Self-assembly of minimal peptoid sequences

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access


It is advisable to refer to the publisher’s version if you intend to cite from the work. See Guidance on citing.
Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.9b01010
To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.9b01010

Publisher: American Chemical Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR
Central Archive at the University of Reading
Reading’s research outputs online
Self-Assembly of Minimal Peptoid Sequences

Valeria Castelletto,* Jani Seitsonen, Kunal M. Tewari, Abshar Hasan, Robert M. Edkins, Janne Ruokolainen, Lalit M. Pandey, Ian W. Hamley,* and King Hang Aaron Lau*

**ABSTRACT:** Peptoids are biofunctional N-substituted glycine peptidomimics. Their self-assembly is of fundamental interest because they demonstrate alternatives to conventional peptide structures based on backbone chirality and beta-sheet hydrogen bonding. The search for self-assembling, water-soluble “minimal” sequences, be they peptide or peptidomimic, is a further challenge. Such sequences are highly desired for their compatibility with biomacromolecules and convenient synthesis for broader application. We report the self-assembly of a set of trimeric, water-soluble α-peptoids that exhibit a relatively low critical aggregation concentration (CAC ~ 0.3 wt %). Cryo-EM and angle-resolved DLS show different sequence-dependent morphologies, namely uniform ca. 6 nm wide nanofibers, sheets, and clusters of globular assemblies. Absorbance and fluorescence spectroscopies indicate unique phenyl environments for π-interactions in the highly ordered nanofibers. Assembly of our peptoids takes place when the sequences are fully ionized, representing a departure from superficially similar amyloid-type hydrogen-bonded peptide nanostructures and expanding the horizons of assembly for sequence-specific bio- and biomimetic macromolecules.

**Control of self-assembly using sequence-specific polymers such as peptides and their mimics is a powerful approach to generating functional nanomaterials. Very short self-assembling peptides and their mimics (e.g., ≤ 5 residues) are of special interest since they are more easily scalable and they lead to insight into assembly requirements.** Their discovery is nontrivial since assembly propensity (e.g., hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonding) and favorable solvent (water) interactions must be balanced among just a few residues. The realization that diphenylalanine (FF) is a key aggregating domain of amyloid peptides including amyloid β-carbons has had an immense impact since assembly from such a simple dipeptide was not anticipated. However, FF is not directly soluble in water, and its assembly requires dilution from an organic solution. Assembly of specific tripeptide derivatives of FF has also been reported, and a number of applications for both FF and its derivatives have been proposed. Peptoids are N-substituted glycine structural isomers of peptides, in which the functional side chains are attached to backbone amide nitrogen atoms instead of the α-carbons. This preserves the side chain spacing of peptides but removes backbone chirality. Like peptides, specific peptoid sequences may also give rise to bioactivity and secondary structures. Unlike peptides, the non-natural backbone structure confers great resistance to proteolysis, which greatly improves pharmacokinetics for therapeutic applications such as antimicrobial peptoids and benefits other long-term biomedical uses. The side chain shift also eliminates backbone hydrogen-bond (H-bond) donors, and hence intra- and interbackbone H-bonding. This also means that the peptoid backbone may be freely hydrated and, together with lack of backbone chirality, may exhibit great conformational flexibility.

Peptoid self-assembly is an emerging area. To overcome flexible conformations and restricted H-bonding, it might appear that a relatively high number of residues would be required to confer sufficient attractive side chain interactions for assembly to occur. An initial report of self-assembled “peptoid gels” actually comprised of hybrids with peptides that could provide H-bonding. A strategy to recover H-bonding or constrain conformations is through Ugi-multicomponent synthesis to obtain N-substituted polyamides with amino acid side chains, and a derivative has been shown to form gels from organic—water mixtures. Otherwise, reported micellar assemblies have been driven by the relatively large hydrophobic blocks of long-chain polypeptoids or the hydrophobic alkyl “tails” of sequence-specific lipo-peptoids. Similarly,  
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long amphiphilic sequences (16–36 residues) are required for the assembly of nanosheet or nanotube structures.\textsuperscript{32-35}

We report the first examples of aqueous self-assembly from very short, water-soluble linear \( \alpha \)-peptoid sequences without the directing influence of other components such as peptides or lipid tails.\textsuperscript{31} Inspired by FF and derivatives, we prepared a set of four achiral peptoid trimers comprised of analogs of the aromatic amino acid phenylalanine and the cationic lysine. In particular, we studied the effect of varying the sequence order as well as the side chain length of the lysine analog. In FF peptides and derivatives, various design rules have been reported to account for the importance of \( \pi-\pi \) stacking of the phenyl groups, interbackbone beta-sheet packing, and chirality.\textsuperscript{32,37} Chirality and inter- and intra-backbone H-bonding are however absent in peptoids. Our designs therefore test the minimal requirements for nanostructure assembly of peptoids/peptides that are directly soluble in water.

