

Interacting effects of land use type, soil microbes and plant traits on aggregate stability

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Merino-Martín, L., Stokes, A., Gweon, H. S. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6218-6301, Moragues-Saitua, L., Staunton, S., Plassard, C., Oliver, A., Le Bissonnais, Y. and Griffiths, R. I. (2021) Interacting effects of land use type, soil microbes and plant traits on aggregate stability. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 154. 108072. ISSN 0038-0717 doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108072 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/94779/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108072

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

1 Interacting effects of land use type, soil microbes and plant traits on aggregate stability

2

3 Author list / address list:

- 4 Luis Merino-Martín^{1, 2, 3}, Alexia Stokes¹, Hyun S. Gweon², Lur Moragues-Saitua⁴, Siobhan Staunton⁵,
- 5 Claude Plassard⁵, Anna Oliver², Yves Le Bissonnais⁶, Robert I. Griffiths².
- 6 ¹ Amap, University Montpellier, INRAE, Cirad, Cnrs, Ird, Montpellier, France.
- ⁷ ² Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom.
- 8 ³ CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Univ Paul Valéry Montpellier 3, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France.
- 9 ⁴ Department of Conservation of Natural Resources, NEIKER-Tecnalia, Derio, Spain.
- 10 ⁵ Eco&Sols, INRAE, CIRAD, IRD, Institut Agro, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France.
- ⁶ LISAH, INRAE, IRD, Institut Agro, University Montpellier, Montpellier, France.
- 12 *Corresponding author. *Tel.*: +33(0)789288168. E-mail address: *luismerinomartin@gmail.com* (L.
- 13 *Merino-Martín*).
- 14
- 15 For submission to Soil Biology and Biochemistry.
- 16 Article type: Full paper.
- 17 Word count:

Total word count (excluding	10329	No. of figures:	8
summary, highlights, references			
and legends):			
Summary:	427	No. of Tables:	6
Introduction:	1396	No of Supporting	6 tables
		Information files:	5 figures
			1 research note
Materials and methods:	4497		
Results:	2013		
Discussion:	1989		
Conclusions:	325		
Acknowledgements:	96		

18

20 Summary

21 Soil aggregates are critical to soil functionality, but there remain many uncertainties with respect to 22 the role of biotic factors in forming aggregates. Understanding the interacting effects of soil, land use type, vegetation and microbial communities is a major challenge that needs assessment in both field 23 24 and controlled laboratory conditions, as well as in bulk and rhizosphere soils. To address these effects and their feedbacks, we first examined the influence of soil, root and litter characteristics along a land 25 use gradient (ancient woodland, secondary woodland, grassland, pasture and arable land) on microbial 26 community structure (in both bulk and rhizosphere soil), as well as on aggregate stability. Then, we 27 performed an inoculation experiment where we extracted soil columns from the arable and secondary 28 29 woodland and used a third unstructured loamy soil as a control. We sterilized these three soils to 30 remove microbial communities, and then either inoculated the tops of sterilized soil columns with soil 31 from the secondary woodland or the arable field sites. Control columns of all soil types were not 32 inoculated. In a fully-crossed design, we planted two species possessing distinct root system 33 morphological traits: Brachypodium sylvaticum (fibrous system with many thin and fine roots) and 34 Urtica dioica (taproot system with few fine roots). After four months, microbial communities (in bulk 35 and rhizospheric soil) and aggregate stability were measured, along with root traits. In both the field 36 and laboratory experiments, bacterial (16S) and fungal (ITS) biodiversity was determined using high 37 throughput sequencing. In the field study we found that: i) there were strong relationships between 38 aggregate stability and microbial community composition that were driven by land use, ii) the 39 relationship between aggregate stability along the land use gradient and the trophic nature of bacterial communities was not significant, but that certain soil, root and litter parameters shaped bacterial 40 41 phyla, with oligotrophic bacteria conditioned by the rhizosphere niche, and copiotrophic phyla more dependent on bulk soil conditions, iii) land use gradient (from woodland to arable), reduced the 42 relative abundance of saprotrophic and ectomycorrhizal fungi with an increase in the relative 43 abundance of Ascomycota and a reduction in the relative abundance of Basidiomycota. In the 44 laboratory experiment we found that: i) the inoculation of sterilized soils with soils from the field 45 significantly increased aggregate stability in control soil that was initially poorly structured, ii) the 46 47 effects of inoculation on aggregate stability were similar when either secondary woodland or arable

- soils were used as inoculums and iii) these effects were affected significantly by root length density.
 Our results show that microbial communities influence soil structure and that bacterial communities
 are intimately associated to rhizospheric conditions and root traits (of which root length density was
 the most pertinent).
- 52 Key words: bacteria, fungi, glomalin, ergosterol, ITS, root traits, root systems, soil structure, 16S.

53 1. Introduction

54 Soil structure is an essential factor driving the success of many ecosystem services worldwide 55 (Adhikari and Hartemink, 2016), but most soils have been severely degraded in recent decades, due largely to anthropogenic pressures (Jie et al., 2002; Peng et al., 2015). Therefore, the capacity of soil 56 57 to retain water, maintain biodiversity, sustain agriculture and resist flooding, erosion and landslides is compromised, unless we can find mechanisms by which soil structure can be restored rapidly. Soil is 58 59 composed of a solid phase of particles and a pore phase that provides pathways for the transport of 60 water, nutrients and gases, as well as habitats for microorganisms and fauna. The spatial configuration of soil particles and pores is arranged in aggregates and their stability is an important aspect of soil 61 structure. Apart from soil texture (Bissonnais and Arrouays, 1997), diverse biotic and abiotic factors 62 63 drive the rate of aggregation and its stability, e.g. microorganisms (Tisdall, 1994; Chenu and Sotzky, 64 2002; Lehmann et al., 2017), fauna (Lee and Foster, 1991; Ayuke et al., 2011), litter and root traits 65 (Baumert et al., 2018; Poirier et al., 2018), environmental variables (e.g., tillage, freeze-thaw, wetting and drying cycles) (Lavee et al., 1996; Six et al., 1998; Gispert et al., 2013b) and inorganic binding 66 67 agents (e.g., clay minerals, oxides and polyvalent cations such as calcium) (Denef and Six, 2005). Although many studies have focused on how individual factors modify soil aggregation and stability, 68 69 very few have considered combinations of driving factors and their effects (Six et al., 2004; Baumert 70 et al., 2018), particularly complex biotic factors. However, a better understanding of these interactions 71 will improve soil restoration and the production of ecosystem services (Lavelle et al., 2020).

The importance of biotic factors for soil aggregation, and in particular soil microorganisms, was first
suggested by Tisdall (1994) and has since been recognized worldwide (e.g. Degens, 1997; Chotte,

74 2005; Gupta and Germida, 2015). In a global meta-analysis, Lehmann et al. (2017) found a positive

r5 effect of soil biota on aggregation, with bacteria and fungi being generally more important for

aggregation than other groups. Good soil structure is usually associated with high microbial biomass

77 (Degens, 1997) and activity (Cui and Holden, 2015), mainly through the chemical binding action of

78 microbial compounds such as bacterial extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) or soil proteins, or

through the physical enmeshment of soil particles by fungal hyphae (Oades and Waters, 1991; Rillig

80 and Mummey, 2006). Rillig et al. (2002a,b) attributed the positive effect of plant roots on aggregation 81 to the excretion of a protein by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) called glomalin. This protein is 82 operationally defined by its extraction method and belongs to a group of proteins after called glomalin-related soil proteins (GRSPs) that are no longer believed to be solely of fungal origin. 83 84 Ergosterol is the most abundant sterol in fungal cell membranes and since fungal hyphae enmeshment is a major factor for the formation of macroaggregates, it should be related to aggregate stability. Yet, 85 the feedback mechanisms between aggregate formation and stability and the influence of microbial 86 87 communities are not fully understood and identifying the relationships between changes in microbial community structure and GRSP and ergosterol are key for understanding soil aggregation processes. 88

89 Root system morphology can influence soil aggregation through changes in the distribution of carbon 90 inputs into soil (Carter et al., 1994; Degens, 1997). Fine roots have direct effects on macroaggregate 91 stability through the production of mucilage and exudates and indirect effects through the promotion 92 of hyphal growth and the release of root-derived particulate organic matter (POM) that stimulate 93 microbial activity (Morel et al., 1991; Miller and Jastrow, 1992; Brax et al., 2020). Consequently, 94 plants with fibrous root systems, that have numerous, evenly distributed thin and fine roots, may have 95 more available mucilage/exudates, as well as more easily degradable POM, than plants with taproot systems. Taproot systems generally comprise one large central root and less thin or fine roots (Miller 96 97 and Jastrow, 1992; Degens, 1997), thus the potential input of total carbon into soil is reduced. We ask therefore, if root system morphology, and individual root traits such as diameter, length and carbon 98 99 and nitrogen content (Saleem et al., 2018), influence the composition of microbial communities and 100 so can modify macroaggregate formation and stability.

Soil aggregates are important for microbial community ecology, evolution and for microbially mediated cycles (Goebel et al., 2009; Rillig et al., 2016; Rillig et al., 2017). The heterogeneity of pores and water films on soil particles leads to a diversity of microhabitats and gradients of abiotic traits (e.g. nutrients and pH) at microscales (Or et al., 2007). Soil carbon type and availability provides strong selective pressures for the different lifestyle strategies among copiotrophs (common in carbon-rich environments) and oligotrophs (present in habitats of low carbon flux) (Fierer et al.,

107 2007; Eilers et al., 2010; Trivedi et al., 2017). Trivedi et al. (2017) showed that aggregate size 108 modulated the effects of management practices on soil carbon, with oligotrophs (e.g. Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi and Verrucomicrobia) inhabiting microaggregates containing higher proportions of 109 recalcitrant carbon and copiotrophs (e.g. α and β Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria) 110 111 living in macroaggregates with more labile carbon. Hence, soils with high macroaggregate stability should be dominated by copiotrophs. However, aggregate size is not the only factor influencing the 112 abundance of copiotrophs and oligotrophs. Lauber et al. (2009) and Carbonetto et al. (2014) showed 113 that agro-systems had a higher abundance of copiotrophs than in forests, probably because of greater 114 nitrogen fertilization stimulating copiotrophic communities (Fierer et al., 2012). Complex interactions 115 in soil can influence the abundance of different lifestyle strategies, e.g., rhizosphere communities are 116 117 enriched with rhizodeposits (Dennis et al., 2010) and as a consequence, increase copiotroph 118 abundance compared to bulk soil (Peiffer et al., 2013; Lladó and Baldrian, 2017). However, studies on 119 how root and litter traits affect the abundance of these trophic modes in the different soil niches are 120 notably lacking (Saleem et al., 2018), even though these scaled down studies are still needed to 121 decipher the rules of rhizosphere community assembly (Brunel et al., 2020). The disturbance of soils 122 through management also decreases soil fungal diversity, increasing the prevalence of generalist taxa, 123 reducing the relative abundances of saprotrophic and ectomycorrhizal fungi and increasing the 124 abundance of pathogenic fungi (Mueller et al., 2016; Marín et al., 2017). Managed land use types also possess more Ascomycota and less Basidiomycota compared to undisturbed natural ecosystems (de 125 126 Castro et al., 2008; Mueller et al., 2016). Here, we ask if differences in the abovementioned bacterial and fungal trophic modes are due mainly to differences in aggregate formation, soil chemical 127 128 characteristics or plant traits, along a land use gradient.

Since the complex feedbacks between aggregate formation and microbial communities are not fully understood, studies that integrate field observation with experimental testing are needed. Here, we performed two studies to determine the combined effects of soil type, root system morphological traits and microbial communities on soil aggregation and stability. We first surveyed these responses in the field across a gradient of different land use types (grassland, pasture, arable land, secondary woodland

134 and ancient woodland) and hypothesized (hypothesis 1) that there are strong relationships between soil aggregate stability and microbial community structure that are driven by land use. Additionally, 135 we hypothesize (hypothesis 2) that soils of higher aggregate stability will have characteristic 136 communities comprising increased bacterial copiotrophs and increased relative abundance of 137 138 saprotrophic and ectomycorrhizal fungi related to a decrease in the relative abundance of Ascomycota and an increase of Basidiomycota. However, this relationship may be influenced by other edaphic 139 140 factors (e.g. soil nitrogen content) and root and litter traits, or soil habitat (e.g., with the rhizosphere 141 niche favouring copiotrophic bacterial communities).

Then, to experimentally test interactive effects of plants and microbes on aggregate stability, we 142 performed a controlled experiment where we sterilized soil samples from arable land and secondary 143 144 woodland as well as a control soil; and inoculated into sterilized soil in which was grown two species of herbaceous plants with different root system morphologies. We hypothesize (hypothesis 3) that 145 146 very fibrous root systems will increase aggregate stability, because more labile carbon will be available for microbial communities from fine root exudates and mucilage. We further hypothesize 147 148 (hypothesis 4) that the effect of inoculation on aggregate stability will be greater with the inoculum coming from the soil with highest aggregate stability (secondary woodland), and this is related to 149 150 source microbial community characteristics (measured using sequencing, GRSP, fungal biomass 151 ergosterol).

152 **2. Material and methods**

153 **2.1. Field sampling**

The study site is located in Wytham, Oxfordshire, southern England (1° 20 ' W, 51° 47 ' N), where three land uses (grassland, pasture and arable) and two forests (ancient woodland and secondary woodland) were selected (Fig. 1). The forests are located in a 410 ha area of mixed woodlands and grassland, on a hill owned by the University of Oxford since 1943. These forests have been studied for several decades (Watts, 1969; Mihók *et al.*, 2009) and the management history of the site is well documented (Gibson, 1986). In this area, we selected two forests for our study, an ancient woodland 160 and a secondary woodland. The ancient woodland was traditionally managed by coppicing, but this 161 management stopped between 40 and 100 years ago (differing locations were abandoned at different times). Acer pseudoplatanus L. and Ouercus robur L. are abundant and Corvlus avellana L. was the 162 main coppice species. The dominant tree species of the naturally regenerated secondary woodland are 163 164 Fraxinus excelsior L. and Acer pseudoplatanus L. (Savill et al., 2011). The ground flora of these woodlands is characterised by dominant *Mercurialis perennis* (dog's mercury) and changeable 165 combinations of Endymion non-scripta (bluebell), Circaea lutetiana, Geum urbanum, Arum 166 maculatum and Viola riviniana/reichenbachiana. Rubus fruticosa and bryophytes are common 167 features of the field layer, in addition to the sedge Carex sylvatica and the grasses Poa trivialis and 168 Brachypodium sylvatica (Butt et al., 2009). The three other land uses that were selected for this study 169 170 are in an adjacent farmland. The arable site was cultivated with winter oats (Avena sativa L.) with no 171 fertilization in 2014 (the sampling year) and with spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), fertilized at a rate 164 kg N ha⁻¹ and 7 t ha⁻¹ of pig manure in 2013. In this arable site, soil was ploughed prior to our 172 sampling. The other two sites, grassland and pasture, have been permanent pastures since 1992, with 173 174 the only difference that the grassland had not been actively grazed the year preceding the study (2013-175 2014), increasing drastically the aboveground biomass of vegetation. Soils in the area span a 176 geological sequence from Oxford Clay to Upper Corallian sand and silt to Upper Corallian limestone 177 and Coral Rag developing soils with characteristic textures (Savill et al., 2011). All sites selected for this study are located on the Oxford Clay formation with Denchworth series soil (Eutric Vertic 178 179 Stagnosols sensu USS Working Group WRB (2014)).

