

# The cognitive effects of an acute wild blueberry intervention on 7- to 10-yearolds using extended memory and executive function task batteries

Article

Accepted Version

Whyte, A. R., Lamport, D. J. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4592-0439, Schafer, G. and Williams, C. M. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4452-671X (2020) The cognitive effects of an acute wild blueberry intervention on 7- to 10-yearolds using extended memory and executive function task batteries. Food & Function, 11 (5). pp. 4793-4801. ISSN 2042-650X doi: https://doi.org/10.1039/C9FO02284H Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/90753/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C9FO02284H

Publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



# www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

## CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# The cognitive effects of an acute wild blueberry intervention on 7- to 10-year-olds using extended memory and executive function task batteries.

#### Adrian R. Whyte<sup>1‡</sup>, Daniel J. Lamport<sup>1‡</sup>, Graham Schafer<sup>1</sup>, & Claire M. Williams<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Psychology & Clinical Language Sciences, University of Reading, Earley Gate, Reading, Berkshire, RG6 6AL.

#### # Joint first authors

\* Corresponding author: Professor Claire Williams, School of Psychology & Clinical Language Sciences,
 University of Reading, Earley Gate, Reading, Berkshire, RG6 6AL. Tel: 0118 378 7540; Fax: 0118 387 6715;
 Email: Claire.williams@reading.ac.uk

#### 15 Abstract

#### 16

14

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

Evidence for the health benefits of blueberries is well documented. In particular memory and 17 executive function benefits have both been found for children aged 7 - 10 in the 6 hour 18 period following acute blueberry consumption. Previous research has utilised a limited 19 number of tasks when considering these domains. Therefore, in two separate experiments, we 20 employed extended memory and executive function task batteries to further understand the 21 22 extent of blueberry benefits. Following blueberry intervention, children aged 7 - 10 were tested on a memory battery at 75 minutes and an executive function battery at 3 hours. 23 24 Shorter memory reaction times were observed on the visuo-spatial grid task and shorter executive function reaction times were observed on the congruent trials of the attention 25 network task. Whilst providing further evidence for the cognitive benefits of blueberry 26 27 consumption in school age children, these findings contrast with previous research where improved accuracy and reaction time benefits have most commonly been found on more 28 cognitively demanding trials. Further research targeted to consider the areas of the brain 29 related to each cognitive domain and how they coincide with mechanisms of action, such as 30 increases in cerebral blood flow following blueberry intervention, is therefore recommended. 31

#### 33 Introduction

#### 34

32

There is evidence for beneficial effects of blueberries on a number of health outcomes, one of 35 which is cognitive function<sup>1</sup>. Much of the supporting evidence for this comes from human 36 intervention trials whereby cognitive function is assessed with a battery of tests following a 37 single acute dose of blueberries, or following regular daily consumption over several weeks. 38 A review of this literature<sup>2</sup> reported eleven blueberry interventions in various populations 39 including children, healthy adults, and adults with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) with 40 benefits being reported for various aspects of cognition including memory, executive function 41 and psychomotor function. It is hypothesised that these effects can be ascribed to the high 42 flavonoid content of blueberries, for which various mechanisms of action have been 43 proposed<sup>3</sup>. There are some indications that the specific cognitive domains affected by acute 44 45 flavonoid ingestion vary with the age of participants, i.e. benefits to executive function seem most prevalent in healthy young adults, whilst episodic memory effects are seen in older 46 adults and adults with MCI. These differences may be attributable to the different stages of 47 physiological and neuronal development in the brain across the lifespan, however it should 48 also be noted that benefits are most evident where the cognitive demand of the task is high or 49 the participant is cognitively compromised<sup>4, 5</sup>. This suggests that failure to find effects across 50

- all domains may be a result of tasks not being sufficiently sensitive or optimised for the
- 52 particular age group being tested. This notwithstanding, children seem sensitive to both
- executive function and episodic memory tasks (for review see Bell et al.<sup>6</sup>) and are of
- 54 particular interest as they represent a population who are experiencing rapid neuronal and
- 55 cognitive development.
- 56

57 Previous research in children has shown acute benefits for cognitive function following wild blueberry consumption. For example, Whyte and Williams<sup>7</sup> demonstrated improved verbal 58 episodic memory 2 hours post consumption of a 30g wild blueberry drink in children aged 8-59 60  $10^7$ . In a subsequent study, further evidence was found for episodic memory benefits at 75 minutes and 6 hours with executive function benefits being found at 3 hours. These findings 61 suggested that the beneficial effects of blueberry in children were modulated by the level of 62 demand, or difficulty, associated with the task<sup>8</sup>. Specifically, the 7- to 10-year-old children 63 showed better performance on the more cognitively-demanding incongruent trials (but not the 64 easier congruent trials) on a flanker task assessing executive function. This effect for 65 executive function 3 hours post consumption was replicated in a further study<sup>5</sup> with a 66 67 Modified Attention Network Task (MANT), where benefits were again seen for the most demanding aspects of the task. Interestingly, executive function effects have been 68 consistently observed 3 hours post consumption, however, recent research by Barfoot et al. 69 70 has demonstrated, benefits for both memory and executive function at 2 hours<sup>9</sup>. It should be noted that, at this earlier time point, the executive function benefits found by Barfoot et al.<sup>9</sup> 71 differed slightly from earlier findings<sup>5</sup> in that benefits were found on the shorter stimulus 72 73 presentation trials and no effect of congruence was evident (see Whyte et al.<sup>5</sup> for discussion regarding overall task difficulty). It is plausible that the different time course for effects on 74 75 executive function and memory are associated with subtle differences in the mechanisms of 76 action by which flavonoids may interact with the relevant brain regions (i.e. the hippocampus for episodic memory, and the frontal cortex for executive functions). However, this is 77