Our sequences are comprised of two residues of Nphe (N-benzylglycine), the analog of phenylalanine (Phe), and a residue of either Nlys (N-(4-aminobutyl)glycine) or Nae (N-(2-aminoethyl)glycine). Nlys is a direct lysine (Lys) analog, while Nae is a mimic with a shorter two methylene connection to the amine (Figure 1). The sequences are named with reference to the single letter codes of their amino acid counterparts as N(KFF), N(kFF), N(FKF), and D) N(kFF). I/\( I_0 \) is the intensity ratio with and without peptoids. DIW was added directly to preweighed lyophilized peptoids to obtain the highest concentrations shown, and the samples were diluted further with DIW for measurements at lower concentrations. See Figure S4 for original spectra, SI 1.4 for sample preparation details, and example CAC data with pH control.

Figure 2 shows that all four tripeptoids exhibited a similar critical aggregation concentration (CAC) in a fluorescence assay (0.3 ± 0.03 wt %, i.e., 3 ± 0.3 mg/mL), indicating the self-assembly of hydrophobic cores above the CAC that could sequester the hydrophobic dye. The measured CAC is actually comparable to those of a previously reported set of lipopeptoids (ca. 0.1 wt %), which however required a long palmitoyl hydrophobic tail to drive assembly.\textsuperscript{31}

Analytical gradient RP-HPLC measurements (Figure S3) further characterized more sensitively that N(FkF) and N(FKF) partitioned more readily in water than N(kFF) and N(KFF) (i.e., eluted at a slightly higher 76% vs 72% water content in a water–acetonitrile (ACN) gradient). The overall similar CAC is presumably governed by the fixed number of hydrophobic Nphe residues and +2 charges from Nlys/Nae and the free N-terminus. The slightly higher hydrophobicity of N(KFF) and N(KKF) could be related to the proximity of the side chain primary and N-terminal secondary amines hindering double protonation.

In comparison, we recently showed that an Nphe dippeptoid and FF analogue is insoluble in water. For the dippeptoid, X-ray crystallography showed that \( \pi-\pi \) stacking of Nphe side chains induced crystallization of microneedles during evaporation from a DMSO–water mixture.\textsuperscript{38} Alternatively, lamellar nanostructures were observed when the dippeptoid was precipitated in water from an ACN solution.

For the present water-soluble tripeptoids also incorporating Nphe residues, we were able to confirm nanostructure...
formation by cryo-TEM (Figure 3). Remarkably, N(FkF) formed long, uniform ca. 6 nm wide nanofibers that extended

Figure 3. Cryo-TEM images from 2 wt % (20 mg/mL) solutions of N(FkF) (A–C), N(FKF) (D–F), N(KFF) (G–I), and N(kFF) (J–L). The left column shows zoomed in areas indicated in the center column. The right column shows additional typical images. Further areas are shown in Figure S5. The insets in G show that the ∼50 nm N(KFF) features are clusters of the finest structures. Peptoid solutions were prepared in the same way as for CAC measurements (see the caption of Figure 2). See the SI for cryo-EM sample vitrification procedures.

Figure 4. Variation in hydrodynamic radii ($R_H$) with a DLS scattering angle ($2\theta = 90^\circ$) for A) N(FkF), B) N(FKF), C) N(KFF), and D) N(kFF). Two wt % (20 mg/mL) solutions were used. The different symbols in each panel refer simply to the different size populations measured in each sample, as indicated by the labels of hydrodynamic radii ($R_H$). They are unrelated between panels. Peptoid solutions were prepared in the same way as for CAC measurements (see SI 1.4 for sample preparation details).

Many microns, which further collected into relatively straight bundles (Figure 3A–C and SSA–D). We note that the nanofibers were formed even at the acidic pH 3 of our 2 wt % samples, when we expect N(FkF) to be fully ionized. This behavior stands in contrast to peptide self-assembly, which is highly sensitive to both the side chain length and the residue sequence order. N(FkF), which has Nlys with the longer side chain in the same central residue position as N(kFF), formed networks (Figure 3D–F) spanning a few hundred nanometers that are composed of globular assemblies ca. 15–20 nm wide (Figure 3D). However, nanofibers were occasionally observed to coexist (Figure S5H), indicating that the propensity for ordered assembly of this N(FxF) sequence is attenuated by the shorter Nlys side chain, also formed 5–10 nm fine features (Figure 3J). However, this sequence appeared to exhibit stronger interactions, since the fine features instead coalesced into globules ca. 50 nm in diameter (Figures 3JK and S5O,P) as well as into nanosheets that spanned >100 nm (Figures 3L and S5O).

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements corroborated the size and morphology of the nanoassemblies. N(FkF) shows a complex scattering behavior that could be fitted with subpopulations with hydrodynamic radii ($R_H$) centered around 0.5 nm and 60 nm and another population >1000 nm with a large dependence on a scattering angle ($2\theta$) (Figure 4A). Since angular differences are characteristic of anisotropic particles, the micron-sized dimension should be related to the length of the nanofibers. The nonvarying sub-1 nm fraction was assigned to monomers, while the ca. 60 nm length scale could represent the effective averaged widths of the nanofiber bundles.