We collected soil samples to examine relationships in soil properties and microbial communities between sites and to provide background data for the inoculation experiment (see below). In each land use type, sampling was performed in three plots that were randomly located and samples were collected at a depth of 0-0.1 m except for aggregate stability samples and soil physicochemical properties that were collected at two depths (0-10 cm and 20-30 cm). Depth can influence aggregate stability as it affects soil properties that are related to soil aggregate stability such as total organic carbon, total nitrogen, freeze–thaw cycles, etc. Four different soil samples were collected at each

depth using sterilised material: (i) one soil cylinder (1.01 dm³) for fine root analysis, (ii) 10 to 20 g of 187 soil for microbial analysis placed in a plastic bag, (iii) soil for measurements of aggregate stability (at 188 depths of 0-0.1 m and 0.2-0.3 m) placed in 0.75 dm³ containers and (iv) two soil samples for soil 189 physicochemical analyses (at depths of 0-0.1 m and 0.2-0.3 m). Litter from the surface of each soil 190 191 core was sampled and kept in plastic bags for further analysis. A total of 15 samples of each type were collected: five land uses x three samples at each land use (except for aggregate stability and soil 192 193 physicochemical properties where a total of 30 samples were collected, since six samples were 194 collected at each plot; three at 0-0.1 m and three at 0.2-0.3 m). Soil samples were collected during July 7th - 10th, 2014, at the peak of the summer season when microbial activity was expected to be at 195 its maximum (Sarathchandra et al., 1988; Bardgett et al., 1997). Soil physicochemical properties of 196 197 the five sites are given in Table 1.

Samples for root, litter and microbial analysis were stored at -20°C prior to analysis. Soil samples for
aggregate stability tests were air-dried at ambient temperature and analysed within one month.

200 2.2. Laboratory inoculation experiment setup and sampling

Intact soil cores with a diameter of 110 mm and a length of 150 mm (1.42 dm³) were collected from 201 two field sites, arable land and secondary woodland in close proximity (300 m) for the laboratory 202 203 inoculation experiment (Fig. 2). These two land uses were selected based on their large differences in soil aggregate stability and the minimal differences in soil textural properties (Table 1, Fig. 3). Thirty-204 three intact soil cores in the arable land site were collected on January 7th, 2015 and another set of 33 205 soil cores were collected in Wytham woods on January 8th, 2015 (Figs. S1 and S2a). Thirty-three pots 206 were also filled with a commercial loamy soil (Boughton Kettering Loam, Boughton Loam Ltd, U.K.) 207 to be used as a control treatment because this loamy soil lacks structural stability. Before and after 208 sterilization, three samples from each provenance were collected for the measurement of 209 210 physicochemical properties (Table S1).

After the field collection, the upper 30 mm of each soil core were removed to allow subsequent

inoculation with 15 mm of non sterile soil from the arable and woodland soils (0.14 dm³, 10% of total

213 volume) and 15 mm free for water ponding from irrigation (Figs. S2b and c). Thirty soil cores of each treatment (arable, woodland and control) were sterilized by gamma irradiation at Elgin Synergy 214 Health PLC (Swindon, U.K.) with a dose between 50.5 and 52.1 kGy on January 29th, 2015. Three 215 cores of each soil were used to assess aggregate stability after gamma radiation and compared with 216 217 the three non sterilized cores to verify that there was no effect of gamma irradiation on aggregate stability. Similarly, one soil sample in each of these three cores was collected to assess changes in 218 219 microbial communities before and after the gamma radiation (three samples assessed before and three 220 samples after).

After irradiation, the upper 15 mm of 18 soil cores was inoculated with fresh soil collected on
 February 9th, 2015 from the arable and woodland fields (nine soil cores from each provenance) and
 another set of nine soil cores were not inoculated (controls).

224 In three replicates of each combination, seeds from two species with either tap/adventitious (Urtica dioica) or fibrous (Brachypodium sylvaticum) root systems (sensu Fitter and Peat, 1994) were sown 225 226 and a third treatment with no plants was used as a control (see Fig. 2 and Fig. S2 for a detailed 227 illustration of procedure). Seeds of the two selected species, abundant in the Wytham area with contrasting root systems (Savill et al., 2011), were obtained from two different suppliers: Emorsgate 228 Seeds, U.K. (B. sylvaticum) and Naturescape British Wildflowers, U.K. (U. dioica). Seeds were 229 230 sterilized by placing them in a mesh bag and left for 30 min in a 2% sodium hypochlorite solution. They were removed from the bag and rinsed three times (for 5 min each) in sterilized deionised water 231 (Commander et al., 2009). Then, seeds were germinated for three weeks in sterilized, deionised water 232 before sowing. Ten seeds of each species were sown in each pot. Plants were grown in a temperature 233 and light controlled facility for 4 months (February 12th to June 15th, 2018, Fig. S2d). Pots were 234 regularly weeded by hand for the first six weeks, but after that, the species that were sown 235 commenced growth and weeding was ceased to avoid disturbance, although some seedlings emerged 236 237 (particularly in treatments inoculated with arable soil).

The first three irrigation events were performed with autoclaved deionised water, but afterwards, pots
were watered with tap water using an automatic station (to promote downward movement of
inoculum). To identify microbial communities existing in tap water and subtract them from the later
microbial analysis on soils, 5 l of tap water were collected at the beginning and the end of the
experiment. This water was filtered, and DNA was extracted.

243 2.3. Sample analysis

244 2.3.1. Soil respiration, moisture and physicochemical properties

245 **2.3.1.1.** Field study

246 Soil collected in the field study was sieved at 2 mm after air drying and the physicochemical 247 properties of the < 2 mm soil fraction was quantified by Natural Resource Management (Berkshire, 248 UK). Soil pH was measured in water as 1:2.5 extract. Soil organic matter content (SOM) was 249 determined via loss-on-ignition at 500 °C (Dean 1974). Total nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) were determined via the DUMAS method (Shea and Watts 1939). Available potassium (K) and magnesium 250 251 (Mg) were determined through ammonium nitrate extraction and available phosphorus (P) was measured using the Olsen method (extraction with 0.5 M sodium bicarbonate solution, (Olsen et al. 252 253 1954)). Soil texture was determined by laser-diffraction analysis (McCave et al. 1986). Each soil sample was previously digested in hydrogen peroxide solution to destroy the organic matter and 254 255 sodium hexametaphospate to release the bound clay particles.

Aggregate stability was determined by the fast wetting standard method, ISO/CD 10930, developed by Le Bissonnais (Le Bissonnais, 1996). This methodology is appropriate to compare the behaviour of a large range of soils during rapid wetting (mimicking heavy rainstorms in summer). A quantity of 5 g of aggregates (3-5 mm) were gently immersed in 50 ml of deionized water for 10 minutes; water was then removed with a pipette and the soil material was transferred to a 50 μ m sieve previously immersed in ethanol. The 50 μ m sieve immersed in ethanol was gently moved five times to separate fragments smaller and bigger than 50 μ m. The >50 μ m fraction was collected, oven-dried and gently

dry-sieved by hand on a column of six sieves: 2000, 1000, 500, 200, 100 and 50 µm. The mass
percentage of each size fraction was calculated, and the aggregate stability was expressed by
computation of the mean weight diameter (MWD).

266 2.3.1.2. Laboratory inoculation experiment

Soil samples collected from the inoculation experiment were sent to the chemical analysis laboratory
of Forest Research (Surrey, UK). Soil pH was measured in a suspension of 5 g of soil with 25 ml of
water that was shaken on an orbital shaker for 15 min and settled for 45 min prior to pH measurement
using a Sentek pH electrode (Reference method: ISO 10390). Total N, C, soil organic carbon (SOC)
and inorganic carbon (CaCO₃) were measured with a combustion method using a Carlo Erba CN
analyser (Reference method ISO 10694 & 13878). Aggregate stability was measured with the fast
wetting standard method described above.

274 We examined if microbial inoculation (through the addition of surface soil) changed soil texture and aggregate stability in deeper soil. The top 15 mm of soil was removed, and aggregate stability 275 measured in the remaining soil. Texture of the aggregates obtained at the end of the experiment was 276 277 measured by the CIRAD - US Analyses (Montpellier, France). The objective was to test whether the texture of the obtained aggregates was more related to the inoculated core (origin) or to the inoculum 278 (inoculation treatment) and so, if there was any possible influence of inoculation with soil on the 279 results of stability tests. Soil texture of aggregates was determined with an automated pipette method 280 281 (Reference method AFNOR NF X 31-107) using a Texsol granulometer (ISITEC-LAB) on samples pre-treated following the standard method NF ISO 11464 (X31-412). 282

Two additional measurements in soils were made: i) the rate of exchange of CO₂ (assimilation; g
(CO₂) m⁻² h⁻¹) measured with an EGM-4 Environmental Gas Monitor for CO₂ and a SRC-1 Soil
Respiration Chamber (PP Systems, Amesbury, MA, U.S.A.) in June 15th-17th, 2015 and ii) soil water
content measured at two dates (June 8th and 12th, 2015) after irrigation with a WET Sensor (Delta-T
Devices Ltd, Cambridge, UK).

288 2.3.2. Aboveground vegetation, fine roots and litter

In the soil inoculation experiment, all aboveground vegetation biomass was cut at the surface at the end of the experiment and dried at 60°C until constant weight (Fig. S2e). Then, a core (0.295 dm³) was collected in each of the pots for the assessment of root properties (Fig. S2f and Fig. S3).

Root samples from both the field study and the inoculation experiment were washed gently with 292 293 deionised water and divided in two subsamples: 1) a representative subsample that was selected for scanning and later drying in the oven at 40° C (n=3 for each land use in the field experiment and n = 294 27 for each provenance; arable, woodland and control; in the inoculation experiment) and 2) 295 remaining root material of the sample that was dried at 40°C until constant weight (n=3 for each land 296 297 use in the field experiment and n = 27 for each provenance in the inoculation experiment). Both 298 subsamples were weighed before and after drying. Roots selected for morphological measurement were stained with methylene blue (1 gl^{-1}) to increase the contrast and allow the detection of fine roots. 299 300 Then, roots were placed in a tray with deionised water and scanned (Epson[©] V700 Perfection) at a 301 resolution of 1200 dpi. Analysed subsample roots were then recovered, and oven dried at 40 °C and weighed to obtain dry mass. Root images were analysed with the WinRhizo® software (Pro version 302 303 2007, Regent Instrument, Quebec, Canada) using the automatic thresholding option and Lagarde's 304 mode, with a filter identifying roots when length was five times the width. We measured total root 305 length and the length of roots in seven diameter classes (roots with a width of 0 - 1 mm were separated into 0.2 mm classes and roots with a width of 1 - 2 mm were separated into 0.5 mm classes). 306 Debris was removed with a filter that eliminated fragments that were less than five times longer than 307 their width. Specific root length (SRL) was calculated as the ratio between total root length and root 308 dry mass. The percentages of very fine (VFR, diameter < 0.2 mm) and fine (FR, 0.2 < diameter < 1 309 310 mm) roots were defined as the ratio of length in the concerned root classes to total root length (Miller 311 and Jastrow, 1990). Total root mass density (RMD) was calculated by the ratio of total root dry mass and the soil volume extracted. Total root length density (RLD) was calculated by the ratio of total root 312 length and the soil volume extracted. Total root dry mass was calculated as the sum of the dry mass of 313

roots selected for morphological analysis and those of the remaining roots. Root dry matter content(RDMC) was calculated as the ratio of the root dry weight and fresh weight.

The concentrations of water soluble compounds (cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin; mg g⁻¹) in root 316 and litter samples from the field experiment (n = 11 for litter, i.e. 3 replicates x 4 treatments = 12 317 318 excluding 1 sample with insufficient mass; and n = 4 for roots as replicates were combined due to 319 limited sample amount; "arable" was excluded from these measurements), were obtained by the Van Soest Method (Van Soest, 1963) with a Fibersac fibre analyser (Ankom, Macedon, USA). Root C and 320 321 N concentrations were measured in roots from the field study (n=12, i.e. 3 replicates x 4 treatments 322 since a able was excluded from these measurements) and the inoculation experiment (n = 3 for each treatment with roots) and litter C and N were measured in samples from the field experiment (n=15) 323 324 using an elemental analyser (Thermo-Finnigan EA1112, Italy).

325 2.3.3. Soil microbial communities

326 2.3.3.1. Obtaining rhizosphere and bulk soil samples

327 In both the field study and laboratory inoculation experiment, roots were cleaned and rhizosphere and bulk soil samples obtained following the protocols of Bulgarelli et al. (2012, 2015). Briefly, loose soil 328 329 was manually removed from the root system and stored as the bulk soil sample. Roots were collected in 50 ml falcon tubes containing 10 ml PBS-S buffer (130 mM NaCl, 7 mM Na2HPO4, 3mM 330 NaH₂PO₄, pH 7.0, 0.02 % Silwet L-77) and washed for 20 minutes at 180 rpm on a shaking platform. 331 These roots were transferred to a new falcon tube and subjected to a second washing treatment (20 332 minutes at 180 rpm in 3 ml PBS-S buffer). The soil suspensions collected in the falcon tubes after the 333 first and second washing treatments were combined, centrifuged at 4000 g for 20 min and the pellet, 334 considered as the rhizosphere sample, was frozen and stored at -20°C until further analysis. 335

336 2.3.3.2. DNA extraction, amplicon library construction and sequencing

Total DNA was extracted from soil (0.25 g) and the rhizosphere fractions (0.25 g when possible and

the entire material available when quantity was less than 0.25 g). DNA extraction was performed

using PowerSoil®-htp96 Well Soil DNA Isolation Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions
(MOBIO Laboratories, UK).

