

Analysis of pollutant entrainment From localised sources in a street network

Article

Accepted Version

Cezana, F. C., Goulart, E. V., Reis Jr, N. C. and Coceal, O. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0705-6755 (2021) Analysis of pollutant entrainment From localised sources in a street network. Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 179. pp. 241-258. ISSN 0006-8314 doi: 10.1007/s10546-020-00598-7 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/94902/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10546-020-00598-7

Publisher: Springer

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR



Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Noname manuscript No. (will be inserted by the editor)

Analysis of Pollutant Entrainment From Localised Sources in a Street Network

- $_3$ FC Cezana \cdot EV Goulart \cdot NC Reis Jr \cdot
- 4 O Coceal

6 Received: DD Month YEAR / Accepted: DD Month YEAR

Abstract The propagation of a pollutant emitted from localised sources both 7 within and above a regular street network is studied by analysing data from 8 direct numerical simulations of passive scalar dispersion. Two wind directions 9 are considered, corresponding to aligned and oblique flow with respect to the 10 street axes. Particular attention is paid to the role of entrainment of the scalar 11 into the urban canopy from an elevated source and re-entrainment of material 12 originally released further upstream from a ground source. The variation of 13 concentration differences and vertical fluxes between the streets and the air 14 above as a function of distance reveals important differences between the rate 15 of lateral and vertical mixing for the two sources. Detrainment and entrainment 16 need a longer fetch to equilibrate for the elevated source than for the ground 17 source. There are large differences between the advection and detrainment 18 velocities for the aligned and oblique cases, so that a change in wind direction 19 could affect ventilation efficiency considerably. Time scales associated with 20 different dispersion processes are computed and the time of first appearance of 21

FC Cezana Federal University of Espirito Santo, Vitoria, Brazil

EV Goulart Federal University of Espirito Santo, Vitoria, Brazil Tel.: +55-27-40092177 Fax: +55-27-40092148 E-mail: elisa.goulart@ufes.br

NC Reis Jr Federal University of Espirito Santo, Vitoria, Brazil

O Coceal

National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS), Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, PO Box 243, Reading, RG6 6BB, UK

the scalar from the onset of release in different streets is mapped. It is shown 22 that re-entrainment can provide a shortcut dispersion pathway for reaching 23 certain parts of the network. This is particularly striking in the case of oblique 24 flow, when material can be transferred by entrainment up to twice as fast as it 25 could by advection. Taken together, these results highlight the overall message 26 that vertical exchange is a two-way process and that entrainment needs to be 27 considered in the context of emergency-response as well as urban ventilation. 28 Keywords Air pollution · Direct numerical simulation · Pollutant entrain-29

 $_{30}$ $\,$ ment \cdot Street network \cdot Urban dispersion

31

32 1 Introduction

Cities are the world's major economic, social and geographical centres, con-33 centrating most of the global investments and resources. Consequently, the 34 number of people living in urban spaces is growing. However, demographic 35 growth has been accompanied by several problems, among them atmospheric 36 pollution. Thus, it is expected that human exposure to hazardous substances 37 is higher, especially in areas where the density of population and traffic are 38 relatively high. The urban morphology can either dilute or increase the concen-30 tration of pollutants at pedestrian level, depending on complex local disper-40 sion processes and building geometry. Therefore, understanding the transport 41 and dispersion of pollutants in populated areas is an important aspect of air 42 quality management and mitigation strategies. Due to the complexity of the 43 urban environment and the interplay of different flow processes several as-44 pects of urban dispersion remain little-understood (Britter and Hanna 2003; 45 Soulhac et al. 2009; Belcher et al. 2012). One such process is entrainment of 46 pollutants into the urban canopy, either from outside sources or from material 47 originally released within the canopy further upstream (re-entrainment). This 48 process is an important determinant of the general issue of urban ventilation 49 or urban breathability (Neophytou and Britter 2005), which is gaining greater 50 recognition as a critical aspect of urban sustainability (Peng et al. (2020)). 51 The concept of *breathability* has been linked to that of the *vertical exchange* 52 velocity (Neophytou and Britter 2005). This link has been invoked in numer-53 ous subsequent studies (e.g. Panagiotou et al. (2013); Chen et al. (2017); Shen 54 et al. (2017)). For instance, Chen et al. (2017) investigated how the building 55 height influences city breathability using wind tunnel experiments and com-56 putational fluid dynamics (standard $k - \epsilon$) modelling in a medium-density and 57 a compact-density model. The authors investigated the in-canopy horizontal 58 velocity and the exchange velocity at the top of the canopy which they related 59 to city breathability. They found that for medium-density models the build-60 ing height variations increase the exchange velocity of taller buildings, but 61 reduces that of lower buildings. The compact-density urban model had weaker 62 in-canopy horizontal velocity and vertical turbulent exchange velocity than 63

the medium-density model. Shen et al. (2017) conducted a study of dispersion

 $\mathbf{2}$

of a passive scalar in the turbulent flow over arrays of cubes under neutral and 65 stable stratification using a large-eddy simulation. The study was designed to 66 investigate the effect of the plan area density on the flow and dispersion. They 67 found that in general, as the plan area density increases the in-canopy concen-68 tration is higher. For a skimming flow, the authors showed that for a stable case 69 the vertical transportation of scalar is weaker and therefore the concentration 70 is higher within the canopy compared to the neutral case. For neutral condi-71 tions, the advection scalar flux within the canopy reduces with distance from 72 the source as the plan area density increases. The authors suggested that this 73 reduction is due to the enhancement of the vertical scalar transfer. For stable 74 conditions, advection scalar flux within the canopy has a slower decrease with 75 distance from the source because the scalar transfer at canopy top is relatively 76 weaker. Chen et al. (2017) and Shen et al. (2017) are merely two examples of 77 many recent studies that highlight the importance of characterising vertical 78 exchange for understanding urban ventilation. However, vertical exchange is a 79 two-way process; while there is an abundance of experimental and numerical 80 work on detrainment of pollutants out of the urban canopy top, there has been 81 very little work on entrainment into the canopy. 82

