and 100 year bins, as well as 30 year bins for the top 200
413 years, are shown in Extended Data Fig. 4.

414

415 **Data Availability**

416 The proxy data that support these findings are provided with the paper as Source Data for Fig. 2, 3,
417 Extended Data Fig 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, and at NGDC Paleoclimatology ([https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-](https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/paleoclimatology-data/datasets)
418 [access/paleoclimatology-data/datasets](https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/paleoclimatology-data/datasets)). Model data can be made available from Jon Robson
419 (j.i.robson@reading.ac.uk) upon reasonable request.

420

421 **References**


- 422 34. Megann, A. et al. GO5.0: the joint NERC–Met Office NEMO global ocean model for use in
423 coupled and forced applications. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 7, 1069-1092, doi:10.5194/gmd-7-1069-2014
424 (2014).
- 425 35. Williams, K. D. et al. The Met Office Global Coupled model 2.0 (GC2) configuration. *Geosci.*
426 *Model Dev.* 8, 1509-1524, doi:10.5194/gmd-8-1509-2015 (2015).
- 427 36. Shaffrey, L. C. et al. U.K. HiGEM: The New U.K. High-Resolution Global Environment Model—
428 Model Description and Basic Evaluation. *Journal of Climate* 22, 1861-1896,
429 doi:10.1175/2008jcli2508.1 (2009).
- 430 37. Bakker, P., Govin, A., Thornalley, D. J. R., Roche, D. M. & Renssen, H. The evolution of deep-
431 ocean flow speeds and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ under large changes in the Atlantic overturning circulation: Toward a
432 more direct model-data comparison. *Paleoceanography* 30, 95-117, doi:10.1002/2015PA002776
433 (2015).
- 434 38. Toole, J. M., Andres, M., Le Bras, I. A., Joyce, T. M. & McCartney, M. S. Moored observations of
435 the Deep Western Boundary Current in the NW Atlantic: 2004–2014. *Journal of Geophysical*
436 *Research: Oceans* 122, 7488-7505, doi:10.1002/2017JC012984 (2017).
- 437 39. Rose, N. L. Spheroidal Carbonaceous Fly Ash Particles Provide a Globally Synchronous
438 Stratigraphic Marker for the Anthropocene. *Environmental Science & Technology* 49, 4155-4162,
439 doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b00543 (2015).
- 440 40. McCave, I. N., Manighetti, B., Robinson, S.G. Sortable silt and fine sediment size/composition
441 slicing: Parameters for palaeocurrent speed and palaeoceanography. *Paleoceanography* 10, 593-
442 610 (1995).
- 443 41. Dima, M. & Lohmann, G. Evidence for Two Distinct Modes of Large-Scale Ocean Circulation
444 Changes over the Last Century. *Journal of Climate* 23, 5-16, doi:10.1175/2009jcli2867.1 (2010).
- 445 42. Muir, L. C. & Fedorov, A. V. How the AMOC affects ocean temperatures on decadal to centennial
446 timescales: the North Atlantic versus an interhemispheric seesaw. *Climate Dynamics* 45, 151-160,
447 doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2443-7 (2015).
- 448 43. Ortega, P., Robson, J., Moffa-Sanchez, P., Thornalley, D. J. R. & Swingedouw, D. A last
449 millennium perspective on North Atlantic variability: exploiting synergies between models and
450 proxy data. *CLIVAR exchanges* 72, 61-67, doi:10.22498/pages.25.1 (2017).
- 451 44. McGregor, H. V. et al. Robust global ocean cooling trend for the pre-industrial Common Era.
452 *Nature Geosci* 8, 671-677, doi:10.1038/ngeo2510 (2015).
- 453 45. McCave, I. N. A Poisoned Chalice? *Science* 298, 1186-1187, doi:10.1126/science.1076960 (2002).
- 454 46. Filippova, A., Kienast, M., Frank, M. & Schneider, R. R. Alkenone paleothermometry in the North
455 Atlantic: A review and synthesis of surface sediment data and calibrations. *Geochemistry,*
456 *Geophysics, Geosystems* 17, 1370-1382, doi:10.1002/2015GC006106 (2016).

