Identification of new antimicrobial peptides that contribute to the bactericidal activity of egg white against Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis at 45 °C

Article

Accepted Version

Cochet, M.-F., Baron, F., Bonnassie, S., Jan, S., Leconte, N., Jardin, J., Briard-Bion, V., Gautier, M., Andrews, S. C., Guérin-Dubiard, C. and Nau, F. (2021) Identification of new antimicrobial peptides that contribute to the bactericidal activity of egg white against Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis at 45 °C. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 69 (7). pp. 2118-2128. ISSN 0021-8561 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c06677> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/96166/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c06677>

Publisher: American Chemical Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in

the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Identification of new anti-microbial peptides that contribute to the bactericidal activity of egg white against *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis at 45 °C

Marie-Françoise Cochet^{1*}, Florence Baron¹, Sylvie Bonnassie^{1,2}, Sophie Jan¹, Nadine Leconte¹, Julien Jardin¹, Valérie Briard-Bion¹, Michel Gautier¹, Simon C. Andrews³, Catherine Guérin-Dubiard¹, Françoise Nau¹

¹ STLO, INRAE, Institut Agro, 35042, Rennes, France

² UFR Sciences de la Vie et de l'Environnement, Rennes, France

³ School of Biological Sciences, Knight Building, University of Reading, Reading, UK

Abstract

A recent work revealed that egg white (EW) at 45 °C exhibits a powerful bactericidal activity against *S. enterica* serovar Enteritidis, which is surprisingly little affected by removal of the >10 kDa EW proteins. Here, we sought to identify the major EW factors responsible for this bactericidal activity by fractionating EW using ultrafiltration and nanofiltration, and by characterizing the physicochemical and antimicrobial properties of the resulting fractions. In particular, 22 peptides were identified by nano-LC/MS-MS and the bactericidal activities of representative peptides (with predicted antimicrobial activity) were further assessed. Two peptides (FVPPVQR and GDPSAWSWGAEAHS) were found to be bactericidal against *S. enterica* serovar Enteritidis at 45 °C when provided in an EW environment. Nevertheless, these peptides contribute only part of this bactericidal activity, suggesting other, yet to be determined, anti-microbial factors.

Keywords: egg white; ultrafiltration; antimicrobial activity; peptide; *Salmonella* Enteritidis

Introduction

Egg white (EW) represents a hostile medium for microorganisms due to its alkaline pH, high viscosity, nutrient deficiency and the array of antimicrobial proteins and peptides it contains (in particular lysozyme, ovotransferrin, protease inhibitors and vitamin-binding proteins)^{1,2}.

Lysozyme exerts a hydrolytic activity against the cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria leading to membrane disruption. Ovotransferrin is a high-affinity iron-chelating protein that promotes iron restriction and mediates damage to bacterial cytoplasmic membranes³. Protease inhibitors (e.g. ovomucoid, ovomucoid, cystatin and ovostatin) would inhibit proteases of pathogenic bacteria required for host colonization. EW vitamin-binding proteins, namely flavoprotein, avidin and the thiamine-binding protein sequester riboflavin, biotin and thiamine, respectively, and thus would induce a bacteriostatic effect. In addition, some minor proteins and peptides recently revealed by high-throughput approaches may also play a role in defence against bacterial contamination and it is quite possible that the various anti-bacterial factors associated with EW interact synergistically to enhance protection against bacterial invaders⁴.

Previous studies on the antimicrobial activity of chicken EW largely focused on *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis, hereinafter referred to as *S. Enteritidis*, since this serotype is the major food-borne pathogen (90%) associated with the consumption of eggs and egg products⁵.

The high association of *S. Enteritidis* in egg-related salmonellosis is thought to be due to its specialized ability to survive exposure to the hostile conditions of EW⁶⁻⁸. It is generally accepted that upon exposure to EW *Salmonella* suffers from two major harmful influences, iron deficiency (resulting in a bacteriostatic effect) and cell-envelope damage (which is bactericidal)⁴.

However, physicochemical factors, such as alkaline pH and temperature of incubation also play important roles in EW antimicrobial activity. Indeed, *S. Enteritidis* is able to grow weakly in EW at 20 °C and 30 °C^{2,9}. However, at higher temperature (≥ 42 °C), EW exerts a bactericidal effect against *S. Enteritidis*^{1,6,10}. It is notable that the lowest temperature at which significant

bactericidal activity is observed for EW is close to that naturally encountered during egg formation (i.e. that of the hen body, 42 °C). For this reason, this temperature is routinely used in studies on the bactericidal activity of EW^{11,12}. The importance of temperature in the antimicrobial activity of EW is highlighted by a method for pasteurisation of liquid EW involving heat treatment at 42-45 °C for 1 to 5 days. This treatment allows subsequent storage of EW at room temperature for several months¹³ and, critically, it provides a complete killing of *S. Enteritidis* and is more efficient than the traditional EW pasteurization treatment (57 °C for 2 to 5 min) that requires subsequent storage under refrigeration.

Exposure of *S. Enteritidis* to EW model medium (namely egg white 10kDa filtrate supplemented with 10% EW) at 45 °C for 45 min results in extensive changes in global-gene expression¹⁰ indicative of a major response of *S. Enteritidis* to nutrient deprivation (iron and biotin) and cell damage/stress, and a shift in energy metabolism and catabolism. These changes were considered to reflect attempts by *S. Enteritidis* to overcome the antibacterial activities of EW that lead to eventual cell death after prolonged incubation at 45 °C. Surprisingly, removal of the ≥ 10 kDa proteins from EW by ultrafiltration had little impact on the global expression pattern (only 64 genes were affected after 45 min, 2% of the total genome) and the bactericidal activity (over 24 h) when compared to the EW model medium, indicating that the EW proteins of ≥ 10 kDa are not strictly required for the bactericidal activity of EW at 45 °C¹⁴, despite potentially active. In addition, the ≥ 10 kDa proteins of EW were not required for lysis of *S. Enteritidis* in EW at 45 °C¹⁴. Thus, it was concluded that low mass (<10 kDa) components of EW (such as minerals and/or small bioactive/antimicrobial peptides) are probably the major contributors to the bactericidal activity of EW at 45 °C¹⁴.