- 78 speculative as specific mechanisms of action are not well known.
  - 79

Previous studies of blueberries in children have typically only used a single task to measure 80 either memory or executive function. Therefore, the aim of this research was to extend our 81 knowledge of the benefits of blueberries using a range of tests in order to provide a more 82 comprehensive assessment of (i) memory function and (ii) executive function. The length of 83 the task batteries precluded the use of the same participants in the same experiments, 84 therefore two different groups, drawn from the same population (children aged 7- to 10-85 86 years) participated. Use of two separate samples also allowed for the targeting of testing points where post-consumption benefits of blueberry intervention have previously been 87 found; 75 minutes for memory function<sup>8</sup> and 3 hours for executive function<sup>5, 8</sup>. This approach 88 89 also avoided interference effects, thus allowing a purer examination of each cognitive 90 domain. To be clear with regards to time course, the aim here was to test each cognitive domain at a single time point where it has previously been shown to be sensitive to blueberry 91 92 consumption, rather than testing each domain at multiple time points.

93

94 The Auditory Verbal Learning Test  $(AVLT)^{10}$  used in previous studies<sup>7-9</sup> gives measures of

both episodic memory and interference effects. In order to provide a more focused measure

of each of these areas we introduced a paradigm targeted specifically at assessment of

97 proactive interference (the Brown-Peterson task). The AVLT is also retained in the battery,

however, the interference list presentation and recall has been removed making the task a

99 purer measure of episodic memory. Furthermore, previous research with this age group has 100 focused on the auditory modality of episodic memory, therefore, we also include here a test

of visual memory (Picture Recognition Task) and visuo-spatial working memory (Visuo-101 102 Spatial Grid Task). The executive function experiment incorporated three tests assessing aspects of executive function including inhibition (Stop-Go Task), rule switching (Task 103 Switching) and a response interference task for which previous studies have shown sensitivity 104 to blueberries in children (Attention Network Task, ANT, see<sup>2</sup>). In addition, within the ANT 105 task demand can be manipulated, which is important as previous studies have shown that 106 blueberries are most effective when cognitive demand is high<sup>5, 8</sup>. Broadly, we hypothesised 107 that benefits would be observed following blueberry consumption for episodic memory and 108 executive function measures. Furthermore, benefits were expected to be particularly evident 109 for the most cognitively demanding aspects of the tasks such as the incongruent, or initial 110 switch trials on the executive function tasks, or delayed recall on the memory tasks. 111

- 112
- 113 Methods
- 114 Design

For both experiments participants consumed a wild blueberry drink (BB) or placebo 115 according to a crossover, double-blind design with order of consumption counterbalanced and 116 a seven day washout between test days. Cognitive function was assessed at one time point 117 post consumption (see procedure). A baseline practice day occurred seven days prior to the 118 first test day, for which no drink was consumed. The 200ml BB drink contained 30g freeze 119 120 dried wild blueberry powder mixed with 170ml water and 30ml vehicle (Rocks Orange Squash). The BB drink contained 253mg anthocyanins, 8.9g fructose, 7.99g glucose, 4mg 121 vitamin C, and 116.4kcal. The placebo was matched with the BB drink for volume, fructose, 122 glucose, vitamin C and kcal, and consisted of 30ml vehicle, 170ml water, and added sugars 123 and vitamin C as described. The vehicle also contained 13.2mg total polyphenols (Narirutin 124 & Hesperidin). The freeze dried blueberries were provided free of charge by the Wild 125 Blueberry Association of North America (WBANA) with the same batch being used for both 126 experiments. Analysis of anthocyanin content was carried out by independent researchers 127 from the University of Reading using the methods described in Rodriguez-Mateos et al.<sup>11</sup>, 128 indicating anthocyanin content of 8.43 mg/g which, given a freeze dried to fresh ratio of 7/1, 129 is equivalent to 120.5 mg/100g fresh (see Table 1). 130

Table 1 Analysis results of WBANA freeze dried wild blueberries showing total
 anthocyanin content and content broken down by sub class.

			mg/ 100 g fresh	
	mg/g freeze dried	Stdev	BB	stdev
Delphinidin	3.29	0.21	46.94	3.03
Cyanidin	1.17	0.07	16.64	0.95
Petunidin	1.58	0.08	22.50	1.16
Peonidin	0.37	0.02	5.24	0.30
Malvidin	2.04	0.10	29.14	1.50
Total	8.43	0.48	120.47	6.92

133

134

#### 135 Participants

- 136 For blueberry interventions considering cognitive outcomes, previously published a priori
- power analysis, using G\*Power, with an effect size of 0.45 and alpha level of 0.05 has
- indicated that 21 participants would be required to achieve a power of 0.85. Exclusion criteria
- 139 for both experiments were, diagnosis of ADHD (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder) or
- 140 dyslexia, or a known intolerance to any fruit, whilst an inclusion criterion was English as first
- 141 spoken language. For experiment (i) twenty children were initially recruited, however, two
- 142 were excluded for non-compliance (consuming only half of the blueberry drink), and one
- further child was excluded as an extreme outlier on the Ravens Coloured Progressive
  Matrices (RCPM). Seventeen children (12 female) aged 7- to 10-years (mean 8.8, s.d. 0.6)
- Matrices (RCPM). Seventeen children (12 female) aged 7- to 10-years (mean 8.8, s.d. 0.67)
  were therefore included in the study. For experiment (ii), nineteen children were recruited,
- though one failed to attend the final test session leaving eighteen (11 females) aged 7-10
- 147 (mean age 8.4, s.d. 0.4) in the study. Table 2 shows the characteristics of the samples for both
- 148 experiments.

