

Worldwide alteration of lake mixing regimes in response to climate change

Article

Accepted Version

Woolway, R. I. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0498-7968 and Merchant, C. J. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4687-9850 (2019) Worldwide alteration of lake mixing regimes in response to climate change. Nature Geoscience, 12. pp. 271-276. ISSN 1782-0908 doi: 10.1038/s41561-019-0322-x Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/82814/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0322-x

Publisher: Nature

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR



Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

- 1 Title
- 2 Worldwide alteration of lake mixing regimes in response to climate change
- 3

Author information 4

- R. Iestyn Woolway^{1*}, Christopher J. Merchant^{1, 2} 5
 - 1. Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK
 - 2. National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Reading, Reading, UK
- 8 9 10

6

7

*now at Dundalk Institute of Technology, Dundalk, Ireland

11

Lakes hold much of Earth's accessible liquid freshwater, support biodiversity and 12 provide key ecosystem services to people around the world. However, they are 13 vulnerable to climate change, for example through shorter durations of ice cover, or 14 through rising lake surface temperatures. Here we use a one-dimensional, numerical 15 lake model to assess climate change impacts on mixing regimes in 635 lakes worldwide. 16 We run the lake model with input data from four state-of-the-art model projections of 17 21st century climate under two emissions scenarios. Under the scenario with higher 18 emissions (Representative Concentration Pathway 6.0), many lakes are projected to 19 have reduced ice cover; about a quarter of seasonally ice-covered lakes are permanently 20 ice-free by 2080-2100. Surface waters are projected to warm, with a median warming 21 across lakes of about 2.5°C, and the most extreme warming at about 5.5°C. The 22 projections suggest that around 100 of the studied lakes are projected to undergo 23 24 changes in their mixing regimes. About a quarter of these lakes which are currently 25 classified as monomictic - that undergo one mixing event in most years - will become permanently stratified systems. About a sixth of these which are currently dimictic -26 that mix twice per year - will become monomictic. We conclude that many lakes will 27 28 mix less frequently in response to climate change. 29

Documented climate-related changes in lakes include shorter durations of winter ice 30 cover¹⁻⁴ and higher lake surface temperatures⁵⁻⁹. Recent global studies of lake surface 31 temperature trends show that many lakes, predominantly those that experience seasonal ice 32 cover, are warming at rates in excess of ambient air temperature⁷⁻⁸. Studies of lake 33 temperature responses to climate change have improved our understanding of the 34 consequences of warming on lake ecosystems^{10, 11}. Here, we assess for 635 globally 35 distributed large lakes how projected climate trends are likely to change lake stratification 36 and mixing. Because these aspects of lake dynamics exert significant control on nutrient 37 fluxes, oxygenation and biogeochemical cycling^{12, 13}, considering stratification and mixing is 38 critical for anticipating the repercussions of temperature change throughout lake 39 environments and associated ecosystems. 40

41

Stratification and mixing regimes in lakes 42

Thermal stratification occurs in lakes as a result of the thermal-expansion properties of water. 43

- The time evolution of stratification is determined by the balance between turbulence, which 44
- acts to enhance mixing, and buoyancy forces, which act to suppress turbulence and result in a 45

vertical layering¹⁴. The vertical layering that exists during stratification exerts strong control 46 on the transport of nutrients and oxygen between the surface and deep water of lakes and the 47

- vertical distribution and composition of lake biota. Lakes that are permanently mixed 48
- (continuous cold/warm polymictic) or those that mix frequently (discontinuous cold/warm 49
- polymictic) differ markedly in their physical, chemical and biological functioning from lakes 50
- that are stratified permanently (meromictic) or semi-permanently (oligomictic, characterised 51
- by variable temporal periods of incomplete mixing, interspersed with occasional mixing)^{14, 15}. 52
- Lakes that stratify seasonally can be classed as dimictic if they have two stratification 53
- seasons, or monomictic (cold or warm) if they stratify only once per year (see Methods; Fig. 54
- S1). Seasonal mixing serves as a basis for lake regime classification¹⁶ and as a necessary 55 component of projecting how lake ecosystems will respond to climate change.
- 56
- 57

The mixing class to which a lake belongs depends primarily on (i) whether or not it 58 experiences ice cover annually, and (ii) the number of times during a year in which it 59 stratifies continuously (Fig. S1). Ice-covered lakes tend to occur in less-maritime, high-60 61 latitude and high-elevation regions (Fig. 1A). Satellite observations of 635 lakes from 1995 to 62 2011 (Table S1), ~50% of which experienced ice cover annually, illustrate that the climatological duration of ice cover varies systematically with mean air temperature (Fig. 1B; 63 produced using air temperature from the ERA-Interim reanalysis¹⁷). With regards to the 64 stratification criterion for mixing class, surface water temperature observations can be used to 65 distinguish between dimictic and monomictic (cold or warm) lakes (Fig. 1C, 1D): in warm 66 monomictic lakes, surface water temperature does not cool below 4°C (near the maximum 67 density of freshwater), while in cold monomictic lakes, surface water temperature does not 68 warm above 4°C. No lake surface water temperature threshold separates thermally stratifying 69 and polymictic lakes, as other factors can have a substantial influence, notably lake depth 70 (e.g., shallow lakes can mix easily). The global heterogeneity of lake sizes and depths^{18, 19} 71 72 suggests that lake-mixing classes should be heterogeneously distributed.

73

74 Global patterns of lake mixing regimes

75 In this study, we assess the contemporary mixing class of 635 lakes worldwide, by

developing a classification scheme applied to numerical simulation results from a lake model, 76 and then use this model to project future mixing classes under climate change scenarios. This 77

approach enables meromictic, oligomictic, monomictic, dimictic and polymictic mixing 78

- classes to be determined. 79
- 80

To assess the contemporary mixing classes, we first optimise key parameters of the 81 lake model, FLake^{20, 21}, to represent the dynamics of each individual lake. The optimisation 82 constrains the model to represent observed lake surface water temperature time series (see 83 Methods). The ability of the optimised lake model to represent a wide range of lake dynamics 84 is evaluated by comparing simulations with independent temperature observations, ice cover 85 and lake mixing regimes under historic climate conditions. Good agreement is obtained (Fig. 86 87 S2-S7). Particularly relevant to multi-decadal projection is that the lake model is able to simulate accurately historic multi-decadal variations in lake temperature back to the start of 88 the 20th century (Fig. S4) and to identify successfully the mixing regime of 72% of lakes for 89 which independent mixing regime classifications were found (Fig. S7; Table S2). Up to 85% 90

- of inter-decadal variability in lake surface temperature is explained by the lake model forced
 with representations of historic climate conditions.
- 93

The identified lake mixing regimes demonstrate a diverse array of mixing types (Fig. 1E-1F). Dimictic lakes are most common in our global dataset (Fig. S8), a result of the majority of our study lakes being relatively large (all studies lakes exceed 27 km² in area) and situated north of 40°N, where the global lake abundance is highest¹⁸. The proportion of dimictic and polymictic lakes is large in north temperate latitudes, as expected, and meromictic lakes are common in the tropics (Fig. 1E-1F).