341 Bacterial and fungal community biodiversity was assessed using Illumina amplicon sequencing of 16S rRNA genes (bacteria) and the Internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (fungi) to 342 343 phylogenetically identify responsive taxa. A phylogenetic analysis was also performed for bacterial communities. Amplicon libraries were constructed according to the dual indexing strategy of Kozich 344 et al. (2013), with each primer consisting of the appropriate Illumina adapter, an 8-nt index sequence, 345 346 a 10-nt pad sequence, a 2-nt linker and the gene specific primer. For 16S, the V3-V4 hypervariable 347 regions of the 16S rRNA gene was targeted using primers based upon the universal primer sequence 341F and 806R. For ITS, region 2 (ITS2) was amplified utilising the fITS7 (forward) and ITS4 348 349 (reverse) primer sequences described in Ihrmark et al. (2012). Additional methodological details of

350 Illumina sequencing are described in Notes S1.

351 2.3.3.3. Processing high-throughput data for community analysis

Sequenced 16S rRNA paired-end reads were joined using PEAR (Zhang et al., 2014), quality filtered 352 353 using FASTX tools (hannonlab.cshl.edu), length filtered with the minimum length of 300 bps, 354 presence of PhiX and adapters were checked and removed with BBTools (jgi.doe.gov/data-andtools/bbtools/), and chimeras were identified and removed with VSEARCH UCHIME REF (Rognes 355 et al., 2016) using Greengenes Release 13 5 (at 97%) (DeSantis et al., 2006). Singletons were 356 357 removed and the resulting sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with 358 VSEARCH CLUSTER (Rognes et al., 2016) at 97% sequence identity (Tindall et al., 2010). 359 Representative sequences for each OTU were taxonomically assigned by RDP Classifier with the bootstrap threshold of 0.8 or greater (Wang et al., 2007) using the Greengenes Release 13 5 (full) 360 361 (DeSantis et al., 2006) as the reference. Unless stated otherwise, default parameters were used for the 362 steps listed. ITS2 sequences were processed using the PIPITS pipeline (Gweon et al., 2015), where 363 OTUs were taxonomically assigned against the UNITE database (Release 31.01.2016, Koljalg et al. 364 (2013).

365 **2.3.4.** Glomalin-Related Soil Proteins (GRSP).

366 Hurisso et al. (2018) recently proposed the term autoclaved-citrate extractable protein (ACE), to avoid the implied assumption to the fungal origin of these proteins, but here we use the term Glomalin-367 Related Soil Proteins (GRSP) for ease of comparison with other studies. In the inoculation 368 369 experiment, soil samples from the middle of each soil core were collected after the incubation period 370 for the assessment of GRSP, thought to be a marker of arbuscular mycorrhizal activity. Soil samples were stored at -20 °C until further processing. Operationally-defined GRSP fractions were obtained 371 372 using the extraction methods proposed by Wright and Upadhyaya (1996) as follows. Easily 373 extractable soil protein (GRSP_{EE}) was obtained by autoclaving soil in a solution of 20 mM sodium citrate at pH 7 for 30 min. Total GRSP (GRSP_T) was obtained by two successive autoclave extractions 374 of soil in 50 mM sodium citrate at pH 8, each for 60 min. In each case, the soil:solution ratio was 1:8 375 and phases were separated after cooling by centrifugation at 15000 g for 15 min. For GRSP_T, after the 376 377 first autoclave cycle, solution was removed and replaced by the same volume of new addition of 378 citrate solution, the mixture was vortexed to re-suspend the soil. The solutions were combined to form 379 the total extract. Solutions were frozen until required for analysis. After thawing, samples were 380 centrifuged again at 15000 g for 15 minutes to remove any precipitate that may have formed. Protein in both extracts were assayed using the Bradford technique with Bradford QuickStart kits from 381 382 BioRad Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA), calibrated against solutions of bovine serum albumin (BSA) within the working range of 0-200 mg dm⁻³. Following the recommendations proposed by 383 Moragues-Saitua and co-workers (Moragues-Saitua et al., 2019), samples were diluted twofold 384 385 (absorbance of about 0.1 at 465 nm), to reduce the interference of co-extracted coloured compounds. A sample volume of 20 µl were assayed in microplates with 230 µl Bradford dye reagent. Optical 386 density was measured at 595 nm in ThermoScientific Multiskan GO spectrometer (Waltham, MA, 387 USA) and sample blank colour absorbance at pH=1 corrected. 388

389 **2.3.5.** Ergosterol

390 Ergosterol, a proxy for active fungal biomass, was measured in soil samples that were obtained from 391 the middle of each core of the inoculation experiment after the incubation period. Ergosterol was assessed following the method of Plassard et al. (2000). Briefly, ergosterol was extracted by mixing 392 very gently 0.5 g of soil in 3 ml of methanol containing polyclar (0.5%, w/v) (CAS n° 9009-39-8) in 393 394 screw-cap tubes. After firm closure, tubes were incubated at 4°C for 24 h, without shaking as ergosterol is rapidly lost by oxidation. The concentration of ergosterol in filtered (0.45 µm) methanol 395 extracts was determined at 270 nm by high-performance liquid chromatography using a C18 column 396 and eluted with methanol flowing at 1 ml min $^{-1}$. 397

398 2.4. Statistical analysis

399 **2.4.1.** Field study

400 First, we examined the differences on soil, root and litter physicochemical characteristics and aggregate stability among the different land uses. Then, we performed NMDS data ordinations of 401 microbial data and tested of the effect of land use and sample fraction on their structure 402 403 (Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance, PERMANOVA). Finally, we studied the 404 correlation between microbial community composition and environmental variables (Spearman correlations between Shannon diversity indexes and distance-based redundancy analysis, dbRDA). 405 Soil aggregate stability, soil physicochemical properties and root and litter traits were analysed by 406 407 analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test. The

408 land use explanatory variable was treated as a factor. Relative abundance of the different phyla and

trophic modes were analysed by ANOVA and post hoc HSD with land use and sample fraction as

410 explanatory variables. All variables tested fulfilled ANOVA assumptions except for the Saprotroph

trophic mode that was inverse transformed to fulfil ANOVA assumptions. The relationships between

the relative abundance of bacterial phyla and the soil physicochemical variables, root and litter traits

413 were tested using Spearman correlations.

411

414 Similarities/dissimilarities between microbial communities were displayed using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for bacterial and fungal species matrices. 415 To indicate similarities between treatments on the NMDS configuration, the points on the NMDS 416 ordination were overlapped with spider diagrams and convex hull polygons indicating land use and 417 418 soil fraction was indicated with different symbols. Surfaces were added to show soil aggregate stability data on the ordinations. PERMANOVA tests (adonis R function) were performed for the 419 420 bacteria and fungi species matrices as the response and the two different factors (land use and soil 421 fraction) as the explanatory variables.

422 The Shannon diversity index for bacteria and fungi was calculated for each land use and sample fraction (rhizosphere and bulk soil samples). The relationships between the microbial community 423 composition and the soil physicochemical variables, root and litter traits were tested using Spearman 424 correlations between these variables and alpha (Shannon diversity index) and beta diversities (NMDS 425 426 first and second axis) and a db-RDA. In order to select the environmental parameters to be included in the constrained ordination, an initial db-RDA including all parameters was performed followed by a 427 428 stepwise model selection using Generalized Akaike Information Criterion (AIC, ordistep function with a backward direction). Finally, the db-RDA analysis was performed only for the variables 429 obtained. ANOVA tests were performed on the final constrained ordination to confirm that the first 430 431 two axes and the environmental variables and the final constrained ordination were significant.

432 2.4.2. Laboratory inoculation experiment

First, we explored the differences on soil, vegetation and root traits and aggregate stability among the different combinations of treatments: i) origin (control, arable and woodland), ii) inoculation (not inoculated, arable and woodland) and iii) root treatment (no roots, fibrous and tap). Soil physicochemical properties, aboveground vegetation biomass, root traits, aggregate stability and aggregate fractions were analysed by ANOVA when ANOVA assumptions were fulfilled and with Kruskal Wallis tests when they were not fulfilled. Origin, inoculation, and root treatment were used as explanatory variables and were treated as factors. PCA was performed with a selection of variables

440 used for the description of soil, vegetation, and root properties. Variables included in the PCA were: aboveground biomass, CO2 assimilation, soil water content, soil pH, SOC, soil C:N, SRL, VFR, 441 MRD, RMD, RLD, root C:N, GRSP_T, ergosterol and MWD. These variables were selected based on 442 their correlation and ecological significance (i.e. variables that were correlated but their source was 443 444 ecologically different were kept, e.g. soil C:N and root C:N). Apart from the ANOVA performed for MWD with soil origin, inoculation and root treatment as factors, an additional analysis of covariance 445 446 (ANCOVA) model was tested and included RLD to explore separately the effect of root chemical (i.e. 447 species effect) and root physical properties (quantity of roots) within the effect of root treatment.

448 Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) of Bray-Curtis dissimilarity were performed for bacterial and fungal species matrices obtained for bulk soil fraction (since the rhizosphere sample 449 450 fraction was only available for treatments where roots were present). To indicate similarities between 451 treatments on the NMDS configuration, the points on the NMDS ordination were overlapped with 452 convex hull polygons indicating the inoculation treatment, origin was indicated with symbols of 453 different colour and root treatment was indicated with different symbol shape. PERMANOVA tests 454 (adonis R function) were performed for the bacteria and fungi species matrices as the response and the 455 three different treatments (origin, inoculation and root treatment) as the explanatory variables using species matrix of bulk soil and rhizosphere fractions. Additional Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) 456 were performed to test the effects of root treatment, and inoculation in each of the combinations of the 457 experimental design in bulk soil sample fractions. 458

459 The Shannon diversity index for bacteria and fungi was calculated for each pot. In line with the field study, Spearman correlations between soil, vegetation, root traits and aggregate stability variables and 460 461 alpha (Shannon diversity index) and beta diversities (NMDS first and second axis) were calculated. 462 Likewise, a db-RDA was performed on species matrices of bacterial and fungal communities obtained from the bulk soil fraction. Environmental parameters included in the db-RDA were selected by a 463 stepwise model selection process using AIC similar to the process performed for the field study. 464 ANOVA tests were performed on the final constrained ordination to confirm that the first two axes 465 and the environmental variables and the final constrained ordination were significant. 466

Finally, we explored the textural class (proportion of clay, fine silt, coarse silt, fine sand, coarse sand) 467 468 of soil aggregates used for the stability tests, to examine whether there was any possible influence of 469 inoculation on the results of stability tests. We depicted a soil texture triangle to show the texture of 470 the different treatment combinations and overlapped with ellipses discriminating the origin of the 471 sample and symbols of different colours indicating inoculation treatment. Then, we performed a 472 weighted Classical (Metric) Multidimensional Scaling with textural properties to explore the differences among origin and inoculation treatment, the points on this ordination were overlapped 473 474 with convex hull polygons indicating the origin, and inoculation was indicated with symbols of different colour. Finally, we performed an analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) to test the effects of 475 origin and inoculation treatments on the textural properties of aggregates. 476

- 477 All analyses were performed in RStudio Version 3.6.0 (R Core Team, 2019) using the vegan
- 478 (Oksanen et al., 2019) and ade4 (Dray and Dufour, 2007) packages.

479 **3. Results**

480 3.1. Field study: Relationships between soil aggregate stability and microbial communities 481 among land use types

482 3.1.1. Relationships between land use and soil, litter and root properties

483 Soil physicochemical properties from samples taken at depths of 0-0.1 m and 0.2-0.3 m, differed between land uses (Table 1). The arable field site had a higher pH and nutrient contents (P, K) but 484 lower Mg, SOC, N and C than the other sites. The pasture site had the highest SOC, N and C contents. 485 486 All soils had a loamy texture, but ancient and secondary woodlands and arable field sites possessed a 487 clay loam texture and both the grassland and pasture had a sandy loam texture. Roots had similar traits between ancient and secondary woodlands (Table S2), with lower SRL (19.68±4.06 and 488 13.16±1.16 m g⁻¹ respectively), lower MRD (0.44±0.01 and 0.56±0.02 mm respectively), lower RLD 489 (5.69±1.26 and 5.12±0.90 km m⁻³), higher N content (1.18±0.06 and 1.48±0.01 mg g⁻¹ respectively) 490 491 and lower C:N (35.04±2.57 and 27.72±0.69 respectively) than the other land use types. Litter traits had similar trends to that observed in roots: litter from ancient and secondary woodlands had higher 492 hemicellulose (17.02 \pm 1.01 and 19.88 \pm 0.20 mg g⁻¹ respectively) and N content (1.37 \pm 0.07 and 493 1.43 ± 0.02 mg g⁻¹ respectively) and lower C:N (26.62±1.35 and 26.21±1.08 respectively), compared to 494 the other land use types. Soil aggregate stability was significantly different among land uses (Fig. 3), 495 496 with pasture having the greatest MWD and arable land the lowest, with the other three land uses possessing an intermediate behaviour. A clear effect of soil depth was also identified (Fig. 3), 497 highlighting the role of processes at the surface that promote aggregate stability and justifying the 498 499 subsequent focus on soil sampled at a depth of 0-0.1 m.

