

# *Clinical examination of ocular alignment and binocular vision in infants under six months of age*

Article

Accepted Version

Horwood, A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0886-9686 (2019) Clinical examination of ocular alignment and binocular vision in infants under six months of age. American Academy of Ophthalmology. (Online article) Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/84150/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: https://www.aao.org/disease-review/clinical-examination-of-ocular-alignment-binocular

Publisher: American Academy of Ophthalmology

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR



## Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

## <u>Clinical Examination of Ocular Alignment and Binocular Vision in Infants</u> <u>under six months of age</u>

These pages outline the clinical assessment of ocular alignment and binocular vision, as well as the factors which might influence them, in typical and atypical infants. Visual acuity testing and fundus and media examination are not covered in these pages.

A companion set of pages (link) covers the research evidence on typical and atypical development upon which these clinical methods are based.

#### **Case History**

A parental history of early onset strabismus must be taken carefully. If the infant subsequently appears straight and binocular on examination, the child could have recently grown out of neonatal misalignments, so what the parents describe may have been genuine, but is no longer there (Fig 1).



Figure 1. Neonatal misalignments in a 4 week old infant which resolved completely by three months of age. In this case misalignment was noted approximately 20% of waking hours

For the infant with an obvious strabismus, the important question is not just when did the deviation start, but when it did, was it constant or intermittent, and was it the same size as it is now? Genuine infantile esotropia may have been much smaller or intermittent in the first weeks of life.

Any history of clear and *constant* eso- or exotropia from early infancy should be taken more seriously as it could indicate poor vision or a cranial nerve palsy. Birch et al(Birch et al., 1998) reported large angle constant esotropias noted at 2-4 months of age did not spontaneously resolve, but a few infants with small or variable angle esotropia did regain alignment.

Essential infantile esotropia has an onset in the first six months of age but more recent evidence suggests that it may not be the same constant esotropia from birth may have a more intermittent onset, or be of smaller angle. The esotropia seems to be a consequence of maldevelopment of normal binocular vision, rather than the primary cause (Horwood 2017). A few infants observed by their orthoptist mothers who did develop genuine infantile esotropia, as opposed to neonatal misalignments, were indistinguishable in the first month from the typical infants who grew out of the deviation. Their deviations were becoming more frequent in the second month, but only became constant and with increasingly large angles after four months of age (Figure 2) (Horwood and Riddell, 2004).

Parents of such children rightly say the strabismus started at birth, but if questioned closely will report that it was not initially constant or so large.



Figure 2 Progressive increase in infantile esotropia angle in a case tested in the author's laboratory

The onset of intermittent exotropia is often difficult to pinpoint because although there are published reports that the onset is often at birth(Costenbader, 1950), the large angle kappa of infancy may make an infant look divergent when they are not. In a large series of infants observed from their first weeks, the author has also seen a few children as neonates with what appeared to be genuine very large angle exotropia, which subsequently disappeared in later months, only to reemerge as intermittent exotropia in later childhood (unpublished data).

Early onset accommodative esotropia is known to occur occasionally in hyperopic infants (Havertape et al., 1999) and may relate to concentration on smaller objects, but is probably impossible to distinguish from neonatal misalignments in infants of less than four months of age, as mild hyperopia can also resolve with emmetropization.

Family photographs are invaluable. They may enable the ophthalmologist to reassure parents about a pseudo strabismus due to epicanthus; or alert the ophthalmologist to a deviation which has since disappeared or cannot be elicited in the examination room.

A history of prematurity indicates higher risk of strabismus and refractive error (aside from any retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) issues). Clinical findings and milestones, such as expectations of finding stereopsis or appropriate accommodation, should be related to corrected (gestational) age in premature infants.

#### **Observations**

Epicanthus can cause a very strong illusion of strabismus and is a common reason that infants are referred for assessment. Non-family-members may be more concerned about a possible strabismus than the child's own family, who may be accustomed to most infants in their family looking similarly pseudo-strabismic.

