

Glu298Asp (rs1799983) polymorphism influences postprandial vascular reactivity and the insulin response to meals of varying fat composition in postmenopausal women: findings from the randomized, controlled DIVAS-2 study

Article

Accepted Version

Rathnayake, K. M., Weech, M. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1738-877X>, Lovegrove, J. A. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7633-9455> and Jackson, K. G. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0070-3203> (2021)
Glu298Asp (rs1799983) polymorphism influences postprandial vascular reactivity and the insulin response to meals of varying fat composition in postmenopausal women: findings from the randomized, controlled DIVAS-2 study. *Journal of Nutrition*, 151 (4). pp. 848-856. ISSN 1541-6100 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxaa394> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/93965/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxaa394>

Publisher: American Society for Nutrition

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 Glu298Asp (rs1799983) polymorphism influences postprandial vascular reactivity and
2 the insulin response to meals of varying fat composition in postmenopausal women:
3 findings from the randomized, controlled DIVAS-2 study

4
5 Kumari M Rathnayake^{1,2,3}, Michelle Weech^{1,3}, Julie A Lovegrove¹ & Kim G Jackson¹

6
7 ¹From the Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition, Institute for Food, Nutrition and Health
8 and Institute for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Research, University of Reading, Department
9 of Food & Nutritional Sciences, Harry Nursten Building, Pepper Lane, Whiteknights,
10 Reading RG6 6DZ, UK (KMR, MW, KGJ, JAL) and Department of Applied Nutrition,
11 Faculty of Livestock, Fisheries and Nutrition, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Makandura,
12 60170, Sri Lanka (KMR)

13 ³KMR and MW contributed equally to this manuscript.

14 Address correspondence to JA Lovegrove, University of Reading, School of Chemistry, Food
15 and Pharmacy, Department of Food & Nutritional Sciences, Harry Nursten Building, Pepper
16 Lane, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6DZ, United Kingdom. Telephone: +44 (0)118 3786418;
17 Fax: +44 (0)118 3787708; Email: j.a.lovegrove@reading.ac.uk.

18

19 Rathnayake, Weech, Lovegrove, Jackson

20

21 Word count: 3,838

22 Abstract word count: 299

23 No. of tables: 2

24

25 Running title: Glu298Asp genotype, meal fats and CVD risk markers

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

Abbreviations: ANOVA: analysis of variance, apo: apolipoprotein, BMI: body mass index, CVD: cardiovascular disease, DIVAS: Dietary Intervention and VAScular function, DVP: digital volume pulse, eNOS: endothelial nitric oxide synthase, FMD: flow-mediated dilatation, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment-insulin resistance, IAUC: incremental AUC, LDI: laser Doppler imaging, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol, MaxC: maximum concentration, MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acids, NEFA: non-esterified fatty acids, NO: nitric oxide, PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids, RI: reflection index, rQUICKI: revised quantitative insulin sensitivity check index, SFA: saturated fatty acids, SI: stiffness index, sICAM-1: soluble intercellular cell adhesion molecule-1, sVCAM-1: soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, TAG: triacylglycerol, TC: total cholesterol

Funded by the United Kingdom Food Standards Agency and Department of Health Policy Research Programme (024/0036). Unilever R&D produced and supplied in kind the study spreads and oils according to our specification, but was not involved in the design, implementation, analysis or interpretation of the data. KMR was supported by the Commonwealth Scholarship Commission, UK.

Author disclosures: JAL is a member of the Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) and SACN's Saturated Fats Working Group; KMR, MW and KGJ, no conflicts of interest. JAL is an Editor on the Journal of Nutrition and played no role in the Journal's evaluation of the manuscript.

51 **Abstract**

52 **Background:** Previous acute studies suggest the Glu298Asp polymorphism (rs1799983) may
53 influence vascular reactivity in response to long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fat (PUFA) intake.
54 However, the effects of this genotype on postprandial vascular function following meals rich
55 in saturated (SFA), n-6 PUFA and monounsaturated (MUFA) fats are unclear.

56 **Objective:** This study determined the impact of the Glu298Asp polymorphism on changes in
57 vascular function and cardiometabolic risk biomarkers in response to sequential meals of
58 varying fat composition.

59 **Methods:** In a randomized, double-blind, cross-over, acute study, 32 postmenopausal women
60 (mean±SD age 58±5 y; BMI 25.9±4.1 kg/m²) consumed mixed meals (breakfast: 0 min, 50 g
61 fat; lunch: 330 min, 30 g fat) containing SFA, n-6 PUFA or MUFA on 3 occasions. Blood
62 samples for cardiometabolic disease risk markers and real-time measures of vascular
63 reactivity (including flow-mediated dilatation (FMD, primary outcome)) were
64 collected/performed before and regularly for 480 min after breakfast. Participants were
65 retrospectively genotyped for the Glu298Asp (rs1799983) polymorphism. Data were
66 analysed using linear mixed models.

67 **Results:** For the postprandial %FMD response, a test fat x genotype interaction was observed
68 for the area under the curve (AUC; $P=0.019$) but not incremental AUC, with the AUC being
69 ~24% greater after MUFA than SFA and n-6 PUFA-rich meals in the Glu298 homozygotes
70 ($P\leq 0.026$). Test fat x genotype interactions were also evident for postprandial insulin
71 ($P\leq 0.005$), with the MUFA-rich meals demonstrating significantly higher AUC
72 (12.8%/14.9%), incremental AUC (14.6%/20.0%) and maximum concentration
73 (20.0%/34.5%) versus the SFA and n-6 PUFA-rich meals (respectively) in Asp298 carriers
74 ($P<0.05$). Genotype did not influence other study outcome measures in response to the test
75 fats.

76 **Conclusion:** Our findings suggest the Glu298Asp polymorphism may represent a potential
77 determinant of the inter-individual variability in postprandial responsiveness of %FMD and
78 insulin to acute meal fat composition in postmenopausal women. Further studies are required
79 to confirm these observations.

80

81 This study was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02144454).

82

83 Keywords: monounsaturated fat, n-6 polyunsaturated fat, postprandial lipemia, saturated fat,
84 insulin sensitivity, flow-mediated dilatation

85

86 **Introduction**

87 Endothelial dysfunction, characterized by a reduction in the bioavailability of nitric oxide
88 (NO), is now considered to be an early modifiable step in the development of cardiovascular
89 disease (CVD). The potent vasodilator NO is synthesized in the vascular endothelium by the
90 enzyme endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) through the oxidation of L-arginine to L-citrulline
91 (1), and plays a key role in the maintenance of vascular homeostasis. A common single
92 nucleotide polymorphism in the eNOS gene, Glu298Asp (rs 1799983), is regarded to have
93 functional effects on NO production and bioavailability, with a 30% higher CVD risk in
94 minor allele Asp298 carriers attributed to impaired vascular function (2, 3) and elevated
95 blood pressure (4-6) compared with Glu298 homozygotes. Previous diet-genotype studies
96 have suggested this polymorphism may interact with dietary fat composition, with higher
97 habitual intakes and meals rich in long chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA)
98 associated with beneficial effects on flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) (7, 8) and fasting
99 triacylglycerol (TAG) concentrations (9) in Asp298 carriers. However, very little is known
100 about the effects of saturated fatty acids (SFA), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and n-6
101 PUFA on vascular function and CVD risk outcomes in this genotype group. This is
102 particularly important since current dietary fat recommendations for population CVD risk
103 reduction advise replacement of dietary SFA intake with unsaturated fatty acids.

