

# *Applying an extended theory of planned behaviour to predict breakfast consumption in adolescents*

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Kennedy, S., Davies, E. L., Ryan, L. and Clegg, M. E. (2017) Applying an extended theory of planned behaviour to predict breakfast consumption in adolescents. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 71 (5). pp. 607-613. ISSN 0954-3007 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2016.192> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/80387/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2016.192>

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# 1 Applying an extended Theory of Planned Behaviour to 2 predict breakfast consumption in adolescents

---

3 S Kennedy<sup>1</sup>, EL Davies<sup>2</sup>, L Ryan<sup>3</sup> and ME Clegg<sup>1\*</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>Sarah Kennedy, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, UK

5 <sup>2</sup>Dr Emma L Davies, Department of Psychology, Social Work and Public Health, Oxford Brookes  
6 University, UK

7 <sup>3</sup>Dr Lisa Ryan, School of Science and Computing, Galway-Mayo Institute of Technology, Ireland

8 <sup>1</sup>Dr Miriam E Clegg, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, UK

9 \*Corresponding author

10 Miriam Clegg BSc, PhD, RNutr,

11 Functional Food Centre,

12 Department of Sport and Health Sciences,

13 Faculty of Health and Life Sciences,

14 Oxford Brookes University,

15 Gipsy Lane,

16 Oxford OX3 0BP, UK

17 Email: [mclegg@brookes.ac.uk](mailto:mclegg@brookes.ac.uk)

18 Ph: +44 1865 484365

19 The material submitted is original work that has not been previously published, and is not being  
20 considered for publication elsewhere. Sarah Kennedy was funded by a Nigel Groome PhD research  
21 studentship from Oxford Brookes University. Dr Davies, Dr Ryan and Dr Clegg declare no potential  
22 conflict of interest.

23 Running title: An extended Theory of Planned Behaviour in adolescents

24 Key words: Adolescent, Breakfast, Theory of Planned Behaviour

## 25 Abstract

---

26 Background/Objectives: Breakfast skipping increases during adolescence and is associated with lower  
27 levels of physical activity and weight gain. Theory-based interventions promoting breakfast  
28 consumption in adolescents report mixed findings, potentially due to limited research identifying  
29 which determinants to target. This study aimed to: (i) utilise the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB)  
30 to identify the relative contribution of attitudes (affective, cognitive and behavioural) to predict  
31 intention to eat breakfast and breakfast consumption in adolescents; (ii) determine whether  
32 demographic factors moderates the relationship between TPB variables, intention and behaviour.

33 Subjects/Methods: Questionnaires were completed by 434 students (mean  $14 \pm 0.9$  years) measuring  
34 breakfast consumption (0-2, 3-6 or 7 days), physical activity levels and TPB measures. Data were  
35 analysed by breakfast frequency and demographics using hierarchical and multinomial regression  
36 analyses.

37 Results: Breakfast was consumed every day by 57% of students with boys more likely to eat a regular  
38 breakfast, report higher activity levels and more positive attitudes towards breakfast than girls  
39 ( $p < .001$ ). The TPB predicted 58% of the variation in intentions. Overall, the model was predictive of  
40 breakfast behaviours ( $p < .001$ ), but the relative contribution of TPB constructs varied depending on  
41 breakfast frequency. Interactions between gender and intentions were significant when comparing 0-2  
42 and 3-6 day breakfast eaters only highlighting a stronger intention-behaviour relationship for girls.

43 Conclusions: Findings confirm that the TPB is a successful model for predicting breakfast intentions  
44 and behaviours in adolescents. The potential for a direct effect of attitudes on behaviours should be  
45 considered in the implementation and design of breakfast interventions.

## 46 Introduction

---

47 Participation in healthy behaviours including being physically active<sup>1</sup> and eating a regular breakfast  
48 decreases during adolescence<sup>2</sup> as does the quality of breakfast consumed.<sup>3</sup> There appears to be a  
49 greater tendency for children from ethnic backgrounds or low-income families to skip breakfast<sup>4</sup> as  
50 well as differences by gender, with skipping prevalence consistently higher in adolescent girls  
51 compared to boys.<sup>5</sup> Adolescence is an important transitional period representing increased  
52 independence during which attitudes towards food choices are formed and can potentially persist into  
53 adulthood.<sup>6</sup> Regular breakfast consumption in adolescents has been positively associated with  
54 improvements in diet quality<sup>7</sup> and physical activity levels,<sup>8</sup> as well as a reduction in the risk of  
55 obesity<sup>5</sup> and cardio-metabolic disease,<sup>9</sup> emphasising the importance of breakfast, and adolescents, as  
56 key targets for health interventions.

