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Abstract: Summertime (June to August 2015) radiative and turbulent heat fluxes were measured concurrently at two sites (urban and suburban) in Beijing. The urban site has slightly lower incoming and outgoing shortwave radiation, lower atmospheric transmissivity and a lower surface albedo compared to the suburban site. Both sites receive similar incoming longwave radiation. Although the suburban site had larger daytime outgoing longwave radiation ($L_{out}$), differences in the daily mean $L_{out}$ values are small, as the urban site has higher nocturnal $L_{out}$. Overall, both the midday and daily mean net all-wave radiation ($Q^*$) for the two sites are nearly equal. However, there are significant differences between the sites in the surface energy partitioning. The urban site has smaller turbulent sensible heat ($Q_H$) (21-25% of $Q^*$ (midday – daily)) and latent heat ($Q_E$) fluxes (21-45% of $Q^*$). Whereas, the suburban proportions of $Q^*$ are $Q_H$ 32-32% and $Q_E$ 39-66%. The daily (midday) mean Bowen ratio ($Q_H/Q_E$) was 0.56 and 0.49 (0.98 and 0.83) for the urban and suburban sites, respectively. These values are low compared to other urban and suburban areas with similar or larger fractions of vegetated cover. Likely these are caused by the widespread external water use for road cleaning/wetting, greenbelts, and air conditioners. Our suburban site has quite different land cover to most previous suburban studies as crop irrigation supplements rainfall. These results are important in enhancing our understanding of surface-atmosphere energy exchanges in Chinese cities, and can aid the development and evaluation of urban climate models and inform urban planning strategies in the context of rapid global urbanization and climate change.
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1. Introduction

Although the increasingly urbanized world population live mostly in medium and smaller cities, 13% are concentrated in just 28 megacities (i.e. population > 10 million) (UN-Habitat, 2016). One of these, Beijing, already had a permanent population exceeding 21 million in 2016 (Beijing Municipal Bureau of Statistics, 2017). One feature of urbanization is the replacement of natural surfaces with impervious materials (roads, buildings, pavements) and dense buildings. These changes affect the surface energy balance and the boundary layer structure, resulting in well-known urban climate effects, such as the urban heat island, degradation of air quality and enhanced heat waves and flooding (UN-Habitat, 2016). Thus, it is critical to understand the exchanges of heat, mass, and momentum between the atmosphere and the urban surface to inform urban development and design (Grimmond et al., 2004) and to evaluate strategies to mitigate inadvertent effects, such as heat stress (Zhang et al., 2015). This is particularly important in megacities in China where data on these surface energy balance exchanges, the development of parameterizations, and the evaluation and application of numerical models are still all limited.

Knowledge of the surface energy balance (SEB) is fundamental to the understanding of the boundary layer meteorology and climatology of any site (Oke, 1988; Roth, 2000; Raupach, 2001). The eddy covariance (EC) method allows direct measurement of atmospheric heat and water vapour transport in the boundary layer. Despite the practical difficulties in observing and interpreting the energy balance of an urban area, a relatively large number of urban flux campaigns around the world using the EC method have been undertaken. Earlier research focused largely on cities in North America (Grimmond and Oke, 1995). This was followed by many turbulent flux observations in European cities, such as Basel, Switzerland (Christen and Vogt, 2004; Rotach et al., 2005), Marseille, France (Grimmond et al., 2004), Łódź, Poland (Offerle et al., 2006a, 2006b), Helsinki, Finland (Vesala et al., 2008), Essen, Germany (Weber and Kordowski, 2010), Oberhausen, Germany (Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012), Swindon, UK (Ward et al., 2013) and London, UK (Kotthaus and Grimmond, 2014a). Observations in other climates and synoptic conditions, include: Christchurch, New Zealand (Spronken-Smith, 2002); Tokyo, Japan (Moriwaki and Kanda, 2004); Melbourne, Australia (Coupts et al., 2007); Cairo, Egypt (Frey et al., 2011); Montreal, Canada (Bergeron and Strachan, 2012); Telok Kurau, Singapore (Roth et al., 2017). Observations in Chinese cities include sites in the central business area of Nanjing (Peng et al., 2008) and Shanghai (Ao et al., 2016a), and in a densely built-up commercial and residential area of Beijing (Liu et al., 2012; Miao et al., 2012, Wang et al. 2015) and in Tianjin (Huang et al., 2011).
Most studies report data for a single site, with concurrent measurements over different urban areas (city centre, residential etc) scarce (exceptions include the studies cited above in Basel, Łódz, Essen, Montreal, and Oberhausen; and in China: in and around (urban, suburban, grassland and farmland) Nanjing (Guo et al., 2016) and Beijing (Wang et al., 2015). In this study, simultaneously observed summer-time urban surface energy balance fluxes are reported for an urban (IAP) and suburban (MY) site in Beijing (Figure 1a) for 92 summer days in 2015.

Previous SEB studies at IAP (Miao et al., 2012) observed unexpectedly small summer mean Bowen ratios compared to those observed at urban sites with larger fractions of vegetated cover. As this earlier study did not explore this, here we use the two contrasting sites (IAP and MY) to determine if these small values are observed again and to consider the impact of site characteristics, environmental factors and human behaviour on the energy partitioning.

2. Methods
2.1 Site description
The energy balance flux measurements for both the urban and suburban sites in Beijing (Figure 1) are analyzed for the period 1 June (DoY: 152) to 31 August (DoY: 243) 2015. The two sites are 70 km apart, with an elevation difference of 29 m (IAP 49 m above sea level (asl), MY 78 m asl).

The urban site is centred on the 325 m Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP) Chinese Academy Sciences tower in northwestern Beijing city (Figure 1). It is surrounded by residential, commercial (i.e. hotels, restaurants, supermarket, shopping mall, offices), and institutional (i.e. university, hospital) buildings (Table 1). Most buildings in the area are 15-30 m, with a mean height of 25.5 m. The tallest building in the area is 104 m, to the southwest (~ 100 m from tower) and south (within 300 m) there are several very tall buildings (65-75 m), and to the north, northwest and southeast within 500 m of the tower there are buildings over 50 m tall (Figure 1b, d). This mix of buildings and clusters of much taller buildings is typical of Beijing, many urban areas in China and cities elsewhere.