Parents often bring photographs of a "strabismus" in a child with pseudo strabismus due to epicanthus. This is particularly noticeable when the infant is looking slightly to one side as the nasal sclera is covered by the epicanthus. Using the photograph to explain the effect to parents and point out symmetrical corneal reflections can be very helpful (Figure 1)



Figure 1 Pseudo strabismus due to epicanthushttps://www.aapos.org/terms/conditions/88

All pediatric ophthalmologists are taught to use the corneal reflections of a spotlight to assess the angle of deviation, and quickly become familiar with the "normal ranges" in older children. For professionals seeing fewer neonates, the neonatal corneal reflections can look excessively nasal due to the much larger angle kappa, so can suggest an exotropia.

It is particularly easy to feel there is an exotropia if the infant is looking just past the examiner's head to look at a window or bright light behind them, which they often like to do. The slight lateral gaze makes the corneal reflection of one eye move temporally, so looks "normal", while the other has moved more nasally, so giving the appearance of an exotropia in that eye – when in fact both eyes are straight, but just not fixating the examiner.(Fig 2 + link to animation) As long as the tester is sure the child is fixating, the *symmetry* of the corneal reflections is more important than their absolute position as angle kappa can vary widely. Professionals examining the ocular alignment of neonates need to be aware of this possible bias and it sometimes helps to not concentrate on the corneal reflections, but on the overall impression of alignment.



A useful technique for clinicians is to make observations of alignment while standing behind the caregiver's (preferably the primary caregiver) back while they hold the baby up in front of them and engage the infant's attention. An infant may look at a window or light in preference to an unfamiliar professional's face, but will usually fixate their caregiver intently. The caregiver can also slowly rotate the baby horizontally and vertically while maintaining fixation, while the ophthalmologist looks on, as a useful way to assess motility or smooth pursuit movements if conventional testing fails.

Fixation and following in very young infants is less easy to elicit in busy visual environments, such as a "child friendly" room with multiple pictures and toys. A single illuminated target in a dimly lit room may elicit normal fixation, motility or fusional responses that are not possible otherwise. It is important that the target is silent if fixation and following are also being used a marker for adequate visual acuity.

#### The Brückner Test

The Brückner test screens for strabismus, anisometropia, media opacities, abnormal pupil reactions and fundus anomalies near the visual axis. It takes seconds, is non-threatening and does not require close proximity to the infant, so can be done with minimal co-operation. It is therefore a good way to start a retinoscopy or ophthalmoscopy. The infant gets used to the instrument light and some initial assessment can be made before getting closer. An ophthalmoscope beam set at zero is used to straddle both eyes from a distance of >0.6m. Any asymmetry or abnormality of the brightness or colour of the red reflex is suspicious. In strabismus, off-axis fixation in one eye causes a brighter reflex in that eye. Reflective crescents towards to top or bottom of the pupil indicate refractive error



#### Retinoscopy

Assessment of refractive error is integral to assessment of strabismus. Cycloplegic retinoscopy remains the gold standard test of refractive error, but Mohindra (Near) retinoscopy can be a useful non-cycloplegic technique to identify or monitor infant refractive error without dilation. Experience and a calm examination technique can reveal errors only slightly less hyperopic than those found on cycloplegic refraction (Thorn et al., 1996). For infants, the test is carried out in the dark and the infant can fix the retinoscope streak. An "against" movement is often found at first, but with time the accommodation relaxes and a "with" movement can gradually emerge and build as the child

relaxes. A soother, calm technique and time may help an infant to relax. It is particularly useful technique for monitoring emmetropisation in an otherwise healthy infant with an initially significant refractive error e.g. neonatal astigmatism, which is being monitored as it reduces over time, and where there is no other indication for repeated cycloplegia.

#### Photoscreeners

Commercial photoscreeners such as the Suresight or Plusoptix can be useful to screen for risk factors similar to those detectable by a Brückner Test. However, most photoscreeners require a period of steady and central fixation, which can be difficult to achieve in very young infants.

#### **Ocular motility**

Any engaging target can be used to assess ocular motility in an infant. It is useful to have a selection of bright, noisy toys that can be introduced in turn to provide novelty. A brightly illuminated target will allow concurrent assessment of corneal reflections. Neonates may exhibit "sticky fixation" so saccades to a new target may be slow to initiate, especially if they have just been fixating a human face which is highly salient target. Smooth pursuit (as opposed to the vestibulo-ocular reflex below) is often best elicited by engaging fixation on the examiner then by either the examiner moving, or by holding the infant and slowly rotating it sideways or vertically while engaging their fixation on the examiners face.