Basic understanding of entrainment is needed for several reasons, both 83 practical and fundamental. First, it is relevant for source attribution assess-84 ments and emission reduction measures. For example, if significant amounts 85 of pollutants released elsewhere are entrained in already polluted areas, then 86 the effectiveness of clean air zones for controlling local emissions may need 87 to be re-examined. Secondly, accidental releases from industrial areas may 88 spread toxic fumes and particulates over neighbouring residential areas or city 89 centres via entrainment. Such was the case, for instance, during a major fire 90 incident at the Buncefiled oil depot north of London, UK, during December 91 2005. Enormous quantities of PM10 were released, equivalent to 6 per cent of 92 the annual UK emission, which led to the closure of hundreds of schools and 93 public places and home evacuations over two days (Targa et al. 2006). Thirdly, 94 even under normal conditions the air above an urban area will have a different 95 concentration of pollutants and possibly different chemical composition from 96 that within streets. For example larger ozone concentratons may be advected 97 from rural surroundings. Hence, entrainment may alter the types and rates of 98 chemical reactions in streets (Harrison 2018). Fourthly, dispersion models need 99 to include the effect of entrainment, e.g. Belcher et al. (2015), Goulart et al. 100 (2018) and Hertwig et al. (2018) showed that it becomes important within 101 a few streets downstream of a release and that the performance of a street 102 network dispersion model is substantially improved by taking it into account. 103 Aside from the insights gained from the modelling studies of Belcher et al. 104 (2015), Goulart et al. (2018) and Hertwig et al. (2018), there is little in the 105 published literature focusing on entrainment and re-entrainment in urban 106 canopies. Garbero et al. (2010) performed a series of wind-tunnel experiments 107 to study different transfer processes in a street network, including channelling 108 along streets, mixing in intersections and vertical exchange between the streets 109 and the overlying flow. However, they did not consider entrainment explicitly. 110

Belcher et al. (2012) refers to unpublished material (DAPPLE 2011) which 111 showed some evidence of the effect of entrainment and re-entrainment from 112 elevated and ground sources respectively based on wind-tunnel measurements 113 pertaining to a site in central London. The results showed that entrainment 114 caused a widening of the initially narrow plume from a localised elevated source 115 once the material entered the street network, where the plume angle spanned 116 90° a sector. For a street level emission, the plume initially dispersed within 117 a 90° sector as a result of the local building geometry and was thereafter 118 confined to a slightly narrower sector. Carpentieri et al. (2012) and Carpen-119 tieri et al. (2018) performed detailed wind-tunnel measurements of pollutant 120 fluxes and quantified the mean and turbulent components of horizontal and 121 vertical fluxes. Hertwig et al. (2018) related these wind-tunnel results to the 122 role of entrainment. Given the paucity of experimental data on entrainment 123 the use of simulated data should be considered. Direct numerical simulation 124 (DNS) and large-eddy simulation (LES) are well-established tools for perform-125 ing fundamental studies of flow and dispersion (Belcher et al. 2012). Indeed 126 the use of such data is sometimes preferable to the direct use of experimental 127 measurements, provided they are validated first. Advantages of such simu-128 lations include: they can be performed under controlled conditions, can be 129 designed to focus on particular processes and produce data at much higher 130 spatial resolution than is typically possible experimentally. In particular, DNS 131 is different from other modelling in that it is a direct solution of the Navier-132 Stokes equations without any modelling assumptions (Moin and Mahesh 1998; 133 Pope 2000). The only errors in DNS are due to finite discretization and hence 134 error margins in DNS are typically lower than experimental ones. DNS sim-135 ulations over urban-like geometry have yielded results in excellent agreement 136 with carefully-conducted wind-tunnel experiments (Coceal et al. 2006, 2007). 137 In relation to the under-explored subject of entrainment, key basic ques-138 tions that need to be addressed include: (i) How much material is entrained, 139 and how quickly? (ii) When and where is entrainment most important? (iii) 140 What controls it in an urban canopy? This paper presents a preliminary study 141 of these questions by analysing data from direct numerical simulations (DNS) 142 of passive scalar dispersion over an idealised street network. The data used 143 and the simulations that generated them are presented in section 2. In view 144 of the focus on entrainment and re-entrainment, the dispersion characteristics 145 from an elevated source are compared against those from a ground source (sec-146 tion 3). The development in space and in time of the concentration through 147 the network is characterised and interpreted in terms of underlying dispersion 148 mechanisms and time scales linked with different processes (section 4). We 149 summarise the main findings and highlight their novelty in section 5. 150

¹⁵¹ 2 Numerical Modelling and Data

The data used in this study is based on direct numerical simulation (DNS) of turbulent flow over arrays of cubes, which represent an idealised urban area. The numerical methods are described in Coceal et al. (2006) and Coceal et al. (2007). In brief, the Navier-Stokes equation was discretized using
a second-order central finite difference scheme in space and a second-order
Adams Bashforth scheme in time, based on the pressure correction method.
The Poisson equation for pressure was solved using a multigrid method. The
code was parallelized using Message Passive Interface (MPI).