- 457 47. Marchitto, T. & deMenocal, P. Late Holocene variability of upper North Atlantic Deep Water
458 temperature and salinity. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* 4, 1100,
459 doi:10.1029/2003GC000598 (2003).
- 460 48. Keigwin, L. D., Sachs, J. P. & Rosenthal, Y. A 1600-year history of the Labrador Current off Nova
461 Scotia. *Climate Dynamics* 21, 53-62, doi:10.1007/s00382-003-0316-6 (2003).
- 462 49. Keigwin, L. D. & Pickart, R. S. Slope Water Current over the Laurentian Fan on Interannual to
463 Millennial Time Scales. *Science* 286, 520-523, doi:10.1126/science.286.5439.520 (1999).
- 464 50. Genovesi, L. et al. Recent changes in bottom water oxygenation and temperature in the Gulf of St.
465 Lawrence: Micropaleontological and geochemical evidence. *Limnology and Oceanography* 56,
466 1319-1329, doi:10.4319/lo.2011.56.4.1319 (2011).
- 467 51. Hall, I. R., Boessenkool, K. P., Barker, S., McCave, I. N. & Elderfield, H. Surface and deep ocean
468 coupling in the subpolar North Atlantic during the last 230 years. *Paleoceanography* 25, n/a-n/a,
469 doi:10.1029/2009PA001886 (2010).
- 470 52. Moffa-Sanchez, P., Born, A., Hall, I. R., Thornalley, D. J. R. & Barker, S. Solar forcing of North
471 Atlantic surface temperature and salinity over the past millennium. *Nature Geosci* 7, 275-278,
472 doi:10.1038/ngeo2094 (2014).
- 473 53. Thornalley, D. J. R., Elderfield, H. & McCave, I. N. Holocene oscillations in temperature and
474 salinity of the surface subpolar North Atlantic. *Nature* 457, 711-714, doi:10.1038/nature07717
475 (2009).
- 476 54. Richter, T. O., Peeters, F. J. C. & van Weering, T. C. E. Late Holocene (0-2.4 ka BP) surface water
477 temperature and salinity variability, Feni Drift, NE Atlantic Ocean. *Quaternary Science Reviews*
478 28, 1941-1955, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.04.008 (2009).
- 479 55. Morley, A. et al. Solar modulation of North Atlantic central Water formation at multidecadal
480 timescales during the late Holocene. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 308, 161-171,
481 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.05.043 (2011).
- 482 56. Morley, A., Rosenthal, Y. & deMenocal, P. Ocean-atmosphere climate shift during the mid-to-late
483 Holocene transition. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 388, 18-26, doi:
484 10.1016/j.epsl.2013.11.039 (2014).
- 485 57. Sicre, M.-A. et al. A 4500-year reconstruction of sea surface temperature variability at decadal
486 time-scales off North Iceland. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 27, 2041-2047,
487 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.08.009 (2008).
- 488 58. Joyce, T. M. & Zhang, R. On the Path of the Gulf Stream and the Atlantic Meridional Overturning
489 Circulation. *Journal of Climate* 23, 3146-3154, doi:10.1175/2010jcli3310.1 (2010).
- 490 59. Suman, D. O. & Bacon, M. P. Variations in Holocene sedimentation in the North American Basin
491 determined from 230Th measurements. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research*
492 *Papers* 36, 869-878, doi: 10.1016/0198-0149(89)90033-2 (1989).


493 560. Adkins, J. F., Boyle, E. A., Keigwin, L. & Cortijo, E. Variability of the North Atlantic
494 thermohaline circulation during the last interglacial period. *Nature* 390, 154-156,
495 doi:10.1038/36540 (1997).

496 61. Hodson, D. L. R., Robson, J. I. & Sutton, R. T. An Anatomy of the Cooling of the North Atlantic
497 Ocean in the 1960s and 1970s. *Journal of Climate* 27, 8229-8243, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-14-00301.1
498 (2014).

