

General declines in Mediterranean butterflies over the last two decades are modulated by species traits

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Melero, Y. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4337-1448, Stefanescu, C. and Pino, J. (2016) General declines in Mediterranean butterflies over the last two decades are modulated by species traits. Biological Conservation, 201. pp. 336-342. ISSN 0006-3207 doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2016.07.029 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/83101/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.07.029

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1	General declines in Mediterranean butterflies over the last two decades are
2	modulated by species traits
3	
4	Yolanda Melero ^{a*} , Constantí Stefanescu ^{a,b} , Joan Pino ^a
5	^a Centre de Recerca Ecològica i Aplicacions Forestals (CREAF), Universitat
6	Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain
7	^b Butterfly Monitoring Scheme, Museu de Ciències Naturals de Granollers, Granollers
8	(Barcelona), Spain
9	
10	*Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 935814677. E-mail addresses:
11	y.melero@creaf.uab.cat (Y. Melero), c.stefanescu@creaf.uab.cat (C. Stefanescu),
12	j.pino@creaf.uab.cat (J. Pino)
13	
14	Abstract
15	
16	Species' responses to environmental changes are highly idiosyncratic and context-
17	dependent. Although intrinsic traits (i.e. those that define species niches) may play a
18	key role, little empirical evidence exists regarding their relationship to demographic
19	responses. We used data for 66 butterfly species representing five ecological and two
20	life-history traits to study the effect these factors have on population growth rates and
21	variations in populations. Using a novel methodological approach, we provide here
22	improved estimates of population change. Our results reveal declines in 70% and
23	increases in 23% of the studied species, clear evidence of more serious population
24	declines in Catalan butterflies than those that have previously been reported. Declines
25	were associated with species' degree of habitat specialisation and the number of

26	generations. For all species, fluctuations were greater within than between years and,
27	on average, the latter was 1.5 times greater. Our results indicated that habitat
28	specialists and multivoltine species are more likely to suffer severe annual
29	fluctuations in population abundance; and that multivoltine species and extreme larval
30	specialists had the most marked fluctuations within seasons. We also found higher
31	resilience to environmental changes in generalist species, which is concordant with
32	biotic homogenisation in disturbed communities. However, amongst the declining
33	species there were also many generalists, which indicates a potential general
34	reduction in this group that goes beyond faunal homogenisation. Given butterflies are
35	biodiversity indicators, these patterns are a possible reflection of an overall
36	impoverishment in biodiversity.
37	
38	Keywords
39	Population trends, specialization, voltinism, species traits, butterflies, Bayesian
40	hierarchical modeling

1. Introduction

44	Understanding the pressures affecting species population dynamics is a central issue
45	in ecology and management, especially when the aim is to safeguard biodiversity
46	(Sutherland et al. 2013). Pressures provoked by global change have accelerated the
47	decline of many species (Vitousek 1997, Chapin et al. 2000, Vitousek et al. 2008),
48	with some facing or undergoing extinction (Butchart et al. 2010, Pimm et al. 2014). In
49	particular, climate change and habitat transformation (i.e. habitat loss and
50	fragmentation) are among the main pressures exerted by global change that species
51	are having to confront (Thomas et al. 2004a, Visconti et al. 2015).
52	Several studies have suggested that certain intrinsic ecological (i.e. those that define
53	species ecological niches) and life-history traits predispose a species to respond
54	distinctly to specific environmental pressures (Krauss et al. 2010, Murray et al. 2011,
55	González-Suárez and Revilla 2013). For instance, species with better dispersal ability
56	can shift their ranges faster than those with less capacity to disperse. This is an
57	advantage in areas in which climate change is provoking asynchronies between the
58	species niche and the environment (e.g. Croxall et al. 2002, Butchart et al. 2010, Chen
59	et al. 2011). Species whose traits enable them to cope well with current pressures are
60	expected to persist while the others might face declines and, eventually, local
61	extinction. It is therefore not surprising that an increasing number of studies have
62	evaluated the relationship between species traits and their responses to environmental
63	pressures.
64	Previous studies have quantified these responses as changes in species richness and
65	distributions for a wide range of taxa (e.g. Thuiller et al. 2008, Stefanescu et al.
66	2011a, Eskildsen et al. 2015), or have evaluated extinction probabilities or

67 vulnerability (e.g. González-Suárez and Revilla 2013; Fernández-Chacón et al. 2014).

68 However, few empirical studies have actually addressed demographic trends

69 (Dapporto and Dennis 2013, Curtis et al. 2015), in part because of the difficulty in

70 gathering high-quality data at relevant spatial and temporal scales. The recent upsurge

71 in citizen-science projects has provided a useful way of obtaining the data needed for

this kind of analysis (Schmeller et al. 2009, Devictor et al. 2010).

73 In this paper we examine the relationship between ecological and life-history traits,

and demographic trends in a set of butterfly species. To do so, we used empirical

count data gathered by a volunteer-based project, the Catalan Butterfly Monitoring

76 Scheme, over 20 years in the Mediterranean region of north-eastern Spain. Butterflies

are good indicators of biodiversity (Thomas 2005) and respond quickly to climate

change and habitat transformation (Stefanescu et al. 2003, Thomas et al. 2004b,

79 Krauss et al. 2010), thereby minimising – in comparison, for example, to plants and

80 birds – the demographic time lag inherent in extinction debts (Krauss et al. 2010,

81 Devictor et al. 2012, but see Sang et al. 2010). Therefore, butterfly demographic

82 patterns in relation to species intrinsic traits can contribute to a better understanding

83 of how a wide range of organisms (e.g. insects and other short-lived organisms)

84 respond under such pressures.