57 Theory-based interventions have been shown to be more effective than interventions without a theory  
58 component.<sup>10</sup> Applying theories can help to identify causal determinants of behaviours which can then  
59 be targeted in interventions. One of the dominant theories in health behaviour is the Theory of  
60 Planned Behaviour (TPB).<sup>11</sup> Large meta-analyses support its use<sup>12,13</sup> around healthy eating,<sup>14,15</sup>  
61 physical activity<sup>16</sup> and breakfast consumption.<sup>6,17-22</sup> The theory proposes that intentions, formed from  
62 attitudes, subjective norms (SN) and perceived behavioural control (PBC), are the most important  
63 precursor to perform (or not perform) a behaviour. The more favourable the attitudes and SNs, and the  
64 greater the PBC, the stronger the intention to perform the behaviour.<sup>23</sup>

65 The TPB has been successfully applied in children and adolescents; explaining between 50-60% of  
66 the variance in diet-related intentions, and 6-19% of the variance in behaviours.<sup>24</sup> Attitudes were most  
67 strongly associated with intention to perform a diet-related behaviour, whilst intention was most  
68 strongly associated with behaviour,<sup>24</sup> consistent with a previous meta-analysis including adolescents.<sup>13</sup>  
69 Only five studies were specific to breakfast,<sup>6,21,25-27</sup> where two found attitudes most strongly predicted  
70 intention to consume healthy items at breakfast.<sup>25,27</sup> Intention to consume breakfast, measured in only  
71 one study,<sup>21</sup> was most strongly predicted by PBC, followed by attitudes. In line with TPB  
72 assumptions, intentions most strongly predicted all breakfast behaviours, followed by PBC; however,

73 attitudes strongly correlated with breakfast behaviours<sup>24</sup>. To explain a greater proportion of the  
74 variation in breakfast intentions and behaviours studies are increasingly interested in the individual  
75 components of TPB constructs, such as attitudes and SNs, to directly predict behaviour,<sup>6,28,29</sup> and the  
76 potentially moderating effects of gender, age and socioeconomic status (SES).<sup>6,25</sup> Conner *et al.*<sup>6</sup>  
77 reported that intention to consume healthy items for breakfast in adolescents was most strongly  
78 predicted by descriptive norms and affective attitudes, whilst descriptive norms also directly predicted  
79 healthy eating behaviours. Considering breakfast consumption frequency in adolescents, attitudes  
80 were the strongest predictor over and above all other TPB constructs;<sup>29</sup> however, to date, there are no  
81 studies investigating how the individual components of attitudes are associated with breakfast  
82 consumption frequency in adolescents.

83 Attitudes can consist of three underlying components; affective (feelings towards the behaviour),  
84 behavioural (action tendencies with respect to the behaviour) and cognitive attitudes (beliefs about the  
85 behaviour).<sup>30</sup> Scales to reliably measure the components of attitudes have been validated in children,<sup>31</sup>  
86 but their use has not yet been reported in adolescents. Understanding the nature of attitudes could help  
87 inform future interventions to increase the frequency of breakfast consumption. Currently there are  
88 few TPB breakfast interventions reporting mixed findings.<sup>19,32,33</sup> In university students an intervention  
89 to increase breakfast consumption was based on attitudes and PBC; however, there were no changes  
90 in TPB scores or breakfast behaviours at follow up.<sup>19</sup> In a school-based intervention targeting all TPB  
91 variables there were significant improvements in adolescents' TPB scores (except SN) in the control  
92 and intervention groups, but no significant increase in breakfast consumption was reported.<sup>32</sup> In  
93 contrast, a smaller study in adolescents reported significant increases in knowledge and TPB scores,  
94 concurrent with significant increases in breakfast consumption in the intervention group.<sup>33</sup> This study  
95 had two aims:

- 96 (i) To utilise the TPB to identify the relative contribution of TPB constructs, particularly the  
97 components of attitudes, in the predication of intention to eat breakfast and breakfast  
98 consumption frequency in adolescents.

99 (ii) To determine whether demographic factors, particularly gender, moderates the relationship  
100 between TPB variables, intention and behaviour.

## 101 Methods

---

### 102 *Participants and recruitment:*

103 All 66 secondary schools in Oxfordshire were invited to participate. Thirteen schools expressed  
104 interest and received detailed information. Six schools opted out due to time constraints therefore,  
105 questionnaires were distributed to seven schools (four comprehensive, three independent). Students  
106 aged 13-17 years were eligible; participation was voluntary and anonymous and parents were given  
107 the opportunity to opt their child out of the study. Procedures were approved by the Ethical  
108 Committee at Oxford Brookes. Paper questionnaires ( $n=452$ ) were distributed to students via teachers,  
109 all of which were returned. One school opted to distribute the online link from which 57 responses  
110 were received. Questionnaires missing gender were excluded, along with obviously fictional  
111 responses, leaving a total of 434 completed questionnaires (85% completion rate).