104 Elevated levels of TAG-rich lipoproteins during the postprandial phase are an
105 independent CVD risk factor (10-12), and are proposed to induce a temporary state of
106 endothelial dysfunction following meal ingestion (13-15). Interestingly, the effects of long
107 chain n-3 PUFA on the postprandial FMD response appear to be sex and Glu298Asp
108 polymorphism dependent, with a two-fold higher FMD response observed in female
109 compared with male Asp298 homozygotes (7). Although exaggerated postprandial lipemia
110 and impaired vascular function are two important CVD risk factors in postmenopausal

111 women (10-12), very little is known about the effects of high fat meals of differing fat
112 composition on macro- and microvascular reactivity in this population sub-group with an
113 increased CVD risk (16). Findings from our Dietary Intervention and Vascular Function-2
114 (DIVAS-2) study have shown sequential test meals higher in unsaturated fatty acids to have
115 favourable effects on postprandial blood pressure and a marker of endothelial activation
116 (soluble intercellular cell adhesion molecule (sICAM)-1), as well as maintenance of higher
117 plasma nitrate levels compared to meals rich in SFA in postmenopausal women. However,
118 effects on real time measures of vascular function were limited (17). In view of the potential
119 role of sex in mediating the impact of the eNOS polymorphism on postprandial vascular
120 function to long chain n-3 PUFA intake, we performed retrospective genotyping in the
121 DIVAS-2 cohort of postmenopausal women to determine the effects of sequential meals rich
122 in SFA, n-6 PUFA and MUFA on postprandial vascular reactivity and CVD risk markers.

123

124 **Subjects and Methods**

125 *Study participants and design*

126 The DIVAS-2 study was an acute, double-blind, randomized, cross-over study conducted at
127 the Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition (University of Reading, UK) between June 2014
128 and September 2015. The study recruited 36 non-smoking ‘healthy’ postmenopausal women
129 aged ≤ 65 years. The study design, inclusion/exclusion criteria, test meal composition and
130 study measurements have been described in detail elsewhere (17). Briefly, participants were
131 randomized to consume sequential mixed test meals consisting of a warm chocolate drink
132 with toasted white bread and jam given at breakfast (0 min, 3.8 MJ, 50.0 g fat, 19.2 g protein
133 and 98.0 g carbohydrate) and lunch (330 min, 3.0 MJ, 30.0 g fat, 19.2 g protein and 98.0 g
134 carbohydrate) on three separate occasions, 4-6 weeks apart. The test fats included in the
135 meals were either rich in SFA (butter; 52.0 g SFA, 21.0 g MUFA and 3.1 g n-6 PUFA as total

136 intakes per test fat arm), MUFA (refined olive oil and MUFA-rich spreads; 15.5 g SFA, 54.6
137 g MUFA and 8.5 g n-6 PUFA) or n-6 PUFA (safflower oil and n-6 PUFA-rich spreads; 13.0
138 g SFA, 10.8 g MUFA and 56.2 g n-6 PUFA). Habitual dietary intake was assessed using a 4-
139 day weighed food diary completed prior to visit 1 and analysed using Dietplan7 software
140 (Forestfield).

141 Following a 12 h overnight fast, anthropometric measurements were conducted before
142 an indwelling cannula was inserted into the forearm and two fasting blood samples were
143 collected (-30 and 0 min) to determine the mean baseline (fasting) concentration. Fasting
144 vascular function and clinic blood pressure measurements were then performed before the
145 breakfast was consumed within 15 minutes. Blood samples were collected at regular intervals
146 until lunch (every 30 min until 180 min and then every 60 min until 300 min), and then every
147 30 min up to 420 min ending at 480 min after the breakfast meal. Postprandial measurements
148 of FMD were conducted at 180, 300 and 420 min, and blood pressure, laser Doppler imaging
149 (LDI) with iontophoresis and digital volume pulse (DVP) at 240 and 450 min after the
150 breakfast meal.

151 The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and
152 approved by the University of Reading Research Ethics Committee (project reference number
153 14/16). All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation, including
154 consent for genotyping for the eNOS Glu298Asp polymorphism.

155

156 *Vascular reactivity measurements and blood pressure*

157 To assess vascular reactivity, a single trained researcher measured endothelial-dependent
158 vasodilation of both the brachial artery to determine %FMD (primary outcome) and the
159 microcirculation using LDI with iontophoresis as previously described (18). In the peripheral
160 arteries, DVP (Pulse Trace PCA2; Micro Medical Ltd.) determined the stiffness index (DVP-

161 SI; m/s) and reflection index (DVP-RI; %) as measures of arterial stiffness and vascular tone,
162 respectively (18). Clinic blood pressure (systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure)
163 and heart rate were measured in triplicate using an OMRON M6 automatic digital blood
164 pressure monitor (OMRON). Vascular reactivity and clinic blood pressure measurements
165 were performed after participants had rested for 30 min in a supine position in a quiet,
166 temperature-controlled room ($22 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$).

167

168 *Biochemical analysis*

169 Blood samples were collected and processed as described previously (17). Briefly, serum
170 lipids (total cholesterol (TC, fasting only), HDL cholesterol (HDL-C, fasting only) and
171 TAG), apolipoprotein (apo)B, glucose, non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) and high sensitivity
172 C-reactive protein (fasting only) were determined using an ILAB600 autoanalyzer (reagents:
173 Werfen (UK) Ltd.; NEFA reagent: Alpha Laboratories; apoB reagent: Radox Laboratories
174 Ltd). Fasting LDL cholesterol (LDL-C) was estimated using the Friedewald formula (19).
175 ELISA kits were used to analyze circulating serum insulin (Dako Ltd.; Denmark), plasma
176 sICAM-1 and soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule (sVCAM-1), E-selectin and P-selectin
177 (R & D Systems Europe Ltd.; UK & Europe). Plasma nitrite and nitrate concentrations were
178 analyzed using the HPLC based approach, Eicom NOx Analyzer ENO-30 (Eicom; San
179 Diego; USA) as described elsewhere (17, 20).

180 Using baseline fasting measures, insulin resistance was determined by homeostatic
181 model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), and insulin sensitivity was estimated
182 with the use of revised quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (rQUICKI) derived using
183 standard equations (21). The QRISK[®]2-2016 online calculator was used to evaluate the risk
184 of developing CVD in next 10 y (<http://www.qrisk.org/index.php>).

185

186 *DNA extraction and genotyping*

187 DNA was extracted from the buffy coat using the Qiagen DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen Ltd.,
188 Crawley, UK). The Glu298Asp polymorphism (rs1799983) was determined using the
189 Applied Biosystems RT-PCR 7300 instrument and Assay-on-Demand single nucleotide
190 polymorphism genotyping assay (Life Technologies, UK).

191

192 *Statistical analysis*

193 As no formal sample size calculation was performed, the analysis presented in the manuscript
194 is explorative, investigating the interactions between the Glu298Asp polymorphism with
195 acute fat manipulation on the primary (%FMD response) and secondary (other measures of
196 vascular function and cardiometabolic disease risk markers) outcomes from the DIVAS-2
197 study, powered to detect a difference in the %FMD response between test fats (17).