100

101 Climate-related changes in lake mixing regimes

102 To project future changes in mixing class, the lake model is forced by four climate

projections available from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project²²,
 namely, HadGEM2-ES, GFDL-ESM2M, IPSL-CM5A-LR and MIROC5 (see Methods for

details), under two Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) scenarios. The main figures

106 presented here show the results from the lake model forced with bias-corrected HadGEM2-

107 ES projections. To indicate the uncertainty of projections, we show or quote the spread of

results from the lake model across all four climate model projections. Changes projected for

109 2080-2100 are quoted relative to the period 1985-2005.

110

The responses of lake mixing regimes to climate change are complex and may not be 111 associated closely with change in any one climatic variable. Rather, the mixing regime of a 112 lake will depend on changes in a combination of climatic factors that contribute to the lake 113 heat budget (e.g., air temperature, solar and thermal radiation, cloud cover, wind speed, 114 humidity). Under future scenarios RCP 2.6 and 6.0, we project that the number of annual ice-115 covered days will decrease substantially (Fig. 2A, 2B) by 2080-2100. For RCP 2.6, the 116 decrease is on average (across all lakes that are seasonally ice covered during the historic 117 118 period) 15 days, the standard deviation of this mean change across the four-member ensemble being 5 days. For RCP 6.0, the projected mean change is -29±8 days. In the most extreme 119 cases under RCP 6.0, the projected decreases of ice-covered days exceed 60 days. The 120 simulations project that 24±5% of lakes that display winter ice cover in the historic period 121 will be ice-free by the end of the 21st century under the RCP 6.0 scenario. The increase in 122 annual mean lake surface temperature is projected to be 1.1±0.4°C and 2.3±0.6°C under RCP 123 2.0 and 6.0, respectively (Fig. 2C, 2D), by 2080-2100. For individual lakes, projected 124 warming can be higher, the largest projected increase being 5.4±1.1°C under RCP 6.0. 125 99±0.5% of lakes are projected to increase in mean temperature under RCP 2.6, and all 126 $(100\pm0\%)$ increase under RCP 6.0. 127

128

Decreases in winter ice cover and increases in lake surface temperatures would be expected qualitatively to modify the distribution of lake mixing regimes. Next, we investigate the global extent and magnitude of response in the projections to quantify this expectation. The projections suggest that alterations in lake mixing regimes will occur during the 21st century (Fig. 3, Fig. S9-S10). Specifically, in the projections under RCP 2.6 and 6.0,

- 134 respectively, 59 ± 7 and 96 ± 15 lakes change mixing class.
- 135

The most common identified alteration in mixing class (25±5 % of altered lakes under 136 RCP 6.0) is a change from warm monomictic to meromictic. This means that a significant 137 minority of lakes that do not currently experience ice cover and stratify once annually are 138 projected to become permanently stratified systems by the end of the 21st century. In addition, 139 all of the lakes identified as being oligomictic during the historic period transition to the 140 meromictic class by 2080-2100. A lack of vertical mixing by the end of the 21st century will 141 result in reduced upwelling of nutrients from deep to shallow waters and a decrease in deep-142 water oxygen concentrations, which can lead to reduced lake productivity¹⁰ and the formation 143 of deep-water dead zones¹², respectively. Oxygen depletion at depth can be detrimental to the 144 habitat for fish²³ and can modify biogeochemical processes resulting in, for example, the 145 potential release of phosphorus and ammonium into the water column²⁴ and the production of 146 potentially toxic metal ions²⁵. 147

148

155

The second most common identified alteration in mixing class (across the model ensemble) is a change from dimictic to warm monomictic $(17\pm5\%)$ of altered lakes under RCP 6.0) (Fig. 3, Fig. S9-S10). This alteration occurs when lakes that were historically ice covered no longer freeze in winter but still stratify during summer. The projected absence of winter ice has implications for those lake ecosystems including, among other things, changes in water quality²⁶ and the production and biodiversity of phytoplankton²⁷.

A very small number of altered lakes are projected to experience fewer continuous 156 periods of stratification, and, as a result, to transition from being categorized as dimictic to 157 polymictic. Such an increase in mixing can be a result of changes in any of the 158 meteorological drivers acting at the lake surface. Short-term variations in surface wind speed, 159 for example, can play an important role in lake stratification and mixing, and could nudge 160 some lakes to a different mixing regime²⁸. The influence of changes in wind speed will, 161 however, be expected to have the most pronounced effects on the mixing regime of shallow 162 163 lakes. All the lakes that are projected to undergo an increase in the number of mixing events per year are among the shallowest 1% of the lakes studied. Other factors that were not 164 considered in this study may also be important for mixing regime alterations in specific lakes, 165 such as groundwater inputs²⁹, increased inflow of cold water from retreating glaciers³⁰, 166 thermal pollution from nuclear plants³¹, and changes in the magnitude of influent water³², 167 which will be particularly important for lakes with short residence times or extensive lake 168 level variations³³. Changes in lake transparency can also influence the mixing regime of 169 lakes³⁴, but it is not expected to be a dominant driver of mixing regime alterations in large 170 lakes, such as those included in this study. The influence of transparency on lake mixing and 171 stratification has been shown to decrease with increasing lake size^{35, 36} and the vertical 172 thermal structure will be constrained strongly by fetch³⁷. Thus, transparency is expected to 173 have a greater influence on the vertical thermal structure of relatively small lakes compared 174 to larger ones, as investigated in this study. 175

176

There is scattered evidence of mixing regime alterations already taking place, and ecological consequences of these changes are starting to appear^{38, 39}. Our projections of future lake-mixing regime alterations span a wide range of locations, sizes, and climatic contexts, and suggest a complex pattern of lake responses to climate change. The geographical