### 112 *Design and measures:*

113 Measures were based on previously developed and validated questionnaires,<sup>5,23,31,34</sup> and authors'  
114 permissions were obtained prior to use. SES was assessed by the highest level of academic  
115 achievement of either parent. Height and weight were self-reported. Body mass index ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) was  
116 calculated and converted to  $z$ -scores using online software<sup>35</sup> based on UK reference data.<sup>36</sup> Breakfast  
117 was defined as the first meal before morning break during the week, or at the weekend, as the first  
118 meal before 11am. Response categories were selected based on a previously used questionnaire<sup>5</sup> and  
119 recoded for analysis into 'infrequent' (0-2 days), 'frequent' (3-6 days) and 'daily' (7 days) breakfast  
120 eaters, representing similar cut points used previously to categorise the risk of developing metabolic  
121 conditions<sup>9</sup>. Physical activity levels were assessed by seven day recall using the physical activity  
122 questionnaire for adolescents (PAQ-A) which has shown satisfactory reliability and validity in this  
123 age group and correlates well with objective measures of physical activity.<sup>34</sup>

124 TPB questions were developed in accordance with TPB guidelines<sup>23</sup> and items were scored using a  
125 five-point Likert scale. *Attitudes* were assessed by agreement to twelve questions, e.g. 'eating  
126 breakfast is boring' (strongly disagree-strongly agree), based on a previously developed scale showing



127 acceptable validity and reliability in 9-11 year olds.<sup>31</sup> The scale was piloted with adolescents ( $n=20$ )  
128 from a non-participating school. Following feedback, three questions with potentially ambiguous  
129 wording were modified. The new scale was checked using Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha$ ) which resulted in the  
130 subsequent exclusion of one item. The final 12-item scale showed high internal consistency ( $\alpha=.88$ ).  
131 A principal-components factor analysis was performed from which key attitude components  
132 (affective, behavioural and cognitive) were identified and factor loadings compared with previously  
133 validated research.<sup>31</sup> *Subjective norms* were assessed by four questions, e.g. 'people who are  
134 important to me think I should eat breakfast regularly' (strongly disagree-strongly agree) ( $\alpha=.84$ ).  
135 *Perceived behavioural control* was assessed by two questions, e.g. 'for me eating breakfast regularly  
136 would be' (very easy-very difficult) ( $\alpha=.81$ ). *Intention* to eat breakfast was assessed using 1 item:  
137 'over the next week, I intend to eat breakfast on the following days'. *Behaviour* was assessed using 1  
138 item: 'during the past 7 days, on how many days did you eat breakfast?'

### 139 *Statistical Analysis*

140 Data were analysed using IBM SPSS software V22. Spearman correlations, independent *t*-tests for  
141 continuous variables and non-parametric tests (Mann Whitney and Kruskal Wallis) for ordinal  
142 variables were used to determine associations or differences in breakfast frequency, age, gender, BMI,  
143 SES, physical activity levels and ethnicity. Pairwise comparisons were performed using a Bonferroni  
144 correction. Principal-components analysis with Varimax rotation and Kaiser normalisation was used  
145 to ensure the key attitude constructs were separate factors. Component scores representing the three  
146 attitude components of affective, behavioural and cognitive attitudes were retained for prediction  
147 analysis using multiple hierarchical regression analyses for intention to eat breakfast and multinomial  
148 logistic regression for breakfast eating frequency.

## 149 Results

---

150 In total 434 students were included in the analyses (263 girls, range 13-17 years). Over half of  
151 students (57%) consumed breakfast daily whilst 22% ate breakfast between 0-2 days (Table 1). Boys  
152 were more likely to report eating breakfast daily ( $p<.001$ ) and were significantly older ( $p<.005$ ),  
153 heavier ( $p<.01$ ) and more physically active ( $p<.001$ ) than girls (small effect:  $r=.24$ ,  $r=.14$ ,  $r=.16$ ,  $r=.22$   
154 respectively).

155 When analysed by breakfast frequency (Table 2) significant differences were observed between SES  
156 ( $H(3)=9.84$ ,  $p=.020$ ) and physical activity levels ( $F(2,425)=7.52$ ,  $p<.001$ ). Post-hoc analysis revealed  
157 that median breakfast frequency score was significantly higher in students from the highest  
158 socioeconomic group (3.0) compared to students reporting "don't know" (2.0) to the question of  
159 parent's level of education ( $p=.028$ ). Students who ate breakfast daily were more active (mean PA  
160 score 1.98) than students who ate breakfast on 0-2 days (mean PA score 1.64) ( $p<.001$ ).