198 All statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS statistics version 25 and
199 results are presented as mean \pm SEM, unless specified otherwise. All data were checked for
200 normality and log transformed where necessary. Sine transformation was used for summary
201 measures with negative values. Baseline subject characteristics, which represented the mean
202 fasting data from each study visit, according to genotype group were assessed using
203 independent t-tests. Summary measures for the postprandial responses following the
204 sequential meals were expressed as area and incremental area under the time response curve
205 (AUC and IAUC, respectively) over 420, 450 and 480 min. The IAUC denotes the specific
206 response to the test meals irrespective of baseline concentrations. For NEFA, AUC and IAUC
207 were computed from the time of suppression until end of postprandial period (120-480 min).
208 For postprandial variables with 13 (TAG, glucose, NEFA and insulin) or 10 (apoB)
209 timepoints, the maximum concentration (MaxC) reached after the test meals was also
210 calculated. Linear mixed model analyses were implemented to evaluate the impact of

211 genotype on fasting and postprandial responses to the meals of varying fat composition. All
212 models contained test fat, genotype and test fat x genotype interaction as fixed factors and
213 subject code was added as a random factor. Since BMI has been shown to have an
214 independent effect on both vascular function and insulin sensitivity, it was included as a fixed
215 effect covariate within our linear mixed model analysis and retained within the model
216 regardless of their degrees of significance. Other previously reported differences in baseline
217 characteristics (7, 9) as well as the functional effect of the Glu298Asp polymorphism are
218 considered to contribute to the higher CVD risk in carriers of the Asp298 allele. Further
219 correction for these factors may mask the metabolic phenotype of these two genotype groups,
220 and their response to the test fats, and therefore were not included as covariates. $P \leq 0.05$ was
221 considered as significant for this exploratory analysis.

222 In this secondary analysis of the DIVAS-2 study, the test fat only effects will not be
223 discussed since they were part of our primary analysis and presented in our earlier publication
224 (17).

225

226 **Results**

227 *Study participation*

228 A total of 32 postmenopausal women who completed all three study visits of the DIVAS-2
229 study were included in the secondary analysis according to the Glu298Asp polymorphism.
230 These participants had a mean \pm SD age of 58 ± 5 y and BMI of 25.9 ± 4.1 kg/m². The
231 genotype distributions of the eNOS single nucleotide polymorphism were in line with the
232 reference data for the Caucasian populations in HapMap, with the frequency of the Glu298
233 homozygotes 53% (n=17), Asp298 carrier heterozygotes 44% (n=14) and Asp298
234 homozygotes 3% (n=1). The Asp298 carrier groups were combined for the data analysis.

235 **Table 1** shows the mean baseline characteristics of the participants for the three study
236 visits according to the Glu298Asp polymorphism. BMI was found to be 12.2% higher in the
237 Asp298 carriers than Glu298 homozygotes ($P=0.040$). Other baseline anthropometric, CVD
238 risk markers and estimates of insulin sensitivity and CVD risk were similar between genotype
239 groups. There were also no differences in habitual dietary energy or macronutrient intakes,
240 although intakes of cholesterol were 36% greater in the Asp298 carriers than Glu298 group
241 ($P=0.049$) (Table 1).

242

243 *Vascular function and blood pressure*

244 In the fasting state, there was no effect of genotype on the %FMD response, with similar
245 mean fasting %FMD responses observed in the Glu298 homozygotes (5.32 ± 0.77 %, $n=16$)
246 and Asp298 carriers (4.30 ± 0.59 %, $n=15$)($P=0.074$). Postprandially, there was a significant
247 test fat x genotype interaction ($P=0.019$) for the %FMD response AUC, with differences
248 between test fats only evident in the Glu298 homozygotes ($P=0.013$) but not Asp298 carriers
249 (**Table 2**). A ~24% greater AUC for the %FMD response was observed in the Glu298
250 homozygotes after consumption of the MUFA than SFA and n-6 PUFA-rich meals
251 ($P \leq 0.026$). The IAUC, a measure of the change in %FMD response to meal ingestion, was
252 not found to be different between the test fats in either genotype group. Independent of the
253 meal fat composition, Asp298 carriers had a lower mean AUC for the postprandial %FMD
254 response compared with the Glu298 homozygotes (1875 ± 175 versus 2411 ± 158 % x min,
255 respectively, $P=0.021$).

256 No statistically significant test fat x genotype interactions were found for other
257 vascular measurements (LDI and DVP), clinic blood pressure, biomarkers of NO production
258 (nitrate and nitrite) or plasma markers of endothelial activation (cell adhesion molecules) in
259 the fasting state or following ingestion of the high fat meals of differing fat composition.

260 Independent of the meal fat composition, there was an effect of genotype on the IAUC for the
261 DVP-SI, with a tendency for a reduction in postprandial SI after sequential meals in the
262 Asp298 carriers than Glu298 homozygotes ($P=0.017$)(Table 2).

263

264 *Postprandial CVD risk markers*

265 Fasting serum insulin concentrations were not different between study visits or genotype
266 groups. Significant test fat x genotype interactions were evident for the AUC ($P=0.001$),
267 IAUC ($P=0.005$) and MaxC ($P=0.005$) (Table 2) for the postprandial insulin response, with
268 differences between the test fats found in the Asp298 carriers only ($P\leq 0.004$). In this
269 genotype group, the AUC, IAUC and MaxC for the postprandial serum insulin response were
270 significantly greater after consumption of MUFA than SFA ($P\leq 0.038$) and n-6 PUFA-rich
271 ($P\leq 0.004$) meals.

272 For the postprandial glucose and NEFA responses, a significant test fat x genotype
273 interaction was also observed for the AUC after the sequential meals ($P=0.038$ and 0.032 ,
274 respectively) (Table 2). However, differences were not evident between the test fats in either
275 the Glu298 homozygote or Asp298 carrier groups. The Glu298Asp polymorphism did not
276 influence the postprandial serum lipid responses (TAG and apoB) following the sequential
277 SFA, MUFA and n-6 PUFA-rich meals (Table 2).

278

279 **Discussion**

280 To our knowledge, this secondary analysis of the DIVAS-2 study is the first to determine the
281 impact of the eNOS Glu298Asp polymorphism on vascular function and cardiometabolic
282 disease risk markers to sequential meals rich in SFA, n-6 PUFA and MUFA. Our findings
283 have revealed this polymorphism to a potential modulator of the effect of acute meal fat
284 composition, with differential effects of genotype observed on postprandial %FMD and

285 insulin responses to sequential MUFA-rich meals in postmenopausal women. However,
286 genotype was not found to influence other measures of vascular function or cardiometabolic
287 disease risk biomarkers following the SFA, MUFA and n-6 PUFA rich meals.