Using the Stewart and Oke (2012) Local Climate Zone (LCZ) system, the area can be characterized as ‘Compact High-rise’ (LCZ1) and ‘Compact Midrise’ (LCZ2). There are numerous roads in the area, with the closest road (Beitucheng Road) 50 m north of the tower, with the 3rd Ring Road about 800 m south and the 4th Ring Road 1.2 km to the north (Figure 1b, d). The Beijing-Tibet Expressway and Beichen West Road (running north - south) are 300 m and 900 m east of the tower, respectively. To the west, North Taipingzhuang Road and Huayuan Road are 600 m and 800 m from tower, respectively. The largest vegetated areas are a narrow east-west band of deciduous trees (10-20 m tall) and lawns within the Yuan Dynasty Capital City Wall Relics Park.

The suburban site, Miyun Meteorological Station (MY), is about 70 km northeast of IAP (Figure 1a, Table 1). The surroundings of the instrument tower include mixed vegetation (wheat/maize rotation farmland, orchard, vegetable plots, grass lawn) and buildings (residential, office) (Figure 1c, e). The residential buildings (6 floors, 18-21 m) are to the west (from 170 m), northwest (> 200 m) and north (> 70 m) of the tower. Office buildings (two of the Miyun Meteorological Station and one of the Miyun Hospital) are located only 10 m and 30 m north, and 400 m south of the tower, with a significant difference in mean height (8, 10.5 and 55 m, respectively). A large agriculture area is primarily >350 m southeast of the flux tower. This mix of buildings and agriculture is common in the suburbs of Chinese cities. There are only two roads within a 500 m radius: the east-west Migu Road and north-south Tanxi Road, located 170 m south and 420 m west of the tower, respectively.

2.2 Instruments and data processing
At both sites the turbulent sensible and latent heat and carbon dioxide fluxes are measured using fast response eddy covariance (EC) sensors. These consist of a three-dimensional sonic anemometer-thermometer (Campbell Scientific Inc., USA) and an open-path infrared gas analyzer (LI-7500, LI-COR, Inc., USA) to measure the mean and fluctuating quantities of wind speed and virtual temperature, CO₂ and H₂O vapour, respectively. The LI-7500 is calibrated twice a year (April, November) using a two-point calibration with nitrogen and 450 ppm CO₂ and using a dew point generator for moisture.
To ensure the instruments are above the roughness sub-layer (RSL), within the inertial sub-layer (ISL) or constant flux layer, the instruments are mounted at 140 m (IAP) and 36 m (MY) above ground level. Individual tall buildings have a large influence and increase the depth of the roughness sub-layer (RSL) (Millward-Hopkins et al., 2011; Kanda et al., 2013; Kent et al., 2017; Hertwig et al., 2018). Analyses suggests the urban canopy layer at the IAP site is approximately 47-63 m thick (Peng and Hu, 2006) with turbulent variances at 47, 140 and 280 m levels confirming 47 m is within the RSL, while 140 and 280 m are within constant flux layer (Zou et al., 2011).

The instruments are oriented into the predominant wind direction at each site to reduce flow distortion from both the instruments and the towers themselves. At IAP the instruments are mounted on a 325 m tower at the end of a 2.5 m boom facing toward the southeast. The instrument height of 140 m has been demonstrated to be well above the RSL based on boundary layer wind structure analyses (Peng and Hu, 2006; Miao et al., 2012). At MY, the instruments are mounted at the end of a 2 m boom oriented to the east on a 38 m tall tower.

The EC systems are sampled at 10 Hz using CR5000 (IAP) and CR3000 (MY) data-loggers (Campbell Scientific Inc, USA) with post-processing to report 30 min statistics and fluxes (Aubinet et al., 2000). Flux data are processed with EddyPro Advanced (v6.1.0 beta, LI-COR) following standard procedures (Moncrieff et al., 1997) including de-spiking of raw data, correction for angle of attack, time-lag compensation by seeking maximum covariance, double coordinate rotation, correction of sonic temperature for humidity, high- and low-frequency spectral corrections, Webb et al. (1980) density corrections, and physically reasonable thresholds are applied. No friction velocity screening is used, as values < 0.1 m s⁻¹ are rare in the datasets. Only the 30-min periods with a quality flag of “0” (i.e. best quality data) (LI-COR, 2017) are analysed (of the 4416 potential periods) there are: at IAP - 1325 $Q_H$, 1149 $Q_E$ and 1502 CO₂ flux periods; and, at MY 1016 $Q_H$, 858 of $Q_E$ and 813 CO₂ periods. Rainfall is the cause of a large amount of data loss at both sites. Only observed data are analysed, therefore when all variables in a period are needed (e.g. 24 h) the period with available data is used to derive the statistics (e.g. mean) prior to calculating derived terms (e.g. storage heat flux, Bowen ratio etc).

Kipp & Zonen (Netherlands) four-component unventilated radiometers [CNR1 (at IAP) and CNR4 (at MY)] provide the incoming and outgoing longwave ($L_1$ and $L_1$) and shortwave ($K_1$ and $K_1$) radiation and net all-wave radiation ($Q^*$) fluxes. At IAP and MY, the radiometers point south at the same height as the EC instruments. The instruments are logged on the same data-logger as the EC instruments.

On the MY tower four levels (10.0, 17.2, 24.2, 36.0 m) of air temperature and relative humidity (HMP45C, Vaisala, Finland) and wind speed (010C, Met One Instruments Inc, USA); and two levels (10.0, 36.0 m) of wind direction (020C, Met One Instruments Inc, USA) are measured.

Routine measurements by Miyun Meteorological Station (air temperature, precipitation and visibility) and by IAP (air temperature; HMP45C, Vaisala, Finland; precipitation data TE525, Campbell Scientific Inc, USA) at a surface station about 20 m west of the IAP tower are used. Visibility data are supplied by the Haidian (HD) Meteorological Station about 8 km west of the IAP tower. At MY gravimetric soil moisture content is measured at 5 consecutive 0.1 m levels in irrigated cropland and at 10 levels in natural farmland every 10 days within the 300 m of the site.

To aid interpretation of the radiative fluxes, hourly PM$_{2.5}$ data observed at Baolian (BL) (10 km southwest of IAP tower) and Shangdianzi (SDZ) (40 km northeast of MY tower) (Figure 1a) are used. These are assumed to be representative of urban and suburban Beijing (Zhao et al. 2009; Zhao et al. 2013).