### 161 *Correlations*

162 Significant positive correlations were found between breakfast consumption and all TPB variables  
163 (range  $r=.41$  to  $r=.78$ ;  $p<.001$ ). Intention was most strongly correlated with PBC whereas breakfast  
164 consumption most strongly correlated with behavioural attitudes, PBC and intention ( $r>.7$ ;  $p<.001$ ).

### 165 *TPB measures*

166 Boys and girls generally responded positively to eating breakfast with mean scores above the  
167 midpoint of the scale (Table 3; upper table); however, boys scores were significantly higher than girls  
168 on all TPB measures ( $p<.01$ ). When split by breakfast frequency (Table 3; lower table) significant  
169 differences were observed such that eating breakfast more frequently was associated with having  
170 positive affective, behavioural and cognitive attitudes as well as greater SNs, PBC and intention to eat  
171 breakfast ( $p<.001$ ).

### 172 *Predicting intention to eat breakfast*

173 Hierarchical multiple regression determined if the addition of the TPB variables improved the  
174 prediction of intention to eat breakfast over and above demographics and physical activity (PA) levels  
175 (Table 4). Demographics and PA were entered first (step 1) and explained a small (6.9%) but  
176 significant proportion of the variance ( $R^2=.069$ ,  $F(3,397)=9.76$ ,  $p<.001$ ). Significant beta weights  
177 were identified for gender and PA such that stronger intentions were associated with being a boy and  
178 being more active. The addition of the TPB variables (step 2) explained an additional 58.2% of the  
179 variance ( $\Delta R^2=.582$ ,  $F(8,397)=90.61$ ,  $p<.001$ ). The beta weights indicated that all TPB variables,  
180 except affective attitudes, were significant positive predictors of intentions such that stronger  
181 intentions were associated with having a positive attitude (behavioural, cognitive), stronger SNs and  
182 in particular, greater PBC. Including the TPB variables in the model reduced the predictive power of  
183 gender and PA to non-significance. Adding the interactions between TPB variables and gender at an  
184 additional step did not add to the predictive power of the model which indicated that gender did not  
185 moderate the relationship between TPB variables and intentions.

#### 186 *Predicting breakfast behaviour*

187 Multinomial logistic regression was conducted with demographic and TPB predictors to predict  
188 breakfast frequency category (0-2, 3-6, 7 days). The model was significantly predictive of breakfast  
189 frequency ( $R^2=.61$  (Cox & Snell),  $.72$  (Nagelkerke)  $\chi^2(18)=377.75$ ,  $p<.001$ ) (Table 5). Compared to  
190 those who ate breakfast 0-2 days, those who ate it 3-6 days had higher PBC (OR=2.33), intentions  
191 (OR=1.60), and behavioural attitudes (OR=2.40). Compared to those who ate breakfast 0-2 days,  
192 those who ate it 7 days had higher PBC (OR=2.91), intentions (OR=1.97), SNs (OR=2.44) and  
193 behavioural attitudes (OR=6.93), indicating differences between the TPB components when  
194 comparing adolescents who eat breakfast infrequently, frequently and daily. The addition of the  
195 interactions terms between gender and intentions (Table 6) were significant when comparing 0-2 day  
196 breakfast eaters to 3-6 days only ( $p=.004$ ), demonstrating a stronger relationship between intentions  
197 and behaviours for females than males, but only between infrequent and frequent breakfast eaters.

## 198 Discussion

---

199 The findings presented here confirm that a high proportion of adolescents do not eat a regular  
200 breakfast and this was more apparent in girls and those reporting less positive attitudes, SNs and PBC  
201 towards breakfast. Previous research was extended by considering a TPB model which included the  
202 three components of attitudes, and utilising a validated scale used formerly in children.<sup>31</sup> PBC most  
203 strongly predicted intention to eat breakfast, but there were significant contributions from cognitive  
204 and behavioural attitudes, and SNs. Compared to infrequent breakfast eaters, behavioural attitudes  
205 most strongly predicted breakfast consumption in adolescents who reported eating breakfast daily or  
206 frequently.