85 To gather species demographic patterns we estimated (i) their population growth rate,

86 i.e. the direction of the population trend (positive, stable or negative) and its strength,

87 and (ii) the population variation, i.e. the dispersion of temporal changes in population

88 numbers due to intrinsic (density-dependence processes) and external (cyclic or

89 stochastic) factors.

90 We hypothesised that habitat specialisation will decrease population growth rate but

91 increase population variability (hypothesis 1), an idea that is based on previous

92 studies suggesting the existence of a relationship between this trait and population 93 trends in butterflies (e.g. Stefanescu et al. 2011a; see Dapporto and Dennis 2013 for a 94 comprehensive discussion). Nevertheless, other traits besides habitat specialisation 95 could also influence demographic trends as the species respond to global change. For 96 instance, dispersal ability in fragmented landscapes is directly related to colonisation 97 and the persistence of butterfly populations (Fernández-Chacón et al. 2014). 98 Therefore, we predicted that better dispersal ability will increase growth rate and 99 reduce population variability (hypothesis 2). Furthermore, during a period of climate 100 warming, we would expect thermophilous species to have more positive population 101 trends and less population variability than those adapted to colder climates (as seen in 102 birds; e.g. Stephens et al. 2016) (hypothesis 3). In addition, traits influencing 103 butterflies' responses to increasing temperatures may also be important for explaining 104 population trends (e.g. Diamond et al. 2011). A series of studies have suggested that 105 an increase in the number of generations per reproductive season (i.e. the production 106 of extra generations) occurs under climate warming, although its effect on populations 107 remains unclear (e.g. Altermatt 2010, Van Dyck et al. 2015). Intuitively, a positive 108 effect is expected since a larger proportion of adults will develop and reproduce 109 during the season and so we hypothesised that there will be a higher growth rate in 110 multivoltine than in univoltine species (hypothesis 4). Finally, we also predicted more 111 positive trends and less variation in species overwintering in mature (pupa and adult) 112 than in immature stages (egg and larva; hypothesis 5) given previous findings that 113 suggest that species overwintering in the egg stage or as unfed neonate larva are 114 currently undergoing the most serious declines (Breed et al. 2012).

115

116 **2. Material and Methods**

118 2.1. Study area and data collection

119

120 The study area was the Mediterranean region of Catalonia, Menorca (north-east 121 Spain) and Andorra. This area is a biodiversity hotspot in which butterfly species are 122 threatened by climate warming (e.g. increasing aridity; Stefanescu et al. 2011a) and 123 habitat transformation (e.g. the abandonment of traditional land use and increasing 124 urbanisation; Herrando et al. 2015). 125 Data were obtained from monitoring surveys carried out in 1994–2014 as part of the 126 Catalan Butterfly Monitoring Scheme (CBMS; see: www.cbms.org) and the Andorran 127 Butterfly Monitoring Scheme (BMSAnd; see www.iea.ad/bmsand). Both schemes 128 consist of a network of sites in which visual counts of adult butterflies along transects 129 are undertaken by volunteers every week between March and September (i.e. the 130 whole flight period of most species). Transects are fixed routes of about 2 km in 131 length and 5 m in width, which are divided into shorter sections corresponding to 132 homogeneous habitat types (average section length: 186 m, median: 162 m, range: 133 20–871 m). The transects used in our study (n = 116) are located at 0–1650 m a.s.l. 134 and cover a comprehensive range of environmental conditions (Fig. A.1). Although 135 the number of surveyed transects varied between years, an important fraction 136 remained stable throughout the whole recording period (for further details, visit 137 www.catalanbms.org). Nevertheless, our modeling approach allowed us to assess 138 species abundance at sites in years in which surveys were not performed via updating 139 with the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (see next section).

140

141 2.2. Species selection and modeling approach

143 A total 183 species were sampled, of which we selected 82 species representative of a 144 diverse range of ecological and life-history traits (Table A.1) and regularly recorded 145 across all years and sites.

146

147 To test our hypotheses, we applied an open-population binomial mixture Bayesian 148 hierarchical model (Kéry et al. 2009). This model estimates abundance over time 149 using count data from open populations corrected by the imperfect detection inherent 150 to observational error (see full model description in Appendix B and R code in 151 Appendix C). In previous studies (e.g. Stefanescu et al. 2011b; Herrando et al. 2015), 152 population trends were calculated via the widely used TRIM software (Pannekoek and 153 Strien 2005). Nevertheless, this methodological approach does not take into account 154 the detection probability that observational counts are subject to or its variation over 155 time. This could mask real abundances and temporal trends in populations and their 156 drivers (Kéry 2004, Kéry and Plattner 2007, Kéry et al. 2009). 157 For each species, abundance was set as time and section specific, and its estimation 158 was extended to include the relationship with the population growth rate (r_{sp}) and the 159 seven major habitat types in the area (meadows, forests, arable crops, woody crops, 160 gardens, ruderal vegetation, and non-suitable habitat). Habitat types were not 161 significantly correlated and were expressed as a percentage of habitat per section 162 (Table A.2). The detection probability – with which species abundance was corrected 163 - was set as time-specific. 164

165 The time step was set as intervals of two weeks to account for seasonality in both the abundance and the detection probability, and to include a closure period for the 166

167	repeated counts used to analyse detectability. Two-week intervals have been
168	postulated as an acceptable closure period for butterfly species richness (Kéry and
169	Plattner 2007). Although slight changes in butterfly abundance may occur at this
170	resolution level, we consider that they are small enough to ensure that our model
171	remains valid.