Storage heat flux values can be determined as an observational residual of the urban energy balance but this compounds all the measurement uncertainties into this term. Notably here both anthropogenic heat flux ($Q_H$) and advection are not directly determined and are potentially important terms.

Here $Q_F$ is calculated by using the LQF version (Gabey et al., 2018, Lindberg et al., 2018) of the LUCY model (Allen et al.,
2011; Lindberg et al., 2013). Assumptions made in this application are: mean vehicle speed of 48 h$^{-1}$ and the fraction of vehicles on the road is 0.8. The data sources are: Beijing Yearbook (Beijing Municipal Bureau of Statistics, 2016) for number of vehicles (freight, cars and motorcycles), Gridded Population of the World (GPWv4) (CIESIN, 2016) for population density in the IAP area, Beijing Miyun Statistical Yearbook (Statistics Bureau of Miyun District, 2016) for MY population density and Beijing Yearbook (Beijing Municipal Bureau of Statistics, 2016) for the energy consumption data for 2015.

Following Best and Grimmond (2015) the sum of the errors, from the directly measured terms (i.e., $Q^*$, $Q_H$ and $Q_E$), would be 23% during the day and double that at night. These are minima, given the other terms, so we refer to this flux as $Q_{res}$.

### 2.3 Footprint analyses

The Kljun et al. (2004) footprint model is used to determine the probable source area of the turbulent fluxes at both study sites. The footprint function estimates the location and relative importance of passive scalar sources influencing flux measurements at a given receptor height, depending on receptor height, atmospheric stability, and surface roughness (Kljun et al. 2004).

To determine the source areas roughness length for momentum ($z_0$) and zero plane displacement height ($z_d$) are needed. Using the rule of thumb (Grimmond and Oke, 1999a) and the mean building height ($z_H$) of 19.1 m within 4 km × 4 km (Miao et al., 2012) $z_d$ is estimated to be 12.8 m (0.67$z_H$) and $z_0$ is 2.9 m (0.15$z_H$). Previous micrometeorological estimates at the IAP site found $z_0$ to be > 3 m (Yin and Hong, 1999; Li et al., 2003; Al-Jiboori and Hu, 2005). Grimmond and Oke (1999a) suggest a realistic range of $z_0$ for city core with a mixture of low- and high-rise buildings may be about 0.1$z_H$-0.2$z_H$. Kent et al. (2017) suggest $z_d$ may be twice this size (2RT). Using Kanda et al. (2013) and eight sectors of 500 m these ($z_0$ and $z_d$) vary between 0.6 and 13.6 m, and from 14 to 69 m respectively. At MY, $z_0$ (2.9 m) and $z_d$ (4.1 m) are calculated from neutral profile measurements with the logarithm law and Newton iteration (Zhao et al., 2004). Thus, some uncertainty in the probable turbulent flux sources area dimensions are introduced from these but through iteration the impact of these values become insignificant (Kent et al. 2017).

The atmospheric stability parameter ($\zeta$):

$$\zeta = (z-z_d)/L \tag{1}$$

is a function of Obukhov length (L) obtained from the EC observations. Here we classify unstable as $\zeta < -0.1$, neutral $|\zeta| \leq 0.1$ and stable conditions $\zeta > 0.1$ using the $z_d$ of 12.8 m. At IAP and MY, conditions were predominantly unstable (60% and 59%), with 12% and 22% neutral, and 28% and 19% stable, respectively. The extent of the 90% of source area is used in subsequent analyses (Figure 2).

At IAP, the probable 90% source area extent is on average about 1640 m from the tower, extending on average to about 1850 m to the northeast (0-30°) and northwest (270-300°) and 1430 m to the east (Figure 2a). However, given the use of constant roughness parameters with direction, the extent may actually be more variable and smaller. The probable extent at the MY site is on average about 460 m from the tower, extending to about 500 m in the north and only about 400 m in the southeast (Figure 2b).

Following Schmid et al. (1991), the 90% radiometer source area radii are calculated as 420 and 108 m from the IAP and MY towers, respectively. The overall source area characteristics of both sites are presented in Table 2. As others have found (e.g. Christen and Vogt, 2004; Offerle et al., 2006b), the radiometer and turbulent fluxes source areas differ slightly in location and surface characteristic at both IAP and MY (Table 2). However, the net all-wave radiation fluxes ($Q^*$) are very similar, especially daily, at the IAP and MY sites (section 3.2) as there are compensating effects between radiation balance fluxes (Oke et al., 2017). Thus, ratios of turbulent heat fluxes to $Q^*$ can be compared between the sites.

### 2.4 Classification of sky conditions

Using a bulk daily shortwave transmission ($\tau_{day}$) calculated from the daily total downward shortwave radiation at the measurement height ($K_{i,day}$) and daily total solar irradiance at the top of the atmosphere ($G_{0}$):

$$\tau_{day} = K_{i,day}/G_0 \tag{2}$$

where $G_0$ is calculated following Ao et al. (2016b), days are classified as clear, overcast, and rainy. If any rain occurred the day is defined as rainy. If $\tau_{day} > 0.50$, and both the daily mean visibility and >75% of the hourly visibility values within the day are >
10 km, the day is “clear”. All remaining days are classified as “overcast”. The $\tau_{\text{day}} > 0.50$ threshold follows Kawai and Kanda (2010a), who define clear skies as having a total diffuse to downward shortwave radiation ratio (DDR) of less than 0.50, and Duffie and Beckman (2013) who indicate when DDR=0.50 $\tau$ is about 0.50 (Figure 2.11.1 in Duffie and Beckman, 2013).

In the analysis period there are 23 clear, 27 overcast and 42 rainy days at IAP, whereas for MY there are 19 clear, 37 overcast and 36 rainy days. Despite the small differences (e.g. more clear and rainy days at IAP, more overcast days at MY), both sites experience effectively the same weather conditions. Both have the same 14 clear, 20 overcast and 31 rainy days during the 92 days measurement period. When both sites had clear days $\tau_{\text{day}} > 0.65$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Meteorological conditions during the study period

At IAP the daily mean 2-m air temperature varied from 21.0 to 32.2°C, with monthly means of 24.7, 26.5 and 26.7°C for June, July and August, respectively. The overall summer mean was 26.0°C (Figure 3a). At MY, the daily mean 2-m air temperatures were generally cooler (18.8 to 31.5°C) with a summer mean of 24.7°C. These were very similar to Normal (1981-2010) with the latter only 0.1°C less, July (25.8°C) the same, June (23.4°C) and August (24.8°C) 0.6°C cooler and 0.3°C warmer than the Normal, respectively.