### 207 *Breakfast consumption*

208 The current study found that breakfast was consumed every day by significantly more boys than girls  
209 supporting findings from a large UK survey where 61% of adolescent boys (11-15 years) consumed  
210 breakfast on every school compared to 51% of girls,<sup>37</sup> and 73% of adolescent boys (10-16 years)  
211 always ate breakfast compared to 61% of girls,<sup>38</sup> both ( $p < .001$ ). In contrast to previous breakfast  
212 studies<sup>39, 40</sup> there were no significant differences between breakfast frequency and ethnicity or SES,  
213 apart from the highest socio-economic group who reported eating breakfast more frequently than  
214 those who did not know their parent's level of education. Because almost a third of students reported  
215 'don't know' to the question of parent's education, SES was excluded from further analyses; however,  
216 previous research suggests an association between SES and breakfast eating,<sup>4</sup> highlighting the  
217 importance of accounting for this when developing interventions. Significant associations between PA  
218 levels and breakfast consumption were reported in agreement with observations of higher PA levels in  
219 adolescents who regularly eat breakfast.<sup>38</sup> This may be linked to suggestions that breakfast eating  
220 could act as a marker for other health promoting behaviours.<sup>38</sup>

### 221 *Attitudes*

222 In the present study, boys and frequent breakfast eaters held more positive attitudes than girls and  
223 infrequent breakfast eaters, respectively. Positive attitudes towards breakfast are commonly associated

224 with being more likely to eat breakfast regularly in adolescents<sup>18,29</sup> and children,<sup>41,42</sup> therefore  
225 targeting adolescents who infrequently consume breakfast by promoting positive attitudes represents a  
226 viable target for interventions. However, there is little evidence to support which attitude components  
227 to target. Breakfast interventions outside of the TPB targeting attitudes are currently limited to  
228 children<sup>43</sup> and university students<sup>44</sup> where increases in positive attitudes towards breakfast were  
229 coupled with an increase in breakfast consumption,<sup>44</sup> or improvement in the quality of breakfast  
230 consumed.<sup>43</sup> As breakfast quality also declines during adolescence<sup>3</sup> targeting attitudes may potentially  
231 improve other aspects of breakfast consumption.

### 232 *Predicting intention to eat breakfast*

233 TPB measures predicted 58% of the variation in intention to eat breakfast above age, gender and PA  
234 levels alone. This compares with a meta-analysis reporting 50% of the variation in intentions of  
235 dietary behaviours explained by the TPB<sup>13</sup> and is close to values reported in adolescents ranging from  
236 28% to 58% variation.<sup>21</sup> In addition to PBC and SNs, the current study observed significant  
237 contributions from cognitive and behavioural attitudes, supporting previous research highlighting the  
238 importance of adolescents' attitudes in the prediction of intention to eat breakfast.<sup>21</sup> Affective attitudes  
239 did not contribute to intentions which was in contrast to suggestions that affective attitudes are a  
240 better predictor of intentions than cognitive attitudes.<sup>45</sup> This may suggest that adolescents' feelings  
241 towards breakfast are not important for this behaviour, but more research in this area is required.

242 SNs were significant predictors of intention to eat breakfast and breakfast consumption, supporting  
243 Martens *et al.*<sup>29</sup> who reported SNs and attitudes as significant predictors of adolescents' intention to  
244 eat breakfast. Findings suggest that SNs could be a viable focus for breakfast interventions in  
245 adolescents, particularly as studies in university students generally report a low predictive power of  
246 SN in regards to breakfast frequency.<sup>19,20</sup> SNs consist of two distinct dimensions; injunctive norms  
247 (linking influential roles of significant others) and descriptive norms (improving behaviours in  
248 significant others). Detailed examination of SNs was beyond the scope of this study; however,  
249 interventions targeting the social influences and modelling of peers or family, as suggested by

250 associations between the dietary intakes of parents and siblings with those of adolescents,<sup>46</sup>  
251 particularly with regards to breakfast,<sup>47</sup> may be successful targets in this age group.

### 252 *Predicting breakfast behaviour*

253 Demographics, PA and the TPB predicted a large amount of the variation in breakfast behaviours.  
254 Behavioural attitudes most strongly predicted breakfast consumption, followed by PBC, when  
255 comparing those who ate breakfast 0-2 days with the other two groups. Previous research used only a  
256 single construct for attitudes, but also reported that adolescents' attitudes were the strongest predictor  
257 of breakfast consumption.<sup>29</sup> Perceptions of time loaded strongly on the behavioural attitudes  
258 components which may account for the strong association with behaviour. Barriers towards regular  
259 breakfast consumption in adolescents are frequently reported to revolve around a lack of time as well  
260 as food availability, stress and weight control.<sup>4</sup> Interventions targeting practical approaches to  
261 overcome some of these concerns warrant further research. PBC contributes less when volitional  
262 control is high therefore; interventions should target increasing perceptions of control over breakfast  
263 consumption in adolescents who infrequently consume breakfast. For example, access to healthy  
264 breakfast items in the home or at school may increase the perception of available resources and  
265 opportunities to consume a regular breakfast.

