

# The Hampshire-Berkshire focus of L120Q anticoagulant resistance in the Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus) and field trials of bromadiolone, difenacoum and brodifacoum

Article

Accepted Version

Buckle, A. P., Jones, C. R., Rymer, D. J., Coan, E. E. and Prescott, C. V. (2020) The Hampshire-Berkshire focus of L120Q anticoagulant resistance in the Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus) and field trials of bromadiolone, difenacoum and brodifacoum. Crop Protection, 137. 105301. ISSN 0261-2194 doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105301 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/92147/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105301 To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105301

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other



copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

# CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

### 1 The Hampshire-Berkshire focus of L120Q anticoagulant resistance in the Norway rat (*Rattus*

# 2 norvegicus) and field trials of bromadiolone, difenacoum and brodifacoum

3 Alan P. Buckle,\* Clare R. Jones, David J. Rymer,<sup>†</sup> Emily E. Coan<sup>§</sup> and Colin V. Prescott

4 Vertebrate Pests Unit, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Reading, Reading, RG6 6AS, UK

# 5 Abstract

6 Anticoagulant resistance has been present in Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus) in Hampshire and 7 Berkshire for forty years. All first-generation anticoagulants and two of the second generation, 8 bromadiolone and difenacoum, are resisted by rats carrying the L120Q single nucleotide 9 polymorphism (SNP). A regulatory restriction on the use of resistance-breakers brodifacoum, 10 difethialone and flocoumafen in the UK effectively prevented their use against Norway rats for more 11 than 30 years. During this time, L120Q spread from original localised foci eventually to cover most 12 of central-southern England; with other more dispersed foci elsewhere in the UK. We summarise research on L120Q Norway rats and the field performance of anticoagulant baits against them. 13 14 Bromadiolone (50 ppm), difenacoum (50 ppm) and brodifacoum (23 ppm) baits were each applied 15 on two farmsteads where it had been established that Norway rats carried the L120Q SNP. 16 Preliminary DNA resistance tests conducted at the farms found only one of 107 rats to be 17 susceptible and 86.9% to be homozygous resistant. The bromadiolone and difenacoum applications 18 were either partially or wholly ineffective; brodifacoum treatments were fully effective. Quantities of active substances used varied between farms and substances; but more bromadiolone and 19 20 difenacoum baits were applied than brodifacoum baits during the treatments. Results confirm the 21 high incidence of resistance and support advice that bromadiolone and difenacoum should not be 22 used against the L120Q SNP. Prolonged use of resisted anticoagulants has resulted in a high 23 prevalence of homozygosity and resistance spread. Failed treatments result in prolonged feeding on 24 anticoagulant bait and leave Norway rats alive carrying, presumably, high residues. It remains to be

- 25 seen whether the use of now-permitted effective substances, and the introduction of a rodenticide
- 26 stewardship regime, will curtail the spread of resistance and reduce anticoagulant residues in

27 wildlife.

- 28 KEY WORDS: Anticoagulant resistance, Rattus norvegicus, second-generation anticoagulant
- 29 rodenticide, L120Q, rodent control, non-target wildlife
- 30 \* Corresponding author email: <u>alan@alanbuckelconsulting.com</u>
- 31 Present addresses:
- <sup>†</sup> Innovation Impact and Business, Tremough Campus, University of Exeter, Cornwall, TR10 9FE.
- 33 <sup>§</sup> 6, Manners Road, Woodley, Reading, Berkshire, RG5 3EA.

# 34 1 INTRODUCTION

35 The Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus) anticoagulant resistance focus in central southern England was 36 first identified in 1969 (Greaves and Rennison, 1973) and came to be known as Hampshire 37 difenacoum resistance (Greaves and Cullen-Ayres, 1988). The focus was then considered to be 38 'relatively inconsequential' but was recognised probably to be caused by a 'different genotype' to 39 those found in the better-known Scottish, Welsh and Kent foci. Fifty years later this focus has 40 become one of the most extensive and severe anticoagulant resistance phenomena found anywhere 41 in the world, due to the presence of the L120Q (leucine120glutamine) single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (Pelz and Prescott, 2015; Boitet et al., 2018). Presently, the focus covers almost 42 43 all of central-southern England, and L120Q rats are increasingly found more widely across the UK 44 (Jones *et al.*, 2019)

Since their discovery, resistant rats from this part of the UK have been studied extensively, both in
the laboratory and field, in attempts to resolve questions about the genetic nature of this resistance,
the efficacy of anticoagulant rodenticides and behavioural traits that appear to co-exist with it. All

48 of these questions remain only partially answered. A laboratory feeding test for the identification of 49 resistance to difenacoum was developed as a precautionary measure on the assumption that 50 resistance to this, then, newly-introduced substance was likely to emerge among Norway rats 51 sooner or later (Redfern and Gill, 1978). This was prescient because the failure of difenacoum to 52 control Norway rats on farms on the north Hampshire-Berkshire border was soon reported. Rats 53 taken from this area, and tested using the new resistance feeding test, were confirmed to be 54 difenacoum-resistant, one female survived the consumption of 123.9 g of difenacoum bait (37.3 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> of the active substance) (Redfern and Gill, 1978). 55

56 Two further studies were conducted; one to identify the geographical extent of the new resistance 57 focus and another to test the efficacy of three newly-developed anticoagulants within it. The focus 58 was found to be mainly within the boundaries of lines joining the Berkshire and Hampshire 59 conurbations of Reading, Newbury, Andover, Winchester and Alton (Greaves et al., 1982a). Field 60 trials showed that, while bait containing 50 ppm difenacoum was largely ineffective within the focus, 61 the efficacy of 50 ppm bromadiolone was also substantially impaired (Greaves et al., 1982b). Only 62 20 ppm brodifacoum baits were fully effective but control operations using this active substance 63 took longer than normal, suggesting an albeit lesser degree of resistance.

64 The results of these early studies were relatively unequivocal until resistance factors were derived 65 from feeding tests for Norway rats from the 'Hampshire' focus as follows: difenacoum 3.9 (males) and 2.7 (females), bromadiolone 1.5 (males) and 2.9 (females), brodifacoum 2.0 (males and females) 66 67 (Greaves and Cullen-Ayres, 1988). Resistance factors were somewhat higher for a laboratory-bred 68 'selected line' created by crossing and back-crossing to select for anticoagulant resistance. However, 69 resistance factors of this magnitude would not normally be expected to result in the degree of 70 practical treatment failure observed (Greaves et al., 1982b), and factors of a similar magnitude for 71 the same compounds were reported in other foci, such as Scotland and Wales, but without any 72 similar diminution of practical treatment efficacy (Greaves and Cullen-Ayres, 1988).

Several explanations are conceivable for these observations but none is wholly convincing. They
include the presence of a behavioural trait that prevented feeding on rodenticide baits in Hampshire
resulting in reduced intake of the active substances, a second additive resistance mechanism not
related to the conventional resistance gene and the possible selection in field populations of an
advanced form of resistance, caused by the repeated use of resisted rodenticides, which meant that
the laboratory strains tested by Greaves and Cullen-Ayres had been superseded. It subsequently
transpired that each of these explanations was at least partially relevant.

80 Following the development of the 'selected line', carrying the strongest resistance phenotype then 81 known (Greaves and Cullen-Ayres, 1988), a resistance feeding test was developed for one of the 82 most potent anticoagulants available, brodifacoum, involving bait containing 5 ppm of the active 83 substance (Gill and MacNicoll, 1991). Animals that survived consumption of this bait for seven days 84 were termed 'brodifacoum-resistant'. The somewhat illogical implication of this was that rats 85 declared 'brodifacoum resistant' were likely to be fully susceptible during field operations because 86 these employed commercial baits carrying 50 ppm of the active substance. Several hundred wild-87 caught rats from the difenacoum resistance area were tested using the brodifacoum resistance test 88 and a single male survivor was used to found a selected 'brodifacoum-resistant' laboratory strain. The form of resistance it exhibited was termed 'low-grade' and, as the farms from which these 89 90 animals were taken were in Berkshire, the strain came to be called "Berkshire-resistant" (Gill et al., 91 1992). However, breeding studies showed that this resistance was not genetically stable in the same 92 way as other resistance mutations known at the time (Gill *et al., loc. cit.*).

93 Research also continued in the field, particularly to investigate the influence of rat behaviour on 94 treatment efficacy within the difenacoum resistance focus. Differences were found between the 95 responses of rats to both poisoned and unpoisoned baits in the Welsh and Hampshire resistance 96 areas (Quy *et al.*, 1992). Rats readily consumed novel foods such as rodenticide baits on Welsh 97 farms, with consequent rapid control of entire infestations. While in Hampshire rats were often

98 reluctant to consume novel foods, resulting in control being delayed for many weeks. There was 99 also frequent failure on these farms to achieve complete control. These differences were attributed 100 to rat behavioural responses to farming practices and the structures of the farmsteads themselves. 101 In Wales, mixed arable and livestock farms (mainly dairy and sheep) present highly disturbed 102 environments, where food sources and harbourage change frequently. In such situations, rats 103 quickly overcome their suspicion of novel objects and new foods to acquire the resources they need. 104 There was little animal husbandry on many Hampshire farms and farmsteads presented habitats 105 where food sources, often bulk grain flat-stores (i.e. large quantities of cereals held in metal-sided 106 bins on concrete floors), were stable over long periods. Rats established feeding patterns 107 undisturbed and were reluctant to divert their feeding onto novel food, such as rodenticide baits, 108 and away from known, stable and abundant alternative food resources (Quy et al., loc. cit.). 109 The UK regulatory framework throughout this period, and indeed until 2016, was one wherein only 110 bromadiolone and difenacoum were effectively available for the control of anticoagulant-resistant 111 Norway rats. Concerns about the potential environmental effects of the more potent resistance-112 breakers, brodifacoum, flocoumafen and, latterly, difethialone, had resulted in their restriction to 113 use indoors only (HSE, 2012). This restriction virtually precludes their use against rat infestations 114 because usually the greater part of these reside outdoors (Buckle, 2013). Finally, carefully 115 monitored applications of bromadiolone bait on a farm in West Berkshire proved entirely ineffective, 116 with 830 kg of bait consumed on the farm over an eight month period, resulting in no measurable 117 reduction in the size of the infestation (Quy et al., 1995). The use of bait markers revealed that one 118 rat survived the consumption of at least 450 g of bromadiolone bait. Such a massive environmental 119 emission of a second-generation anticoagulant, when replicated elsewhere in anticoagulant 120 resistance foci, because the more potent resistance-breaking compounds could not be used, may go 121 some way to explain both the large current extent of resistance foci in the UK and the prevalence of residues of bromadiolone and difenacoum in UK wildlife (Buckle, 2013; Shore et al., 2015; Jones et 122 123 al., 2019).