288 A genotype specific relationship was only found between the AUC for the
289 postprandial %FMD response (our primary outcome) and MUFA intake, with a greater AUC
290 compared with both SFA and n-6 PUFA in the Glu298 homozygotes only. This was
291 surprising since a previous dietary fat-genotype study conducted in adults aged 18-65 years
292 had shown a greater impact of meal fat composition in the minor allele carriers, although this
293 study had focused mainly on SFA and long chain n-3 PUFA-rich test meals (7). Only one
294 other study has determined the impact of olive oil containing meals on postprandial vascular
295 function according to the Glu298Asp polymorphism but this study did not include a
296 comparator meal of a differing fat composition (22). Whilst genotype did not influence
297 postprandial microvascular reactivity to the olive oil meals containing varying levels of
298 phenolics, the postprandial vascular response and NO_x concentrations were lower (between 4
299 and 8 h) in the Asp298 carriers than wild-type group. In the current study, only a tendency for
300 lower postprandial plasma NO_x concentrations was found in the Asp298 carriers.
301 Interestingly, in both of these studies, vascular reactivity was not different between genotype
302 groups in the fasting state but only during the postprandial state, a finding also observed after
303 a mixed high-fat meal in healthy men (23). Therefore, the compromised vasodilatory
304 response in the Asp298 carriers may only be expressed during times of metabolic stress, such
305 as the postprandial state.

306 A number of acute studies have shown vascular function to be modulated by the type
307 of fatty acids consumed (13, 24) but findings have been inconsistent with respect to MUFA-
308 rich oils. Two systematic reviews and meta-analysis using data from chronic randomised
309 controlled trials reported favourable effects of the Mediterranean diet (25) and olive oil

310 varieties higher in polyphenols (26) on the fasting %FMD response. Similar findings have
311 been observed in the postprandial state, with an increase and/or attenuation of the decrease in
312 FMD response to a high-fat meal, evident after meals rich in extra-virgin olive oil (27, 28),
313 olive oil containing higher amounts of phenolic compounds (22), and avocado fruit (29).
314 Polyphenols are considered to mediate their effects on postprandial vascular function by
315 counteracting fat induced oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation, increasing NO
316 bioavailability. However, in the current study, we observed a greater postprandial %FMD
317 response with refined olive oil devoid of phenolic compounds. The lack of effect of meal fat
318 composition in Asp298 carriers may shed some light on the mechanisms underlying the
319 increase in the AUC for the %FMD response with MUFA in the Glu298 homozygotes. The
320 glutamate to aspartate amino acid substitution at position 298 of the eNOS protein has been
321 reported to make the enzyme more susceptible to cleavage (causing dysfunction), decreasing
322 eNOS activity and potentially vasodilation (30). Furthermore, Asp298 carriers may also have
323 less eNOS associated with caveolin-1 in the endothelial cell membrane (31). Findings from
324 an animal study (32) and *in vitro* studies (33) including some of our own unpublished
325 findings (34), have shown olive oil (extra-virgin) and oleic acid to induce eNOS activity and
326 gene expression compared with lard (32) and single fatty acids (palmitic, stearic and linoleic
327 acids (32, 34). Therefore, it is possible that during the postprandial state, the greater FMD
328 response in the Glu298 homozygotes may reflect an effect of MUFA per se on eNOS enzyme
329 activity and expression, a finding which requires confirmation in human studies.

330 Of the cardiometabolic disease risk markers, insulin may provide a mechanistic link
331 with the observed effects on the %FMD response to the fat-rich meals in the genotype groups
332 via the reported effects of insulin on eNOS stimulation and blood vessel endothelial
333 dependent vasodilation (35). In support, previous studies have reported associations between
334 the Glu298Asp polymorphism with insulin resistance (36-39), with a greater prevalence of

335 Asp298 carriers in adults classified with the metabolic syndrome. In the current study,
336 Asp298 carriers showed differential insulin responses to the meal fatty acids, with MUFA
337 ingestion leading to higher postprandial concentrations than the SFA and n-6 PUFA-rich
338 meals. The lack of a genotype effect on glucose handling is suggestive that the sequential
339 MUFA meals may have led to a transient reduction in postprandial insulin sensitivity in this
340 genotype group. However, our findings are in contrast with previous studies which have often
341 reported MUFA-rich meals (containing olive oil and palmolein) to improve beta cell function
342 and postprandial insulin sensitivity compared with SFA-rich meals (40, 41), but no studies to
343 date have determined the effects according to the Glu298Asp polymorphism. Interestingly,
344 greater insulin but not glucose concentrations were reported in Asp298 carriers than Glu298
345 homozygotes after an oral glucose tolerance test in Japanese non-diabetic adults (42).
346 Although the authors could not discount differences in insulin sensitivity during the oral
347 glucose tolerance challenge between genotypes, they did speculate that the dysfunctional
348 eNOS enzyme in Asp298 carriers may have reduced insulin mediated blood flow in tissues
349 leading to a decreased insulin clearance. In our postmenopausal women, fasting insulin and
350 estimates of insulin sensitivity (HOMA-IR) and rQUICKI were similar in our wild-type
351 group and minor allele carriers. However, similar differences in blood flow and insulin
352 clearance have also been identified in obese versus lean individuals. Although a higher BMI
353 in the Asp298 carriers may be thought to contribute to the insulin responses observed, our
354 analysis controlled for BMI suggesting that this may reflect a metabolic phenotype of this
355 polymorphism. However, we cannot discount that other measures of body composition such
356 as percentage body fat or abdominal obesity were impacting on postprandial insulin
357 responses to meal fat ingestion. Further studies are now warranted to determine the
358 mechanisms underlying the impact of the Glu298Asp polymorphism on postprandial glucose
359 handling and insulin control in response to dietary fat intake, and effects on vascular function.

360 Our study has some limitations. Genotyping was performed retrospectively and so it
361 was not possible to compare the effects of meal fat composition in Asp298 homozygotes as
362 they represent only 10% of Caucasian populations. Since the study sample size was based on
363 the expected change in the postprandial %FMD response between test fats for the main study,
364 we attempted to estimate our power to detect genotype x fat x vascular function interactions
365 in the current study. Based on the expected change in the postprandial %FMD response
366 following a SFA fat load in female Glu298 versus Asp298 genotype groups (7), we
367 calculated a power of 68% to detect differences in response to the test fats between genotype
368 groups. However, our previous study was conducted in females aged 18-65 years and may
369 not be totally representative of our older female group. Therefore, our data analysis should be
370 considered explorative and provides important data to power future studies within this
371 population sub-group. In this study, a significant test fat x genotype interaction was only
372 identified for the AUC but not IAUC for the postprandial %FMD response. Both the IAUC
373 and AUC offer important summary data on the postprandial responses of an outcome
374 measure to the test meals with different fatty acid composition. IAUC estimates the specific
375 response to the test meals, whereas the AUC estimates that, in addition to any
376 background/residual effect. It should also be noted that within the Glu298 homozygotes, there
377 was a tendency for a higher fasting %FMD response prior to the MUFA than SFA and n-6
378 PUFA-rich meals, which may have contributed to the findings in this study. Furthermore, as
379 the participants were postmenopausal women, our findings may not be representative of those
380 in men or premenopausal women, or other ethnic groups.

381 In conclusion, our exploratory analysis has revealed that the Glu298Asp
382 polymorphism to be a potential determinant of the inter-individual variability in
383 postprandial %FMD and insulin responses to acute dietary fat intake in postmenopausal
384 women. However, further studies are warranted using prospective genotyping to investigate

385 the mechanisms underlying the effects of the Glu298Asp polymorphism and MUFA-rich
386 meals on endothelial function and insulin metabolism. Our findings may be important in
387 identifying population subgroups with greater responsiveness to the beneficial effects of
388 targeted dietary fatty acid manipulation through personalized nutrition.