The aim of the study presented here was to determine the key low-mass (<10 kDa) factors responsible for *Salmonella* killing by EW at 45 °C. To identify such factors, successive ultra- and nano-filtration steps were applied to EW (10 kDa, 1 kDa and 400 Da cut-off membranes,

respectively) and the antimicrobial activities and compositions of the resulting filtrates were determined.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strain

Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis NCTC13349 was kindly provided by Matthew McCusker (Center for Food Safety and Food Borne Zoonomics, Veterinary Sciences Centre, University College Dublin, Ireland). This strain was isolated from an outbreak of human food poisoning in the United Kingdom traced back to a poultry farm. The stock cultures were stored at -80 °C in 25% (v/v) glycerol. Before use, cells were propagated twice overnight at 37 °C in tryptic soy broth (TSB, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) without shaking.

Preparation of sterile egg white

EW was prepared from 5 to 10 day-old eggs provided from a local supermarket. The eggshell surface was cleaned with a tissue, checked for cracks and then sterilized using 70% alcohol; residual alcohol was removed by briefly flaming the shell. Eggshells were then broken under sterile conditions and the egg whites were collected before aseptic homogenization with a DI25 Basic homogenizer (Ika, Grosseron, Saint-Herblain, France) at 9,500 rpm for 1 min. The egg white pH was 9.3 ± 0.1 .

Egg-white fractionation

EW ultrafiltration was carried out according to Baron *et al.*² using a pilot unit (Millipore type PRO LAB MSP 006239) equipped with an organic spiral-wound membrane (0.3 m², 10 kDa cut-off). The concentrated EW (egg white retentate, EWR) was circulated back to the feed-tank while the EW filtrate (10kDa EWF) was drained off and collected in a beaker (Figure 1). The 10kDa EWF was then either subjected to ultrafiltration (as above) using an organic spiral-

wound membrane (0.3 m², 1 kDa cut-off) to obtain the 1kDa EWF, or to nanofiltration with a Helicon Nanomax 50 membrane (0.3 m², 400 Da cut-off) to obtain the 400Da EWF (Figure 1). All EW filtrates (EWF) were sterilized by filtration (NalgeneR filter unit, pore size <0.2 µm, Osi, Elancourt, France), measured for pH and then stored at 4 °C until use.

Physicochemical analyses.

Nitrogen content of EW and EWFs was determined by the Kjeldahl method. Glucose was quantified using an enzymatic spectrophotometric test (Glucose GOD FS) according to the instructions of the provider (DiaSys GmbH, Germany). Mineral quantification by ICP-OES was carried out using samples in 10% iron-free nitric acid (Sigma-Aldrich; 438073), incubated in sealed, plastic tubes at 80 °C overnight with occasional vortexing. Samples were centrifuged (4 °C, 30 min, 18,111g) and supernatants were diluted twofold. The multi-elemental contents of the nitric acid-dissolved sample-solutions were determined using a Perkin Elmer Optima 3000 ICP-OES with radial view and a cross flow nebulizer (Anne Dudley, Analytical Technical Services, University of Reading).

Mass spectrometry analysis

Mass spectrometry (MS) analysis was performed on EW fractions (10kDa EWF, 400Da EWF and 400Da EWR) using a NanoLC Dionex U3000 system fitted to a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (ThermoScientific, San Jose, USA) equipped with a nano-electrospray ion source. One hundred microliters of samples was diluted in a solution composed of 100 µL nano-LC solvent A described below and 50 µL of 2% formic acid. These samples were concentrated on a C18 PepMap100 cartridge (5 µm particle size, 100 Å pore size, 300 µm i.d., 5 mm length; Dionex, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), before peptide separation on a C18 PepMap100 column (3 µm particle size, 100 Å pore size, 75 µm i.d., 150 mm length; Dionex). Elution was performed using solvent A (2% v/v acetonitrile, 0.08% v/v formic acid and 0.01% v/v TFA in

deionized water) and solvent B (95% v/v acetonitrile, 0.08% v/v formic acid, and 0.01% v/v TFA in deionized water), by applying a gradient from 5 to 70% solvent B over 28 min followed by a gradient from 70 to 95% solvent B over 5 min at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min.

Eluted peptides were directly electro-sprayed into the Proxeon source operating in positive ion mode with an optimized voltage of 2.1 kV. The mass spectra were recorded in a m/z range from 250 to 2,000, with a resolution of the mass analyzer set to 70,000. For each scan, the ten most intense ions were selected for fragmentation. MS/MS spectra were recorded with a resolution set to 17,500, with exclusion from MS/MS fragmentation of the parent ion for 15 s. The equipment was externally calibrated according to the supplier's instructions. All samples were analysed in triplicate.

Identification of peptides

Peptides were identified from the MS/MS spectra using X!Tandem pipeline software (Plateforme d'Analyse Protéomique de Paris Sud-Ouest (PAPPSO), INRAE, Jouy-en-Josas, France, <http://pappso.inra.fr>). The search was performed against a database composed of reviewed proteins of *Gallus gallus* (2262 proteins downloaded to which was added the common Repository of adventitious Protein, <http://thegpm.org/crap>). Database search parameters were specified as follows: non-specific enzyme cleavage; a 0.05 Da mass error for fragment ions; 10 ppm mass error for parent ions; with methionine oxidation and serine phosphorylation as putative modifications. A minimum score corresponding to an e-value below 0.05 was required for valid peptide identification.