The IAP total rain (304.6 mm) consisted of 86.9, 149.0 and 68.7 mm in June, July and August, respectively. Periods of no rain (e.g. 5-11 July) were followed with rain (e.g. 97.7 mm in 10 days 14-23 July). In August 87% of the monthly total occurred in two days (1st and 7th August, 15.5 and 44.5 mm, respectively), with the rest occurring on five days. This left two rain-free periods (9-18 August, 24-29 August).

Overall MY was wetter (375.4 mm) than IAP (304.6 mm) but June (66.0 mm) was drier, with rain on only 2 days between 20 to 30 June, and none in the first six days of the month. July (222.2 mm) was wetter than the Normal (186.2 mm), despite a rain-free period from 5-14 July. The 9-18 August was rain free at MY (like IAP) resulting in August (87.2 mm) being very dry (50% of the Normal, 176.1 mm).

Overall, the study period air temperature did not differ significantly from typical summer conditions in the region, but precipitation was less than Normal, especially in August. The prevailing wind at IAP (140 m) was from the NE (0-30°) and SW (180-240°) (Figure 3b-d). While at MY, the winds were predominantly easterlies (60-120°), with daytime winds also common from the southwest (210-270°) because of terrain effects (Figure 3e-g).

3.2 Surface radiation budget

During this summer daily mean incoming shortwave radiation ($K_s$) was slightly less at IAP than MY (difference 7.5 W m$^{-2}$) (Figure 4a, b, Table 3). When the data are stratified by sky conditions (Section 2.4), it is evident that lower IAP $K_s$ values occur on days with higher atmospheric PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (not shown) at the urban site than the suburban site. Cloud and rain result in a reduction in $K_s$, as expected, with daily mean values under overcast and rainy sky conditions 68% and 49% that of clear days (293.0 W m$^{-2}$) at IAP, and 71% and 53% of clear days (297.5 W m$^{-2}$) at MY.

The bulk transmissivity $\tau$ under different sky conditions is used to assess the influence of cloud, rain and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration on $K_s$ at both sites (Figure 5a-d). For clear days, most of the daytime $\tau$ values are greater than 0.60 after 9:00 (LST), with a midday median (10:00-14:00 LST) $\tau$ of 0.71 (IAP) and 0.73 (MY). Under overcast conditions, the maximum $\tau$ is never above 0.60 at either site, with the midday median $\tau$ decreasing to 0.52 (IAP) and 0.55 (MY). On rainy days, almost all $\tau$ values are lower than 0.40. Therefore the midday median $\tau$ is smaller: IAP (0.33) compared to MY (0.35). Overall summer midday median $\tau$ values are 0.55 (IAP) and 0.59 (MY).

Midday median $\tau$ both for clear and overcast sky conditions are higher in Beijing than Shanghai (clear 0.64 and cloudy 0.49, Ao et al., 2016b). This may result from differences in atmospheric water vapour and/or concentration and composition of aerosols (Yao et al., 2002; Chan and Yao, 2008; Zhang and Cao, 2015; Ao et al., 2016b). As observed in Shanghai, $\tau$ values are smaller near sunrise than sunset at both sites in Beijing. This can probably be attributed to the longer atmospheric path having a frequent
presence of nocturnal residual layers (Peng et al. 2017), which are dispersed by mixing later in the day (Ao et al., 2016b).

In terms of differences between the two Beijing sites, MY has a slightly higher $\tau$ than IAP before noon, while in the afternoon $\tau$ at both sites are nearly equal, or the $\tau$ values are a little smaller at MY than IAP with the exception of rainy days (Figure 5a-d). This is attributed to different diurnal patterns in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations between the two sites in Beijing. During the daytime, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the urban area reach their peak around noon, influenced by cooking and traffic; then decrease rapidly to a minima about 15:00 due to boundary layer growth. Whereas in the suburban area, the minima PM$_{2.5}$ concentration generally occur in the morning that gradually increase until the evening associated with pollutant transport as the wind veers from northeasterly to southerly caused by local mountain-valley breezes (Zhao et al., 2009). Thus, diurnal and regional wind circulations which influence PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, influence local radiation exchanges and thus $\tau$ values at both sites.

Outgoing shortwave radiation $K_T$ is also lower at the urban site (Figure 4c, d), with summer daily averages of 23.2 and 27.5 W m$^{-2}$ at IAP and MY, respectively (Table 3). As expected, the $K_T$ values decrease from clear to overcast to rainy days at both sites, with a daily mean value of 30.8, 24.1 and 17.2 W m$^{-2}$ at IAP, and 38.8, 28.2 and 19.8 W m$^{-2}$ at MY, respectively.

The lower $K_T$ values at IAP are associated with lower albedo ($\alpha$) at IAP than MY for all sky conditions (Figure 5e-h). Albedo differences between IAP and MY are greatest on clear days. The dominance of diffuse radiation on overcast days resulted in slightly higher albedos than on clear and rainy days at both sites, with a more obvious increase at IAP. Under rainy conditions, the surface is wet, hence the decrease in surface albedo (Ao et al., 2016b). The lowest albedo values occurred under rainy conditions, again as expected, at MY. However, at IAP daytime and midday median values of albedo for rainy days were still greater than for clear days. This might be explained by IAP having more and taller buildings, and thus a greater proportion of walls that do not get wet in the rain. Between-site differences in midday median values of albedo were always greater than differences in the daytime median values for any sky conditions, indicating that street canyons play an important role in radiation trapping when solar elevation angles are greater. Based on the summer average, the midday and daytime albedo were 0.10 and 0.11 at IAP, and they were both 0.13 at MY. These values are the same as observed for urban and suburban sites in Basel (Christen and Vogt, 2004), but lower than reported in other city centres [e.g., 0.16, 0.18 - Marseille (Grimmond et al., 2004); 0.14 KSK London (Kotthaus and Grimmond, 2014a, 2014b); 0.14 Shanghai (Ao et al., 2016b)] and suburban sites [e.g., 0.15 Łódź (Offerle et al., 2006b); 0.17 Miami (Newton et al., 2007); 0.24, 0.25- Kansas City (Balogun et al., 2009); 0.18 Oberhausen (Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012)].