389 **Acknowledgements**

390 The authors than Dr Sheila Wu and Yuyan Luo for assistance with the study visits, the
391 research nurses (Rada Mihaylova and Karen Jenkins) for performing the cannulation, the
392 clinical unit managers (Jan Luff and Sarah Hargreaves) for help with recruitment and
393 colleagues (Prof Gunter Kuhnle and Dr Virag Sagi-Kiss) for advice and support for the
394 nitrate and nitrite analysis. We are also grateful to Dr Oonagh Markey at the University of
395 Loughborough for helpful discussions regarding the statistical analysis.

396

397 **Statement of Authorship**

398 The authors' responsibilities were as follows: JAL, KGJ, KMR and MW designed the study;
399 KMR conducted the research and carried out DNA extraction, analysed the data, and wrote
400 the manuscript under the guidance of KGJ and JAL; MW conducted the research, analyzed
401 the data and conducted the statistical analysis; KGJ performed the genotyping and conducted
402 the statistical analyses; all authors critically appraised the writing of the manuscript at all
403 stages and approved the final manuscript, and JAL was responsible for the final content.
404 None of the authors had a conflict of interest with regards to the writing or submission of the
405 manuscript.

References

1. Stuehr DJ. Mammalian nitric oxide synthases. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1999;1411(2):217-30.
2. Delgado-Lista J, Garcia-Rios A, Perez-Martinez P, Fuentes F, Jiménez-Gomez Y, Gomez-Luna MJ, Parnell LD, Marin C, Lai CQ, Perez-Jimenez F, et al. Gene variations of nitric oxide synthase regulate the effects of a saturated fat rich meal on endothelial function. *Clin Nutr* 2011;30(2):234-8.
3. Mitchell GF, Guo C-Y, Kathiresan S, Vasani RS, Larson MG, Vita JA, Keyes MJ, Vyas M, Newton-Cheh C, Musone SL, et al. Vascular Stiffness and Genetic Variation at the Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase Locus. *Hypertension* 2007;49(6):1285-90.
4. Miyamoto Y, Saito Y, Kajiyama N, Yoshimura M, Shimasaki Y, Nakayama M, Kamitani S, Harada M, Ishikawa M, Kuwahara K, et al. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is positively associated with essential hypertension. *Hypertension* 1998;32(1):3-8.
5. Niu W, Qi Y. An updated meta-analysis of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene: three well-characterized polymorphisms with hypertension. *PLoS One* 2011;6(9):e24266.
6. Shoji M, Tsutaya S, Saito R, Takamatu H, Yasujima M. Positive association of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism with hypertension in northern Japan. *Life Sci* 2000;66(26):2557-62.
7. Thompson AK, Newens KJ, Jackson KG, Wright J, Williams CM. Glu298Asp polymorphism influences the beneficial effects of fish oil fatty acids on postprandial vascular function. *J Lipid Res* 2012;53(10):2205-13.
8. Leeson C, Hingorani A, Mullen M, Jeerooburkhan N, Kattenhorn M, Cole T, Muller D, Lucas A, Humphries S, Deanfield J. Glu298Asp endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism interacts with environmental and dietary factors to influence endothelial function. *Circ Res* 2002;90(11):1153-8.
9. Ferguson JF, Phillips CM, McMonagle J, Pérez-Martínez P, Shaw DI, Lovegrove JA, Helal O, Defoort C, Gjelstad IM, Drevon CA, et al. NOS3 gene polymorphisms are associated with risk markers of cardiovascular disease, and interact with omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Atherosclerosis* 2010;211(2):539-44.
10. Nordestgaard BG, Benn M, Schnohr P, Tybjaerg-Hansen A. Nonfasting triglycerides and risk of myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, and death in men and women. *JAMA* 2007;298(3):299-308.
11. Lindman AS, Veierød M, Tverdal A, Pedersen J, Selmer R. Nonfasting triglycerides and risk of cardiovascular death in men and women from the Norwegian Counties Study. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2010;25(11):789-98.
12. Bansal S, Buring JE, Rifai N, Mora S, Sacks FM, Ridker PM. Fasting compared with nonfasting triglycerides and risk of cardiovascular events in women. *JAMA* 2007;298(3):309-16.
13. Hall WL. Dietary saturated and unsaturated fats as determinants of blood pressure and vascular function. *Nutr Res Rev* 2009;22(01):18-38.
14. Jackson KG, Armah CK, Minihane AM. Meal fatty acids and postprandial vascular reactivity. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2007;35(3):451-3.
15. Lovegrove JA, Griffin BA. The acute and long-term effects of dietary fatty acids on vascular function in health and disease. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2013;16(2):162-7.
16. Davis SR, Lambrinoudaki I, Lumsden M, Mishra GD, Pal L, Rees M, Santoro N, Simoncini T. Menopause. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2015;1(15004).

17. Rathnayake KM, Weech M, Jackson KG, Lovegrove JA. Meal Fatty Acids Have Differential Effects on Postprandial Blood Pressure and Biomarkers of Endothelial Function but Not Vascular Reactivity in Postmenopausal Women in the Randomized Controlled Dietary Intervention and VAScular function (DIVAS)-2 Study. *J Nutr* 2018;148(3):348-57.
18. Newens KJ, Thompson AK, Jackson KG, Wright J, Williams CM. DHA-rich fish oil reverses the detrimental effects of saturated fatty acids on postprandial vascular reactivity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2011;94(3):742-8.
19. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972;18(6):499-502.
20. Rassaf T, Bryan NS, Kelm M, Feelisch M. Concomitant presence of N-nitroso and S-nitroso proteins in human plasma. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2002;33(11):1590-6.
21. Brady L, Gower B, Lovegrove S, Williams C, Lovegrove J. Revised QUICKI provides a strong surrogate estimate of insulin sensitivity when compared with the minimal model. *Int J Obes* 2004;28(2):222-7.
22. Jimenez-Morales AI, Ruano J, Delgado-Lista J, Fernandez JM, Camargo A, Lopez-Segura F, Villarraso JC, Fuentes-Jimenez F, Lopez-Miranda J, Perez-Jimenez F. NOS3 Glu298Asp polymorphism interacts with virgin olive oil phenols to determine the postprandial endothelial function in patients with the metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011;96(10):E1694-E702.
23. Delgado-Lista J, Garcia-Rios A, Perez-Martinez P, Fuentes F, Jiménez-Gomez Y, Gomez-Luna MJ, Parnell LD, Marin C, Lai CQ, Perez-Jimenez F. Gene variations of nitric oxide synthase regulate the effects of a saturated fat rich meal on endothelial function. *Clin Nutr* 2011;30(2):234-8.
24. Vafeiadou K, Weech M, Sharma V, Yaqoob P, Todd S, Williams CM, Jackson KG, Lovegrove JA. A review of the evidence for the effects of total dietary fat, saturated, monounsaturated and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids on vascular function, endothelial progenitor cells and microparticles. *Br J Nutr* 2012;107(3):303-24.
25. Shannon OM, Mendes I, Köchl C, Mazidi M, Ashor AW, Rubele S, Minihane A-M, Mathers JC, Siervo M. Mediterranean diet increases endothelial function in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Nutr* 2020;150(5):1151-9.
26. Schwingshackl L, Christoph M, Hoffmann G. Effects of olive oil on markers of inflammation and endothelial function—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients* 2015;7(9):7651-75.
27. Valls R-M, Farràs M, Suárez M, Fernández-Castillejo S, Fitó M, Konstantinidou V, Fuentes F, López-Miranda J, Giral M, Covas M-I, et al. Effects of functional olive oil enriched with its own phenolic compounds on endothelial function in hypertensive patients. A randomised controlled trial. *Food Chem* 2015;167(30-5).
28. Cortés B, Núñez I, Cofán M, Gilabert R, Pérez-Heras A, Casals E, Deulofeu R, Ros E. Acute effects of high-fat meals enriched with walnuts or olive oil on postprandial endothelial function. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48(8):1666-71.
29. Park E, Edirisinghe I, Burton-Freeman B. Avocado fruit on postprandial markers of cardio-metabolic risk: A randomized controlled dose response trial in overweight and obese men and women. *Nutrients* 2018;10(9):1287.
30. Tesauro M, Thompson W, Rogliani P, Qi L, Chaudhary P, Moss J. Intracellular processing of endothelial nitric oxide synthase isoforms associated with differences in severity of cardiopulmonary diseases: cleavage of proteins with aspartate vs. glutamate at position 298. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 2000;97(6):2832-5.