499



Extended Data Figure 1. Age model for core KNR-178-56JPC. **a**, ^{14}C and ^{210}Pb dating. ^{14}C ages (with 1σ ranges; grey, rejected dates) on planktonic foraminifera yielded a modern core top age and indicate an average sedimentation rate over the last 1000 years of 320cm/kyr (dashed line). The presence throughout the core of abundant lithogenic grains in the $>150\mu\text{m}$ fraction, alongside the coarse sortable silt mean grain size values, suggest some reworking of foraminifera is likely, resulting in average ^{14}C ages that may be slightly (~ 50 years) older than their final depositional age, consistent with the ^{210}Pb dates not splicing smoothly into the ^{14}C ages (^{14}C ages appear slightly too old). The final age model was therefore based on the ^{210}Pb ages for the last century, and was then simply extrapolated back in time using the linear sedimentation rate of 320cm/kyr. Given that none of our findings are dependent on close age control in the older section of this core (i.e. pre 1880 CE), this uncertainty (converted ^{14}C ages are ~ 50 years older than the extrapolated linear age model) does not affect the conclusions of our study. **b**, The age model for the top 80cm of 56JPC is based on ^{210}Pb dating of bulk sediment assuming the constant initial concentration (CIC) method (rejecting the date at 47cm – likely burrow). A simple two-segment linear fit to the ^{210}Pb dates was adopted (rather than point-to-point interpolation or a spline) because sedimentological evidence - an abrupt increase in the % coarse fraction at 23cm depth, not observed elsewhere in the core, is indicative of a step change in the sedimentation rate. Further support for the age model of 56JPC over the last century is derived from the down-core abundance profile of spheroidal carbonaceous particles (SCPs, derived from high temperature fossil fuel combustion, counted using the methods described in ref. ³⁹) which ramped up from the mid-late 1800s and peaked in the 1950s-70s (40 to 25cm) before declining over recent decades, consistent with the ^{210}Pb based age model. The occurrence of ^{137}Cs in the top $\sim 40\text{cm}$ of the core is also consistent with the ^{210}Pb based age of ~ 1950 at 40cm. Age uncertainty (1σ) for the last 60 years of the core is estimated at $\pm 2-3$ years. Note, sediment core top is at 3cm depth in core-liner.




Extended Data Figure 2. Age models for additional cores. **a**, ^{14}C age model based on linear interpolation of ^{14}C dated planktic foraminifera (with 1σ ranges) in sediment core KNR-178-48JPC (used for the DWBC_{LSW} SS reconstruction); yielding a modern core top age and average sedimentation rate of $\sim 50\text{cm/kyr}$. Note, core top is at 3cm depth in core-liner. Insert shows the SCP profile for 48JPC based on the ^{14}C age model, confirming the modern age of the top sediments, with SCPs showing the expected profile: increasing from the late 1800s onwards, peaking $\sim 1950\text{-}1970$ and then declining afterwards. **b**, Updated age model for KNR-158-10MC (after ref. ⁴⁷; used in Extended Data Fig. 1, examining regional near surface temperature trends in the NW Atlantic during the Industrial era) using new ^{210}Pb dating (CIC method) for the top 7cm and rejecting the anomalously old ^{14}C age at 4cm depth. A single detectable occurrence of ^{137}Cs at 2-2.5cm (equivalent to 1957 on the ^{210}Pb based age model) can be linked to the bomb peak at 1963, supporting the age model. Also note, SCPs were found in the top 5cm of this core, confirming the Industrial era age for the top 5cm, however the low concentrations prevent meaningful interpretation of the down-core trends and are not shown. **c**, Age model for core OCE-326-MC29B (used for Tsub reconstruction of the NW Atlantic shelf). ^{14}C ages of planktic foraminifera (with 1σ ranges) from ref. ⁴⁸. Support for this age model is provided by the SCP concentrations (this study) which show the expected down-core profile³⁹ when plotted using the ^{14}C ages. ^{210}Pb dating⁴⁸ also suggests a sedimentation rate of $\sim 120\text{cm/kyr}$ for uppermost sediments, consistent with the ^{14}C ages and SCP profile.