The DNS runs that generated the datasets analysed here are described in 160 Branford et al. (2011), and they additionally solved the passive scalar equation 161 coupled to the computed velocity field, with an ensemble of localised sources 162 as described below. Detailed descriptions of the numerical methods, tests and 163 validation of flow statistics against wind-tunnel data are given in Coceal et al. 164 (2006) and Coceal et al. (2007) and corresponding details and validation of 165 concentration statistics against water-channel data are reported in Branford 166 et al. (2011). Essential details of the simulations are summarised in the fol-167 lowing. 168

A plan view of the domain setup is shown in Figure 1 and involves a 169 regular array of cubical obstacles of height h. The domain size was $16h \times 16h$ 170 in the horizontal and 8h in the vertical. In the present work we analyse data 171 from two runs in which the wind direction is at 0° and 45° to the cube array. 172 The simulations were conducted under conditions of neutral stability and fully 173 rough turbulent flow. The Reynolds number based on the velocity magnitude 174 at the top of the domain and the cube height was typically between 4750 175 and 7000. The flow was maintained by a height-independent pressure gradient 176 of magnitude u_{τ}^2/H , where u_{τ} is the total wall friction velocity and H is 177 the domain height. The imposed boundary conditions were periodic in the 178 horizontal directions, free-slip at the domain top and no-slip on the bottom 179 and all cube surfaces. 180

A non-dimensional time scale characterizing the turnover time of eddies 181 shed from the cubes can be defined as $T = h/u_{\tau}$. The simulations were run with 182 a time step of 0.00025T. Each run was spun up for a duration of approximately 183 200T to allow fully developed turbulence conditions. After this spin-up time, 184 passive scalar was switched on and released at a steady rate q = 0.0574684185 (mass per unit volume per unit time, in units chosen such that $h = 1, u_{\tau} = 1$ 186 and air density $\rho = 1$) thereafter from an ensemble of sources located close 187 to the ground (at z = 0.0625h) within the array at z = 2h above the array; 188 the source locations are indicated in Fig. 1. A sponge layer was applied at the 189 boundary of the domain to prevent the scalar from re-entering the domain. At 190 the top of the domain the scalar was allowed to escape. 191

For each run the sources were placed in equivalent locations so that they 192 formed an ensemble of equivalent simultaneous releases. Statistics were then 193 collected and averages computed over an interval of approximately 100T. Aver-194 aging over an ensemble of releases helped in reducing the overall computational 195 cost as it is equivalent to increasing the duration of the time series of one indi-196 vidual release for the same flow simulation. The ensemble-averaging was done 197 by shifting the origin of the coordinate system for each source as follow: for 198 the 0° run, such that the effective source location in each case is at (3.5h, 6.5h)199



Fig. 1 Plan view of the computational domain in the DNS for a forcing direction of (a) 0° and (b) 45° . White squares denote building positions and grey areas denote the air space between them. Locations of ground sources at z = 0.0625h and elevated sources at z = 2h are denoted by red crosses and circles respectively. Blue arrows indicate forcing wind directions.

for the ground source and at (2.5h, 6.5h) for the elevated source; for the 45° run, the corresponding shifted location is at (3.5h, 3.5h). The result of this averaging is to produce concentration fields and time-series corresponding to a single release at the given effective source location in each case.

For the 0° case, we shall refer to obstructed regions between buildings 204 as 'canyons' and to other unobstructed streets as 'channels', of which there 205 are two types: those between cubes and those between canyons. We expect 206 different flow patterns and hence different dispersion behaviours among these 207 three types of regions. For the 45° case there are only two types of regions: 208 'intersections', which are directly linked to 'streets' on all four sides; the streets 209 themselves are between cubes on either side and are linked to intersections at 210 their ends. Hence, one might expect them to share characteristics of both the 211 canyons and channels of the 0° case. 212

²¹³ 3 Comparison Between Dispersion From an Elevated Source and a ²¹⁴ Ground Source

A source located above a street network gives rise to characteristically different dispersion patterns than a source within the network. In this Section we describe these differences and explain them in terms of underlying dispersion

218 processes.



Fig. 2 Contours of ensemble-averaged mean concentration at three different heights (a) and (b) at z = 0.5h, (c) and (d) at z = h, (e) and (f) at z = 1.5h, for the ground source (left panels) and elevated source (right panels) for the 0° run. The colour bars and concentration contours correspond to the common logarithm (log₁₀) of the concentrations. Locations of ground source at z = 0.0625h and elevated source at z = 2h are denoted by red crosses and circles respectively.

219 3.1 Mean Concentration Patterns

Fig. 2 shows contours of the ensemble-averaged mean concentration at three 220 different heights (z = 0.5h, z = h and z = 1.5h) for the ground source (left 221 panels) and the elevated source (right panels) for the 0° run. Not surprisingly, 222 there are several qualitative and quantitative differences in the dispersion pat-223 terns from these two release locations. For the ground source by far the highest 224 concentration is found in the canyon where the source is located, indicating 225 trapping of the scalar in the wake of the building immediately behind it. This 226 persists up to the building height z = h, where the region of high concen-227 tration is seen to entend into the wake of the next building downstream. A 228 nested series of roughly oblong-shaped contours enclose regions of comparable 229 concentration within the array. Above the array, at z = 1.5h, these regions 230 open up slightly downstream. In comparison, the corresponding concentration 231 pattern at the same height from the elevated source located at z = 2h is 232 more triangular and similar to a Gaussian plume shape. The difference can be 233

understood by thinking of the time-averaged pattern from the ground source
as resulting from a much more diffuse effective source in the canopy underneath, once a dynamic equilibrium has been established (Goulart et al. 2018).
As a consequence, the plume from the ground source differs markedly from a
Gaussian shape both within and above the array, although it approximates a
Gaussian far from the source (Coceal et al. 2014; Belcher et al. 2015).

Fig. 2b and 2d show that entrainment from the elevated source into the urban canopy occurs fairly rapidly, within about two building heights downstream of the source. The resulting plume of entrained material retains a triangular shape within the array, and widens slightly. Comparison of Fig. 2b and Fig. 2f shows that the rate at which the plume widens once it is in the array is quicker than that of the material above. This is reminiscent of the wind-tunnel results from DAPPLE (2011) alluded to in the Introduction.