124 The genetic basis of Norway rat anticoagulant resistance mutations was revealed to be a series of 125 SNPs in the VKORC1 gene located on chromosome 1 (Rost et al., 2004). Pelz and his co-workers 126 showed that rats from the Hampshire-Berkshire focus possess the L120Q SNP (Pelz at al., 2005; Pelz 127 and Prescott, 2015). However, the research cited above appeared to show that there is more than 128 one resistance phenotype at this focus, with Berkshire-resistant rats having a higher level of 129 resistance to anticoagulants than Hampshire-resistant rats but each possessing the same resistance 130 SNP. The presence of a second resistance mechanism was postulated to explain this (Buckle, 2013), 131 and it was later found that elevated CYP450-oxidative metabolism, leading to an accelerated 132 anticoagulant detoxification, is involved in the Berkshire phenotype (Boitet et al., 2018). A 133 mechanism of enhanced metabolism and clearance of anticoagulants, involving CYP450 enzymes, 134 was also found to explain some characteristics of bromadiolone resistance in Norway rats from 135 Denmark (Markussen et al., 2008).

136 The purpose of the field trials described here was to test the efficacy of proprietary bromadiolone 137 and difenacoum formulations against the anticoagulant-resistant Norway rat genotype present at 138 the heart of the Hampshire/Berkshire resistance focus in 2008. No similar trials had been conducted 139 since 1991 (Quy et al., 1995). For the first time, the treated populations were screened for the 140 resistance mutation they carried using the (then) new DNA sequencing method (Pelz at al., 2005). 141 After the difenacoum and bromadiolone trials had been completed, the intention was to test the 142 effectiveness of a proprietary brodifacoum formulation in anticipation that this more potent active 143 substance might offer both a practical solution to resistance in this focus and the potential to reduce 144 wildlife exposure to second-generation rodenticides. However, since outdoor bait applications were 145 needed, permission for the brodifacoum field trials was required from the UK biocides regulatory 146 body, the Health and Safety Directorate (HSE). This permission was denied on the grounds that the 147 risk to the environment of the two trials, acknowledged to be limited, was not justified by their anticipated scientific value. Therefore, the brodifacoum trials presented here could not be 148 149 attempted until eight years later; after the removal in 2016 of the 'indoor-only' regulatory restriction

on brodifacoum (and consequently also on the other potent, resistance-breaking anticoagulants,
difethialone and flocoumafen). In the interim period, the use of bromadiolone and difenacoum
baits, shown in these trials to be largely ineffective, was the major anticoagulant intervention
permitted to those whose premises were infested by resistant Norway rats. This likely had
important consequences for resistance management, resistance spread and the exposure of wildlife
to rodenticides (Daniells *et al.*, 2011; Buckle, 2013).

156 Recent resistance surveys have revealed that the original Hampshire/Berkshire resistance focus has

apparently spread widely from the areas where it was first discovered and now covers most of

158 central southern England (Greaves and Rennison, 1973; Jones et al., 2019). There is also evidence of

pockets of this resistance in many other parts of the UK, including East and West Sussex, Wiltshire,

160 Somerset, Devon, Monmouthshire, Yorkshire and the counties of East Anglia (Rodenticide Resistance

161 Action Committee <u>http://www.rrac.info/</u>). It is impossible to know whether these have become

162 established either as a result of the transportation of resistant rats from original foci or from *de novo* 

mutation events. In any case, the lessons learned about the use of rodenticides against rats carrying
 the L120Q mutation during this study are now more widely relevant.

#### 165 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

166 2.1 Trial sites

# 167 General

168 The trial sites were working farms in West Berkshire and north-west Hampshire, within the L120Q

resistance focus (Jones *et al.*, 2019). Each site differed from the others in the composition of

170 livestock and farming practices (see below), although they were typical of farm enterprises in the

area that had been previously used for field trials of anticoagulant rodenticides (Greaves et al.,

172 1992b; Quy et al., 1995; Cowan et al., 1995). All were infested with Norway rats, living

173 predominantly outside the farm buildings, although in very close proximity to them. Another

174 common feature was that there were plentiful food sources and harbourage for rats, although few 175 of these could be eliminated given conventional farm practices involving ad libitum feeding of 176 livestock and the methods of storing cereal grains on-farm. All of the infestations were 177 circumscribed with very little sign of movement of rats onto the farms from neighbouring areas, 178 though in some places low-level infestations were to be found in neighbouring hedgerows, as is 179 normal for the rural landscape of Hampshire and Berkshire. All who operated these enterprises had 180 expended considerable resources in rodent pest management. These efforts mostly involved baiting 181 with either the permitted difenacoum or bromadiolone baits, in conjunction with burrow fumigation 182 and trapping. Two of the sites were under contract to a reputable commercial pest control 183 company, but all had achieved only partially satisfactory control of rat infestations.

# 184 Site 1. Large dairy unit, nr. Stockbridge, Hampshire

185 The site comprised a large, modern milking parlour and four large open barns in which 186 approximately 600 dairy cattle were housed in sheds set on a concrete apron. Other infested 187 buildings included a feed store, concrete bunkers in which maize silage was stored, a vehicle shed 188 and barns used for housing calves and storing straw bales. The moderate rat infestation was mainly 189 feeding on the silage maize available from the cattle stalls and concrete bunkers. The site was very 190 clean and well-kept, with limited availability of harbourage for rats. Rat burrows were mainly found 191 on waste ground bordering the site, beneath the concrete foundations of buildings and areas of 192 disturbed ground.

# 193 Site 2. Small mixed farm, nr. Welford, West Berkshire

The site involved a machinery barn, two smaller barns used for grain storage, a large barn used for vehicle parking and the storage of grain used in pheasant feeders and a Dutch barn with straw bales.
In the centre of the site were two rows of pig-sties containing about 100 sows and piglets. The
farmstead was in a poor state of maintenance, with large areas of overgrown vegetation around the

site and between the buildings. Food was continuously available for the heavy rat infestation in the pig stalls, at a flat store of whole wheat grains used for pheasant feeding and from spillage in a granary and milling barn. Rats had found harbourage in and around the buildings and barns across the site.

#### 202 Site 3. Large free-range poultry unit, nr. Stockbridge, Hampshire

The site comprised thirteen large free-range poultry sheds, with raised floors above compacted earth bases. The sheds accommodated approximately 15,000 birds and applied high standards of animal welfare, cleanliness and biosecurity. However, the moderate rat infestation had continuous access to food from the chicken feed hoppers in each of the poultry sheds and, to a limited degree, from the spillage at augers and feed silos across the site. A long hedge ran down the entire northeast border of the site with rat burrows along its length and there were rat runs and burrows around and under the poultry sheds.

#### 210 Site 4. Large mixed farm, nr. Wickham, West Berkshire

The site comprised three large barns for pigs, cattle and sheep and further barns for machinery and equipment, grain and straw bales. In addition there was a neighbouring hanger for light aircraft and several smaller buildings with varying uses. The farm was well-maintained although there were neglected areas and grain spillage in a storage barn and mill. There was evidence of rat infestation across the site; although mainly restricted to the farm buildings, there was evidence of low-level infestation in hedgerows leading away from the building in which pigs were housed.

# 217 Site 5. Small dairy unit, nr. Reading, West Berkshire

The site consisted of an office building, with a large shed for the intensive feeding and milking of cattle and a smaller shed for dairy cows. Pheasants were present throughout the site, particularly where there was woodland cover. There was evidence of rats being present, with runs and burrows

in several areas and live rats seen around the site. Food resources for rats were available at the siteat feeding troughs provided for sheep and cattle.

223 Site 6. Small mixed farm, nr. Basingstoke, Hampshire

The site comprised a residential house, an adjacent workshop, a large open pen for chickens and geese, and four barns which housed cows, sheep, hay and straw bales. There were abundant signs of a substantial rat infestation, with rat runs and rat burrows in all areas and with live rats frequently seen. Feeding troughs for sheep, cattle and chickens gave rats access to feed and feed stored in polymer bags in one of the barns was also accessible.

229 2.2 Laboratory resistance studies

230 Following preliminary site surveys, initial work was conducted on all of the farmsteads to obtain 231 information on the resistance status of their Norway rat infestations prior to the commencement of 232 the bait applications. Blood-clotting response (BCR) tests were conducted on rats from four of the 233 farms (sites 1-4) during 2009/2010 to assess the degree of resistance to anticoagulants they exhibited and, in particular to compare current resistance factors with those published previously 234 235 based on feeding tests (Greaves and Cullen-Ayres, 1988). For this, a standard protocol was 236 employed which involved the administration by gavage of solutions containing various 237 concentrations of bromadiolone and difenacoum, subsequent removal of blood samples under 238 anaesthesia and assessment of blood clotting responses (BCR) (Prescott et al., 2007). 239 Tissue samples from rats on all of the farms were taken for DNA extraction and sequencing. On four 240 of the farms (sites 1-4) rats were trapped prior to the treatments specifically for this purpose. Dead 241 rats were collected both prior to and during the progress of the poison baiting treatments on the 242 other two farms (sites 5 and 6). The procedures used to detect the presence or absence of 243 anticoagulant resistance DNA mutations were similar to those described by Prescott et al. (2007)

244	and, although only the L120Q mutation was subsequently found, all other rat resistance mutations
245	known to be present in the UK would have been found had they been present.
246	2.3 Test substances
247	The rodenticide bait formulations tested in the trials are shown in Table 1. Two of the baits were
248	commercially available pellet formulations, one contained 50 ppm bromadiolone (Contrac <sup>®</sup> ) and the
249	other 50 ppm difenacoum (Ratak $^{\circ}$ ). The remaining two formulations both contained brodifacoum as
250	an active substance. One was a 20 g wax block experimental formulation, containing a nominal 23
251	ppm of the active substance, and the other a commercial pellet formulation containing the same
252	concentration of brodifacoum (Talon $^{\textcircled{B}}$ ). All the test baits, both proprietary and experimental,
253	contained 10 ppm of the human taste deterrent denatonium benzoate (Kaukeinen and Buckle,
254	1992).