- 181 distribution of lake mixing regime alterations is heterogeneous, because climatic conditions
- interact with lake-specific contexts, particularly geomorphology. The projections do not
- support simple expectations of regional consistency in lake responses whereby lakes in a
- 184 given region will change similarly. Changes in lake temperature will not always translate to 185 changes in lake mixing regimes: some of the lakes which are projected to experience the
- highest surface warming are not projected to undergo a change in their mixing class. Most
- 187 lakes that are projected to alter in their mixing regimes currently display anomalous mixing
- 188 behaviour relative to their dominant mixing classification in some years. Specifically, two-
- 189 thirds of lakes that are projected to experience an alteration in their mixing regimes had at
- 190 least three years of anomalous mixing regime during the period 1985 to 2005. Lakes that are
- 191 currently classified as warm monomictic but fail to mix fully during some winters presently
- account for 60% of those that are projected to become meromictic (i.e., permanently
- stratified) in the future. Lakes that are currently seasonally ice-covered and are classified as
- dimictic but also experience some ice-free winters are projected to become predominantly
- monomictic by the end of the 21^{st} century. Thus, the projections confirm the intuition that
- those lakes that currently exhibit anomalous years relative to their usual mixing class are
- 197 more likely to transition to a different mixing regime in the future.

198199 References

- Sharma, S., et al. Widespread loss of lake ice around the Northern Hemisphere in a warming world, *Nature Climate Change* (In press).
- Magnuson, J. J. et al. Historical trends in lake and river ice cover in the Northern
 Hemisphere. *Science* 289, 1743-1746 (2000).
- Fang, X., & Stefan, H. G. Simulations of climate effects on water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and ice and snow covers in lakes of the contiguous U. S. under past and future climate scenarios. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 54, 2359-2370 (2009).
- Magee, M. R., Wu, C. H., Robertson, D. M., Lathrop, R. C. & Hamilton, D. P. Trends and abrupt changes in 104 years of ice cover and water temperature in a dimictic lake in response to air temperature, wind speed, and water clarity drivers. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 20, 1681-1702 (2016)
- 5. Schneider, P., Hook, S. J. Space observations of inland water bodies show rapid surface
 warming since 1985, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37, doi:10.1029/2010GL045059
- 6. Magee, M. R. & Wu, C. H. Response of water temperatures and stratification to changing
 climate in three lakes with different morphometry. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 21, 62536274 (2017).
- 7. O'Reilly, C. et al. Rapid and highly variable warming of lake surface waters around the
 globe. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 10773-10781 (2015).
- Austin, J. A., S. M. Colman. Lake Superior summer water temperatures are increasing more rapidly than regional temperatures: A positive ice-albedo feedback. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34, doi:10.1029/2006GL029021. (2007).
- 221 9. Livingstone, D. M. Impact of secular climate change on the thermal structure of a large
 222 temperate central European lake. *Clim. Change* 57, 205-225 (2003).
- 10. O'Reilly, C. et al. Climate change decreases aquatic ecosystem productivity of Lake
 Tanganyika, Africa. *Nature* 424, 766-768 (2003).
- 11. O'Beirne, M. D. et al. Anthropogenic climate change has altered primary productivity in
 Lake Superior. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15713 (2017).

- 12. North, R. P. et al. Long-term changes in hypoxia and soluble reactive phosphorus in the
 hypolimnion of a large temperate lake: consequences of a climate regime shift. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20, 811-823 (2014).
- 13. Yankova, Y., Neuenschwander, S., Köster, O. & Posch, T. Abrupt stop of deep water
 turnover with lake warming: Drastic consequences for algal primary producers. *Sci. Rep.*7, 13770 (2017).
- 14. Boehrer, B. & Schultze, M. Stratification of lakes. *Rev. Geophys.* 46, RG2005 (2008).
- 15. Boehrer, B., von Rohden, C. & Schultze, M. *Physical Features of Meromictic Lakes: Stratification and Circulation*. In: Gulati, R., Zadereev, E., Degermendzhi, A. (eds)
 Ecology of Meromictic Lakes. Ecological Studies (Analysis and Synthesis), 228,
 (Springer, Cham, 2017).
- 238 16. Lewis, W. M., Jr. A revised classification of lakes based on mixing. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat.* 239 *Sci.* 40, 1779-1787 (1983).
- 240 17. Dee, D. P. et al. The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 137, 553-597 (2011).
- 18. Verpoorter, C. et al. A global inventory of lakes based on high-resolution satellite
 imagery. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 6396-6402 (2014).
- 19. Messager, M. L. et al. Estimating the volume and age of water stored in global lakes
 using a geo-statistical approach. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13603 (2016).
- 246 20. Mironov, D. Parameterization of lakes in numerical weather prediction: Part 1.
 247 Description of a lake mode. COSMO Technical Report, No. 11, Deutscher Wetterdienst,
 248 Offenbach am Main, Germany, (2008).
- 249 21. Mironov, D. et al. Implementation of the lake parameterisation scheme FLake into the
 250 numerical weather prediction model COSMO. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 15, 218–230 (2010).
- 251 22. Frieler, K. et al. Assessing the impacts of 1.5°C global warming simulation protocol of
 252 the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP2b). *Geosci. Model* 253 *Dev.* 10, 4321-4345 (2017).
- 254 23. Regier, H. A., Holmes, J. A. & Pauly, D. Influence of temperature changes on aquatic
 255 ecosystems: an interpretation of empirical data. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 119, 374–389
 256 (1990).
- 257 24. Mortimer, C. H. The exchange of dissolved substances between mud and water in lakes,
 258 *J. Ecol.* 29, 280-329 (1941).
- 259 25. Davison, W. Supply of iron and manganese to an anoxic lake basin, *Nature* 290, 241-243
 (1981).
- 261 26. Weyhenmeyer, G. A., Westöö, A.-K. & Willén, E. Increasingly ice-free winters and their
 262 effects on water quality in Sweden's largest lakes. *Hydrobiologia* 599, 111-118 (2008).
- 263 27. Weyhenmeyer, G. A., Bleckner, T. & Petterson, K. Changes of the plankton spring
 264 outburst related to the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 44, 1788-1792
 265 (1999).
- 266 28. Woolway, R. I., Meinson, P., Nöges, P., Jones, I. D. & Laas, A. Atmospheric stilling
 267 leads to prolonged thermal stratification in a large shallow polymictic lake. *Clim.*268 *Change*, 141, 759-773 (2017).
- 269 29. Rosenberry, D O. et al. Groundwater the disregarded component in lake water and
 270 nutrient budgets. Part 1: effects of groundwater on hydrology. *Hydrol. Process.* 29, 2895271 2921 (2015).