149	Table 2: Participant	characteristics;	frequencies,	means and	standard	deviations
	1	,	1 /			

	Experiment (i) Episodic Memory			Experimen	nt (ii) Executive Function		
	All	Females	Males	All	Females	Males	
Ν	17	12	5	18	11	7	
Age (yrs)	8.8 (.67)	8.1 (.61)	8.2 (.59)	8.4 (.4)	8.4 (.4)	8.4 (.5)	
RCPM	29.1 (3)	28.7 (3.2)	30.2 (2.3)	26.7 (5.9)	26.4 (6.1)	27.1 (6)	
RCPM %tile	70.2	64.1	85	66.1	64.1	69.3	
Fruit & Veg*	4.5 (1.2)	4.6 (1.3)	4.4 (1.1)	4.6 (1.6)	4.2 (1.9)	5.1 (.6)	

\* Portions per day as assessed with a questionnaire at screening. RCPM = Ravens Coloured Progressive
 Matrices

152 Cognitive Tests

E-Prime V2 (Psychology Software Tools, Inc.) running on a PC with a 15" screen was usedto display the stimuli and record participant responses.

155 Experiment (i) Memory Battery

156 The cognitive tests were presented in the following order: Auditory Verbal Learning Test

157 (AVLT) Recalls 1-5; Picture Presentation; AVLT trial 6; Brown Peterson; Visuo-Spatial Grid

158Task; Picture Recognition; AVLT Recall 7; AVLT word recognition. The AVLT followed

the same protocol as described in Lezak <sup>10</sup> minus the presentation and recall of interference

160 list B which, as discussed above, was removed to allow for a purer measure of episodic

161 memory. It assesses word learning via free recall and recognition. Verbal responses from the

- 162 participants were recorded by the experimenter both on paper and using a digital recorder.
- 163 The AVLT consisted of five consecutive free recalls (Recalls 1 to 5) of the same 15 nouns

(List A) presented auditorily at a rate of 1 word/second. After a 2 minute delay, during which 164 time the participants completed viewing the stimulus for the Picture Recognition Task, there 165 was then a further free recall of List A (Recall 6). This was followed by a fifteen minute 166 delay where participants completed the remaining tasks. A final free recall of List A (Recall 167 7) was then performed. Finally, participants were shown a list of 50 nouns, containing all the 168 words from List A plus an additional 35 filler words to match the number used in Lezak, and 169 asked to circle only the words from List A. The baseline lists and session 2 lists as employed 170 in Whyte et al.<sup>8</sup> were used for this experiment. Different versions were created for repeated 171 administration, which were counterbalanced across conditions. All words had an age of 172 acquisition (AOA) rating of less than 400 (equivalent to age 7 and below) and were matched 173 for concreteness and familiarity. For each test session the following outcomes as specified in 174 Lezak were calculated: Immediate Word Span (Recall 1); Number of Words Learned (Recall 175 5 minus Recall 1); Final Acquisition level (Recall 5); and Word Recognition expressed as the 176 number of correctly circled words. 177

178 The Picture Recognition Task examined delayed visual recognition and was designed by the researchers to reflect the AVLT. Participants were shown 15 pictures of different landscapes 179 at a rate of 1 per second in a randomised order. A 15 minute delay followed whilst 180 participants completed other tasks (see above). Participants were then shown the original 15 181 pictures along with 35 novel pictures in a randomised order and were instructed to press a 182 green key ('right arrow' on the keyboard) if they had seen the item previously, or press a red 183 key ('left arrow' on the keyboard) if the item was a novel. The pictures were displayed at a 184 size of 6 x 6 cm and were drawn from the Sun database  $^{12}$ , with memorability ratings between 185 46-54. Matched versions were created and administered in a counterbalanced order across 186 test days. Outcome variables were correct picture recognitions and reaction time and correct 187 novel picture rejections and reaction time. 188

The Brown Peterson Task examines proactive interference (PI), and release from proactive 189 interference (RPI). Participants were auditorily presented with 3 letters at a rate of 1/second, 190 excluding vowels and the letter y, with each triplet of letters controlled in such a way as no 191 letters presented were phonetically similar. As a distraction task, 15 colour blocks were 192 presented at a rate of 1/second and the task was to name each colour as it appeared. 193 194 Participants then recalled the previously presented letters. The process was repeated for a further 3 trials, with a novel set of letters. This concluded the PI section of the task. Three 195 numbers were then presented followed by the same colour block distraction task, followed by 196 recall. As the final numbers trial was from a different semantic category to the letters trials, 197 this final trial was considered to be an RPI measure. For each session a PI measure was 198 calculated by subtracting recall 1 from recall 4 and an RPI measure by subtracting recall 4 199

from recall 5.