Prediction of antimicrobial activity of peptides

According to an approach previously described by Bishop *et al.*¹⁵, the peptide sequences identified in the EW fractions were submitted to the free web-based ADAM database¹⁶ using

SVM Predict (Support Vector Machine)

(http://bioinformatics.cs.ntou.edu.tw/ADAM/svm_predict.php) or to the cAMP database using SVM, Random Forest (RF), Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Discriminant Analysis (DA) (<http://www.camp.bicnirrh.res.in/predict/hii.php>). Several physicochemical characteristics of these peptides were also calculated using ProtParam tools (ExPASy Bioinformatics Resource Portal): theoretical molecular weight, theoretical pI, hydrophobicity evaluated by the GRAVY index (Grand Average Hydropathy value) and stability evaluated by the Instability index. The net charge at pH 7.0 and pH 9.0 was predicted using the Protein Calculator v3.4 (<https://protpcalc.sourceforge.net/cgi-bin/protcalc>). Comments about structure features were extracted from the Antimicrobial Peptide Calculator and Predictor APD3 (<http://aps.unmc.edu/AP/prediction/actionInput.php>).

Peptide synthesis

The peptides P1=FVPPVQR, P2=GDPSAWSWGAEAHs, P3=TPPFGGFR, and P4=HPFIQHPVHG were synthesized by Eurogentec (Angers, France) at purity rates above 95%. Stock solutions were prepared by dissolving each synthetic peptide in sterile ultrapure water at 2 mg/mL and stored at -20 °C until use.

Anti-Salmonella activity measurement

The anti-*Salmonella* activity of EW, EWFs and isolated EW peptides was determined by incubation with *Salmonella* for 24 h at 45 and 30 °C (as a control temperature), as follows. After overnight propagation in tryptone soy broth (TSB, pH 7.3, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), *Salmonella* cultures were centrifuged (5,600g at 15 °C for 7 min) and cells were washed three times in the same volume of tryptone salt medium (AES, Combourg, France) or TSB (when TSB was used as the assay medium). The washed pellets were finally resuspended in the same volume of tryptone salt medium and diluted to inoculate at 2% 96-well microplates 2.2 mL

(Starlab, Bagneux, France) containing 800 μ L of the assay medium to obtain a final *Salmonella* inoculum level of $6 \pm 0.2 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL.

To test the antibacterial activity of the peptides of interest, assay medium with synthetic P1, P2, P3 or P4 peptides (100 μ g/mL) in either 400Da EWF or minimal medium M63 (KH₂PO₄ 60 mM, (NH₄)₂SO₄ 1.5 mM, MgSO₄ 1 mM, glucose 0.4%) were used; pH was adjusted to 9.2 with KOH 30%.

To test the effect of pH and nutritional deficiency, the pH of the 400Da EWF was adjusted to 9.2 with 2 M NaOH, and glucose and NH₄Cl were added to a final concentration of 25 and 3mM, respectively.

After incubation for 24h at 30°C, viable cell numbers were determined using a numeration method based on the miniaturization of the conventional plate-counting technique, according to Baron *et al.*¹⁷ with a Tryptone soya agar (TSA) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) overlay procedure. Results were compared using analysis of variance and the average comparison test using the R 2.13.0 software (<http://cran.r-project.org>).

Results and Discussion

Removal of components >400 Da significantly reduces, but does not eliminate the bactericidal activity of EW against *S. Enteritidis* at 45°C

The approach adopted to investigate the key factors responsible for the bactericidal effect of EW and EWFs on *S. Enteritidis* at 45 °C was based on an EW fractionation strategy using successive ultrafiltration and nanofiltration steps (10 kDa, 1 kDa and 400 Da cut-off, respectively) followed by assessment of *S. Enteritidis* survival at 45 °C (and 30 °C as a control) in EW and in the three resulting fractions: 10kDa EWF, 1kDa EWF, and 400DaEWF.

A strong bactericidal effect was observed after 24 h at 45 °C in all EW fractions: *Salmonella* cells were undetectable in EW, 10kDa EWF and 1kDa EWF which corresponds to a 6 $\log_{10}$ reduction in cell numbers. However, *S. Enteritidis* only decreased by $2.6 \pm 0.5 \log_{10}$ in the 400Da

185 EWF (Figure 2A). The bactericidal effect observed was not simply due to temperature as there
186 was an increase of $2.6 \pm 0.2 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL after 24 h when incubation at 45 °C was performed
187 in TSB rather than EW or the EWFs. However, the bactericidal effect was only observed for
188 EW and EWFs at 45 °C; at 30 °C the *Salmonella* cells count increased in all the media tested
189 (Figure 2B). Nevertheless, the growth at 30 °C was significantly lower in EW and in EWFs
190 ($+1.5 \pm 0.7 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL in EW; $+2.4 \pm 0.2 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL in 10kDa and 1kDa EWF, and
191 $+1.1 \pm 0.2 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL in 400Da EWF) than in TSB medium ($+3.4 \pm 0.1 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL). The
192 above results are in agreement with those previously obtained in EW and 10kDa EWF at 30 °C²
193 and 45 °C^{10,14}, and they indicate that EW and the EWFs allow significant growth of *S.*
194 *Enteritidis* at 30 °C, but become strongly bactericidal at 45 °C, unlike standard growth medium.
195 Importantly, the bactericidal activity towards *S. Enteritidis* at 45 °C was significantly reduced
196 for the 400Da EWF suggesting that EW factors larger than 400 Da play a major role in the
197 bactericidal activity of EW at this temperature.