Incoming longwave radiation $L_1$ is primarily influenced by the existence of cloud, boundary layer temperatures, water vapour and aerosol content (Flerchinger et al., 2009; Wang and Dickinson, 2013; Oke et al., 2017). As expected (e.g. Kotthaus and Grimmond, 2014a; Ao et al., 2016b), cloud cover enhances $L_1$ at the two sites (Figure 4e, f). The $L_1$ decreases from overcast to rainy to clear days at both sites, with a daily mean value of 408.4, 407.5 and 385.1 W m$^{-2}$ at IAP, and 411.8, 409.1 and 386.2 W m$^{-2}$ at MY, respectively.

Generally, urban $L_1$ is larger than suburban or rural $L_1$ given the warmer urban boundary layer (Oke et al., 2017). However, $L_1$ is also enhanced by water vapour (Christen and Vogt, 2004). IAP-MY difference in $L_1$ are negative for all sky conditions, as the air near the IAP radiative sensor is drier (cf., clear= 7.7 g kg$^{-1}$, overcast= 10.8 g kg$^{-1}$ and rainy = 10.9 g kg$^{-1}$) than at MY (clear=12.5 g kg$^{-1}$, overcast = 15.5 g kg$^{-1}$ and rainy = 14.3 g kg$^{-1}$). These results are similar to differences observed in $L_1$ between urban and rural sites in Basel (Christen and Vogt, 2004).

Although the suburban site has greater outgoing longwave radiation ($L_4$) at midday and during the daytime, the difference in the daily mean $L_4$ values is very small, indicating that the urban site has a larger $L_4$ at night (Table 3, Figure 4e, f). During the daytime, the measured urban $L_4$ values are less than over the suburban surface, probably a result of the additional radiation trapping in street canyons in the more built up urban area. While the urban IAP site has higher $L_4$ for most of the night, indicating a higher surface temperature ($T_s$) and/or differences in emissivity associated with the differences in urban form (e.g. reduced sky view factor (SVF) in the urban area) and materials. The greater radiative trapping at IAP maintains a warmer temperature than at the more open MY area.
Overall, the IAP urban site has a very slightly smaller net radiation balance, $Q^*$, than at the MY suburban site. However, both the midday and daily mean net all-wave radiation ($Q^*$) values of the two sites are nearly equal, with a midday and daily mean value of 455.0 and 126.3 W m$^{-2}$ at IAP, and 455.7 and 129.9 W m$^{-2}$ at MY, respectively (Table 3).

### 3.3 Turbulent heat fluxes

#### 3.3.1 Daily variation

The diurnal course of the turbulent sensible heat fluxes ($Q_H$) at IAP and MY have similar temporal patterns, but differ in magnitude (Figure 6). At both sites, the 30-min median $Q_H$ peaks in the early afternoon (13:30 at IAP, 14:30 at MY), with maximum values of 128 W m$^{-2}$ (IAP) and 154 W m$^{-2}$ (MY). The IAP values are similar to previous IAP data; for example, summer 2011 peak monthly-averaged diurnal values ~ 100 W m$^{-2}$ (Wang et al., 2015) and summer 2009-2010 peak values of ~ 200 W m$^{-2}$ on clear and ~100 W m$^{-2}$ on cloudy days (Miao et al., 2012).

Surprisingly, these maxima are lower at both sites than EC values observed previously in cities (cf. Figure 7a; urban range: 180-390 W m$^{-2}$; suburban range: 160-292 W m$^{-2}$). Areas with low vegetation cover ($\lambda_v$) similar to IAP ($\lambda_v =19\%$), such as Shanghai ($\lambda_v =14\%$), have summer median daytime peak $Q_H$ values (Ao et al., 2016a) that are more than twice that at IAP (Figure 7a). The IAP $Q_H$ is also smaller than sites with more vegetation (e.g. Łódź CBD $\lambda_v =24\%$; Basel U2 $\lambda_v =31\%$). Some of this can be attributed to smaller area of buildings ($\lambda_b = 24\%$) at IAP than Łódź (35%) (Offerle et al., 2006b) or Basel (37%, Christen and Vogt, 2004) (see section 3.3.2 for ratios).

Moreover, the IAP daytime $Q_H$ is ~20% less than the MY flux. This urban–suburban difference is unlike patterns observed in other city comparisons, for example, in Basel (Christen and Vogt, 2004), Łódź (Offerle et al., 2006b), Essen (Weber and Kordowski, 2010) and Oberhausen (Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012). All have larger values at the urban sites, with summertime daytime/daily $Q_H$ differences of approximately 20-30% compared to suburban sites.

Previous urban studies have reported both positive and negative nocturnal $Q_H$ fluxes (Christen and Vogt, 2004; Grimmond et al., 2004; Offerle et al., 2006b; Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012; Kotthaus and Grimmond, 2014a; Ao et al., 2016a). At less built up suburban sites $Q_H$ values are, generally, negative at night as a result greater surface cooling (Grimmond and Oke, 1995; Christen and Vogt, 2004; Offerle et al., 2006a; Newton et al., 2007; Balogun et al., 2009; Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012; Ward et al., 2013, Oke et al., 2017).

At both sites negative $Q_H$ fluxes are observed at night but the sensible heat loss to the atmosphere, or surface cooling effects, is for longer at MY (negative flux: 11.5 h; IAP 9.5 h) and larger (nocturnal median MY: -17.0 W m$^{-2}$, IAP = -5.5 W m$^{-2}$). This is related to the greater heat storage in areas with more urban area (Arnfield and Grimmond, 1998; Grimmond and Oke, 1999b).

The summer hourly median turbulent latent heat fluxes $Q_E$ are positive throughout the day at both sites, but with differences in diurnal pattern and amplitude (Figure 6). At IAP, $Q_E$ is obviously affected by human activities, with relatively higher values in the evening as at 22:00 heavy trucks are permitted entry within the 5th Ring Road. This is independently corroborated by higher CO$_2$ fluxes (Figure 8) at the same time (i.e. further evidence of impact of vehicle emissions).