Bait type	Active	Active	Trial	Quantity of	Replenishment
	substance	substance	site(s)	bait applied	frequency
		conc. (ppm)		per baiting	
				point (g)	
Pellet (commercial)	difenacoum	50 ppm	1 and 2	100-300	Twice weekly
Pellet (commercial)	bromadiolone	50 ppm	3 and 4	150-300	Twice weekly
Wax block (20g)	brodifacoum	23 ppm	5	20-60	Three times
(experimental)					weekly
Pellet (commercial)	brodifacoum	23 ppm	6	50	Three times
					weekly

Table 1. Rodenticide baits used, the concentrations of the active substance, application rates and

the frequency of bait replenishment in the six field trials.

257 2.4 Field efficacy tests

258 The experimental programme was carried out in two phases for the reason given above. Trials 1-4

were conducted during the period February 2009 to January 2010. Trials 5 and 6 were conducted

260 from April to December 2016.

261 The field trial protocol closely followed that used in similar studies of anticoagulant rodenticide 262 efficacy (Endepols et al., 2011; Buckle et al., 2012) and was in accordance with published guidelines 263 from international agencies including the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection 264 Organisation (EPPO, 1998), the European Commission (EC, 2011) and the European Chemicals 265 Agency (ECHA, 2017a). The protocol was based on the application of poisoned baits according to 266 their regulatory label requirements, or as otherwise advised by the manufacturers. Evaluations of 267 efficacy relied on two different indirect assessments of the size of the rodent infestation applied in a 268 similar manner before and after the treatment, namely census baiting and the measurement of 269 tracking activity (Quy et al., 1993). In preparation for the field trials, the six sites were surveyed and 270 scale maps were drawn. A thorough search of each site was conducted for the bodies of any Norway 271 rats and non-target animals prior to bait applications and any bodies found were removed.

272 The field trial schedule comprised three main phases, each separated from the other by a lag period 273 during which no activities were undertaken at the sites. The first phase involved a pre-treatment 274 census, generally four-days in duration, in which census baiting and tracking were used to estimate 275 the initial size of the rat infestations. The numbers of census bait points and tracking patches set out 276 at the six sites are shown in Table 2. On the first day of the pre-treatment census, the wooden 277 census bait trays were filled with 200g of whole dry wheat, and the sand tracking patches, each 278 approximately 100 mm by 150 mm, were smoothed over. The census bait was weighed  $(\pm 1g)$  and 279 replenished daily. Where complete takes of census bait occurred, the quantity of census bait put 280 down at replenishment visits was doubled. This resulted in 800 g of bait on some bait points in 281 heavily infested areas. The tracking patches were recorded and smoothed over daily for four 282 consecutive days. The tracking patches were scored using the following scale: 0 = no signs of rodent 283 tracks; 1 = 1-5 rodent tracks, 2 = >5 tracks and up to 25% of patch covered with footprints; 3 = 26 - 100284 95% covered; 4 = >95% covered. A lag period of not less than four days was used to separate the pre-treatment census period from poisoned baiting to permit the rats to return to their usual foods 285 286 and feeding places.

Site	Dates of trial	Active substance	No. tracking	No. census bait	No. poisoned
			patches	points	bait points
1	13.02.2009-08.05.2009	difenacoum	45	58	57
2	26.10.2009-24.01.2010	difenacoum	39	82	90
3	13.02.2009-21.04.2009	bromadiolone	54	78	77
4	26.10.2009-24.01.2010	bromadiolone	46	94	97
5	18.04.2016-29.07.2016	brodifacoum	35	50	55
6	05.05.2016-23.12.2016	brodifacoum	32	50	90*

Note: \* 41 rat burrows were also baited for a 13-day period.

Table 2. The dates of trials, numbers of tracking, census bait and poisoned bait points used on the sixfarms.

289 The second phase was that of poisoned bait application. The difenacoum and bromadiolone baits 290 were applied according to the regulatory labels found on the product packs. These permitted a 291 range of bait quantities (100-300g) to be placed at each bait point. Initial bait point sizes were 100 g 292 per point but this quantity was increased either when complete takes occurred or when the take of 293 bait at a replenishment visit was found to have approached a complete take. Conversely, when 294 takes of bait declined the quantity of bait set out was reduced to the minimum permitted. The 295 applications of brodifacoum baits were of much smaller quantities, with either one to three 20 g 296 blocks per bait placement (i.e. 20-60 g) or 50 g of pellet bait placed at each bait point, and 297 replenishment visits three times per week. 298 The sites of the poisoned bait placements once again followed label instructions and were 299 determined according to the intensity and distribution of signs of rat activity so that, so far as 300 possible, all rats present had access to a nearby baiting point. Bait points were protected with 301 materials available at the sites, such as pipes, bricks, wooden beams, corrugated metal sheeting and 302 other materials. The use of locally-available materials for securing baiting points provides more 303 rapid and greater uptake of bait than from purpose-made tamper-resistant bait boxes (Buckle and 304 Prescott, 2011). However, specially-constructed wooden bait boxes (measuring approximately 305 31x22x14.5 cm, with two rectangular entrances of approximately 7.5x5 cm) were used where 306 insufficient material for robust protection of baits was found at the sites. Plastic bait boxes were 307 also used, having approximate dimensions of 27x18x12 cm, with two circular entrances with a

308	diameter of 9 cm. On some sites, when it became apparent that rats were present but were
309	reluctant to feed from the covered bait stations, some baits were put directly into rat burrows. All
310	bait points were inspected according to the treatment schedule and, at each visit, the remaining bait
311	was weighed and replenished when necessary. An assessment of tracking activity continued
312	throughout the poison baiting period, with recorded track scores being the aggregate score over the
313	several days that separated baiting visits.
314	The trials ended with the completion of the third phase, the post-treatment census. This was
315	conducted in a manner that was as similar as possible to that used in the pre-treatment census.
316	The basis for the calculations of the efficacy of treatments was a comparison between information
317	obtained in the pre- and post- treatment census periods as follows (EPPO, 1998):
318	% efficacy = pre-treatment activity – post-treatment activity * 100
319	pre-treatment activity
320	3. RESULTS
320 321	<ul><li><b>3. RESULTS</b></li><li>3.1 Resistance tests</li></ul>
321	3.1 Resistance tests
321 322	3.1 Resistance tests Resistance tests based on BCR methodology (Prescott <i>et al.</i> , 2007) were conducted to estimate the
321 322 323	3.1 Resistance tests Resistance tests based on BCR methodology (Prescott <i>et al.</i> , 2007) were conducted to estimate the magnitude of resistance to second-generation anticoagulants at four of the trial sites (Table 3).
321 322 323 324	3.1 Resistance tests Resistance tests based on BCR methodology (Prescott <i>et al.</i> , 2007) were conducted to estimate the magnitude of resistance to second-generation anticoagulants at four of the trial sites (Table 3). Female animals were given a dose eight times and six times greater than the susceptible ED <sub>50</sub> for
321 322 323 324 325	<ul> <li>3.1 Resistance tests</li> <li>Resistance tests based on BCR methodology (Prescott <i>et al.</i>, 2007) were conducted to estimate the magnitude of resistance to second-generation anticoagulants at four of the trial sites (Table 3).</li> <li>Female animals were given a dose eight times and six times greater than the susceptible ED<sub>50</sub> for bromadiolone and difenacoum respectively, and male animals were given a dose three times the</li> </ul>
321 322 323 324 325 326	<ul> <li>3.1 Resistance tests</li> <li>Resistance tests based on BCR methodology (Prescott <i>et al.</i>, 2007) were conducted to estimate the magnitude of resistance to second-generation anticoagulants at four of the trial sites (Table 3).</li> <li>Female animals were given a dose eight times and six times greater than the susceptible ED<sub>50</sub> for bromadiolone and difenacoum respectively, and male animals were given a dose three times the susceptible ED<sub>50</sub> for both active ingredients and, 24 hours later, a high proportion of the animals</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>321</li> <li>322</li> <li>323</li> <li>324</li> <li>325</li> <li>326</li> <li>327</li> </ul>	3.1 Resistance tests Resistance tests based on BCR methodology (Prescott <i>et al.</i> , 2007) were conducted to estimate the magnitude of resistance to second-generation anticoagulants at four of the trial sites (Table 3). Female animals were given a dose eight times and six times greater than the susceptible ED <sub>50</sub> for bromadiolone and difenacoum respectively, and male animals were given a dose three times the susceptible ED <sub>50</sub> for both active ingredients and, 24 hours later, a high proportion of the animals were found to be non-responders. In almost all cases, the percentage of non-responders was
<ul> <li>321</li> <li>322</li> <li>323</li> <li>324</li> <li>325</li> <li>326</li> <li>327</li> <li>328</li> </ul>	3.1 Resistance tests Resistance tests based on BCR methodology (Prescott <i>et al.</i> , 2007) were conducted to estimate the magnitude of resistance to second-generation anticoagulants at four of the trial sites (Table 3). Female animals were given a dose eight times and six times greater than the susceptible ED <sub>50</sub> for bromadiolone and difenacoum respectively, and male animals were given a dose three times the susceptible ED <sub>50</sub> for both active ingredients and, 24 hours later, a high proportion of the animals were found to be non-responders. In almost all cases, the percentage of non-responders was greater than 50%, thus indicating female RFs of at least 8 and 6 for bromadiolone and difenacoum

Trial	Active substance	Sex and no. of	Doses	% non-
site		animals tested	administered	responders
1	bromadiolone	nadiolone Female (n=10)		70.0
			(8xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Male (n=7)	1.41 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	71.0
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
	difenacoum	Female (n=9)	4.74 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	44.4
			(6xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Male (n=6)	1.95 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	83.3
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
2	bromadiolone	Female (n. E)	5.00 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	60.0
		Female (n=5)	(8xED <sub>50</sub> )	
			1.41 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	60.0
		Male (n=5)	(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
	difenacoum		1.95 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	60.0
		Male (n=5)	(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Female (n-F)	4.74 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	40.0
		Female (n=5)	(6xED <sub>50</sub> )	
3	bromadiolone	Female (n=5)	5.00 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	40.0
			(8xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Male (n=8)	1.41 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	100.0
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
	difenacoum	Female (n=1)	4.74 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	100.0
			(6xED <sub>50</sub> )	
4	bromadiolone	Female (n=6)	5.00 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	33.3
			(8xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Male (n=2)	1.41 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	50.0
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
	difenacoum	Female (n=11)	4.74 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	63.3
			(6xED50)	
		Male (n=13)	1.95 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	53.4
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	

Table 3. The results of the blood clotting response tests conducted on rats from four of the six

treated farms. Doses administered are shown as both absolute values and as multiples of the ED50

for fully susceptible animals. Dates of the trials are given in Table 2.