- 272 30. Peter, H., & Sommaruga, R. Alpine glacier-fed turbid lakes are discontinuous cold
 273 polymictic rather than dimictic. *Inland Waters* 7, 45-54 (2017).
- 31. Kirillin, G., Shatwell, T. & Kasprzak, R. Consequences of thermal pollution from a nuclear plant on lake temperature and mixing regime. *J. Hydrol.* 496, 47-56 (2013).
- 32. Valerio, G., Pilotti, M., Barontini, S. & Leoni, B. Sensitivity of the multiannual thermal
 dynamics of a deep pre-alpine lake to climatic change. *Hydrol. Processes* 29, 767-779
 (2015).
- 33. Rimmer, A., Gal, G., Opher, T., Lechinsky, Y. & Yacobi, Y. Z. Mechanisms of longterm variations in the thermal structure of a warm lake. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 56, 974-988
 (2011).
- 34. Shatwell, T., Adrian, R. & Kirillin, G. Planktonic events may cause polymictic-dimictic
 regime shifts in temperate lakes. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 24361(2016).
- 35. Fee, E. J., Hecky, R. E., Kasian, S. E. M. & Cruikshank, D. R. Effects of lake size, water
 clarity, and climatic variability on mixing depths in Canadian Shield lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 41, 912-920 (1996).
- 287 36. Read, J. S. & Rose, K. C. Physical responses of small temperate lakes to variation in
 288 dissolved organic carbon concentrations. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 58, 921-931 (2013).
- 37. Gorham, E. & Boyce, F. M. Influence of lake surface area and depth upon thermal
 stratification and the depth of the summer thermocline. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 15, 233-245
 (1989).
- 38. Kainz, M. J., Ptacnik, R., Rasconi, S. & Hager, H. H. Irregular changes in lake surface
 water temperature and ice cover in subalpine Lake Lunz, Austria. *Inland Waters* 7, 27-33
 (2017).
- 39. Ficker, H., Luger, M. & Gassner, H. From dimictic to monomictic: Empirical evidence of
 thermal regime transitions in three deep alpine lakes in Austria induced by climate
 change. *Freshwater Biol.* 62, 1335-1345 (2017).
- 298

299 Acknowledgements

- 300 This analysis was funded by EUSTACE (EU Surface Temperature for All Corners of Earth)
- 301 which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme for
- Research and Innovation, under Grant Agreement no 640171. The authors also acknowledge
- 303 the European Space Agency funding of the ARC-Lake project. We thank Martin Dokulil for
- 304 providing lake temperature data for Mondsee and Wörthersee. RIW received funding from a
- 305 European Union's Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship (#791812; INTEL
- 306 project). This work benefited from participation in the Global Lake Ecological Observatory
- 307 Network (GLEON).
- 308

309 Author Contributions

- Both authors developed the concept of the study, designed the analytical experiments,
- 311 interpreted the results and wrote the paper.
- 312

313 Financial and non-financial competing interests

314 The authors do not have any competing financial or non-financial interests to declare.

- 315 Figure captions
- 316

Figure 1. Global patterns in annual mean (1995-2005) ice cover duration, lake surface

318 temperature and lake mixing regimes. (a) Satellite-derived ice cover duration and (b) their

relationship with air temperature (from ERA-Interim¹⁷). (c) Global variations in satellite-

320 derived lake surface water temperature and (d) their relationship with latitude and elevation.

- 321 (e) Global variations in modelled lake mixing regimes and (f) their relationship with latitude
- and lake surface water temperature. Mixing regimes were identified using the classification
- scheme of ref. 16, extended to include an oligomictic class, evaluated from a lake model
- forced by bias-corrected HadGEM2-ES projections. (Lake mixing regimes identified while using bias-corrected projections from other climate models are shown in Fig. S8).
- 326

327 Figure 2. Global changes (2080-2100 relative to 1985-2005) in annually averaged ice

328 cover duration and lake surface water temperature. (a) Changes in ice cover duration

under RCP 2.6 and 6.0 (shown only for northern hemisphere lakes) from a lake model forced

330 with bias-corrected HadGEM2-ES projections, and (b) all climate model projections from

- ISIMIP2b, showing also the kernel density estimates (horizontal widths of coloured areas).
- Changes are also shown for the annual average lake surface temperature under (c-d) RCP 2.6
- and (E-F) RCP 6.0, showing results from the lake model forced with HadGEM2-ES
- projections (c and e) as well as all models (d and f). In Figs 2b, 2d, 2f, the mean and standarddeviation is also shown (black).
- 335 336

337 Figure 3. Global changes (2080-2100 relative to 1985-2005) in lake mixing regimes.

338 Shown are climate-related changes in lake mixing regimes under (a) RCP 2.6 and (b) RCP

6.0 using a lake model forced with bias-corrected HadGEM2-ES projections (comparison of

340 lake mixing regime alterations identified while using bias-corrected climate projections from

other climate models are shown in Figs S9-S10). Every lake that experiences a mixing regime

342 alteration is shown in grey in the maps and the most frequent mixing regime alterations

343 (encompassing 80% of the identified mixing regime shifts) are shown with individual colours

344 (see legend).





Projected global mixing regime alterations



345 Methods

346 Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated references, are347 available in the online Methods.

348

349 **Online Methods**

350 Study sites - The lakes investigated in this study (n = 635) vary in their geographic 351 and morphological characteristics (Table S1).

352

Satellite-derived lake temperature and ice cover data - We utilize lake surface 353 temperatures from the ATSR (Along Track Scanning Radiometer) Reprocessing for Climate: 354 Lake Surface Water Temperature and Ice Cover (ARC-Lake) dataset⁴⁰, available at 355 http://www.laketemp.net. In outline, the ARC-Lake surface water temperatures were obtained 356 as follows. Within prescribed lake boundaries a water-detection algorithm using the 357 358 reflectance channels of the ATSR-2 and AATSR instruments was applied to determine the extent of each lake during the ATSR-2/AATSR period. Within these boundaries, a Bayes' 359 theorem calculation of the probability of clear sky conditions was performed for each image 360 pixel, day and night⁴¹. For pixels with high clear-sky probability, the lake surface water 361 temperature was retrieved from the ATSR-2/AATSR thermal imagery, using an optimal 362 estimation method adapted from radiative-transfer physics-based techniques extensively 363 validated for sea surface temperature⁴². Temperature estimates were gridded and spatio-364 temporally gap-filled using dynamically interpolating empirical orthogonal functions⁴³. For a 365 fuller account, refer to ref. 40. Daily lake-mean time-series were obtained by averaging 366 across the lake area. Lake-mean surface temperatures are used in order to average across the 367 intra-lake heterogeneity of surface water temperature responses to climate change⁴⁴ and to 368 correspond to the lake-mean model used (see below). 369