*The Vestibulo-ocular Reflex* (VOR) (The "Swinging / Spinning Baby Test" (Hoyt and Taylor, 2012)

Observing the vestibulo-ocular reflex is another useful way of assessing both gross visual acuity and ocular motility. By rapidly rotating an infant held facing and tilted slightly towards the examiner (photo in Hoyt & Taylor p51(Hoyt and Taylor, 2012)) a reflex bilateral eye movement in the opposite direction to the rotation will be elicited. More prolonged rotation will induce vestibular nystagmus which is still visible when the rotation stops. Sighted infants will normally suppress this nystagmus within a few beats. More prolonged nystagmus indicates poor vision. The same technique is useful for checking for full adduction or adduction, for example in an infantile esotropia with cross fixation or Duane's syndrome, where poor co-operation or attention limits conventional ocular motility testing in a child with an apparent restriction on conventional motility testing.

#### Cover testing

A conventional cover test, occluding each eye in turn to observe a fixation movement of a deviating eye, and recovery of a heterophoria, may be possible. Many infants, however, object or become fussy when either eye is occluded, so it can be unreliable. Refixation movements are typically slow, and by the time they occur, the infant may have become distracted by the occluder. If the child will tolerate occlusion, comparison of the response to occlusion of either eye can be very valuable. Occlusion of the better- seeing eye will elicit a stronger reaction than occlusion of an eye with poor vision. Attentional limitations mean the most infants will not reliably fixate targets in the distance, so intermittent exotropia may be impossible to elicit at first.

#### Motion detection

Monocular optokinetic nystagmus can be elicited by repeated refixations on the vertical black/white gratings of an OKN drum or tape moving horizontally. Typical infants will follow the stripes monocularly temporally to nasally, but not nasally to temporally. Development of symmetrical monocular OKN is associated with developing binocularity but remains somewhat asymmetrical throughout the first year of life. Persistent asymmetry is associated with infantile esotropia.

#### Strabismus angle assessment

Alternate prism cover testing is rarely possible with young infants, so clinical assessment of the angle of deviation often relies on objective assessment of the *symmetry* of the corneal reflections in relation to the pupil centre (usually equally nasal – with a small positive angle kappa) when fixating a light either with (the Krimsky Test) or without (the Hirschberg Test) a correcting prism.

Corneal reflections can be abnormally displaced or asymmetrical in cases of dragged fovea in retinopathy of prematurity because of an increased angle between the visual and optic axes. This can lead to large pseudo-strabismus despite bifoveal fixation.

Although angle kappa reduces dramatically in the first nine months of life as the posterior chamber develops, the Hirschberg ratio (the angular change associated with 1mm lateral displacment of the corneal reflection) does not, and remains relatively stable from birth at approximately  $21\Delta$ /mm (Riddell et al., 1994) (larger than the widely quoted 7°/15 $\Delta$ /mm). So although neonatal corneal reflections may appear abnormally nasal in comparison to older children and adults, an *asymmetry* of 2mm will mean approximately  $40\Delta$  at any age.

## Tests of sensory binocularity

Laboratory studies generally agree that stereoacuity is rarely found before 12-16 weeks, but even after that, infants in a clinical situation may not be interested in clinical stereotests in a typical office environment (as opposed to the laboratory techniques used to research development). By 4-5 months some may eye-point to a stereoscopic preferential looking task or random dot tests as the Lang, Randot, Frisby or TNO tests, but many sociable infants will prefer to fixate the tester if they can. By six months a few infants will try to touch a random dot figure.

## Tests of motor Fusion

Although it is not clear whether at first "convergence" is true motor fusion or just two independent adduction/fixation movements, most infants will converge slowly to a bright unambiguous target such as a light-up jelly monster on a pen-torch from around 2/3 months. A  $20\Delta$  base out prism can also elicit fusional convergence of the eye behind the prism. At first the response can be very slow to occur, so allow plenty of time. Responses can be elcited in younger infants by dimming ambient lighting to remove any visual distractions.