335 Table 4 provides a summary of the DNA resistance tests that were conducted on a total of 111 rats

taken from the farms. Tissue samples from four rats could not be sequenced. Among the others,

only one individual did not carry the L120Q SNP; thus the frequency of resistance on the six farms

338 was 99.1%. The incidence of homozygosity was also very high (86.9%). Such results are likely to be

obtained where there has been a prolonged selection for the resistance trait caused by the frequent

340 use of resisted anticoagulants.

Site	Number of	Wild type	Heterozygous	Homozygous	Sequencing	% resistant
	animals	(susceptible)			failed	
1	32	0	1	30	1	100.0
2	27	0	3	24	0	100.0
3	13	0	1	12	0	100.0
4	20	0	2	18	0	100.0
5	9	1	1	5	2	85.7
6	10	0	5	4	1	100.0
totals	111	1	13	93	4	

Table 4. Results of DNA sequencing for the L120Q resistance mutation among rats taken from thetrial sites. Dates of the trials are given in Table 2.

343 3.2 Efficacy of rodenticide treatments

344 Site 1. Large dairy unit, nr. Stockbridge, Hampshire

The survey of the farm had revealed a widespread infestation across the site, with a particular centre

of activity around the barn that housed the calf stalls, stacks of straw bales and farm machinery.

Therefore, the very low take of census bait of just 174 g on the first day of the trial was unexpected

348 and indicated that the rats on the site were reluctant to switch their feeding to novel alternative

foods. Census bait takes increased rapidly (Fig. 1), however, but it was considered by the fourth day,

when the census would normally have been terminated, that bait take (1.37 kg) still did not provide

a realistic assessment of the size of the population. Therefore, census baiting was conducted for a

352 further two days at which time the daily consumption of wheat bait had increased to 2.20 kg. It

353 seems likely that the take of census bait would have continued to increase had the census been

354 continued for longer and, therefore, the size of the initial infestation may have been

355 underestimated. It was also noticeable throughout the census that almost all bait consumption was

356 from bait stations constructed from natural materials and very few takes were recorded from bait

357 boxes, either of the wooden or plastic designs. The highest daily pre-treatment consumption of

358 census bait was 2.20 kg and the highest daily track score was 55 (Table 5).

Site	Total pre-	Highest daily	Total pre-	Highest daily	Total post-	Highest daily	Total post-	Highest daily
	treatment	pre-	treatment	pre-	treatment	post-	treatment	post-
	census bait	treatment	track score	treatment	census bait	treatment	track score	treatment
	take (kg <sup>-1</sup> )	census bait		track score	take (kg <sup>-1</sup> )	census bait		track score
		take (kg <sup>-1</sup> )				take (kg <sup>-1</sup> )		

1*	6.87	2.20	242	55	7.89	1.86	286	58
2	33.36	10.57	418	115	13.20	4.20	169	45
3	9.20	2.73	154	43	2.83	0.92	94	27
4	15.07	5.49	285	84	17.80	5.57	323	87
5	4.88	1.37	172	50	0.02	0.01	0	0
6	9.78	3.18	336	96	0.05	0.03	4	3

Note: \* pre- and post-treatment census periods of six days at site 1.

Table 5. Results of pre- and post-treatment census baiting and tracking at the six experimental sites.

361 If certain assumptions are made about the rat infestation, it is possible to estimate the number of 362 individuals present at the site from the quantity of census bait consumed. These assumptions are: 1) 363 rats consume only census bait during the baiting period, 2) rats have a mean weight of 200 g, 3) 364 Norway rats consume 10% of their body weight in dry food each day. These assumptions, and the 365 maximum daily census bait take of 2.20 kg, result in an estimated population size of 110 rats. Such 366 estimates are conservative as it is very unlikely, given the known neophobia of Norway rats and the 367 presence of abundant alternative food sources at trial sites, the rats fed exclusively from the census 368 bait (Barnett, 1958; Inglis et al., 1996).

369 The 50 ppm difenacoum pellet poisoned bait was applied according to the manufacturer's label 370 instructions, following a lag period. Once again, initial takes of this bait were poor, and certainly less 371 than might have been expected from the apparent size of the infestation, although they showed a 372 steady increase over the first 20 days of the treatment. Thereafter, and until the treatment was 373 terminated after 48 days of baiting, takes of poisoned bait fluctuated between replenishment visits 374 from approximately 2 kg to 3 kg (Fig. 1). During the treatment period, track scores fluctuated 375 between 37 and 61, but it should be noted that these scores are not directly comparable with the 376 daily track scores recorded during the pre-treatment period because they are the accumulate track 377 score for either three or four days.

The post-treatment was conducted after a lag period of six days and the maximum daily take of census bait (1.86 kg) was recorded on the last of the six days of census baiting. The maximum daily track score, also recorded on the sixth day, was 58 (Table 5).

During the treatment the estimated total quantity of poisoned bait consumed by the rat infestation was 28.57 kg (Table 6). The maximum daily quantities of census bait consumed during the pre- and post-treatment census periods were used in the equation shown above to estimate that treatment efficacy at 15.5% mortality. The maximum daily track scores were similarly used and provided an estimate that the rat infestation at the site had grown by 5.5% during the treatment period.

Site	Active substance	Maximum daily	Total	Total quantity	Estimated mortality	
	(conc. ppm)	take of pre-	quantity of	of active	(%)*	
		treatment census	rodenticide	substance	Census Track	
		bait (kg) <sup>§</sup>	used (kg)	applied (g)	baiting	Score
1	difenacoum (50ppm)	2.20 (110)	28.57	1.43	15.5	+5.5
2	difenacoum (50ppm)	10.57 (529)	59.24	2.96	60.3	60.9
3	bromadiolone (50 ppm)	2.73 (136)	17.67	0.88	66.3	37.2
4	bromadiolone (50 ppm)	5.49 (275)	43.19	2.16	+1.5	+3.6
5	brodifacoum (23 ppm)	1.37 (69)	4.38	0.10	99.3	100.0
6	brodifacoum (23 ppm)	3.18 (159)	9.68†	0.22	98.4	96.9

Notes: <sup>§</sup> values in brackets are the estimated numbers of rats present at the start of the treatments (assumptions are given in the text)

\* mortality estimates preceded by + indicate estimated population growth during treatment
+ includes burrow bait that was applied but some of which was probably not consumed

Table 6. The results of efficacy assessments conducted at the six trial sites. The maximum daily pre-

- 387 treatment census bait take is shown as an indirect measure of the sizes of the initial infestations.
- 388 Site 2. Small mixed farm, nr. Welford, West Berkshire
- 389 Initial takes of census bait at site 2 were high, indicating that the rat infestation exhibited little or
- none of the bait shyness that had been seen at site 1 (Fig. 1). This was in spite of abundant
- alternative food at the site (section 2.1). Similarly, the rats readily entered the wooden bait boxes
- used from the first day of the census. These observations demonstrated that differences in the
- 393 behaviour of the field infestations may influence both treatment durations and treatment outcomes.
- A total of 33.36 kg of census bait was consumed over four days of the pre-treatment census baiting,
- 395 with a maximum daily take of 10.57 kg. The total track score for the four days was 169, with a
- maximum of 45 recorded on the last day of the census (Table 5). Using the same assumptions
- described above, an estimated population size of 529 Norway rats infested the site.

398 The takes by rats of difenacoum pellets at site 2 were also initially high, as might be expected from a 399 large infestation showing little or no reluctance to take apparently highly palatable bait. For 400 example 9.96 kg of bait were eaten over the first five days of baiting. Bait takes declined over the 401 following 14 days (Fig. 1) and thereafter continued at a fairly constant rate for a period of about 402 three weeks. This pattern of bait take may occur when a resistant infestation is treated with a 403 resisted anticoagulant. The more susceptible animals succumb to the bait initially, particularly when 404 bait takes are good, leaving only the more resistant animals remaining to continue feeding. It is this 405 process which increases the incidence of resistance mutations within resistant populations, as well 406 as the severity of resistance. After 35 days of baiting, it became apparent both from the quantities 407 of poisoned bait taken and the track score (Fig. 1) that little further progress would be made and the 408 treatment was discontinued.

The post-treatment census began, following a four-day lag period, but a very heavy fall of snow overnight prevented access to the farm on the third day of the census and for seven days thereafter. Eventually, the census bait was removed and a second, five-day lag period was applied before the census bait procedure began again. Overall, 19 days separated the removal of the poisoned bait and the commencement of the second census period. Given the extremely cold weather and the deeplaying snow, it seems unlikely that the rat infestation remaining on the farm was significantly supplemented by either immigration or breeding.

The results of this census are shown in Fig. 1. The maximum census bait take (4.20 kg) was recorded on the fourth night of the census and the maximum track score of 45 was recorded on the third night. These data were used to obtain estimates of rat mortality achieved by the treatment of 60.3%, using census baiting, and 60.9% using track scores.

420 Site 3. Large free-range poultry unit, nr. Stockbridge, Hampshire

421 The Norway rats at this site apparently took the census bait without reluctance and there were good 422 takes across the entire area. The maximum daily census bait consumption, 2.73 kg, was recorded on 423 the fourth night of the census but the takes for the two preceding nights were not much less (Fig. 1). 424 The maximum track score was 43 on the second night of the census. The census bait take was used 425 to estimate the rat infestation at 136 rats, making as before the somewhat unlikely assumption that 426 the rats only ate census bait. Takes of poisoned bait were initially good and a total of 6.80 kg of 427 bromadiolone bait was consumed during days four to seven of the application. However, bait takes 428 fell rapidly thereafter and continued at a regular, but considerably, lower level for the remaining 429 period of the treatment. After 35 days of poisoned baiting it became apparent, from the continuing 430 low level takes of poisoned bait and track score, that little further reduction of the infestation would 431 be achieved and the treatment was therefore terminated.