The effectiveness of the lake product retrieval algorithms in ARC-Lake has 370 previously been assessed using a dataset of matches to in situ temperature data, consisting of 371 52 observation locations covering 16 lakes and >5,500 individual matches⁴⁰. Overall, 372 agreement was -0.2±0.7 K for daytime and -0.1±0.5 K for night-time matches. ARC-Lake 373 data have been independently validated as part of other studies⁴⁵ and used to validate lake 374 simulations^{46, 47}. In this study we use daily averaged LSWTs, calculated as the average of the 375 day and night-time retrievals. To assess from the daily-mean temperature time-series the 376 periods during which a lake was likely partially or wholly ice covered, we follow ref. 48, and 377 characterise this as the period during which the lake-mean surface water temperature is <1°C. 378 This corresponds closely to presence of lake ice cover from in-situ observations⁴⁸. 379

The ARC-Lake observations were also used to quantify global patterns in mean lake
temperatures and as part of the validation (see below) of the modelled surface temperatures
and ice cover duration in lakes globally (Fig. S2, Fig. S5).

383

Lake model - To simulate depth-resolved lake temperatures, as required to identify mixing regimes in lakes, we used the one-dimensional thermodynamic lake model FLake^{20,} FLake is a simplified lake model that is in some instances less accurate than other more computationally expensive models⁴⁹, but which can be efficiently coupled with climate models and is useful for evaluating the impact of climate change projections on lakes⁴⁵. FLake is used widely both for research and as a component in numerical weather prediction^{50,} 390 ⁵¹. It has been tested extensively in past studies, including detailed validations across a spectrum of lake contexts. FLake has been used previously for validated simulation of the 391 vertical temperature profile as well as changes to the mixing regime of polymictic and 392 dimictic lakes^{34, 52-53}, and has been shown to reproduce accurately bottom water temperatures 393 as well as the depth and seasonality of the upper mixed layer and thermocline in meromictic 394 lakes^{45, 54, 55}. The model has also been used to reproduce past variability in ice cover timing, 395 intensity and duration⁵⁶, and to investigate the thermal response of polymictic, monomictic 396 and lakes to large-scale climatic shifts⁵⁷. The integrated approach implemented in FLake 397 allows a realistic representation of the major physics behind turbulent and diffusive heat 398 exchange in lakes, including a module to describe the vertical temperature structure of the 399 thermally active layer of bottom sediments, as well as its interaction with the water column 400 above. The lakes investigated in this study all have depths <60 m, an established criterion for 401 applicability of the FLake model in global studies^{46, 50}, except that deeper lakes are included 402 for which we had independent validation of the lake model's ability to simulate mixing 403 regime (Table S1). 404

The meteorological variables required to drive FLake are air temperature at 2 m, wind speed at 10 m, surface solar and thermal radiation, and specific humidity. Lake specific parameters must be set to simulate individual lakes optimally in FLake. These parameters comprise fetch (m), which we fix in this study to the square root of lake surface area, lake depth (m), lake ice albedo and the light attenuation coefficient (K_d , m⁻¹).

The prognostic variables needed to initialise FLake simulations include (i) mixed 410 layer temperature, (ii) mixed layer depth, (iii) bottom temperature, (iv) mean temperature of 411 the water column, (v) temperature at the ice (if present) upper surface and (vi) ice thickness 412 (if present). In order to initialise the model runs from physically reasonable fields, we 413 initialise runs from a perpetual-year solution for the lake state. To find this solution for the 414 initialisation state, the model parameters are set as follows: mean depth was extracted from 415 the Hydrolakes database¹⁹, which include observational and geo-statistical model estimates of 416 lake depths worldwide; K_d was set to 3 m⁻¹ (ref. 50); lake ice albedo was set to 0.6 (ref. 21). 417 The perpetual-year solution is obtained by repeating the forcing from a representative year 418 and running FLake until the annual cycle in modelled lake state is stabilised. The forcing data 419 to derive the initialisation conditions are from the ERA-Interim reanalysis product¹⁷, 420 available at a latitude-longitude resolution of 0.75°. 421

To optimize FLake simulations for each lake, we then use the model-tuning algorithm 422 of ref. 58. This approach estimates the model parameters (lake depth, K_d, and ice albedo; 423 Table S1) to reproduce optimally the observed surface temperature dynamics, specifically by 424 minimising the mean square differences between model and ARC-Lake surface water 425 temperature over the satellite period (1995-2011), in simulations initialised from the 426 perpetual year solution described above. The lake-specific parameters for the model are thus 427 428 set without reference to any in situ data or to the climate model forcing fields used for historical-period simulation and future projections (see below). Note that while the 429 optimisation is based solely on surface temperature, energy budget considerations suggest 430 that there is some calibration of the temperature profile features, such as development of the 431 thermocline depth, that need to be well represented in order for the surface temperature 432 433 evolution over time to be calibrated successfully. It is a significant strength of the analysis that the FLake model can be optimised using only surface temperatures and then diagnose 434

successfully the mixing classification of many lakes in which this information wasindependently available (see below).

437

Simulations forced by climate model projections – To drive FLake and evaluate lake 438 temperature, ice cover, and mixing regime responses to climate change, we use bias-corrected 439 climate model projections from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project 440 (ISIMIP2b), specifically using projections from GFDL-ESM2M, HadGEM2-ES, IPSL-441 CM5A-LR, and MIROC5 for historic (1911-2005) and future periods (2081-2100) under two 442 scenarios: RCP 2.6 and RCP 6.0. These pathways encompass a range of potential future 443 global radiative forcing from anthropogenic greenhouse gases and aerosols, and results span a 444 range of potential impacts on lake temperature, ice cover, and mixing regimes. Other 445 commonly used RCP scenarios, RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5, are not included in ISIMIP2b as they 446 were either considered too high for evaluating future climate impacts (RCP 8.5) or to not 447 448 provide enough span (RCP 4.5).

449 We downloaded the data needed to drive FLake from ISIMIP2b

(https://www.isimip.org/protocol/#isimip2b), including projections of air temperature at 2 m,
wind speed at 10 m, surface solar and thermal radiation, and specific humidity, which were
available at a daily time step and at a grid resolution of 0.5°. Time series data were extracted
for the grid point situated closest to the centre of each lake, defined as the maximum distance
to land, calculated using the distance-to-land dataset of ref. 59.