198 In order to confirm the differences in the bactericidal activity of the 400Da EWF and the other
199 EW fractions, *S. Enteritidis* survival was measured at 45 °C (and 30 °C as a control) in the
200 400Da EWF with addition of the 400Da EWR at 0-100% (v/v) concentration (Figure 3). A clear
201 dose-dependent response was observed, with a progressive increase in bactericidal activity at
202 45 °C achieved as the percentage of 400Da EWR was elevated, with the activity reaching a
203 maximum 6 $\log_{10}$ reduction with 100% (v/v) 400Da EWR (Figure 3A), as was obtained for the
204 1kDa and 10kDa EWFs (Figure 2A). Addition of the 400Da EWR to the 400Da EWF also
205 restored the growth of *S. Enteritidis* at 30 °C (Figure 3B), such that the same level of growth
206 was seen as that obtained for the 1kDa or 10kDa EWFs (Figure 2B). This indicates that the
207 400Da EWR provides a source of nutrients for *S. Enteritidis* growth at 30 °C, but contributes to
208 the antibacterial activity observed at 45 °C. In summary, the above data indicate that the
209 bactericidal components of EW can be separated into two fractions on the basis of mass (> and

<400 Da), and that recombining these fractions restores the bactericidal activity obtained at 45 °C to match that seen for whole EW. The results therefore supports that the bactericidal activity of EW at 45 °C is a multifactorial phenomenon¹, and suggests it may result from the combination of physicochemical factors, and small molecules (< 10 kDa and >400 Da) such as antimicrobial peptides.

Contribution of physicochemical factors to the bactericidal activity of EW at 45 °C

Chemical analysis of EW, and the 10kDa and 400Da EWFs was performed (Table 1) to determine whether there are any differences that could explain the reduced bactericidal activity seen for the 400Da EWF. The glucose (180 Da) concentration in EW and 10kDa EWF (21 and 25 mM, respectively) was approximately twofold higher than that typically used in culture media (around 11 mM glucose); a similar glucose concentration (17 mM) was also measured in 1kDa EWF (data not shown). This suggests that there is sufficient glucose in EW but also in 10kDa EWF and 1kDa EWF to support *S. Enteritidis* growth. However, it is possible that the 4 to 5-fold lower level of glucose (4.8 mM) in the 400Da EWF might contribute to the lower growth observed at 30 °C in 400Da EWF in comparison to 10kDa EWF (+1.1±0.2 log₁₀ CFU/mL and +2.4±0.2 log₁₀ CFU/mL, respectively). However, it is unlikely that this difference in glucose content is responsible for the reduced bactericidal activity of the 400Da EWF at 45 °C.

The total nitrogen concentration was much higher in EW (1364 mM) than in the 10kDa and 400Da EWFs (2.3 and 0.69 mM, respectively), which is consistent with the high level of protein (around 10% w/v) in EW and the loss of protein from the EWFs through filtration (Table 1); the nitrogen content in 1kDa EWF (2.1 mM) was similar to that measured in 10kDa EWF (data not shown). The low nitrogen concentration of 400Da EWF is close to the threshold concentration (1 mM) for enterobacteria growth¹⁸. As for glucose, this relatively low nitrogen

availability could contribute to the lower growth of *S. Enteritidis* at 30 °C in the 400Da EWF compared to 10kDa EWF, as suggested by Figure 4. However, it is unlikely that this low protein content is responsible for the reduced bactericidal activity of 400Da EWF at 45 °C. As for the lower growth at 30°C in EW in comparison to 10kDa EWF and 1kDa EWF, it was likely due to the presence of antimicrobial proteins in EW⁴.

For the eight major minerals presented in Table 1, some differences were found between EW and the EWFs. In particular, there were major decreases in iron and manganese, and modest decreases for zinc, copper, calcium, potassium and magnesium in the EWFs compared to EW (7.5-900, 250-500, 5-36, 3-9, 5-12, 0.98-1.25, 1.11-4 fold, respectively; Table 1). However, except for iron, the measured mineral concentrations are above the concentration thresholds considered necessary for bacterial growth¹⁹⁻²⁴. EW is well recognized as an iron-deficient medium and it is generally considered that in whole EW iron is almost entirely bound to ovotransferrin⁴ which would be lost upon filtration; this explains why the 10kDa and 400Da EWFs (both ovotransferrin-free) contain up to 900-fold less iron than EW. The reduced Zn, Cu and (particularly) Mn in the EWFs suggest that these metals are also retained; this is likely to be due to association with EW macromolecules²⁵. However, such reductions in mineral levels are unlikely to explain the reduced bactericidal activity of the 400Da EWF toward *S. Enteritidis* at 45 °C (or reduced growth seen in 400Da EWF at 30 °C) since levels of these minerals are similar in the 10kDa and 400Da EWFs (Table 1).

One last difference between the 400Da EWF, and EW and the 10kDa EWF, is pH which was lower in the 400Da EWF (Table 1). The importance of alkaline pH for the antimicrobial activity is well reported^{1,6}. Therefore, it is reasonable to suggest that the lower pH measured in the 400Da EWF could partly explain the lower bactericidal activity of this fraction compared to EW and 10kDa EWF. From all the physicochemical characteristics of 400Da EWF determined here, its pH (8.7) is the most likely hypothesis to explain the least bacteria destruction observed

at 45 °C in this medium in comparison with 10kDa EWF. To test whether the reduced pH, glucose or nitrogen concentrations of the 400Da EWF compared to EW and 10kDa EWF could account for its reduced bactericidal activity, *Salmonella* survival was measured in the 400Da EWF at 45 °C (and 30 °C as control) at pH 8.7 and 9.2, with glucose at 25 mM and nitrogen at 3 mM (final concentrations) (Figure 4). The modifications of 400Da EWF did not significantly change ($p>0.05$) bactericidal activity at 45 °C (Figure 4A), indicating that the changes in pH, glucose and nitrogen availability are not responsible for the reduced bactericidal activity of the 400Da EWF compared to the 10kDa EWF. However, the combined increase in pH, nitrogen and glucose content of the 400Da EWF did result in a significant increase in *S. Enteritidis* growth at 30 °C, although growth was still lower than that obtained in 10kDa EWF (Figure 4B). These findings indicate that nutrient (carbon and/or nitrogen sources) availability and pH are factors that impact *S. Enteritidis* growth in EW at 30 °C. Since adjusting pH, glucose and nitrogen availability only partly restored growth in 400Da EWF at 30 °C towards that seen in the 10kDa EWF, it is likely that there are other differences between these filtrates that affect growth. As the iron, zinc, copper and manganese levels are similar in the 400Da EWF and the 10kDa EWF, it is unlikely that differences in availability of these metals would explain the difference in growth. So other factors are likely responsible for this effect.

