

# Skill of seasonal rainfall and temperature forecasts for East Africa

Article

Accepted Version

Young, H. R. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7997-9471 and Klingaman, N. P. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2927-9303 (2020) Skill of seasonal rainfall and temperature forecasts for East Africa. Weather and Forecasting, 35 (5). pp. 1783-1800. ISSN 0882-8156 doi: 10.1175/WAF-D-19-0061.1 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/91641/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: https://journals.ametsoc.org/waf/article/doi/10.1175/WAF-D-19-0061.1/353353/Skill-of-seasonal-rainfall-and-temperature To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-19-0061.1

Publisher: American Meteorological Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR



# Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1	Skill of seasonal rainfall and temperature forecasts for East Africa
2	
3	Hannah R. Young* and Nicholas P. Klingaman
4	
5	National Centre for Atmospheric Science–Climate and Department of Meteorology,
6	University of Reading, Reading, UK
7	
8	*Corresponding author. Email hannah.young@reading.ac.uk
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

#### 24 Abstract

25 Skilful seasonal forecasts can provide useful information for decision makers, 26 particularly in regions heavily dependent on agriculture, such as East Africa. We 27 analyse prediction skill for seasonal East African rainfall and temperature one to four 28 months ahead from two seasonal forecasting systems: the US National Centers for 29 Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Coupled Forecast System Model Version 2 30 (CFSv2) and the UK Met Office (UKMO) Global Seasonal Forecast System Version 31 5 (GloSea5). We focus on skill for low or high temperature and rainfall, below the 32 25th or above the 75th percentile respectively, as these events can have damaging 33 effects in this region. We find skill one month ahead for both low and high rainfall 34 from CFSv2 for December-January-February in Tanzania, and from GloSea5 for 35 September-October-November in Kenya. Both models have higher skill for 36 temperature than for rainfall across Ethiopia, Kenya and Tanzania, two months 37 ahead in some cases. Performance for rainfall and temperature change in the two 38 models during certain El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) phases, the impacts of which vary by country, season and sometimes by 39 40 model. While most changes in performance are within the range of uncertainty due 41 to the relatively small sample size in each phase, they are significant in some cases. 42 For example, La Niña lowers performance for Kenya September-October-November 43 rainfall in CFSv2 but does not affect skill in GloSea5.

- 44
- 45
- 46

#### 47 **1. Introduction**

48 Forecasts of rainfall and temperature for upcoming months can be valuable for 49 decision makers to anticipate and mitigate the effects of unfavourable conditions. 50 Forecast information can guide the decisions of policymakers in agriculture and food 51 security, water management, disaster risk reduction, emergency relief and health 52 (Lemos et al., 2002; Vitart et al., 2012), and at local scales be useful for subsistence 53 farmers (Patt and Gwata, 2002; Hansen et al., 2011). East Africa is particularly 54 vulnerable to the effects of rainfall and temperature extremes, as much of the 55 population depends on rainfed agriculture for their income: 79% in Ethiopia, 77% in 56 Tanzania and 61% in Kenya (FAO, 2018). Crops and livestock can be affected by 57 heat stress, drought conditions (Herrero et al., 2010), and frosts (Kotikot and Onywere, 2015), and the prevalence of disease is also influenced by both rainfall 58 59 and temperature (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2012).

60

61 For forecasts to be successfully interpreted and applied in this region, it is necessary to understand the skill and reliability of ensemble forecasting systems for predicting 62 63 rainfall and temperature on decision-relevant timescales. Seasonal rainfall variability 64 over the East African region is influenced by local factors such as topography, 65 coastal influences and lakes; regional circulation drivers such as the tropical easterly 66 jet; and remote drivers such as the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), the Indian 67 Ocean Dipole (IOD) and the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) (Nicholson, 2017). 68 Successful rainfall predictions rely on the ability of seasonal forecast models to 69 represent a range of drivers and their relationships to regional rainfall. Models also

70 need to capture the seasonal cycle of rainfall. While some parts of the region 71 experience one rainy season per year, such as southern Tanzania and northern 72 Ethiopia, the near-equatorial region including northern Tanzania, Kenya and 73 southern Ethiopia has two rainy seasons (Dunning et al., 2016; Nicholson, 2017). 74 Successful temperature predictions also rely on models representing drivers, both 75 local and remote, and their relationships to regional conditions. In particular, soil 76 moisture conditions and ENSO phase are important for predicting heatwaves 77 (Hirschi et al., 2011; Russo et al., 2016; van den Hurk et al., 2010). 78 79 a. Seasonal forecasts for East Africa 80 81 Nicholson (2017) provides a comprehensive overview of previous work on the skill of 82 seasonal rainfall forecasts over eastern Africa. The short rains (October to November) are generally more predictable than the long rains (March to May), 83 84 particularly in dynamical models. In both seasons statistical models are generally 85 more skilful than dynamical ones, however dynamical models do outperform in some 86 cases. For instance, Walker et al. (2019) found a dynamical model had higher skill 87 for predicting East African rainfall than a consensus forecast based on both 88 statistical and dynamical models. 89 90 Statistical models identify remote atmospheric and oceanic drivers with 91 teleconnections to the region of interest, to predict conditions in the coming months. 92 These models therefore rely on the availability of information about the relevant 93 drivers ahead of the season of interest to make a prediction. For example, Camberlin 4

94 and Philippon (2002) used observed ENSO and other predictors identified using 95 principal component analysis to predict March to May rainfall in East Africa. Diro et 96 al. (2008, 2011) developed skilful statistical forecasts for Ethiopia's spring and 97 summer rains based on teleconnections from sea surface temperatures (SSTs) in 98 regions across the globe. Funk et al. (2014) used western central Pacific and central 99 Indian Ocean SSTs to predict East African droughts and Chen and Georgakakos 100 (2015) forecast East African rains using SST dipoles across basins including the 101 Mediterranean Sea, North and South Atlantic, Indian Ocean, and Arabian Sea. 102

103 Nicholson (2014) produced regression models based on SST, sea level pressure 104 and vertical and horizontal winds at different heights to predict March-April-May, 105 July-August-September and October-November rains in equatorial and summer 106 rainfall regions. The summer rainfall region was defined by areas of East Africa 107 where the maximum rainfall falls between June and September, and the equatorial 108 rainfall region was defined where the maximum rainfall falls within March-April-May 109 or October-November. Correlations between modelled and observed rainfall were 110 above 0.76 up to five months ahead for October-November rainfall in both regions, 111 two months ahead for July-August-September rainfall in the summer rainfall region, 112 and two months ahead for March-April-May rainfall in the equatorial region. This 113 highlighted how the ENSO spring predictability barrier (Webster and Yang, 1992) 114 can hinder prediction of spring and summer rains at longer lead times (Nicholson, 115 2017). Along with later work (Nicholson, 2015), the Nicholson (2014) study also 116 found that statistical models that used atmospheric variables on multiple levels as 117 predictors tended to have higher skill than those that used only surface variables.

118

119 On the other hand, dynamical forecasts are predictions from models that represent 120 the physical processes underlying weather and climate. While dynamical systems 121 are generally less skillful than statistical models over East Africa (Nicholson, 2017), 122 they are increasingly being developed and used, and there is evidence of skill for 123 predicting seasonal temperature (Weisheimer and Palmer, 2014) and rainfall 124 (Bahaga et al., 2016; Batté and Déqué, 2011; Diro et al., 2012; Dutra et al., 2013; 125 MacLeod, 2018; Mwangi et al., 2014; Walker et al., 2019). In this paper we will 126 contribute to greater understanding of the skill of two contemporary dynamical 127 seasonal forecasting systems, the US National Centers for Environmental Prediction 128 (NCEP) Coupled Forecast System Model Version 2 (CFSv2, Saha et al., 2014) and 129 the UK Met Office (UKMO) Global Seasonal Forecast System Version 5 (GloSea5, 130 MacLachlan et al., 2015).

131

132 We focus on the skill of CFSv2 and GloSea5 for high or low rainfall or temperature 133 for countries in East Africa, with lead times of one to four months. While GloSea5 134 rainfall forecasts have been analysed by Walker et al. (2019) across East Africa at 135 one month lead time for tercile event categories, here we analyse additionally lead 136 times out to four months, to determine how far ahead useful predictions can be 137 made. We also evaluate temperature forecasts, as these may also be relevant for 138 decision-makers in the region, and we provide a comparison with CFSv2. In each 139 case we also analyse the forecasts at country-scale, which has not been done 140 before for these models. Alongside information at both larger regional and smaller 141 sub-national scales, forecasts for individual countries may be useful for decision

makers advising at this scale, such as humanitarian agencies, so an understanding
of country-level skill is relevant in this context. We compare skill over Ethiopia,
Kenya and Tanzania, three relatively large countries in the East Africa region with
different seasonal cycles, particularly for rainfall.

146

In the next section we describe the data and methods used in this study. We present
results in section 3 and in section 4 we discuss these in the context of skill of other
models and future research opportunities.

150 **2. Data and Methods** 

#### 151 a. Re-forecast and observational data

152

153 The re-forecast data are from the NCEP CFSv2 and UKMO GloSea5 models. CFSv2 154 re-forecasts are started every five days starting from the 1st of January, running for 155 nine months, for the period 1982-2011. They have four ensemble members and 156 T126 resolution (approximately 100km). GloSea5 re-forecasts are started on the 1st, 157 9th, 17th and 25th of each month, with seven ensemble members, and are run for 158 approximately seven months (216 days). We used re-forecasts for 1993-2015 at 159 N216 resolution (approximately 60km at midlatitudes). For each model, all re-160 forecasts within a calendar month were merged to produce larger ensembles of re-161 forecasts, and for ease of comparing the models. This resulted in an ensemble size 162 of either 20, 24 or 28 for CFSv2 (four ensemble members started on either 5, 6 or 7 163 start dates, depending on the month), and an ensemble size of 28 for GloSea5 (7 164 ensemble members started on 4 start dates). We evaluate both models at lead times

of one to four months. The lead time corresponds to the time ahead of the start of
the season. For example, re-forecasts for DJF at one month lead are those starting
on any dates in November; re-forecasts at four months lead are those starting on
any dates in August.

169

Rainfall data to validate the re-forecasts are taken from the Global Precipitation
Climatology Project (GPCP; Adler et al., 2003) at 2.5 x 2.5 degree resolution
(approximately 275km at the equator). Temperature data are near-surface (2 metre)
air temperatures from the ECMWF Interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim; Dee et al., 2011)
at N128 resolution (approximately 80km). Model data were regridded to the grid of
the observations for gridpoint scale analyses.

176

177 b. Methods

178

179 We analysed the re-forecasts over the standard meteorological seasons (DJF, MAM, 180 JJA, SON), with lead times one to four months ahead of the season of interest. 181 Analyses were carried out at gridpoint scale and at country-average scale. Results 182 are shown at country scale as, due to geopolitical considerations, information at this 183 scale is sometimes used to guide decisions in humanitarian or other sectors. Results 184 are shown for DJF and JJA for temperature, and for the main rainy seasons in each 185 country. It is meteorological practice in Ethiopia to partition rainfall in three four-186 month seasons: FMAM, JJAS (the main rainy season) and ONDJ. For consistency 187 with the analysis for other countries we here retain use of three-month periods and

focus on the two seasons where these are a subset of the longer seasons: JJA andMAM.

190

Biases in the mean of the forecasts compared to the observations were first calculated. Mean biases for each lead time (in weeks) were then removed from the hindcasts before the rest of the analysis was carried out. Anomaly Correlation Coefficients (ACC) were also calculated for the ensemble mean, which is the correlation between the forecasts and observations, each taken as an anomaly from their own climatology. These are temporal correlations, and are calculated both at gridpoint and country scale.

198

We also calculated the Brier Skill Score (BSS), to compare the skill of the reforecasts to a climatological forecast. We use a climatological forecast that always
forecasts the expected likelihood of an event, e.g. events below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile
are expected to occur 25% of the time, so are forecast with probability 0.25. The
Brier Skill Score is

$$BSS = 1 - \frac{BS}{BS_{ref}}$$

where BS is the Brier Score of the forecast and BS<sub>ref</sub> is the Brier Score of a
 reference (climatological) forecast. The Brier Score is defined as

207 
$$BS = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} (f_t - o_t)^2$$

209 where N is the number of forecasts,  $f_t$  are the forecast probabilities of an event and  $o_t$ 210 are the indicators of whether the event was observed (1 if it occurred; 0 if it did not 211 occur), at each forecast instance t. A BSS above 0 indicates skill greater than that of 212 a climatological forecast. Finally, reliability diagrams were also produced, comparing 213 the forecast probability of an event with its observed frequency. Using five bins to 214 partition the forecast probabilities, the observed relative frequency for each bin is 215 plotted against the average probability value of all forecasts within the bin (Bröcker 216 and Smith, 2007). Reliability is shown aggregated over leads of one to two months 217 and three to four months. Both BSSs and reliability diagrams were calculated using 218 country-averaged predicted and observed rainfall and temperature.

219

220 BSSs and reliability diagrams were calculated for events below the 25th percentile, 221 between the 25th and 75th percentiles, and above the 75th percentile. These were 222 calculated using percentiles based on the re-forecast rainfall and temperature 223 distributions (e.g. ft= forecast probability of an event above the re-forecasts' 75th 224 percentile, ot=whether observations were above the observations' 75th percentile), 225 as this calibrates for errors in the models' distributions of rainfall and temperature. 226 Re-forecast percentiles were calculated for each lead time (in months) for the BSS 227 and reliability calculations. To estimate uncertainty in the BSSs, the original 228 ensemble for each year was bootstrapped 1000 times, sampling with replacement to 229 produce ensembles the same size as the original. The re-forecast percentiles were 230 recalculated each time along with the BSS in order to establish the 5th and 95th 231 percentiles of the uncertainty range.

232 Biases and ACCs were also compared for different El Niño Southern Oscillation 233 (ENSO, Trenberth, 1997) and Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD, Saji et al., 1997) phases, 234 as these large scale circulation changes have teleconnections to East Africa (e.g. 235 Ropelewski and Halpert, 1987; Nicholson and Kim, 1997; Black et al., 2003; Black, 236 2005) and may affect forecast skill (e.g. Goddard and Dilley, 2005). ENSO indices 237 were retrieved from NOAA and IOD indices from JAMSTEC. Tercile categories were 238 used to determine negative (below 33rd percentile), neutral (between 33rd and 66th 239 percentile) and positive (above 66th percentile) years for each season. Forecasts 240 were then categorised using the observed IOD and ENSO indices for the season. 241 Biases are shown for these subsets, averaging over 11 (La Niña, positive and 242 negative IOD) or 12 (El Niño) years for the observations, 10 years for CFSv2 and 8 243 years for GloSea5. In order to estimate whether the conditional ACCs for ENSO and 244 IOD phases lie outside the range of sampling uncertainty, the original uncategorised 245 ensemble was also bootstrapped 1000 times. Each time the same number of years 246 were sampled as were in the ENSO or IOD tercile categories (10 for CFSv2 and 8 247 for GloSea5) and the ACC calculated, in order to establish the 5th and 95th 248 percentiles of the sampling uncertainty range.

249

## 250 **3. Results**

251 a. Model biases

252

Rainfall results for MAM and SON show that both models have clear mean-state
rainfall biases over East Africa (Fig. 1a). CFSv2 has a dry bias in MAM in regions of

255 observed high rainfall, and in SON there is a dry bias across the western part of the 256 region. These biases increase slightly from lead-1 to lead-3. CFSv2 also produces 257 wet biases in the northern Ethiopian highlands of up to 3mm/day, suggesting the 258 simulated rainfall is too sensitive to orography. GloSea5 rainfall biases are much 259 smaller in MAM. In SON, GloSea5 has a wet bias of over 3mm/day across the 260 region, corresponding to the wet bias found by Walker et al. (2019) for the OND 261 season. The wet bias increases slightly from lead-1 to lead-3. Both models also 262 show large rainfall biases over the Indian Ocean. CFSv2 interannual rainfall 263 variability is generally too low across East Africa, while GloSea5 has too little 264 variance where observed variance is high, but too much variance in other parts of 265 the region (not shown).

266

267 Temperatures vary little throughout the year in Ethiopia, Kenya and Tanzania (Fig. 268 1b). CFSv2 is too cool over the Horn of Africa, and additionally over Tanzania and 269 the southern part of the region in JJA, but too warm into central Africa. Temperatures 270 over Ethiopia, Kenya and Tanzania are mostly up to 2°C too cool and these biases 271 change little with lead time. GloSea5 is too cool by up to 5°C in the northern part of 272 the region and too warm across the southern part in DJF, and in JJA the warm bias 273 is further north and includes Ethiopia, with biases above 2°C. There is also a strong 274 warm bias over Lake Victoria. The biases over Ethiopia, Kenya and Tanzania 275 change little with lead time but the warm bias over central Africa in JJA decreases 276 from lead-1 to lead-3. Both models also have variability which is too high where 277 observed variance is low, and too low where observed variance is high (not shown).

279 1) BIASES CONDITIONED ON ENSO AND IOD

280

281 Rainfall and temperature in East Africa are affected by SST variations in the ENSO 282 and IOD regions. Fig. 2a shows that observed rainfall in SON over Kenya and 283 Tanzania is generally above-normal in El Niño and positive IOD phases, by up to 0.8 284 mm/day, while in negative IOD rainfall is up to 0.6 mm/day below-normal. In MAM 285 changes are smaller, but there is slightly above normal rainfall in Ethiopia during El 286 Niño, and across all three countries during negative IOD. During positive IOD events 287 there is below normal rainfall over the region. ENSO and IOD teleconnections to 288 MAM rainfall are generally weak (e.g. Liebmann et al., 2014; Mutai and Ward, 2000; 289 Vellinga and Milton, 2018), however the effects seen here are likely due to the tercile 290 SST categories defined over a relatively short time period. Conditional rainfall biases 291 in CFSv2 (biases in SST phases relative to the bias in all years) are based on only 292 10 years of data but remain similar in MAM (Fig. 2b) to the unconditional biases (i.e., 293 all years), although the dry bias is increased in negative IOD. In SON, the dry bias 294 over Kenya is amplified during El Niño and positive IOD events, but decreased 295 during the opposite phases. Fig. 2c shows GloSea5 rainfall biases, which are based 296 on only 8 years of data, appear to change relatively little with ENSO and IOD phase 297 in MAM. However in SON the wet bias over the region is increased during La Niña 298 and negative IOD events, but reduced during El Niño and positive IOD phases.

299

Fig. 3a shows that in El Niño and positive IOD phases, the East African region generally experiences warm anomalies in JJA, particularly over Ethiopia which is up to 0.8°C warmer during El Niño. The region is cooler in JJA during La Niña, while

differences in DJF are smaller across the phases. CFSv2 has conditional
temperature biases largely similar to the unconditional biases over the East African
region (Fig. 3b). Fig. 3c shows conditional biases are also similar to unconditional
biases in GloSea5, however the cool bias over Ethiopia and Kenya in DJF is slightly
reduced in El Niño and positive IOD years and amplified in the opposite phases.
Again these biases are based on small sample sizes.

309

### 310 b. Regional performance

311

312 Fig. 4 shows the Anomaly Correlation Coefficients (ACC) for precipitation and 313 temperature at leads 1 and 3 for the ensemble means of the two models. ACCs are 314 lower for rainfall than temperature for both models. For MAM, CFSv2 has rainfall 315 ACC values above 0.4 over northern Ethiopia at lead-1, but by lead-3 these values 316 have decreased, and there is no significant correlation between the model and the 317 observations over Kenya and Tanzania (Fig. 4a). In SON, ACC values are above 0.4 318 over eastern Ethiopia and Kenya and above 0.2 across Tanzania at lead-1, and 319 these decrease over the eastern part of the region by lead-3. GloSea5 ACCs show 320 similar spatial patterns across the countries of interest in MAM, but with higher 321 magnitudes at lead-1 than CFSv2, particularly over Kenya. However in SON the 322 region over eastern Ethiopia and Kenya has higher ACC values than CFSv2 at lead-323 1, at over 0.6, and this extends out to lead-3. The region of high correlation over land 324 in GloSea5 is broadly similar to the equatorial region analysed by Nicholson (2014), 325 whose regression model had a correlation of 0.78 with observations at 2 months 326 lead.

328	ACCs for DJF temperature in CFSv2 are positive over Ethiopia, Tanzania and parts
329	of Kenya, with particularly high values over 0.6 in northern Ethiopia at both lead-1
330	and lead-3 (Fig. 4b). JJA results are similar, but with higher correlation over Kenya at
331	lead-1 and lower correlation across Ethiopia and Tanzania at lead-3. GloSea5
332	results for JJA temperature also show positive ACCs across most of the region, with
333	values above 0.6 over Tanzania out to lead-3. Lower ACCs are found in DJF, and
334	particularly over parts of Kenya there is no significant correlation between the model
335	and the observations as is the case in CFSv2.
336	
337	This analysis is based on different time periods for the two models to make full use
338	of the available data and maximise the sample size. However when using the same
339	time period for both models (1993-2011), the spatial patterns of the ACCs are very
340	similar (Fig. S1 in Supplemental Material). While there are some regions where the
341	magnitude decreases, for example GloSea5 JJA temperature ACCs over Kenya and
342	Tanzania are slightly lower when the shorter time period is used, overall these
343	changes are small.
344	
345	c. Country-level skill: Ethiopia
346	
347	For country-level skill, Figures 5-12 show results for leads 1-4, while Tables 1 and 2
348	provide significance testing for lead-1 and lead-2.
349	

350 We analyse the skill for forecasts averaged over Ethiopia for key 3-month seasons. 351 The CFSv2 rainfall ACC is 0.48 at lead-1 for JJA, but for MAM ACCs are lower and 352 not significant at lead-1 (Fig. 5a, Table 1). ACCs decrease with lead time out to lead-353 4 in MAM and lead-3 in JJA. In El Niño and positive IOD phases CFSv2 ACCs are higher than the unconditioned ACC in MAM, and lower in the opposite phases. The 354 conditioned ACC only lies outside the 5<sup>th</sup> to 95<sup>th</sup> percentile uncertainty range from 355 356 bootstrapping the original ensemble for negative IOD years at lead-1 and lead-2, 357 suggesting that the difference is significant at the 90% confidence level at these 358 leads. GloSea5 ACC values are slightly higher than those from CFSv2 in JJA out to 359 lead-3, and are also much lower and not significant in MAM (Fig. 5a, Table 1). ACCs 360 are higher in La Niña and negative IOD phases in JJA, although this lies within the 361 range of uncertainty. CFSv2 rainfall BSS values are around 0 for all event categories 362 in both seasons, except for dry events (below 25<sup>th</sup> percentile) at lead-2 in JJA where 363 the BSS and its uncertainty range is positive, showing a slight improvement over a 364 climatological forecast (Fig. 6a, Table 1). GloSea5 BSS values are also very close to 365 0, indicating no improvement over a climatological forecast. Reliability curves from 366 CFSv2 and GloSea5 for rainfall generally show little change with lead time, and are 367 closest to the 1:1 line in both models for wet events in MAM and dry events in JJA, 368 signifying that forecast probabilities correspond well to the observed frequencies of events (Fig. 7a). Reliability for average (25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentile) events is poor in MAM 369 370 for both models (Fig. S2a, S3a in Supplemental Material).

371

ACCs for temperature are higher than for rainfall in both models, and remain around
0.5 for all lead times in DJF but decrease with lead time in JJA, from 0.66 in CFSv2

374 and 0.88 in GloSea5 (Fig. 9a, Table 2). La Niña and negative IOD phases have 375 higher ACCs in DJF in CFSv2, while positive IOD ACCs are negative and outside the 376 range of uncertainty. El Niño leads to higher ACCs in DJF and JJA in GloSea5, 377 however this conditional ACC does not lie outside the uncertainty range, suggesting 378 the difference is not significant. CFSv2 BSSs and their uncertainty ranges are positive for cool (below 25<sup>th</sup> percentile) events in DJF at all lead times, and warm 379 380 events (above 75<sup>th</sup> percentile) in JJA at lead-1 (Fig. 10a, Table 2). GloSea5 BSSs 381 and their uncertainty ranges are positive at lead-1 and lead-2 for average events in 382 DJF and warm events in JJA, and at lead-1 for average events in JJA. Reliability 383 diagrams for temperature from CFSv2 show slight improvement from lead 3-4 to lead 384 1-2, and match the 1:1 line reasonably well for cool events in DJF and JJA and warm 385 events in JJA, but they tend to be too shallow (Fig. 11a). Reliability diagrams for GloSea5 (Fig. 12a) show a similar picture. For the average (25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentile) 386 387 category, CFSv2 forecast probabilities showing little relationship with the observed 388 frequencies, but curves are close to the 1:1 line in GloSea5, particularly for DJF (Fig. 389 S4a, S5a in Supplemental Material).

390

391 d. Country-level skill: Kenya

392

393 Kenya receives most rainfall in MAM and SON. CFSv2 has slightly higher

394 correlations in MAM than SON, while GloSea5 ACC values are slightly higher than

- 395 CFSv2 at lead-1 for MAM, and much higher for SON with ACCs above 0.7 out to
- lead-2 (Fig. 5b, Table 1). El Niño has a strong forcing on SON rainfall in East Africa,
- and is associated with above normal conditions (Black, 2005). Separating out years

398 into ENSO and IOD phases suggests that CFSv2 and GloSea5 have consistently 399 greater performance in El Niño years in SON, however this is within the range of 400 uncertainty. While GloSea5 has a slight decrease in performance in La Niña years in 401 SON, CFSv2 exhibits a much greater decrease that consistently lies outside the 402 uncertainty range and the model is strongly anti-correlated with the observations by 403 lead-3 (Fig. 5b). CFSv2 BSS values are very close to 0 for all events and lead times 404 (Fig. 6b, Table 1), showing skill similar to climatological forecast skill. GloSea5 skill is 405 above that of a climatological forecast at lead-1 for wet events in MAM and SON and 406 dry events in SON (Fig. 6b, Table 1). CFSv2 rainfall reliability changes little with lead 407 time and is best for dry events in MAM and wet events in SON (Fig. 7b). GloSea5 408 reliability curves are particularly close to the 1:1 line for wet events in SON out to 409 lead 3-4 (Fig. 8b). Reliability for average events is better in CFSv2 for MAM and 410 GloSea5 for SON (Fig. S2b, S3b in Supplemental Material).

411

412 CFSv2 temperature ACC values remain around 0.5 at all lead times in JJA, and are 413 slightly lower in DJF (Fig. 9b, Table 2). GloSea5 ACCs are lower than CFSv2 in DJF 414 but similar in JJA. ACCs in negative IOD phases are slightly greater than in all years 415 in JJA in both models, and outside the range of uncertainty at longer lead times in 416 both. CFSv2 also has much lower ACCs with negative correlations during positive 417 IOD years in JJA. CFSv2 has positive BSSs, showing an improvement over a 418 climatological forecast, for cool events in DJF at lead-1 and in JJA at lead-1 and 419 lead-2 (Fig. 10b, Table 2). GloSea5 has BSSs above zero only for warm events in 420 JJA at lead-1 and lead-2. Reliability for temperature from CFSv2 is best for cool 421 events in JJA at leads 1-2, whereas curves for warm events are too shallow (Fig.

422 11b). GloSea5 reliability is poor, particularly for cool events (Fig. 12b). Reliability is
423 generally poor for average events, although the curve at lead 1-2 for JJA in CFSv2 is
424 close to the 1:1 line (Fig. S4b, S5b in Supplemental Material).

425

426 e. Country-level skill: Tanzania

427

428 In Tanzania in DJF, both models have ACCs for rainfall above 0.5 at lead-1; this 429 decreases with lead time in CFSv2 but remains fairly constant in GloSea5 (Fig. 5c, 430 Table 1). ACCs are much lower in both models in MAM, and are mostly around or 431 below zero. ENSO and IOD phase has little consistent effect on the ACC in DJF in 432 either model, but generally ACCS are lower in negative IOD than the unconditioned 433 case. In MAM, La Niña increases the ACC at all lead times in both models, but this is 434 within the sampling uncertainty range. El Niño decreases skill in MAM in out to lead-435 3 in both models, and this is outside the range of uncertainty at lead-1. CFSv2 has 436 positive BSSs for dry and wet events at lead-1 in DJF, but other events and leads 437 are close to or below 0 (Fig. 6c, Table 1). The uncertainty range of GloSea5 BSSs at 438 lead-1 and lead-2 does not lie above 0 in either season (Fig. 6c). CFSv2 reliability 439 curves are close to the 1:1 line for dry events in DJF, and wet events in DJF at lead 440 1-2 (Fig. 7c). Reliability for rainfall is also better in GloSea5 in DJF than MAM, where 441 dry and wet events are both only predicted with low probabilities (Fig. 8c). For 442 average events, forecast probabilities show little relationship with observed 443 frequencies in CFSv2, but the curve is close to the 1:1 line in DJF at lead 1-2 in 444 GloSea5 (Fig. S2c, S3c in Supplemental Material).

445

446 Temperature ACCs are around 0.5 at lead-1 for both seasons in CFSv2, and 447 decrease slightly with lead time (Fig. 9c, Table 2). ACCs are lower in DJF in 448 GloSea5 than in CFSv2, but higher in JJA. ENSO and IOD phase influences on the 449 ACCs mostly lie within the range of uncertainty. Both models show slight 450 improvements in negative IOD years in JJA, and there is a decrease in ACC when in 451 El Niño phase for JJA in CFSv2 which does lie outside the range of sampling 452 uncertainty. BSS values and their uncertainty ranges are positive in CFSv2 at lead-1 453 and lead-2 for cool events in DJF and cool and average events in JJA, and at lead-1 454 for warm events in DJF (Fig. 10c, Table 2), signifying higher skill than a 455 climatological forecast. GloSea5 has less skill over Tanzania in DJF, with negative 456 BSS values for lead-1 for all temperature categories, but higher skill than a 457 climatological forecast at lead-1 and lead-2 for cool and warm events in JJA. 458 Reliability diagrams for temperature from CFSv2 and GloSea5 show curves are 459 closest to the 1:1 line for warm events in DJF and cool events in JJA at lead 1-2 (Fig. 460 11c, 12c). Reliability for average events is better for JJA than DJF in both models 461 (Fig. S4c, S5c in Supplemental Material).

- 462 **4. Discussion and conclusions**
- 463 *a. Rainfall skill*
- 464

Country ACCs and BSSs for rainfall forecasts at leads 1 and 2 are summarised in
Table 1. CFSv2 temperature anomalies significantly correlate with observations only
at lead-1 in JJA in Ethiopia, and lead-1 and lead-2 in MAM in Kenya and DJF in
Tanzania. Skill is mostly similar to that of a climatological forecast, only showing

improvement above this at lead-1 for dry and wet events in DJF in Tanzania, and atlead-2 for dry events in JJA in Ethiopia.

471

GloSea5 skill is generally similar to CFSv2 for rainfall, also having positive anomaly
correlations for JJA in Ethiopia, MAM in Kenya and DJF in Tanzania. However
GloSea5 also has strong correlations for SON rainfall in Kenya at both lead-1 and
lead-2. Skill is only above that of a climatological forecast for wet events in MAM and
wet and dry events in SON in Kenya at lead-1.

477

478 Both models have similar skill for average events to a climatological forecast.

Average events are mostly forecast by around 50% of ensemble members (Fig. S2, S3 in Supplemental Material), which matches the definition of occurring 50% of the time. This indicates the models have low sharpness - the ability to generate forecast probabilities that are different to the climatological frequency of the event. Where the models do exhibit skill above that of a climatological forecast this is for below normal and above normal rainfall events. This is similar to results from Diro et al. (2008,

485 2011) for a statistical model based on SST anomalies, and from Walker et al. (2019) 486 for GloSea5 which found higher skill for the outer tercile categories over a large East 487 Africa region. In these skilful cases, the models predict the events with probabilities 488 that are different to the 25% frequency defining dry and wet events, demonstrating 489 sharpness. For example, CFSv2 has skill for dry and wet events in Tanzania in DJF, 490 and GloSea5 has skill for dry and wet events in Kenya in SON. In both of these 491 cases the distribution of forecast probabilities at lead 1-2 peaks at the lowest values, 492 suggesting a high frequency of forecasts giving less than climatological chances of

493 the events, along with some forecasts giving probabilities above 25% (Figure 7,494 Figure 8).

495

496 Walker et al. (2019) found skill for the short rains (OND) over East Africa in GloSea5 497 at one month ahead, particularly for upper tercile events, along with an increase in 498 skill and reliability of East African rainfall when GloSea5 forecasts an IOD event. 499 ECMWF System 4 also has good rainfall reliability in this region (Weisheimer and 500 Palmer, 2014). The reliability in these analyses appears consistent with the reliability 501 results shown here, in particular for wet events in SON in Kenya. Differences in the 502 reliability from these previous studies are likely to be because here the country-503 average has been used, rather than an aggregation over the gridpoints in each 504 region. This does mean the sample sizes are smaller, making the reliability estimates 505 less robust and more susceptible to random variations due to undersampling, 506 however the estimates still support those from Walker et al. (2019).

507

508 Forecasts for the long rains season (MAM) have been shown to be generally less 509 skilful than forecasts for the short rains (ON, e.g. Nicholson, 2014; Nicholson, 2017; 510 MacLeod, 2018). ACC results in Fig. 4a show that over the East Africa region 511 GloSea5 has greater correlation in SON than in MAM over the eastern part of the 512 region, however CFSv2 at lead-1 has greater performance for MAM than SON over 513 Ethiopia and Kenya. This is reflected in the country scale results, where ACC values 514 for Kenya from CFSv2 are higher in MAM than SON, but values from GloSea5 are 515 higher in SON (Table 1). GloSea5 also has positive BSSs for dry and wet events in

516 SON and wet events in MAM in Kenya (Table 1), which suggests this model may be 517 able to provide skilful forecast information particularly for the short rains season.

518

519 b. Temperature skill

520

521 Country ACCs and BSSs for temperature forecasts at leads 1 and 2 are summarised 522 in Table 2. Temperature forecast skill is generally higher than rainfall, with more 523 significant ACCs and BSS uncertainty ranges above zero in both models. CFSv2 524 ensemble mean temperature anomalies significantly positively correlate with 525 observed anomalies at lead-1 for all countries and seasons, and at lead-2 for all 526 except DJF in Kenya. CFSv2 has skill at predicting cool events in all countries, with 527 the uncertainty above zero indicating skill above that of a climatological forecast in all 528 seasons except JJA in Ethiopia, and mostly out to lead-2. Skill is lower for normal 529 events, where it is only above a climatological forecast for JJA in Tanzania, and for 530 warm events where it is only above for JJA in Ethiopia and DJF in Tanzania at lead-531 1.

532

GloSea5 temperature anomaly correlations are higher than CFSv2 for JJA in all
countries at lead-1 and lead-2, positive and similar to CFSv2 for DJF in Ethiopia, but
not significant for DJF in Kenya and Tanzania. Skill for cool events is lower than
CFSv2, with skill above a climatological forecast only for JJA in Tanzania. However,
GloSea5 has skill for normal events at lead-1 in DJF and JJA in Ethiopia where
CFSv2 does not, and has skill for warm events at lead-1 and lead-2 in JJA in all
three countries.

541	Both CFSv2 and GloSea5 have some skill at predicting temperatures in East Africa
542	at 1 and 2 month lead times, CFSv2 particularly for cool events and GloSea5
543	particularly for warm events in JJA. Few other studies have analysed seasonal
544	temperature forecast skill over this particular region. However ECMWF System 4 has
545	been shown to have good reliability for warm (upper tercile) and cold (lower tercile)
546	DJF and JJA seasons over a large East African region one month ahead
547	(Weisheimer and Palmer, 2014). Combined with the results shown here this
548	suggests that dynamical models are able to make skilful and reliable temperature
549	predictions for this region in some seasons.
550	
551	c. Limitations and future opportunities
552	
553	In this study skill and reliability may be limited by the size of the reforecast datasets
554	(30 years for CFSv2 and 23 years for GloSea5), particularly when the record is split
555	into ENSO and IOD phases; most of the differences between conditional and
556	unconditional performance were not significant. Results may also be sensitive to the
557	time periods which do not match between the models. A much longer re-forecast set
558	would be required to have enough years in each ENSO and IOD phase to
559	substantially reduce uncertainty; around 20 years are currently used to characterise
560	seasonal forecast skill so this may need to be up to three times as long.
561	
562	The impact of ENSO and IOD phase on prediction performance is often consistent
563	across the two models. For example, increased skill for MAM rainfall in Kenya in
	24

564 positive IOD years and JJA temperature in Tanzania in negative IOD years. However 565 impacts do differ across the two models in some countries and seasons. For 566 example for JJA rainfall in Ethiopia, negative IOD phase improves performance in 567 GloSea5 but has little effect in CFSv2, while for SON rainfall in Kenva, La Niña 568 significantly decreases skill in CFSv2 but has little effect in GloSea5. For JJA 569 temperature in Kenya, La Niña increases skill in CFSv2 but decreases skill in 570 GloSea5, while positive IOD phase significantly decreases skill in CFSv2 but has 571 little impact in GloSea5. Many of the impacts in both models are within the range of 572 sampling uncertainty. With a limited sample size, it is difficult to rule out the effect of 573 other influences and isolate the role of ENSO and IOD. While terciles are used for 574 ENSO and IOD categorisation in each season so there are equal numbers of years 575 in each phase, SST variability is likely to be higher in some seasons, for example EI 576 Niño and La Niña events typically peak in DJF, and there may be stronger influences 577 on rainfall in certain seasons. Longer reforecast datasets would aid more robust 578 estimates of changes in performance and skill based on the phase of ENSO and IOD 579 variability.

580

Bahaga et al. (2016) showed that a realistic representation of the relationship with
the Indian Ocean Dipole is important in capturing the variability of the rains in East
Africa. Walker et al. (2019) found GloSea5 represents the correlations between East
African rainfall and ENSO and IOD region SSTs well, but may have atmosphereocean coupling which is too weak. Further work should investigate the
teleconnections to East Africa in CFSv2, along with model skill at replicating the SST
changes in each year to also help understand why different ENSO and IOD phases

588 may improve skill in each model. There may also be limitations due to the 589 observational datasets used for validation; for example GPCP has been shown to 590 underestimate high rainfall intensities over the region (Dinku et al., 2007; Kimani et 591 al., 2017).

592

593 Further work should investigate skill for smaller countries in the East African region, 594 or for sub-national or trans-national regions with consistent meteorological conditions 595 (e.g., timing of the wet season). Our skill results may be artificially low due to 596 variations within our target countries in meteorological regimes, e.g. differing rainy 597 seasons in northern and southern Ethiopia (Dunning et al., 2016). Here we analysed 598 the country scale as these forecasts are applied in humanitarian contexts where 599 decisions are in some cases made at country scales for geopolitical reasons. 600 However, model biases and performance vary across countries (Fig. 1a, Fig. 4a), so 601 the country-scale may reduce skill where it is high only in parts of the countries. 602 Downscaling may also help improve skill in this region (Diro et al., 2012; Kipkogei, 603 2017).

604

In general, it still appears that statistical models, for example based on SST anomalies, may provide better skill at forecasting rainfall over the region. An important addition to the literature would be rigorous comparisons of statistical and dynamical model forecasts for the region, which are currently lacking. Models such as those from Chen and Georgakakos (2015) and Nicholson (2014, 2015) have been shown to produce reliable and skilful forecasts out to months ahead using indices such as wind, SST, and SLP. The results here show that forecasts for rainfall at

612 country scale are country, season and event category dependent and in many cases 613 skill is very similar to that of a climatological forecast. However both dynamical 614 models may provide useful information. In particular, CFSv2 has skill for dry and wet 615 events in DJF in Tanzania at lead-1, while GloSea5 has skill for wet events in MAM 616 and dry and wet events in SON in Kenya at lead-1 where CFSv2 does not. 617 Temperature forecast skill is generally higher than rainfall, out to lead-2 in some 618 cases from both CFSv2 and GloSea5. In particular, CFSv2 has skill for cool events 619 in both DJF and JJA while GloSea5 has skill for warm events in JJA. Further 620 understanding of the models analysed here would require investigating the 621 underlying causes of the differences in skill between the CFSv2 and GloSea5 622 models, for example through identifying common factors between years when one 623 model strongly outperforms the other, and comparing to other dynamical seasonal 624 forecasting systems.

625

#### 626 Acknowledgements

627 Hannah Young was funded by a grant from the UK Department for International

628 Development, via the Science for Humanitarian and Emergency Assistance and

629 Response (SHEAR) programme. Nicholas Klingaman was funded by an

630 Independent Research Fellowship from the Natural Environment Research Council

631 (NE/L010976/1). The authors are grateful for productive discussions with Prof.

632 Andrew Charlton-Perez. The authors thank NCEP and the Met Office for providing

633 re-forecast data. Data storage and analysis facilities were provided by the JASMIN

634 facility (<u>https://www.jasmin.ac.uk</u>).

#### 635 References

- 636 Adler, R. F., and Coauthors, 2003: The Version 2 Global Precipitation Climatology
- 637 Project (GPCP) Monthly Precipitation Analysis (1979-Present). J. Hydrometeor, 4,
- 638 1147–1167, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-</u>
- 639 <u>7541(2003)004%3C1147:TVGPCP%3E2.0.CO;2</u>.
- 640
- Bahaga, T. K., F. Kucharski, G. M. Tsidu, and H. Yang, 2016: Assessment of
- 642 prediction and predictability of short rains over equatorial East Africa using a multi-
- 643 model ensemble. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, **123**, 637–649,
- 644 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-014-1370-1.
- 645
- 646 Bandyopadhyay, S., S. Kanji, and L. Wang, 2012: The impact of rainfall and
- 647 temperature variation on diarrheal prevalence in Sub-Saharan Africa. Appl. Geogr.,
- 648 **33**, 63–72, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.07.017.
- 649
- 650 Batté, L., and M. Déqué, 2011: Seasonal predictions of precipitation over Africa
- 651 using coupled ocean-atmosphere general circulation models: Skill of the
- 652 ENSEMBLES project multimodel ensemble forecasts. *Tellus A*, **63**, 283–299,
- 653 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0870.2010.00493.x.
- 654
- Black, E., 2005: The relationship between Indian Ocean sea–surface temperature
- and East African rainfall. *Philos. T. R. Soc. A.*, **363**, 43–47,
- 657 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2004.1474.
  - 28

658

- 659 Black, E., J. Slingo, and K. R. Sperber, 2003: An observational study of the
- 660 relationship between excessively strong short rains in coastal East Africa and Indian
- 661 Ocean SST. Mon. Wea. Rev., 131, 74–94, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 662 <u>0493(2003)131%3C0074:AOSOTR%3E2.0.CO;2</u>.
- 663
- Bröcker, J., and L. A. Smith, 2007: Increasing the reliability of reliability diagrams.
- 665 Weather Forecast., **22**, 651–661, https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF993.1.

666

- 667 Camberlin, P., and N. Philippon, 2002: The East African March–May rainy season:
- 668 Associated atmospheric dynamics and predictability over the 1968–97 period. J.
- 669 *Climate*, **15**, 1002–1019, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 670 0442(2002)015%3C1002:TEAMMR%3E2.0.CO;2.
- 671
- 672 Chen, C.-J., and A. P. Georgakakos, 2015: Seasonal prediction of East African

673 rainfall. Int. J. Climatol., **35**, 2698–2723, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4165.

674

- 675 Dee, D. P., and Coauthors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and
- 676 performance of the data assimilation system. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 553-
- 677 597, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828.

- Dinku, T., P. Ceccato, E. Grover-Kopec, M. Lemma, S. J. Connor, and C. F.
- 680 Ropelewski, 2007: Validation of satellite rainfall products over East Africa's complex

- 681 topography. Int. J. Remote Sens., 28, 1503–1526,
- 682 https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600954688.
- 683
- Diro, G. T., E. Black, and D. I. F. Grimes, 2008: Seasonal forecasting of Ethiopian
- 685 spring rains. *Meteor. Appl.*, **15**, 73–83, https://doi.org/10.1002/met.63.
- 686
- 687 Diro, G. T., D. I. F. Grimes, and E. Black, 2011: Teleconnections between Ethiopian
- 688 summer rainfall and sea surface temperature: Part II: Seasonal forecasting. *Climate*
- 689 *Dyn.*, **37**, 121–131, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-010-0896-x.
- 690
- Diro, G. T., A. M. Tompkins, and X. Bi, 2012: Dynamical downscaling of ECMWF
- 692 Ensemble seasonal forecasts over East Africa with RegCM3. J. Geophys. Res., 117,
- 693 D16103, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016997.
- 694
- 695 Dunning, C. M., E. C. L. Black, and R. P. Allan, 2016: The onset and cessation of
- 696 seasonal rainfall over Africa. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 121, 11405–11424,
- 697 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025428.
- 698
- Dutra, E., L. Magnusson, F. Wetterhall, H. L. Cloke, G. Balsamo, S. Boussetta, and
- F. Pappenberger, 2013: The 2010–2011 drought in the Horn of Africa in ECMWF
- reanalysis and seasonal forecast products. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **33**, 1720–1729,
- 702 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3545.
- 703

- FAO, 2018: FAOSTAT Country Indicators. Accessed 27 June 2018,
- 705 http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#country.
- 706
- Funk, C., A. Hoell, S. Shukla, I. Blade, B. Liebmann, J. B. Roberts, F. R. Robertson,
- and G. Husak, 2014: Predicting East African spring droughts using Pacific and Indian
- 709 Ocean sea surface temperature indices. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc., 18, 4965–4978,
- 710 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-4965-2014.
- 711
- Goddard, L., and M. Dilley, 2005: El Niño: catastrophe or opportunity. J. Climate, 18,
- 713 651–665, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-3277.1.
- 714
- Hansen, J. W., S. J. Mason, L. Sun, and A. Tall, 2011: Review of seasonal climate
- forecasting for agriculture in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Exp. Agr.*, **47**, 205–240,
- 717 https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0014479710000876.
- 718
- Herrero, M., C. Ringler, J. van de Steeg, P. Thornton, T. Zhu, E. Bryan, A. Omolo, J.
- Koo, and A. Notenbaert, 2010: Climate variability and climate change and their
- impacts on Kenya's agricultural sector. Nairobi, Kenya. ILRI.
- 722 <u>https://cgspace.cgiar.org/bitstream/handle/10568/3840/climateVariability.pdf</u>
- Hirschi, M., S. I. Seneviratne, V. Alexandrov, F. Boberg, C. Boroneant, O. B.
- 724 Christensen, H. Formayer, B. Orlowsky, and P. Stepanek, 2011. Observational
- evidence for soil-moisture impact on hot extremes in southeastern Europe. *Nat.*
- 726 *Geosci.*, **4**, 17–21, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1032
- 727
- 31

- 728 Kimani, M. W., J. C. B. Hoedjes, and Z. Su, 2017: An Assessment of Satellite-
- 729 Derived Rainfall Products Relative to Ground Observations over East Africa. *Remote*
- 730 Sens., **9**, 430, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9050430.
- 731
- 732 Kipkogei, O., A. M. Mwanthi, J. B. Mwesigwa, Z. K. K. Atheru, M. A. Wanzala, and G.
- 733 Artan, 2017: Improved Seasonal Prediction of Rainfall over East Africa for
- 734 Application in Agriculture: Statistical Downscaling of CFSv2 and GFDL-FLOR. J.
- 735 Appl. Meteor. Clim., 56, 3229–3243, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0365.1</u>.
- 736
- 737 Kotikot, S. M., and S. M. Onywere, 2015: Application of GIS and remote sensing
- techniques in frost risk mapping for mitigating agricultural losses in the Aberdare
- range ecosystem, Kenya. *Geocarto International*, **30**, 104–121,
- 740 https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2014.965758.
- 741
- Lemos, M. C., T. J. Finan, R. W. Fox, D. R. Nelson, and J. Tucker, 2002: The use of
- seasonal climate forecasting in policymaking: lessons from Northeast Brazil. *Clim.*

744 *Change*, **55**, 479–507, <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020785826029</u>.

- 745
- Liebmann, B., M. P. Hoerling, C. Funk, I. Bladé, R. M. Dole, D. Allured, X. Quan, P.
- 747 Pegion, and J. K. Eischeid, 2014: Understanding recent eastern Horn of Africa
- rainfall variability and change. J. Climate, **27**, 8630–8645,
- 749 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00714.1
- 750

- 751 MacLachlan, C., and Coauthors, 2014: Global Seasonal forecast system version 5
- (GloSea5): a high-resolution seasonal forecast system. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.,
- 753 **141**, 1072–1084, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2396.
- 754
- 755 MacLeod, D., 2018: Seasonal predictability of onset and cessation of the east
- 756 African rains. Wea. Clim. Extremes, 21, 27–35,
- 757 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2018.05.003.
- 758
- 759 Murphy, A. H., and E. S. Epstein, 1989: Skill scores and correlation coefficients in
- 760 model verification. Mon. Wea. Rev., 117, 572–582, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 761 <u>0493(1989)117%3C0572:SSACCI%3E2.0.CO;2</u>.
- 762
- 763 Mutai, C. C., and M. N. Ward, 2000: East African rainfall and the tropical
- riculation/convection on intraseasonal to interannual timescales. J. Climate., 13,
- 765 3915–3939, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 766 <u>0442(2000)013%3C3915:EARATT%3E2.0.CO;2</u>
- 767
- 768 Mwangi, E., F. Wetterhall, E. Dutra, F. Di Giuseppe, and F. Pappenberger, 2014:
- Forecasting droughts in East Africa. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc.*, **18**, 611–620,
- 770 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-611-2014, 2014.
- 771
- Nicholson, S. E., 2014: The Predictability of Rainfall over the Greater Horn of Africa.
- Part I: Prediction of Seasonal Rainfall. J. Hydrometeorol., 15, 1011–1027,
- 774 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-13-062.1.
  - 33

775

776

777 Part II: Prediction of monthly rainfall during the long rains. J. Hydrometeorol., 16, 778 2001-2012, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-14-0138.1. 779 780 Nicholson, S. E., 2017: Climate and climatic variability of rainfall over eastern Africa. 781 *Rev. Geophys.*, **55**, 590–635, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016RG000544. 782 783 Nicholson, S. E., and J. Kim, 1997: The relationship of the El Niño-southern 784 oscillation to African rainfall. Int. J. Climatol., 17, 117-135, 785 https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199702)17:2%3C117::AID-786 JOC84%3E3.0.CO;2-O. 787 788 Patt, A., and C. Gwata, 2002: Effective seasonal climate forecast applications: 789 examining constraints for subsistence farmers in Zimbabwe. Global Environ. 790 Change, 12, 185–195, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-3780(02)00013-4. 791 792 Ropelewski, C. F., and M. S. Halpert, 1987: Global and regional scale precipitation 793 patterns associated with the El Niño/Southern Oscillation. Mon. Wea. Rev., 115, 794 1606–1626, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-795 0493(1987)115%3C1606:GARSPP%3E2.0.CO;2. 796 Russo, S., A. F. Marchese, J. Sillmann, and G. Immé, 2016. When will unusual heat 797 waves become normal in a warming Africa? Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 054016, 798 http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/5/054016 34

Nicholson, S. E., 2015: The predictability of rainfall over the Greater Horn of Africa.
- 800 Saha, S., and Coauthors, 2014: The NCEP Climate Forecast System Version 2. J.
- 801 *Climate*, **27**, 2185–2208, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00823.1.
- 802
- 803 Saji, N. H., B. N. Goswami, P. N. Vinayachandran, and T. Yamagata, 1999: A dipole
- mode in the tropical Indian Ocean. *Nature*, **401**, 360–363,
- 805 https://doi.org/10.1038/43854.
- 806
- Trenberth, K. E., 1997: The Definition of El Niño. B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 78, 2771-
- 808 2777, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
- 809 <u>0477(1997)078%3C2771:TDOENO%3E2.0.CO;2</u>.
- 810
- van den Hurk, B., F. Doblas-Reyes, G. Balsamo, R. D. Koster, S. I. Seneviratne, and
- H. Camargo, 2012. Soil moisture effects on seasonal temperature and precipitation
- 813 forecast scores in Europe. Clim. Dyn., **38**, 349–362, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-</u>
- 814 <u>010-0956-2</u>.
- 815
- 816 Vellinga, M., and S. F. Milton, 2018: Drivers of interannual variability of the East
- 817 African "Long Rains". *Q. J. Roy. Meteor Soc.*, **144**, 861–876.
- 818 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3263</u>
- 819
- Vitart, F, A. W. Robertson, and D. L. Anderson, 2012: Subseasonal to Seasonal
- 821 Prediction Project: Bridging the gap between weather and climate. B. World Meteor.
- 822 *Org.*, **61**, 23–28.
  - 35

- Walker, D. P., C. E. Birch, J. H. Marsham, A. A. Scaife, R. J. Graham, and Z. T.
- 825 Segele, 2019: Skill of dynamical and GHACOF consensus seasonal forecasts of
- 826 East African rainfall. *Climate Dyn.*, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04835-9</u>.
- 827
- 828 Webster, P. J., and S. Yang, 1992: Monsoon and ENSO: Selectively interactive
- 829 systems. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 118, 825–877,
- 830 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711850705.
- 831
- 832 Weisheimer, A., and T. N. Palmer, 2014: On the reliability of seasonal climate
- 833 forecasts. J. Roy. Soc. Interface, **11**, 20131162,
- 834 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2013.1162.
- 835
- 836
- 837
- 838
- 839
- 840
- 841
- 842



- 843
- 844

Figure 1. Seasonal mean observations and model biases for (a) rainfall (mm day<sup>-1</sup>) in MAM and SON (b) 2m air temperature (°C) in DJF and JJA. Observational data are for the period 1982-2015 from (a) GPCP and (b) ERA-Interim; model biases are for their own time periods, lead times are in months ahead of the season.





Figure 2. Anomalies in observations during ENSO and IOD phases for rainfall (mm
day<sup>-</sup>1) in MAM and SON, and model biases in those phases relative to the model
mean bias at one month lead time. These are composites based on years in which
observed SSTs fall into tercile categories (11 or 12 years for observations, 10 years
for CFSv2, 8 years for GloSea5).



857

Figure 3. Anomalies in observations during ENSO and IOD phases for 2m air temperature (°C) in DJF and JJA, and model biases in those phases relative to the model mean bias at one month lead time. These are composites based on years in which observed SSTs fall into tercile categories (11 or 12 years for observations, 10 years for CFSv2, 8 years for GloSea5).



865 Figure 4. Temporal Anomaly Correlation Coefficients of re-forecasts of (a) rainfall in

866 MAM and SON and (b) 2m air temperature in DJF and JJA. Lead times are in

867 months ahead of the season. Stippling corresponds to areas where the correlation is

- 868 significant (p≤0.05).





Figure 5. Country-average scale temporal Anomaly Correlation Coefficients (ACCs) 878 879 of rainfall re-forecasts from (left) CFSv2 and (right) GloSea5 for key rainy seasons in 880 (a) Ethiopia, (b) Kenya and (c) Tanzania. ACCs are shown for all years (black) and 881 years in ENSO and IOD phases (colours); the uncertainty (grey) represents the 5<sup>th</sup>-882 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles from bootstrapping with the number of years in the ENSO and IOD 883 phases.



886 Figure 6. Country-average scale Brier Skill Scores for rainfall re-forecasts using

887 percentiles based on model re-forecast climatologies to define events, from (left)

888 CFSv2 and (right) GloSea5 for key rainy seasons in (a) Ethiopia, (b) Kenya and (c).

889 Colours represent dry (red), normal (orange) and wet (yellow) events.



Figure 7. Country-average scale reliability diagrams for rainfall re-forecasts from
CFSv2 using percentiles based on model re-forecast climatologies to define events
below the 25<sup>th</sup> and above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, for key rainy seasons in (a) Ethiopia,
(b) Kenya and (c) Tanzania. Colours represent reliability for lead 1-2 (purple) and
lead 3-4 (pink) and the 1:1 line (grey).



Figure 8. Country-average scale reliability diagrams for rainfall re-forecasts from
GloSea5 using percentiles based on model re-forecast climatologies to define events
below the 25<sup>th</sup> and above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, for key rainy seasons in (a) Ethiopia,
(b) Kenya and (c) Tanzania. Colours represent reliability for lead 1-2 (purple) and
lead 3-4 (pink) and the 1:1 line (grey).



Figure 9. Country-average scale temporal Anomaly Correlation Coefficients (ACCs)
of 2m air temperature re-forecasts from (left) CFSv2 and (right) GloSea5 for DJF and
JJA in (a) Ethiopia, (b) Kenya and (c) Tanzania. ACCs are shown for all years (black)
and years in ENSO and IOD phases (colours); the uncertainty (grey) represents the
5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentiles from bootstrapping with the number of years in the ENSO and
IOD phases.



913

914 Figure 10. Country-average scale Brier Skill Scores for 2m air temperature re-

915 forecasts using percentiles based on model re-forecast climatologies to define

916 events, from (left) CFSv2 and (right) GloSea5 for DJF and JJA in (a) Ethiopia, (b)

917 Kenya and (c) Tanzania. Colours represent cool (red), normal (orange) and warm

918 (yellow) events.



Figure 11. Country-average scale reliability diagrams for 2m air temperature reforecasts from CFSv2 using percentiles based on model re-forecast climatologies to
define events below the 25<sup>th</sup> and above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, for DJF and JJA in (a)
Ethiopia, (b) Kenya and (c) Tanzania. Colours represent reliability for lead 1-2
(purple) and lead 3-4 (pink) and the 1:1 line (grey).



928 Figure 12. Country-average scale reliability diagrams for 2m air temperature re-

929 forecasts from GloSea5 using percentiles based on model re-forecast climatologies

to define events below the 25<sup>th</sup> and above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, for DJF and JJA in (a)

931 Ethiopia, (b) Kenya and (c) Tanzania. Colours represent reliability for lead 1-2

932 (purple) and lead 3-4 (pink) and the 1:1 line (grey).

		Rainfall															
		ACC				BSS 0-25			BSS 25-75				BSS 75-100				
		CFSv2 Lead 1	CFSv2 Lead 2	GloSea5 Lead 1	GloSea5 Lead 2	CFSv2 Lead 1	CFSv2 Lead 2	GloSea5 Lead 1	GloSea5 Lead 2	CFSv2 Lead 1	CFSv2 Lead 2	GloSea5 Lead 1	GloSea5 Lead 2	CFSv2 Lead 1	CFSv2 Lead 2	GloSea5 Lead 1	GloSea5 Lead 2
Ethiopia	MAM	0.30	0.22	0.23	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.09	-0.22	-0.08	-0.16	-0.07	-0.16	-0.07	-0.09	0.02	-0.02
	JJA	0.48	0.33	0.59	0.49	0.08	0.13	0.04	-0.05	0.00	0.05	-0.06	-0.10	-0.01	0.01	-0.13	-0.19
Kenya	MAM	0.38	0.50	0.53	0.30	0.06	0.06	0.06	-0.02	0.04	0.05	0.08	-0.08	-0.08	-0.15	0.35	0.01
	SON	0.29	0.21	0.76	0.72	-0.06	-0.09	0.20	0.04	-0.05	-0.12	0.13	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.46	0.12
Tanzania	DJF	0.72	0.67	0.56	0.56	0.16	0.10	0.08	0.08	-0.05	-0.04	0.16	-0.04	0.22	0.10	0.02	-0.02
	MAM	-0.07	0.03	-0.05	0.04	-0.30	0.03	-0.11	0.00	0.01	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.04	-0.13	-0.19

Table 1. Summary of ACC and BSS country results for rainfall forecasts at lead 1 and lead 2. Values are shown to 2 decimal

941 places, with bold signifying ACC values that are significant ( $p \le 0.05$ ) and BSS values where the 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile uncertainty range 942 from bootstrapping lies above 0.0.

$\sim$		$\sim$
u	/	u
	-	

		Temperature															
		ACC				BSS 0-25				BSS 25-75				BSS 75-100			
		CFSv2 Lead 1	CFSv2 Lead 2	GloSea5 Lead 1	GloSea5 Lead 2	CFSv2 Lead 1	CFSv2 Lead 2	GloSea5 Lead 1	GloSea5 Lead 2	CFSv2 Lead 1	CFSv2 Lead 2	GloSea5 Lead 1	GloSea5 Lead 2	CFSv2 Lead 1	CFSv2 Lead 2	GloSea5 Lead 1	GloSea5 Lead 2
Ethiopia	DJF	0.51	0.42	0.43	0.50	0.11	0.15	0.11	-0.11	-0.24	-0.19	0.25	0.22	-0.14	-0.32	-0.25	0.03
	JJA	0.66	0.47	0.88	0.76	0.06	-0.13	0.09	-0.27	-0.21	-0.35	0.22	-0.15	0.19	0.04	0.68	0.35
Kenya	DJF	0.43	0.22	0.12	0.12	0.14	-0.03	-0.92	-0.93	-0.07	-0.10	-0.31	-0.08	0.09	-0.15	-0.53	-0.37
	JJA	0.65	0.49	0.71	0.66	0.49	0.29	-0.65	-0.62	0.00	0.07	-0.36	-0.30	0.01	-0.03	0.20	0.22
Tanzania	DJF	0.58	0.52	0.35	0.29	0.15	0.30	-0.12	-0.22	-0.22	-0.04	-0.20	0.21	0.18	0.04	-0.09	0.06
	JJA	0.50	0.42	0.75	0.74	0.36	0.32	0.22	0.16	0.25	0.18	0.06	0.13	-0.09	-0.15	0.27	0.32

950

Table 2. Summary of ACC and BSS country results for temperature forecasts at lead 1 and lead 2. Values are shown to 2 decimal places, with bold signifying ACC values that are significant ( $p \le 0.05$ ) and BSS values where the 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile uncertainty range from bootstrapping lies above 0.0.