31. Joshi MS, Mineo C, Shaul PW, Bauer JA. Biochemical consequences of the NOS3 Glu298Asp variation in human endothelium: altered caveolar localization and impaired response to shear. *FASEB* 2007;21(11):2655-63.
32. Martins M, Catta-Preta M, Mandarim-de-Lacerda C, Aguila M, Brunini T, Mendes-Ribeiro A. High fat diets modulate nitric oxide biosynthesis and antioxidant defence in red blood cells from C57BL/6 mice. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 2010;499(1):56-61.
33. Wang XL, Zhang L, Youker K, Zhang M-X, Wang J, LeMaire SA, Coselli JS, Shen YH. Free fatty acids inhibit insulin signaling–stimulated endothelial nitric oxide synthase activation through upregulating PTEN or inhibiting Akt kinase. *Diabetes* 2006;55(8):2301-10.
34. Jackson KG NK, Fry MJ, Thompson AK and Williams CM. Differential effects of single fatty acids and fatty acid mixtures on insulin signalling pathways in endothelial cells (unpublished findings).
35. Campia U, Sullivan G, Bryant MB, Waclawiw MA, Quon MJ, Panza JA. Insulin impairs endothelium-dependent vasodilation independent of insulin sensitivity or lipid profile. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2004;286(1):H76-H82.
36. Fattakhov N, Skuratovskaya D, Vasilenko M, Kirienkova E, Zatolokin P, Mironyuk N, Litvinova L. Association of Glu298Asp Polymorphism of Endothelial NO Synthase Gene with Metabolic Syndrome Development: a Pilot Study. *Bull Exp Biol Med* 2017;162(5):615-8.
37. Guo X, Cheng S, Taylor KD, Cui J, Hughes R, Quinones MJ, Bulnes-Enriquez I, De La Rosa R, Aurea G, Yang H. Hypertension genes are genetic markers for insulin sensitivity and resistance, et al. *Hypertension* 2005;45(4):799-803.
38. Hsieh M-C, Hsiao J-Y, Tien K-J, Chang S-J, Lin P-C, Hsu S-C, Liang H-T, Chen H-C, Lin S-R. The association of endothelial nitric oxide synthase G894T polymorphism with C-reactive protein level and metabolic syndrome in a Chinese study group. *Metabolism* 2008;57(8):1125-9.
39. Jimenez-Morales AI, Ruano J, Delgado-Lista J, Fernandez JM, Camargo A, Lopez-Segura F, Villarraso JC, Fuentes-Jimenez F, Lopez-Miranda J, Perez-Jimenez F. NOS3 Glu298Asp polymorphism interacts with virgin olive oil phenols to determine the postprandial endothelial function in patients with the metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011;96(10):E1694-E702.
40. Robertson M, Jackson KG, Fielding B, Williams CM, Frayn K. Acute effects of meal fatty acid composition on insulin sensitivity in healthy post-menopausal women. *Br J Nutr* 2002;88(6):635-40.
41. Bermúdez V, Rojas J, Martínez MS, Apruzzese V, Chávez-Castillo M, Gonzalez R, Torres Y, Salazar J, Bello L, Añez R, et al. Epidemiologic behavior and estimation of an optimal cut-off point for homeostasis model assessment-2 insulin resistance: a report from a Venezuelan population. *Int Sch Res Notices* 2014;2014(616271).
42. Maruyama N, Yano Y, Gabazza EC, Araki R, Katsuki A, Hori Y, Nakatani K, Sumida Y, Adachi Y. Association between endothelial nitric oxide synthase Glu298Asp polymorphism and postchallenge insulin levels in nondiabetic Japanese subjects. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26(7):2216-8.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the Glu298 homozygotes and Asp298 carriers¹

	Glu298 homozygotes	Asp298 carriers	P value ²
N	17	15	
Age, y	59 ± 5	57 ± 5	0.383
BMI, kg/m ²	24.5 ± 4.0	27.5 ± 3.7	0.040
Waist circumference, cm	87.5 ± 8.6	93.1 ± 8.4	0.080
Body fat, %	34.7 ± 6.9	38.9 ± 5.5	0.078
Blood pressure, mmHg			
Systolic	135 ± 16	137 ± 15	0.762
Diastolic	77 ± 8	79 ± 8	0.427
Heart rate, beats/min	60 ± 6	58 ± 5	0.294
Fasting serum CVD risk markers			
Total cholesterol, mmol/L	5.76 ± 0.53	5.72 ± 0.83	0.769
HDL cholesterol, mmol/L	1.68 ± 0.30	1.56 ± 0.24	0.239
LDL cholesterol, mmol/L	3.52 ± 0.56	3.51 ± 0.75	0.872
Triacylglycerol, mmol/L	1.23 ± 0.28	1.43 ± 0.46	0.199
C-reactive protein, mg/L	0.91 ± 0.81	1.72 ± 1.99	0.146
Glucose, mmol/L	4.98 ± 0.34	5.28 ± 0.58	0.084
Insulin, pmol/L	32.7 ± 18.7	42.7 ± 24.8	0.257
NEFA, μmol/L	588 ± 97	652 ± 186	0.315
Estimates of CVD risk and insulin sensitivity			
QRISK [®] 2 ³	4.8 ± 2.0	4.5 ± 2.3	0.727
HOMA-IR	1.22 ± 0.73	1.74 ± 1.21	0.143
rQUICKI	0.43 ± 0.04	0.40 ± 0.06	0.156

Habitual dietary intakes⁴

Energy, MJ/d	7.0 ± 1.5	7.7 ± 2.1	0.331
Total fat, %TE	36.0 ± 8.8	34.8 ± 5.6	0.698
SFA, %TE	13.8 ± 4.3	12.4 ± 1.8	0.306
MUFA, %TE	12.6 ± 3.2	12.6 ± 2.9	0.942
n-6 PUFA, %TE	5.1 ± 2.0	5.3 ± 1.6	0.612
n-3 PUFA, %TE	0.89 ± 0.30	0.89 ± 0.24	0.959
Trans fat, %TE	0.87 ± 0.33	0.92 ± 0.44	0.715
Dietary cholesterol, mg/d	194 ± 74	264 ± 113	0.049
Protein, %TE	15.5 ± 2.7	16.4 ± 2.9	0.380
Carbohydrate, %TE	45.2 ± 8.3	45.5 ± 6.8	0.783
Dietary fibre (AOAC), g/d	20.7 ± 5.3	23.6 ± 6.2	0.166

¹Data represent the mean of the fasting (baseline) samples collected on the three study visits, except for the dietary intakes that were determined from a single 4-day weighed food diary completed prior to visit 1. Values are mean ± SD.