500 3.1.2. Effects of land use on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities

Land use type affected the relative abundance of Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Fig. 4a) with the arable land having a significantly higher relative abundance of Actinobacteria and lower relative abundance of Proteobacteria and Verrucomicrobia compared to other land uses. In addition, a significant interaction was found between land use and sample fraction for Acidobacteria, with a decreased relative abundance in woodland rhizosphere soils but not in woodland bulk soil

506 samples. Sample fraction also significantly affected the relative abundance of Bacteriodetes and 507 Verrucomicrobia (Fig. 4a), which were more abundant in bulk soils for Bacteroidetes and the opposite for Verrucomicrobia, with only a significant effect of land use type in the rhizosphere for 508 509 Verrucomicrobia. Correlations between relative abundance of bacterial phyla and soil, root and litter 510 variables showed that oligotrophic Chloroflexi and Verrucomicrobia were significantly correlated to root and litter physicochemical variables (i.e. SRL, VFR, MRD, RDMC, root and litter N and C:N, 511 litter lignin) in the rhizosphere but not in the bulk soil (Table 2). Conversely, copiotrophic phyla 512 (Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria) showed similar correlations in bulk soil but not in 513 514 the rhizosphere. The relative abundance of these copiotrophic phyla were correlated with some soil physicochemical traits (pH, P, sand content) and for the specific case of Actinobacteria, this phylum 515 516 showed significant correlations with root and litter traits (SRL, RMD, root N, C:N and hemicellulose 517 and litter fibres, Table 2). For fungi, land use influenced the relative abundance of Ascomycota and 518 Zygomycota, with a significant effect of sample fraction for Ascomycota (Fig. 4b). Arable land had 519 the highest relative abundances of Ascomycota, whilst the secondary woodland possessed the lowest 520 relative abundance of Ascomycota and the highest abundance of Zygomycota. Regarding trophic 521 mode, land use type affected the relative abundance of saprotrophs and symbiotrophs with the arable 522 field having the lowest abundances. The land use type also influenced the relative abundance of fungi 523 belonging to an unspecific category that includes pathotrophs, saprotrophs and symbiotrophs, with the highest relative abundance in secondary woodland (Fig. 4c). 524

NMDS ordinations showed that bacterial and fungal communities were markedly distinct between land use types (Figure 5a). These findings were supported by the PERMANOVA performed for the bacterial and fungal species matrices (Table 3), which showed that land use type was by far the most important factor structuring bacterial and fungal communities ($R^2=0.44$ p=0.01 and $R^2=0.53$ p=0.01, respectively) irrespective of whether communities were taken from the rhizosphere or bulk soils (Table 3). Significant trends between changes in community structure and soil aggregate stability were also observed, with arable communities having the lowest MWD (Figure 5a).

3.1.3. Relationships between environmental variables and bacterial and fungal communities among land use types

534 The results of the relationships between soil physicochemical properties, root and litter traits (Tables 1 and S2, Figure 3) and microbial community composition are shown in the db-RDA (Figure 5b) and 535 536 Spearman correlations with alpha and beta diversities (Table S4). The final db-RDA analysis (Figure 5b) shows only the environmental parameters that were selected through stepwise model selection: 537 MWD, soil pH and P, and MRD for bacterial communities and MWD, RLD, FR and soil pH and P for 538 539 fungal communities. There was a clear separation between land uses for bacterial communities 540 (Figure 5b), with three main groups: i) woodlands (ancient and secondary), ii) grasslands (actively and not actively grazed) and iii) arable land. Soil from woodlands had significantly greater MWD and 541 higher MRD, whereas arable land had a significantly greater soil pH and P (Figure 5b). For fungal 542 communities, a similar configuration was found among the three land use types, but soil from 543 544 grasslands had a significantly higher RLD, and woodlands had a greater FR. ANCOVA tests 545 performed on the final constrained ordination confirmed that the first two axes, the environmental 546 variables and the final constrained ordination were significant.

547Bacterial and fungal alpha diversities (Table S3) were not significantly correlated with any of the548variables measured (Table S4). Bacterial beta diversity was significantly and positively correlated549with soil pH, clay and magnesium contents, soil C:N, FR, MRD, root and litter N and litter lignin and550negatively correlated to MWD, sand content, SRL, VFR and root and litter C:N and hemicellulose.551Similarly, fungal beta diversity was significantly and positively correlated (p < 0.001 and $R^2 \ge 0.65$)552with soil pH, clay and magnesium contents, soil C:N, FR, MRD, root N, and litter lignin, and

negatively with sand content, SRL, VFR, RLD, root C:N and root and litter hemicellulose (Table S4).

3.2. Laboratory inoculation experiment: Effect of microbial inoculation on soil aggregate stability and interactions with soil origin and root systems.

556 3.2.1. Effects of soil origin, inoculation and root systems on soil properties and plant traits

The PCA performed with a selection of variables used for the description of soil, plant and root traits explained 56.7% of the variance (Fig. 6). The first PCA axis (horizontal), accounted for 43.7% of the variation and the second PCA axis accounted for 13% of the variation. This PCA showed a clear differentiation between control, and either arable land or woodland. The main contributors to these differences were soil pH, SOC and MWD. Control soil had significantly higher pH, soil and root C:N ratios and lower SOC, MWD, GRSP_T and ergosterol content compared to soils from the arable land and woodland.

564 All soil properties assessed (e.g. pH, SOC, C, N) were influenced significantly by the origin of the 565 soil (i.e. control, arable and woodland, Table S5, p<0.05). Additionally, a significant effect of inoculation was found for soil water content (p<0.001), which may be because this was the only 566 variable that was measured in the top 0.1 m of the soil profile, therefore including the soil used for 567 inoculation. Aboveground plant biomass was significantly affected by soil origin and root system type 568 569 (p<0.001) but not by inoculation. Concerning root traits, soil origin affected significantly root biomass (p=0.014), SRL, VFR, FR, MRD, N and C:N (p<0.001) and root system type affected root biomass 570 (p<0.001), SRL (p<0.020), RMD and RLD (p<0.001) but no effect of inoculation was found (Table 571 S5, Fig. 7). 572

573 3.2.2. Effects of soil origin, inoculation and root systems on the structure of bacterial and fungal 574 communities.

NMDS ordinations showed that bacterial and fungal communities were markedly distinct between 575 inoculation treatments and soil origin but there were weaker effects of root system types (Figure 8a). 576 These findings were supported by the PERMANOVA performed for the bacterial and fungal species 577 matrices (Table 4), which showed that the main factors structuring bacterial communities were 578 inoculation ($R^2=0.18$, p<0.001) and origin ($R^2=0.05$, p<0.001) in bulk soil, and inoculation ($R^2=0.26$, 579 p < 0.001), origin (R²=0.09, p < 0.001) and root system (R²=0.06, p < 0.001) in the rhizosphere. The 580 results observed for fungal communities were different, with inoculation ($R^2=0.16$, p<0.001), origin 581 $(R^2=0.03, p<0.001)$ and root system $(R^2=0.02, p<0.001)$ significantly affecting fungal communities in 582

583 the bulk soil. However, for fungal communities in the rhizosphere, only inoculation treatments $(R^2=0.24, p<0.001)$ and soil origin $(R^2=0.08, p<0.001)$ had significant effects. Overall, considering 584 the R² values, the influence of root system type was stronger on the structure of bacterial communities 585 586 than for fungal communities. Furthermore, the interaction between origin and inoculation was 587 significant in all cases (p < 0.001), indicating that the effect of inoculation was affected by the soil origin. Additionally, for fungal communities in the bulk soil, the effect of root system type was 588 affected by both inoculation (inoculation*root treatment $R^2=0.03$, p<0.001) and soil origin 589 (origin*root treatment R²=0.03, p=0.042). The overall plant root system effects were minor compared 590 to soil properties, nevertheless significant effects of root systems in certain soils were observed (Table 591 5). The effect of root system type was context-specific: in the non-inoculated control soil both 592 bacterial and fungal communities were significantly affected (p=0.013 and 0.012 respectively), while 593 for the field-sampled soils root system significantly influenced fungal communities only in arable soil 594 595 non-inoculated (p=0.001) and inoculated with woodland soil (p=0.015). In contrast, inoculation affected both bacterial and fungal communities (p<0.05) for all combinations of treatments. 596

597 The dbRDA constrained ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices showed that the main 598 factors affecting the structure of microbial communities were MWD, RMD and soil C:N for bacterial 599 communities and MWD, soil C, RMD, GRSP_T and ergosterol for fungal communities (Fig. 8b). 600 ANCOVA tests performed on the final constrained ordination confirmed that the first two axes, the 601 environmental variables and the final constrained ordination were significant. Bacterial and fungal alpha diversities did not show significant correlations with any of the variables measured (Table S6). 602 Bacterial beta diversity was positively correlated (p < 0.001 and $R^2 \ge 0.3$) with some soil properties 603 (SOC, N, C, GRSP_{EE} and MWD) and with root N, and negatively correlated with soil pH, soil C:N, 604 $CaCO_3$ and root C:N. Fungal beta diversity was significantly and negatively correlated (p < 0.001 and 605 $R^2 \ge 0.3$) with soil pH and CaCO₃, but positively correlated with MWD and ergosterol (Table S6). It 606 607 is interesting to note that GRSP was strongly related to bacterial beta diversity, even more than with 608 fungal beta diversity, lending support to the increasing evidence that GSRP is not solely of AFM 609 fungal origin (Cissé et al., 2020).

610 **3.2.3.** Effects of soil origin, inoculation and root treatments on soil aggregate stability.

Soil aggregate stability (MWD) was significantly affected by soil origin (p<0.001) and inoculation 611 (p=0.04) but not by root system type (Table 6, Figure 7a). The inoculation increased aggregate 612 613 stability in the poorly structured control soil, but not in arable and woodland soils (Figure 7a). The effect of inoculation was mainly on macroaggregates and their dispersion (fractions 1-2 mm, 0.5-1 614 mm and 0.1-0.2 mm; Table S5). The ANCOVA model including RLD showed that the effect of root 615 system type was again not significant, but a significant interaction did occur between inoculation and 616 RLD, revealing that the number of roots modified the effect of inoculation (p<0.001; Table 6). The 617 correlations between aggregate stability and the reputed fungal markers GRSP_T, GRSP_{EE} and 618 619 ergosterol were only significant when all three soils were analysed together (Fig. S4), in agreement 620 with the results of ANOVA tests that showed that only the effect of soil origin was significant (Table S5). The analysis of the textural properties of soil aggregates used for the aggregate stability tests 621 622 showed that removing the top 15 mm after the experiment for all soil analyses was efficient and there 623 was no possible influence of soil addition on the results of stability tests (Fig. S5a and S5b), since the texture of aggregates used for the tests was related to origin (p=0.001) but not to inoculation 624 (p=0.015, Fig. S5c). 625

627 4. Discussion

628 *Hypothesis 1: There are strong relationships between soil aggregate stability and microbial*629 *community structure that are driven by land use.*

630 In agreement with our first hypothesis, the impact of land use significantly affected soil aggregate 631 stability and the structure of microbial communities. A large body of previous research has found that 632 the conversion of natural forest to cropland results in a decline of soil quality, SOC and aggregate 633 stability and the opposite is true for soils restored after a disturbance (Barto et al., 2010; Duchicela et 634 al., 2013; Delelegn et al., 2017). Positive relationships between SOC and aggregate stability have 635 been found in different soil types, such as black soils (Zhang et al., 2012), Typic Ustochrepts (Saha et al., 2011), and loamy soils (Vermic Haplubrepts) where SOC is thought to increase aggregate stability 636 637 by lowering the wettability and increasing the cohesion of aggregates (Chenu et al., 2000). Thus, 638 differences in SOC might explain the lowest aggregate stability in the arable field and the highest 639 stability in pasture and grassland. Apart from this influence of SOC on MWD, we found other factors influencing MWD in our land use gradient that impact aggregate stability, as described previously: i) 640 agricultural practices such as the mechanical action of tillage (Balesdent et al., 2000), management 641 642 inputs (e.g. fertilizer, herbicide (Steenwerth et al., 2002)) and grazing (Barto et al., 2010), ii) the presence of roots (measured through RLD; Hudek et al. (2017)), iii) the higher C:N in roots and litter 643 implying a higher content in recalcitrant matter that influences fungal activity and MWD as a 644 645 consequence (Bossuyt et al., 2001).

We demonstrated a strong impact of land use gradient on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities. A regional study in Great Basin Province (California, USA) identified that agricultural management can have larger effects on soil microbial communities than elevation and precipitation gradients (Drenovsky et al., 2010). However, fungal and bacterial communities differ in their responses to changes in agricultural practices. Previous research has shown that tillage reduces microbial biomass and abundance of AMF (Helgason et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2013) and that the structure of the microbial communities responds strongly to land use with higher proportions of fungi

observed in natural ecosystems compared with agro-systems (Fanin and Bertrand, 2016). In a study across a range of European field sites, land use intensification effects on microbial communities were stronger when land use change affected soil conditions such as pH, carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous contents (Thomson et al., 2015). Here, although we found significant relationships between the changes in microbial community structure, aggregate stability and soil properties along the land use gradient, causality cannot be confirmed.

Hypothesis 2: The arable field site with lower aggregate stability will have a lower relative abundance of copiotrophic communities and reduced relative abundances of saprotrophic and ectomycorrhizal fungi, but the rhizosphere niche will favour copiotrophic bacterial communities.

662 Given that microaggregates are considered to hold oligotrophic communities and macroaggregates 663 favour copiotrophic communities due to their differences in contents of labile/recalcitrant carbon 664 (Trivedi et al., 2017), we expected to find an increase in the relative abundance of copiotrophic 665 communities in soils with higher soil aggregate stability (i.e. higher MWD after disruption). In line 666 with this hypothesis, the arable field (with the lowest soil aggregate stability), had a lower relative abundance of copiotrophic Proteobacteria phyla. However, we did not observe similar trends for 667 copiotrophic Actinobacteria and Bacteroidetes, and oligotrophic Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi and 668 669 Verrucomicrobia. In addition, correlations between relative abundance of bacterial phyla and MWD 670 were not significant. However, other soil physicochemical factors and notably root and litter 671 physicochemical traits, affected the relative abundance of these phyla with a differential effect on bulk and rhizosphere niches. Lauber et al. (2008) also showed that rather than land use itself, changes in 672 673 soil edaphic properties explained changes in life strategies of bacterial communities. Since 674 Chloroflexi and Verrucomicrobia were correlated to root and litter physicochemical variables in the rhizosphere but not in the bulk soil, we conclude that oligotrophic bacteria are more dependent on 675 rhizosphere conditions where labile carbon originates mostly from rhizodeposits, exudates and 676 677 mucigel (Dennis et al., 2010). On the contrary, copiotrophic phyla were more dependent on bulk soil 678 conditions where there are more exopolysaccharides released into the soil by the microorganisms themselves. The relative abundance of these copiotrophic phyla was correlated with some soil 679

680 physicochemical traits (pH, P, sand content) and for the specific case of Actinobacteria, this phylum 681 showed correlations with root and litter traits (SRL, RMD, root N, C:N and hemicellulose and litter fibres, Table 2). This particular behaviour of Actinobacteria could be because Actinobacteria exhibits 682 both copiotrophic and oligotrophic life strategies consistent with their capacity to degrade both labile 683 684 and complex carbon substrates (Ho et al., 2017). Bergmann et al. (2011) found that Verrucomicrobia are oligotrophic with a highly variable relative abundance across soils, and that members of this 685 phylum were most abundant in soils from grasslands and prairies. However, these authors 686 687 acknowledged that the ecology of Verrucomicrobia remains poorly understood, and different factors 688 may affect the distribution of this phylum.