To verify that FLake, driven by the climate model projections, is able to simulate multi-decadal variations in lake surface temperature (and can therefore be informative with respect to future climate change impacts), we compared the FLake simulations from 1915 to 2005 with in-situ measurements from two European lakes, Mondsee and Wörthersee. Lake surface temperature data from these lakes were extracted from the yearbooks of the hydrographic service Austria (<u>https://www.bmlfuw.gv.at/wasser/wasser-</u>

oesterreich/wasserkreislauf/hydrographische daten/jahrbuecher.html). Each of the lakes were 461 462 sampled daily at a depth of ~0.2 m at the lake-level gauging station. This sampling was performed between 08:00 and 10:00 throughout the observational period, thus limiting the 463 inconsistencies introduced by sampling at different times during a diel cycle, which in some 464 lakes can be very large⁶⁰. Lake temperature simulations, using each of the four model 465 projections in ISIMIP2b, show coherence with inter-annual variability on multi-decadal 466 scales (Fig. S4). Further comparisons of this nature on more widespread lakes would give 467 even greater confidence in long-term simulations, but we were unable to source consistent in 468 situ observations of this length for other lakes. 469

Modelled summer average lake surface temperature driven by the climate model data
were also compared with in-situ summer-average lake surface temperatures (n = 19) from ref.
61, including data from Baikal, Lake Biwa, Bodensee, Lake Erie, Sea of Galilee, Lake Garda,
Lac Léman, Lake Huron, Lake Michigan, Neusiedler See, Peipsi, Saimaa, Lake Superior,
Lake Tahoe, Lake Taihu, Lake Tanganyika, Lake Taupo, Vänern and Vättern (Fig S3).

Simulated lake ice cover duration was validated against ARC-Lake estimates (Fig.
S5) of ice cover duration using the proxy measure of the sustained <1°C period⁴⁸. The proxy
measure of ice cover was also compared with directly observed ice cover duration from the
Global Lake and River Ice Phenology Database⁶² (Fig. S6). To illustrate the accuracy of the
proxy metric, the observed ice cover duration was also compared against the simulated period

- of non-zero ice thickness from the FLake model (Fig. S6). We compared the climatological
 durations of ice cover in model and observations. The latter comparison requires lakes that
 were available in both the ARC-Lake dataset (and thus were simulated by FLake) as well as
 in the Global Lake and River Ice Phenology Database for a substantially overlapping time
 period. Thirteen such lakes were available. The modelled average number of ice-covered
 days agreed well with those observed (Fig. S6).
- 486 Climate-related impacts are assessed as the difference in mean lake conditions (e.g.,
 487 mean lake temperature, mean number of ice-covered days, and identified mixing regime)
 488 between the period 1985-2005 ('historic' period) and 2080-2100 ('future' period).
- 489

Lake mixing class - To classify lakes according to their mixing regimes, during both 490 the historic and future periods, we apply the commonly used classification scheme of ref. 16. 491 The terminology of lake mixing regimes is determined by (i) whether a lake experiences ice 492 493 cover, and (ii) how many times a lake's water column mixes vertically on an annual basis. 494 Lewis produced a flow diagram describing how to identify a lake's mixing regime (Fig. S1). Lewis' classification scheme identifies five main lake mixing types: amictic, polymictic, 495 monomictic, dimictic, and meromictic. In brief, amictic lakes are those that are persistently 496 ice covered; polymictic lakes are those that mix frequently; monomicitc lakes experience one 497 vertical mixing event per year, typically in winter when the vertical temperature difference 498 within a lake is close to zero; dimictic lakes experience two mixing events per year, one 499 following the summer stratified period and the other following the inversely stratified winter 500 period; and meromictic lakes are those that are persistently stratified. Polymictic lakes can be 501 divided further into discontinuous or continuous polymictic, the latter representing a lake that 502 mixes daily. Monomictic and dimictic lakes can be separated further into 'cold' or 'warm' 503 lakes depending on whether or not they experience ice cover annually. Lewis' classification 504 scheme, according to the above definitions, identifies nine mixing regime types: meromictic, 505 warm monomictic, discontinuous warm polymictic, continuous warm polymictic, amictic, 506 507 cold monomictic, dimictic, discontinuous cold polymictic, and continuous cold polymictic (Fig. S1). In addition to the nine mixing regime types identified by ref. 16 we also distinguish 508 oligomictic lakes in the global classification. Oligomictic lakes are those that are persistently 509 stable in most years, yet completely mix in some years. 510

To determine which mixing regime a lake belongs to according to their vertical 511 temperature profiles, we follow ref. 63-65 and characterise a lake as being mixed when the 512 difference (absolute) between its surface temperature and that at depth (i.e., bottom 513 temperature) is less than 1°C. This is evaluated from the modelled lake temperatures (i.e., 514 depth-resolved) during the historic and future periods. As an example, we would define a 515 dimictic lake as a lake that (i) experiences winter ice cover, (ii) has an ice-free period, (iii) 516 warms above 4°C with regards to its surface temperature and (iv) experiences two mixing 517 periods within a given year (Fig. S1). For each lake, we determine the mixing class for each 518 year, and assign the overall mixing class to be the dominant model mixing class for the 519 520 historic and future periods. To differentiate oligomictic and meromictic lakes, all years during 521 each historic or future periods are used to assess whether the lake mixes occasionally. Annual mixing classes are generally consistent across a period: 80% of lakes have the same class for 522 >15 of the 20 years of the historic period, for example. 523

- 524 To validate the modelled lake mixing regimes during the historic period, as simulated
- 525 by FLake driven by each ISIMIP2b model run, we compared results with literature-derived
- descriptions from 85 lakes, including those described by ref. 66-69, and the World Lake
- 527 Database (Table S2). In these comparisons we did not separate mixing regimes within an
- 528 individual class. For example, we did not distinguish between cold and warm monimictic and
- 529 continuous and discontinuous polymictic, as this information was not available frequently in
- the literature. Lake mixing regimes assessed from the FLake simulations were in agreement
- with those from these sources in 72% of cases for three of the ISIMIP2b model runs (Fig. S7)
- and 73% for the other (IPSL-CM5A-LR).