Putative antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are present in EW ultrafiltrates

To test the possible involvement of small bioactive compounds in the bactericidal activity of EW and EWFs at 45 °C, the 10kDa and 400Da EWFs, and 400Da EWR were analysed by mass spectrometry (MS). No peptides could be detected in the 400Da EWF, consistently with the very low nitrogen content measured in this fraction (equivalent to a peptide content of 0.06 g/L) but 12 peptides were identified in the 10kDa EWF, the peptide content of which was estimated at 0.2 g/L. All 12 peptides were also detected in the 400Da EWR in addition with 10 other peptides (Table 2). The higher number of peptides detected in the 400Da EWR likely results

284 from a higher concentration in the retentate (peptide content estimated at 0.44 g/L) with respect
285 to that in the more diluted 10kDa EWF. However, due to the detection threshold of LC-MS/MS
286 analysis, it is likely that other peptides present at very low concentration might exist in EWFs.
287 Similarly, because of technical limits which make impossible the identification of peptides
288 smaller than 5 to 6 amino acid residues and those larger than 40 to 45 amino acid residues, the
289 list of peptides detected in 10kDa EWF and 400Da EWR is likely not exhaustive. In particular,
290 it is noteworthy that avian beta-defensin 11 (AvBD11; 82 amino acid residues), gallin
291 (OvoDA1; 41 amino acid residues) and OvoDB1 (45 amino acid residues), all previously
292 identified in EW, were not detected in the present study^{4,26,27}.

293 The peptides identified originate mainly from ovocleidin-116 and clusterin, two minor proteins
294 previously identified in EW^{28,29} (Table 2). Ovocleidin-116 is a major component of the eggshell
295 matrix, and a main actor of the regulation of eggshell calcification³⁰. Hen egg clusterin is a
296 structural component of the eggshell matrix, but also identified in EW³¹; clusterins are
297 ubiquitous proteins with molecular chaperone function³². Among the 11 peptides stemming
298 from clusterin, four belong to the fragment [203-221: TPPFGGFREAFVPPVQRVR] (group 3,
299 Table 2), five to the fragment [211-221: EAFVPPVQRVR] (group 1, Table 2), and two to the
300 fragment [232-246: EIHPFIQHPVHGFHR] (group 4, Table 2). Among the nine peptides
301 derived from ovocleidin-116 (group 5, Table 2), two belong to the fragment [459-482:
302 VQQEVAPARGVVGGMVVPEGHRAR], six to the fragment [561-587:
303 IGQAARPEVAPAPSTGGRIVAPGGHRA], and one corresponds to the fragment [622-643:
304 STDVPRDPWVWGS AHPQAQHTR]). Moreover, two peptides originate from zona pellucida
305 sperm binding protein 3, called ZP3. ZP3 is one of the five ZPs present in the vitelline
306 membrane of bird eggs, all playing an important role in egg fertilization. ZP3 is especially
307 involved in the binding of sperm in the germinal disc region of the yolk^{33,34}. Both peptides
308 stemming from this protein and identified in 400Da EWR belong to the fragment [86-99:

309 GDPSAWSWGAEAHs] (group 2, Table 2). To the best of our knowledge, no antibacterial
310 activity has been ever reported for ovocleidin-116, hen egg clusterin, and ZP3.

311 The main physicochemical properties of the peptides are summarized in Table 2. Their
312 molecular weight ranges from 722 to 2,528 Da, and their predicted pI from 3.39 to 11.8. A high
313 proportion of these peptides (16 out of 22) are likely to form an α -helix. Moreover, most (17
314 out of 22) are predicted to be positively charged at neutral pH, and 13 are predicted to remain
315 positively charged at pH 9 (close to the pH of 9.3 used in the present study, that is the natural
316 EW pH a few days after laying). A positive net charge and helicity are well known
317 characteristics of AMPs³⁵. To further probe the potential antibacterial activity of the peptides
318 identified, a bioinformatics approach was applied.

319 All the peptide sequences identified were evaluated for their potential antimicrobial activity
320 using web-based prediction tools in the ADAM and cAMP-databases (see M&M section). Nine
321 peptides presented a negative ADAM score and were not considered for further analysis. All
322 nine of these peptides stemmed from ovocleidin-116 (group 5, Table 2). In contrast, 13 peptides
323 achieved a positive ADAM score ranging from 0.61 to 2.31. These 13 peptides can be divided
324 into four groups, based on the shortest common sequence (Table 2). As a complement to this
325 analysis based on the ADAM database, the cAMP prediction scores were calculated for these
326 13 peptides, using four different algorithms. To enable experimental determination of the
327 antimicrobial activity of representative peptides from the set identified, four peptides were
328 selected for synthesis on the basis of the following criteria: i) the peptide showing the highest
329 ADAM score within each of the 4 groups (1-4) of relevance (Table 1); and ii) possessing at
330 least one positive cAMP database score. Thus, four peptides (designated P1, P2, P3 and P4 in
331 Table 2) were selected.

332 With a GRAVY index score above zero, P3 is considered a hydrophobic peptide, whereas P2
333 and P4 are mostly hydrophilic; P1 has a predicted intermediary hydrophobic/hydrophilic nature

(Table 2). Moreover, out of the four potential AMPs selected, P2 is the only one likely to form a α -helix, whereas P1 and P3 are rich in proline residues, well-known for their “helix-breaker” effect³⁶. P1 and P3 are also predicted to be structurally unstable, based on Instability Index, whereas P2 and P4 are predicted as stable (Table 2).