Studies of bacterial communities inhabiting the rhizosphere in grasslands (Mao et al., 2014; Shi et al., 689 2015) and croplands (Peiffer et al., 2013; Donn et al., 2015) found that rhizosphere samples are 690 enriched in Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Bacteroidetes. However, here we found an enrichment 691 692 of Verrucomicrobia (oligotrophic) and diminution of Bacteroidetes (copiotrophic) and Acidobacteria 693 (oligotrophic) in rhizosphere communities. Thus, the hypothesis that the rhizospheric niche favours copiotrophic bacterial taxa (Peiffer et al., 2013; Lladó and Baldrian, 2017) was only confirmed with 694 695 the reduced relative abundance of the oligotrophic Acidobacteria, highlighting the heterogeneous 696 nature of the rhizosphere and the importance of studying the relationships between soil, root and 697 physicochemical variables and the relative abundance of trophic modes in both rhizosphere and bulk 698 soils that are detailed above.

Fungal symbiotrophs and saprotrophs are among the most sensitive to management practices
(Hartmann et al., 2012; Orgiazzi et al., 2012; Mueller et al., 2016). For example, Orgiazzi et al. (2012)
found that ectomycorrhizal phylotypes were numerous in natural sites with trees but were missing in
anthropogenic and grass-covered sites, whereas Lauber et al. (2008) found that coprophilous fungi
were common in grazed sites. Management disturbances have been found to increase the relative
abundance of Ascomycota and decrease Basidiomycota (Thomson et al., 2015). Similarly, we
observed the expected decrease in fungal saprotrophs and symbiotrophs at the arable site and a higher

relative abundance of Ascomycota, although a large proportion of unidentified trophic modes may beinfluencing our results.

708 Hypothesis 3: Microbial inoculation of soils and dense root systems increase soil aggregate stability
709 in unstructured soils.

710 Inoculation of live soil communities had large impacts on the sterilised soil communities, irrespective 711 of soil origin (sterilised control, arable or woodland). However, the effect of the inoculation on the 712 structure of bacterial communities was dependent on the origin of the soils. This result agrees with previous studies that found that texture and pore size distribution strongly determined the fate of 713 introduced microorganisms (Rutherford and Juma, 1992; Huysman and Verstraete, 1993; van Veen et 714 715 al., 1997). Additionally, the effect of root system type was only evident on bacterial communities in the rhizosphere, but not on communities inhabiting bulk soil, which is likely due to differences in root 716 717 length and the increased microbial numbers in the rhizosphere carbon rich habitat (Jones et al., 2009). 718 In a previous study, we also found that the interactions between microbial community structure and 719 root traits were more intense in communities isolated from rhizosphere compared to bulk soil, and that 720 bacterial community composition was better explained by root traits than in fungal communities 721 (Merino-Martín et al., 2020). We showed that root system type only affected the structure of bacterial 722 communities in control soils that were not inoculated, stressing that the role of roots was secondary 723 compared to inoculation and initial soil structure. Correspondingly, root system type affected fungal 724 community structure in non-inoculated control soil, but it also affected significantly the noninoculated arable soil and arable soil with woodland inoculum, which may be because it had the 725 726 lowest relative abundance of fungal communities and the least developed mycorrhizal networks. 727 These limited effects of root system type are in agreement with other studies that proposed a hierarchy in the contribution of soils and root systems (traits and exudates), with soil physicochemical 728 properties contributing most strongly to the microbiome and root traits and exudates gradually altering 729 this soil microbiome (Bever et al., 2012; Philippot et al., 2013; van der Putten et al., 2013). 730 731 As hypothesized, the microbial inoculation with soils increased aggregate stability in the poorly structured control soil, but not in arable (with low aggregate stability) and woodland soils (with high 732

733 aggregate stability). This finding is in line with Barto et al. (2010), who concluded that, in highly aggregated soils, abiotic factors can be more important for determining soil aggregation than biotic 734 factors. However, besides the lack of initial structure, the control soil also showed very distinct 735 physicochemical properties that can influence the effect of microbial inoculation on aggregate 736 737 stability, such as lower contents of SOC and soil N, and higher pH and CaCO₃ content (Al-Ani and Dudas, 1988; Chenu et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2017). Even though the focus of our research was on 738 739 bacterial and fungal communities, we acknowledge that our soil inoculation method may have 740 included soil fauna, such as nematodes, protozoa, or collembolans that were not explored in our study 741 (van de Voorde et al., 2012).

742 Hypothesis 4: A greater effect of inoculation on aggregate stability is expected in soils inoculated 743 with woodland soil.

744 Surprisingly, we did not observe greater aggregate stability in soil inoculated with woodland 745 compared to arable soil. These two soils, woodland and arable, were intrinsically similar but with 746 significantly different aggregate stability. In a similar experiment, Duchicela et al. (2012) found 747 greater stable aggregates in pots inoculated with soil communities from remnant grasslands, compared to soils inoculated with communities from disturbed post-agricultural sites. These authors suggested 748 749 that the use of the same suite of native prairie plant species across both remnant and disturbed soils in 750 their experiment could explain this effect, reflecting local coadaptation (Duchicela et al., 2012). 751 Furthermore, the effects of inoculation may also depend on the starting point, the incubation time and conditions after inoculation and not only on the inoculum used. 752

Several laboratory inoculation experiments also revealed that mycorrhizal fungi play an important role in stabilizing aggregates. Harris et al. (1964) found that even if bacteria were more important than fungi in the primary stabilization of microaggregates, fungi were involved in the stabilization of macroaggregates. Bearden and Petersen (2000) found that AM fungi contributed to the stabilization of aggregates in a vertisol, and that the effect was significant after only one growing season. Bossuyt et al. (2001) found that macroaggregate formation was positively influenced by fungal activity but was not significantly influenced by residue quality or bacterial activity. Most of these inoculation studies

found that the mechanism by which mycorrhizal fungi increase the stability of aggregates is not only related to hyphae formation, but is also through the stimulation of root growth (Bearden and Petersen, 2000; Graf and Frei, 2013; Bast et al., 2016). In agreement with these authors, we found that in the model including root length density (RLD), there was an effect of the interaction between the inoculation treatment and RLD on aggregate stability, which was remarkable, given the absence of the effect of root type.

We did not observe any effect of inoculation and root system type on the content of glomalin related soil proteins (GRSP) or ergosterol. A significant correlation between these compounds and aggregate stability was found, but it was driven by the different origins of the soils. The legacy effect of soil origin on these compounds emphasizes their recalcitrant nature that has been widely discussed in previous research (Lorenz et al., 2007; Gispert et al., 2013a; Cissé et al., 2020). In addition, GRSP does not appear to be a good predictor of fungal activity, nor of increased physical stability.

772 6. Conclusions

773 Our results, from field and experimental approaches, depict the complex relationships between soil 774 properties, land use and plant traits shaping microbial communities and affecting soil structure. Our 775 field study demonstrated the existence of strong relationships between land use, microbial communities and soil aggregate stability. Even though we did not find consistent relationships 776 between land use gradient, aggregate stability and the relative abundance of specific bacterial trophic 777 778 modes, the importance of root traits shaping the relative abundance of bacterial phyla was 779 demonstrated. Rhizosphere conditions shaped bacterial communities with oligotrophic bacteria 780 conditioned by the rhizospheric environment, where labile C is found, whilst copiotrophic phyla were more dependent on bulk soil conditions. Fungal communities responded to the land use gradient with 781 782 a lower relative abundance of saprophytic and symbiotic in disturbed sites. The inoculation experiment showed that microbial inoculation affects aggregate stability in the control soil (that had 783 784 very low aggregate stability initially), but not in soils sterilized from the arable field and the 785 secondary woodland, possibly because in aggregated soils, abiotic factors can be more important for

determining soil aggregation than biotic factors. We did not observe differential effects of the 786 787 different inoculums (arable versus secondary woodland), most likely due to the short period of the 788 inoculation experiment. Again, the importance of roots for bacterial communities in the rhizosphere 789 niche was highlighted in the inoculation experiment, with root system type affecting only the structure of bacterial communities but not fungal communities, and only in the rhizosphere but not in bulk 790 791 soils. Additionally, root system type only affected the structure of bacterial communities in control soils that were not inoculated, stressing that the role of roots was secondary compared to inoculation 792 and initial soil structure. Finally, even though we did not find a significant effect of root system type 793 on aggregate stability, we have shown that the effect of the microbial inoculation was modulated by 794 root length density, highlighting the role of roots in shaping microbial communities and their effects 795 796 on soil structure.

797 Acknowledgements

LMM and this research were funded with a Marie Curie IEF fellowship (FP7 European program, ref.

799 626666/2013). Many thanks to Francois Pailler, Clément Furget-Bretagnon (INRAE France), Jean

800 Marc Souquet and Josiane Abadie (UMR Eco&Sols, Montpellier) for their help with laboratory work.

- 801 Thanks are due to Oxford University and FAI Farms, U.K. (FAI Field Station) for access to field sites.
- 802 A major part of this paper was written by the first author in Madrid, Spain, during the COVID-19
- 803 lockdown. The authors would like to thank health workers in Spain and worldwide, who risked their
- 804 lives to overcome the pandemic.
805 Tables:

Table 1. Soil physicochemical properties of sites selected for the field study (mean of the six samples
collected at depths of 0-0.1 m and 0.2-0.3 m). Sand, silt, clay, soil organic carbon (SOC), total
nitrogen (N) and total carbon (C) are expressed in % w/w. Available P, K and Mg are expressed in
mg/l. Carbon:nitrogen (C:N) ratio is expressed in :1. F and p values are shown. Post hoc comparisons

	Ancient Woodland	Secondary Woodland	Grassland	Pasture	Arable	F value	Pr(>F)
рН	5.87 ± 0.16	6.38 ± 0.15	6.30 ± 0.15	6.10 ± 0.06	7.00 ± 0.22	7.376	<0.001
	а	ab	а	а	b		
Р	12.73 ± 2.44	10.50 ± 2.53	14.10 ± 2.03	20.07 ± 2.38	80.47 ± 2.47	157.2	< 0.001
	а	а	а	а	b		
К	321.17 ± 28.01	253.50 ± 41.88	254.67 ± 29.70	205.67 ± 15.24	595.00 ± 49.26	5 19.99	< 0.001
	а	а	а	а	b		
Mg	256.33 ± 10.19	247.50 ± 5.91	229.50 ± 7.10	222.50 ± 5.46	209.00 ± 16.65	3.661	0.018
-	b	ab	ab	ab	а		
Sand	35.00 ± 2.85	25.67 ± 2.63	40.17 ± 5.31	52.67 ± 2.76	29.17 ± 1.47	10.57	< 0.001
	ас	а	bc	b	ас		
Silt	41.50 ± 1.15	44.00 ± 0.52	38.33 ± 2.62	32.83 ± 1.58	40.50 ± 1.02	7.416	< 0.001
	а	а	ab	b	а		
Clay	23.50 ± 1.88	30.33 ± 2.28	21.50 ± 2.92	14.50 ± 1.23	30.33 ± 2.23	9.331	< 0.001
,	ab	a	ab	b	a		
Texture		Clay Loam		Sandy Loam		-	-
SOC	11.28 ± 1.34	13.60 ± 1.38	14.78 ± 1.37	17.43 ± 1.46	8.52 ± 0.29	7.435	<0.001
	bc	abc	ab	а	С		
Total Nitrogen	0.46 ± 0.06	0.59 ± 0.05	0.66 ± 0.05	0.87 ± 0.06	0.42 ± 0.01	12.76	< 0.001
	ab	ab	ас	С	b		
Total Carbon	5.25 ± 0.73	6.52 ± 0.74	6.97 ± 0.68	8.56 ± 0.75	4.36 ± 0.12	6.202	< 0.001
	b	ab	ab	а	b		
C:N	11.18 ± 0.25	11.02 ± 0.40	10.42 ± 0.30	9.85 ± 0.18	10.52 ± 0.46	2.51	0.067
	а	а	а	а	а		

810 were performed with Tukey HSD.

- 812 Table 2. Spearman's correlation coefficients between relative abundance of the different phyla of
- 813 Bacteria and soil properties, root and litter traits for bulk soil and rhizosphere sample fractions in the
- 814 field study (****P < 0.0001, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05). Correlations ≥ 0.65 are
- 815 highlighted in bold and correlations present in only one sample fraction are highlighted in red.