Although daytime $Q_E$ maxima (IAP=122, MY=189 W m$^{-2}$) are within the range reported previously (Grimmond et al., 2002; Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012; Miao et al., 2012), they are high compared to other sites (Figure 7b). Unusually the IAP $Q_E$ fluxes are similar to $Q_H$ throughout the day (Figure 6). Across the previous multi-month urban studies, only dense residential Tokyo observed daytime maxima and daily total $Q_E$ values greater than those reported at IAP (Moriwaki and Kanda, 2004). The large Tokyo $Q_E$ values were attributed to an “oasis effect” (Moriwaki and Kanda 2004) – the advection of hotter and/or drier air from upwind areas causing increased evaporation through leading-edge effects (Oke, 1978). The nocturnal IAP $Q_E$ are all > 15 W m$^{-2}$ (median = 20 W m$^{-2}$, Figure 6) which is greater than most urban sites, even those with higher rainfall and greater vegetation cover (Balogun et al., 2009; Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012; Ward et al., 2013). In Beijing there is extra water available for evaporation from frequent road surface sprinkling at night (as part of a dust and air quality mitigation strategy), watering of greenbelts, and air conditioning usage for commercial and office buildings.
At MY, $Q_E$ is similar to North American suburban observations (Grimmond and Oke 1995, 1999b; Balogun et al., 2009) despite $\lambda_v$ being smaller. The combination of higher wind speeds, more easterly winds, greater vegetation density, and presence of irrigated crops all play a role.

3.3.2 Surface energy partitioning
To facilitate comparison of surface energy balance (SEB) partitioning between sites, the average daily, daytime ($K_1>5$ W m$^{-2}$ or $Q^* > 0$ W m$^{-2}$) and midday flux ratios normalized by net all-wave radiation ($Q^*$) and incoming radiation ($Q_1 = K_1 + L_1$) are summarized (Table 3). At IAP for individual 30 min periods the $Q_H$ was 21-25% of $Q^*$, $Q_E$ 21-45% and $Q_{res}$ 68-73%; whereas at MY these proportions were between 32-34%, 39-66%, and 16-34% of $Q^*$ (Table 3).

Unlike the absolute values (section 3.3.1), the IAP $Q_E/Q^*$ ratios are within the range of past results, whilst $Q_H/Q^*$ ratios are at the lower end (Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012; Miao et al., 2012) (Figure 9). The calculated anthropogenic heat flux varies with air temperature and time of day. With these and when all three fluxes were measured allowed the residual term which gives some indication of storage heat flux. The higher IAP $Q_{res}/Q^*$ is indicative of both larger heat storage associated with the tall buildings (Miao et al., 2012) but with relatively open spacing. These values are comparable to the COSMO (Comprehensive Outdoor Scale Model experiments, Tokyo) site (Kawai and Kanda, 2010b) and Shanghai (Ao et al., 2016a), with similarly large building and impervious fractions. In such settings, there is a large area of exposed walls that can heat up (cool down) and a large canopy layer volume. Whereas the lower $Q_H/Q^*$ ratios are attributed to, among other factors, the extra water supply beyond rainfall, which also results in lower Bowen ratios (section 3.3.3).

The MY $Q_H/Q^*$ and $Q_E/Q^*$ ratios are within the ranges reported previously for suburban sites (Grimmond and Oke, 2002; Balogun et al., 2009; Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012) and very similar to fluxes observed in Chicago (Grimmond and Oke, 1995) (Figure 9), despite the Chicago observation period having frequent rain and greater vegetation cover ($\lambda_v = 44.2\%$). At MY, wind came for directions with high vegetation coverage (> 50%, e.g. farmland) 30% of the time during the day (30-60° = 9%, 90-120° = 15% and 120-150° = 6%). Notably in the 90-120° wind sector, farmland occupies 82% of land surface with $\lambda_v$ up to 88% (Figure 1e). The irrigated crops at MY enhanced the latent heat flux, leading to higher $Q_E/Q^*$ and smaller Bowen ratio values (section 3.3.3).

3.3.3 Factors influencing the Bowen ratio ($\beta$)
Partitioning of the available energy favours the turbulent latent heat flux at both sites. The daily mean Bowen ratios ($\beta$) are 0.56 (IAP) and 0.49 (MY), with daytime ($Q^* > 0$ W m$^{-2}$) mean values of 0.78 (Table 3). Compared to other urban flux studies, the IAP $\beta$ values are at the lower end of the range (Figure 9c). They are significantly less than high density central city sites such as Shanghai (Ao et al., 2016a) or London (Kotthaus and Grimmond, 2014a), but also lower than sites with similar or greater vegetation fraction (e.g. Tokyo, Moriwaki and Kanda, 2004; Łódź, Offerle et al., 2006a, 2006b).

Balogun et al.’s (2009) comparison of summer daytime $\beta$ values for 11 North American suburban sites reported a range of 0.47-2.87. Goldbach and Kuttler (2012) indicated that daytime $\beta$ values for suburban sites in European cities are between 0.28 and 2.11 during summer. Thus, MY $\beta$ are within the range reported for other suburban sites.

Generally, rainfall events control the dominance of the latent heat flux in dense urban areas for relatively short time intervals following rainfall, as surface water drains quickly from impervious surfaces. Kotthaus and Grimmond (2014a) report $\beta$ in central London suppressed for 3-6 h following rainfall. Thereafter, the ratio increases, reaching “constant” values about 12-18 h after precipitation. Similarly, Ao et al. (2016a) found $\beta$ values in a commercial and residential area of Shanghai were influenced by rainfall events for about 12 h. However, with the frequent addition of water from other sources at IAP it is harder to separate rainfall events. As Figure 10 shows, frequently daytime $\beta < 1$ occurred during ‘dry’ (no rainfall) spells in July and August. Furthermore, some small $\beta$ values occurred at midday under clear skies, despite it being 10 days since the last rainfall (Figure 11).

At MY, crop irrigation plays a significant role in the energy balance and flux partitioning. During the rain free period (9-18 August), the $\beta$ values remain below 1 because of local irrigation (Figures 10, 12). Whereas areas with sustained dry spells have
observed an increased $\beta$ (e.g. Łódź, Offerle et al., 2006b; Swindon, Ward et al., 2013) and 50% reduction in evaporation (e.g. Oberhausen, Goldbach and Kuttler, 2012). In Swindon, the $\beta$ increased from <1 following rainfall to >4 over 16 days without rain (Ward et al., 2013).

In July and August, the MY dry-spells flux partitioning is impacted by wind direction (Figure 13) changing the fetch (Figure 1c, e). The frequency of westerly winds is greater in the July dry spell compared to August. The enhanced proportions of impervious surfaces (smaller vegetation fractions) and reduced water content originally stored by soil and vegetation, result in lower latent heat fluxes and greater Bowen ratios.