The Visuo-Spatial Grid Task (VSGT) examined visuo-spatial working memory. Participants were shown a 4 x 4 grid on which blue circles would appear within a square of the grid one at a time for 1 second. As each circle appeared the previous one was removed. The main task was preceded by four practice trials. The task was to press the screen in the boxes of the grid where the circles had appeared and in the order that they appeared. Responses started 1

- second following the final circle presentation signalled by a beep. Each response left a
- smaller red circle in the box. After each correct trial the words 'Well done, press space to
- 208 continue' appeared. For errors, the words 'oops you made a mistake, press space to continue'
- appeared. If participants failed to complete a minimum of 3 correct responses they were
- 210 given further coaching to ensure they fully understood the task. The main task followed the
- same procedure as above, however it commenced with a sequence of 2 circles and an
- additional circle was added after every two trials. The task was terminated at the point
- 213 participants were no longer able to correctly recall both trials for a given number of circles.
- 214 Outcome measures were the maximum number of circle presentations reached without
- 215 making a mistake and response time for each screen press.
- 216 Experiment (ii) Executive Function Battery

The Attention Network Task (ANT) measures executive attention (response interference) 217 orienting and alerting<sup>13</sup>. Following an initial fixation slide of 400-1600 ms duration, either a 218 centre cue, a double cue, a spatial cue, or no cue were randomly presented for 150 ms. There 219 220 was then a further short fixation period of 400ms. Stimuli (in the form of yellow cartoon fish on a blue background) were then displayed either above or below the fixation point for 221 250ms and could be congruent, incongruent or neutral depending on whether they matched 222 the direction of the central fish. Stimuli position and congruence type were randomised. A 223 mouse press was required within 1250ms corresponding to the direction the central fish was 224 facing. Feedback was presented in the form of a 'buzz' for an incorrect response or the fish 225 reappearing along with a 'whoohoo' sound for a correct response. Three blocks with 48 trials 226 in each were presented (see Figure 1 for schematic). A practice block of 24 trials preceded 227 the test phase. If an accuracy of below 60% was recorded for the practice a second practice 228 was performed. The outcome measures were accuracy and response times (RTs) for 229 230 congruency and cue type.







**Figure 1:** Schematic of the Attention Network Task (adapted from Rueda et al.<sup>13</sup>).

The Stop Go Task (SGT) measures response inhibition<sup>14</sup>. Following a 700ms fixation slide a 233 stimulus slide was presented for 1000ms (a cartoon mole popping out of a hole). A mouse 234 click was required corresponding with the direction the mole was facing (left or right). On 235 25% of the trials, a stop signal (a helmet on the moles head) was displayed for which 236 participants were instructed to refrain from pressing either mouse button. The initial stop 237 signal was displayed after a 250ms delay with subsequent delays being dynamically 238 'staircased' so that a correct inhibition added 50 ms, thus making inhibition harder, and 239 failure to inhibit subtracted 50 ms, thus making inhibition easier. This manipulation was 240 performed in order to "handicap" performance so that participants performed at 241 242 approximately 50% accuracy on stop trials (see Figure 2 for schematic). An initial overall 60% accuracy rate was required from a 48 trial practice prior to commencing the main task. 243 The main task consisted of 200 trials (50 inhibitions). Outcome measures were accuracy for 244 go trials, go-signal reaction times (GSRT), stop-signal delays (SSD), and stop-signal reaction 245 time (SSRT) measured by subtracting SSD from GSRT. 246





Figure 2: Schematic of the Stop-Go task.

The Switching Task measures cognitive flexibility. A blue triangle in the bottom left corner 249 and a red square in the bottom right were simultaneously presented with a stimulus item 250 shown in the top centre of the screen; either a blue triangle, a blue square, a red triangle, or a 251 red square. Below this stimulus was an instruction word which was either 'shape' or 'colour'. 252 According to the instruction word, participants were required to match the stimulus to the 253 254 same shaped or same coloured item at the bottom of the screen by pressing a keyboard key on the corresponding side. Therefore, the stimuli were either congruent (same shape / same 255 colour following both instruction words) or incongruent (same shape / different colour 256 following the 'shape' instruction and different shape / same colour following the 'colour' 257 instruction). There was no time limit. A 50ms fixation screen showing only the bottom two 258 items appeared after each response. Three separate blocks were performed; the first 'colour' 259 block consisted of 52 colour-only trials and the second 'shape' block consisted of 52 shape-260 only trials. The third 'mixing' block was designed to investigate the cost of switching task 261 and therefore consisted of alternating the instruction that was presented every four trials. 262 Each set of four trials contained each of the four stimulus items presented in a random order 263 (see Figure 3 for a schematic). The main task blocks were preceded by a 48 trial mixing block 264 practice. Accuracy of 60% was required to progress from the practice to the main task. The 265 outcome variables were accuracy and reaction time. 266







Figure 3: Schematic of the Switching Task. '\*' Denotes incongruent targets.