The entrainment of material into the canopy from the elevated source, and 247 re-entrainment from the ground source can be seen more clearly in the con-248 tour plots in vertical planes shown in Fig. 3. For the ground source, initial 249 rapid detrainment out of the canyon where the source is located is followed 250 by re-entrainment over the next few canyons. Further on, the balance of these 251 two processes results in a rapid approach to equilibrium, where the concentra-252 tion within and above the array are eventually equalised. Similar results were 253 reported by Goulart et al. (2018) and Hertwig et al. (2018). Fig. 3a and 3c 254 show that this happens sooner over the row of buildings than over the open 255 channels. The opposite appears to be the case for the elevated source. Here 256 the approach to equilibrium happens quicker over the channels, and it is more 257 gradual compared to the ground source. Despite the fairly rapid entrainment, 258 the concentration within the canopy does not approach that above as quickly 259 as for the ground source. 260

²⁶¹ 3.2 Concentration Differences Within and Above the Street Network

To analyse the approach to equilibrium more quantitatively, Fig. 4 plots the 262 ensemble-averaged mean concentration at two heights z = 0.5h and z = 1.5h263 as a function of distance at lateral locations y = 6.5h and y = 7.5h for the 264 ground source (Fig. 4a) and the elevated source (Fig. 4b). These locations 265 were chosen to correspond to the unobstructed streets along which most of 266 the scalar is channelled, due to their vicinity to the source location. Note 267 that the concentration at z = 0.5h within the canyon in which the source is 268 located (at x = 3.5h) is off the scale of the plot, at ≈ 0.058 , nearly an order of 269 magnitude larger than the value within the immediately adjacent channel at 270 z = 0.5h (which is ≈ 0.0064) and more than two orders of magnitude larger 271 than the concentration immediately above at z = 1.5h. 272

Fig. 4a shows that the lateral concentration differences in the array are generally small for the ground source, except for the canyon in which the source is located compared to the adjacent street in the channel, as noted above. There are somewhat larger lateral differences in the concentrations



Fig. 3 Contours of ensemble-averaged mean concentration in two vertical planes (a) and (b) at y = 6.5h, (c) and (d) y = 7.5h for the ground source (left panels) and elevated source (right panels) for the 0° run. The colour bars and concentration contours correspond to the common logarithm (log₁₀) of the concentrations. Locations of ground source at z = 0.0625h and elevated source at z = 2h are denoted by red crosses and circles respectively.

above the array, but these differences quickly decrease, and indeed all the 277 four concentration profiles nearly converge by the time the end of the array is 278 reached. For the elevated source, Fig. 4b shows that the lateral differences are 279 again relatively small within the array but are now considerably larger above, 280 due to the narrower plume from this source. Fig. 4c plots the absolute value of 281 the concentration difference between each street and above it as a fraction of 282 the sum of those concentrations for each source from the data shown in Fig. 283 4a and Fig. 4b. These plots reinforce the observations made in the previous 284 section on the relative rate of approach to equilibrium. 285

The effect of lateral dispersion is particularly important for oblique flow di-286 rections, when it is enhanced by a topological mechanism, namely the diverging 287 of mean streamlines around buildings (Belcher et al. 2015). This is illustrated 288 in Fig. 5, which shows the ensemble-averaged and box-averaged mean concen-289 tration in each street resulting from a ground source release at (3.5h, 3.5h). The 290 enhanced lateral dispersion coupled with strong initial detrainment results in 291 a rapid decrease in the centreline concentration with distance from the source, 292 so that the concentrations within and above match after a distance of only 293 about 4h downstream of the source. Note again that the concentration in the 294 street in which the source is located (at x = 3.5h) is off the scale of the plot, 295 at ≈ 0.0361 . 296

²⁹⁷ 3.3 Detrainment and Entrainment Scalar Fluxes Across the Roof Level

- ²⁹⁸ The magnitude of the detrainment and entrainment across the canopy top can
- ²⁹⁹ be quantified by computing the vertical flux (mean plus turbulent), decom-



Fig. 4 Magnitudes of ensemble-averaged mean concentration at different distances along the forcing direction for (a) the ground source, (b) the elevated source, for the 0° run. Squares denote locations within the array at z = 0.5h and circles locations above the array at z = 1.5h. Filled symbols correspond to lateral locations at y = 6.5h and empty symbols at y = 7.5h respectively. (c) Ratio of absolute difference to sum of concentrations within and above each street, $\Delta C/\Sigma C$, for the ground source (arrows up) and elevated source (arrows down). Filled and empty symbols as for (a) and (b).



Fig. 5 (a) Map of ensemble- and box-averaged mean concentration and (b) magnitudes of ensemble-averaged mean concentration at different distances along the plume centreline for the 45° run. The colour bars correspond to the common logarithm (log₁₀) of the concentrations. Filled symbols indicate concentrations within the array at z = 0.5h and empty symbols concentrations above the array at z = 1.5h. Location of ground source at z = 0.0625h is denoted by a red cross in (a).