		Bulk soil							Rhizosphere						
		Oligotrophic Copiotrophic						Oligotrophic Cor				opiotrophic			
			idobacter	ha noroflex	igourop iucomicro Ac	bia tinobacter Br	ia protection prot		acteria Ac	idobacter	horoflet	nucomics Nucomics	obia tinobacti	ateroidetes	eobatena
			dobat	nlorofi	ICOMIL	inobat	cteroio *	ov	80	doba	nloroti	ICOMIC	inobat	cteroio .	eobau
		P	» с	, Jer	N AC	U. \$7	20 940	./	P	ς» C	, Jer	in be	Str. 6	⁸⁰ 8 ⁴⁰	
	MWD	0.01	-0.26	-0.06	-0.13	0.38	0.38	Í	0.41	0.13	-0.18	-0.53	0.38	0.2	
	рН	-0.3	0.72**	-0.08	0.44	-0.86**	•-0.84****		0.2	0.4	-0.08	0.32	0.07	-0.87****	
	P	-0.08	0.39	-0.48	0.67**	-0.29	-0.44		0.45	0.51	-0.64*	0.2	-0.12	-0.07	
	Potassium	-0.2	0.49	-0.11	0.390	-0.4	-0.460		-0.09	0.2	-0.06	0.370	-0.35	-0.130	
	Magnesium	-0.07	-0.38	0.45	-0.71**	0.24	0.38		-0.69**	-0.65*	0.67**	-0.5	0.23	0.38	
Soil	Sand	0.24	-0.55*	-0.17	-0.12	0.71**	0.70**		0.01	-0.1	-0.11	-0.13	-0.02	0.60*	
	Silt	-0.39	0.25	0.46	-0.18	-0.61*	-0.43		-0.37	-0.17	0.49	0.04	0.18	-0.46	
	Clay	-0.09	0.48	0.1	0.04	-0.61*	-0.59*		-0.02	0.04	0.09	80.0	-0.03	-0.52	
	SOC	0.25	-0.29	-0.07	-0.25	0.47	0.5		0.14	-0.09	-0.02	-0.36	0.15	0.28	
	Ν	0.19	-0.17	-0.08	-0.21	0.39	0.4		0.18	-0.03	-0.02	-0.38	0.16	0.21	
	С	0.3	-0.24	-0.09	-0.25	0.44	0.46		0.14	-0.09	-0.01	-0.36	0.09	0.27	
	C:N	0.60*	-0.35	0.29	-0.70**	0.24	0.4		-0.52	-0.73**	0.59*	-0.04	-0.4	0.16	
	SRL	-0.24	0.55*	-0.52*	0.82***	-0.18	-0.44		0.43	0.80**	-0.69**	0.47	-0.02	-0.21	
	VFR	-0.16	0.29	-0.55*	0.54*	0.17	-0.04		0.41	0.75**	-0.68**	0.19	0.15	0.06	
	FR	0.02	-0.24	0.52*	-0.38	-0.25	-0.08		-0.32	-0.64*	0.64*	-0.15	-0.1	-0.16	
	MRD	0.2	-0.47	0.56*	-0.73**	0.05	0.28		-0.44	-0.80**	0.72**	-0.42	-0.11	0.14	
	RDMC	-0.14	-0.60*	0.64*	-0.39	0.16	0.18		-0.42	-0.90**	0.69**	-0.34	-0.15	0.17	
	RMD	0	-0.35	-0.05	-0.18	0.35	0.52*		0.31	0.14	-0.16	-0.31	0.45	0.22	
Roots	RLD	-0.07	-0.1	-0.3	-0.03	0.42	0.54*		0.16	0.36	-0.27	-0.2	0.42	0.43	
	Ν	0.21	0.08	0.56	-0.77**	-0.38	-0.13		-0.44	-0.59*	0.71**	0.01	-0.19	-0.32	
	С	0.31	-0.72**	0.04	-0.13	0.46	0.34		0.06	-0.42	-0.05	0.01	-0.09	0.09	
	C:N	-0.21	-0.08	-0.56	0.77**	0.38	0.13		0.44	0.59*	-0.71**	-0.01	0.19	0.32	
	lignin	-0.11	0.17	0.35	-0.35	-0.48	-0.32		-0.22	-0.15	0.45	0.22	0.22	-0.65*	
	celulose	0.06	-0.09	0.24	-0.02	-0.09	-0.26		0.26	-0.24	0.13	-0.3	-0.28	-0.19	
	hemicelulose	-0.11	0.04	-0.63*	0.76**	0.3	0.13		0.56	0.63*	-0.78**	-0.17	0.15	0.32	
	Ν	0.11		0.5		-0.19	0			-0.53		0.13	-0.13	-0.21	
	С	-0.01	-0.03	-0.36	0.44	0.01	-0.12		0.60*	0.31	-0.45	-0.21	0.14	-0.19	
Litter	C:N	-0.09		-0.54*		0.17	-0.01		0.61*			-0.16	0.15	0.09	
=	lignin	0.14	-0.29	0.61*	-0.74**		0.24		-0.57	-0.79**		0.27	-0.39	0.15	
	celulose	0.2	0	0.36		0.1	-0.02		-0.2		0.35	-0.47	0.09	0.02	
	hemicelulose	-0.17	0.15	-0.47	0.75**	-0.01	-0.33	J	0.66*	0.68*	-0.71*	-0.17	0.35	-0.32	

- Table 3. Effects of land use and sample fraction and their interactions on the structure of bacterial and
 fungal communities assessed with PERMANOVA. The degrees of freedom (Df), sum of squares (sum
 of sqs), mean of squares (mean of sqs), the F. statistic, the proportion of the variance explained by
- 820 each model (R^2) and probability (P) are shown.

		Bacteria						Fungi				
Factors	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R ²	Pr(>F)	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R ²	Pr(>F)
Land use	4	1.5469	0.38673	4.4095	0.438	0.001	4	4.8003	1.20008	6.3216	0.533	0.001
Samplefraction	1	0.1366	0.1366	1.5575	0.039	0.093	1	0.1365	0.13649	0.719	0.015	0.745
Land use*Samplefraction	4	0.1793	0.04483	0.5112	0.051	1	4	0.4629	0.11572	0.6096	0.051	0.993
Residuals	19	1.6663	0.0877		0.472		19	3.6069	0.18984		0.400	
Total	28	3.5292			1		28	9.0067			1	

- 821 **Table 4.** Effects of inoculation, origin and root treatments and their interactions on the structure of
- 822 bacterial and fungal communities assessed with PERMANOVA. The degrees of freedom (Df), sum of
- squares (sum of sqs), mean of squares (mean of sqs), the F. statistic, the proportion of the variance
- 824 explained by each model (R^2) and probability (P) are shown.

						Bu	ulk soil					
			Bact	eria					Fu	ngi		
Factors	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R ²	Pr(>F)	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R ²	Pr(>F)
Inoculation	2	9.214	4.6072	18.5425	0.182	0.001	2	10.144	5.0721	15.0325	0.164	0.001
Origin	2	2.603	1.3017	5.239	0.051	0.001	2	1.703	0.8513	2.523	0.028	0.001
Rootsystem	2	0.709	0.3547	1.4277	0.014	0.074	2	1.109	0.5545	1.6434	0.018	0.004
Inoculation*Origin	4	2.185	0.5463	2.1986	0.043	0.001	4	2.622	0.6554	1.9424	0.042	0.001
Inoculation*Rootsystem	4	1.042	0.2604	1.0481	0.02	0.34	4	1.902	0.4756	1.4095	0.03078	0.01
Origin*Rootsystem	4	0.919	0.2297	0.9247	0.018	0.623	4	1.697	0.4243	1.2575	0.027	0.042
Inoculation*Origin*Rootsystem	8	1.745	0.2181	0.8777	0.034	0.818	t 8	3.142	0.3927	1.164	0.051	0.059
Residuals	130	32.301	0.2485		0.64		117	39.477	0.3374		0.63883	
Total	156	50.718			1.000		143	61.795			1.000	
						Rhiz	osphere					
			Bact	eria					Fu	ngi		
Factors	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	\mathbf{R}^2	Pr(>F)	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	R ²	Pr(>F)
Inoculation	2	3.5166	1.7583	12.2209	0.264	0.001	2	4.7153	2.35765	8.9631	0.241	0.001
Origin	2	1,1993	0.59963	4.1677	0.090	0.001	2	1.5272	0.7636	2.903	0.078	0.001

Inoculation	2	3.5166	1.7583	12.2209	0.264	0.001	2	4.7153	2.35765	8.9631	0.241	0.001
Origin	2	1.1993	0.59963	4.1677	0.090	0.001	2	1.5272	0.7636	2.903	0.078	0.001
Rootsystem	1	0.8523	0.85235	5.9242	0.064	0.001	1	0.3279	0.32786	1.2464	0.017	0.182
Inoculation*Origin	4	1.4709	0.36771	2.5558	0.110	0.001	4	2.0624	0.51559	1.9601	0.105	0.001
Inoculation*Rootsystem	2	0.394	0.197	1.3692	0.03	0.086	2	0.6301	0.31504	1.1977	0.03218	0.167
Origin*Rootsystem	2	0.3101	0.15505	1.0777	0.023	0.329	2	0.5685	0.28424	1.0806	0.029	0.312
Inoculation*Origin*Rootsystem	4	0.7098	0.17746	1.2334	0.053	0.094	4	1.066	0.2665	1.0132	0.054	0.429
Residuals	34	4.8918	0.14388		0.37		33	8.6803	0.26304		0.44338	
Total	51	13 3448			1 000		50	19 5776			1 000	

- **Table 5.** Effects of root system type and inoculation in each of the combinations of the experimental
- design. The ANOSIM statistic R and the significance values are shown. The proportion of the
- 829 variance explained by each model (R^2) and probability (P) are shown.

		Effect of root system							
Origin	Inoculation	Bact	eria	Fur	ngi				
Origin	moculation	R ²	Pr(>F)	\mathbb{R}^2	Pr(>F)				
Arable	Arable	-0.029	0.551	-0.008	0.520				
Arable	NotInoculated	0.016	0.381	0.341	0.001				
Arable	Woodland	0.005	0.398	0.251	0.015				
Control	Arable	-0.080	0.818	-0.075	0.797				
Control	NotInoculated	0.172	0.013	0.687	0.012				
Control	Woodland	-0.021	0.461	-0.029	0.564				
Woodland	Arable	-0.047	0.624	0.019	0.355				
Woodland	NotInoculated	0.108	0.121	0.056	0.213				
Woodland	Woodland	0.013	0.367	-0.004	0.483				
			Effect of in	noculation					
Origin	Root system	Bact	eria	Fur	ngi				
Oligin	Root system	R ²	Pr(>F)	R ²	Pr(>F)				
Arable	Fibrous	0.460	0.001	0.709	0.001				
Arable	Noroot	0.362	0.003	0.577	0.001				
Arable	Тар	0.398	0.004	0.753	0.001				
Control	Fibrous	0.616	0.001	0.825	0.001				
Control	Noroot	0.501	0.002	0.798	0.001				
Control	Тар	0.505	0.002	0.497	0.001				
Woodland	Fibrous	0.513	0.001	0.789	0.001				
Woodland	Noroot	0.590	0.001	0.612	0.001				
Woodland	Тар	0.563	0.001	0.820	0.001				

840	Table 6. Effects of inoculation, soil origin and root system type and their interactions on soil
841	aggregate stability assessed with ANOVA. An additional ANCOVA model was tested including root
842	length density (RLD), to separate the effect of species and physical properties (quantity of roots)
843	within the effect of root system treatment. The degrees of freedom (Df), sum of squares (Sum of sqs),
844	mean of squares (Mean of sqs), the F. statistic and p-value (P) associated with the F statistic are
845	shown.

Factors	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean of sqs	F.Model	Pr(>F)
Origin	2	45.76	22.881	460.529	<0.001
Inoculation	2	0.33	0.166	3.348	0.043
Root system	2	0.07	0.033	0.656	0.523
Origin*Inoculation	4	1.76	0.439	8.833	<0.001
Origin*Root system	4	0.3	0.076	1.531	0.206
Inoculation*Root system	4	0.06	0.016	0.318	0.865
Origin*Inoculation*Root system	8	0.37	0.046	0.92	0.507
Residuals	54	2.68	0.05		
Model in	nclud	ing RLD			
Origin	2	45.76	22.88	871.82	<0.001
Inoculation	2	0.33	0.166	6.343	0.005
Root system	2	0.07	0.033	1.246	0.302
RLD	1	0.04	0.038	1.457	0.237
Origin*Inoculation	4	1.89	0.472	17.994	<0.001
Origin*Root system	4	0.24	0.059	2.264	0.085
Inoculation*Root system	4	0.04	0.01	0.385	0.817
Origin*RLD	2	0.01	0.006	0.22	0.803
Inoculation*RLD	2	0.6	0.301	11.462	0.000
Root system*RLD	2	0.04	0.019	0.707	0.501
Origin*Inoculation*Root system	8	0.38	0.048	1.83	0.109
Origin*Inoculation*RLD	4	0.38	0.096	3.649	0.015
Origin*Root system*RLD	4	0.37	0.092	3.5	0.018
Inoculation*Root system*RLD	3	0.31	0.104	3.955	0.017
Origin*Inoculation*Root system*RLD	5	0.06	0.011	0.43	0.824
Residuals	31	0.81	0.026		

847 Figures:



- **Figure 1.** Location of sampling plots spanning a range of land uses. Red dots correspond to plots
- where sampling was performed. (Source: 51°46′46.91′′N and 1°19′35.76′′. Google Earth
- 852 v7.3.2.5776, May 20, 2018. May 11, 2020).

853

848







Figure 2. Schematic illustration of the treatments under study with three soil origins, three root
system types (fibrous root system of *Brachypodium sylvaticum*, taproot system of *Urtica dioca* and a
control with no roots present) and three inoculation treatments. Different coloured bars on tops of pots
refer to the source of soil inoculum (see legend).



Figure 3. Soil aggregate stability (MWD) measured in the field in the different land uses at the

surface and 0.2 - 0.3 m depth. Boxplots represent the minimum, maximum, median, first quartile and

third quartile in the data set. Different letters show post-hoc Tukey honestly significant difference

876 (HSD) results between land uses for the two different depths.



Figure 4. Boxplots with relative abundance of phyla found in the three plots in each land use in
rhizosphere and bulk soil fractions for bacteria (a) and fungi (b) and proportion of fungal trophic
modes (c). Boxplots represent the minimum, maximum, median, first quartile and third quartile in the
data set. Different letters show post-hoc Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD) results. Letters
out of the boxplot figure show the overall effect including rhizosphere and bulk soils.



892 Figure 5. a) NMDS ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices with convex hull polygons and 893 spider diagrams containing plots of the five sites and overlaid gradients of aggregate stability and b) graphs of dbRDA constrained ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices with convex hull 894 895 polygons and spider diagrams containing plots of the five sites and significant variables obtained by 896 automatic backward stepwise model building. Data are shown for rhizosphere (\Box) and bulk soil (\circ) fractions. Acronyms for land use types: AW isancient woodland, SW is secondary woodland, GR is 897 grassland, PA is pasture, and AR is arable. Acronyms for factors: S pH is soil pH, S P is soil P, 898 MWD is mean weight diameter, MRD is mean root diameter, FR is % fine roots, RLD is root length 899 900 density.



Figure 6. PCA of variables used for the description of soil, vegetation and root properties in the 909 experiment. Variables showed were selected based on their correlation and ecological significance 910 (i.e. variables that were correlated but their source was ecologically different were kept, e.g. soil C:N 911 and root C:N). Acronyms: S pH is soil pH, S C.N is soil C:N ratio, S WC is soil water content, 912 S CO2 is rate of exchange of CO₂, S OC is soil organic carbon, MWD is mean weight diameter, 913 Ab biomass is Aboveground biomass, R C.N is root C:N ratio, VFR is % very fine roots, RMD is 914 915 root mean diameter, RLD is root length density, MRD is mean root diameter, SRL is specific root 916 length, GRSPT is total glomalin related soil proteins.