266 The addition of interaction terms was only significant between gender and intentions when comparing  
267 those who ate breakfast 0-2 days with those eating breakfast 3-6 days. Understanding differences in  
268 breakfast behaviours between boys and girls warrants further research. The current study observed  
269 significant differences between gender BMI z-scores which may support suggestions that breakfast  
270 skipping is used as a method of weight control, particularly in girls.<sup>48</sup>

271 Taken together the model suggests that targeting TPB variables in interventions might increase  
272 breakfast consumption frequency although the predictive power varied depending on how frequently  
273 breakfast was reported to be consumed. To increase breakfast consumption in adolescents who  
274 infrequently consume breakfast, interventions should aim to change PBC, intentions, SN and  
275 behavioural attitudes; however, in groups who already eat breakfast, SNs may be less important  
276 predictors of behaviour.

## 277 *Limitations*

278 A criticism of the TPB is the notable proportion of behaviour left unaccounted for<sup>49</sup> as well as the  
279 potential for additional variables, such as past behaviour, to improve the predictive power of the  
280 model.<sup>20</sup> When compared to the health action process approach the TPB was superior in predicting  
281 breakfast consumption;<sup>22</sup> however, it is yet to be compared to other theories, specifically those that  
282 include additional variables. For ‘inclined abstainers’ good intentions will not always translate into  
283 behaviour and bridging the gap between intention and behaviour remains a pivotal challenge. The  
284 cross-sectional nature of this study which measured intention and behaviour simultaneously is likely  
285 to inflate the intention-behaviour relationship due to consistency bias, where individuals report  
286 intentions consistent with their current behaviour; however, this remains an issue even in prospective  
287 studies where a short time interval is used.<sup>50</sup> Furthermore, this study cannot infer conclusions about  
288 causality, therefore, interventions to increase breakfast frequency based on these findings should be  
289 carefully evaluated.

## 290 *Conclusion*

291 These findings provide good support for considering an extended TPB to strengthen the prediction of  
292 intention to eat breakfast and breakfast behaviours in adolescents. Given the evidence for differences  
293 in the predictive power of the TPB and the limited number of effective breakfast interventions in  
294 adolescents, it is vital to target interventions appropriately.

## 295 **Acknowledgements**

---

296 Thank you to all the schools who supported the study and to the students for taking part

## 297 **Conflict of Interest**

---

298 Sarah Kennedy was funded by a Nigel Groome PhD research studentship from Oxford Brookes  
299 University. Dr Davies, Dr Ryan and Dr Clegg declare no potential conflict of interest.

300

## References

301

- 302 1. PHE. How healthy behaviour supports children's wellbeing [homepage on the internet].  
303 Public Health England; 2013 [updated August 2013; cited 2015 Aug 21]. Available from:  
304 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/232978/Smart\\_Restart](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/232978/Smart_Restart_280813_web.pdf)  
305 [280813\\_web.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/232978/Smart_Restart_280813_web.pdf).
- 306 2. Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM, Carson T. Trends in breakfast consumption for children in the  
307 United States from 1965-1991. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1998;67(4):748s-56s.
- 308 3. Hallstrom L, Vereecken CA, Labayen I, Ruiz JR, Le Donne C, Garcia MC, et al. Breakfast  
309 habits among European adolescents and their association with sociodemographic factors: the  
310 HELENA (Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence) study. *Public Health Nutr*.  
311 2012;15(10):1879-89.
- 312 4. Mullan BA, Singh M. A systematic review of the quality, content, and context of breakfast  
313 consumption. *Nutr Food Sci*. 2010;40(1):81-114.
- 314 5. Timlin MT, Pereira MA, Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D. Breakfast Eating and Weight Change  
315 in a 5-Year Prospective Analysis of Adolescents: Project EAT (Eating Among Teens). *Pediatrics*.  
316 2008;121(3):e638-e45.
- 317 6. Conner M, Hugh-Jones S, Berg C. Using the two-factor Theory of Planned Behaviour to  
318 predict adolescent breakfast choices. *Educational and Child Psychology*. 2011;28(4):37-50.
- 319 7. Rampersaud GC, Pereira MA, Girard BL, Adams J, Metz J. Breakfast habits, nutritional  
320 status, body weight, and academic performance in children and adolescents. *J Am Diet Assoc*.  
321 2005;105(5):743-60; quiz 61-2.
- 322 8. Berkey CS, Rockett HR, Gillman MW, Field AE, Colditz GA. Longitudinal study of skipping  
323 breakfast and weight change in adolescents. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2003;27(10):1258-66.
- 324 9. Odegaard AO, Jacobs DR, Steffen LM, Van Horn L, Ludwig DS, Pereira MA. Breakfast  
325 Frequency and Development of Metabolic Risk. *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(10):3100-6.
- 326 10. Michie S, Abraham C, Whittington C, McAteer J, Gupta S. Effective techniques in healthy  
327 eating and physical activity interventions: a meta-regression. *Health Psychol*. 2009;28(6):690-701.
- 328 11. Ajzen I. *Handbook of Theories of Social Psychology: Volume One*. Paul A M Van Lange  
329 AWK, E Tory Higgins, editor: SAGE; 2012.
- 330 12. Armitage CC, M. Efficacy of the Theory of Planned Behaviour: A meta-analytic review. *Br J*  
331 *Soc Psychol*. 2001(40):471-99.
- 332 13. McEachan RRC, Conner M, Taylor NJ, Lawton RJ. Prospective prediction of health-related  
333 behaviours with the Theory of Planned Behaviour: a meta-analysis. *Health Psychol Rev*.  
334 2011;5(2):97-144.
- 335 14. Chan K, Tsang L. Promote healthy eating among adolescents: A Hong Kong study. *J Consum*  
336 *Mark*. 2011;28(5):354-62.
- 337 15. Grønhoj A, Bech-Larsen T, Chan K, Tsang L. Using theory of planned behavior to predict  
338 healthy eating among Danish adolescents. *Health Education*. 2013;113(1):4-17.
- 339 16. Duncan MJ, Rivas A, Jordan C. Brief report: Understanding intention to be physically active  
340 and physical activity behaviour in adolescents from a low socio-economic status background: An  
341 application of the Theory of Planned Behaviour. *J Adolesc*. 2012;35(3):761-4.
- 342 17. Rivas A, Sheeran P, Armitage CJ. Augmenting the theory of planned behaviour with the  
343 prototype/willingness model: Predictive validity of actor versus abstainer prototypes for adolescents'  
344 health-protective and health-risk intentions. *Br J Health Psychol*. 2006;11(3):483-500.
- 345 18. DeJong CS, van Lenthe FJ, van der Horst K, Oenema A. Environmental and cognitive  
346 correlates of adolescent breakfast consumption. *Preventive Medicine*. 2009;48(4):372-7.
- 347 19. Kothe EJ, Mullan BA, Amaratunga R. Randomised controlled trial of a brief theory-based  
348 intervention promoting breakfast consumption. *Appetite*. 2011;56(1):148-55.
- 349 20. Wong CL, Mullan BA. Predicting breakfast consumption: an application of the theory of  
350 planned behaviour and the investigation of past behaviour and executive function. *Br J Health*  
351 *Psychol*. 2009;14(Pt 3):489-504.