269

#### 270 **Procedure**

Upon recruitment all participants were invited for a screening session where demographic 271 information was collected, exclusion inclusion criteria were checked, fluid intelligence was 272 assessed with Raven's Colour Progressive Matrices (RPM), and a practice version of the 273 274 cognitive battery was administered. Twenty four hours before each test session participants were instructed to consume a low flavonoid diet avoiding a list of high flavonoid foods. Food 275 diaries completed by the guardians were collected to ensure compliance. On completion of 276 the first food diary, guardians also recorded how many portions of fruit and how many of 277 278 vegetables the participants consumed on a typical day, with a portion being defined as the amount the child could comfortably hold in the palm of their hand. On each test day the 279 participants were requested to consume a low flavonoid lunch consisting of a ham or cheese 280 sandwich, crisps and a banana. Water consumption was unlimited during each test day. Half 281 an hour before consumption, a confederate prepared the drinks, which were consumed 282 through a black straw, thus ensuring doubling blinding. All drinks were consumed at the 283 participant's school and all cognitive testing took place at the University of Reading. In order 284 to coincide with the time points where significant effects on memory were previously 285 observed<sup>8</sup>, for experiment (i) the drink was consumed at 1445 or 1515 hours and testing took 286

- 287 place 75 minutes later. Similarly, to coincide with the time points for which effects have been
- observed for executive function<sup>5, 8</sup>, for experiment (ii) the drinks were consumed at 1300
- hours and testing took place three hours later. This research was given a favourable opinion
- 290 for conduct from the University of Reading, School of Psychology Ethics Committee.
- 291 Statistical analysis
- 292 Data were not collected on practice days, and reaction times <100ms were excluded. The
- following analyses were performed: 2x7 (Treatment\*Recall) ANOVA for AVLT data; 2x5
- 294 (Treatment\*Recall) for Brown-Peterson data; 2x3x4 (Treatment\*Congruence\*Cue Type)
- ANOVA for ANT data; 2x2 (Treatment \* Response) for VSGT reaction time data (only the
- first 2 responses were included in the analysis because not all participants managed to
- progress beyond this point); 2x2x4 (Treatment\*Congruence\*Switch Set) for Switching Task
- data. For all other outcome measures within-subject t-tests were performed. For conciseness,
- 299 only main effects and interactions which involve Treatment are reported here. Bonferroni
- 300 corrections were applied to all post hoc analysis of significant interactions.

#### 301 **Results**

302 Memory Function Experiment (i)

303 As shown in Table 3, for the AVLT, Brown Peterson Task and Picture Recognition Task

there were no significant main effects or interactions involving Treatment.

Dependent Variables	Statistics				
RAVLT	RAVLT				
Recall x Treatment (interaction)	$F^{6,96}$ = 1.18, p = .325				
Recall x Treatment (main effect Treatment)	$F^{1,16}$ = .222, p = .644				
Immediate Recall	$t^{16}$ =436, p = .668				
Final Acquisition	$t^{16}$ = .746, p = .466				
Amount Learned	$t^{16} = 1.13, p = .275$				
Total Acquisition	$t^{16}$ =511, p = .616				
Delayed Recall	$t^{16}$ =313, p = .748				
Delayed Recognition	$t^{16}$ = .544, p = .594				
Brown Peterson Task					
Recall x Treatment (interaction)	$F^{2.2,35.3}$ = .199, p =.841				
Recall x Treatment (main effect Treatment)	$F^{1,16}=2.2, p=.157$				
Proactive Interference	$t^{16}$ = .344, p = .735				
Release from Proactive Interference	$t^{16} = 0, p = 1$				
Picture Recognition Task					
Picture Recognition Accuracy	$t^{16}$ = .771, p = .452				
Novel Picture Rejection Accuracy	$t^{16}$ = -1.577, p = .134				
Picture Recognition RT	$t^{16}\!\!=.536,\ p=.599$				
Novel Picture Rejection Accuracy RT	$t^{16}$ =745, p= .467				

#### Table 3. Treatment-related results for tasks employed in Experiment (i)

	Maximum circle positions recalled	$t^{16}$ =275, p = .787
	Response x Treatment RT (interaction)	$F^{1,16}$ = .001, p = .972
	Response x Treatment RT (main effect treatment)	$F^{1,16}$ = 4.87, p = .042*
E	*Significant at $p < 05$	

305 \*Significant at p<.05

For the Visuo-Spatial Grid Task a main effect of Treatment was observed for reaction time  $[F^{1,16}=4.87, p=.042]$ , such that responses were faster following BB relative to placebo (see Figure 1). Importantly, this reaction time benefit was achieved with no cost to accuracy

309 performance with no significant difference being found between the treatments on this

measure [ $t^{16}$ =.275, p=.787]. No other significant effects of Treatment were observed for the VSGT.





313

Figure 4: Mean reaction times ( $\pm$  SE) for the first two screen press responses on each trial of the VSGT showing the main effect of faster response times following anthocyanin intervention in comparison to vehicle (p<0.05).

317

### 318 Executive Function Experiment (ii)

As shown in Table 4, for the Stop-Go Task and the Switching Task there were no significant