Two out of the four putative EW AMPs selected exert a bactericidal activity against *S. Enteritidis* at 45 °C

To experimentally determine the antimicrobial activity of the four selected predicted AMPs, *S. Enteritidis* survival was assessed at 45 °C (and 30 °C for control) in 400Da EWF with chemically synthesized P1, P2, P3 or P4 peptides, and the bacterial enumeration was compared to that obtained in 10kDa EWF, 400Da EWF and TSB (Figure 5).

None of the four peptides tested displayed antibacterial activity at 30 °C (Figure 5B). Additionally, the P3 and P4 peptides had no effect on the bactericidal activity of 400Da EWF at 45 °C (Figure 5A). In contrast, the P1 and P2 peptides (at 100 μ g/mL; 119 and 69 μ M for P1 and P2, respectively) strongly increased ($p < 0.001$) the bactericidal activity of 400Da EWF at 45 °C. Indeed, the addition of either P1 or P2 resulted in a substantial 6 log₁₀ reduction of *S. Enteritidis* that is the same bactericidal effect than that observed for 10kDa EWF at 45 °C (Figure 5A). Therefore, the results suggest that P1 and P2 contribute to the bactericidal activity of EW and EW ultrafiltrates at 45 °C. The effect of concentration on the bactericidal activities of P1 and P2 was also tested, and the results show a dose-dependent response for both peptides at 45 °C in 400Da EWF over a concentration range from 0 to 100 μ g/mL, with a higher bactericidal effect for P2 (Figure 6B) than for P1 (Figure 6A).

Thus, the P1 and P2 peptides can be classified as bactericidal peptides active against *S. Enteritidis* under the specific conditions of EW or EW ultrafiltrates at 45 °C. Since 45 °C is close to the body temperature of the hen, P1 and P2 are likely to play a role in resisting

S. Enteritidis infection during egg formation. However, the P1 and P2 peptides displayed no bactericidal activity in M63 minimal medium, even at 45 °C, either at pH 7.8 or 9.2 (Figure 7). Then, P1 and P2 peptides cannot explain by themselves the bactericidal activity of EW and EW ultrafiltrates at 45 °C. Actually, it is very likely that both peptides interact in EW, as well as in 10kDa and 1kDa EWFs, with other harmful factors such as nutrient deprivation, alkaline pH, or other unknown antimicrobial compounds.

To test any synergistic action for the P1 and P2 peptides, the bactericidal effect of combining the two peptides in 400Da EWF at 45 °C was examined (Figure 8). The results show a clear synergistic effect for a 1:1 w/w combination of P1 and P2 (25 µg/mL total concentration) with a higher bactericidal activity compared to that obtained for each peptide alone at the same concentration (Figure 8). A 6 log₁₀ reduction of *S. Enteritidis* was obtained after 24 h incubation with the peptide mixture, whereas only 2.55±0.48 and 4.5±0.15 log₁₀ reductions were obtained with P1 and P2 alone, respectively (Figure 8). Combining P1 and P2 had no apparent effect on *S. Enteritidis* growth in 400Da EWF at 30 °C compared to that observed in the absence of peptides (data not shown).

To conclude, this study has advanced understanding of the bactericidal activity of EW at 45 °C. In particular, two new AMPs (P1 and P2) have been identified in EW and their likely involvement in the bactericidal activity of EW has been revealed. The P1 and P2 peptides have characteristics commonly attributed to AMPs. These characteristics include a total hydrophobic ratio (defined using the APD tool: <http://aps.unmc.edu/AP/>³⁷) of 42% and 35% for P1 and P2, respectively, which matches the relatively high proportion (≥30% or more) of hydrophobic residues often associated with AMPs³⁵. Moreover, P1 contains two Pro residues (28% of all residues) and one Arg residue (14%), whereas P2 contains two Trp (14%), one Pro (7%) and one His (7%) residues, which are common features of AMPs^{35,37}. Furthermore, according to the APD tool for structure prediction, P2 may form a α-helix with at least three residues on the

383 same hydrophobic surface, suggesting an amphiphilic helix folding pattern, as hypothesized for
384 AMPs such as magainins or cecropins; this property is thought to promote interaction with the
385 bacterial membrane^{35,38}. Lastly, P1 has 43% similarity to an AMP registered in the APD
386 database under ID AP02431 (TPPQS), which originates from *Bacillus subtilis*³⁹, while P2 has
387 43% similarity to another AMP registered under ID AP02938 (GTAWRWHYRARS), obtained
388 from the rumen microbiome⁴⁰. P1 has a predicted alkaline pI (pI=9.75) and thus would be very
389 slightly cationic at pH 9 (i.e. close to the pH here tested), while P2 is an acidic peptide (pI=4.35).
390 Thus, under the conditions tested here, neither P1 nor P2 have the strong cationic characteristics
391 widely reported for AMPs, and regarded as critical for interaction between AMPs and bacterial
392 membranes, which is considered to be the first step leading to AMP-mediated membrane
393 dysfunction and disruption³⁵. Nonetheless, some anionic or non-cationic peptides are proven
394 AMPs³⁸, suggesting that a cationic characteristic is not a strict requirement for AMP
395 functionality. In any case, it is likely that P1 and P2 do not act like typical AMPs, since their
396 most striking feature is that their activity requires both a permissible temperature (45 °C) and a
397 specific medium composition (EW or EWF).