- 352 21. Mullan B, Wong C, Kothe E. Predicting adolescent breakfast consumption in the UK and  
353 Australia using an extended theory of planned behaviour. *Appetite*. 2013;62(0):127-32.
- 354 22. Mullan BA, Wong CL, Kothe EJ, MacCann C. Predicting breakfast consumption A  
355 comparison of the theory of planned behaviour and health action process approach. *Br Food J*.  
356 2013;Vol. 115(11):1638-57.
- 357 23. Ajzen I. Constructing a theory of planned behavior questionnaire: Ajzen, I.; 2006 [20th May  
358 2014]. Available from: <http://people.umass.edu/aizen/pdf/tpb.measurement.pdf>.
- 359 24. Riebl SK, Estabrooks PA, Dunsmore JC, Savla J, Frisard MI, Dietrich AM, et al. A  
360 systematic literature review and meta-analysis: The Theory of Planned Behavior's application to  
361 understand and predict nutrition-related behaviors in youth. *Eating behaviors*. 2015;18:160-78.
- 362 25. Berg C, Jonsson I, Conner M. Understanding choice of milk and bread for breakfast among  
363 Swedish children aged 11–15 years: an application of the Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Appetite*.  
364 2000;34(1):5-19.
- 365 26. Hewitt AM, Stephens C. Healthy eating among 10 - 13-year-old New Zealand children:  
366 understanding choice using the Theory of Planned Behaviour and the role of parental influence.  
367 *Psychol Health Med*. 2007;12(5):526-35.
- 368 27. Gummesson L, Jonsson I, Conner M. Predicting Intentions and Behaviour of Swedish 10 to 16  
369 year olds at Breakfast. 1997.
- 370 28. Moore GF, Moore L, Murphy S. Normative and cognitive correlates of breakfast skipping in  
371 9–11-year-old schoolchildren in Wales. *Appetite*. 2009;53(3):332-7.
- 372 29. Martens MK, van Assema P, Brug J. Why do adolescents eat what they eat? Personal and  
373 social environmental predictors of fruit, snack and breakfast consumption among 12-14-year-old  
374 Dutch students. *Public Health Nutr*. 2005;8(8):1258-65.
- 375 30. Ajzen I. *Attitudes, Personality and Behaviour*. Second ed. Manstead T, editor: Open  
376 University Press; 2005.
- 377 31. Tapper K, Murphy S, Lynch R, Clark R, Moore GF, Moore L. Development of a scale to  
378 measure 9-11-year-olds' attitudes towards breakfast. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2008;62(4):511-8.
- 379 32. Gharlipour Z, Ghaffari M, Hoseini Z, Heidarabadi AB, Tavassoli E, Hozuri M, et al.  
380 Investigation of educational intervention based on Theory of Planned Behavior on breakfast  
381 consumption among middle school students of Qom City in 2012. *J Educ Health Promot*. 2015;4:39.
- 382 33. Hosseini Z, Aghamolaei T, Gharlipour Gharghani Z, Ghanbarnejad A. Effect of educational  
383 interventions based on theory of planned behavior to promote breakfast consumption behavior in  
384 students. *Hormozgan Medical Journal*. 2015;19(1).
- 385 34. Kowalski K, Crocker P, Kowalski N. Convergent validity of the Physical Activity  
386 Questionnaire for Adolescents. *Pediatr Exerc Sci*. 1997(9):342-52.
- 387 35. Pan H, Cole TJ. LMSgrowth, a Microsoft Excel add-in to access growth references based on  
388 the LMS method. Version 2.77. <http://www.healthforallchildren.co.uk/>; 2.77 ed2012.
- 389 36. Cole TJ, Freeman JV, Preece MA. Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990. *Arch*  
390 *Dis Child*. 1995;73(1):25-9.
- 391 37. Vereecken C, Dupuy M, Rasmussen M, Kelly C, Nansel TR, Al Sabbah H, et al. Breakfast  
392 consumption and its socio-demographic and lifestyle correlates in schoolchildren in 41 countries  
393 participating in the HBSC study. *Int J Public Health*. 2009;54 Suppl 2:180-90.
- 394 38. Sandercock GR, Voss C, Dye L. Associations between habitual school-day breakfast  
395 consumption, body mass index, physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness in English  
396 schoolchildren. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2010;64(10):1086-92.
- 397 39. Hoyland A, McWilliams KA, Duff RJ, Walton JL. Breakfast consumption in UK  
398 schoolchildren and provision of school breakfast clubs. *Nutr Bull*. 2012;37(3):232-40.
- 399 40. Utter J, Scragg R, Mhurchu CN, Schaaf D. At-home breakfast consumption among New  
400 Zealand children: associations with body mass index and related nutrition behaviors. *J Am Diet Assoc*.  
401 2007;107(4):570-6.
- 402 41. Unusan N, Sanlier N, Danisik H. Comparison of attitudes towards breakfast by Turkish fourth  
403 graders living in Turkey and Germany. *Appetite*. 2006;46(3):248-53.
- 404 42. Moore GF, Tapper K, Murphy S, Lynch R, Raisanen L, Pimm C, et al. Associations between  
405 deprivation, attitudes towards eating breakfast and breakfast eating behaviours in 9–11-year-olds.  
406 *Public Health Nutr*. 2007;10(06):582-9.