Peptoid N(FKF) shows assemblies with $R_H$ centered around 108 nm (Figure 4B), which could indicate the loose networks of finer assemblies (Figures 2D–F). N(KFF) and N(kFF) show mainly the presence of structures with $R_H$ centered around 0.5 nm and 44–49 nm (Figures 3CD), corresponding to respectively monomers and the clusters observed.
The high degree of molecular ordering implied by the uniformity of the N(FKF) nanofibers is reminiscent of some FF tripeptide derivatives assembling also into nanofibers.\textsuperscript{38,37} However, our peptoids assembled directly in acidified water. Solubility was likely promoted by the cationic Nae/Nlys side chains. Assembly however cannot be related to beta-sheet structures because there is no interbackbone H-bonding in peptoids. We speculate that, similar to the Nphe dipeptoid crystals we reported recently,\textsuperscript{38} nanofiber assembly was facilitated by Nphe π−π stacking as well as by flexible peptoid backbone twists that enable favorable positioning of interacting groups.\textsuperscript{38,40}

We further characterized π−π stacking spectroscopically (Figure 5). First, N(FkF) showed a set of absorption fine structures in the 245–270 nm phenyl band distinct from other sequences as well as an additional absorption around 288 nm (Figure 5A), indicating a unique phenyl environment. This phenyl signature was retained at concentrations below the CAC (Figure S6A), indicating that they originate from the monomer state. On the other hand, while other tripeptides produced fluorescence emissions at 282 and 288 nm, N(FkF) displayed a pair of especially well-separated emissions centered at 280 and 312 nm (Figure 5B). These peaks, separated by 32 nm (3663 cm\textsuperscript{−1}), are assigned as monomer and strongly red-shifted excimer emission, respectively. An excimer was assigned based on its increasing emission with increasing concentration above the CAC (Figure S6). As the nanofibers assembled, the phenyl groups must be sufficiently close in space to form the excimer efficiently during the excited-state lifetime of the phenyl chromophore. This is analogous to the fluorescence behavior of FF peptide nanofibers\textsuperscript{37} and certain dimerized peptoids that are mediated by π-interactions.\textsuperscript{40,41}

The excimer fluorescence features were already present 30 min after initially dissolving the peptoid in water, the time sample preparation and measurements took. They also held constant after long-term storage (tested up to 4 months at 4 °C; see Figure S7). Consistent with the CAC measured for N(FkF) (~3 mg/mL; Figure 2), the 312 nm excimer peak grew more apparent as the peptoid concentration increased above 1 mg/mL (Figure S6).

In the context of sequence-specific assembly facilitated by Nphe π−π stacking, it is noteworthy that the less hydrophobic N(FxF) compared to N(xFF) (earlier elution in HPLC—Figure S1), which ordinarily would suggest lower assembly propensity, was actually able to form the more ordered nanofibers. Indeed, as discussed above, the sequences are expected to be fully charged to promote solubility. It is possible that stronger nonspecific attractive interactions due to higher hydrophobicsities could be hindering the repositioning required during assembly to obtain ordered nanostructures. Interestingly, the 312 nm excimer emission remained when we raised the pH of the N(FkF) solution from pH 3 to pH 11 (Figure S8), indicating that the N(FkF) structural interactions were not controlled by charge or H-bonding. In comparison, the small separation of the 282 nm/288 nm peaks for N(FKF) is also unchanged from acidic to basic pH (Figure S9), although there is some slight overall shift of these peaks and some additional low intensity features appeared by pH 9. This lower pH stability could be due to the fact that the unordered N(FKF) structure may possibly be more susceptible to changes in environmental conditions.

In summary, we have identified a set of minimally short, water-soluble tripeptoids that assemble into uniform 6 nm wide nanofibers and other nanoassemblies. This is the first demonstration of self-assembly for such short linear α-peptoids. The assembled morphology depended on the sequence and was further controlled by the cationic side chain length. Spectroscopic results are consistent with unique π−π interactions that differentiate highly ordered nanofibers from other structures.

Our tripeptoids illustrate that ordered aqueous assembly of even very short peptidic chains can still be engineered without chirality, backbone—backbone H-bonding (e.g., beta-sheet structures\textsuperscript{2,37}), and charge group deionization. Even if our tripeptoids may superficially resemble FF-peptides, peptoids and peptides appear to follow different assembly rules to strike a balance between solvation and intermolecular attraction. The flexibility of the peptoid backbone might even aid assembly, by accommodating favorable conformations for side chain H-bonding or π−π stacking, as previously implicated\textsuperscript{38,40} Nae, the Lys-mimic included in our nanofiber- and sheet-forming tripeptoids, is also found in longer peptoid sequences that assemble into highly ordered bilayer nanosheets,\textsuperscript{32,34} suggesting a potential structural role. Future studies adding additional nanocaracterization, molecular simulations, and a larger set of sequences may elucidate the molecular structures observed and clarify how the sequence and the Nae side chain may control the assembly. Overall, our tripeptoid sequences display unique and novel self-assembly behavior distinct from di- and tripeptides and open the door to convenient studies of peptoid assembly directly in water. The proteolytically stable peptidic structures should be of fundamental interest as well as of value to applications such as stable biomaterials and other sequence-tunable nanostructures.
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