533

534 Data availability

- Satellite lake temperature data are available at http://www.laketemp.net. Observed lake
 surface temperature data are available at
- 537 <u>https://portal.lternet.edu/nis/mapbrowse?packageid=knb-lter-ntl.10001.3</u>. Climate model
- 538 projections are available at <u>https://www.isimip.org/protocol/#isimip2b.</u>
- 539

540 Code availability

- 541 The lake model source code is available to download from <u>http://www.flake.igb-berlin.de/</u>.
- 542

543 **References only in Methods**

- 40. MacCallum, S. N., & Merchant, C. J. Surface water temperature observations of large
 lakes by optimal estimation. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* 38, 25–44 (2012).
- 41. Merchant, C. J., Harris, A. R., Maturi, E. & MacCallum, S. Probabilistic physically based
 cloud screening of satellite infrared imagery for operational sea surface temperature
 retrieval. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 131, 2735-2755 (2005).
- 42. Merchant, C. J., Le Borgne, P., Marsouin, A. & Roquet, H. Optimal estimation of sea
 surface temperature from split-window observations. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 112, 24692484 (2008).
- 43. Alvera-Azcárate, A., Barth, A., Rixen, M. & Beckers, J. M. Reconstruction of incomplete
 oceanographic data sets using empirical orthogonal functions: application to the Adriatic
 Sea surface temperature. *Ocean Model*. 9, 325-346 (2005)
- 44. Woolway, R. I. & Merchant, C. J. Intra-lake heterogeneity of lake thermal responses to
 climate change: A study of large Northern Hemisphere lakes. J. Geophys. Res. *Atmospheres* 123, 3087-3098 (2018)
- 45. Thiery, W., et al., The impact of the African Great Lakes on the regional climate. J. *Climate* 28, 4061-4085 (2015).
- 46. Le Moigne, P. et al. Impact of lake surface temperatures simulated by the FLake scheme
 in the CNRM-CM5 climate model. *Tellus A* 68 (2016).
- 47. Verseghy, D. L. & MacKay, M. D. Offline implementation and evaluation of the
 Canadian small lake model with the Canadian land surface scheme over Western Canada. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 18, 1563-1582 (2017).
- 48. Layden, A., Merchant, C. J. & MacCallum, S. Global climatology of surface water
 temperatures of large lakes by remote sensing. *Int. J. Climatol.* 35, 4464-4479 (2015).
- 567 49. Stepanenko, V. M. et al. First steps of a Lake Model Intercomparison Project: LakeMIP.
- 568 Boreal Environ. Res. 15, 191–202 (2010).

- 50. Balsamo, G. et al. On the contribution of lakes in predicting near-surface temperature in a
 global weather forecasting model. *Tellus A* 64, 15829 (2012).
- 571 51. Rooney, G. & Jones, I. D. Coupling the 1-D lake model FLake to the community land572 surface model JULES. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 15, 501-512 (2010)
- 573 52. Kirillin, G. Modeling the impact of global warming on water temperature and seasonal 574 mixing regimes in small temperate lakes. *Boreal Environ. Res.* **15**, 279–293 (2010).
- 575 53. Kirillin, G., Shatwell, T. & Kasprzak, R. Consequences of thermal pollution from a 576 nuclear plant on lake temperature and mixing regime. *J. Hydrol.* **496**, 47-56 (2013).
- 577 54. Thiery, W. et al. Understanding the performance of the FLake model over two African
 578 Great Lakes. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 7, 317-337 (2014).
- 579 55. Thiery, W. et al. Hazardous thunderstorm intensification over Lake Victoria. *Nat.*580 *Commun.* 7, 12786 (2016).
- 56. Bernhardt, J. et al. Lake ice phenology in Berlin-Brandenburg from 1947-2007:
 observations and model hindcasts. *Clim. Change* 112, 791-817 (2012).
- 583 57. Woolway, R. I. et al. Warming of Central European lakes and their response to the
 1980s climate regime shift. *Clim. Change* 142, 505-520 (2017)
- 585 58. Layden, A., MacCallum, S. N. & Merchant, C. J. Determining lake surface water
 586 temperatures worldwide using a tuned one-dimensional lake model (Flake, v1). *Geosci.*587 *Model Dev.* 9, 2167-2189 (2016).
- 588 59. Carrea, L., Embury, O. & Merchant, C. J. Datasets related to in-land water for limnology
 and remote sensing applications: Distance-to-land, distance-to-water, water-body
 identifier and lake-centre co-ordinates. *Geosci. Data J.* 2, 83–97 (2015).
- 60. Woolway, R. I. et al. Diel surface temperature range scales with lake size. *PLoS ONE*.
 11, e0152466 (2016).
- 593 61. Sharma, S. et al. A global database of lake surface temperatures collected by in situ and
 594 satellite methods from 1985-2009. *Sci. Data* 2, 150008 (2015).
- 62. Benson, B. & Magnuson, J. J. *Global Lake and River Ice Phenology Database, Version 1*, Boulder, Colorado USA. NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center [15/01/2018]
 (2000).
- 598 63. Stefan, H. G., Hondzo, M., Fang, X., Eaton, J. G. & McCormick, J. H. Simulated long599 term temperature and dissolved oxygen characteristics of lakes in the north-central
 600 United States and associated fish habitat limits. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 41, 1124-1135
 601 (1996).
- 602 64. Read, J. S. et al. Simulating 2368 temperate lakes reveals weak coherence in stratification
 603 phenology. *Ecol. Modell.* 291, 142-150 (2014).
- 604 65. Woolway, R. I., Maberly, S. C., Jones, I. D. & Feuchtmayr, H. A novel method for
 605 detecting the onset of thermal stratification in lakes from surface water measurements.
 606 *Water Resour. Res.* 50, 5131-5140 (2014).
- 607 66. Herdendorf, C. E. *Distribution of the world's large lakes*, pp 3-38, in Tilzer, MM,
 608 Serruya C, eds. Large Lakes: Ecological Structure and Function (Springer-Verlag, Berlin,
 609 1990).
- 67. Titze, D. J. & Austin, J. A. Winter thermal structure of Lake Superior. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*59, 1336-1348 (2011).
- 612 68. Katsev S., Verburg, P., Llirós, M., Minor, E. C., Kruger, B. R. & Li, J. Tropical
- 613 *Meromictic Lakes: Specifics of Meromixis and Case Studies of Lakes Tanganyika,*

- 614 *Malawi, and Matano*. In: Gulati R., Zadereev E., Degermendzhi A. (eds) Ecology of
- 615 Meromictic Lakes. Ecological Studies (Analysis and Synthesis) (Springer, Cham, 2017).
- 616 69. Syarki, M. T. & Tekanova, E. V. Seasonal primary productivity cycle in Lake Onega.
- 617 *Biology Bulletin* **35**, 536-540 (2008).