- 407 43. Murphy S, Moore GF, Tapper K, Lynch R, Clarke R, Rissanen L, et al. Free healthy  
408 breakfasts in primary schools: a cluster randomised controlled trial of a policy intervention in Wales,  
409 UK. *Public Health Nutr.* 2011;14(2):219-26.
- 410 44. Kennedy C, Hajek A, Morris D, Linnell S, Gines J. Strategies to increase breakfast  
411 consumption in a residential university population: an environmental intervention. *Am J Health*  
412 *Promot.* 2005;19(No. 6.):pp. 457-8
- 413 45. Haddock G, Maio GR. *Contemporary perspectives on the psychology of attitudes.*  
414 Psychology. EAoES, editor. East Sussex, New York: Psychology Press; 2004.
- 415 46. Van der Horst K, Oenema A, Ferreira I, Wendel-Vos W, Giskes K, van Lenthe F, et al. A  
416 systematic review of environmental correlates of obesity-related dietary behaviors in youth. *Health*  
417 *Educ Res.* 2007;22(2):203-26.
- 418 47. Pearson N, Biddle SJH, Gorely T. Family correlates of breakfast consumption among children  
419 and adolescents. A systematic review. *Appetite.* 2009;52(1):1-7.
- 420 48. Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Hannan PJ, Perry CL, Irving LM. Weight-related concerns  
421 and behaviors among overweight and nonoverweight adolescents: implications for preventing weight-  
422 related disorders. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2002;156(2):171-8.
- 423 49. Sniehotta FF, Penseau J, Araújo-Soares V. Time to retire the theory of planned behaviour.  
424 *Health Psychol Rev.* 2013;8(1):1-7.
- 425 50. Ogden J. *Essential Readings In Health Psychology.* Ogden J, editor. Maidenhead, England:  
426 Open University Press; 2008.
- 427