The MY crops (wheat then maize) growth cause leaf area index (LAI) changes that influence evaporation rates (greater later in summer). This is explored using daily variations of the Priestley-Taylor aridity parameter ($\alpha_{PT}$) (Priestley and Taylor, 1972; Eichinger, 1996) for the observation period (Figure 14).

The $\alpha_{PT}$ is the ratio of observed $Q_E$ to the equilibrium evaporation ($Q_{Eq}$, Slatyer and McIlroy, 1961; Eichinger, 1996; McMahon et al., 2013). In an urban area this is calculated:

$$Q_{eq} = \frac{\gamma}{s} (Q' + Q_\tau - \Delta Q_s)$$

where $s$ is the slope of the saturation vapor pressure-temperature curve and $\gamma$ the psychrometric ‘constant’. At MY, $\Delta Q_s$ is determined as a residual term of the surface energy balance; thus the right-hand term reduces to the sum of the turbulent fluxes ($Q_H + Q_E$). Generally, cropland values of $\alpha_{PT}$ are positively related to LAI (Lei and Yang, 2010). As Figure 14 shows, with wheat harvested and maize sown but unsprouted in mid-June the crop LAI was at the observation period minima, as were the $\alpha_{PT}$ values with daily medians between 0.25 and 0.51. After this, during crop growth, the cropland $\alpha_{PT}$ are greater than 0.5 (Figure 14).

4. Discussion and Conclusions

This study analyses the intra-city surface energy flux measurements in Beijing for a summer-time period (June–August 2015). Radiation and energy balance fluxes at two sites with contrasting urban land use and surface properties are compared to better understand surface–atmosphere dynamics.

The urban site (IAP) has slightly lower incoming shortwave radiation ($K_i$) than the suburban site (MY), with midday mean values of 581 W m$^{-2}$ and 605 W m$^{-2}$, and daytime ($Q^* > 0$ W m$^{-2}$) mean values of 403 W m$^{-2}$ and 417 W m$^{-2}$, respectively. Transmissivity ($\tau$), $K_i$ normalized by total solar radiation flux received at the top of Earth’s atmosphere, mean values at midday are 0.49 and 0.51 (daytime $\tau = 0.45$ and 0.46) at IAP and MY, respectively.

For all sky conditions, outgoing shortwave radiation ($K_o$) is always lower at the urban site than suburban site, so too is the albedo. The differences in albedo between the two sites are greater during the midday period than for the daytime (0.10 and 0.11 at IAP, respectively; 0.125 and 0.129 at MY), given greater “radiation trapping” effects at IAP. The surface albedo is highest under overcast conditions at both sites. At MY, the smallest albedo values occurred under rainy conditions, as expected, attributed to wet surfaces absorbing more radiation. However, at IAP the smallest values were on clear days (with more area of shadows). At this site, building walls remained largely dry on the rainy days in this study period.

Both sites received similar incoming longwave radiation ($L_i$). The suburban site has greater outgoing longwave radiation ($L_o$) at midday and during the daytime than the urban site, while the difference in the daily averaged $L_o$ values is very small, indicating that urban site has higher $L_o$ at night. This is attributed to greater building density at IAP, which results in more daytime heat being absorbed and stored for release at night. The small differences of incoming and outgoing radiation between urban and suburban sites result in similar net all-wave radiation ($Q^*$) values, with the midday and daily averaged values of the two sites being nearly equal.

At IAP the normalized sensible heat flux ratios ($Q_H / Q^*$) are 21-25% for midday, daytime, and daily periods, which is low compared to other urban sites with smaller or similar vegetation fraction ($A_v$). The residual flux ($Q_{res}$) (section 3.3.2) dominates the surface energy balance at IAP with the a daily ratio 68% (or 49% of $Q^* + Q_F$). This suggests that the large urban volume has a
large net warming but the observational errors are also large (section 3.3.2). However, the values are comparable to other urban sites with tall buildings and/or large impervious fractions.

At MY, the normalised $Q_H$, $Q_E$ and $Q_{res}$ are between 32-34%, 39-66% and 16-34% of $Q^*$ for the four time periods (Table 3). These values are consistent with many other suburban sites and very close to observed results at Chicago. The IAP and MY Bowen ratios both have greater $Q_E$ than $Q_H$. The mean daytime Bowen ratio is 0.78 for both; while the mean daily values are 0.56 and 0.49 for the urban and suburban sites, respectively. These are lower than previous urban and suburban studies with similar or larger vegetated cover fractions. The IAP values are attributed to the extra supply of water, over and above rainfall, from watering of vegetation and road surfaces, plus air conditioning. At MY, additional water is mainly from the irrigation of crops.

These surface energy balance fluxes for Beijing provide important insights into boundary layer dynamics in this city and provide much needed data for numerical model evaluations and key parameters (albedo, Bowen ratio etc) for simulations of current and future conditions. The MY site reflects a rather different suburban site (with agriculture) compared to those studied before. Further analysis of the data collected at these two sites will be undertaken to document seasonal patterns.
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Figure 1. Location and characteristics of the urban (IAP) and suburban (MY) flux sites within Beijing: (a) topography around Beijing (inset red dot location within China); (b) IAP (Yuan Dynasty Capital City Park is indicated by yellow rectangles) and (c) MY; (d) building heights around IAP tower (white dot) (2012 dataset from China Academy of Building Research); (e) land cover (30° wind sectors) within 500 m radius of the MY flux tower (based on satellite imagery: Google Earth 2017).
Figure 2. Probable eddy covariance flux source areas (50%, 70% and 90%, yellow lines inside to outside) weighted by the atmospheric stability conditions during the period of 1 June to 31 August 2015 calculated using the Kljun et al. (2004) footprint model for (a) IAP and (b) MY. See text for other assumptions.
Figure 3. Comparison of atmospheric conditions at IAP and MY for the observation period (a) daily mean air temperatures ($T_a$), daily rainfall and sky conditions (clear, overcast, rainy, see text for definitions); (b-g) wind roses (30° bins, 30 min data) stratified by wind speed frequency for (b, c, d) IAP (height: 140 m) and (e, f, g) MY (height: 36 m) for (b, e) daytime, (c, f) nighttime and (d, g) all days.
Figure 4. Median diurnal variations (lines) and interquartile ranges (shading) of radiation balance fluxes ($K_↓$, $K_↑$, $L_↓$, $L_↑$, $Q^*$) under clear (blue), overcast (pink), and rainy (grey) conditions in the measurement period at (a, c, e, g) IAP and (b, d, f, h) MY.
Figure 5. Median diurnal variations of (a-d) transmissivity and (e-f) surface albedo for (a, e) clear, (b, f) overcast, (c, g) rainy and (d, h) all sky conditions for the measurement period at IAP and MY.