Supporting information for

Worldwide alteration of lake mixing regimes in response to climate change

R. Iestyn Woolway^{1*}, Christopher J. Merchant^{1, 2}

- 1. Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK
- 2. National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Reading, Reading, UK

*now at Dundalk Institute of Technology, Dundalk, Ireland

Introduction

The supporting information presented here provides more comprehensive details that complement the analyses presented in the main text, allowing a more complete assessment of our findings.



Figure S1. Classification scheme used in this study to determine the mixing regimes of lakes. Modified from ref. 16. The criteria used to define a stratified (or mixed) period is when the top minus bottom (modelled) lake temperature difference (absolute) exceeds (or is lower than) 1°C (see Methods). To assess from the lake surface temperatures (observed or modelled) the period during which a lake is likely ice covered, we follow ref. 48, and characterise this as the period during which the lake-mean surface water temperature is <1°C (see Methods). In addition to the nine mixing regime types identified by ref. 16 we also include oligomictic lakes in the mixing regime classification. Oligomictic lakes are almost persistently stable, mixing only rarely. Specifically, oligomictic lakes do not mix every year but still completely mix in some years.



Figure S2. Comparison of annual mean (1995-2005) modelled and observed satellite-derived lake surface water temperatures for 635 lakes in which lake temperature data were available and used in this study. The modelled lake temperatures represent those simulated by a lake model forced with bias-corrected climate projections available from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project, namely (A) GFDL-ESM2M, (B) HadGEM2-ES, (C) IPSL-CM5A-LR and (D) MIROC5. Time series data needed to drive the lake model from each climate projection were extracted for the grid point situated closest to the centre of each lake.



Figure S3. Comparison of summer-average modelled and observed lake surface water temperatures. In-situ summer-average lake surface temperatures are from ref. 61 and include data from Baikal, Lake Biwa, Bodensee, Lake Erie, Sea of Galilee, Lake Garda, Lac Léman, Lake Huron, Lake Michigan, Neusiedler See, Peipsi, Saimaa, Lake Superior, Lake Tahoe, Lake Taihu, Lake Tanganyika, Lake Taupo, Vänern and Vättern. Modelled lake surface temperatures represent those simulated by a lake model forced with bias-corrected climate projections available from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project, namely (A) GFDL-ESM2M, (B) HadGEM2-ES, (C) IPSL-CM5A-LR and (D) MIROC5. Time series data needed to drive the lake model from each climate projection were extracted for the grid point situated closest to the centre of each lake.



Figure S4. Comparison of observed (black) and modelled (colour) annual mean lake surface temperature anomalies from 1915 to 2005. Modelled lake surface temperatures represent those simulated by a lake model forced with bias-corrected climate projections available from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project, namely, GFDL-ESM2M (green), HadGEM2-ES (orange), IPSL-CM5A-LR (purple) and MIROC5 (blue). Comparisons are shown for two European lakes (Mondsee and Wörthersee), for which long-term in-situ lake surface temperatures were available. Lake temperatures are shown as annual averages (observed; black points) and with an 11-year moving average (solid lines). All temperatures are shown as anomalies relative to 1951-1980. Time series data needed to drive the lake model from each climate projection were extracted for the grid point situated closest to the centre of these lakes.



Figure S5. Comparison of modelled and observed (1995-2005) ice cover duration (in days) for the studied lakes which experienced ice cover. The modelled lake temperatures represent those simulated by a lake model forced with bias-corrected climate projections available from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project, namely (A) GFDL-ESM2M, (B) HadGEM2-ES, (C) IPSL-CM5A-LR and (D) MIROC5. Time series data needed to drive the lake model from each climate projection were extracted for the grid point situated closest to the centre of each lake. To assess from the lake surface temperatures (observed or modelled) the period during which a lake is likely ice covered, we follow ref. 48, and characterise this as the period during which the lake-mean surface water temperature is <1°C.



Figure S6. Comparison of modelled and observed (1995-2005) ice cover duration (in days) for lakes in which in-situ ice cover data were available and used in this study. The modelled lake temperatures represent those simulated by a lake model forced with bias-corrected climate projections available from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project, namely (A) GFDL-ESM2M, (B) HadGEM2-ES, (C) IPSL-CM5A-LR and (D) MIROC5. Time series data needed to drive the lake model from each climate projection were extracted for the grid point situated closest to the centre of each lake. To assess from the modelled lake surface temperatures the period during which a lake is likely ice covered, we (i) follow ref. 48 and characterise this as the period during which the lake-mean surface water temperature is <1°C (black), and (ii) use the simulated period of non-zero ice thickness from the lake model (red). Observed ice cover data are from the Global Lake and River Ice Phenology Database⁶². Note that the average period of ice cover calculated for each lake will be based on a different time period, depending on the years in which observed ice cover data were available. Specifically, for some lakes only a few years of data are available, and thus the average observed ice cover duration will be different to that calculated using a 20-year period (e.g., Fig. S5).



Figure S7. Comparison of modelled (grey) and literature defined (colour) mixing regimes of 85 lakes (Table S2). Modelled mixing regime classifications were defined following the method described in Fig. S1. Depth-resolved lake temperatures used in the lake mixing regime classification method were simulated by a lake model forced with bias-corrected climate projections available from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project, namely (A) GFDL-ESM2M, (B) HadGEM2-ES, (C) IPSL-CM5A-LR and (D) MIROC5. Time series data needed to drive the lake model from each climate projection were extracted for the grid point situated closest to the centre of each lake. For each climate model projection, we also show (see inset) the percentage of correctly defined mixing regimes by the lake model driven by each of the bias-corrected climate model projections.



Figure S8. Comparison of modelled global lake mixing regimes identified using the classification scheme of ref. 16 and depth-resolved lake temperatures simulated by a lake model forced with bias-corrected climate projections, namely (A) GFDL-ESM2M, (B) HadGEM2-ES, (C) IPSL-CM5A-LR and (D) MIROC5.



Figure S9. Comparison of climate-related changes in lake mixing regimes under RCP 2.6 using a lake model forced with bias-corrected climate projections, namely (A) GFDL-ESM2M, (B) HadGEM2-ES, (C) IPSL-CM5A-LR and (D) MIROC5.



Figure S10. Comparison of climate-related changes in lake mixing regimes under RCP 6.0 using a lake model forced with bias-corrected climate projections, namely (A) GFDL-ESM2M, (B) HadGEM2-ES, (C) IPSL-CM5A-LR and (D) MIROC5.