NW ATLANTIC SLOPE

NE ATLANTIC SPG


a Emerald Basin (29MC, 250m water depth, site 2)




b Laurentian Fan (13MC, site 3)




c Gulf of St Lawrence (409m water depth, site 1)




d Gardar drift (site 4)




e Bjorn drift (site 5)




f Feni drift (site 6)




g ENACW, formed in eastern SPG (899m water depth, site 7)



h *North Iceland shelf/Rahmstorf SPG SST




Extended Data Figure 3. Raw data for construction of Tsub AMOC proxy shown in Fig. 3. Locations are shown in Fig. 2b. **a-c**, Temperature proxy records from refs⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ used for the Northwest Atlantic stack, where model studies^{11,12} indicate AMOC weakening results in warming of the surface and subsurface waters. **d-g**, records used to reconstruct Northeast Atlantic subpolar gyre subsurface temperatures: **d**, Gardar drift⁵¹, **e**, combined South Iceland data^{52,53}, **f**, Feni drift⁵⁴, **g**, Eastern North Atlantic Central Water (ENACW) largely composed of waters formed in the eastern SPG^{55,56}, **h**, The high resolution alkenone SST record from the North Iceland shelf⁵⁷ was not included because it is not located within the open North Atlantic subpolar gyre, although it does also show the lowest temperature of the last 1600 years occurred during the most recent century, similar to the other Northeast Atlantic records. Also shown for reference is the Rahmstorf central subpolar gyre SST reconstruction (largely based on terrestrial proxies)⁶.




558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576

Extended Data Figure 4. Different binning and averaging approaches and the residual temperature signal. **a & b**, Stacked, normalized proxy temperature data from the NW Atlantic shelf/slope (**a**) and NE Atlantic SPG (**b**). **c**, The derived Tsub AMOC proxy calculated as the numerical difference between the stacks shown in **a** and **b**. **d**, The residual temperature variability in stacks **a** and **b** not described by the (anti-phased dipole) Tsub AMOC proxy shown in **c**, i.e. the in-phase temperature variability common to both stacks, calculated as the numerical sum of the two stacks (if divided by two, this would be the numerical mean). This represents the inferred non-AMOC related temperature variability common to both regions, and broadly resembles northern hemisphere temperature reconstructions, most notably colder residual temperatures during the LIA, ~1350-1850. For plots **a-d**: black solid line and squares, preferred binning (50yr for 1800-2000, 100yr for 400-1800); green line and symbols, as for preferred binning but stacks are produced by first binning the proxy data at each site and then averaging these binned site values, as opposed to binning all the proxy data together in one step (the former ensures equal weighting for each site, the latter biases the final result to the higher resolution records); black dashed line and symbols, 100yr bins offset by 50yr from the preferred bins; grey line and symbols, 50yr bins (not shown for **c** and **d**); blue line and symbols, 30yr bins for 1790-2000. Error bars for **a-d** are ±2S.E. **e-g**, as for **a-c** except using a Monte Carlo approach, using the published uncertainties for age assignment and temperature reconstructions; light and dark grey shading are ±1σ and ±2σ. **h**, Jackknife approach version of **c**, with each line representing the Tsub AMOC proxy but leaving out one of the individual proxy records each time.




Extended Data Figure 5. SST temperature response of the Northwest Atlantic to AMOC weakening. **a**, Modelled SST difference between weak and strong AMOC⁵⁸. This pattern is model-dependent, with the study cited here chosen because of its good agreement with observations of Gulf Stream variability⁵⁸. Core locations for **b** are shown by black stars. **b**, The percentage abundance of the polar species, *N. pachyderma* (sinistral), in marine sediment cores from the Northwest Atlantic, as an indicator of near-surface (~75m) temperatures: a 15% increase indicates ~1°C of cooling (note the reversed y-axes). The opposing trends over the last 200 years are consistent with the modelled SST pattern for a weakening of the AMOC, as shown in **a**. Data and age models for the cores are: OCE326-MC29, ref.⁴⁸, using the original ¹⁴C dating and as shown in Extended Data Fig. 2; OCE326-MC13 and OCE326-MC25, ref.⁴⁹, using the original ¹⁴C age ties at the top and bottom of the core and scaling the intervening sedimentation rate to the %CaCO₃ content^{49,59,60}; KNR158-MC10 from this study and age model presented in Extended Data Fig. 2.