Figure 6. Median diurnal variations (points) and interquartile ranges (shading) of turbulent sensible heat flux density ($Q_H$) and turbulent latent heat flux density ($Q_E$) at (a) IAP and (b) MY for the measurement period (June – August 2015).
Figure 7. Summer maxima of (a) $Q_H$ and (b) $Q_E$ at urban (red) and suburban (blue) EC measurement sites with different vegetation cover ($\lambda_v$).

Data sources:

(i) both $Q_H$ and $Q_E$ extracted from plots: Basel/BUBBLE (Ba02s1, Ba02u1, Ba02u2) (Christen and Vogt 2004); Christchurch (Ch96) (Spronken-Smith 2002); Łódź (Lo02c, Lo02i, Lo02re, Lo02ru) (Offerle et al. 2006b); KSS/London (Lon11) (Kotthaus and Grimmond 2014a); Marseille (Ma01) (Grimmond et al. 2004); Fair site/Miami (Mi95) (Newton at al. 2007) and Tokyo (Tk01) (Moriwaki and Kanda 2004);

(ii) $Q_H$ extracted from plots and $Q_E$ accurately provided in paper: Vegetation sector/Helsinki (He06v) (Vesala et al. 2008); Oberhausen (Ob10s, Ob10u) (Goldbach and Kuttler 2012);

(iii) $Q_H$ accurately provided in paper and $Q_E$ extracted from plots: Arcadia (Ar93), Chicago (Ch92), Sacramento (Sc91u) and Tucson (Tu90u) (Grimmond and Oke 1995); Urban site/Helsinki (He06u) (Vesala et al. 2008) and Kansas City (Kc04) (Balogun et al. 2009);

(iv) both $Q_H$ and $Q_E$ accurately provided in paper: Beijing: Miyun, suburb (MY) (this study); IAP/Beijing (IAP) (this study); Shanghai (Sh16u) (Ao et al. 2016a) and Swindon (Sw11) (Ward et al. 2013).
Figure 8. Median diurnal variations (points) and interquartile ranges (shading) for different types of day for: (a) $Q_e$ and (b) CO$_2$ flux at IAP for the measurement period.
Figure 9. Variation with vegetation cover ($\lambda_v$) of mean daytime ($Q^* > 0$ W m$^{-2}$) turbulent energy partitioning (a) $Q_H/Q^*$, (b) $Q_E/Q^*$ and (c) Bowen ratio for various sites [see Figure 7 for sources and sites, except for rural sites of Basel/BUBBLE (Ba02r1, Ba02r2) (Christen and Vogt 2004), urban site of Beijing (Bj09) (Miao et al. 2012) and Vancouver light industrial site (V192) (Grimmond and Oke 1999)]. Negative linear regression, exponential regression and logarithmic regression equations describe (a) $Q_H/Q^*$, (b) $Q_E/Q^*$ and (c) Bowen ratio as a function of $\lambda_v$ separately.
Figure 10. Daytime ($K_↓ > 5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) Bowen ratio (circle) and median 30 min daytime Bowen ratio (diamond) for each day (right hand axis) coloured by number of days since rainfall (days in legend). Daily rainfall amounts (bars) given on left-hand axis.

Figure 11. Bowen ratio at IAP when $K_↓ > 5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (diamond) and when $Q^* > 500 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (circle) coloured by hours since last rainfall.
Figure 12. Gravimetric soil moisture (%) measured at the MY site from 28 May to 28 August 2015 under natural and irrigated cropland.

Figure 13. Wind direction and speed frequency (30 min) at MY for: (a) 5 to 13 July and (b) 9 to 18 August 2015.

Figure 14. Daily 30-min midday (grey) and median Priestley-Taylor aridity parameter ($\alpha_{PT}$) (coloured by crop growth stage) at MY from 1 June to 31 August 2015.
Table 1. Characteristics around the two study sites, sources: population density (CIESIN, 2016); * Beijing 1:2000 scale topographic map vector data (Zhang et al., 2013); ^ Survey and assessment report for weather station observational environment; # GF-2 High-resolution image (CCRSDA, 2016); n/a – not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land use</th>
<th>Urban – IAP</th>
<th>Suburban – MY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>116° 22' 15&quot;, 39° 58' 28&quot;</td>
<td>116° 51' 51&quot;, 40° 22' 39&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land use</td>
<td>Residential / Commercial</td>
<td>Government/ Residential/ Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Climate Zone (Stewart and Oke, 2012)</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population density 2015 (people km²)</td>
<td>14734</td>
<td>1007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement height (m) z_m</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean building height (m) z_H</td>
<td>25.5^</td>
<td>20.4^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range for 8–500 m radius sector s (m)</td>
<td>(6.5 – 46.0)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average tree height (trees &gt; 1.5 m)(m) z_TT</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>8.5^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy aspect ratio H/W</td>
<td>0.39^</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range for 8–500 m sectors</td>
<td>(0.09 – 1.05)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sky view factor Ψ_Sky</td>
<td>0.722^</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Land cover of 90% source-area surface characteristics for radiometer and turbulent fluxes (ζ < 0.1) centered on the measurement location. Surface cover is derived from GF-2 High-resolution image (CCRSDA, 2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface cover (%)</th>
<th>Radiometer</th>
<th>Turbulent fluxes</th>
<th>Radiometer</th>
<th>Turbulent fluxes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impervious</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking and pavement</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mean radiation and energy fluxes, transmissivity and mean fluxes ratios normalized by net all wave radiation (Q*) and incoming radiation (Q; = K_L + L_I) in summer 2015 for the IAP and MY sites. Where K_L and K_I are incoming and ongoing shortwave radiation; L_I and L_L are incoming and ongoing longwave radiation; Q_H and Q_L are turbulent sensible and latent heat fluxes; Q_res is anthropogenic heat flux calculated by LQF version (Gabey et al. 2108, Lindberg et al. 2018) of the LUCY model (Allen et al., 2011; Lindberg et al., 2013); storage heat flux Q_storage = Q* + Q_H – (Q_L + Q_F); Albedo = K_L/K_I; τ; transmissivity = K_I/QI.