²Independent t-tests were used to compare the baseline characteristics in the genotype groups.

³QRISK[®]2 estimates the 10 y CVD risk (myocardial infarction or stroke).

⁴n=16 for GG homozygotes.

Abbreviations: %TE: percentage of total energy, AOAC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists, BMI: body mass index, CVD: cardiovascular disease, HDL: high density lipoprotein, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment-insulin resistance, LDL: low density lipoprotein, MUFA: monounsaturated fat, NEFA: non-esterified fatty acids, PUFA: polyunsaturated fat, rQUICKI: revised quantitative insulin sensitivity check index, SFA: saturated fat.

Table 2 Vascular function outcomes and circulating CVD risk markers measured in the fasting state and after sequential meals of varying fat composition in Glu298 homozygotes and Asp298 carriers

	Glu298 homozygotes			Asp298 carriers			P values ¹		
	SFA	MUFA	n-6 PUFA	SFA	MUFA	n-6 PUFA	Test fat	Test fat x Genotype	Genotype
Vascular function									
%FMD response									
Fasting, %	4.91±0.60.	6.24±1.01	4.81±0.71	4.59±0.70	3.66±0.5	4.66±0.55	0.908	0.055	0.074
AUC, % x min	2246±149 ^b	2783±217 ^a	2252±182 ^b	1801±172	1813±178	1973±208	0.087	0.019	0.021
IAUC, % x min	186±171	161±328	231±238	-126±235	276±160	16±119	0.658	0.556	0.913
LDI-Ach ²									
Fasting, AU	1580±186	1880±268	1890±284	1690±145	1690±131	1710±171	0.427	0.479	0.356
AUC ³ , AU x min x 10 ³	72.1±63.8	86.2±114.8	82.1±84.1	75.1±53.9	68.9±45.7	73.1±39.5	0.688	0.130	0.091
IAUC ³ , AU x min x 10 ³	9.2±46.1	17.8±80.6	-29.5±64.1	-7.5±37.5	-70.1±54.0	-39.8±69.2	0.664	0.578	0.692
LDI-SNP ²									
Fasting ³ , AU	1600±188	1710±154	1860±309	1710±193	1960±201	1440±135	0.144	0.185	0.874
AUC ³ , AU x min x 10 ³	685±52	798±94	776±100	810±73	829±86	661±37	0.270	0.243	0.962
IAUC, AU x min x 10 ³	-34.4±55.9	28.4±64.3	-60.5±79.0	42.1±75.6	-53.7±64.7	15.3±63.5	0.880	0.233	0.895
DVP-RI									

Fasting, %	61.2±1.4	60.8±1.9	61.8±2.2	62.8±2.8	60.5±2.9	63.8±2.9	0.572	0.841	0.839
AUC, % x min x 10 ³	25.6±0.7	25.6±0.8	26.9±1.0	26.4±1.3	24.7±0.9	25.5±1.1	0.270	0.243	0.564
IAUC, % x min x 10 ³	-2.0±0.5	-1.8±0.7	-1.0±0.6	-1.9±1.2	-2.5±1.0	-3.2±0.8	0.962	0.355	0.190
DVP-SI									
Fasting ³ , m/s	6.7±0.2	6.9±0.3	6.9±0.3	7.2±0.5	7.8±0.4	7.3±0.3	0.470	0.591	0.244
AUC ³ , m/s x min	3150±95	3220±123	3350±143	3300±177	3080±80	3200±160	0.812	0.169	0.915
IAUC, m/s x min	115±99	128±90	233±134	60±129	-404±121	-71±111	0.041	0.063	0.017
SBP									
Fasting ³ , mm Hg	134.9±4.1	136.2±3.9	134.3±4.5	133.2±4.4	138.2±3.7	138.5±4.4	0.176	0.232	0.973
AUC ³ , mm Hg x min x 10 ³	56.6±1.5	55.8±1.4	56.2±1.6	57.4±2.0	58.1±1.7	58.9±1.9	0.579	0.221	0.930
IAUC, mm Hg x min x 10 ³	-4.1±0.7	-5.5±0.7	-4.2±0.8	-2.6±0.8	-4.1±0.9	-3.4±0.7	0.053	0.803	0.777
DBP									
Fasting, mm Hg	76.2±2.0	77.2±1.8	76.2±2.1	77.1±2.1	79.4±2.1	79.5±2.1	0.151	0.394	0.744
AUC, mm Hg x min x 10 ³	32.8±0.8	32.4±0.8	32.3±0.9	33.3±1.0	33.5±0.9	34.3±1.0	0.557	0.111	0.877
IAUC, mm Hg x min x 10 ³	-1.5±0.4	-2.3±0.3	-1.9±0.3	-1.4±0.4	-2.2±0.5	-1.5±0.5	0.058	0.843	0.556
Plasma nitrite									
Fasting, µmol/L	0.12±0.01	0.12±0.01	0.12±0.01	0.14±0.01	0.13±0.01	0.13±0.01	0.229	0.320	0.493
AUC, µmol/L x min	49.8±3.4	50.0±3.5	52.6±3.7	56.1±3.7	56.3±4.5	55.1±3.9	0.773	0.222	0.564
IAUC ⁴ , µmol/L x min	-0.7±0.4	-0.4±0.6	2.2±2.6	-1.8±1.2	1.3±1.3	-0.8±0.8	0.144	0.283	0.097

Plasma nitrate

Fasting ³ , µmol/L	22.3±2.4	20.7±2.5	19.9±3.3	15.5±1.1	16.7±2.5	13.8±1.5	0.117	0.252	0.998
AUC ³ , mmol/L x min	6.9±0.7	6.3±0.7	6.3±0.9	5.3±0.3	5.8±0.7	4.9±0.5	0.381	0.431	0.834
IAUC, mmol/L x min	-2.5±0.4	-2.4±0.5	-2.0±0.5	-1.3±0.2	-1.2±0.3	-0.9±0.2	0.151	0.282	0.592