173 2.3. Temporal population variability of species abundance

174



190 (i-v) and two life-history (vi-vii) traits: (i) adult habitat specialisation measured using

191 the Species Specialisation Index (SSI), quantified as the coefficient of the variations

192 in the average density in the available habitat, as defined by Julliard et al. (2006); (ii) 193 larval trophic specialisation, ranging from 1 (extreme specialists) to 3 (extreme 194 generalists), following Stefanescu et al. (2011a) and Fernández-Chacón et al. (2014); 195 (iii) the degree of preference for forests versus open areas, as evaluated by Herrando 196 et al. (2015); (iv) average forewing length (measured in mm), which has been shown 197 to act as a satisfactory proxy for dispersal ability in butterflies (Kuussaari et al. 2014; 198 but see Sekar 2012); for this measurement, data were extracted from García-Barros et 199 al. (2013) with sexes pooled given their close correlation (Fig. A.2); (v) the Species 200 Temperature Index (STI), as defined in Schweiger et al. (2014); (vi) voltinism, 201 categorised as uni-, bi- or multivoltine (\geq 3 generations/year), according to Stefanescu 202 et al. (2011a) and Fernández-Chacón et al. (2014); and (vii) overwintering stage, 203 either immature (i.e. egg or larva) or mature (i.e. pupa or adult), with a third category 204 for migratory species (i.e. not overwintering in the region), as per García-Barros et al. 205 (2013).

206

207 2.5. Statistical analyses

208

209 The effects of the seven species traits on the growth rate estimates were tested using

210 linear regressions (i.e. the growth rate fitted to a Gaussian distribution).

Both seasonal and inter-annual population variability were analysed in terms of the

212 described traits using a Generalised Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) and a Generalised

- 213 Linear Model (GLM), respectively. These two models were fitted to a Gamma
- 214 distribution given that the coefficients of variation were positive, continuous, skewed
- and of increasing variance; species identity was set as a random effect.

216	For each analysis, a global model was first defined containing all the above
217	mentioned covariates and potential interactions. Model selection was carried out by
218	discarding terms sequentially. In the case of the linear regression analysis model,
219	selection was based on the adjusted r-squared to take into account the number of
220	observations and of model parameters. Model selection for the generalised models
221	was based on AICc selecting those models differing from Δ AICc< 2. Model
222	averaging and estimates weighting for the most likely models were obtained via R
223	package MuMIn (Bartoń 2014). Analyses were performed in R using package lme4
224	(Bates et al. 2014).
225	
226	Temporal changes in the detection probability were tested in relation to species
227	voltinism. We used a Generalised Additive Mixed Model (GAMM), with two-week
228	intervals throughout the year $(1-15)$ set as the non-lineal term and species as a
229	random effect, to account for the inherent specific variability. The detection
230	probability was fitted to a Gamma distribution with an inverse link. Analyses were
231	performed in R using package gamm4 (Wood 2014).
232	
233	3. Results
234	
235	Sixteen of the 82 regularly recorded species failed to converge in our modeling
236	approach (Table A.3). The remaining 66 species were all present in more than 10
237	transects, which conferred inferential strength on the analysis (e.g. Oliver et al. 2010).
238	Annual population growth rates ranged between -0.11 and 0.04 ($r_{average} = -0.02$); 15
239	species (22.7%) had a significantly positive rate, five (7.6%) were stable and 46
240	(69.7%) had a negative rate. Significance was based on the exclusion of zero values in

241	the Bayesian	Credible Interva	d values at 95%	(Appendix D)). When testing for
	2			\ II /	

significance using a conventional Poisson regression, only one species (Euphydryas

243 *aurinia*) was considered as stable (r = 0.00035, z = 0.92, p = 0.36; Appendix D).

244

245 3.1. Population growth rate and species traits

246

247 The best models for the estimated population growth rates included habitat

248 specialisation, the degree of preference for forests versus open areas, wing length and

voltinism (Table A.4).

250 Population growth rates decreased with the increase in habitat specialisation, thereby

indicating lower population growth rate in habitat specialists (p = 0.021, Table 1a,

Fig. 1b). Nevertheless, several generalist species did also show declines (e.g. 71% of

those species with SSI <1.5, for range, median and average values of 0.62–2.18, 1.23

and 1.24, respectively). Multivoltine species had a steeper negative rate than both uni-

and bivoltine species (Table 1a, Fig. 1b). Results also suggested steeper negative rates

256 in forest species; however, this effect was not significant. The effect of wing length –

similarly not significant – was nearly negligible despite being included in the best

258 models (Table 1a).

259

260 3.2. Temporal variation of abundance and species traits

261

262 Seasonal variation was greater than inter-annual variation in species abundance

263 (range = 0.004-1.54 and 0.05-0.72, respectively), although the mean value of the

inter-annual variation was 1.5 times higher (average = 0.14 and 0.22 for seasonal and

265 inter-annual variation, respectively; Fig. A.3).

266	Although 38% of the seasonal variation was species-specific, voltinism was the main
267	factor involved, as variation increased from uni- to multivoltine species (all p
268	<0.0001; Table 1b, Fig. 2a). Seasonal variation was lesser in larval trophic generalists
269	(i.e. larval specialisation 3, $p = 0.006$) and species overwintering in an immature stage
270	(p = 0.003; Table 1b, Fig. A4). Habitat specialisation and the degree of preference for
271	forests versus open areas were also included in the best models but without any
272	significant relationship (Tables 1b and A.5a).
273	Voltinism and habitat specialisation were the main factors defining inter-annual
274	variation, both leading to increased values ($p < 0.04$; Table 1c, Fig. 2b). The degree of
275	preference for forests versus open areas was included in the best models (Table A.5b),
276	increasing the inter-annual variation non-significantly (Table 1c). No other traits were
277	included in the best models (Table A.5).
278	
279	3.3. Temporal changes in detection probability
280	
281	The detection probability increased linearly over the years (Estimate = -0.006) for all
282	uni-, bi- and multivoltine species. Although there were no differences between these
283	species ($p_{interactions} > 0.11$), the detection probability was constantly lower for
284	univoltine species (Q1 = 0.01; Estimate = 1.12, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 1a).
285	
286	4. Discussion
207	

288 This study reveals negative trends in 70% of the studied species, indicating a severe

decline among Mediterranean butterflies. Population trends are partly predicted by the

ecological and life-history traits of the species. In particular habitat specialisation and
voltinism have the highest influence, whilst other traits have a marginal or null effect.