Figure 7. Selected specific traits of soil samples after incubation period: a) soil aggregate stability
(mean weight diameter, MWD), b) root length density (RLD, km m⁻³), c) specific root length (SRL, m
gr⁻¹), d) soil pH, e) soil organic carbon (SOC, ww⁻¹), f) soil nitrogen (N, ww⁻¹), g) soil total inorganic
carbon (CaCO₃, ww⁻¹). Boxplots represent the minimum, maximum, median, first quartile and third
quartile in the data set.



Figure 8. a) NMDS ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices with convex hull polygons
containing plots of the three inoculation treatments (not inoculated, woodland and arable) and b)
graphs of dbRDA constrained ordinations of bacteria and fungi species matrices with convex hull
polygons containing plots of the three inoculation treatments (not inoculated, woodland and arable)
and significant variables obtained by automatic backward stepwise model building. Acronyms are:
S_C is soil total carbon, S_C.N is soil C:N ratio, MWD is mean weight diameter, RMD is root mean
diameter, GRSPT is total glomalin related soil proteins.

943 **References:**

- Adhikari, K., Hartemink, A.E., 2016. Linking soils to ecosystem services A global review. Geoderma
 262, 101-111.
- 946 Al-Ani, A.N., Dudas, M.J., 1988. Influence of calcium carbonate on mean weight diameter of soil. Soil
- 947 and Tillage Research 11, 19-26.
- 948 Ayuke, F.O., Brussaard, L., Vanlauwe, B., Six, J., Lelei, D.K., Kibunja, C.N., Pulleman, M.M., 2011. Soil
- 949 fertility management: Impacts on soil macrofauna, soil aggregation and soil organic matter
- allocation. Applied Soil Ecology 48, 53-62.
- Balesdent, J., Chenu, C., Balabane, M., 2000. Relationship of soil organic matter dynamics to physical
 protection and tillage. Soil and Tillage Research 53, 215-230.
- Bardgett, R.D., Leemans, D.K., Cook, R., Hobbs, P.J., 1997. Seasonality of the soil biota of grazed and
 ungrazed hill grasslands. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 29, 1285-1294.
- Barto, E.K., Alt, F., Oelmann, Y., Wilcke, W., Rillig, M.C., 2010. Contributions of biotic and abiotic
- 956 factors to soil aggregation across a land use gradient. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 42, 2316-2324.
- Bast, A., Wilcke, W., Graf, F., Lüscher, P., Gärtner, H., 2016. Does mycorrhizal inoculation improve
- 958 plant survival, aggregate stability, and fine root development on a coarse-grained soil in an alpine
- eco-engineering field experiment? Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 121, 2158-2171.
- Baumert, V.L., Vasilyeva, N.A., Vladimirov, A.A., Meier, I.C., Kögel-Knabner, I., Mueller, C.W., 2018.
- 961 Root Exudates Induce Soil Macroaggregation Facilitated by Fungi in Subsoil. Frontiers in962 Environmental Science 6.
- Bearden, B., Petersen, L., 2000. Influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on soil structure and
 aggregate stability of a vertisol. Plant and Soil, 173-183.
- 965 Bergmann, G.T., Bates, S.T., Eilers, K.G., Lauber, C.L., Caporaso, J.G., Walters, W.A., Knight, R., Fierer,
- 966 N., 2011. The under-recognized dominance of Verrucomicrobia in soil bacterial communities. Soil967 biology & biochemistry 43, 1450-1455.
- Bever, J.D., Platt, T.G., Morton, E.R., 2012. Microbial population and community dynamics on plant
- roots and their feedbacks on plant communities. Annual Review of Microbiology 66, 265-283.
- 970 Bossuyt, H., Denef, K., Six, J., Frey, S.D., Merckx, R., Paustian, K., 2001. Influence of microbial
- populations and residue quality on aggregate stability. Applied Soil Ecology 16, 195-208.
- 972 Brax, M., Buchmann, C., Kenngott, K., Schaumann, G.E., Diehl, D., 2020. Influence of the physico-
- 973 chemical properties of root mucilage and model substances on the microstructural stability of sand.974 Biogeochemistry 147, 35-52.
- 975 Brunel, C., Pouteau, R., Dawson, W., Pester, M., Ramirez, K.S., van Kleunen, M., 2020. Towards
- 976 Unraveling Macroecological Patterns in Rhizosphere Microbiomes. Trends in Plant Science.
- 977 Bulgarelli, D., Garrido-Oter, R., Münch, Philipp C., Weiman, A., Dröge, J., Pan, Y., McHardy, Alice C.,
- 978 Schulze-Lefert, P., 2015. Structure and Function of the Bacterial Root Microbiota in Wild and
- 979 Domesticated Barley. Cell Host & Microbe 17, 392-403.
- Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., Ver Loren van Themaat, E., Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F., Rauf,
- 981 P., Huettel, B., Reinhardt, R., Schmelzer, E., Peplies, J., Gloeckner, F.O., Amann, R., Eickhorst, T.,
- 982 Schulze-Lefert, P., 2012. Revealing structure and assembly cues for Arabidopsis root-inhabiting
- bacterial microbiota. Nature 488, 91-95.
 Butt, N., Campbell, G., Malhi, Y., Morecroft, M., Fenn, K., Thomas, M., 2009. Initial Results from
- 985 Establishment of a Long-term Broadleaf Monitoring Plot at Wytham Woods, Oxford, UK.
- 986 Carbonetto, B., Rascovan, N., Álvarez, R., Mentaberry, A., Vázquez, M.P., 2014. Structure,
- 987 composition and metagenomic profile of soil microbiomes associated to agricultural land use and
- 988 tillage systems in Argentine Pampas. PLOS ONE 9, e99949-e99949.
- 989 Carter, M., Kunelius, H., Angers, D., 1994. Soil Structural Form and Stability, and Organic Matter
- 990 Under Cool-Season Perennial Grasses. Soil Science Society of America Journal SSSAJ 58.
- 991 Chenu, C., Le Bissonnais, Y., Arrouays, D., 2000. Organic Matter Influence on Clay Wettability and Soil
- 992 Aggregate Stability. Soil Science Society of America Journal 64, 1479-1486.

- 993 Chenu, C., Sotzky, G., 2002. Interactions Between Microorganisms and Soil Particles, Interactions
 994 between soil particles and microorganisms, pp. 3-40.
- 995 Chotte, J.-L., 2005. Importance of Microorganisms for Soil Aggregation, Microorganisms in Soils:
 996 Roles in Genesis and Functions, pp. 107-119.

997 Cissé, G., van Oort, F., Chenu, C., Essi, M., Staunton, S., 2020. Is the operationally defined fraction of
998 soil organic matter, "GRSP" (glomalin-related soil protein), stable in soils? Evidence from trends in
999 long-term bare fallow soil. European Journal of Soil Science 10.1111/ejss.12974.

- 1000 Commander, L.E., Merritt, D.J., Rokich, D.P., Dixon, K.W., 2009. Seed biology of Australian arid zone
- species: Germination of 18 species used for rehabilitation. Journal of Arid Environments 73, 617-625.
- Cui, J., Holden, N.M., 2015. The relationship between soil microbial activity and microbial biomass,
 soil structure and grassland management. Soil and Tillage Research 146, 32-38.
- 1004 de Castro, A.P., Quirino, B.F., Pappas, G., Jr., Kurokawa, A.S., Neto, E.L., Kruger, R.H., 2008. Diversity
- 1005 of soil fungal communities of Cerrado and its closely surrounding agriculture fields. Archives of 1006 Microbiology 190, 129-139.
- Degens, B.P., 1997. Macro-aggregation of soils by biological bonding and binding mechanisms and
 the factors affecting these: a review. Soil Research 35, 431-460.
- 1009 Delelegn, Y.T., Purahong, W., Blazevic, A., Yitaferu, B., Wubet, T., Göransson, H., Godbold, D.L., 2017.
- 1010 Changes in land use alter soil quality and aggregate stability in the highlands of northern Ethiopia.
- 1011 Scientific Reports 7, 13602.
- 1012 Denef, K., Six, J., 2005. Clay mineralogy determines the importance of biological versus abiotic
- processes for macroaggregate formation and stabilization. European Journal of Soil Science 56, 469-479.
- 1015 Dennis, P.G., Miller, A.J., Hirsch, P.R., 2010. Are root exudates more important than other sources of
- rhizodeposits in structuring rhizosphere bacterial communities? FEMS Microbiology Ecology 72, 313-327.
- 1018 DeSantis, T.Z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E.L., Keller, K., Huber, T., Dalevi, D., Hu,
- P., Andersen, G.L., 2006. Greengenes, a Chimera-Checked 16S rRNA Gene Database and Workbench
 Compatible with ARB. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72, 5069-5072.
- 1021 Donn, S., Kirkegaard, J.A., Perera, G., Richardson, A.E., Watt, M., 2015. Evolution of bacterial
- 1022 communities in the wheat crop rhizosphere. Environmental Microbiology 17, 610-621.
- 1023 Dray, S., Dufour, A.-B., 2007. The ade4 Package: Implementing the Duality Diagram for Ecologists.
- 1024 Journal of Statistical Software 22, 1-20.
- 1025 Drenovsky, R.E., Steenwerth, K.L., Jackson, L.E., Scow, K.M., 2010. Land use and climatic factors
- structure regional patterns in soil microbial communities. Global Ecology and Biogeography 19, 27-39.
- 1028 Duchicela, J., Sullivan, T.S., Bontti, E., Bever, J.D., 2013. Soil aggregate stability increase is strongly
- related to fungal community succession along an abandoned agricultural field chronosequence inthe Bolivian Altiplano. Journal of Applied Ecology.
- 1031 Duchicela, J., Vogelsang, K.M., Schultz, P.a., Kaonongbua, W., Middleton, E.L., Bever, J.D., 2012. Non-
- 1032 native plants and soil microbes: potential contributors to the consistent reduction in soil aggregate
- 1033 stability caused by the disturbance of North American grasslands. New Phytologist 196, 212-222.
- 1034 Eilers, K.G., Lauber, C.L., Knight, R., Fierer, N., 2010. Shifts in bacterial community structure
- associated with inputs of low molecular weight carbon compounds to soil. Soil Biology andBiochemistry 42, 896-903.
- 1037 Fanin, N., Bertrand, I., 2016. Aboveground litter quality is a better predictor than belowground
- 1038 microbial communities when estimating carbon mineralization along a land-use gradient. Soil
- 1039 Biology and Biochemistry 94, 48-60.
- 1040 Fierer, N., Bradford, M.A., Jackson, R.B., 2007. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria.
- 1041 Ecology 88, 1354-1364.

- 1042 Fierer, N., Lauber, C.L., Ramirez, K.S., Zaneveld, J., Bradford, M.A., Knight, R., 2012. Comparative
- metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogengradients. The ISME Journal 6, 1007-1017.
- 1045 Fitter, A.H., Peat, H.J., 1994. The Ecological Flora Database. Journal of Ecology 82, 415-425.
- 1046Gispert, M., Emran, M., Pardini, G., Doni, S., Ceccanti, B., 2013a. The impact of land management1047and abandonment on soil enzymatic activity, glomalin content and aggregate stability. Geoderma

1048 202-203, 51-61.

- 1049 Gispert, M., Emran, M., Pardini, G., Doni, S., Ceccanti, B., 2013b. The impact of land management
- and abandonment on soil enzymatic activity, glomalin content and aggregate stability. Geoderma
 202–203, 51-61.
- 1052 Goebel, M.-O., Woche, S.K., Bachmann, J., 2009. Do soil aggregates really protect encapsulated 1053 organic matter against microbial decomposition? Biologia 64, 443-448.
- 1054 Graf, F., Frei, M., 2013. Soil aggregate stability related to soil density, root length, and mycorrhiza
- using site-specific Alnus incana and Melanogaster variegatus s.l. Ecological Engineering 57, 314-323.
- 1056 Gupta, V.V.S.R., Germida, J.J., 2015. Soil aggregation: Influence on microbial biomass and 1057 implications for biological processes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 80, A3-A9.
- 1058 Gweon, H.S., Oliver, A., Taylor, J., Booth, T., Gibbs, M., Read, D.S., Griffiths, R.I., Schonrogge, K.,
- 2015. PIPITS: an automated pipeline for analyses of fungal internal transcribed spacer sequences
- 1060 from the Illumina sequencing platform. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 6, 973-980.
- Harris, R.F., Chesters, G., Allen, O.N., Attoe, O.J., 1964. Mechanisms Involved in Soil Aggregate
 Stabilization by Fungi and Bacteria. Soil Science Society of America Journal 28, 529-532.
- 1063 Hartmann, M., Howes, C.G., VanInsberghe, D., Yu, H., Bachar, D., Christen, R., Henrik Nilsson, R.,
- Hallam, S.J., Mohn, W.W., 2012. Significant and persistent impact of timber harvesting on soil
 microbial communities in Northern coniferous forests. The ISME Journal 6, 2199-2218.
- Helgason, B.L., Walley, F.L., Germida, J.J., 2010. No-till soil management increases microbial biomass
 and alters community profiles in soil aggregates. Applied Soil Ecology 46, 390-397.
- Ho, A., Di Lonardo, D.P., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2017. Revisiting life strategy concepts in environmental
 microbial ecology. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 93.
- 1070 Hudek, C., Stanchi, S., D'Amico, M., Freppaz, M., 2017. Quantifying the contribution of the root
- system of alpine vegetation in the soil aggregate stability of moraine. International Soil and WaterConservation Research 5, 36-42.
- Hurisso, T.T., Moebius-Clune, D.J., Culman, S.W., Moebius-Clune, B.N., Thies, J.E., van Es, H.M., 2018.
 Soil Protein as a Rapid Soil Health Indicator of Potentially Available Organic Nitrogen. Agricultural &
 Environmental Letters 3, 180006.
- Huysman, F., Verstraete, W., 1993. Effect of cell surface characteristics on the adhesion of bacteria
 to soil particles. Biology and Fertility of Soils 16, 21-26.
- 1078 Ihrmark, K., Bodeker, I.T., Cruz-Martinez, K., Friberg, H., Kubartova, A., Schenck, J., Strid, Y., Stenlid,
- 1079 J., Brandstrom-Durling, M., Clemmensen, K.E., Lindahl, B.D., 2012. New primers to amplify the fungal
- 1080 ITS2 region--evaluation by 454-sequencing of artificial and natural communities. FEMS Microbiology1081 Ecology 82, 666-677.
- Jie, C., Jing-zhang, C., Man-zhi, T., Zi-tong, G., 2002. Soil degradation: a global problem endangering
 sustainable development. Journal of Geographical Sciences 12, 243-252.
- Jones, D.L., Nguyen, C., Finlay, R.D., 2009. Carbon flow in the rhizosphere: carbon trading at the soil–
 root interface. Plant and Soil 321, 5-33.
- 1086 Koljalg, U., Nilsson, R.H., Abarenkov, K., Tedersoo, L., Taylor, A.F., Bahram, M., Bates, S.T., Bruns,
- 1087 T.D., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Callaghan, T.M., Douglas, B., Drenkhan, T., Eberhardt, U., Duenas, M.,
- 1088 Grebenc, T., Griffith, G.W., Hartmann, M., Kirk, P.M., Kohout, P., Larsson, E., Lindahl, B.D., Lucking,
- 1089 R., Martin, M.P., Matheny, P.B., Nguyen, N.H., Niskanen, T., Oja, J., Peay, K.G., Peintner, U., Peterson,
- 1090 M., Poldmaa, K., Saag, L., Saar, I., Schussler, A., Scott, J.A., Senes, C., Smith, M.E., Suija, A., Taylor,
- 1091 D.L., Telleria, M.T., Weiss, M., Larsson, K.H., 2013. Towards a unified paradigm for sequence-based
- 1092 identification of fungi. Molecular Ecology 22, 5271-5277.