Figure 5 Illuminated target useful for testing in the dark. Sufficient light is provided by the target to allow assessment of fusion or motility without any other visual distractions.

Before four months of age infants may "get stuck" in convergence for a few seconds before recovery after such a convergence task, because the divergence phase as the prism is removed is usually more delayed and slower than the initial convergence phase. This is particularly easy to elicit in very young infants if testing is done in the dark by when the only light is that provided is from the target (Figure 5). This response may coincide with time that parents report neonatal misalignments(Horwood and Riddell, 2004). This "getting stuck" behaviour is not a sign of abnormality unless it is persists beyond four months of age. Parents who say their infant is strabismic at home, but the infant appears straight on the examination day, can find it very reassuring when the examiner can elicit this response when other testing has failed to demonstrate a strabismus, as it can prevent them feeling that they have not been believed.

#### Accommodation

Mohindra (Near or Dark) retinoscopy is a very good way to assess refraction and accommodation. Hyperopia which builds slowly, shows that the infant must have been accommodating to overcome the hyperopia at least some of the time.

Hypermetropic infants may accommodate to overcome their refractive error, but many systematically underaccommodate in relation to their refractive error, despite making appropriate near-distance changes (Horwood and Riddell, 2011). They therefore appear to accommodate to maintain a stable level of blur, but never fully clear the image for naturalistic fixation tasks. The same study showed that infants destined to emmetropize accommodated slightly more for near than distance, while those who did not emmetropize under-accommodated more for near. Differences were, however, small, so they would probably not have been detectable clinically.

Dynamic retinoscopy to an engaging target such as an illuminated toy or a smartphone image can be surprisingly easy in small infants to see if they are overcoming any significant hypermetropia.

#### Typical Visual Behavior in Infants <4months of age

Gross alignment

Preference for faces (especially the main caregiver), windows and bright lights

Large angle kappa so may look exotropic

Occasional large angle esodeviation possible up to 15% of waking hours – often seen after attempting near fixation

"Sticky fixation" slow to refixate a new target

Poor acuity and contrast sensitivity, but adequate for social interactions

Slow convergence to near targets or prisms, slower relaxation sometimes preceded by inappropriate over-convergence

Wide range of refractive errors under cycloplegia, but myopic focus when undilated

Accommodation relatively unresponsive and poor to relax. "All or nothing" responses common

Asymmetrical monocular OKN

Unreliable link between convergence and accommodation

Table 1.

#### Warning signs for geniune strabismus in an infant in the first six months of life

Parents see a constant deviation at any age

New misalignment after three months of age

Parents say an intermittent deviaton noticed from birth is worsening from 2 months of age or not fully resolved by 4 months

#### Table 2

- 1. BIRCH, E., STAGER, D., WRIGHT, K. & BECK, R. 1998. The natural history of infantile esotropia during the first six months of life. Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. *J AAPOS*, 2, 325-8; discussion 329.
- 2. COSTENBADER, F. The physiology and management of divergent strabismus. . *In:* JH, A., ed. Strabismic Ophthalmic Symposium I, 1950 St. Louis, Mosby-Year Book, Inc.
- 3. HAVERTAPE, S. A., WHITFILL, C. R. & CRUZ, O. A. 1999. Early-onset accommodative esotropia. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus*, 36, 69-73.
- 4. HORWOOD, A. & RIDDELL, P. 2004. Can misalignments in typical infants be used as a model for infantile esotropia? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, 45, 714-720.
- 5. HORWOOD, A. & RIDDELL, P. 2011. Hypo-accommodation responses in hypermetropic infants and children. *BJOphth* **95, 231-237**.
- 6. HOYT, C. & TAYLOR, D. 2012. *Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus,* Edinburgh, Elsevier Saunders.
- 7. RIDDELL, P., HAINLINE, L. & ABRAHAMOV, I. 1994. Calibration of the Hirschberg test in human infants. *Invest.Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, 35, 538-543.
- 8. THORN, F., GWIAZDA, J. & HELD, R. 1996. Using near retinoscopy to refract infants. *In:* VITAL-DURAND, F., ATKINSON, J. & BRADDICK, O. (eds.) *Infant Vision.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.