11



Fig. 6 Magnitude of facet-averaged vertical flux through the canopy top (a) and (b) upward, and (c) and (d) downward, for the ground source (left panels) and elevated source (right panels) for the 0° run. Locations of ground source at z = 0.0625h and elevated source at z = 2h are denoted by red crosses and circles respectively.

posed into upward and downward components respectively. Fig. 6 shows a map 300 of this upward and downward flux for both sources, with an average value cal-301 culated over the top surface of each box at z = h. For the ground source there 302 is a disproportionately large upward flux over the source location and in the 303 adjacent channels (Fig. 6a). Hence, there is strong initial detrainment in the 304 immediate vicinity of the source. Upward fluxes decrease monotonically with 305 distance from the source (see also Fig. 7). Re-entrainment is maximum in the 306 very next canyon downwind of the release (Fig. 6c). Thereafter, its magnitude 307 decreases monotonically over subsequent canyons. Re-entrainment fluxes are 308 much lower over the adjacent channels. Interestingly, there is a small amount 309 of downward flux even in the canyon in which the source is located. 310

For the elevated source, both the upward and downward fluxes span a more extended area. Fig. 6d shows that the downward (entrainment) flux over both canyons and channels increase to a maximum distance of 7h downstream of the source before gradually decreasing. This is a consequence of the opposite effects of the plume above the array growing wider to reach the top of the array and diluting in concentration as it continues to grow. Fig 6b shows that



Fig. 7 Facet-averaged vertical flux through the canopy top at different distances along the mean wind direction for (a) the ground source, (b) the elevated source, for the 0° run. Upward fluxes are denoted by upward-pointing triangles and downward fluxes by downward-pointing triangles. Filled symbols correspond to lateral locations at y = 6.5h and empty symbols at y = 7.5h respectively.

as soon as material has been entrained into the network, some of it is detrained back into the air above. Mirroring the behaviour of the downward flux, the upward flux over canyons first increases to a maximum, then decreases again. The location of the maximum is one canyon downstream of the location of maximum entrainment, at a distance of 9h from the source. The upward flux over channels is small in comparison, and is larger between cubes.

Fig. 7 shows the facet-averaged vertical flux through the canopy top as a 323 function of distance along the mean wind direction at lateral locations y = 6.5h324 and y = 7.5h for the ground source (Fig. 7a) and the elevated source (Fig. 7b). 325 Again, these locations were chosen because most of scalar is channelled along 326 the unobstructed streets adjacent to the source location. The fluxes have been 327 decomposed into an upward component (arrow up) and a downward compo-328 nent (arrow down). Once again the flux due to the initial detrainment from 329 the canyon where the ground source is located (at z = 4h) is off the scale at 330 0.014, compared to the value of 0.0031 in the channel adjacent to it. There-331 after, the upward fluxes from the canyons and the adjacent channels are of a 332 similar magnitude. As already pointed out, the downward (entrainment) flux 333



Fig. 8 Magnitude of facet-averaged vertical flux through the canopy top (a) upward, and (b) downward, for the 45° run. Location of ground source at z = 0.0625h is denoted by a red cross.

is only appreciable over the canyons for this configuration. It has a maximum 334 value over the very next canyon from the source, decreasing monotonically 335 thereafter. For the elevated source, the downward fluxes over the canyons and 336 channels have a comparable magnitude, except close to the source, at x = 6h, 337 where the flux over the canyon has a magnitude twice that over the adjacent 338 channel. Thereafter, they rapidly converge until they are virtually identical 339 at x = 12h. Overall, the downward flux from the elevated source increases 340 sharply over a distance of 5h, peaking at x = 10h, then decreases more slowly 341 over a further distance of 5h. 342

The spatial distribution of the main areas of detrainment and re-entrainment 343 for the 45° run is shown in Fig. 8. They are more laterally extended compared 344 to the 0° run due to enhanced topological dispersion. The initial wider plume 345 in the canopy produces a more extended detrained plume above which then 346 causes re-entrainment over a wider area downstream. This release scenario 347 appears conducive to the contamination of the widest area among the three 348 cases considered, although the resulting highest concentration levels would be 349 reduced as a result. 350

4 Evaluation of Time Scales for Scalar Transport Through the Network

The results of the previous section indicate that entrainment into the urban street network from a source above it, and re-entrainment of material previously released within it, can both significantly alter the steady-state mean concentration pattern. In this section we investigate the temporal development of the concentration. Given a sudden onset of a release, how quickly does the material propagate, via different processes, through the street network?

13

DNS run	U_{wth}	U_{abv}	E	
0°	2.9	6.8	0.25	
45°	1.2	3.4	0.40	

Table 1 Dimensionless advection and detrainment velocities normalised by u_{τ} .

³⁵⁹ 4.1 Horizontal Advection and Vertical Exchange Time Scales

It is instructive to compute typical velocities and time scales associated with 360 individual dispersion processes. This helps to determine which processes con-361 trol the time evolution of a release. Different choices are possible in defining 362 these velocities and time scales. Following Goulart et al. (2018), we define a 363 facet-averaged advection velocity component $\langle \overline{u}_i \rangle_k$ as an area average over a 364 box facet k perpendicular to the component in question. A flux advection ve-365 locity is defined as the ratio between the advective flux $\overline{cu_i}$ through a street and 366 the volume-averaged mean concentration $\langle \overline{c} \rangle$ within that street. Goulart et al. 367 (2018) also define a vertical detrainment velocity E as the ratio between the 368 vertical turbulent flux and the difference between the volume-averaged concen-369 trations within and immediately above a street. Advection and detrainment 370 velocities calculated according to these definitions and non-dimensionalised by 371 the friction velocity u_{τ} are given in Table 1 for both DNS runs. The results 372 show that the advection velocities just above the array are roughly 2 to 3 times 373 those within for both wind directions. However, the vertical exchange velocity 374 for the 0° run is only about a tenth of the advection velocity within the array, 375 whereas the corresponding ratio for the 45° run is up to a third. 376

The large difference in the ratio of detrainment to advection velocities for 377 the two wind directions has implications for the dispersion and consequently 378 on the resulting concentrations. For instance, despite the fact that the nomi-379 nal velocity above the array is larger for the 0° flow, it will transfer a smaller 380 fraction of any scalar released within the array to above than for a 45° wind 381 direction. Given the connection of breathability to the vertical exchange ve-382 locity (Neophytou and Britter 2005; Panagiotou et al. 2013), the detrainment 383 velocity (which is related to the exchange velocity, though not identical in 384 definition) is a relevant parameter for ventilation. The values in Table 1 show 385 that, even with lower advection velocity the oblique case has higher breatha-386 bility capacity and is hence more efficient in reducing the concentration within 387 the array. This is consistent with our earlier findings. 388

An advection and a vertical exchange time scale may be defined as the 389 ratio between a horizontal and vertical characteristic length scale and the 390 advection and detrainment velocity respectively. For the arrays considered 391 here, this characteristic length scale can be taken to be h in both the horizontal 392 and the vertical directions. Values calculated from the DNS data and non-393 dimensionalised by the eddy turnover time $T = h/u_{\tau}$ are given in Table 2. 394 It is important to point out that these are average values both in time and 395 in space (facet-averaged), and that some variability in actual time scales is 396 inevitable. 397

DNS run	T_{wth}	T_{abv}	T_E
			1.0
0°	0.34	0.15	4.0
45°	0.85	0.29	2.5
40	0.00	0.23	2.0

15

4.2 How Long Does It Take for a Localised Release to Reach a Given Street?

The typical time scales computed in the last section allow simple estimates 399 to be made of the time it takes for a release at a given point to first reach 400 any given street. This time is determined by the quickest pathway linking any 401 two points in the network. We emphasize that this is an inherently transient 402 characteristic that is subject to statistical fluctuations. In any given realization 403 of a sudden release, the initial propagation can take any one of several paths. 404 A large number of such realizations would then be expected to reproduce 405 the probability of traversing these paths - the larger the ensemble, the more 406 representative the results will be. In this section, we make use of the DNS 407 data to estimate the minimum time to reach a given street in the network 408 and interpret the results on the basis of the time scales computed in the last 409 section. In the DNS the scalar release is switched on at a specific time. Hence, 410 it is possible to track the onset and initial growth of the scalar concentration 411 as it propagates through the street network and above. One limitation is the 412 relatively small ensemble size in the DNS - there are 16 releases for the 45° run, 413 12 ground source releases for the 0° run, and only 4 elevated source releases for 414 the 0° run. Hence, the resulting ensemble averages for the latter are noisier, 415 but still instructive enough for the purpose of providing rough estimates. 416

Fig. 9 shows a map of the non-dimensional time of first appearance of scalar 417 concentration resulting from a ground source release in the 0° run, superim-418 posed on the initial part of the time series from which this time is derived. 419 The location of the release is in the top row, middle column. The time of first 420 appearance generally increases monotonically downstream, roughly linearly, 421 consistent with advection being the dominant process. The exceptions are the 422 two columns on the extreme right, close to the source. The very large values 423 in the top right is because it is unlikely for the scalar to reach there. The 424 left-right asymmetry is due to the small puff ensemble size. More generally, 425 it takes much longer for the scalar to propagate laterally than streamwise for 426 this flow direction since topological dispersion is restricted, and lateral turbu-427 lent diffusion is also weak (Goulart et al. 2018). Although re-entrainment does 428 occur, particularly over the canyons aligned with the source, it does not sig-429 nificantly alter the time that scalar first appears because the vertical exchange 430 time scale is so much larger than the advection time scale in the canopy. 431

The corresponding results for the elevated release above the street network are shown in Fig. 10. In this case the source is located above the middle cube in the top row. In contrast to the ground release, entrainment is the predominant process here, and it modifies the times of first appearance and their distribution



Fig. 9 Map showing non-dimensional time to reach each street for the 0° run for the ground source located in the middle column of the top row and denoted by a red cross. The horizontal axis has been scaled in units of the non-dimensional time and the vertical axis has been scaled by the maximum concentration in this segment of the local time series.

considerably. First, the values are much smaller than corresponding values in 436 Fig. 9. Propagation by entrainment is quicker than advection through the 437 network because the velocity above the network is much larger than that 438 within. The combination of entrainment and advection has the consequence 439 that monotonic increase of the time of first appearance with distance from the 440 source is not strictly respected - there are several instances where the time in a 441 street is lower than in any other adjacent street, i.e. local mimima exist, which 442 are not found in the case of a ground source. Another noteworthy difference 443 is that the numbers are generally closer together and do not vary too much 444 with distance, particularly in the along-wind direction but also in the lateral 445 446 direction when compared with the ground-source release case (the exception is in the first rows). Indeed in some instances the same value is observed over 447 several consecutive streets, e.g. the value 4 occurs over five successive streets, 448 and 5 occurs over seven streets. 449

Fig. 11 shows the distribution of first arrival times for the 45° run, in which the ground source is located near the ground in the intersection at the topmost left corner. This case is more interesting because advection and re-entrainment both play important roles in determining the time of first arrival in different parts of the network. The pathway that yields the quickest combination de-

	27 0 20		8 0 20	Ó			22 0 20	
□ 1.3 . 0 20	□ 11 0 20 □ 14 0 20	□ 9 ^ 0 20	20 0 20 20 20 0 20	0 20	0 20 0 20 0 20 0 20	□ 10 0 20	22 0 20 5 0 20	□ <mark>29</mark> 0 20 □
□ 33 0 20	5 0 20 3 ∧ 0 20	□ 0 20	0 20 1 20 1 20 0 20	0 20	0 20 0 20 0 20 0 20	0 20	0 20 1 5 0 20	□ 20
□ 11 0 20	0 20 0 20 0 20	0 20	0 20 0 20 0 20	0 20	0 20 0 20 0 20 0 20	0 20	□ 20 □ 20 □ 20 □ 20 □ 20	0 20
0 20	0 20 4 0 20 0 20	0 20		□ 0 20	0 20 3 0 20 0 20	0 20	0 20 0 5 0 20	0 20
0 20	0 20 0 20 0 20 0 20	□ 0 20	↓ 4 0 20 ↓ 5 0 20	0 20	4 0 20 1 3 0 20	0 20	0 20 0 20 0 20 0 20	∟ <u>6</u> 0 20 □

Fig. 10 As in Fig. 9 for the 0° run for the elevated source located at z = 2h over the middle cube in the top row and denoted by a red circle.

termines this time. In delineating the dispersion pathways, it is important 455 to realise that a so-called 'taxicab' or 'Manhattan' geometry applies within 456 the street network, as opposed to normal Euclidean geometry above. In other 457 words, material being transported within the urban canopy is constrained to 458 follow the rectangular street pattern. Hence, the relevant measure of distance 459 is the so-called Manhattan metric given by d = |x| + |y|, in contrast with the 460 straight-line distance $d = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ in the unobstructed space above. This 461 purely geometrical factor on its own slows down scalar transport in compari-462 son with the flow above. The much lower wind speed in the canopy compared 463 to that above is an additional factor with the same effect. The combined effect 464 of these two factors is that the scalar can reach a street downstream faster by 465 first detraining into the air above, followed by advection along the fast flow, 466 then re-entrainment into a downstream street, than by transport through the 467 network alone. Hence, it is common to find smaller values for the time of 468 first arrival at some streets further away than others closer to the source. For 469 example, the intersection at location (7,7) in Fig. 11 registers a non-zero con-470 centration after t = 5 (in non-dimensional time units), compared to the time 471 of t = 6 in the street at location (7, 1). If transport through the network were 472 the only pathway, then the time to reach (7,7) should have been around twice 473 that to reach (7, 1). Hence, re-entrainment provides a shortcut pathway for 474 material to reach further downstream quicker. In an emergency response con-475



Fig. 11 As in Fig. 9 for the 45° run for a ground source located in the topmost left hand corner and denoted by a red cross. The mean wind direction is along the leading diagonal.

text, this has implications for which areas need to be attended to or evacuatedfirst.

478 5 Conclusions

In this paper we have investigated the dispersion patterns and propagation
from localised sources within and above an idealised street network using DNS
data, and related them to underlying dispersion processes in the network. A
summary of key results include the following:

Detailed dispersion patterns are mapped for three different release sce-483 narios: a 0° (aligned) wind direction with a ground source (GS) and an 484 elevated source (ES); and a 45° (oblique) wind direction with a ground 485 source. The spatial distribution of mean concentration is related to re-486 gions of downward (detrainment) and upward (entrainment) fluxes, which 487 is also mapped. Widening of the in-canopy plume relative to the above-488 canopy plume confirms earlier wind-tunnel observations in the literature 489 (DAPPLE 2011). 490

- Re-entrainment from a GS release occurs just a few streets downstream and
 a dynamic equilibrium with detrainment is quickly established. This was
 already known from the earlier studies of Goulart et al. (2018). The new

result here is that for an ES release entrainment also happens an equally
short distance downstream but equilibration with detrainment takes much
longer. There are also differences in where it happens quicker (over canyons
for GS and over channels for ES).

- These are large differences hoters
- There are large differences between the relative magnitudes of advection
 and detrainment velocities for the aligned and oblique flow directions. This
 has implications for breathability in a street network, i.e. a change in wind
 direction can affect ventilation efficiency considerably.
- Characteristic advection and vertical exchange time scales are defined and
 compared for the different cases considered. These are used to quantify the
 travel times associated with different dispersion pathways and to interpret
 the DNS data on times of arrival of the localised release.
- The time of first arrival of the scalar in individual streets in the network is
 mapped for the three cases. The spatial pattern of this arrival time reflects
 the relative importance of different dispersion processes in each case:
- For the aligned flow with a GS advection through the street network
 is the dominant process and leads to a generally monotonic increase in
 the time of arrival with distance from the source. Lateral transfer is
 limited and this is reflected in large arrival times in lateral locations.
- For the aligned flow with an ES entrainment is, naturally, the dominant process. Times of arrival are much shorter than for the GS because of the faster flow above the network, and are also much more similar. Local mimima in these transit times can occur.
- For the oblique flow with a GS there is a competition between advection and entrainment. Two factors can give the latter an advantage: the purely geometrical constraint of a taxicab geometry in the street network, coupled with the dynamical effect of reduced advection velocity. This can lead to material reaching remote parts of the network much faster (up to twice as fast in some cases) than it could by advection alone.

Taken together, these results highlight the importance of entrainment and 524 re-entrainment as mechanisms for pollutant spread and dispersion in the urban 525 environment. They show evidence that dispersion pathways and time scales for 526 pollutant transport can be significantly altered as a result of these processes. 527 This has particular implications for emergency-response modelling of toxic 528 releases in urban areas. It can also potentially cast a new perspective on air 529 pollution mitigation strategies, such as in the design of clean air zones and 530 more generally in developing strategies to improve city breathability. Perhaps 531 a key take-home message in this regard is the insight that vertical exchange 532 is a two-way process and that the concept of exchange velocity needs to be 533 augmented in recognition of this fact. 534

We think the results summarised above and their potential implications are important enough that further studies should be devoted to entrainment in urban canopies. In particular, it would be interesting to pursue a parameter study for different wind directions and array geometries to explore how the conclusions change, perhaps using computationally cheaper methods such as
 large-eddy simulation or Lagrangian stochastic modelling.

Acknowledgements Fernanda Cezana, Elisa V. Goulart and Neyval Costa Reis Jnior 541 gratefully acknowledge funding from National Council for Scientific and Technological De-542 543 velopment (CNPq), Capes (Coordination of Superior Level Staff Improvement) - Print Institutional Internationalization Program (88881.311735/2018-01.) and Espirito Santo Research 544 Foundation (FAPES), Brazil. Omduth Coceal gratefully acknowledges funding from the Nat-545 ural Environment Research Council (NERC) through their National Centre for Atmospheric 546 Science (NCAS) under Grant No. R8/H12/83/002 and from the Engineering and Physical 547 Sciences Research Council for the DIPLOS project (EPSRC Contract No. EP/K040707/1). 548

OC also thanks the Federal University of Espirito Santo (UFES) for funding a two-week

visit through their Capes_Print Institutional Internationalization Program.