398 Despite the original features of P1 and P2 in comparison to most of AMPs, the assumption of
399 membrane disruption induced by these peptides is preferred. Indeed, a previous study evidenced
400 membrane damage (inner and outer membranes) on *E. coli* during incubation in same
401 conditions, i.e. in EW at 45°C⁴¹. Moreover, the influence of temperature on P1 and P2
402 bactericidal activity could be related to membrane fluidity as high temperatures increase the
403 fluidization of biological membranes⁴². Then, the ability of antimicrobial components to cross
404 and/or disrupt the bacterial membrane increases as membrane fluidity rises. The mechanism
405 governing the observed synergy between P1 and P2 is unclear, but this finding highlights the
406 potential for synergistic action of antimicrobial components in EW.

407 Finally, this study confirms the antibacterial role of the EW peptide fraction, besides that of
408 antibacterial proteins described for a long time⁴. It is especially significant as few is known
409 about the antibacterial peptides naturally present in EW. Despite a great number of peptides
410 have been identified in EW during the last decades thanks to proteomics, the biological
411 functions of most of them, and especially their antimicrobial activities have still to be
412 investigated⁴. To date, an avian- β -defensin and a gallin have been identified in hen EW⁴³ and
413 their antibacterial activities have been confirmed^{26,44}. These natural peptides both belong to the
414 family of defensins which are part of the innate immune system in many living species. Avian-
415 β -defensins are cationic peptides of 1 to 9 kDa identified in the eggs of several bird species⁴⁵.
416 These peptides are expressed in many different tissues, including the hen oviduct⁴⁶, which
417 explains that the different compartments of hen egg contain avian- β -defensins which are
418 supposed to be involved in the protection of the embryo during hatching²⁶. Ovodefensins, a
419 sub-family of β -defensins including gallin (4732 Da), have been also identified in the EW of
420 different bird species⁴. Moreover, it is more than likely that EW contain many other
421 antimicrobial peptides, not yet identified, as indicated by the consequence of EW treatment
422 with proteinase K. This treatment eradicated the anti-Salmonella activity of a 3kDa EWF,
423 suggesting that antimicrobial polypeptides smaller than 3 kDa play an active role in the
424 antibacterial defence of EW⁴⁷. However, what does differ between both peptides identified in
425 the present study and antimicrobial peptides such as defensins, is that P1 and P2 are not
426 expressed as such from the hen genome, but are stemming from larger proteins, namely
427 clusterin and ZP3, respectively. This consequently indicate that these proteins have been
428 hydrolysed *in situ*. It is noteworthy that in quail eggs, a 26 amino acid sequence containing a
429 homologous sequence of P2 peptide was removed from ZP3 after ovulation, presumably by a
430 protease secreted in the infundibulum⁴⁸. This might explain why P2 peptide which stems from
431 a vitelline membrane protein (ZP3) was found in EW. It could be hypothesized that this peptide,

released from the vitelline membrane into the forming EW after ovulation, could play a role in protecting the embryo during the completion of egg formation in the oviduct. The fact that P2 peptide specifically acts at 45°C, close to the hen body temperature, supports this assumption. More generally speaking, protein degradation during formation and/or storage of eggs was previously reported, based on the decrease of the band intensity of some proteins in electrophoresis⁴⁹, and more recently, the release of small peptides (<10 kDa and <3kDa) was also established⁵⁰. However, the mechanisms responsible for the proteolysis still remain unknown in most cases. Various proteases naturally present in EW⁵¹ could catalyse the proteolysis. Self-degradation of proteins has been also described as a spontaneous and quite universal phenomenon⁵². However, only small peptides stemming from ovotransferrin, ovomucin, ovomucoid and ovomucoid, i.e. major proteins, have been described in EW to date⁵⁰. In the present study, it is noteworthy that the EW fractionation strategy using ultra- and nanofiltration membranes, leading to a concentrated fraction (400Da EWR), enabled the access to peptides stemming from minor EW proteins. Then, whereas protein degradation can be seen as a potentially detrimental phenomenon when it concerns antimicrobial proteins (ovotransferrin, lysozyme, ovomucoid), the present study highlights it could also contribute to a higher protection of eggs against bacteria, thanks to the release of antimicrobial peptides from non-antimicrobial proteins such as clusterins and ZP3. Beyond the specific issue of egg protection, this study also underlines that egg white proteins, even non-antimicrobial ones, should be considered as potential natural sources of antimicrobial peptides. This has special relevance where innovative antimicrobial molecules are being sought to counteract increasing bacterial resistance which is a major public health challenge.

Corresponding author

455 *(M-F.C.) Mailing address: Institut Agro, UMR STLO, 65 rue de St Briec, 35042 Rennes
456 cedex, France. Phone: +33(0)223485575. E-mail: [marie-francoise.cochet@agrocampus-](mailto:marie-francoise.cochet@agrocampus-ouest.fr)
457 [ouest.fr](mailto:marie-francoise.cochet@agrocampus-ouest.fr)

458 **Notes**

459 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

460 **Acknowledgements**

461 The authors thank Anne Dudley (Analytical Technical Services Division, University of
462 Reading) for ICP-OES analysis.

463 **Funding sources**

464 This study was conducted without any external funding to be claimed.

465 **Abbreviations used**

466 EW, Egg White; EWR, Egg White Retentate; EWF, Egg White Filtrate; AMP, Antimicrobial
467 Peptide; cAMP, Collection of Antimicrobial Peptides; SVM, Support Vector Machine; RF,
468 Random Forest; ANN, Artificial Neural Network; DA, Discriminant Analysis; GRAVY, Grand
469 Average Hydropathy Value; APD, Antimicrobial Peptide Database; Nano LC-MS/MS;
470 Nanoscale Liquid Chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry; ICP-OES,
471 Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry.