293 4.1. Population trends and species traits

294

295 Population growth rates decreased with increasing habitat specialisation. This finding 296 agrees with the steeper declines detected in populations of butterfly specialists 297 (compared to habitat generalists) in the same region (Stefanescu et al. 2011b; Carnicer 298 et al. 2013) and in other European countries (van Swaay et al. 2006, Eskildsen et al. 299 2015, Curtis et al. 2015). Habitat generalists have a wider range of available resources 300 that can fulfil their needs, which give them an advantage in environments that are 301 being transformed. Under a context of global change, this may in turn lead to a biotic 302 homogenisation of natural communities, i.e. the substitution of many specialists by a 303 few generalist species, a process that is one of the main drivers of declines in 304 biodiversity worldwide (McKinney and Lockwood, 1999). This effect has been noted 305 to occur in the butterfly fauna in several European countries (e.g. Ekroos et al. 2010, 306 Ockinger et al. 2010). 307 Despite the negative relationship between habitat specialisation and population rates, 308 many generalist species were also found to be in decline. This situation is comparable 309 to some extent to other areas affected by severe anthropic pressure (Leon-Cortes et al. 310 1999, 2000, Van Dyck et al. 2009). Further investigation is needed to evaluate 311 whether or not these general negative trends can be explained by the interaction of 312 environmental pressures such as climate change and habitat transformation, and by 313 ecological traits. For example, Stefanescu et al. (2011a) suggested that habitat 314 generalist species are most affected by the increase of aridity and landscape

intensification in lowlands, while specialists are more affected by land abandonmentand climate warming in mountain areas.

317 In contrast to our intuitive expectation, uni- and bivoltine species registered similar 318 trends, while multivoltine species had significantly steeper declines. For example, in 319 Germany multivoltine species dominate butterfly communities when land use 320 intensification is severe (Börschig et al. 2013). However, multivoltine species may be 321 the most negatively affected by climate change in the Mediterranean when their last 322 summer generations have to confront the most rigorous conditions and extreme 323 drought events. To a degree, this situation is comparable with the recent decline of the 324 generalist multivoltine butterfly *Lasionmata megera* in central Europe, where the 325 addition of an extra generation represents a developmental trap resulting in high larval 326 mortality (Van Dyck et al. 2015). Likewise, multivoltinism could expose a species to 327 detrimental events several times in the same season and thus lead to a severe decline, 328 a scenario that could become more relevant given longer and more frequent extreme 329 climatic events, as predicted by Giorgi and Lionello (2008) for the Mediterranean 330 region. 331 Strikingly, the degree of preference for forests versus open areas was not significant

for either population growth rate or variation, which may indicate that we failed to capture this effect properly for the set of studied species. In a recent study this preference was found to be advantageous both for butterflies and birds, as woodland species had more positive population trends (Herrando et al. 2015). Nevertheless, in this study trends were evaluated in a subset of transects covered by natural vegetation affected by land abandonment (n = 74) rather than in all available transects, as was the case in our study (n = 116). The addition of other types of habitat transformations

such as increasing urbanisation probably diluted the positive trend of forests in naturalareas at a regional scale.

341 Wing length, which we considered as a proxy for dispersal, had nearly no effect in our 342 models. However, some authors have questioned its relationship with dispersal ability 343 (see Sekar 2012). In addition, the fact that the Species Temperature Index did not 344 predict population trends may initially be surprising given the prediction of general 345 declines in cold-adapted species and the opposite trends in warm-adapted species in 346 the current context of climate warming (e.g. Devictor et al. 2012). However, our 347 results confirm some previous analyses at site level that show that population trends 348 are in fact independent of the thermal niche of the species (Stefanescu et al. 2011b). 349 Indeed, our findings suggest that interactions with other climatic and non-climatic 350 factors may be more important for explaining population trends (Oliver et al. 2015, 351 Settele and Wiemers 2015).

352

353 4.2. Temporal population variation and species traits

354

355 Although seasonal variation was greater than inter-annual variation, average values

356 showed the opposite pattern. Both measures were positively affected by voltinism,

that is, population variation at differing time scales was higher in multivoltine species,

358 which suggests that there was a higher risk of strong fluctuations.

359 To a lesser degree, seasonal variation was affected by extreme larval trophic

360 generalism and the overwintering stage. The lower variability in larval trophic

361 generalism supports the hypothesis of specialisation traits relating to higher sensitivity

362 to environmental changes. Likewise, fewer seasonal variations were found in species

363 overwintering in immature stages (egg or pupa), which could indicate a major

364 buffering capacity in immature stages against extreme climatic events, a result that 365 contrasts with the findings of Breed et al. (2012). Indeed, species overwintering in 366 mature stages will emerge earlier in the spring, thereby exposing themselves to 367 extreme climatic events at the beginning of the season that could provoke fluctuations 368 in populations. 369 In addition to voltinism, inter-annual variation was also affected by habitat 370 specialisation, with habitat specialists showing consistently greater variation than 371 habitat generalists. This interesting finding – that we interpret to be a reflection of the 372 greater sensitivity of habitat specialists to environmental perturbations – highlights 373 how difficult it is for these species to adapt to the ongoing environmental 374 transformations (in both climate and landscape) in the region. This agrees with the 375 differences in the relative impact of environmental perturbations on specialist and 376 generalist species richness (Stefanescu et al. 2011a). 377 378 4.3. Modeling approach: open-population Binomial Hierarchical Bayesian 379 380 The percentage of declining species obtained with our approach was almost two times 381 higher than previously obtained with TRIM for the region (Fig. A.5; Stefanescu et al. 382 2011b; Carnicer et al. 2013). 383 Different estimates of abundance between models are to be expected whenever trends 384 in detection probability occurred, as our model accounted for the error in the 385 observational process while TRIM does not. In the later model type, abundances are 386 likely to be underestimated when the detection probability is low. The increasing 387 probability of detection over time in our data (Fig. 1A) means that population trends 388 will be underestimated when the population trend is negative because there will be

389 fewer differences between the (under)estimates of abundances during the first years of 390 surveys and the estimates during the latter years. However, they will be overestimated 391 when the population trend is positive since there will be greater differences between 392 the (under)estimates of abundances during the first years of surveys and the estimates 393 during the latter years. In our case, the detection probability increased over time, 394 probably due to the lower amount of experience of the volunteers at the start of the 395 project. Thus, our estimations gained in accuracy by adding the detection probability. 396 The benefit of accounting for the detection probability has been demonstrated by Dail 397 and Madsen (2011) and Pellet et al. (2012). 398 The differences in the results obtained using our approach and TRIM could also be 399 explained by the different parameterisation of the time scale of the models (every two 400 weeks versus annual) and the model structure (lineal versus non-lineal). Therefore,

401 although we recommend the use of models that take into account the detection

402 probability to reduce uncertainty caused by observational error, we are unable to

403 endorse any particular approach until further comparisons between these two

404 methodologies using equal parameterisations have been conducted.

405

406 **5. Conclusions**

407

408 Our results indicate a very serious general decline of the butterfly fauna in the western

409 Mediterranean, affecting 70% of the studied species. Although this decline also

410 covers many generalist species, overall the highest vulnerability in terms of

411 population trends was found for specialist and multivoltine species. Taken together

412 with previous work, our analysis suggests that global change – including land

413 abandonment and intensification and climate change – is behind the observed

414 negative trends (Stefanescu et al. 2003, 2011a,b; Herrando et al. 2015). Moreover, 415 changes in land cover and more extreme climatic events are expected to exacerbate 416 these serious declines in the future. Furthermore, given that butterflies are also 417 regarded as good indicators for other terrestrial insects (Thomas 2005; but see 418 Musters et al. 2013), the observed patterns may also be indicative of global biological 419 impoverishment. Under this scenario, local habitat management (i.e. conservation 420 aimed at increasing habitat availability and connectivity) focused on the requirements 421 of declining species might help mitigate these negative trends (Curtis et al. 2015) or 422 even potentially revert population declines (e.g. Dapporto and Dennis 2013). 423 Finally, this study also highlights the potential of models that take into account 424 detection probability and provides empirical evidence for their robustness and 425 usefulness with volunteer-based projects and monitoring programs. Therefore, we 426 recommend their use if temporal or spatial variation in the observational error is 427 suspected to occur.

428

429 Acknowledgements

430

431 We would like to thank all the volunteers who helped gather the data in the butterfly 432 monitoring schemes, as well as Chris Sutherland for checking the R code for the 433 Bayesian model and Arco van Strien for providing useful comments on the 434 manuscript. Ferran Páramo provided technical assistance. The CBMS is funded by the 435 Departament de Territori i Sostenibilitat de la Generalitat de Catalunya and the 436 BMSAnd by the Andorran Research Institute. Funding was also provided by 437 Barcelona Provincial Council as part of the SITxell project. YM was supported by a 438 Beatriu de Pinos-B grant (2013 BP-B 00168) from AGAUR for postdoctoral

439	researchers. We are also grateful to the three anonymous reviewers for their help in				
440	improving the manuscript. A professional scientific proof-reader (Mike Lockwood)				
441	revised the manuscript.				
442					
443	References				
444					
445 446	Altermatt, F. 2010. Climatic warming increases voltinism in European butterflies and moths. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 277:1281–1287.				
447	Bartoń, K. 2014. MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.10.5.				
448 449	Bates, D., M. Maechler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2014. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4 version 1:1-7.				
450 451 452	Börschig, C., AM. Klein, H. von Wehrden, and J. Krauss. 2013. Traits of butterfly communities change from specialist to generalist characteristics with increasing land-use intensity. Basic and Applied Ecology 14:547–554.				
453 454	Breed, G. A., S. Stichter, and E. E. Crone. 2012. Climate-driven changes in northeastern US butterfly communities. Nature Climate Change 3:142–145.				
455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463	 Butchart, S. H. M., M. Walpole, B. Collen, A. van Strien, J. P. W. Scharlemann, R. E. A. Almond, J. E. M. Baillie, B. Bomhard, C. Brown, J. Bruno, K. E. Carpenter, G. M. Carr, J. Chanson, A. M. Chenery, J. Csirke, N. C. Davidson, F. Dentener, M. Foster, A. Galli, J. N. Galloway, P. Genovesi, R. D. Gregory, M. Hockings, V. Kapos, JF. Lamarque, F. Leverington, J. Loh, M. A. McGeoch, L. McRae, A. Minasyan, M. H. Morcillo, T. E. E. Oldfield, D. Pauly, S. Quader, C. Revenga, J. R. Sauer, B. Skolnik, D. Spear, D. Stanwell-Smith, S. N. Stuart, A. Symes, M. Tierney, T. D. Tyrrell, JC. Vié, and R. Watson. 2010. Global Biodiversity: Indicators of Recent Declines. Science 328:1164–1168. 				
464 465 466	Carnicer, J., C. Stefanescu, R. Vila, V. Dinca, X. Font, and Josep Peñuelas. 2013. A unified framework for diversity gradients: the adaptive trait continuum. Global Ecology and Biogeography 22:6–18.				
467 468 469	Chapin, F. S. I., E. S. Zavaleta, V. T. Eviner, R. L. Naylor, P. M. Vitousek, H. L. Reynolds, D. U. Hooper, S. Lavorel, O. E. Sala, S. E. Hobbie, M. C. Mack, and S. Diaz. 2000. Consequences of changing biodiversity. Nature 405:234–242.				
470 471 472	Chen, IC., J. K. Hill, R. Ohlemüller, D. B. Roy, and C. D. Thomas. 2011. Rapid range shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming. Science 333:1024–1026.				
473 474	Croxall, J. P., P. N. Trathan, and E. J. Murphy. 2002. Environmental change and Antarctic seabird populations. Science 297:1510–1504.				
475 476 477	Curtis, R. J., T. M. Brereton, R. L. H. Dennis, C. Carbone, and N. J. B. Isaac. 2015. Butterfly abundance is determined by food availability and is mediated by species traits. Journal of Applied Ecology 52:1676–1684.				

- 478 Dail, D., and L. Madsen. 2011. Models for estimating abundance from repeated
 479 counts of an open metapopulation. Biometrics 67:577–87.
- 480 Dapporto, L., and R. L. H. Dennis. 2013. The generalist–specialist continuum:
 481 Testing predictions for distribution and trends in British butterflies. Biological
 482 Conservation 157:229–236.
- 483 Devictor, V., C. van Swaay, T. Brereton, L. Brotons, D. Chamberlain, J. Heliölä, S.
 484 Herrando, R. Julliard, M. Kuussaari, Å. Lindström, J. Reif, D. B. Roy, O.
 485 Schweiger, J. Settele, C. Stefanescu, A. Van Strien, C. Van Turnhout, Z.
 486 Vermouzek, M. WallisDeVries, I. Wynhoff, and F. Jiguet. 2012. Differences in
 487 the climatic debts of birds and butterflies at a continental scale. Nature Climate
 488 Change 2:121–124.
- 489 Devictor, V., R. J. Whittaker, and C. Beltrame. 2010. Beyond scarcity: citizen science
 490 programmes as useful tools for conservation biogeography. Diversity and
 491 Distributions 16:354–362.
- 492 Diamond, S. E., A. M. Frame, R. A. Martin, and L. B. Buckley. 2011. Species' traits
 493 predict phenological responses to climate change in butterflies. Ecology
 494 92:1005–1012.
- 495 Ekroos, J., J. Heliölä, and M. Kuussaari. 2010. Homogenization of lepidopteran
 496 communities in intensively cultivated agricultural landscapes. Journal of Applied
 497 Ecology 47:459–467.
- Eskildsen, A., L. G. Carvalheiro, W. D. Kissling, J. C. Biesmeijer, O. Schweiger, and
 T. T. Høye. 2015. Ecological specialization matters: long-term trends in butterfly
 species richness and assemblage composition depend on multiple functional
 traits. Diversity and Distributions 21:792–802.
- Fernández-Chacón, A., C. Stefanescu, M. Genovart, J. D. Nichols, J. E. Hines, F.
 Páramo, M. Turco, and D. Oro. 2014. Determinants of extinction-colonization
 dynamics in Mediterranean butterflies: the role of landscape, climate and local
 habitat features. Journal of Animal Ecology 83:276–285.
- 506 García-Barros, E., M. L. Munguira, C. Stefanescu, and A. Vives Moreno. 2013.
 507 Lepidoptera Papilionoidea. Fauna Ibérica. Museo Nacional de Ciencias
 508 Naturales. CSIC, Madrid.
- 509 Giorgi, F., and P. Lionello. 2008. Climate change projections for the Mediterranean
 510 region. Global and Planetary Change 63:90–104.
- González-Suárez, M., and E. Revilla. 2013. Variability in life-history and ecological
 traits is a buffer against extinction in mammals. Ecology Letters 16:242–251.
- Herrando, S., L. Brotons, M. Anton, F. Páramo, D. Villero, N. Titeux, J. Quesada, and
 C. Stefanescu. 2015. Assessing impacts of land abandonment on Mediterranean
 biodiversity using indicators based on bird and butterfly monitoring data.
 Environmental Conservation:1–10.
- Julliard, R., J. Clavel, V. Devictor, F. Jiguet, and D. Couvet. 2006. Spatial segregation
 of specialists and generalists in bird communities. Ecology Letters 9:1237–1244.
- 519 Kéry, M. 2004. Extinction Rate Estimates for Plant Populations in Revisitation
 520 Studies: Importance of Detectability. Conservation Biology 18:570–574.

- 521 Kéry, M., R. M. Dorazio, L. Soldaat, A. van Strien, A. Zuiderwijk, and J. A. Royle.
 522 2009. Trend estimation in populations with imperfect detection. Journal of
 523 Applied Ecology 46:1163–1172.
- 524 Kéry, M., and M. Plattner. 2007. Species richness estimation and determinants of
 525 species detectability in butterfly monitoring programmes. Ecological
 526 Entomology 32:53–61.
- 527 Krauss, J., R. Bommarco, M. Guardiola, R. K. Heikkinen, A. Helm, M. Kuussaari, R.
 528 Lindborg, E. Ockinger, M. Pärtel, J. Pino, J. Pöyry, K. M. Raatikainen, A. Sang,
 529 C. Stefanescu, T. Teder, M. Zobel, and I. Steffan-Dewenter. 2010. Habitat
 530 fragmentation causes immediate and time-delayed biodiversity loss at different
 531 trophic levels. Ecology Letters 13:597–605.
- Kuussaari, M., M. Saarinen, E.-L. Korpela, J. Pöyry, and T. Hyvönen. 2014. Higher
 mobility of butterflies than moths connected to habitat suitability and body size
 in a release experiment. Ecology and Evolution 4:3800–3811.
- Leon-Cortes, J. L., M. J. R. Cowley, and C. D. Thomas. 1999. Detecting decline in a
 formerly widespread species: how common is the common blue butterfly
 Polyommatus icarus? Ecography 22:643–650.
- Leon-Cortes, J. L., M. J. R. Cowley, and C. D. Thomas. 2000. The distribution and
 decline of a widespread butterfly Lycaena phlaeas in a pastoral landscape.
 Ecological Entomology 25:285–294.
- 541 McKinney, M. L., and J. L. Lockwood. 1999. Biotic homogenization: a few winners
 542 replacing many losers in the next mass extinction. Trends in Ecology &
 543 Evolution 14:450–453.
- Murray, K. A., D. Rosauer, H. McCallum, and L. F. Skerratt. 2011. Integrating
 species traits with extrinsic threats: closing the gap between predicting and
 preventing species declines. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 278:1515–23.
- 547 Musters, C. J. M., V. Kalkman, and A. van Strien. 2013. Predicting rarity and decline
 548 in animals, plants, and mushrooms based on species attributes and indicator
 549 groups. Ecology and Evolution 3:3401–3414.
- Ockinger, E., O. Schweiger, T. O. Crist, D. M. Debinski, J. Krauss, M. Kuussaari, J.
 D. Petersen, J. Pöyry, J. Settele, K. S. Summerville, and R. Bommarco. 2010.
 Life-history traits predict species responses to habitat area and isolation: a crosscontinental synthesis. Ecology Letters 13:969–979.
- Oliver, T. H., H. H. Marshall, M. D. Morecroft, T. Brereton, C. Prudhomme, and C.
 Huntingford. 2015. Interacting effects of climate change and habitat
 fragmentation on drought-sensitive butterflies. Nature Climate Change 5:941–
 945.
- Oliver, T., D. B. Roy, J. K. Hill, T. Brereton, and C. D. Thomas. 2010. Heterogeneous
 landscapes promote population stability. Ecology Letters 13:473–484.
- Pannekoek, J., and A. Strien. 2005. TRIM 3. Trends and indices for monitoring data.
 CBS, Statistics Netherlands, Voorburg, Netherlands.
- Pellet, J., J. T. Bried, D. Parietti, A. Gander, P. O. Heer, D. Cherix, and R. Arlettaz.
 2012. Monitoring butterfly abundance: beyond Pollard walks. PloS ONE

564 7:e41396. 565 Pimm, S. L., C. N. Jenkins, R. Abell, T. M. Brooks, J. L. Gittleman, L. N. Joppa, P. H. Raven, C. M. Roberts, and J. O. Sexton. 2014. The biodiversity of species and 566 567 their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. Science 344:1246752. 568 Sang, A., T. Teder, A. Helm, and M. Pärtel. 2010. Indirect evidence for an extinction 569 debt of grassland butterflies half century after habitat loss. Biological 570 Conservation 143:1405–1413. 571 Schmeller, D. S., P.-Y. Henry, R. Julliard, B. Gruber, J. Clobert, F. Dziock, S. 572 Lengyel, P. Nowicki, E. Déril, E. Budrys, T. Kull, K. Tali, B. Bauch, J. Settele, 573 C. Van Swaay, A. Kobler, V. Babij, E. Papastergiadou, and K. Henle. 2009. 574 Advantages of Volunteer-Based Biodiversity Monitoring in Europe. 575 Conservation Biology 23:307–316. 576 Schweiger, O., A. Harpke, M. Wiemers, and J. Settele. 2014. CLIMBER: Climatic 577 niche characteristics of the butterflies in Europe. ZooKeys 367:65-84. 578 Sekar, S. 2012. A meta-analysis of the traits affecting dispersal ability in butterflies: 579 can wingspan be used as a proxy? Journal of Animal Ecology 81:174-84. 580 Settele, J., and M. Wiemers. 2015. Biodiversity: Interacting global change drivers. 581 Nature Climate Change 5:913–914. 582 Stefanescu, C., J. Carnicer, and J. Peñuelas. 2011a. Determinants of species richness 583 in generalist and specialist Mediterranean butterflies: the negative synergistic 584 forces of climate and habitat change. Ecography 34:353–363. 585 Stefanescu, C., J. Penuelas, and I. Filella. 2003. Effects of climatic change on the 586 phenology of butterflies in the northwest Mediterranean Basin. Global Change 587 Biology 9:1494-1506. 588 Stefanescu, C., I. Torre, J. Jubany, and F. Páramo. 2011b. Recent trends in butterfly 589 populations from north-east Spain and Andorra in the light of habitat and climate 590 change - Springer. Journal of Insect Conservation 15:83-93. 591 Stephens, P. A., L. R. Mason, R. E. Green, R. D. Gregory, J. R. Sauer, J. Alison, A. 592 Aunins, L. Brotons, S. H. M. Butchart, T. Campedelli, T. Chodkiewicz, P. 593 Chylarecki, O. Crowe, J. Elts, V. Escandell, R. P. B. Foppen, H. Heldbjerg, S. 594 Herrando, M. Husby, F. Jiguet, A. Lehikoinen, A. Lindstrom, D. G. Noble, J.-Y. 595 Paquet, J. Reif, T. Sattler, T. Szep, N. Teufelbauer, S. Trautmann, A. J. van 596 Strien, C. A. M. van Turnhout, P. Vorisek, and S. G. Willis. 2016. Consistent 597 response of bird populations to climate change on two continents. Science 598 352:84-87. 599 Sutherland, W. J., R. P. Freckleton, H. C. J. Godfray, S. R. Beissinger, T. Benton, D. 600 D. Cameron, Y. Carmel, D. A. Coomes, T. Coulson, M. C. Emmerson, R. S. 601 Hails, G. C. Hays, D. J. Hodgson, M. J. Hutchings, D. Johnson, J. P. G. Jones, 602 M. J. Keeling, H. Kokko, W. E. Kunin, X. Lambin, O. T. Lewis, Y. Malhi, N. 603 Mieszkowska, E. J. Milner-Gulland, K. Norris, A. B. Phillimore, D. W. Purves, 604 J. M. Reid, D. C. Reuman, K. Thompson, J. M. J. Travis, L. A. Turnbull, D. A. 605 Wardle, and T. Wiegand. 2013. Identification of 100 fundamental ecological 606 questions. Journal of Ecology 101:58-67.

607 Thomas, C. D., A. Cameron, R. E. Green, M. Bakkenes, L. J. Beaumont, Y. C.

608 609 610 611	Collingham, B. F. N. Erasmus, M. F. de Siqueira, A. Grainger, L. Hannah, L. Hughes, B. Huntley, A. S. van Jaarsveld, G. F. Midgley, L. Miles, M. A. Ortega-Huerta, A. Townsend Peterson, O. L. Phillips, and S. E. Williams. 2004a. Extinction risk from climate change. Nature 427:145–148.
612 613 614	Thomas, J. A. 2005. Monitoring change in the abundance and distribution of insects using butterflies and other indicator groups. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences 360:339–57.
615 616 617 618	Thomas, J. A., M. G. Telfer, D. B. Roy, C. D. Preston, J. J. D. Greenwood, J. Asher, R. Fox, R. T. Clarke, and J. H. Lawton. 2004b. Comparative losses of British butterflies, birds, and plants and the global extinction crisis. Science 303:1879– 81.
619 620 621 622	 Thuiller, W., C. Albert, M. B. Araújo, P. M. Berry, M. Cabeza, A. Guisan, T. Hickler, G. F. Midgley, J. Paterson, F. M. Schurr, M. T. Sykes, and N. E. Zimmermann. 2008. Predicting global change impacts on plant species' distributions: Future challenges. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 9:137–152.
623 624 625	Van Dyck, H., D. Bonte, R. Puls, K. Gotthard, and D. Maes. 2015. The lost generation hypothesis: could climate change drive ectotherms into a developmental trap? Oikos 124:54–61.
626 627 628	Van Dyck, H., A. J. Van Strien, D. Maes, and C. A. M. Van Swaay. 2009. Declines in Common, Widespread Butterflies in a Landscape under Intense Human Use. Conservation Biology 23:957–965.
629 630	Van Swaay, C., M. Warren, and G. Loïs. 2006. Biotope Use and Trends of European Butterflies. Journal of Insect Conservation 10:189–209.
631 632 633 634 635	 Visconti, P., M. Bakkenes, D. Baisero, T. Brooks, S. H. M. Butchart, L. Joppa, R. Alkemade, M. Di Marco, L. Santini, M. Hoffmann, L. Maiorano, R. L. Pressey, A. Arponen, L. Boitani, A. E. Reside, D. P. van Vuuren, and C. Rondinini. 2015. Projecting Global Biodiversity Indicators under Future Development Scenarios. Conservation Letters 9:5–13.
636 637	Vitousek, P. M. 1997. Human Domination of Earth's Ecosystems. Science 277:494–499.
638 639 640	Vitousek, P. M., H. A. Mooney, J. Lubchenco, and J. M. Melillo. 2008. Human Domination of Earth's Ecosystems. Pages 3–13 Urban Ecology: An International Perspective on the Interaction Between Humans and Nature. Springer.
641 642	Wood, F. S. 2014. gamm4: Generalized additive mixed models using mgcv and lme4. R package version 0.2-3.



662 Table 1. Weighted parameter estimates of the effect sizes and the associated standard

663 errors of the species traits retained in the best models for (a) population growth rates,

(b) the seasonal (CV_W) and (c) inter-annual (CV_B) coefficients of variation in the

abundance of the butterfly species. Models for CV were fitted to a Gamma

distribution with an inverse link (i.e. estimates are produced with an inverted sign);

667 estimates are expressed within this distribution. Significant p values are marked in

668 italics.

Parameter	Estimate	Std. Error	t or z value	p value
				$(H_0 = Estimate = 0)$
(a)				
Intercept: vol-univoltine	8e-4	9e-4	0.837	0.403
vol-bivoltine	1e-4	6e-4	0.170	0.865
vol-multivoltine	-0.001	4e-4	2.163	0.031
SSI	-0.001	6e-4	2.304	0.021
of-e	-0.007	0.012	0.558	0.577
wl	4e-4	1e-5	0.274	0.784
(b)				
(Intercept): ls-1, ow-	6.003	0.457	13.127	<2 <i>e</i> -16
immature, vol-univoltine				
vol-bivoltine	-1.585	0.399	-3.970	7e-5
vol-multivoltine	-1.769	0.325	-5.444	1e-7
SSI	-0.200	0.388	0.515	0.607
ls-2	0.554	0.325	1.702	0.088
ls-3	1.099	0.403	2.724	0.006

of-e	12.025	8.475	1.419	0.156
ow-mature	-0.957	0.326	2.934	0.003
ow-migratory	0.113	0.683	0.165	0.869
random effect	Variance	Std.Dev.	Residual	Std.Dev.
Species	0.380	0.617	0.436	0.660
(c)				
Intercept: vol-univoltine	8.686	1.169	7.285	<2 <i>e</i> -16
vol-bivoltine	-1.764	0.842	2.057	0.039
vol-multivoltine	-2.423	0.715	3.325	8e-4
SSI	-2.231	0.722	3.031	0.002
of-e	-16.843	17.755	0.939	0.348

671 SSI: Species Specialisation Index; ls: larval trophic specialisation; of-e: open-forest

672 estimate; wl: wing length; STI: Species Temperature Index; vol: voltinism; ow:

overwintering stage