- Kozich, J.J., Westcott, S.L., Baxter, N.T., Highlander, S.K., Schloss, P.D., 2013. Development of a dual index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq
- 1095 Illumina sequencing platform. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 79, 5112-5120.
- 1096 Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., Fierer, N., 2009. Pyrosequencing-Based Assessment of Soil pH
- as a Predictor of Soil Bacterial Community Structure at the Continental Scale. Applied and
 Environmental Microbiology 75, 5111-5120.
- Lauber, C.L., Strickland, M.S., Bradford, M.A., Fierer, N., 2008. The influence of soil properties on the
- structure of bacterial and fungal communities across land-use types. Soil Biology and Biochemistry
 40, 2407-2415.
- 1102 Lavee, H., Sarah, P., Imeson, A.C., 1996. Aggregate Stability Dynamics as Affected by Soil
- 1103 Temperature and Moisture Regimes. Geografiska Annaler. Series A, Physical Geography 78, 73-82.
- Lavelle, P., Spain, A., Fonte, S., Bedano, J.C., Blanchart, E., Galindo, V., Grimaldi, M., Jimenez, J.J.,
- 1105 Velasquez, E., Zangerlé, A., 2020. Soil aggregation, ecosystem engineers and the C cycle. Acta
- 1106 Oecologica 105, 103561.
- 1107 Le Bissonnais, Y., 1996. Aggregate stability and assessment of soil crustability and erodibility: I.
- 1108 Theory and methodology. European Journal of Soil Science 47, 425-437.
- Lee, K., Foster, R., 1991. Soil fauna and soil structure. Soil Research 29, 745-775.
- 1110 Lehmann, A., Zheng, W., Rillig, M.C., 2017. Soil biota contributions to soil aggregation. Nat Ecol Evol1111 1, 1828-1835.
- 1112 Lladó, S., Baldrian, P., 2017. Community-level physiological profiling analyses show potential to
- identify the copiotrophic bacteria present in soil environments. PLOS ONE 12, e0171638-e0171638.
- 1114 Lorenz, K., Lal, R., Preston, C.M., Nierop, K.G.J., 2007. Strengthening the soil organic carbon pool by
- increasing contributions from recalcitrant aliphatic bio(macro)molecules. Geoderma 142, 1-10.
- 1116 Mao, Y., Li, X., Smyth, E.M., Yannarell, A.C., Mackie, R.I., 2014. Enrichment of specific bacterial and
- eukaryotic microbes in the rhizosphere of switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.) through root exudates.Environmental Microbiology Reports 6, 293-306.
- 1119 Marín, C., Godoy, R., Valenzuela, E., Schloter, M., Wubet, T., Boy, J., Gschwendtner, S., 2017.
- 1120 Functional land-use change effects on soil fungal communities in Chilean temperate rainforests.
- 1121 Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 17, 985-1002.
- 1122 Merino-Martín, L., Griffiths, R.I., Gweon, H.S., Furget-Bretagnon, C., Oliver, A., Mao, Z., Le Bissonnais,
- 1123 Y., Stokes, A., 2020. Rhizosphere bacteria are more strongly related to plant root traits than fungi in
- temperate montane forests: insights from closed and open forest patches along an elevationalgradient. Plant and Soil.
- 1126 Miller, R., Jastrow, J., 1992. The Role of Mycorrhizal Fungi in Soil Conservation, pp. 29-44.
- 1127 Miller, R.M., Jastrow, J.D., 1990. Hierarchy of root and mycorrhizal fungal interactions with soil 1128 aggregation. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 22, 579-584.
- 1129 Morel, J.L., Habib, L., Plantureux, S., Guckert, A., 1991. Influence of maize root mucilage on soil 1130 aggregate stability. Plant and Soil 136, 111-119.
- 1131 Mueller, R.C., Rodrigues, J.L.M., Nüsslein, K., Bohannan, B.J.M., 2016. Land use change in the
- 1132 Amazon rain forest favours generalist fungi. Functional Ecology 30, 1845-1853.
- 1133 Oades, J., Waters, A., 1991. Aggregate hierarchy in soils. Soil Research 29, 815-828.
- 1134 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara,
- 1135 R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., 2019. vegan: Community
- 1136 Ecology Package, R package version 2.5-6. <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan</u>.
- 1137 Or, D., Smets, B.F., Wraith, J.M., Dechesne, A., Friedman, S.P., 2007. Physical constraints affecting
- bacterial habitats and activity in unsaturated porous media a review. Advances in Water Resources
 30, 1505-1527.
- 1140 Orgiazzi, A., Lumini, E., Nilsson, R.H., Girlanda, M., Vizzini, A., Bonfante, P., Bianciotto, V., 2012.
- 1141 Unravelling Soil Fungal Communities from Different Mediterranean Land-Use Backgrounds. PLOS1142 ONE 7, e34847.
 - 51

- 1143 Peiffer, J.A., Spor, A., Koren, O., Jin, Z., Tringe, S.G., Dangl, J.L., Buckler, E.S., Ley, R.E., 2013. Diversity
- and heritability of the maize rhizosphere microbiome under field conditions. Proceedings of theNational Academy of Sciences 110, 6548-6553.
- Peng, X., Horn, R., Hallett, P., 2015. Soil structure and its functions in ecosystems: Phase matter &
 scale matter. Soil and Tillage Research 146, 1-3.
- 1148 Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J.M., Lemanceau, P., van der Putten, W.H., 2013. Going back to the roots: 1149 the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. Nature Reviews. Microbiology 11, 789-799.
- 1150 Plassard, C., Bonafos, B., Touraine, B., 2000. Differential effects of mineral and organic N sources,
- and of ectomycorrhizal infection by Hebeloma cylindrosporum, on growth and N utilization in Pinus
- 1152 pinaster. Plant, Cell & Environment 23, 1195-1205.
- 1153 Poirier, V., Roumet, C., Angers, D.A., Munson, A.D., 2018. Species and root traits impact
- macroaggregation in the rhizospheric soil of a Mediterranean common garden experiment. Plantand Soil 424, 289-302.
- 1156 R Core Team, 2019. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for 1157 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>.
- 1158 Rillig, M.C., Lehmann, A., Aguilar-Trigueros, C.A., Antonovics, J., Caruso, T., Hempel, S., Lehmann, J.,
- 1159 Valyi, K., Verbruggen, E., Veresoglou, S.D., Powell, J.R., 2016. Soil microbes and community1160 coalescence. Pedobiologia 59, 37-40.
- 1161 Rillig, M.C., Muller, L.A.H., Lehmann, A., 2017. Soil aggregates as massively concurrent evolutionary 1162 incubators. ISME J 11, 1943-1948.
- 1163 Rillig, M.C., Mummey, D.L., 2006. Mycorrhizas and soil structure. New Phytologist 171, 41-53.
- 1164 Rillig, M.C., Wright, S.F., Eviner, V.T., 2002a. The role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and glomalin in 1165 soil aggregation: comparing effects of five plant species. Plant and Soil 238, 325-333.
- 1166 Rillig, M.C., Wright, S.F., Shaw, M.R., Field, C.B., 2002b. Artificial climate warming positively affects
- arbuscular mycorrhizae but decreases soil aggregate water stability in an annual grassland. Oikos 97,52-58.
- Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., Mahé, F., 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool
 for metagenomics. PeerJ 4, e2584.
- 1171 Rutherford, P.M., Juma, N.G., 1992. Influence of texture on habitable pore space and bacterial-
- 1172 protozoan populations in soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils 12, 221-227.
- Saha, D., Kukal, S.S., Sharma, S., 2011. Landuse impacts on SOC fractions and aggregate stability in
 typic ustochrepts of Northwest India. Plant and Soil 339, 457-470.
- 1175 Saleem, M., Law, A.D., Sahib, M.R., Pervaiz, Z.H., Zhang, Q., 2018. Impact of root system architecture 1176 on rhizosphere and root microbiome. Rhizosphere 6, 47-51.
- 1177 Sarathchandra, S.U., Perrott, K.W., Boase, M.R., Waller, J.E., 1988. Seasonal changes and the effects
- of fertiliser on some chemical, biochemical and microbiological characteristics of high-producing
 pastoral soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils 6, 328-335.
- 1180 Savill, P.S.S., Perrins, C., Kirby, K., Fisher, N., 2011. Wytham Woods: Oxford's Ecological Laboratory.
- 1181 Wytham Woods: Oxford's Ecological Laboratory, 1-288.
- 1182 Shi, S., Nuccio, E., Herman, D.J., Rijkers, R., Estera, K., Li, J., da Rocha, U.N., He, Z., Pett-Ridge, J.,
- Brodie, E.L., Zhou, J., Firestone, M., 2015. Successional Trajectories of Rhizosphere Bacterial
 Communities over Consecutive Seasons. mBio 6, e00746-00715.
- 1185 Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., Denef, K., 2004. A history of research on the link between
- 1186 (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. Soil and Tillage Research 79, 7-31.
- 1187 Six, J., Elliott, E.T., Paustian, K., Doran, J.W., 1998. Aggregation and Soil Organic Matter Accumulation
- in Cultivated and Native Grassland Soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 62, 1367-1377.
- 1189 Steenwerth, K.L., Jackson, L.E., Calderón, F.J., Stromberg, M.R., Scow, K.M., 2002. Soil microbial
- 1190 community composition and land use history in cultivated and grassland ecosystems of coastal
- 1191 California. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34, 1599-1611.
- 1192 Thomson, B.C., Tisserant, E., Plassart, P., Uroz, S., Griffiths, R.I., Hannula, S.E., Buée, M., Mougel, C.,
- 1193 Ranjard, L., Van Veen, J.A., Martin, F., Bailey, M.J., Lemanceau, P., 2015. Soil conditions and land use

- 1194 intensification effects on soil microbial communities across a range of European field sites. Soil
- 1195 Biology and Biochemistry 88, 403-413.
- 1196 Tindall, B.J., Rossello-Mora, R., Busse, H.J., Ludwig, W., Kampfer, P., 2010. Notes on the
- characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 60, 249-266.
- 1198 Tisdall, J.M., 1994. Possible role of soil microorganisms in aggregation in soils. Plant and Soil 159,
- 1199 115-121.
- 1200 Trivedi, P., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Jeffries, T.C., Trivedi, C., Anderson, I.C., Lai, K., McNee, M.,
- 1201 Flower, K., Pal Singh, B., Minkey, D., Singh, B.K., 2017. Soil aggregation and associated microbial
- 1202 communities modify the impact of agricultural management on carbon content. Environmental1203 Microbiology 19, 3070-3086.
- USS Working Group WRB, 2014. World Reference Base for soil resources 2014: international soil
 classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. World Soil Resources
 Reports No. 106. FAO, Rome.
- van de Voorde, T.F.J., van der Putten, W.H., Bezemer, T.M., 2012. Soil inoculation method
 determines the strength of plant–soil interactions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 55, 1-6.
- 1209 van der Putten, W.H., Bardgett, R.D., Bever, J.D., Bezemer, T.M., Casper, B.B., Fukami, T., Kardol, P.,
- 1210 Klironomos, J.N., Kulmatiski, A., Schweitzer, J.A., Suding, K.N., Van de Voorde, T.F.J., Wardle, D.A.,
- 1211 2013. Plant–soil feedbacks: the past, the present and future challenges. Journal of Ecology 101, 265-
- 1212 276.
- 1213 Van Soest, P.J., 1963. Use of detergents in the analysis of fibrous feeds. 2. A rapid method for the
- determination of fiber and lignin. Journal of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists 46, 829-835.
- 1216 van Veen, J.A., van Overbeek, L.S., van Elsas, J.D., 1997. Fate and activity of microorganisms
- 1217 introduced into soil. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 61, 121-135.
- 1218 Wang, Q., Garrity, G.M., Tiedje, J.M., Cole, J.R., 2007. Naïve Bayesian Classifier for Rapid Assignment
- of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 73,5261-5267.
- 1221 Wright, S.F., Upadhyaya, A., 1996. Extraction of an abundant and unusual protein from soil and 1222 comparison with hyphal protein of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Soil Science 161, 575-586.
- 1223 Wu, X., Wei, Y., Wang, J., Wang, D., She, L., Wang, J., Cai, C., 2017. Effects of soil physicochemical
- 1224 properties on aggregate stability along a weathering gradient. CATENA 156, 205-215.
- 1225 Zhang, J., Kobert, K., Flouri, T., Stamatakis, A., 2014. PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-End 1226 reAd mergeR. Bioinformatics 30, 614-620.
- 1227 Zhang, S., Li, Q., Lü, Y., Zhang, X., Liang, W., 2013. Contributions of soil biota to C sequestration
- varied with aggregate fractions under different tillage systems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 62,147-156.
- 1230 Zhang, S., Li, Q., Zhang, X., Wei, K., Chen, L., Liang, W., 2012. Effects of conservation tillage on soil
- aggregation and aggregate binding agents in black soil of Northeast China. Soil and Tillage Research
 1232 124, 196-202.

Supplementary Material for online publication only Click here to download Supplementary Material for online publication only: Supplementary material.docx

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: