

Topological constraints by the Greenland-Scotland Ridge on AMOC and climate

Article

Accepted Version

Rheinlaender, J. W., Ferreira, D. ORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3243-9774> and Nisancioglu, K. H.
(2020) Topological constraints by the Greenland-Scotland
Ridge on AMOC and climate. *Journal of Climate*, 33 (13). pp.
5393-5411. ISSN 1520-0442 doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0726.1
Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/90568/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0726.1>

Publisher: American Meteorological Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



Topological constraints by the Greenland-Scotland Ridge on AMOC and climate

Jonathan W. Rheinlænder*

*Department of Earth Science, University of Bergen and the Bjerknes Centre for Climate
Research, Bergen, Norway*

David Ferreira

Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK

Kerim H. Nisancioglu

*Department of Earth Science, University of Bergen and the Bjerknes Centre for Climate
Research, Bergen, Norway, and Centre for Earth Evolution and Dynamics (CEED), Oslo, Norway*

*Corresponding author address: J. Rheinlænder, Department of Earth Science, University of
Bergen, Allegaten 41, 5007 Bergen, Norway
E-mail: jonathan.rheinlender@uib.no

ABSTRACT

Changes in the geometry of ocean basins have been influential in driving climate change throughout Earth's history. Here, we focus on the emergence of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR) and its influence on the ocean state, including large-scale circulation, heat transport, water mass properties and global climate. Using a coupled atmosphere-ocean-sea ice model, we consider the impact of introducing the GSR in an idealized Earth-like geometry, comprising a narrow Atlantic-like basin and a wide Pacific-like basin. Without the GSR, deep-water formation occurs near the North Pole in the Atlantic basin, associated with a deep meridional overturning circulation (MOC). By introducing the GSR, the volume transport across the sill decreases by 64%, and deep convection shifts south of the GSR, dramatically altering the structure of the high-latitude MOC. Due to compensation by the subpolar gyre, the northward ocean heat transport across the GSR only decreases by $\sim 30\%$. As in the modern Atlantic ocean, a bidirectional circulation regime is established with warm Atlantic water inflow and a cold dense overflow across the GSR. In sharp contrast to the large changes north of the GSR, the strength of the Atlantic MOC south of the GSR is unaffected. Outside the high-latitudes of the Atlantic basin, the surface climate response is surprisingly small, suggesting that the GSR has little impact on global climate. Our results suggest that caution is required when interpreting paleoproxy and ocean records, which may record large local changes, as indicators of basin-scale changes in the overturning circulation and global climate.

33 1. Introduction

34 Changes in the distribution of land masses driven by continental drift and plate tectonics play
35 a fundamental role in shaping the geometry of ocean basins. The presence of meridional barriers
36 in the ocean has a profound effect on large-scale ocean circulation, localization of deep water
37 formation and oceanic heat transport that help modulate global energy transports and control the
38 Earth's energy budget (Toggweiler and Bjornsson 2000; Enderton and Marshall 2009; Ferreira
39 et al. 2010). Changes in ocean basin geometry have been invoked as a key factor in setting the
40 mean climate of the Earth, explaining some of the major transitions in global climate over the past
41 50 million years (Barker and Burrell 1977; Haug and Tiedemann 1998).

42 Bathymetry, such as oceanic ridges, also influence ocean basin geometry and global ocean cir-
43 culation. Topographic features can steer major ocean currents, enhance vertical mixing over rough
44 topography (Polzin et al. 1997; De Lavergne et al. 2017) providing a major energy source for
45 driving the meridional overturning circulation and serve as solid barriers limiting water exchange
46 between adjacent ocean basins (Gille and Smith 2003). Hence, exploring the impacts of changes
47 in bathymetry on global ocean circulation allows us to separate the effects of bathymetry from
48 changes in e.g. CO₂ in driving major climate events (e.g., the glaciation of Antarctica: Drake
49 Passage opening versus declining atmospheric CO₂ (DeConto and Pollard 2003)).

50 In the North Atlantic region, oceanic gateway changes induced by the emergence of the
51 Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR) have been argued to play an important role in the evolution
52 of high latitude surface climate and North Atlantic ocean circulation throughout the Cenozoic (the
53 past 65 million years to present) (Wright and Miller 1996; St  rz et al. 2017). The GSR presents a
54 zonal barrier separating the North Atlantic from the Nordic Seas and Arctic Ocean and constricting
55 the exchange of water between these ocean basins (Fig. 1). At present, the main sills of the GSR

are less than 500 m deep, with deeper channels at water depths of ~ 840 m, where dense waters formed in the Nordic Seas can escape. As these cold, dense waters flow over the sill, they mix with warmer, lighter Atlantic water to form North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) (Hansen and Østerhus 2000), which constitutes the lower branch of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC). Therefore even minor changes in sill-depth may significantly impact deep-water exchange and NADW volume transport, potentially causing basin-wide changes in circulation (e.g., Roberts and Wood 1997).

Paleo-oceanographic data suggests that variations in the depth of the GSR correlates with major changes in North Atlantic and global climate (see Uenzelmann-Neben and Gruetzner 2018, for a review). In particular, during the late Eocene to early Miocene period (35 - 16 Ma), the GSR gradually deepened, but was interrupted by episodes of topographic uplift, thus impacting the water exchange between the Norwegian Seas and North Atlantic (Wright and Miller 1996; Davies et al. 2001; Via and Thomas 2006). Evidence from $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ -records in the deep North Atlantic have suggested that, at times when the GSR was higher, the production of Northern Component Water (NCW; a precursor of the modern NADW) ceased, with limited deep-water exchange across the GSR. As the GSR deepened, it resulted in high NCW fluxes into the deep North Atlantic marking the onset of the modern AMOC. This onset of the interhemispheric northern-sourced circulation cell has also been suggested to play a role in the glaciation of Antarctica at the Eocene-Oligocene Transition (EOT), about 33.5 Myr ago, prompting the EOT global cooling (Abelson et al. 2008; Abelson and Erez 2017). However, there is much uncertainty associated with the timing of tectonic gateway changes and their actual impact on AMOC evolution (see Fig. 3 in Ferreira et al. 2018).

It is widely accepted that the presence of the GSR is important for the surface climate conditions and the formation of dense water in the Nordic Seas, based on both numerical model simulations and observational data (e.g., Roberts and Wood 1997; Iovino et al. 2008). Meanwhile, its effect

80 on large-scale ocean circulation is not well established. In some models, even modest changes in
81 the sill-depth produce large changes in Atlantic overturning circulation, with major consequences
82 for ocean heat transport (OHT) and North Atlantic surface climate (e.g., Roberts and Wood 1997),
83 while in others changes in the sill does not affect AMOC strength (Robinson et al. 2011; Born
84 et al. 2009).

85 Using a coupled climate model with Miocene boundary conditions (~ 20 -15 Ma), St  r   et al.
86 (2017) investigated the long-term subsidence history of the GSR. As the GSR deepened, the Arctic
87 Ocean gradually transitioned from a freshwater dominated environment towards the establishment
88 of a bi-directional flow regime characterizing the modern North Atlantic-Arctic water exchange.
89 This lead to an "Atlantification" of the Nordic Seas and Arctic Ocean and increased sea surface
90 temperature (SST) and salinity (SSS) in the high northern latitudes. A similar mechanism has been
91 invoked to explain the observed high-latitude warming of the Mid-Pliocene warm period (MPWP,
92 3.3-3.0 Ma) (Robinson et al. 2011; Hill 2015). Reconstructions of ocean temperature from marine
93 proxy data indicate that, during the MPWP, global mean temperature was warmer by 2 – 3  C
94 compared to modern (Dowsett et al. 2010), while summer temperatures in the Arctic were about
95 8  C warmer (Brigham-Grette et al. 2013). As a consequence, the North Atlantic equator-to-pole
96 SST gradient was reduced to about 18  C (modern is ~ 27   C). The favoured hypothesis for the
97 Mid-Pliocene warmth is that the AMOC was stronger (inferred from the observed SST pattern),
98 contributing to enhanced meridional heat transport in the North Atlantic ocean (Dowsett et al.
99 1992; Raymo et al. 1996; Dowsett et al. 2009). Using a climate model simulation with Pliocene
100 boundary conditions, Robinson et al. (2011) argued that such changes in ocean heat transport could
101 be explained by depth variations in the GSR and showed that lowering the sill by 800 m leads to
102 a stronger overturning circulation in the subpolar region, increased high-latitude OHT and higher
103 Arctic SSTs, consistent with the proxy data.

104 Common for many of these earlier studies (both proxy and model based) is that they assume
105 AMOC strength and poleward ocean heat transport are correlated with the height of the GSR
106 through the production of dense water in the Nordic Seas and its control on the overflow strength
107 (Dowsett et al. 1992; Wright and Miller 1996; Raymo et al. 1996; Dowsett et al. 2009; Robinson
108 et al. 2011). There are two main issues with this view. Firstly, from a modern observational
109 point of view there has been no conclusive evidence linking AMOC variability to the formation
110 of Nordic Seas overflow water (Hansen and Østerhus 2007; Olsen et al. 2008; Medhaug et al.
111 2012; Lozier et al. 2017). Rather, AMOC variability is largely attributed to deep water formation
112 south of the GSR in the subpolar North Atlantic (Olsen et al. 2008). Secondly, it assumes that
113 the OHT across the GSR is nearly completely dominated by the AMOC, which conflicts with a
114 number of studies (e.g., Wunsch 2005; Ferrari and Ferreira 2011; Tett et al. 2014; Årthun et al.
115 2019) showing a decoupling between northern high-latitude climate and the AMOC at 26°N. This
116 calls into questions the role of the GSR in controlling AMOC strength and high-latitude OHT, in
117 particular on geological time-scales, where changes in sill-depth are invoked as the primary driver
118 for changes in large-scale ocean circulation and global climate.

119 In this study, we explore the impact of introducing the GSR in a coupled ocean-atmosphere-sea
120 ice general circulation model (GCM) with idealised land-sea geometry, focusing on the response
121 of the Atlantic overturning circulation. Rather than investigating a specific time in Earth's history,
122 we consider the fundamental role of ocean-basin geometry (induced by changes in bathymetry) in
123 shaping the ocean circulation and mean climate. This builds on previous work by Ferreira et al.
124 (2010). We note, however, that the present study provides valuable insight into understanding
125 Cenozoic climate evolution, where Arctic-Atlantic gateway changes are likely to have played a
126 major role (Stärz et al. 2017; Hutchinson et al. 2019).

127 In contrast to earlier model studies, we focus on a detailed understanding of how the presence
128 of the GSR influences the location of deep water formation and its role in shaping the overturning
129 circulation and ocean heat transport. Special attention is paid to the relationship between the
130 AMOC and the northward transport of heat across the GSR and water mass transformation. This
131 allows us to study and review how long-term changes in sill-depth, inferred from proxy-records,
132 can impact high-latitude climate as well as global surface climate.

133 2. Model and experiment

134 We use the coupled atmosphere-ocean-sea ice configuration of the Massachusetts Institute of
135 Technology General Circulation Model (MITgcm) (Marshall et al. 1997) with idealized land-sea
136 geometry, which has been used previously to investigate fundamental aspects of large-scale ocean
137 circulation and its impact on mean climate (e.g., Marshall et al. 2007; Ferreira et al. 2010, 2011;
138 Rose et al. 2013). The model uses the rescaled pressure coordinate p^* for the compressible atmo-
139 sphere and the rescaled height coordinate z^* for the Boussinesq ocean (Adcroft and Campin 2004).
140 The atmosphere, ocean and sea-ice components are configured on the same cubed-sphere grid at
141 a low-horizontal resolution C32 (32x32 points per face), yielding a resolution of roughly 2.8° at
142 the equator. The cubed-sphere grid allows for better representation of dynamics in high latitude
143 regions by avoiding problems with the converging meridian at the poles.

144 The atmospheric model is a five-level primitive equation model of intermediate complex-
145 ity based on the simplified parameterizations primitive-equation dynamics (SPEEDY) scheme
146 (Molteni 2003). This method comprises a four-band radiation scheme, a parameterization of moist
147 convection, diagnostic clouds, and a boundary layer scheme.

148 The flat-bottomed ocean is 3 km deep with 30 vertical levels, increasing from 10 m in the surface
149 layers to 200 m in the deep ocean. Effects of mesoscale eddies are parameterized as an advective

150 process (Gent and McWilliams 1990) and isopycnal diffusion (Redi 1982), both with a transfer
151 coefficient of $1200 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. For the vertical mixing the non-local K-Profile Parameterization (KPP)
152 scheme (Large et al. 1994) is used, which deals with the different mixing processes in the ocean
153 interior and surface boundary layer.

154 The sea ice model is a two-and-a-half-layer thermodynamic model based on Winton (2000) with
155 prognostics variables including sea ice area, snow and ice thickness, brine pockets and sea ice
156 salinity. There is no ice dynamics, but sea ice deformation is crudely represented by a horizontal
157 thickness diffusivity of $2000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

158 The land model is a simple two layer model with prognostic temperature, liquid groundwater,
159 and snow height. Precipitation that falls on land (as snow or rain) is evenly distributed along the
160 coast as run-off. There is no orographic effect from land masses and no continental ice. Land
161 albedo is set to 0.10, plus a contribution from snow, if present, which varies from 0.25 to 0.80
162 depending on snow height, surface temperature and snow age. Orbital forcing and CO_2 levels are
163 at present-day values.

164 *a. Description of experiments*

165 To test the impact of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge on ocean circulation and climate, two bathy-
166 metric configurations of the model are considered. These are illustrated in Fig. 2. The reference
167 configuration (*noridge*) comprises two 45° -wide strips of land, set 90° apart, extending from the
168 North Pole to 40°S and separates the ocean into a small "Atlantic-like" and a large "Pacific-like"
169 basin, with a zonally unbound Southern Ocean. For simplicity we refer to these as the Atlantic
170 and Pacific basin respectively. The second configuration (*ridge*) is similar to *noridge*, with the
171 only difference that an oceanic ridge, extending across the small basin between $61^\circ - 65^\circ\text{N}$, is
172 introduced. The ridge has a uniform sill-depth of 500 meters, roughly corresponding to the av-

173 erage depth of the modern GSR. Thereby, the *ridge* configuration mimics the effect of the GSR,
174 by separating the Atlantic basin into a semi-enclosed (polar) basin at high latitudes, representing
175 the Nordic Seas and Arctic Ocean, and a larger basin representing the subtropical and subpolar
176 Atlantic. In contrast, *noridge* presents an Atlantic ocean without zonal boundaries (i.e. ridges).

177 Despite the simplified geometry, the model captures the general features associated with the
178 large-scale ocean circulation in the subpolar and high-latitude Atlantic: shallow wind-driven gyres
179 at mid-latitudes; a surface current resembling the North Atlantic Current (NAC) transporting warm
180 and saline water from the subtropics to the polar regions; and deep water formation at northern
181 high latitudes (Fig. 6 and 9). It also reproduces a key asymmetry between the two basins: deep
182 water formation in the small basin drives a strong and deep meridional overturning circulation (i.e.
183 an AMOC), while deep water formation is absent in the large basin (see also, Ferreira et al. 2010).

184 The *noridge* was initialized with global temperature and salinity fields from the "Double-Drake"
185 simulation in Ferreira et al. (2010) and integrated forward until reaching a steady state solution
186 after 4000 years. The initial conditions for *ridge* were obtained by adding the GSR to the *noridge*
187 simulation and then run for 4000 years, allowing the ocean state to adjust to the altered bathymetry.
188 This is sufficient time for both surface and deep waters to equilibrate. Note, that the local ocean
189 adjustment to the GSR is relatively fast (within the first 200 years). In the following, we compare
190 the last 100 years of the *ridge* experiment to the last 100 years of the *noridge* solution.

191 **3. Results**

192 *a. Mean climate without the Greenland-Scotland Ridge (noridge)*

193 The mean climate of *noridge* is depicted in Fig. 3 (left) showing the annual mean SST and sea
194 ice thickness. The equilibrium solution of *noridge* is warm with a global mean SST of 20.7°C

195 (Table 1) and a relatively weak equator-pole SST gradient of only 19.0°C (in the Atlantic basin)
196 owing to the strong northward heat transport associated with the MOC. Consequently, the northern
197 high latitudes are completely ice-free. In addition, there is an east-west asymmetry in high-latitude
198 SST and SSS, with warmer and saltier surface waters on the eastern side of the basin, associated
199 with the northward warm boundary current (analogous to the North Atlantic Drift), and extension
200 of colder and fresher waters on the western side and in the interior of the basin. In the Southern
201 Hemisphere, a strong zonal current driven by the intense westerly winds suppresses poleward
202 ocean heat transport (i.e. the Drake Passage effect; Toggweiler and Samuels (1995)) allowing a
203 large and thick (~ 10 m) ice cap to form. We note that the surface climate of *noridge* has many
204 similarities to the climate of the MPWP, where Arctic sea ice cover was dramatically reduced, or
205 absent, and the North Atlantic-Arctic SST gradient was reduced to $\sim 18^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Dowsett et al. 2010).
206 Therefore, the MPWP is often used as an analogue for future warming scenario.

207 In Fig. 4 we show the residual-mean overturning circulation integrated over the Atlantic basin,
208 i.e. the total meridional volume transport defined as the sum of the Eulerian meridional velocity,
209 and the eddy-induced velocity parameterized with the Gent-McWilliams scheme. In the upper
210 ocean (0-500 m), the circulation is dominated by shallow overturning cells, whose horizontal
211 structure is related to the wind-driven circulation in the subtropical and subpolar gyres. The deep
212 overturning circulation is characterized by a clockwise circulation, associated with deep water
213 formation in the northern high latitudes, extending from below the wind-driven layer to the abyssal
214 ocean. In the absence of a zonal barrier in the Atlantic basin, the MOC stretches to the North Pole,
215 where surface water cools and sinks, returning southward in the deep overturning branch with
216 a maxima of 23.2 Sv at 26°N . We note the absence of an abyssal overturning cell associated
217 with Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) formation. This is due to insufficient brine release in the
218 Southern Ocean possibly related to the absence of an Antarctic continent. As a consequence, the

219 overturning circulation in the Atlantic basin is dominated by deep water formation in the North
220 Atlantic.

221 The meridional ocean heat transport in the Atlantic basin, shown in Fig. 5, is northward ev-
222 erywhere in the basin, with a cross-equatorial transport of 0.7 PW, that can be attributed to the
223 MOC transporting warm water north and returning cold water at depth. The Atlantic OHT peaks
224 at about 20°N with a maximum of 1.18 PW, to be compared to the observed ~1.2 PW (Trenberth
225 and Caron 2001), and is associated with a "mixed" circulation spanning both the shallow Ekman-
226 driven subtropical cell and the deep overturning cell (Ferrari and Ferreira 2011). We also notice a
227 relatively large interdecadal variability (shading) in the subtropical OHT, which is due to stronger
228 variability in the MOC at these latitudes (not shown). At subpolar and high northern latitudes,
229 the OHT is dominated by the strong MOC transporting roughly 16 Sv across 70°N (just north of
230 the GSR) corresponding to a heat transport of 0.22 PW (Table 1) maintaining the northern high
231 latitudes warm and ice free. By comparison, the observed modern transport across the GSR is only
232 about 8.5 Sv (Østerhus et al. 2005).

233 *b. Effect of the GSR on meridional overturning circulation*

234 When the GSR is introduced, the transport of warm and salty Atlantic water over the GSR by the
235 MOC is reduced by 64% (from 16 Sv to 5.7 Sv at 70°N). As a consequence, the spatial structure
236 of the MOC changes dramatically, but mostly at subpolar and high latitudes (Fig. 4; right). The
237 downwelling branch of the deep overturning cell shifts southwards, and the streamfunction decays
238 sharply immediately to the south of the GSR. A small overturning circulation remains north of the
239 ridge, associated with a dense overflow from the polar basin, balanced by a warm inflow at the
240 surface. Despite significant changes in the structure of the overturning at high latitudes, the MOC
241 south of 60°N is generally not affected; the volume transport at 26°N decreases from 23.2 Sv to

242 22.1 Sv, i.e. a 5% reduction. This suggests that the net deep water production in the North Atlantic
243 and high latitudes remains unchanged, while the main difference between *noridge* and *ridge* is in
244 the localization of deep water formation and MOC structure.

245 The impact of the GSR on the horizontal circulation is illustrated in Fig. 6b, showing the
246 barotropic streamfunction featuring a basin-wide anticyclonic gyre in the subtropics (STG), a cy-
247 clonic subpolar gyre (SPG) at sub-arctic latitudes (between 40° and 70°N) and an anticyclonic
248 gyre at high latitudes driven by the polar easterlies north of 57°N. For *noridge* the wind-forced
249 barotropic volume transport at the GSR latitudes is relatively small (less than 5 Sv), indicating
250 that the total transport is dominated by the MOC (Table 1). In *ridge*, however, the barotropic flow
251 intensifies associated with a poleward expansion of the SPG. Note, that there are no major changes
252 in zonal wind stress, i.e. Fig. 6a, which implies that the changes in the barotropic streamfunction
253 are a direct consequence of the topography changes. As a result, the barotropic component of
254 the volume transport over the GSR increases (from <5 Sv to ~8 Sv), while the transport by the
255 MOC decreases (Fig. 4). Hence, there is a partial conversion of the flow from an overturning to a
256 barotropic flow with the introduction of the GSR. Nonetheless, the net (barotropic+MOC) volume
257 transport over the GSR decreases as the MOC weakens and so does the OHT.

258 To better understand the changes in the gyre circulation, horizontal velocities averaged over the
259 top 100 m for the North Atlantic are shown in Fig. 6c and d. In both cases, the surface flow in the
260 polar basin is dominated by a warm cyclonic boundary current entering at the eastern side of the
261 basin. Due to the zonal barrier in *ridge*, however, a substantial part of the poleward flow is steered
262 along the GSR (following constant f/H contours), seen as an enhanced westward barotropic flow
263 (at the GSR latitudes). This is consistent with observations and models showing that a substantial
264 part of the NAC is steered by the complex bottom topography and recirculates south of the GSR
265 (Bower et al. 2019; Stärz et al. 2017).

266 *c. Hydrographic changes in the North Atlantic*

267 As suggested by the MOC response, the GSR also affects the distribution of water mass proper-
268 ties (i.e. potential temperature and salinity) in the northern Atlantic basin. A cooling and freshen-
269 ing ($3 - 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $0.1-0.7$ psu respectively) is simulated over most of the water column north of the
270 GSR, as the shallow sill weakens the flow of warm, salty subtropical waters (Fig. 7). As a result,
271 the polar basin becomes more stratified, notably because a strong polar halocline can develop.
272 However, the polar basin remains too warm for sea ice to form. South of the GSR, the surface
273 water becomes warmer and saltier, as a result of the changes in the barotropic circulation shown
274 above (Fig. 6b). In addition, a small increase in temperature and salinity ($\sim 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and ~ 0.1 psu)
275 can be seen at mid-depth in the subtropical Atlantic associated with changes in the properties of
276 the NADW.

277 Because of the high-latitude cooling, the density increases dramatically north of the GSR, while
278 it decreases slightly south of the GSR, resulting in an upward sloping of isopycnals from south to
279 north (Fig. 7; bottom row). This is due to the fact that stratification is dominated by temperature
280 in this warm state. In a colder climate, with a small thermal expansion coefficient, density might
281 decrease from the freshening. The density structure reveals a modern-like bi-directional circulation
282 regime with Atlantic water inflow in the surface-subsurface and a dense ($\sigma_{\theta} > 27.6$) southward
283 outflow above the sill. Here, the enhanced density contrast across the GSR help drive the overflow,
284 which in turn, is balanced by the inflow (Hansen and Østerhus 2000). Hence, by introducing the
285 GSR, the polar basin is transformed into a reservoir of dense and less ventilated deep water with
286 longer residence time due to limited exchange with the North Atlantic.

d. Ocean heat transport changes

The changes in the North Atlantic ocean circulation are also reflected in the meridional OHT. Northward OHT decreases throughout the Atlantic basin (Fig. 5) when introducing the ridge. South of the GSR the reduction in OHT (by 0.08 PW (7%) at 20°N) is consistent with the small weakening (~5%) of the deep MOC (Fig. 4). The most prominent differences in OHT occur in the subpolar and high latitude regions, where the changes in the MOC are largest. However, despite the weak MOC in this region, the OHT across the GSR only decreases by 32% (from 0.22 PW to 0.15 PW at 70°N), which implies a disconnect between changes in the MOC and high-latitude OHT. We note that there are no compensating effects by the atmospheric heat transport. Further north, the poleward OHT decays rapidly because less warm Atlantic water reaches the high latitudes.

To help understand the changes in OHT between the two states, we consider the scaling argument by Ferrari and Ferreira (2011) which states that the heat transport associated with a closed circulation scales as $\sim \rho_0 c_p \Psi \Delta_z \theta$, where Ψ is the strength of the overturning circulation and $\Delta_z \theta$ the vertical temperature gradient it encounters. ρ_0 is a reference density and c_p is the heat capacity of sea water. In the absence of a zonal barrier (*noridge*), the volume transport across 70°N is high ($\Psi = 16$ Sv; Table 1), and the MOC spans the entire water column with a temperature gradient of about 4°C. The scaling yields a poleward OHT of roughly 0.26 PW, slightly more than the actual OHT in Fig. 5. In this case, the poleward heat transport is dominated by the deep MOC. For *ridge*, Ψ is only 5.7 Sv (over the GSR), but is compensated by a larger temperature contrast of almost 8°C between the surface inflow and the deep outflow over the sill (Fig. 7). Thus the scaling gives an OHT of 0.18 PW close to the simulated 0.15 PW. The larger temperature contrast is a result of the stronger gyre circulation (Fig. 6b) providing warmer water at the surface, while the outflow is

310 colder thereby facilitating a more efficient heat transport. Hence, the presence of the GSR com-
311 pletely changes the dynamics of the North Atlantic-Arctic circulation; as the deep MOC vanishes
312 poleward of 50°N, the heat transport across the GSR is dominated by a shallow circulation (driven
313 by a combination of surface winds and buoyancy forcing). This is consistent with earlier model
314 studies suggesting that the SPG accounts for most of the OHT across the GSR in the modern day
315 climate (Spall 2001; Born et al. 2009; Ferrari and Ferreira 2011; Li and Born 2019).

316 *e. High-latitude and global surface climate response*

317 The GSR has a large impact on the surface climate conditions in the North Atlantic region
318 through the aforementioned ocean circulation changes (Fig. 3; right and 7). The high latitude
319 surface ocean cools and freshens by 2 – 4°C and 1-2 psu respectively, with the largest changes
320 occurring on the western side of the polar basin. The average SST in the polar basin decreases to
321 10.3°C (Table 1), and the Atlantic equator-to-pole SST gradient increases to 22.8°C (compared
322 to 19.0°C in *noridge*). This is consistent with proxy data and previous model simulations (e.g.,
323 Robinson et al. 2011; Stärz et al. 2017), suggesting that shallow sill-depths produce lower Arctic
324 surface temperatures and greater North Atlantic temperature gradients. Meanwhile, the polar basin
325 remains too warm for sea ice to form. The effect on global surface climate, however, is small, as
326 illustrated by Fig. 8, showing the global surface air temperature (SAT) difference between *ridge*
327 and *noridge*. While the presence of the GSR has a strong local effect at northern high latitudes,
328 the surface climate outside the polar basin does not change much. We note, however, a small
329 warming over the Southern Ocean and reduced sea-ice thickness (Fig. 3b), which is likely due to
330 the weakening of the AMOC and northward OHT (Fig. 5). Ultimately, the simulated changes in
331 SAT are linked to the spatial pattern of the OHT changes (Fig. 5). At low-latitudes the uniform
332 shift in the transport profile results in the same heat flux convergence and hence same flux to the

333 atmosphere. Consequently, there is no change in surface air temperature. In contrast, the surface
334 climate changes at subpolar and high latitudes are attributed to a southward shift in the location of
335 OHT convergence. The fact that surface climate response is confined to the northern high-latitudes
336 is conflicting with earlier proxy-based studies which suggest that deepening of the GSR triggered
337 global cooling at the EOT (e.g., Abelson et al. 2008; Abelson and Erez 2017).

338 In the present climate, it is widely accepted that variations in air-sea fluxes over the subpolar
339 North Atlantic have a significant effect on AMOC strength (e.g., Lozier et al. 2017; Sévellec
340 et al. 2017). To better understand the link between the simulated changes in high-latitude surface
341 climate and the MOC, we compare the surface density fluxes (Fig. 10) to the mixed layer depth
342 (Fig. 9) reflecting the location of deep convection. Overall, there is a net buoyancy loss (i.e.
343 densification) over the North Atlantic dominated by ocean heat loss, while the freshwater fluxes,
344 mainly associated with E-P and run-off along the boundaries (in the absence of sea ice), contributes
345 only with a small buoyancy gain. In *noridge* deeper mixed layers are found in the northwestern
346 part of the basin, where there is strong ocean heat loss. As the Atlantic inflow weakens in *ridge*,
347 the surface water gets colder and the ocean-atmosphere heat flux is reduced poleward of 70°N
348 (Fig. 10). At the same time, the imprint of the freshwater forcing, by precipitation and run-off, is
349 more pronounced, although its effect on surface density is small compared to the changes in heat
350 flux. The reduced buoyancy loss weakens deep convection in the polar basin, also illustrated by the
351 shallower mixed layers in Fig. 9. This results in poorly ventilated deep waters below the sill-depth.
352 Meanwhile, deep convection is enhanced south of the GSR as indicated by the increased buoyancy
353 loss between 60°N and 70°N, which is uniquely attributed to warmer SSTs along the GSR that
354 favours stronger ocean-atmosphere heat fluxes and deeper mixed layers. Hence, when the GSR
355 is present, deep convection occurs both in the polar basin (albeit weaker) and the subpolar North
356 Atlantic. A similar response was found in a recent modelling study, using late Eocene boundary

conditions (Hutchinson et al. 2019), demonstrating that deep water formation shifted to the south of the GSR in response to a shoaling of the sill from 500 m to 25 m. They also suggest that the Arctic-Atlantic freshwater transport may be critical in determining the location of deep water formation, including the preferred basin of sinking (i.e. Atlantic versus Pacific sinking).

f. Water mass transformation estimates

To understand how variations in the density fluxes over the North Atlantic and polar regions shown in Fig. 10 influence the structure and strength of the overturning circulation we estimate the surface-forced water mass transformation (WMT) based on the approach by Walin (1982). This approach is particularly useful because it provides a way to estimate the rate of deep water formation based solely on the surface forcing conditions. Walin (1982) showed that the transformation of surface waters by density fluxes between two isopycnals σ and $\sigma + \delta\sigma$ (an outcrop region), is equivalent to a diapycnal volume flux across the outcropping isopycnal. Adopting the notation from Brambilla et al. (2008) and Speer and Tziperman (1992) the WMT over a year can be written as:

$$F(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\Delta T \Delta \sigma} \int_{year} dt \iint_{area} dA \delta(\sigma - \sigma') D(x, y, t) \quad (1)$$

$F(\sigma)$ is the annual mean water mass transformation function equal to the diapycnal volume flux ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$) over the isopycnal outcrop region with the area dA and $D(x, y, t)$ is the surface density flux sampled at the surface density σ by the delta function δ . Negative/positive values indicate that waters become lighter/denser. The total surface density flux (D ; $\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) consists of a thermal (D_{HF}) and a haline component (D_{FW}):

$$D(x, y, t) = D_{HF} + D_{FW} = -\frac{\alpha Q_{HF}}{c_p} + \beta S Q_{FW} \quad (2)$$

where c_p ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$) is the specific heat of sea water, α and β are the thermal expansion and haline contraction coefficients respectively (calculated from UNESCO formulas; see McDougall 1987), Q_{HF} (W m^{-2}) the net heat flux (positive for ocean heat gain), and Q_{FW} ($\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) the net freshwater flux into the ocean associated with evaporation-precipitation, run-off and melting/freezing of sea ice. S is the sea surface salinity and all variables are functions of space and time.

From the surface forced WMT function it is possible to estimate the water mass *formation*, which is defined as the divergence of the WMT in Eq. (1):

$$Md\sigma = -[F(\sigma + d\sigma) - F(\sigma)] \quad (3)$$

corresponding to the water that accumulates or is lost over a year between two isopycnals $\sigma + d\sigma$ and σ . The formation per unit density becomes: $M(\sigma) = dF/d\sigma$.

In practice we obtain the annual-mean surface forced WMT by calculating the surface density flux in each grid cell for the interval $28.4 \leq \sigma_2 \leq 36.4 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ with $\Delta\sigma = 0.1$ and a time-step of $\Delta t = 5$ days for a total of $\Delta T = 10$ years taken from the end of the integration. The WMT function is then integrated over the Atlantic basin from $40^\circ - 90^\circ \text{N}$.

The surface forced basin-integrated WMT (Eq. 1) in the entire North Atlantic region ($40^\circ - 90^\circ \text{N}$) is shown in Fig. 11 (upper panel) for the two experiments. The transformation rates for *noridge* and *ridge* shows the same general features and compare well with previous studies (e.g., Speer and Tziperman 1992; Brambilla et al. 2008). Negative WMT (associated with a *buoyancy gain*) is found at low densities in the interval $31.0 \leq \sigma_2 \leq 33.5$ (i.e. subtropical water), while posi-

tive WMT (associated with *buoyancy loss* from surface cooling) occurs at higher surface densities
 in the range $33.6 \leq \sigma_2 \leq 36.4$ (i.e. subpolar water). In *noridge*, however, there is no transformation
 for densities greater than $\sigma_2 > 36.1$. Both cases have comparable peak WMT (27.7 Sv and 27.9 Sv
 crossing the 35.3 and 35.2 isopycnal for *noridge* and *ridge* respectively). This is the magnitude
 of convection in the Atlantic basin due to surface exchanges, and implies that the production of
 dense water is virtually constant regardless of the GSR. We note that these values are comparable
 with the transport in the upper branch of the MOC in Fig. 4 (see also Brambilla et al. 2008).

The spatial distribution of the WMT, however, is quite different in the two configurations. To
 illustrate this in more detail, the total WMT in the North Atlantic is separated into contributions
 from the subpolar and polar regions (Fig. 11; middle and lower panel). This reveals a much
 weaker WMT in the polar basin for *ridge* (7 Sv versus 15.2 Sv in *noridge*), occurring at higher
 surface densities because the surface waters are colder. It is partly compensated by larger WMT
 in the subpolar North Atlantic, with a maximum of 26.4 Sv at the 35.2 isopycnal. This reflects an
 increase in deep water formation south of the GSR, consistent with the enhanced surface density
 fluxes and deeper mixed layers (Fig. 9 and 10). Hence, for *ridge* most of the dense water is
 formed in the subpolar North Atlantic between 40° and 70°N (although $\sim 10\%$ of the total WMT
 still occurs north of the GSR; i.e., 2.8 kg/s of the net density input, 28 kg/s, occurs north of 70°N).
 In summary, the surface forced WMT is in good agreement with the overturning transport (i.e.
 Fig. 4), which both show that the GSR significantly impact the location of deep water formation,
 but does not affect the total deep water production over the Atlantic basin. As a consequence, the
 maximum transport by the MOC shows little change.

416 *g. Water mass formation*

417 The surface forced water mass *formation* can be estimated from the divergence of the WMT
418 (Fig. 11), where a negative (positive) slope is associated with water mass formation (destruction)
419 (see Eq. 3). We compare the implied isopycnal transport from the surface fluxes to the overturning
420 streamfunction in density coordinates (i.e. MOC_σ) in Fig. 12.

421 The overturning circulation in latitude-density space depicts the gradual densification of surface
422 waters as they move northwards. The densification is consistent with a positive WMT (i.e. buoy-
423 ancy loss) in the subpolar and polar region for densities greater than 33.5 and is similar in strength
424 in both cases. Here the negative slope ($dF/d\rho < 0$) for $\sigma_2 > 35.3$ in Fig. 11 gives a formation
425 of about 27.6 Sv for *noridge* and 23 Sv in *ridge* and is balanced by a destruction of interme-
426 diate water masses in the range $32.6 \leq \sigma_2 \leq 35.2$ where $dF/d\rho > 0$. These values are in good
427 agreement with the simulated volume transport by MOC_σ , which shows a maximum strength
428 of 25.5 Sv for *noridge* and 23.3 for *ridge*, slightly higher than the overturning in latitude-depth
429 space (i.e. MOC_z). In addition, the overturning maximum is shifted toward the subpolar region
430 ($\sim 50^\circ\text{N}$), reflecting the transport by the horizontal gyre circulation, which tends to average to zero
431 in latitude-depth space and therefore has little imprint on MOC_z .

432 For *noridge* MOC_σ reveals a relatively low density ($35.6 \leq \sigma_2 \leq 35.8$) outflow from the polar
433 basin, indicating that it is formed by local conversion of lighter water originating from the subpolar
434 region. Meanwhile, the water mass characteristics of the southward flow changes very little with
435 latitude, which reflects an interior circulation that is largely adiabatic. In *ridge*, on the other
436 hand, the southward transport over the GSR at 60°N consist of about 6 Sv of intermediate density
437 waters being recirculated in the SPG and a very dense overflow ($\sigma_2 > 36.0$) of ~ 3 Sv formed by
438 strong surface cooling in the polar basin. At 50°N , however, there is no transport of these extreme

439 waters suggesting that the dense overflow mixes downstream of the GSR to form waters of lighter
440 densities. As a result, there is a sharp peak (18 Sv) in the southward flux across 50°N with density
441 $\sigma_2=35.7$.

442 We note that the estimated WMT by Walin (1982) only consider transformation due to air-
443 sea fluxes, but neglects the WMT associated with mixing processes in the ocean interior and
444 therefore we do not expect a perfect fit between the WMT estimates and MOC_σ . Vertical mixing is
445 particularly important for the transformation of dense-water as it flows over the GSR (Hansen and
446 Østerhus 2000), where it roughly doubles in volume due to turbulent entrainment with ambient
447 waters (Beaird et al. 2013). As a consequence, it is estimated that the overflows supply about one-
448 third of the total volume transport of the AMOC (Hansen et al. 2004). Using a similar entrainment
449 rate implies that the dense overflow from the polar basin (see insert in Fig. 12b), would contribute
450 with about 6 Sv to the total overturning transport. Still, this is small compared to the air-sea
451 transformation in the subpolar North Atlantic (40° – 70°N) with an average rate of about 15 Sv,
452 which is the main source of deep water feeding into the MOC (Fig. 11).

453 *h. Sensitivity to the background climate state*

454 To test the sensitivity of the circulation response to the background climate state, we perform an
455 additional experiment where the GSR is introduced in a colder climate. The main goal is to test if
456 the model responds differently under cold climate conditions (when sea ice is present), where the
457 climate system might be more sensitive to perturbations (e.g., Bitz et al. 2007). This is achieved
458 by reducing the solar constant in *noridge* by 6 W m^{-2} , from the default $S_0 = 1366 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (*warm*
459 *state*) to $S_0 = 1360 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (*cold state*), mimicking a reduction in atmospheric pCO_2 (equivalent
460 to slightly less than a factor of 2 reduction).

461 By lowering the solar constant the climate cools and the model (i.e. *cold noridge*) reaches
462 a new steady state with a global mean SST of 18.2°C (a reduction of 2.5°C). The cooling is
463 amplified at the poles, causing sea ice to form in the Northern Hemisphere (although mainly in the
464 Pacific basin). The MOC in the Atlantic basin is shallower and slightly weaker (20.1 Sv at 26°N)
465 compared to the warm case, and a weak AABW cell emerges.

466 When the GSR is introduced in this colder climate state (i.e. *cold ridge*), the ocean circulation
467 response is virtually identical to the warm case (Fig. 13): the main downwelling region shifts
468 south of the GSR, the volume transport by the MOC across the GSR is greatly reduced (~65%)
469 and the poleward OHT weakens by 29%. Meanwhile, the overall AMOC strength is not affected,
470 which emphasizes the small impact of the GSR on global overturning circulation strength.

471 The surface climate response is also similar, although the magnitude of the SST and SSS changes
472 in the Atlantic basin are slightly stronger for the cold case (i.e. the polar basin cools by up to 6°C
473 compared to 4°C in the warm case). We attribute this to the appearance of a small (seasonal) sea
474 ice cover in the polar basin which amplifies the cooling and freshening of the high latitude surface
475 ocean. However, the changes are too small to have an impact on deep water formation, and thus
476 MOC strength and global climate.

477 4. Discussion

478 a. The relationship between GSR height and AMOC strength

479 Earlier proxy-based studies (e.g., Wright and Miller 1996; Davies et al. 2001; Via and Thomas
480 2006), suggest that changes to the GSR during the Cenozoic had a major impact on the Atlantic
481 overturning circulation and global climate. For example, Abelson et al. (2008) suggested that the
482 global cooling during the EOT, culminating in the glaciation of Antarctica, is linked to the deep-

ening of the GSR thereby triggering the onset of the AMOC. According to this hypothesis, the AMOC strength is directly correlated to the height of the GSR: deepening of the sill leads to a stronger overflow and higher NADW fluxes; while a shallower sill limits deep water exchange and prevents North Atlantic sinking. Similarly, our results does show a connection between variations in sill-depth and deep water production in the "Nordic Seas": the average production rate of dense water north of the GSR decreases from ~ 7 Sv to ~ 3 Sv between *noridge* and *ridge*. This leads to a weaker (but denser) overflow across the GSR, consistent with other paleo-climate model simulations (e.g., Robinson et al. 2011; Hill 2015). However, the total amount of deep water formed is unchanged as a result of changes south of the GSR (Fig. 11), and the AMOC at 26°N decreases by less than 2 Sv. This implies that variations in the strength of the Nordic Seas overflow does not necessarily translate into large changes in the AMOC, as suggested by modern observational studies (e.g., Olsen et al. 2008; Tett et al. 2014; Moffa-Sanchez et al. 2015).

On the other hand, the AMOC might be more strongly affected if the GSR is significantly shallower, by restricting the North Atlantic-Arctic water exchange. To test the effect of a shallower GSR on the AMOC response we performed an experiment comparing the *ridge* configuration (with a sill-depth of 500 m) to a shallow GSR of $\sim 100\text{m}$. This experiment was run for 200 years. With a shallower GSR we found that the inflow of warm and salty Atlantic water to the polar basin is drastically reduced, and thus prevents deep water from forming in the polar basin (not shown). Consequently, the maximum AMOC at 26°N decreases from 22.1 Sv in *ridge* to 18.5 Sv in *ridge100*. This weakening of the AMOC (by 3.6 Sv) is consistent with an absence of dense overflow water formed in the polar basin (roughly 3 Sv in *ridge*). Nevertheless, despite there being virtually no overflow, the AMOC remains relatively strong as a result of active deep water formation south of the GSR. These results support our conclusion that GSR sill-depth changes are not

likely the primary driver of AMOC variations and changes in global climate during the Cenozoic (see also Hutchinson et al. 2019).

b. The impact of dense overflows

One explanation for the weak MOC response to changes in the sill-depth is that our model does not realistically simulate the GSR overflow. In reality, the dense-water overflow occurs in narrow and deep channels, through the Denmark Strait (~ 840 m) and Faroe Bank Channel (~ 630 m), which are poorly represented in most present-day ocean models (Heuzé and Årthun 2019). Using a coarse resolution ocean model, Roberts and Wood (1997) showed that even small topographic changes in these deep channels can have a large impact on overflow transports and AMOC strength. Therefore, we might expect that the absence of such channels in our idealized setup, results in too weak overflow transports. On the other hand, the overflow response to topographic changes can be sensitive to the model's vertical resolution and coordinate system (Griffies et al. 2000; Riemenschneider and Legg 2007). Hence, the larger number of vertical levels in our model (30 levels compared to 20 in Roberts and Wood 1997) allows for a substantial overflow transport, evident in Fig. 12, despite the lack of deep overflow channels. We note, however, that the simulated overflow of 3 Sv when the GSR is present, is only about half of the observed estimates (Hansen and Østerhus 2000). This is probably a combination of the idealized representation of the GSR bathymetry and low horizontal resolution. Admittedly, at coarse resolution (2.8°) we may not fully resolve the narrow boundary currents at high latitudes, which could affect the poleward penetration of Atlantic water across the GSR (Heuzé and Årthun 2019; Spence et al. 2008). As a consequence, we may underestimate the amount of deep water formed north of the GSR.

From Fig. 12 we see that no dense water mass is found south of the GSR, and is most likely due to strong vertical mixing at the GSR as our model lacks an implicit overflow parameterization.

529 This is a common issue in coarse resolution models, causing excessive convective entrainment and
530 deep waters that are too light (Willebrand et al. 2001; Ezer and Mellor 2004; Danabasoglu et al.
531 2010; Yeager and Danabasoglu 2012). Hence, the representation of overflow water may have a sig-
532 nificant impact on the MOC volume transport. By implementing an overflow parameterization in a
533 fully-coupled climate model, Yeager and Danabasoglu (2012) found that the AMOC increases by
534 4-6 Sv in the subpolar North Atlantic between $40^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}\text{N}$, while the maximum AMOC transport
535 at 37°N decreases. Meanwhile, other studies using a parameterization based on hydraulic con-
536 straints (e.g., Legutke and Maier-Reimer 2002; Kösters et al. 2005; Born et al. 2009) only show
537 a small (less than 2 Sv), effect of Nordic Seas overflows on North Atlantic overturning strength.
538 Despite the weak response in overturning, Kösters et al. (2005) show that the GSR overflow has
539 a large impact on North Atlantic climate, by increasing the northward ocean heat transport and
540 warming the Nordic Seas. In our simulations as well, the overflows play a relatively small role in
541 determining the strength of the Atlantic overturning circulation. Instead, we argue that the over-
542 turning circulation in our model is more sensitive to changes in surface buoyancy fluxes over the
543 North Atlantic region, setting the location and strength of NADW formation. This is further sup-
544 ported by model simulations from Stärrz et al. (2017), who show that a substantial NADW flow can
545 exist, even for extremely shallow sill-depths (<50 m) with virtually no overflow. Alternatively,
546 other mechanisms are likely to influence the strength of the AMOC (see review by Kuhlbrodt
547 et al. 2007), for example: wind-driven upwelling of NADW in the Southern Ocean (Toggweiler
548 and Samuels 1995; Marshall and Speer 2012). As such, the fact that surface winds and upwelling
549 in the Southern Ocean remain constant in our model simulation (not shown), may contribute to the
550 relatively stable AMOC.

c. *Implications for paleoclimate*

The prevailing explanation for past changes in North Atlantic and high-latitude climate involves changes in the AMOC and northward OHT ranging from relatively abrupt centennial-to-millennial time-scales (e.g., Broecker et al. 1985; Rahmstorf 2002; Clark et al. 2002; Lynch-Stieglitz 2016), to very slow multi-million year time-scales associated with bathymetry changes and plate-tectonics (e.g., Wright and Miller 1996; Davies et al. 2001; Via and Thomas 2006). Such changes in past climate are inferred from indirect measurements (i.e. proxies) that record a local climate signal, reflecting past changes in surface climate conditions, circulation strength or deep water properties, but is often interpreted as representing changes in large-scale ocean circulation. For example, it is generally assumed that the high SSTs found in the North Atlantic and Arctic ocean during the MPWP is evidence for a stronger AMOC compared to modern, driving a stronger OHT to the high latitudes (Dowsett et al. 1992; Raymo et al. 1996). In this view, the strength of the overturning circulation is inferred from the SST pattern recorded in the proxies, thus assuming that global AMOC strength is directly correlated with the poleward heat transport and surface temperature at high latitudes.

In contrast to this view, our simulations illustrate that large changes in high-latitude surface climate can occur without invoking changes in large-scale ocean circulation. A central element to this is the disconnect between the AMOC and high-latitude OHT, which has been highlighted by several studies (e.g., Spall 2001; Wunsch 2006; Ferrari and Ferreira 2011; Zhang et al. 2013; Nummelin et al. 2017; Li and Born 2019; Årthun et al. 2019), and questions the traditional view that the AMOC is the main driver of changes in high-latitude climate. This has implications for the interpretation of paleo-proxy records; while proxies could indicate a large change in high-latitude surface climate, water mass properties, or ventilation they do not necessarily imply a large change

in the AMOC on a global scale. For example, several models have shown that OHT across the GSR may actually increase despite an AMOC slowdown (e.g., Zhang et al. 2013; Årthun et al. 2019), as a result of changes in the horizontal circulation. Similarly, our results emphasize the importance of the shallow overturning by the subpolar gyre in controlling high-latitude climate. In fact, the gyre maintains a substantial heat transport to the polar regions, despite a weakening of the heat transport by the deep MOC. Hence, an improved understanding of the processes affecting the horizontal gyre circulation is critical for understanding past climate variability at high northern latitudes (see also Li and Born 2019, for a discussion).

5. Conclusion

Using a coupled atmosphere-ocean-sea ice model with idealized Earth-like geometry, we explore the role of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR) in shaping the modern ocean circulation and its control on deep-water formation, ocean heat transport and high latitude surface climate.

When the GSR is absent, deep-water formation occurs near the North Pole in the Atlantic basin and a deep meridional overturning circulation (MOC) extends well into the high latitudes. This is associated with a strong northward ocean heat transport that warms the high latitudes and weakens the equator-to-pole SST gradient.

By introducing an idealized GSR, the main location of deep-water formation shifts southward causing the structure of the overturning circulation at subpolar and high latitudes to change dramatically. The meridional volume transport by the MOC across the GSR decreases by more than 64% and the poleward ocean heat transport is reduced by $\sim 30\%$. However, the overall strength of the MOC south of the GSR remains largely unaffected and the AMOC at 26°N decreases by only 2 Sv (a 5% decrease). This relatively weak response is due to enhanced deep water production south of GSR, as a result of surface buoyancy changes. This suggests that AMOC strength may

597 be decoupled from the flow across the GSR. As the subpolar North Atlantic (south of the GSR)
598 becomes the main region of deep water formation and the polar basin becomes less ventilated, the
599 overall rate of water mass transformation in the Atlantic remains unchanged, while a modern-type
600 bidirectional flow regime is established with warm inflow at the surface and a cold, dense overflow
601 above the sill.

602 As a result, the water column north of the GSR cools and freshens, precipitation accumulates
603 because of reduced exchange, while the subpolar region (south of the GSR) becomes warmer
604 and saltier. The surface temperature and salinity in the polar basin decreases, which leads to a
605 weakening of the equator-to-pole temperature gradient in the Atlantic basin. These results are
606 consistent with paleo-proxies and previous modelling efforts that indicate that Arctic surface cli-
607 mate is sensitive to changes in the height of the GSR (Dowsett et al. 2010; Robinson et al. 2011;
608 Brigham-Grette et al. 2013; Stärz et al. 2017), and is likely due to reductions in the northward
609 ocean heat transport.

610 The smaller sensitivity of the OHT across 70°N, compared to the MOC, shows that the horizontal
611 circulation by the subpolar gyre maintains a significant transport of heat to the high latitudes. Our
612 results emphasize that the shallow horizontal circulation is critical for controlling mid-to-high
613 latitude OHT, as shown by previous studies (e.g., Ferrari and Ferreira 2011; Li and Born 2019).
614 However, despite relatively large changes in ocean circulation, heat transport and surface climate
615 at high-latitudes, the large-scale global climate shows no change. This result is independent of the
616 background climate state in which the GSR is added. Contrary to previous suggestions (Wright and
617 Miller 1996; Abelson et al. 2008), our results suggest that variations in GSR height alone cannot
618 explain dramatic planetary climate change. At least, further amplification by internal feedbacks
619 (e.g. CO₂) needs to be invoked.

Our results have potential implications for the interpretation of paleo-proxies by highlighting a potential disconnect between the AMOC and high-latitude surface climate response, which is often inferred in paleoclimate studies (e.g., Dowsett et al. 1992; Raymo et al. 1996; Rahmstorf 2002). In contrast, we show that large changes in water mass properties and surface climate at high latitudes can be simulated without large AMOC changes on hemispheric and global scales. Therefore we propose, that caution be taken when inferring a direct relationship between indirect and non-local measurements of overturning strength, high latitude SST/SSS changes recorded in proxies and large-scale climate.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers and the editor of this paper for the constructive comments which helped improve the manuscript significantly. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement 610055 as part of the ice2ice project. The research was supported by the Centre for Climate Dynamics at the Bjerknes Centre.

References

- Abelson, M., A. Agnon, and A. Almogi-Labin, 2008: Indications for control of the Iceland plume on the Eocene-Oligocene "greenhouse-icehouse" climate transition. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **265** (1-2), 33–48, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.09.021.
- Abelson, M., and J. Erez, 2017: The onset of modern-like Atlantic meridional overturning circulation at the Eocene-Oligocene transition: Evidence, causes, and possible implications for global cooling. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **18** (6), 2177–2199, doi:10.1002/2017GC006826.

- 642 Adcroft, A., and J. M. Campin, 2004: Rescaled height coordinates for accurate representation
643 of free-surface flows in ocean circulation models. *Ocean Modelling*, **7** (3-4), 269–284, doi:
644 10.1016/j.ocemod.2003.09.003.
- 645 Årthun, M., T. Eldevik, and L. H. Smedsrud, 2019: The role of Atlantic heat transport in
646 future Arctic winter sea ice loss. *Journal of Climate*, **32** (11), 3327–3341, doi:10.1175/
647 JCLI-D-18-0750.1.
- 648 Barker, P. F., and J. Burrell, 1977: The opening of Drake Passage. *Marine Geology*, **25** (1-3),
649 15–34, doi:10.1016/0025-3227(77)90045-7.
- 650 Beaird, N. L., P. B. Rhines, C. C. Eriksen, N. L. Beaird, P. B. Rhines, and C. C. Eriksen, 2013:
651 Overflow Waters at the Iceland–Faroe Ridge Observed in Multiyear Seaglider Surveys. *Journal*
652 *of Physical Oceanography*, **43** (11), 2334–2351, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-13-029.1.
- 653 Bitz, C. M., J. C. Chiang, W. Cheng, and J. J. Barsugli, 2007: Rates of thermohaline recovery
654 from freshwater pulses in modern, Last Glacial Maximum, and greenhouse warming climates.
655 *Geophysical Research Letters*, **34** (7), L07 708, doi:10.1029/2006GL029237.
- 656 Born, A., A. Levermann, and J. Mignot, 2009: Sensitivity of the Atlantic Ocean circulation to
657 a hydraulic overflow parameterisation in a coarse resolution model: Response of the subpolar
658 gyre. *Ocean Modelling*, **27** (3-4), 130–142, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2008.11.006.
- 659 Bower, A., and Coauthors, 2019: Lagrangian Views of the Pathways of the Atlantic Meridional
660 Overturning Circulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **124** (8), 5313–5335, doi:
661 10.1029/2019JC015014.

- 662 Brambilla, E., L. D. Talley, and P. E. Robbins, 2008: Subpolar mode water in the northeastern
663 Atlantic: 2. Origin and transformation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **113** (4),
664 C04 026, doi:10.1029/2006JC004063.
- 665 Brigham-Grette, J., and Coauthors, 2013: Pliocene warmth, polar amplification, and stepped pleis-
666 tocene cooling recorded in NE Arctic Russia. Tech. Rep. 6139, Department of Geology, Lund
667 University, 1421–1427 pp. doi:10.1126/science.1233137.
- 668 Broecker, W. S., D. M. Peteet, and D. Rind, 1985: Does the ocean-atmosphere system have more
669 than one stable mode of operation? *Nature*, **315** (6014), 21–26, doi:10.1038/315021a0.
- 670 Clark, P. U., N. G. Pisias, T. F. Stocker, and A. J. Weaver, 2002: The role of the thermohaline
671 circulation in abrupt climate change. *Nature*, **415** (6874), 863–869, doi:10.1038/415863a.
- 672 Danabasoglu, G., W. G. Large, and B. P. Briegleb, 2010: Climate impacts of parameterized Nordic
673 Sea overflows. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **115** (11), C11 005, doi:10.1029/
674 2010JC006243.
- 675 Davies, R., J. Cartwright, J. Pike, and C. Line, 2001: Early Oligocene initiation of North Atlantic
676 Deep Water formation. *Nature*, **410** (6831), 917–920, doi:10.1038/35073551.
- 677 De Lavergne, C., G. Madec, F. Roquet, R. M. Holmes, and T. J. McDougall, 2017: Abyssal
678 ocean overturning shaped by seafloor distribution. *Nature*, **551** (7679), 181–186, doi:10.1038/
679 nature24472.
- 680 DeConto, R. M., and D. Pollard, 2003: Rapid Cenozoic glaciation of Antarctica induced by de-
681 clining atmospheric CO₂. *Nature*, **421** (6920), 245–249, doi:10.1038/nature01290.
- 682 Dowsett, H., and Coauthors, 2010: The PRISM3D paleoenvironmental reconstruction. *Stratigra-*
683 *phy*, **7** (2-3), 123–139.

684 Dowsett, H. J., T. M. Cronin, R. Z. Poore, R. S. Thompson, R. C. Whatley, and A. M. Wood,
685 1992: Micropaleontological evidence for increased meridional heat transport in the North At-
686 lantic ocean during the Pliocene. *Science*, **258** (5085), 1133–1135, doi:10.1126/science.258.
687 5085.1133.

688 Dowsett, H. J., M. M. Robinson, and K. M. Foley, 2009: Pliocene three-dimensional global ocean
689 temperature reconstruction. *Climate of the Past*, **5** (4), 769–783, doi:10.5194/cp-5-769-2009.

690 Enderton, D., and J. Marshall, 2009: Explorations of atmosphere-ocean-ice climates on an aqua-
691 planet and their meridional energy transports. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **66** (6),
692 1593–1611, doi:https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAS2680.1.

693 Ezer, T., and G. L. Mellor, 2004: A generalized coordinate ocean model and a comparison of the
694 bottom boundary layer dynamics in terrain-following and in z-level grids. *Ocean Modelling*,
695 **6** (3-4), 379–403, doi:10.1016/S1463-5003(03)00026-X.

696 Ferrari, R., and D. Ferreira, 2011: What processes drive the ocean heat transport? *Ocean Mod-*
697 *elling*, **38** (3-4), 171–186, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2011.02.013.

698 Ferreira, D., J. Marshall, and J. M. Campin, 2010: Localization of deep water formation: Role
699 of atmospheric moisture transport and geometrical constraints on ocean circulation. *Journal of*
700 *Climate*, **23** (6), 1456–1476, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI3197.1.

701 Ferreira, D., J. Marshall, and B. Rose, 2011: Climate determinism revisited: Multiple equilibria in
702 a complex climate model. *Journal of Climate*, **24** (4), 992–1012, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3580.1.

703 Ferreira, D., and Coauthors, 2018: Atlantic-Pacific Asymmetry in Deep Water Forma-
704 tion. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, **46** (1), 327–352, doi:10.1146/
705 annurev-earth-082517-010045.

- Gent, P. R., and J. C. McWilliams, 1990: Isopycnal Mixing in Ocean Circulation Models. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **20** (1), 150–155, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1990)020<0150:imiocm>2.0.co;2.
- Gille, S., and S. L. Smith, 2003: Bathymetry and Ocean Circulation. *Charting the Secret World of the Ocean Floor. The GEBCO Project 1903-2003*, 1–9.
- Griffies, S. M., and Coauthors, 2000: Developments in ocean climate modelling. *Ocean Modelling*, 123–192.
- Hansen, B., and S. Østerhus, 2000: North Atlantic-Nordic Seas exchanges. *Progress in Oceanography*, **45** (2), 109–208, doi:10.1016/S0079-6611(99)00052-X.
- Hansen, B., and S. Østerhus, 2007: Faroe Bank Channel overflow 1995-2005. *Progress in Oceanography*, **75** (4), 817–856, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2007.09.004.
- Hansen, B., S. Østerhus, D. Quadfasel, and W. Turrell, 2004: Already the day after tomorrow? *Science*, **305** (5686), 953–954, doi:10.1126/science.1100085.
- Haug, G. H., and R. Tiedemann, 1998: Effect of the formation of the isthmus of panama on atlantic ocean thermohaline circulation. *Nature*, **393** (6686), 673–676, doi:10.1038/31447.
- Heuzé, C., and M. Årthun, 2019: The Atlantic inflow across the Greenland-Scotland ridge in global climate models (CMIP5). *Elem Sci Anth*, **7** (1), 16, doi:10.1525/elementa.354.
- Hill, D. J., 2015: The non-analogue nature of Pliocene temperature gradients. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **425**, 232–241, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.05.044.
- Hutchinson, D. K., H. K. Coxall, M. O'Regan, J. Nilsson, R. Caballero, and A. M. de Boer, 2019: Arctic closure as a trigger for Atlantic overturning at the Eocene-Oligocene Transition. *Nature Communications*, **10** (1), 3797, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11828-z.

- Iovino, D., F. Straneo, and M. A. Spall, 2008: On the effect of a sill on dense water formation in a marginal sea. *Journal of Marine Research*, **66** (3), 325–345, doi:10.1357/002224008786176016.
- Kösters, F., R. H. Käse, A. Schmittner, and P. Herrmann, 2005: The effect of Denmark Strait overflow on the Atlantic Meridional overturning circulation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **32** (4), 1–4, doi:10.1029/2004GL022112.
- Kuhlbrodt, T., A. Griesel, M. Montoya, A. Levermann, M. Hofmann, and S. Rahmstorf, 2007: On the driving processes of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Reviews of Geophysics*, **45** (2), RG2001, doi:10.1029/2004RG000166.
- Large, W. G., J. C. McWilliams, and S. C. Doney, 1994: Oceanic vertical mixing: A review and a model with a nonlocal boundary layer parameterization. *Reviews of Geophysics*, **32** (4), 363–403, doi:10.1029/94RG01872.
- Legutke, S., and E. Maier-Reimer, 2002: The impact of a downslope water-transport parameterization in a global ocean general circulation model. *Climate Dynamics*, **18** (7), 611–623, doi:10.1007/s00382-001-0202-z.
- Li, C., and A. Born, 2019: Coupled atmosphere-ice-ocean dynamics in Dansgaard-Oeschger events. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **203**, 1–20, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.10.031.
- Lozier, M. S., S. Bacon, A. S. Bower, S. A. Cunningham, M. F. De Jong, L. De Steur, and Others, 2017: Overturning in the Subpolar north Atlantic program: A new international ocean observing system. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **98** (4), 737–752, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0057.1.

- 749 Lynch-Stieglitz, J., 2016: The Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation and Abrupt
750 Climate Change. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, **9** (1), 83–104, doi:10.1146/
751 annurev-marine-010816-060415.
- 752 Marshall, J., A. Adcroft, C. Hill, L. Perelman, and C. Heisey, 1997: A finite-volume, incompress-
753 ible navier stokes model for, studies of the ocean on parallel computers. *Journal of Geophysical*
754 *Research C: Oceans*, **102** (C3), 5753–5766, doi:10.1029/96JC02775.
- 755 Marshall, J., D. Ferreira, J.-M. Campin, and D. Enderton, 2007: Mean Climate and Variability of
756 the Atmosphere and Ocean on an Aquaplanet. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **64** (12),
757 4270–4286, doi:10.1175/2007jas2226.1.
- 758 Marshall, J., and K. Speer, 2012: Closure of the meridional overturning circulation through South-
759 ern Ocean upwelling. *Nature Geosci*, **5** (3), 171–180, doi:10.1038/ngeo1391.
- 760 McDougall, T. J., 1987: Neutral Surfaces. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **17** (11), 1950–
761 1964, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1987)017<1950:ns>2.0.co;2.
- 762 Medhaug, I., H. R. Langehaug, T. Eldevik, T. Furevik, and M. Bentsen, 2012: Mechanisms for
763 decadal scale variability in a simulated Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Climate*
764 *Dynamics*, **39** (1-2), 77–93, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1124-z.
- 765 Moffa-Sanchez, P., I. R. Hall, D. J. Thornalley, S. Barker, and C. Stewart, 2015: Changes in the
766 strength of the Nordic Seas Overflows over the past 3000 years. *Quaternary Science Reviews*,
767 **123**, 134–143, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.06.007.
- 768 Molteni, F., 2003: Atmospheric simulations using a GCM with simplified physical parametriza-
769 tions. I: Model climatology and variability in multi-decadal experiments. *Climate Dynamics*,
770 **20** (2-3), 175–191, doi:10.1007/s00382-002-0268-2.

- 771 Nummelin, A., C. Li, and P. J. Hezel, 2017: Connecting ocean heat transport changes from the
772 midlatitudes to the Arctic Ocean. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **44** (4), 1899–1908, doi:10.
773 1002/2016GL071333.
- 774 Olsen, S. M., B. Hansen, D. Quadfasel, and S. Østerhus, 2008: Observed and modelled stability
775 of overflow across the Greenland-Scotland ridge. *Nature*, **455** (7212), 519–522, doi:10.1038/
776 nature07302.
- 777 Østerhus, S., W. R. Turrell, S. Jónsson, and B. Hansen, 2005: Measured volume, heat, and salt
778 fluxes from the Atlantic to the Arctic Mediterranean. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **32** (7), 1–4,
779 doi:10.1029/2004GL022188.
- 780 Polzin, K. L., J. M. Toole, J. R. Ledwell, and R. W. Schmitt, 1997: Spatial variability of turbulent
781 mixing in the abyssal ocean. *Science*, **276** (5309), 93–96, doi:10.1126/science.276.5309.93.
- 782 Rahmstorf, S., 2002: Ocean circulation and climate during the past 120,000 years. *Nature*,
783 **419** (6903), 207–214, doi:10.1038/nature01090.
- 784 Raymo, M. E., B. Grant, M. Horowitz, and G. H. Rau, 1996: Mid-Pliocene warmth: Stronger
785 greenhouse and stronger conveyor. *Marine Micropaleontology*, **27** (1-4), 313–326, doi:10.1016/
786 0377-8398(95)00048-8.
- 787 Redi, M. H., 1982: Oceanic Isopycnal Mixing by Coordinate Rotation. *Journal of Physical*
788 *Oceanography*, **12** (10), 1154–1158, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1982)012<1154:oimbr>2.0.co;2.
- 789 Riemschneider, U., and S. Legg, 2007: Regional simulations of the Faroe Bank Channel over-
790 flow in a level model. *Ocean Modelling*, **17** (2), 93–122, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2007.01.003.

- 791 Roberts, M. J., and R. A. Wood, 1997: Topographic Sensitivity Studies with a Bryan–Cox-
792 Type Ocean Model. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **27** (5), 823–836, doi:10.1175/
793 1520-0485(1997)027<0823:TSSWAB>2.0.CO;2.
- 794 Robinson, M. M., P. J. Valdes, A. M. Haywood, H. J. Dowsett, D. J. Hill, and S. M. Jones, 2011:
795 Bathymetric controls on Pliocene North Atlantic and Arctic sea surface temperature and deep-
796 water production. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **309** (1-2), 92–97, doi:
797 10.1016/j.palaeo.2011.01.004.
- 798 Rose, B. E., D. Ferreira, and J. Marshall, 2013: The role of oceans and sea ice in abrupt
799 transitions between multiple climate states. *Journal of Climate*, **26** (9), 2862–2879, doi:
800 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00175.1.
- 801 Sévellec, F., A. V. Fedorov, and W. Liu, 2017: Arctic sea-ice decline weakens the Atlantic
802 Meridional Overturning Circulation. *Nature Climate Change*, **7** (8), 604–610, doi:10.1038/
803 NCLIMATE3353.
- 804 Spall, M. A., 2001: Where does dense water sink? a subpolar gyre example. *Journal of Physical*
805 *Oceanography*, **31** (3), 810–826, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(2001)031<0810:WDDWSA>2.0.CO;
806 2.
- 807 Speer, K., and E. Tziperman, 1992: Rates of Water Mass Formation in the North Atlantic Ocean.
808 *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **22** (1), 93–104, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1992)022<0093:
809 rowmfi>2.0.co;2.
- 810 Spence, J. P., M. Eby, A. J. Weaver, J. P. Spence, M. Eby, and A. J. Weaver, 2008: The Sensitivity
811 of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation to Freshwater Forcing at Eddy-Permitting
812 Resolutions. *Journal of Climate*, **21** (11), 2697–2710, doi:10.1175/2007JCLI2103.1.

813 Stärrz, M., W. Jokat, G. Knorr, and G. Lohmann, 2017: Threshold in North Atlantic-Arctic Ocean
 814 circulation controlled by the subsidence of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge. *Nature Communica-*
 815 *tions*, **8**, doi:10.1038/ncomms15681.

816 Tett, S. F. B., T. J. Sherwin, A. Shrivat, O. Browne, S. F. B. Tett, T. J. Sherwin, A. Shrivat, and
 817 O. Browne, 2014: How Much Has the North Atlantic Ocean Overturning Circulation Changed
 818 in the Last 50 Years? *Journal of Climate*, **27** (16), 6325–6342, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00095.1.

819 Toggweiler, J. R., and H. Bjornsson, 2000: Drake passage and palaeoclimate. *Journal of Qua-*
 820 *ternary Science*, **15** (4), 319–328, doi:10.1002/1099-1417(200005)15:4<319::AID-JQS545>3.
 821 0.CO;2-C.

822 Toggweiler, J. R., and B. Samuels, 1995: Effect of Drake Passage on the global thermohaline
 823 circulation. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, **42** (4), 477–500.

824 Trenberth, K. E., and J. M. Caron, 2001: Estimates of Meridional Atmosphere and Ocean Heat
 825 Transports. *Journal of Climate*, **14** (16), 3433–3443, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<3433:
 826 EOMAAO>2.0.CO;2.

827 Uenzelmann-Neben, G., and J. Gruetzner, 2018: Chronology of Greenland Scotland Ridge over-
 828 flow: What do we really know? *Marine Geology*, **406**, 109–118, doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2018.
 829 09.008.

830 Via, R. K., and D. J. Thomas, 2006: Evolution of Atlantic thermohaline circulation: Early
 831 Oligocene onset of deep-water production in the North Atlantic. *Geology*, **34** (6), 441–444,
 832 doi:10.1130/G22545.1.

833 Walin, G., 1982: On the relation between sea-surface heat flow and thermal circulation in the
 834 ocean. *Tellus*, **34** (2), 187–195, doi:10.3402/tellusa.v34i2.10801.

- 835 Willebrand, J., and Coauthors, 2001: Circulation characteristics in three eddy-permitting mod-
836 els of the North Atlantic. *Progress in Oceanography*, **48** (2-3), 123–161, doi:10.1016/
837 S0079-6611(01)00003-9.
- 838 Winton, M., 2000: A reformulated three-layer sea ice model. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic*
839 *Technology*, **17** (4), 525–531, doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2000)017<0525:ARTLSI>2.0.CO;2.
- 840 Wright, J. D., and K. G. Miller, 1996: Control of North Atlantic deep water circulation by the
841 Greenland-Scotland Ridge. *Paleoceanography*, **11** (2), 157–170, doi:10.1029/95PA03696.
- 842 Wunsch, C., 2005: The total meridional heat flux and its oceanic and atmospheric partition. *Jour-*
843 *nal of Climate*, **18** (21), 4374–4380, doi:10.1175/JCLI3539.1.
- 844 Wunsch, C., 2006: Abrupt climate change: An alternative view. *Quaternary Research*, **65** (2),
845 191–203, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2005.10.006.
- 846 Yeager, S., and G. Danabasoglu, 2012: Sensitivity of atlantic meridional overturning circulation
847 variability to parameterized Nordic Sea overflows in CCSM4. *Journal of Climate*, **25** (6), 2077–
848 2103, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00149.1.
- 849 Zhang, Z. S., and Coauthors, 2013: Mid-pliocene Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation not
850 unlike modern. *Climate of the Past*, **9** (4), 1495–1504, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1495-2013.

851	LIST OF TABLES	
852	Table 1.	Climate variables for <i>noridge</i> and <i>ridge</i> experiments. Mean SST ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) and SSS
853		(psu) in the polar basin averaged north of 70°N . AMOC_{max} (Sv) is calculated
854		as the maximum overturning streamfunction at 26°N below 500 m depth in the
855		Atlantic basin. $\Psi_{O,70\text{N}}$ and $\Psi_{B,70\text{N}}$ is the maximum volume transport by the
856		meridional overturning and barotropic streamfunction respectively across the
857		GSR. $\text{OHT}_{70\text{N}}$ is the meridional northward OHT in petawatts ($1 \text{ PW} = 10^{15}$
858		W) across 70°N in the Atlantic basin. 40

859 TABLE 1. Climate variables for *noridge* and *ridge* experiments. Mean SST ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) and SSS (psu) in the polar
860 basin averaged north of 70°N . AMOC_{max} (Sv) is calculated as the maximum overturning streamfunction at
861 26°N below 500 m depth in the Atlantic basin. $\Psi_{O,70N}$ and $\Psi_{B,70N}$ is the maximum volume transport by the
862 meridional overturning and barotropic streamfunction respectively across the GSR. OHT_{70N} is the meridional
863 northward OHT in petawatts ($1 \text{ PW} = 10^{15} \text{ W}$) across 70°N in the Atlantic basin.

Experiment	Global mean SST ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	mean SST po- lar basin ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	mean SSS po- lar basin (psu)	maximum AMOC (Sv)	$\Psi_{O,70N}$ (Sv)	$\Psi_{B,70N}$ (Sv)	OHT_{70N} (PW)
noridge	20.7	11.5	34.55	23.2	16.0	<5	0.22
ridge	20.8	10.3	34.48	22.1	5.7	8.0	0.15

LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 1.** Bathymetric map of the modern North Atlantic showing the main ocean currents; NAC = North Atlantic Current, EGC = East Greenland Current and LBC = Labrador Current. The dashed magenta line indicates the location of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR). Circles indicate the approximate location of deep water formation and dashed blue arrows shows the overflow through the Denmark Strait (DS), Faroe Bank Channel (FBC) and Iceland-Faroe Ridge (IFR). 43
- Fig. 2.** Land-sea distribution and bathymetry (m) for the *nordige* and *ridge* configuration. 44
- Fig. 3.** Annual mean sea surface temperature (SST; °C) and sea ice thickness (in meters) over the small basin for the *noridge* (left) and *ridge* (right) experiments. Values are based on the final 100 years at the end of the integration. 45
- Fig. 4.** Residual meridional overturning circulation (MOC_z) in Sverdrups ($1 \text{ Sv} = 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) averaged over the Atlantic basin for *noridge* (left) and *ridge* (right). Contour lines are plotted at 2 Sv intervals, with solid (dashed) lines corresponding to clockwise (counterclockwise) circulation and the zero-contour indicated by the thick black line. 46
- Fig. 5.** Zonal mean northward ocean heat transport (in PW) over the Atlantic basin for the *noridge* (blue), *ridge* experiment (red) and the difference between the two (grey). Shading shows the interdecadal spread in OHT for each experiment, calculated as the difference between the maximum and minimum value over the last 100 years. The approximate location of the GSR is shown by the black dashed line. 47
- Fig. 6.** Wind stress and horizontal circulation in the Atlantic basin. (a) Zonal mean wind stress (τ_x ; N m^{-2}) for *noridge* (dashed) and *ridge* (solid). The approximate position of the GSR is indicated by the black dashed lines. (b) Barotropic streamfunction (Sv) for *noridge* shown in contours with 5 Sv contour intervals where positive (negative) values corresponds to clockwise (counterclockwise) circulation. Shading shows the barotropic streamfunction anomaly calculated as the difference between *ridge* and *noridge*. (c and d) Mean top 100 m horizontal velocities in cm s^{-1} for *noridge* and *ridge* respectively. 48
- Fig. 7.** Zonal mean potential temperature (°C; top row), salinity (psu; middle row) and potential density referenced to the surface (σ_0 in kg m^{-3} ; bottom row) in the North Atlantic for *noridge* (left), *ridge* (middle) and difference between the two, i.e. *ridge-noridge* (right). 49
- Fig. 8.** Global annual mean surface air temperature anomaly (SAT; °C) calculated as the difference between the final 100 years of *ridge* and *noridge*. Contour lines are plotted at 0.5°C intervals. 50
- Fig. 9.** Annual-mean mixed layer depth (MLD; m) in the northern Atlantic. Absolute values for *noridge* are shown in *left* panel and anomalies of *ridge* relative to the *noridge* in the *right* panel. 51
- Fig. 10.** Zonal mean surface density flux out of the ocean (in $10^{-6} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) in the North Atlantic for *noridge* (dashed lines) and *ridge* (solid lines). The total density flux (blue) is decomposed into contributions from heat (red) and freshwater (yellow) fluxes. Negative values indicate densification (i.e. buoyancy loss). 52
- Fig. 11.** Annual mean water mass transformation (WMT) function ($F(\sigma)$) in Sv for *noridge* (dashed) and *ridge* (solid). The WMT is integrated over different regions; (top) the entire North Atlantic from $40^\circ - 90^\circ\text{N}$, (middle) subpolar North Atlantic between $40^\circ - 70^\circ\text{N}$ and (bottom)

polar basin north of 70°N. The surface forced WMT is estimated from the spatial integral of the surface density flux (D) over 10 years in the Atlantic basin spanning the density range $\sigma_2 = 28.4 - 36.4 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ with a density bin width of $\Delta\sigma = 0.1$. Here $F(\sigma) \approx 0$ for $\sigma < 30$. Negative values imply a WMT to lower density classes (i.e. *buoyancy gain*) and positive values represents transformation from lower to greater densities (i.e. *buoyancy loss*). . . . 53

Fig. 12. Meridional overturning circulation streamfunction in latitude-density space (MOC_σ ; Sv) for (a) *noridge* and (b) *ridge*. The density bins are the same as used for the computation of the WMT, with a reference density at 2 km depth. Contour lines are plotted at 2 Sv intervals. The insert in (a) and (b) shows a zoom on the region around the GSR. (c and d) Mean volume flux (Sv) by MOC_σ for *noridge* and *ridge* across different latitudes in the Atlantic basin. Positive values indicate northward flow. 54

Fig. 13. Meridional overturning streamfunction anomaly (*ridge-noridge*) and ocean heat transport (PW) in the Atlantic basin for the COLD case, where the solar constant is reduced to 1360 W m^2 55




FIG. 1. Bathymetric map of the modern North Atlantic showing the main ocean currents; NAC = North Atlantic Current, EGC = East Greenland Current and LBC = Labrador Current. The dashed magenta line indicates the location of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR). Circles indicate the approximate location of deep water formation and dashed blue arrows shows the overflow through the Denmark Strait (DS), Faroe Bank Channel (FBC) and Iceland-Faroe Ridge (IFR).




FIG. 2. Land-sea distribution and bathymetry (m) for the *noridge* and *ridge* configuration.




FIG. 3. Annual mean sea surface temperature (SST, °C) and sea ice thickness (in meters) over the small basin for the *noridge* (left) and *ridge* (right) experiments. Values are based on the final 100 years at the end of the integration.




FIG. 4. Residual meridional overturning circulation (MOC_z) in Sverdrups ($1 \text{ Sv} = 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) averaged over the Atlantic basin for *noridge* (left) and *ridge* (right). Contour lines are plotted at 2 Sv intervals, with solid (dashed) lines corresponding to clockwise (counterclockwise) circulation and the zero-contour indicated by the thick black line.




FIG. 5. Zonal mean northward ocean heat transport (in PW) over the Atlantic basin for the *noridge* (blue),
ridge experiment (red) and the difference between the two (grey). Shading shows the interdecadal spread in
OHT for each experiment, calculated as the difference between the maximum and minimum value over the last
100 years. The approximate location of the GSR is shown by the black dashed line.




FIG. 6. Wind stress and horizontal circulation in the Atlantic basin. (a) Zonal mean wind stress (τ_x ; N m^{-2}) for *noridge* (dashed) and *ridge* (solid). The approximate position of the GSR is indicated by the black dashed lines. (b) Barotropic streamfunction (S_v) for *noridge* shown in contours with 5 Sv contour intervals where positive (negative) values corresponds to clockwise (counterclockwise) circulation. Shading shows the barotropic streamfunction anomaly calculated as the difference between *ridge* and *noridge*. (c and d) Mean top 100 m horizontal velocities in cm s^{-1} for *noridge* and *ridge* respectively.




FIG. 7. Zonal mean potential temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$; top row), salinity (psu; middle row) and potential density
referenced to the surface (σ_0 in kg m^{-3} ; bottom row) in the North Atlantic for *noridge* (left), *ridge* (middle) and
difference between the two, i.e. *ridge-noridge* (right).




FIG. 8. Global annual mean surface air temperature anomaly (SAT; °C) calculated as the difference between the final 100 years of *ridge* and *noridge*. Contour lines are plotted at 0.5°C intervals.




FIG. 9. Annual-mean mixed layer depth (MLD; m) in the northern Atlantic. Absolute values for *noridge* are shown in *left* panel and anomalies of *ridge* relative to the *noridge* in the *right* panel.





FIG. 10. Zonal mean surface density flux out of the ocean (in $10^{-6} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) in the North Atlantic for
 noridge (dashed lines) and ridge (solid lines). The total density flux (blue) is decomposed into contributions
 from heat (red) and freshwater (yellow) fluxes. Negative values indicate densification (i.e. buoyancy loss).



952 FIG. 11. Annual mean water mass transformation (WMT) function ($F(\sigma)$) in Sv for *noridge* (dashed) and
 953 *ridge* (solid). The WMT is integrated over different regions; (top) the entire North Atlantic from $40^\circ - 90^\circ\text{N}$,
 954 (middle) subpolar North Atlantic between $40^\circ - 70^\circ\text{N}$ and (bottom) polar basin north of 70°N . The surface
 955 forced WMT is estimated from the spatial integral of the surface density flux (D) over 10 years in the Atlantic
 956 basin spanning the density range $\sigma_2 = 28.4 - 36.4 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ with a density bin width of $\Delta\sigma = 0.1$. Here $F(\sigma) \approx 0$
 957 for $\sigma < 30$. Negative values imply a WMT to lower density classes (i.e. *buoyancy gain*) and positive values
 958 represents transformation from lower to greater densities (i.e. *buoyancy loss*).




FIG. 12. Meridional overturning circulation streamfunction in latitude-density space (MOC σ ; Sv) for (a) *noridge* and (b) *ridge*. The density bins are the same as used for the computation of the WMT, with a reference density at 2 km depth. Contour lines are plotted at 2 Sv intervals. The insert in (a) and (b) shows a zoom on the region around the GSR. (c and d) Mean volume flux (Sv) by MOC σ for *noridge* and *ridge* across different latitudes in the Atlantic basin. Positive values indicate northward flow.




FIG. 13. Meridional overturning streamfunction anomaly (*ridge-noridge*) and ocean heat transport (PW) in the Atlantic basin for the COLD case, where the solar constant is reduced to 1360 W m^2 .