551 References

- Belcher SE, Coceal O, Hunt JCR, Carruthers DJ, Robins AG (2012) A review
 of urban dispersion modelling. Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling Liaison
- ⁵⁵⁴ Committee Report, Tech rep
- Belcher SE, Coceal O, Goulart EV, Rudd AC, Robins AG (2015) Pro cesses controlling atmospheric dispersion through city centres. J Fluid Mech
- ⁵⁵⁷ 763:51–81, DOI https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2014.661
- Branford S, Coceal O, Thomas TG, Belcher SE (2011) Dispersion of a point source release of a passive scalar through an urban-like array for differ ent wind directions. Boundary-Layer Meteorology 139(3):367–394, DOI
- ⁵⁶¹ 10.1007/s10546-011-9589-1
- Britter RE, Hanna SR (2003) Flow and dispersion in urban areas. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 35(1):469–496, DOI
 10.1146/annurev.fluid.35.101101.161147
- Carpentieri M, Hayden P, Robins AG (2012) Wind tunnel measurements of pollutant turbulent fluxes in urban intersections. Atmospheric Environment
- ⁵⁶⁷ 46:669–674, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.09.083
- Carpentieri M, Robins AG, Hayden P, Santi E (2018) Mean and turbulent mass
 flux measurements in an idealised street network. Environmental Pollution
 234:356–367, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.069
- ⁵⁷¹ Chen L, Hanga J, Sandbergc M, Claessonc L, Sabatinod SD, Wigo ⁵⁷² H (2017) The impacts of building height variations and building ⁵⁷³ packing densities on flow adjustment and city breathability in ide-⁵⁷⁴ alized urban models. Building and Environment 118:344–361, DOI
- 575 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2017.03.042
- ⁵⁷⁶ Coceal O, Thomas TG, Castro IP, Belcher S (2006) Mean flow and turbulence
 ⁵⁷⁷ statistics over groups of urban-like cubical obstacles. Bound-Lay Meteorol
 ⁵⁷⁸ 121(3):491-519, DOI https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-006-9076-2
- 579 Coceal O, Dobre A, Thomas TG, Belcher SE (2007) Structure of turbulent
- flow over regular arrays of cubical roughness. Journal of Fluid Mechanics
 589:375409, DOI 10.1017/S002211200700794X

20

- ⁵⁸² Coceal O, Goulart EV, Branford S, Thomas TG, Belcher SE (2014) Flow
- structure and near-field dispersion in arrays of building-like obstacles. Jour nal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics 125:52–68, DOI
- ⁵⁸⁵ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2013.11.013
- DAPPLE (2011) Final reports to the home office; dapple 2009/13 v3. Tech rep
- Garbero V, Salizzoni P, Soulhac L (2010) Experimental study of pollu tant dispersion within a network of streets. Boundary-Layer Meteorology 136(3):457–487, DOI 10.1007/s10546-010-9511-2
- Goulart EV, Coceal O, Belcher SE (2018) Dispersion of a passive scalar
 within and above an urban street network. Boundary-Layer Meteorology
 166(3):351–366, DOI 10.1007/s10546-017-0315-5
- Harrison RM (2018) Urban atmospheric chemistry: a very special case
 for study. npj Climate and Atmospheric Science 1(1):2397–3722, DOI
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-017-0010-8
- ⁵⁹⁷ Hertwig D, Soulhac L, Fuka V, Auerswald T, Carpentieri M, Hayden P, Robins
- A, Xie ZT, Coceal O (2018) Evaluation of fast atmospheric dispersion mod els in a regular street network. Environ Fluid Mech 18(4):1007–1044, DOI
- 600 10.1007/s10652-018-9587-7
- Moin P, Mahesh K (1998) Direct numerical simulation: A tool in turbulence research. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 30(1):539–578, DOI
- 603 10.1146/annurev.fluid.30.1.539
- Neophytou M, Britter RE (2005) Modelling the wind ow in complex urban topographies: a computational-fluid-dynamics simulation of the central lon-
- don area. In: Proceedings of the Fifth GRACM International Congress on Computational Mechanics, Limassol, Cyprus
- Panagiotou I, Neophytou MKA, Hamlyn D, Britter RE (2013) City breathability as quantified by the exchange velocity and its spatial variation
 in real inhomogeneous urban geometries: An example from central london urban area. Science of The Total Environment 442:466 477, DOI
- 612 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.09.001
- Peng Y, Buccolieri R, Gao Z, Ding W (2020) Indices employed for the assess ment of urban outdoor ventilation A review. Atmospheric Environment
 223:955–969, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117211
- ⁶¹⁶ Pope SB (2000) Turbulent Flows. Cambridge University Press, DOI
 ⁶¹⁷ 10.1017/CBO9780511840531
- ⁶¹⁸ Shen Z, Cui G, Zhang Z (2017) Turbulent dispersion of pollutants in urbantype canopies understable stratification conditions. Atmospheric Environ-
- 620 ment 156:1–14, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.02.017
- Soulhac L, Garbero V, Salizzoni P, Mejean P, Perkins R (2009) Flow and
 dispersion in street intersections. Atmospheric Environment 43(18):2981–
 DOL 144 (11) (10) 1016 (1) + (10) 2000 02 061
- ⁶²³ 2996, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.061
- ⁶²⁴ Targa T, Kent A, Stewart R, Coleman P, Bower J, Webster H, Taylor J,
- ⁶²⁵ Murray V, Mohan R, Aus C (2006) Initial review of air quality aspects of ⁶²⁶ the buncefield oil depot explosion. AEA Technology Environment, Netcen,
- 627 Tech rep