432 The post-treatment census monitoring was initiated and was conducted in the same way as the pre-433 treatment census, using the same tracking patch and bait point locations. The four-day post-434 treatment tracking patch census was assessed daily, and produced a total census score of 94 and a 435 maximum on day four of the census of 27 (Fig. 1). The post-treatment census bait consumption was 436 measured daily for four days, and resulted in a total bait take of 2.83 kg, with a maximum take of 437 0.92 kg on the third day of the census (Table 5). These records provided estimates of the percentage 438 mortality of rats at the site caused by the bromadiolone treatment of 66.3% using census baiting and 439 37.2% using the track score values. There was no obvious explanation for the difference between 440 these two values but it is usually considered that mortality estimates derived from census bait data are more reliable than those obtained from track scores. 441

442 Site 4. Large mixed farm, nr. Wickham, West Berkshire

The consumption of census bait on site 4 followed a usual pattern in which takes increase during the
four-day census period. Consumption was good on the first day of baiting, when the rats consumed
2.10 kg of bait overnight, but increased steadily until 5.49 kg were consumed on the fourth night of

the census (Table 5). It seems likely that census bait take would have continued to rise had the
census continued for a longer period. The number of rats present at the site was conservatively
estimated, from census bait consumption, to be 275 individuals. The total track score during the
four-day census was 285, with a maximum of 84 on the fourth day of the census.

450 Takes of bromadiolone bait were initially high, with a total of 7.67 kg of bait consumed during the 451 first four days of the treatment (Fig. 1). The average daily consumption of 1.92 kg was, however, less 452 than the average daily consumption of census bait of 3.75 kg. During the period of poisoned baiting 453 a pattern was observed in which consumption of bait remained high but showed a steady decline. 454 Given the high quantities of bait consumed, it is likely that some rats would have died during this 455 period, resulting in the observed decrease in both bait take and track score (Fig. 1). However, total 456 bait takes were 7.57 kg and 5.12 kg respectively in the fourth and fifth weeks of the treatment and 457 track scores showed an increase. The decision was therefore made to terminate the treatment after 458 35 days of poisoned baiting and a total consumption by the rats at the site of 43.19 kg of 459 bromadiolone bait (Table 6).

The work at site 4 was carried out synchronously with that nearby on site 2 and the post-treatment census period was similarly disrupted by a very heavy fall of snow. When the post-treatment census was carried out it resulted in a maximum daily take of census bait of 5.57 kg and a maximum daily track score of 87 (Table 5). When these values were used to assess the effectiveness of the bromadiolone treatment, both resulted in an estimated growth of the infestation, of 1.5% and 3.6% for census baiting and track score respectively (Table 6).

466 Site 5. Small dairy unit, nr. Reading, West Berkshire

The infestation at this site was apparently the smallest of those present among the six trial sites. A
total of 4.88 kg of census was consumed over four days, with the maximum 1.37 kg taken on the
fourth day. This value provides a conservative estimate of 69 rats at the site. The nature of the site,

470 the fact that the activity of the infestation was mainly focussed outdoors and the frequent rain that 471 occurred during the trial, made it difficult to establish tracking patch points that remained dry and 472 capable of recording rat foot-prints throughout the census. However, a total track score of 172 was 473 recorded, with a maximum daily score of 50 on the fourth day of the census.

474 The consumption of the brodifacoum wax blocks was initially poor, with only 210 g of bait eaten in 475 the first two days of baiting. This may have been due either to the initial inability of the rats to 476 recognise the blocks as food or, if they were recognised as food, a reluctance to consume them. 477 However, bait takes then increased and reached a maximum at the end of the first week of baiting, 478 when more than a kilogramme of bait was consumed over three days (Fig. 1). Thereafter, bait takes 479 declined quickly, as did the tracking score, indicating that the rat infestation was being quickly 480 extinguished. After three weeks of baiting, both bait takes and track score had reached very low 481 levels. Unfortunately, at this time field signs at some bait stations indicated that a portion of the 482 continuing activity observed may have been due to grey squirrels (Sciurus carolinensis). Camera 483 traps were deployed and confirmed that this was the case. Grey squirrels are, of course, non-target 484 animals in the context of outdoor baiting with anticoagulants and, therefore, bait was immediately 485 removed from the site and the trial paused. Five squirrels were subsequently humanely trapped and 486 removed from the site and the trial resumed. The duration of the pause was 24 days, but baiting 487 continued thereafter for a further 18 days, until both track scores and bait takes were reduced to 488 zero. Bait takes from stations that are considered likely to have been visited by squirrels were 489 subtracted from the estimated total consumption of poisoned bait.

As in previous trials, the post-treatment census was carried out in the same way as the pretreatment census. No activity was detected on any tracking patches, giving an estimate of mortality
by that method of 100%. However, there were several very small takes of census bait, amounting to
a total of 21 g over the four-day census period. Although it was likely that these takes were by
either wood mice (*Apodemus sylvaticus*) or bank voles (*Myodes glareolus*), it was not possible from

the field signs entirely to dismiss the likelihood that they were small rat takes. Therefore, the
estimate of mortality brought about by the application of 23 ppm brodifacoum wax blocks at site 5,
using census baiting, was estimated to be 99.3%.

# 498 Site 6. Small mixed farm, nr. Basingstoke, Hampshire

Rats at site 6 ate more than 1.5 kg of wheat bait on the first day of census baiting, indicating that they were not reluctant to take a novel foodstuff. Bait takes increase daily until, on the last day of census baiting, the take of bait reached 3.18 kg, with a total consumption over the four days of baiting of 9.78 kg. The daily bait take provides, using the same assumptions as before, an estimate of 159 rats. The maximum track score during the pre-treatment census was 96.

504 Takes of the 23 ppm brodifacoum pellet bait were initially very good, with a total take of more than 505 2.5 kg over the first three days of baiting. Thereafter, takes of bait declined quickly during the 506 following two weeks (Fig. 1). However, although the track score also showed a decline, its degree 507 did not match that seen in the poisoned bait take data. About three weeks into the treatment it 508 became apparent that rats remained active at the site but they were not taking the poisoned bait. 509 Any open rat burrows seen were blocked and it was found that a considerable number of rat 510 burrows remained active around an outdoor pen where poultry was kept and fed ad libitum. A 511 normal procedure in this case during a conventional treatment would be to employ burrow baiting, 512 in an attempt to induce the rats to take bait. However, although it is possible to record the quantity 513 of bait put out in burrow baiting, it is not possible to estimate bait consumption, as is desirable in a 514 monitored rodenticide trial. Therefore, conventional baiting continued for another week in the 515 hope that the infestation could be extinguished. When this did not occur, burrow baiting was first 516 employed on day 30 of the application. A total of 41 burrows were treated with 50 g of pellets each 517 and burrows which remained active between treatment visits were baited until day 43 of the 518 treatment. These burrow baits were included in the calculations of the quantities of bait applied but 519 it seems likely that at least some of this bait was not consumed by rats.

The post-treatment census began after a five-day lag period. Census bait take was observed on two of the four census days, with a maximum of 32 g of bait eaten on the fourth and final day. Similarly tracking activity was observed on two days, with a maximum score of 3 on the first day of the census. Once again, it was impossible from the field signs to preclude the possibility that these takes were by rats and, therefore, these data provide estimates of mortality of 98.4% and 96.9%, using census bait takes and track scores respectively.

526 It is generally considered that effective anticoagulants should control a rat infestation that is

527 susceptible to it within about 35 days (EC, 2011). The treatment at site six continued for a week

- 528 longer than this but it is probable that the treatment would have ended earlier had burrow baiting
- 529 been applied more expeditiously.



530

531 Figure 1. Summary of the progression of six anticoagulant field trials conducted at farmsteads in 532 Berkshire and Hampshire, UK. Sites 1 and 2, 50 ppm difenacoum commercial pellet bait; sites 3 and 4, 50 ppm bromadiolone commercial pellet bait; site 5, 23 ppm brodifacoum experimental wax block 533 534 formulation; site 6, 23 ppm commercial brodifacoum pellet bait. Hatched bars, census bait takes; 535 open bars, poisoned bait takes; line, tracking score. Note: track scores are for a 24-hour period 536 during the pre- and post-treatment census, during the poisoned baiting they are aggregate scores 537 for two- to three-day periods. A six-day census period was employed at site 1 because of the initial 538 reluctance of rats to take census bait. The post-treatment lag period was prolonged at sites 2 and 4 539 because of heavy snowfall.

540 4. DISCUSSION

541 The application of anticoagulant rodenticides is the principle management intervention applied in 542 almost all rodent pest scenarios worldwide, in both crop protection and public health (Jacob and 543 Buckle, 2018). No alternatives exist that are sufficiently effective (ECHA, 2017b) and possess similar 544 safety features, including a specific antidote (vitamin  $K_1$ ) and a slow mode of action which provides 545 time for its administration (Buckle and Eason, 2015). There is little evidence of technology capable 546 of fully replacing anticoagulants becoming available in the foreseeable future (Buckle and Smith, 547 2015). However, anticoagulant resistance is a significant threat to the continued effective use of 548 these active substances (Berny et al., 2018) and resistance management strategies are required to 549 extend the useful lives of these essential products (RRAC, 2020). 550 Research in the Hampshire and Berkshire area, over many years and using different resistance

551 monitoring techniques, has shown the prevalent nature of the L120Q resistance SNP in the area of 552 this study (Buckle, 2013; Jones *et al.*, 2019). The interactive resistance maps provided by the 553 Rodenticide Resistance Action Committee of CropLife International (available here:

554 <u>http://guide.rrac.info/mapas-de-resistencia/reino-unido/?L=3%27A</u>), based on DNA testing

555 conducted at the University of Reading, demonstrate the known scale and geographical scope of the 556 resistance focus. Therefore, it was unsurprising that the rats on six Hampshire/Berkshire farms were 557 found to carry the L120Q SNP. What was surprising, however, was the very high incidence of 558 resistance; with only one susceptible animal found in a sample of 107 rats from the trial sites, and 559 the high incidence of homozygosity (86.9%). These results indicate prolonged selection pressure 560 towards resistance exerted by the application, over a period of more than 30 years, of only partially-561 effective rodenticides. The late J.H. Greaves wrote, "the unabated use of anticoagulants to which 562 resistance has developed will unremittingly cause resistance to these compounds to spread" 563 (Greaves, 1994). Apparently this lesson was not learned and the consequences for resistance of a 564 regulatory policy that, effectively, enforced the prolonged use of resisted active substances, is apparent (Jones et al., 2019). Also, the relatively high initial numbers of rats on the trial farms (Table 565 566 6) were not unusual at this resistance focus, in spite of almost continual but ineffectual attempts to

567 control them with bromadiolone and difenacoum baits (Quy *et al.*, 1995), and by other physical
568 means such as trapping, dogs and shooting.

569 It is also clear from the foregoing that none of the six field trials described here progressed in an 570 entirely predicted manner. Rats appeared to be unusually reluctant to take bait at one site. This 571 may have resulted in an underestimate of the initial size of the infestation during census baiting, in 572 turn leading to an over-estimate of treatment efficacy. However, poor poisoned bait uptake and its 573 consequential detrimental effect on treatment efficacy would have exerted possible bias in the 574 opposite direction. Heavy snowfall caused the work of the post-treatment census to be unavoidably 575 abandoned and resumed later at two trial sites. There is a possibility that this prolonged, 576 unscheduled lag period may have permitted some rats to repopulate the sites, but the extreme 577 winter weather conditions, very low temperatures and deep-laying snow, would have militated 578 against this to a considerable extent. On another site the treatment was interrupted when it was 579 found, almost at the end of the treatment, that non-target grey squirrels were entering bait boxes 580 and taking poisoned bait. The trial could have been terminated at that point because very few rats 581 apparently remained. However, it was decided to pause the work, remove the squirrels, and then 582 continue in an attempt to extinguish the infestation. This resulted in a necessarily prolonged trial. Finally, poor bait takes, probably due to the proximity of palatable alternative food, and justifiable 583 584 reluctance on the part of the researchers to employ burrow baiting because of the difficulty this 585 presented in quantifying bait takes, resulted in another prolonged treatment at site 6; although 586 virtually complete control was eventually achieved.

In spite of these experimental difficulties, a consistent pattern emerges from this study on the field efficacy of anticoagulants against Hampshire/Berkshire L120Q rats. The four 50 ppm difenacoum and bromadiolone treatments were substantially ineffective and the two applications of baits carrying 23 ppm brodifacoum were both highly effective. The current regulatory authorisation requirement for proof of efficacy of a rodenticide is 90% mortality in field trials (ECHA, 2017a), and it

592 is clear that all the difenacoum and bromadiolone treatments failed this criterion by wide margins. 593 Previously, bromadiolone had performed consistently better than difenacoum against Norway rats 594 in the Hampshire resistance focus (Greaves et al., 1982b) but this was not seen in the present study. 595 The resistance factors calculated here, using blood-clotting response tests conducted in 2009-10, 596 confirmed a shift towards a higher degree of resistance than those observed previously (Greaves and 597 Cullen-Ayres, 1988). However, if the advanced 'Berkshire' phenotype had entirely replaced the 598 once-prevalent, and more susceptible, 'Hampshire' phenotype at all the trial sites, we might have 599 expected both compounds to perform consistently poorly where they were applied. This was not 600 the case, as at one site where each substance was used they provided a similar, partial degree of 601 control; difenacoum, 60.3-60.9% mortality on site 2 and bromadiolone 37.2-66.3% mortality on site 602 3. However, at the other sites where bromadiolone and difenacoum were used the outcomes were 603 similar in their degree of complete failure to that seen for bromadiolone in a field trial conducted 604 many years earlier (Quy et al., 1995). It seems likely, therefore, that at some sites other factors, in 605 addition to anticoagulant resistance, may have influenced the outcomes of treatments, possibly the 606 unwillingness of the rat infestations to take the commercial baits (Cowan et al., 1995). Whatever 607 the causes of the variable effectiveness of the two less-potent anticoagulants, it is clearly apparent 608 that they should not be recommended for Norway rat control where the L120Q resistance mutation 609 is prevalent (RRAG, 2018).

Evidence has been growing for the past thirty years of widespread contamination of UK wildlife with SGARs (Shore *et al.*, 2015). The list of exposed species is extensive and includes, among others, barn owl (*Tyto alba*), red kite (*Milvus milvus*), buzzard (*Buteo buteo*), kestrel (*Falco tinnunculus*), stoat (*Mustela erminea*), weasel (*Mustela nivalis*), polecat (*Mustela putorius*), fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and hedgehog (*Erinaceus europaeus*). One of the few positive notes to emerge from this, however, is that there is currently no evidence that any exposed species has declined as a result of this exposure (Smith and Shore, 2015). Indeed, some of the most exposed populations of birds (i.e. red kite, barn

owl and buzzard) are rapidly increasing, both in numbers and in their geographical distribution
across the UK (Harris *et al.*, 2019).

619 In simple terms, the risk of non-target effects of rodenticide applications is a function of the intrinsic 620 toxicity of the active substance to exposed species, the quantity applied and the duration of its 621 availability in the environment (Newton, 2018). In turn, the quantities applied are influenced by 622 concentration of the active substance in the bait, the application rates (determined by the product 623 label) and the size and scope of the treated rodent infestation. Actual impacts are affected by the 624 degree of risk of any non-target species' exposure and the effectiveness of the risk mitigation 625 measures employed when using these substances (Buckle and Prescott, 2018; López-Perea and 626 Mateo, 2018; Shore and Coerdassier, 2018). Table 6 shows the quantities of active substance 627 released to the environment during SGAR applications reported here. These ranged from 0.10 g to 628 2.96 g of active substance per treatment; one almost thirty times the other. Unsurprisingly, the 629 unsuccessful bromadiolone and difenacoum treatments resulted in much larger emissions than the 630 more successful brodifacoum treatments. This was because smaller quantities of brodifacoum baits 631 were applied (i.e. pulsed baiting, see Buckle and Eason, 2015), the baits contained lower 632 concentrations of the active substance (23 ppm instead of 50 ppm) and the duration of feeding on 633 brodifacoum baits by the treated rat infestations was shorter (Fig. 1). The most significant period of 634 risk of secondary exposure of predators during anticoagulant treatments is likely to be during the 635 'latency period'; that is after consumption of bait by target animals and before their deaths (Buckle 636 and Prescott, 2018). During this time the animals remain active in the environment, continue to 637 consume rodenticide and exhibit normal behaviour until, latterly, showing some reduced sensory 638 and motor function, and abnormal behaviours, due to poisoning (Cox and Smith, 1992). When a 639 lethal dose of an anticoagulant is taken, this latency period may be quite short, usually only 3-5 days 640 and rarely longer than ten (Buckle and Eason, 2015). However, in the unsuccessful difenacoum and bromadiolone treatments recorded here, the latency period is very much longer, in effect the entire 641 642 remaining natural life of surviving rodents (Atterby et al., 2005) because of the long biological half-

643 lives of these substances (Horak et al., 2018). A scenario therefore emerges in this part of the UK 644 where the L120Q SNP is widespread, and where difenacoum and bromadiolone continue to be used 645 extensively, that a high proportion of individuals within extant Norway rat infestations carry SGAR 646 residues that are virtually continuously available for ingestion by non-target animals through 647 predation and scavenging. This occurrence in Hampshire and Berkshire, and probably to a lesser 648 extent in other resistance foci (Jones et al., 2019), may go some way to explain the widespread 649 nature of anticoagulant residues in predatory birds and mammals, particularly of bromadiolone and 650 difenacoum (Shore et al., 2019). In the brodifacoum treatments, the relatively smaller quantities of 651 active substance emitted resulted in the virtual extirpation of the rat infestations (Table 6) and, 652 therefore, both to a lower overall SGAR emission and shorter duration of potential secondary 653 exposure of predators.

654 Important regulatory changes have been introduced recently in the UK concerning the permitted 655 uses of the second-generation anticoagulants. Firstly, as mentioned above, the more potent 656 anticoagulants, brodifacoum, difethialone and flocoumafen, have been authorised for use outdoors. 657 This has made available, for the first time, potentially highly-effective resistance-breaking active 658 substances for use in resistance foci, such as that of Norway rat L120Q resistance studied here. 659 However, to accompany this change, a programme of rodenticide stewardship has been introduced 660 which has brought about important changes in whom can purchase SGARs and how they can be 661 used (Buckle et al., 2017). UK Government assessment of the stewardship regime will determine 662 future rodenticide regulation and permitted use practices and, in so doing, will continue to affect the 663 development and spread of anticoagulant resistance and the prevalence of anticoagulant residues in 664 UK wildlife (GOG, 2019). However, so far no significant increase in total SGAR residues has been 665 detected in the chosen sentinel species, barn owl, as a result of the regulatory change which 666 occurred in 2016 to permit the use outdoors of the resistance-breaking SGARs (Shore et al., 2019).

#### 667 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr A Cornish for his support and guidance during the study. The workwas funded by Syngenta AG, Basel, Switzerland.

#### 670 **REFERENCES**

- 671 Atterby, H., Kerins, G.M. and Macnicoll, A.D. (2005) Whole-carcass residues of the rodenticide
- 672 difenacoum in anticoagulant-resistant and-susceptible rat (*Rattus norvegicus*). Environ. Toxicol.
- 673 *Chem.* 24:318–323.
- Barnett, S.A. (1958) Experiments on "neophobia" in wild and laboratory rats. *Br. J. Psychol.* 49:195201.
- 676 Berny, P., Esther, A., Jacob, J. and Prescott, P. (2018) Development of resistance to anticoagulant
- 677 rodenticides in rodents, Chapter 10 in Anticoagulant Rodenticides and Wildlife, eds. van den Brink,
- 678 N.W., Elliott, J.E., Shore, R.F. and Rattner, B.A. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham,
- 679 Switzerland. pp 259-286.
- 680 Boitet, M., Hammed, A., Chatron, N., Debaux, J.V., Benoit, E. and Lattard, V. (2018) Elevated
- 681 difenacoum metabolism involved in the difenacoum-resistant phenotype observed in Berkshire rats
- homozygous for the L120Q mutation in Vkorc1 gene. *Pest Manage. Sci.* **74**:1328-1334.
- 683 Buckle, A.P. (2013) Anticoagulant resistance in the UK and a new guideline for the management of
- resistant infestations of Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus Berk.) *Pest Manage. Sci.* 69:334-341.
- Buckle, A.P. and Prescott, C.V. (2011) Effects of tamper-resistant bait boxes on bait uptake by
- 686 Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus* Berk.) *Int. J. Pest Manage.* **57**:77–83.
- 687 Buckle, A.P. and Eason, C.T. (2015) Control methods: chemical. Chapter 6 in Rodent Pests and their
- 688 Control, 2nd Edition, eds. Buckle, A.P.and Smith, R.H. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK. pp
- 689 123-154.
- Buckle, A.P. and Smith, R.H. (2015) Rodent control: Back to the future (the sequel). Chapter 19 in
- 691 Rodent Pests and their Control, 2nd Edition, eds. Buckle, A.P. and Smith, R.H. CAB International,
- 692 Wallingford, Oxon, UK. pp 397-402.