Plasma cell adhesion molecules

sVCAM

Fasting, ng/mL	639±27	639±32	627±21	627±26	619±25	624±24	0.889	0.872	0.865
AUC, µg/mL x min	267±11	269±12	258±9	265±9	267±10	260±8	0.139	0.901	0.971
IAUC, µg/mL x min	-1.0±5.4	0.7±4.4	-5.2±3.2	2.2±6.5	7.4±6.7	-1.9±3.6	0.345	0.929	0.492

sICAM

Fasting, ng/mL	198±7	199±7	198±7	218±12	209±12	215±8	0.717	0.562	0.255
AUC, µg/mL x min	81.4±2.6	83.6±3.0	64.8±4.5	88.4±4.2	89.4±5.1	73.0±7.1	≤ 0.001	0.910	0.286
IAUC, µg/mL x min	-1.8±1.3	-0.1±1.6	-18.5±4.6	-3.1±1.9	1.7±1.7	-17.5±4.9	≤ 0.001	0.857	0.771

E-selectin

Fasting, ng/mL	28.5±2.2	26.8±2.1	26.9±2.1	29.5±2.8	29.2±2.8	28.7±3.2	0.375	0.723	0.522
AUC, µg/mL x min	11.7±0.8	11.3±0.9	11.5±0.8	10.9±1.5	11.0±1.2	10.1±1.0	0.322	0.312	0.200
IAUC ⁴ , µg/mL x min	-0.30±0.28	0.04±0.21	0.17±0.19	0.01±0.51	0.07±0.24	-0.32±0.16	0.569	0.600	0.165

P-selectin

Fasting, ng/mL	32.9±2.1	31.9±2.3	31.0±2.5	32.7±1.9	31.3±2.4	32.1±2.1	0.277	0.601	0.578
----------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	-------	-------	-------

AUC, $\mu\text{g/mL} \times \text{min}$	13.0 \pm 0.9	13.2 \pm 1.0	13.3 \pm 1.1	13.6 \pm 0.8	13.8 \pm 1.2	13.2 \pm 0.9	0.802	0.532	0.762
IAUC, $\mu\text{g/mL} \times \text{min}$	-0.78 \pm 0.37	-0.18 \pm 0.23	0.32 \pm 0.24	-0.12 \pm 0.29	0.63 \pm 0.42	-0.24 \pm 0.36	0.109	0.082	0.312
Serum biochemical measures									
TAG ³									
Fasting, mmol/L	1.29 \pm 0.11	1.16 \pm 0.05	1.24 \pm 0.11	1.42 \pm 0.14	1.50 \pm 0.12	1.62 \pm 0.19	0.706	0.297	0.153
AUC, mmol/L x min	968 \pm 107	912 \pm 56	966 \pm 114	996 \pm 82	1150 \pm 111	1170 \pm 147	0.465	0.232	0.398
IAUC, mmol/L x min	350 \pm 65	353 \pm 47	369 \pm 80	314 \pm 34	423 \pm 72	386 \pm 70	0.154	0.744	0.744
MaxC, mmol/L	2.91 \pm 0.33	2.89 \pm 0.21	3.04 \pm 0.32	2.83 \pm 0.24	3.44 \pm 0.35	3.36 \pm 0.43	0.114	0.310	0.746
Glucose									
Fasting ³ , mmol/L	5.07 \pm 0.13	4.94 \pm 0.09	4.99 \pm 0.07	5.33 \pm 0.19	5.42 \pm 0.17	5.33 \pm 0.17	0.904	0.212	0.186
AUC, mmol/L x min	2890 \pm 78	2860 \pm 108	2970 \pm 93	3030 \pm 102	3130 \pm 145	2990 \pm 140	0.732	0.038	0.557
IAUC, mmol/L x min	454 \pm 82	491 \pm 93	576 \pm 96	472 \pm 68	529 \pm 90	429 \pm 78	0.656	0.186	0.783
MaxC, mmol/L	8.79 \pm 0.32	8.77 \pm 0.44	9.18 \pm 0.33	9.00 \pm 0.57	9.53 \pm 0.66	9.07 \pm 0.54	0.535	0.230	0.681
NEFA									
Fasting ³ , $\mu\text{mol/L}$	545 \pm 28	636 \pm 41	585 \pm 25	649 \pm 58	606 \pm 64	595 \pm 64	0.652	0.134	0.943
AUC ₁₂₀₋₄₈₀ ³ , mmol/L x min	128 \pm 10	132 \pm 11	131 \pm 8	145 \pm 11	126 \pm 13	125 \pm 11	0.289	0.032	0.741
IAUC ₁₂₀₋₄₈₀ , mmol/L x min	-68 \pm 11	-97 \pm 13	-79 \pm 12	-88 \pm 17	-92 \pm 14	-90 \pm 17	0.251	0.598	0.663
MaxC, $\mu\text{mol/L}$	725 \pm 46	724 \pm 40	706 \pm 44	784 \pm 62	695 \pm 67	689 \pm 63	0.181	0.340	0.710
Apo B									

Fasting, mg/L	978±34	953±49	938±40	1020±51	1050±65	1060±65	0.996	0.174	0.174
AUC, mg/mL x min	469±15	456±21	451±18	492±26	509±29	510±31	0.958	0.112	0.136
IAUC, mg/mL x min	-0.8±5.4	-1.1±5.5	0.5±6.4	0.4±5.9	4.1±4.6	-0.1±3.8	0.923	0.805	0.626
MaxC, mg/L	1040±34	999±44	999±42	1090±66	1130±66	1140±69	0.994	0.085	0.104
Insulin									
Fasting ³ , pmol/L	43.2±13.8	32.5±3.3	27.9±3.1	41.6±8.0	44.7±7.5	44.7±9.9	0.515	0.474	0.738
AUC ³ , nmol/L x min	96±10	85±9	91±7	109±11 ^a	123±13 ^b	107±11 ^a	0.726	0.001	0.093
IAUC, nmol/L x min	75±11	69±8	78±7	89±8 ^a	102±10 ^a	85±8 ^b	0.575	0.005	0.116
MaxC ³ , pmol/L	418±44	389±40	421±45	503±70 ^a	604±55 ^a	449±49 ^b	0.144	0.005	0.094

Values are unadjusted means ± SEM. Total n=26-32, with n=13-17 Glu298 homozygotes and n=12-15 Asp298 carriers per outcome.

Time intervals for AUC and IAUC: 420 min for FMD, nitrite, nitrate and plasma cell adhesion molecules; 450 min for DBP, SBP, DVP and LDI; 480 min for serum biochemical markers, except 120-480 min for NEFA.

¹Fasting and postprandial data were analysed using linear mixed model analyses, with genotype, meal and BMI as fixed factors and subject code included as a random effect. The interaction term was added to assess the test fat x eNOS genotype interaction. Labeled means in a row without a common letter differ, $P < 0.05$.

²LDI-Ach and LDI-SNP were expressed as AUC for the 20-scan protocol. IAUC was also determined for the 20-scan protocol but differences between test fats for subsequent AUC and IAUC were not significant (data not shown).

³Log10 transformed prior to analysis.

⁴Sine transformed prior to analysis.

Abbreviations: Ach: acetylcholine, apoB; apolipoprotein B, AU: arbitrary units, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, DVP: digital volume pulse, FMD: flow-mediated dilatation, IAUC: incremental AUC, LDI: laser Doppler imaging, MUFA: monounsaturated fat, NEFA; non-esterified fatty acids, PUFA: polyunsaturated fat, SBP: systolic blood pressure, SFA: saturated fat, sICAM-1: soluble intercellular cell adhesion molecule-1, SNP: sodium nitroprusside, sVCAM-1: soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, TAG; triacylglycerol