- 320 main effects or interactions of Treatment.
- 321

# Table 4. Treatment-related results for tasks employed in Experiment (ii)

(11)		
Dependent Variables	Statistics	
Attention Network Task - RT		
Congruency x Cue x Treatment (3 way interaction)	$F^{6,102}$ =.434, p=.855	
Congruency x Cue x Treatment (Cue x Treatment interaction)	F <sup>3,51</sup> =.537, p=.659	
Congruency x Cue x Treatment (Congruency x Treatment interaction)	F <sup>2,34</sup> =3.30, p=.049*	
Congruency x Cue x Treatment (Treatment main effect)	F <sup>1,17</sup> =.199, p=.662	
Attention Network Task - Accuracy		
Congruency x Cue x Treatment (3 way interaction)	F <sup>6,102</sup> =.530, p=.784	
Congruency x Cue x Treatment (Cue x Treatment interaction)	F <sup>3,51</sup> =.720, p=.545	
Congruency x Cue x Treatment (Congruency x Treatment interaction)	F <sup>2,34</sup> =.759, p=.476	
Congruency x Cue x Treatment (Treatment main effect)	F <sup>1,17</sup> =2.28, p=.150	
Stop-Go Task		
Go trial accuracy	$t^{17} =263, p = .795$	
Go trial RT	$t^{17} = -1.08, p = .295$	
Stop signal delay	$t^{17} =558, p = .584$	
Stop signal reaction time	$t^{17} = .088, p = .931$	
Switching Task – RT		
Congrency x Switch Trial x Treatment (3 way interaction)	F <sup>3,51</sup> =.123, p=.946	
Congrency x Switch Trial x Treatment (Swtich Trail x Treatment)	F <sup>1.97,33.5</sup> =.973, p=3.87	
Congrency x Switch Trial x Treatment (Congruency x Treatment)	F <sup>1,17</sup> =.136, p=.717	
Congrency x Switch Trial x Treatment (Treatment main effect)	F <sup>1,17</sup> =.116, p=.738	
Switching task - Accuracy		
Congrency x Switch Trial x Treatment (3 way interaction)	F <sup>3,51</sup> =.853, p=.472	
Congrency x Switch Trial x Treatment (Swtich Trail x Treatment)	F <sup>3,51</sup> =.198, p=.898	
Congrency x Switch Trial x Treatment (Congruency x Treatment)	F <sup>1,17</sup> =.374, p=.549	
Congrency x Switch Trial x Treatment (Treatment main effect)	F <sup>1,17</sup> =.171, p=.684	
Switching task - simple task vs mixed task comparison RT		
Task x Treament (interaction)	$F^{1,17}$ =.349, p=.563	
Task x Treament (Treatement main effect)	$F^{1,17} = .092, p = .765$	
Switching task - simple task vs mixed task comparison Accuracy		
Task x Treament (interaction)	F <sup>1,17</sup> =.008, p=.929	
Task x Treament (Treatement main effect)	F= <sup>1,17</sup> .062, p=.806	

- For the ANT a significant Treatment\*Congruence interaction was observed  $[F^{2,34}=3.3,$
- 325 p=.049] for reaction time data. As show in Figure 2, this interaction is partially explained by
- a trend for faster responses following BB (mean = 587ms) relative to placebo (mean =
- 327 604ms) for congruent trials (p=.062), particularly for the spatial cues though post-hoc
- analysis only revealed a weak trend (p=.094) for this measure, however the
- 329 Treatment\*Congruence\*Cue Type interaction was not significant. No other significant effects





Figure 5. Attention Network Task mean response times (±SE) showing A) the interaction 332 between treatment and congruence. For congruent trials there is evidence of more rapid 333 response times following the blueberry drink compared to placebo (non-significant trend; 334 p=.062), however, this trend is not seen for neutral or incongruent trials. Mean response times 335 (±SE) are also shown as a function of treatment and warning type for B) Congruent, C) 336 Neutral, and D) Incongruent trials. For congruent trials following a spatial cue, there is 337 evidence of more rapid response times following blueberry drink compared to placebo, (non-338 significant trend; p=.094), however, this trend is not seen for any of the other comparisons. 339 \*p<. 05 340

#### 342 Discussion

The aim of this research was to examine whether episodic memory and executive function 343 were improved at 75 minutes and 3 hours (respectively) after consumption of a wild 344 blueberry beverage in children aged 7- to 10-years, and whether any effects extended to 345 various aspects of these cognitive domains. The results from experiment (i) showed no 346 significant differences between the blueberry and placebo for immediate recall, delayed 347 recall, delayed recognition, or proactive interference. Participants, however, responded 348 significantly faster on aspects of the VSGT at 75 minutes following blueberry, revealing for 349 the first time increases in the speed of visual memory processing following blueberry within 350 this age group. In support, other flavonoid intervention studies which have also shown no 351 accuracy effect in visuo-spatial memory have shown improvement in speed of processing (i.e. 352 Pipingas et al.<sup>15</sup>). This was also the case here where there were significantly faster first and 353 second responses following anthocyanin intervention in comparison to the vehicle. A 354 consideration in relation to previous findings for episodic memory is the time of testing. 355 Previously participants were tested in the morning at 1145 hours <sup>8</sup> whilst in the current 356 experiment they were tested at 1600 hours. Variables such as fatigue and levels of exercise 357 (as part of the school day curriculum) may have contributed to the absence of effects on 358 memory accuracy. However, when children were tested in the afternoon two hours following 359 blueberry consumption, Barfoot et al.<sup>9</sup> did show that verbal memory accuracy was improved. 360 It is possible that a longer time course is needed (i.e. 120 minutes rather than 75 minutes) to 361 observe effects for episodic memory when testing after lunch, possibly due to variations in 362 speed of digestion which can be influenced by the macronutrient composition of the lunch 363 interfering with digestion of the intervention. Furthermore, the children in experiment (i) 364 showed higher fluid intelligence than the published norms for the RCPM (70<sup>th</sup> percentile). 365 Fluid intelligence is strongly related to performance on visuo-spatial working memory tasks<sup>16</sup> 366 and it is therefore possible that the particular sample of participants in this study had an 367 increased aptitude for the Visuo-Spatial Grid Task which would have elevated their 368 performance regardless of intervention and reduced the scope for the blueberry drink to 369 reveal an accuracy benefit. For example, higher RCPM scores were observed here compared 370 to other studies in children showing benefits of blueberry <sup>9</sup>. The lack of significant delayed 371 memory effects on the AVLT were unexpected given that this has been a robust effect found 372 in previous blueberry research with this age group<sup>7-9</sup>. It should be noted that the version of 373 the AVLT used here did not employ an 'interference' list which is normally presented before 374 the delayed recall element of the task. Given there was no retroactive interference the delayed 375 recall in this version of the task would have been less cognitively demanding than the 376 377 versions employed in previous studies and it is possible the task was no longer sufficiently sensitive to demonstrate blueberry related cognitive benefits. Going further, it is possible that 378 this indicates that this episodic memory assessment is not sensitive to a blueberry 379 intervention in children under these conditions. 380

The results of experiment (ii) revealed a positive effect of wild blueberry for faster response times on congruent trials during the ANT task, which indicates a benefit for blueberries on the attention aspect of the task. However, there was no evidence to benefits for other aspects

of executive function including response inhibition in the Stop Go task, cognitive flexibility 384 in the Switching Task, or on the most cognitively demanding (incongruent) trials of the ANT 385 as evidenced by an absence of significant effects for the outcome measures of these tasks. 386 Interestingly, the benefit for attentional response speed is consistent with others <sup>5,9</sup> who also 387 report increased speed of response following blueberry with a modified version of the ANT 388 task used here. However, these previous studies report benefits when demand was high, i.e. 389 faster response for the more difficult incongruent rather than congruent trials <sup>5</sup> and trials of 390 shorter duration <sup>9</sup> which the authors argue require greater executive function resources than 391 longer trials. The slight discrepancy between the present findings and others could be 392 accounted for by the nature of the task. The modified ANT included additional elements and 393 stimuli (e.g. noise and load variables), which increase the complexity and demand of the task 394 and therefore, it is possible that the present version, which did not include these variables, 395 was not sufficiently challenging to induce the demand effect. Importantly there was a fixation 396 period between trails in this version of the task which varied between 2100ms and 3300ms 397 whereas previous versions where reaction time benefits have been recorded had no gap 398 between trials<sup>5,9</sup>. This extended gap between trials may have had the consequence of 399 allowing the participants a period where concentrated attention on stimuli was not required 400 and thus reduced the overall demand of the task. A similar effect may also have been present 401 in the switching task. Here, there was no time constraint on response, with the participants 402 being free to take as long as they wished to respond on each trial. This lack of time pressure 403 may again have lessened the cognitive demand and reduced the sensitivity of the task to any 404 405 reaction time or accuracy benefits. The absence of effects for the Stop-Go task are consistent with the null effects for a similar Go-No-Go task <sup>8</sup> which could indicate that response 406 inhibition is less sensitive to blueberry flavonoids in children than other aspects of executive 407 function. Direct comparisons between the executive function and episodic memory outcomes 408 in the present study are limited in light of the different, albeit matched samples recruited for 409 each of two experiments. The rationale for this design is outlined in the introduction (i.e. to 410 avoid interference and procedural order effects), however, it would be beneficial to apply this 411 experimental design with a single cohort following a randomised cross-over design to enable 412 investigation of possible differences in performance between executive function and episodic 413 memory tasks. It is also important to acknowledge that, owing to difficulties with 414 recruitment, the anticipated sample size was not achieved leading to a possible loss of power 415 and further research with a larger sample size to address this is recommended. Furthermore, 416 across the two experiments there is a risk that the observed significant effects reflect type 1 417 error, particularly given the complexity of the analysis models. Having said that, appropriate 418 post hoc corrections were applied and only significant interactions and main effects were 419 explored. The addition of sugar to the vehicle was required in order to match the placebo and 420 blueberry drinks for sugar content, and to ensure that the drink was palatable to the children. 421 In support, this vehicle is similar to other studies in children <sup>5, 8, 17, 18</sup>, and whilst it is true that 422 the sugar content may affect performance, we can be confident that differences in 423 performance between the placebo and blueberry drinks are not due to the sugar content given 424 that they are matched on this constituent. Future studies would benefit from a measure of 425 physical activity in the children as it is plausible that health parameters not measured here 426 427 such as level of fitness, habitual diet, and BMI could affect response to the intervention.

- 428 The research was designed to examine whether consumption of a flavonoid-rich wild
- 429 blueberry drink can improve episodic memory at 75 minutes post consumption and executive
- 430 function at 3 hours post consumption (respectively) in children aged 7-10. The results offer
- 431 some support for this hypothesis, with improved response times for some elements of the
- episodic memory and executive function measures, however there were no apparent
- 433 blueberry benefits for accuracy outcomes. It was also hypothesised that blueberry
- 434 consumption would improve performance on the most demanding aspects of the tasks,
- however there was no clear support for this hypothesis. As discussed, this may reflect that the
- 436 versions of the task used were not of sufficient demand. In summary, this research adds some
- 437 support for the evidence base (see  $^2$  for review) that blueberry flavonoids can benefit
- 438 cognitive function, specifically response speed, in children aged 7-10. Further research is
- required to understand if the time course of these effects is different depending on the area ofthe brain and cognitive domain targeted, and how this coincides with mechanisms of action.
- 441 For example, the time course of the peripheral vascular responses has been reasonably well
- 442 documented <sup>19, 20</sup> but further work is required to identify the cerebral vascular response, and
- 443 whether any such changes can directly impact cognitive function.
- 444

## 445 **Conflicts of interest**

446 There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

### 447 Acknowledgements

- This research was funded by a University of Reading Social Sciences doctoral studentship toA. W. We are grateful to the Wild Blueberry Association of North America who provided the
- 450 freeze dried blueberries used in this study.
- 451

453

## 452 **References**

- W. Kalt, A. Cassidy, L. R. Howard, R. Krikorian, A. J. Stull, F. Tremblay and R. Zamora-Ros,
   Recent Research on the Health Benefits of Blueberries and Their Anthocyanins, *Advances in Nutrition*, 2019, 11(2), 224-236.
- S. Hein, A. R. Whyte, E. Wood, A. Rodriguez-Mateos and C. M. Williams, Systematic review of
  the effects of blueberry on cognitive performance as we age, *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 2019, **74**, 984-995.
- 4603.J. P. Spencer, Flavonoids and brain health: multiple effects underpinned by common461mechanisms, *Genes Nutr*, 2009, **4**, 243-250.
- 462 4. M. G. Miller, D. A. Hamilton, J. A. Joseph and B. Shukitt-Hale, Dietary blueberry improves
  463 cognition among older adults in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial,
  464 *European Journal of Nutrition*, 2018, DOI: 10.1007/s00394-017-1400-8, 1169-1180.
- 4655.A. R. Whyte, G. Schafer and C. M. Williams, The effect of cognitive demand on performance466of an executive function task following wild blueberry supplementation in 7 to 10 years old467children, Food Funct, 2017, **8**, 4129-4138.

468	6.	L. Bell, D. J. Lamport, L. T. Butler and C. M. Williams, A Review of the Cognitive Effects
469		Observed in Humans Following Acute Supplementation with Flavonoids, and Their
470		Associated Mechanisms of Action, Nutrients, 2015, 7, 10290-10306.
471	7.	A. R. Whyte and C. M. Williams, Effects of a single dose of a flavonoid-rich blueberry drink on
472		memory in 8 to 10 y old children, <i>Nutrition</i> , 2015, <b>31</b> , 531-534.
473	8.	A. R. Whyte, G. Schafer and C. M. Williams, Cognitive effects following acute wild blueberry
474		supplementation in 7- to 10-year-old children, <i>Eur J Nutr</i> , 2016, <b>55</b> , 2151-2162.
475	9.	K. L. Barfoot, G. May, D. J. Lamport, J. Ricketts, P. M. Riddell and C. M. Williams, The effects
476		of acute wild blueberry supplementation on the cognition of 7–10-year-old schoolchildren,
477		European journal of nutrition, 2018, DOI: 10.1007/s00394-018-1843-6, 1-10.
478	10.	M. D. Lezak, Neuropsychological assessment, Oxford University Press, USA, 2004.
479	11.	A. Rodriguez-Mateos, T. Cifuentes-Gómez, S. Tabatabaee, C. Lecras and J. P. Spencer,
480		Procyanidin, anthocyanin, and chlorogenic acid contents of highbush and lowbush
481		blueberries, Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 2012, <b>60</b> , 5772-5778.
482	12.	Isola, P., Xiao, J., Torralba, A., & Oliva, A. (2011). What makes an image memorable?. In CVPR
483		2011 (pp. 145-152). IEEE.
484	13.	M. R. Rueda, J. Fan, B. D. McCandliss, J. D. Halparin, D. B. Gruber, L. P. Lercari and M. I.
485		Posner, Development of attentional networks in childhood, Neuropsychologia, 2004, 42,
486		1029-1040.
487	14.	B. R. Williams, J. S. Ponesse, R. J. Schachar, G. D. Logan and R. Tannock, Development of
488		inhibitory control across the life span, Developmental psychology, 1999, <b>35</b> , 205.
489	15.	A. Pipingas, R. B. Silberstein, L. Vitetta, C. V. Rooy, E. V. Harris, J. M. Young, C. M. Frampton,
490		A. Sali and J. Nastasi, Improved cognitive performance after dietary supplementation with a
491		Pinus radiata bark extract formulation, <i>Phytotherapy Research</i> , 2008, <b>22</b> , 1168-1174.
492	16.	ML. Haavisto and J. E. Lehto, Fluid/spatial and crystallized intelligence in relation to
493		domain-specific working memory: A latent-variable approach, Learning and Individual
494		<i>Differences</i> , 2005, <b>15</b> , 1-21.
495	17.	K. L. Barfoot, G. May, D. J. Lamport, J. Ricketts, P. M. Riddell and C. M. Williams, The effects
496		of acute wild blueberry supplementation on the cognition of 7–10-year-old schoolchildren,
497		<i>European journal of nutrition</i> , 2019, <b>58</b> , 2911-2920.
498	18.	S. Khalid, K. L. Barfoot, G. May, D. J. Lamport, S. A. Reynolds and C. M. Williams, Effects of
499		Acute Blueberry Flavonoids on Mood in Children and Young Adults, <i>Nutrients</i> , 2017, 9, 158.
500	19.	A. Rees, G. F. Dodd and J. P. E. Spencer, The Effects of Flavonoids on Cardiovascular Health:
501		A Review of Human Intervention Trials and Implications for Cerebrovascular Function,
502		Nutrients, 2018, <b>10</b> , 1852.
503	20.	A. Rodriguez-Mateos, C. Rendeiro, T. Bergillos-Meca, S. Tabatabaee, T. W. George, C. Heiss
504		and J. P. Spencer, Intake and time dependence of blueberry flavonoid–induced
505		improvements in vascular function: a randomized, controlled, double-blind, crossover
506		intervention study with mechanistic insights into biological activity, The American journal of
507		clinical nutrition, 2013, <b>98</b> , 1179-1191.