Extended Data Figure 6. Temperature fingerprints of AMOC over the twentieth century. **a**, Top, the Tsub AMOC fingerprint¹¹ using the EN4 dataset (light green is EOF1 of 1993-2003, as defined by Zhang¹¹, applied to the EN4 data; dark green is the 2nd EOF of the North Atlantic) - no 20th century AMOC decline is shown by this observational based reconstruction; bottom, instrumental based reanalysis of the ‘cold blob’ central SPG region (red, 3 yr and 11 yr smooth; 47-57N, 30-45W) used in the Rahmstorf SST AMOC proxy⁶. The reconstructed central SPG SST bears some resemblance to the Tsub AMOC fingerprint record, which is not unexpected since the central SPG forms a significant spatial component of the Tsub fingerprint. No clear decrease is shown by the central SPG SST, and the equivalent Rahmstorf AMOC proxy⁶ (blue; central SPG – northern hemisphere (NH) temperature) declines through the twentieth century only due to the subtraction of the NH warming trend. **b**, Reconstructed (predominantly terrestrial-based proxy network) AMOC proxy (temperature difference between the central SPG and the NH; orange) and the central SPG SST reconstruction⁶ (blue). As for the instrumental data shown in (a), the decline in the Rahmstorf AMOC index throughout the twentieth century is caused by the subtraction of the NH warming trend. There is a two-step decline in the AMOC proxy, at 1850-1900 and 1950-2000, the former mainly being the result of a strong cooling of the SPG (likely weakening northward heat transport, paralleling the weakening shown by our DWBC proxy), whereas the late twentieth century decline was mainly due to the subtraction of the strong NH warming trend, rather than a persistent cooling of the SPG.


GC2 climatological Surface Currents




Observed (OSCAR) Surface Currents




Extended Data Figure 7. DWBC changes in model HadGEM3-GC2. **a,b** Climatological surface current direction (in arrows) and speed (shaded, m/s) in the control simulation with HadGEM3-GC2 and the satellite product OSCAR, respectively.



Extended Data Figure 8. The modelled link of DWBC velocity with deep Labrador Sea density and AMOC in the HiGEM model. **a**, Correlation of the vertically-averaged ocean density (1000-2500m) with deep Labrador Sea density index (dLSD as defined by ref. 4; green box, 1000-2500m average) in a 340 year present day control run of the HiGEM model (see ref 36). **b**, Climatology of the modelled meridional ocean velocity (ms^{-1}) averaged between 30-35°N, illustrating the modelled position of the DWBC **c**, Cross-correlations between modelled averaged DWBC flow speed in pink box in **b** and indices of dLSD and AMOC at 45°N (dashed line is without the Ekman component). Note that the box over which the DWBC flow index in **c** is averaged has changed with respect to Fig. 1 in the main paper in order to take into account of the fact that the return flow is deeper in HiGEM than in HadGEM3-GC2.



Extended Data Figure 9. Comparison of Labrador Sea density parameters. The model-based deep Labrador Sea density (dLSD) parameter, proposed by ref. 4, using the EN4 reanalysis dataset, incorporates a larger area and greater depth range than instrumental data-only studies such as ref. 5, which examines past variability in Labrador Sea convection and focuses on the central Labrador Sea and depths <2000m region, where most observational data is available. The comparison, here, of dLSD (purple line, 3 yr mean) using the EN4 dataset with instrumental data of density changes in the central Labrador Sea at 1500-1900m depth (black line, annual averages and 3 yr mean) illustrates that the two parameters show very similar variability, both being dominated by the density changes caused by deep convection in the Labrador Sea, which can reach down to ~2000m. Estimates of uncertainty are discussed in ref. ⁶¹.



Extended Data Figure 10. Comparison with Gulf Stream Index (GSI). The direct influence of the changing position of the Gulf Stream on the grain size of our core sites can be ruled out through comparison of instrumental records of the Gulf Stream position (the GSI, from ref. ⁵⁸) with the down-core data in 56JPC. No clear correlation is observed between the GSI and our SS mean grain size data in core 56JPC, contrasting with the coupling between our SS data (inferred DWBC_{LSW} flow speed) and density changes in the deep Labrador Sea. 2σ SS error bar ($n=30$) is for 3-point mean (bold).