- 693 Buckle, A.P. and Prescott, C.V. (2018) Anticoagulants and Risk Mitigation. Chapter 17 in
- 694 Anticoagulant Rodenticides and Wildlife, eds. van den Brink, N.W., Elliott, J.E., Shore, R.F. and
- 695 Rattner, B.A. eds. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland pp 391-355.
- 696 Buckle, A.P., Klemann, N. and Prescott, C.V. (2012) Brodifacoum is effective against Norway Rats
- 697 (Rattus norvegicus) in a tyrosine139cysteine focus of anticoagulant resistance in Westphalia,
- 698 Germany. Pest Manag. Sci. 68:1579-1585.
- 699 Buckle, A. P., Prescott, C., Davies, M. and Broome, R. (2017) The UK Rodenticide Stewardship
- 700 Regime. A model for anticoagulant risk mitigation? In: Proceedings of the Ninth International
- 701 Conference on Urban Pests, eds. Davies, M., Pfeiffer, C. and Robinson, W.H. Aston University,
- 702 Birmingham, 9-12 July 2017. Pp. 165-170. Available at: http://www.icup2017.org.uk/proceedings/
- 703 [accessed 29 August 2017].
- Cowan, D., Dunsford, G., Gill, E., Jones, A., Kerins, G., Macnicoll, A. and Quy, R. (1995) The impact of
- 705 resistance on the use of second-generation anticoagulants against rats on farms in Southern
- 706 England. *Pestic. Sci.* **43**, 83-93.
- 707 Cox, P. and Smith, R.H. (1992) Rodenticide ecotoxicology:pre-lethal effects of anticoagulants on rat
- behaviour. In Proceedings 15th Vertebrate Pest Conference, ed by Borrecco, J.E. and Marsh, R.E.
- Newport Beach, California, 3-5 March, pp. 165-170.
- Daniells, L., Buckle, A.P. and Prescott, C.V. (2011) Resistance as a factor in environmental exposure
- of anticoagulant rodenticides a modelling approach. Book of Abstracts. Eighth European Vertebrate
- 712 Pest Management Conference, Berlin, Julius Kuhn Institute and Humboldt University, Berlin, ed. by
- 713 Jacob, J. and Esther, A. pp 58-59.
- EC. (2011) Technical Notes for Guidance Efficacy Evaluation of Rodenticides (Product Type 14)
- 715 Product Evaluation.. Document endorsed at the 32nd meeting of representatives of Members States
- 716 Competent Authorities for the implementation of Directive 98/8/EC concerning the placing of
- biocidal products on the market (18-20 February 2009), European Commission, Brussels. 22 pp.

- 718 ECHA. (2017a) Guidance on the Biocidal Products Regulation Volume II Efficacy Assessment and
- 719 Evaluation (Parts B+C) Version 2.0. European Chemicals Agency, P.O. Box 400, FI-00121 Helsinki,
- 720 Finland. ISBN: 978-92-9020-259-2. Dol: 10.2823/532500. December 2017, pp 168-182.
- 721 ECHA. (2017b) Opinion on a request according to Article 75(1)(g) of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 on
- 722 Questions regarding the comparative assessment of anticoagulant rodenticides. European
- 723 Chemicals Agency, Helsinki, Finland. ECHA/BPC/145/2017. 15 pp.
- 724 Endepols, S.E., Klemann, N., Jacob, J. and Buckle. A.P. (2011) Resistance tests and field trials with
- bromadiolone for the control of Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) on farms in Westphalia, Germany.
- 726 Pest Manage. Sci. 68(3):348–354.
- 727 EPPO. (1998) Efficacy evaluation of rodenticides Field Tests against Synanthropic Rodents (Mus
- 728 musculus, Rattus norvegicus, R. rattus). European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization
- 729 Organisation/Européenne et Méditerranéenne pour la Protection des Plantes (EPPO) PP
- 730 1/114(2):36-47 (1998).
- Gill, J.E. and MacNicoll, A.D. (1991) Determination of the susceptibility of wild populations of the
- 732 Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus) to the anticoagulant brodifacoum. Zeitschrift für angewandte
- 733 *Zoologie* **78**:101-117.
- Gill, J.E., Kerins, G.M. and MacNicoll, A.D. (1992) Inheritance of low grade brodifacoum resistance in
  the Norway rat. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 56:809-816.
- 736 Greaves, J.H. (1994) Resistance to anticoagulant rodenticides, Chapter 17 in Rodent Pests and their
- 737 Control, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., ed. by Buckle, A.P. and Smith, R.H. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK. pp
- 738 197-217.
- 739 Greaves, J.H. and Rennison, B.D. (1973) Population aspects of warfarin resistance in the Brown rat,
- 740 Rattus norvegicus. Mammal Review **3**:27-29.
- 741 Greaves, J.H., Shepherd, D.S. and Gill, J.E. (1982a) An investigation of difenacoum resistance in
- Norway rat populations in Hampshire. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **100**: 581-587.

- 743 Greaves, J.H., Shepherd, D.S. and Quy, R.J. (1982b) Field trials of second-generation anticoagulants
- against difenacoum-resistant Norway rat populations. J. Hyg., Camb. 89:295-301.
- 745 Greaves, J.H. and Cullen-Ayres, P.B. (1988) Genetics of difenacoum resistance in the rat, in Current
- Advances in Vitamin K Research ed. by Suttie, J.W. Elsevier Amsterdam, pp 389-397.
- GOG. (2019 An information paper by the Rodenticides Stewardship Regime Government Oversight
- 748 Group, Report on the Rodenticides Stewardship Regime: Assessment of Implementation January
- 749 2019, 11 pp. Available at: http://www.hse.gov.uk/biocides/eu-bpr/rodenticides.htm [accessed 3
- 750 December 2019].
- Harris, S.J., Massimino, D., Eaton, M.A., Gillings, S., Noble, D.G., Balmer, D.E., Pearce-Higgins, J.W.
- and Woodcock, P. (2019) Breeding Bird Survey 2018, BTO Research Report 717, British Trust for
- 753 Ornithology, Thetford, UK, 36 pp.
- Horak, K.E., Fisher, P.M. and Hopkins, B. (2018) Pharmacokinetics of anticoagulant rodenticides in
- target and non-target organisms. Chapter 4 in Anticoagulant Rodenticides and Wildlife, eds. van den
- 756 Brink, N.W., Elliott, J.E., Shore, R.F. and Rattner, B.A. eds. Springer International Publishing AG,
- 757 Cham, Switzerland. pp 87-108.
- 758 HSE. (2012) Environmental risk mitigation measures for second generation anticoagulant
- rodenticides proposed by the UK. Chemicals Regulation Directorate, Health and Safety Executive,
- 760 UK, August 2012. 30 pp.
- 761 Inglis, I.R., Shepherd, D.S., Smith, P., Haynesm, P.J., Bull, D.S., Cowan, D.P. and Whitehead, D. (1996)
- 762 Foraging behaviour of wild rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) towards new foods and bait containers. *Applied*
- 763 Animal Behaviour Science **47**:175-190.
- Jacob, J. and Buckle, A.P. (2018) Use of anticoagulant rodenticides in different applications around
- the world, Chapter 2 in Anticoagulant Rodenticides and Wildlife, eds. van den Brink, N.W., Elliott,
- J.E., Shore, R.F. and Rattner, B.A. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland. pp 11-43.
- Jones, C., Talavera, M., Buckle, A. and Prescott, C. (2019) Anticoagulant Resistance in Rats and Mice
- in the UK Summary Report with new data for 2019, Report from the Campaign for Responsible
- 769 Rodenticide Use (CRRU) UK for the Government Oversight Group. Vertebrate Pests Unit, The
- 770 University of Reading. Report no. VPU/19/012. 17 pp. <u>https://www.thinkwildlife.org/downloads/</u>
  771 [accessed 18 November 2019].
- 772 Kaukeinen, D.E. and Buckle, A.P. (1992) Evaluations of aversive agents to increase the selectivity of
- rodenticides, with emphasis on denatonium benzoate (Bitrex) bittering agent. In Proceedings 15th
- 774 Vertebrate Pest Conference, ed by Borrecco, J.E. and Marsh, R.E. Newport Beach, California, 3-5
- 775 March, pp. 192-198.
- López-Perea, J.J. and Mateo, R. (2018) Secondary exposure to anticoagulant rodenticides and effects
- on predators, Chapter 7 in Anticoagulant Rodenticides and Wildlifel, eds. van den Brink, N.W.,
- Elliott, J.E., Shore, R.F. and Rattner, B.A. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland pp
  159-193.
- 780 Markussen, M.D.K., Heiburg, A-C., Nielsen, R. and Leirs, H. (2008) Differential expression of
- 781 cytochrome P450 genes between bromadiolone-resistant and anticoagulant–susceptible Norway
- rats: a possible role for pharmacokinetics in bromadiolone resistance. *Pest. Manage. Sci.* 64: 239-
- 783 248.
- 784 Newton, I. (2018) Foreword. In Anticoagulant Rodenticides and Wildlife, eds. van den Brink, N.W.,
- 785 Elliott, J.E., Shore, R.F. and Rattner, B.A. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland.
- 786 pp v-viii (2018).
- 787 Pelz, H-J., Rost, S., Hünerberg, M., Fregin, A., Heiberg, A-C., Baert, K., MacNicoll, A.D., Prescott, C.V.,
- 788 Walker, A-S., Oldenburg, J. and Müller, C.R. (2005) The genetic basis of resistance to anticoagulants
- 789 in rodents. *Genetics* **170**:1839–1847.
- 790 Pelz, H-J. and Prescott, C.V. (2015) Resistance to anticoagulant rodenticides, in Rodent Pests and
- their Control, 2nd Edition, Buckle, A.P. and Smith, R.H. eds. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon,
  UK, pp 187-208.
- 793 Prescott, C.V., Buckle, A.P. and Endepols, S. (2007) A standardised BCR resistance test for all
- 794 anticoagulant rodenticides. *Int. J. Pest Manag.* **53**:265–272.

35

- 795 Quy, R.J., Shepherd, D.S. and Inglis, I.R. (1992) Bait avoidance and the effectiveness of anticoagulant
- 796 rodenticides against warfarin- and difenacoum-resistant populations of Norway rats (Rattus
- 797 *norvegicus*). *Crop Prot.* **11**: 13-19.
- Quy, R.J., Cowan, D.P. and Swinney, T. (1993) Tracking as an activity index to measure gross changes
  in Norway rat populations. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* **21**:122-127 (1993).
- 800 Quy, R.J., Cowan, D.P., Prescott, C.V., Gill, J.E., Kerins, G.M., Dunsford, G., Jones, A. and Macnicoll,
- A.D. (1995) Control of a population of Norway Rats resistant to anticoagulant rodenticides. *Pestic.*
- 802 *Sci.* **45**:247-256.
- 803 Refern, R. and Gill, J.E. (1978) The development and use of a test to identify resistance to the
- anticoagulant difenacoum in the Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*). J. Hyg., Camb. **81**:427-431.
- 805 RRAC. (2020) RRAC Resistance Guide. Rodenticide Resistance Action Committee, CropLife
- 806 International, Brussels, Belgium. Interactive web-based document. <u>https://guide.rrac.info/aim-and-</u>
- 807 <u>authors.html</u> [accessed 10 March 2020].
- 808 RRAG. (2018). Anticoagulant resistance in the Norway rat and guidelines for the management of
- 809 resistant rat infestations in the UK. Rodenticide Resistance Action Group, UK. Revised September
- 810 2018. 8 pp.
- 811 https://bpca.org.uk/write/MediaUploads/Documents/Other%20Documents/Anticoagulant\_resistan
- 812 <u>ce in the Norway rat\_v3.pdf</u> [accessed 21 January 2020].
- 813 Rost, S., Fregin, A., Ivankevicius, V., Conzelmann, E., Hörtnagel, K., Pelz, H-J., Lappegard, K., Seifried,
- 814 E., Scharrer, I., Tuddenham, E.G., Müller, C.R., Strom, T.M. and Oldenburg, J. (2004) Mutations in
- 815 VKORC1 cause warfarin resistance in multiple coagulation factor deficiency type 2. Nature 427:537–
- 816 541.
- 817 Shore, R.F., Pereira, M.G., Potter, E.D. and Walker, L.A. (2015) Monitoring rodenticide residues in
- 818 wildlife, Chapter 17 in Rodent Pests and their Control, 2nd Edition, ed. by Buckle, A,P, and Smith,
- 819 R.H. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK. pp 346-365.

- 820 Shore, R.F., Walker, L.A., Potter, E.D., Chaplow, J.S., Pereira, M.G., Sleep, D. and Hunt, A. (2019)
- 821 Second generation anticoagulant rodenticide residues in barn owls 2018. Centre for Ecology &
- 822 Hydrology contract report to the Campaign for Responsible Rodenticide Use (CRRU) UK, pp. 24.
- 823 Centre forAvailable at: <u>https://pbms.ceh.ac.uk/sites/default/files/stewardship-2018-owls\_FINAL.pdf</u>
- 824 [accessed 07.01.20].
- 825 Shore, R.F. and Coerdassier, M. (2018) Primary exposure and effects in non-target animals, Chapter 6
- 826 in Anticoagulant Rodenticides and Wildlife, eds. van den Brink, N.W., Elliott, J.E., Shore, R.F. and
- 827 Rattner, B.A. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland. pp 135-157.
- 828 Smith, R.H. and Shore, R.F. (2015) Environmental Impacts of Rodenticides. Chapter 16 in Rodent
- Pests and their Control, 2nd Edition, eds. Buckle, A.P. and Smith, R.H. CAB International,
- 830 Wallingford, Oxon, UK. pp 330-345.

Bait type	Active	Active	Trial	Quantity of	Replenishment
	substance	substance	site(s)	bait applied	frequency
		conc. (ppm)		per baiting	
				point (g)	
Pellet (commercial)	difenacoum	50 ppm	1 and 2	100-300	Twice weekly
Pellet (commercial)	bromadiolone	50 ppm	3 and 4	150-300	Twice weekly
Wax block (20g)	brodifacoum	23 ppm	5	20-60	Three times
(experimental)					weekly
Pellet (commercial)	brodifacoum	23 ppm	6	50	Three times
					weekly

Table 1. Rodenticide baits used, the concentrations of the active substance, application rates and

the frequency of bait replenishment in the six field trials.

Site	Dates of trial	Active substance	No. tracking	No. census bait	No. poisoned
			patches	points	bait points
1	13.02.2009-08.05.2009	difenacoum	45	58	57
2	26.10.2009-24.01.2010	difenacoum	39	82	90
3	13.02.2009-21.04.2009	bromadiolone	54	78	77
4	26.10.2009-24.01.2010	bromadiolone	46	94	97
5	18.04.2016-29.07.2016	brodifacoum	35	50	55
6	05.05.2016-23.12.2016	brodifacoum	32	50	90*

Note: \* 41 rat burrows were also baited for a 13-day period.

Table 2. The dates of trials, numbers of tracking, census bait and poisoned bait points used on the six

farms.

Trial	Active substance	Sex and no. of	Doses	% non-
site		animals tested	administered	responders
1	bromadiolone	Female (n=10)	5.00 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	70.0
			(8xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Male (n=7)	1.41 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	71.0
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
	difenacoum	Female (n=9)	4.74 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	44.4
			(6xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Male (n=6)	1.95 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	83.3
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
2	bromadiolone	Female (n=5)	5.00 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	60.0
		Female (II-3)	(8xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Male (n=5)	1.41 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	60.0
		Male (II=3)	(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
	difenacoum	Male (n=5)	1.95 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	60.0
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Female (n=5)	4.74 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	40.0
			(6xED <sub>50</sub> )	
3	bromadiolone	Female (n=5)	5.00 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	40.0
			(8xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Male (n=8)	1.41 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	100.0
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
	difenacoum	Female (n=1)	4.74 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	100.0
			(6xED <sub>50</sub> )	
4	bromadiolone	Female (n=6)	5.00 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	33.3
			(8xED <sub>50</sub> )	
		Male (n=2)	1.41 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	50.0
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	
	difenacoum	Female (n=11)	4.74 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	63.3
			(6xED50)	
		Male (n=13)	1.95 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>	53.4
			(3xED <sub>50</sub> )	

Table 3. The results of the blood clotting response tests conducted on rats from four of the six treated farms. Doses administered are shown as both absolute values and as multiples of the ED50 for fully susceptible animals. Dates of the trials are given in Table 2.

Site	Number of	Wild type	Heterozygous	Homozygous	Sequencing	% resistant
	animals	(susceptible)			failed	
1	32	0	1	30	1	100.0
2	27	0	3	24	0	100.0
3	13	0	1	12	0	100.0
4	20	0	2	18	0	100.0
5	9	1	1	5	2	85.7
6	10	0	5	4	1	100.0
totals	111	1	13	93	4	

Table 4. Results of DNA sequencing for the L120Q resistance mutation among rats taken from the

trial sites. Dates of the trials are given in Table 2.

Site	Total pre-	Highest daily	Total pre-	Highest daily	Total post-	Highest daily	Total post-	Highest daily
	treatment	pre-	treatment	pre-	treatment	post-	treatment	post-
	census bait	treatment	track score	treatment	census bait	treatment	track score	treatment
	take (kg <sup>-1</sup> )	census bait		track score	take (kg⁻¹)	census bait		track score
		take (kg⁻¹)				take (kg⁻¹)		
1*	6.87	2.20	242	55	7.89	1.86	286	58
2	33.36	10.57	418	115	13.20	4.20	169	45
3	9.20	2.73	154	43	2.83	0.92	94	27
4	15.07	5.49	285	84	17.80	5.57	323	87
5	4.88	1.37	172	50	0.02	0.01	0	0
6	9.78	3.18	336	96	0.05	0.03	4	3

Note: \* pre- and post-treatment census periods of six days at site 1.

Table 5. Results of pre- and post-treatment census baiting and tracking at the six experimental sites.

Site	Active substance (conc. ppm)	Maximum daily take of pre-	Total quantity of	Total quantity Estimated mort of active (%)*		,
		treatment census	rodenticide	substance	Census	Track
		bait (kg) <sup>§</sup>	used (kg)	applied (g)	baiting	Score
1	difenacoum (50ppm)	2.20 (110)	28.57	1.43	15.5	+5.5
2	difenacoum (50ppm)	10.57 (529)	59.24	2.96	60.3	60.9
3	bromadiolone (50 ppm)	2.73 (136)	17.67	0.88	66.3	37.2
4	bromadiolone (50 ppm)	5.49 (275)	43.19	2.16	+1.5	+3.6
5	brodifacoum (23 ppm)	1.37 (69)	4.38	0.10	99.3	100.0
6	brodifacoum (23 ppm)	3.18 (159)	9.68†	0.22	98.4	96.9

Notes: <sup>§</sup> values in brackets are the estimated numbers of rats present at the start of the treatments (assumptions are given in the text)

\* mortality estimates preceded by + indicate estimated population growth during treatment

+ includes burrow bait that was applied but some of which was probably not consumed

Table 6. The results of efficacy assessments conducted at the six trial sites. The maximum daily pre-

treatment census bait take is shown as an indirect measure of the sizes of the initial infestations.





Highlights

- Field trials were conducted with three anticoagulants in Hampshire/Berkshire UK
- Six farm sites were used where 87% of Norway rats were L120Q homozygous resistant
- Bromadiolone and difenacoum were ineffective and should not be used against L120Q
- Brodifacoum was fully effective, with lower anticoagulant environmental emissions
- Rodenticide stewardship is in place, with resistance and environmental monitoring

## **Declaration of interests**

□ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

⊠ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

The study was funded by Syngenta AG, Basel, Switzerland. Dr Alex Cornish, of Syngenta, provided comment on some aspects of the study including application rates for the brodifacoum formulations. The funding company and Dr Cornish, however, played no part in collection, analysis and interpretation of data, in writing the report and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

**Alan Buckle**: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Supervision; Writing original draft; Writing - review & editing. **Clare Jones**: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing - review & editing. **David Rymer**: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation. **Emily Coan**: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation. **Colin Prescott**: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Writing - review.