References

- (1) Alabdeh, M.; Lechevalier, V.; Nau, F.; Gautier, M.; Cochet, M.-F.; Gonnet, F.; Jan, S.; Baron, F. Role of Incubation Conditions and Protein Fraction on the Antimicrobial Activity of Egg White against *Salmonella* Enteritidis and *Escherichia Coli*. *J Food Protect* **2011**, *74*, 24–31. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-10-157>.
- (2) Baron, F.; Gautier, M.; Brule, G. Factors Involved in the Inhibition of Growth of *Salmonella* Enteritidis in Liquid Egg White. *J. Food Protect.* **1997**, *60*, 1318–1323.
- (3) Garibaldi, J. A. Role of Microbial Iron Transport Compounds in Bacterial Spoilage of Eggs. *Appl. Microbiol.* **1970**, *20* (4), 558–560.
- (4) Baron, F.; Nau, F.; Guérin-Dubiard, C.; Bonnassie, S.; Gautier, M.; Andrews, S. C.; Jan, S. Egg White versus *Salmonella* Enteritidis ! A Harsh Medium Meets a Resilient Pathogen. *Food Microbiol.* **2016**, *53*, Part B, 82–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2015.09.009>.
- (5) Hald, T.; Baggesen, D. L. *EFSA BIOHAZ Panel (EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards), 2014. Scientific Opinion on the Public Health Risks of Table Eggs Due to Deterioration and Development of Pathogens*; European Food Safety Authority, 2014.
- (6) Kang, H.; Loui, C.; Clavijo, R. I.; Riley, L. W.; Lu, S. Survival Characteristics of *Salmonella Enterica* Serovar Enteritidis in Chicken Egg Albumen. *Epidemiol Infect* **2006**, *134* (05), 967. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268806006054>.
- (7) Gantois, I.; Eeckhaut, V.; Pasmans, F.; Haesebrouck, F.; Ducatelle, R.; Immerseel, F. V. A Comparative Study on the Pathogenesis of Egg Contamination by Different Serotypes of *Salmonella*. *Avian Pathology* **2008**, *37* (4), 399–406. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079450802216611>.
- (8) Lu, S. W.; Killoran, P. B.; Riley, L. W. Association of *Salmonella Enterica* Serovar Enteritidis YafD with Resistance to Chicken Egg Albumen. *Infect. Immun.* **2003**, *71*, 6734–6741. <https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.71.12.6734-6741.2003>.
- (9) Růžicková, V. Growth and Survival of *Salmonella* Enteritidis in Selected Egg Foods. *Vet Med (Praha)* **1994**, *39* (4), 187–195.
- (10) Baron, F.; Bonnassie, S.; Alabdeh, M.; Cochet, M.-F.; Nau, F.; Guérin-Dubiard, C.; Gautier, M.; Andrews, S. C.; Jan, S. Global Gene-Expression Analysis of the Response of *Salmonella* Enteritidis to Egg White Exposure Reveals Multiple Egg White-Imposed Stress Responses. *Front Microbiol* **2017**, *8*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00829>.
- (11) Raspoet, R.; Shearer, N.; Appia-Ayme, C.; Haesebrouck, F.; Ducatelle, R.; Thompson, A.; Immerseel, F. V. A Genome-Wide Screen Identifies *Salmonella* Enteritidis Lipopolysaccharide Biosynthesis and the HtrA Heat Shock Protein as Crucial Factors Involved in Egg White Persistence at Chicken Body Temperature. *Poultry Science* **2014**, *93* (5), 1263–1269. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2013-03711>.
- (12) De Vylder, J.; Raspoet, R.; Dewulf, J.; Haesebrouck, F.; Ducatelle, R.; Van Immerseel, F. *Salmonella* Enteritidis Is Superior in Egg White Survival Compared with Other *Salmonella* Serotypes. *Poult Sci* **2013**, *92* (3), 842–845. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2012-02668>.
- (13) Liot, R.; Anza, L. Procédé de Traitement de Blanc d’oeuf Liquide. 9608356, July 4, 1996.
- (14) Baron, F.; Cochet, M.-F.; Alabdeh, M.; Guérin-Dubiard, C.; Gautier, M.; Nau, F.; Andrews, S. C.; Bonnassie, S.; Jan, S. Egg-White Proteins Have a Minor Impact on the Bactericidal Action of Egg White towards *Salmonella* Enteritidis at 45°C. *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *11*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.584986>.
- (15) Bishop, B. M.; Juba, M. L.; Devine, M. C.; Barksdale, S. M.; Rodriguez, C. A.; Chung, M. C.; Russo, P. S.; Vliet, K. A.; Schnur, J. M.; van Hoek, M. L. Bioprospecting the

- American Alligator (*Alligator Mississippiensis*) Host Defense Peptidome. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10* (2), e0117394. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117394>.
- (16) Lee, H.-T.; Lee, C.-C.; Yang, J.-R.; Lai, J. Z. C.; Chang, K. Y. A Large-Scale Structural Classification of Antimicrobial Peptides <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2015/475062/> (accessed Apr 13, 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/475062>.
 - (17) Baron, F.; Cochet, M. F.; Ablain, W.; Grosset, N.; Madec, M. N.; Gonnet, F.; Jan, S.; Gautier, M. Rapid and Cost-Effective Method for Microorganism Enumeration Based on Miniaturization of the Conventional Plate-Counting Technique. *Lait* **2006**, *86*, 251–257. <https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2006005>.
 - (18) Magasanik, B. Regulation of Nitrogen Assimilation. In *Regulation of Gene Expression in Escherichia coli*; Lin, E. C. C., Lynch, A. S., Eds.; Springer US: Boston, MA, 1996; pp 281–290. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-8601-8_13.
 - (19) Ploeg, J. R. van der; Iwanicka-Nowicka, R.; Kertesz, M. A.; Leisinger, T.; Hryniewicz, M. M. Involvement of CysB and Cbl Regulatory Proteins in Expression of the *tauABCD* Operon and Other Sulfate Starvation-Inducible Genes in *Escherichia Coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **1997**, *179* (24), 7671–7678. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.24.7671-7678.1997>.
 - (20) Schramke, H.; Laermann, V.; Tegetmeyer, H. E.; Brachmann, A.; Jung, K.; Altendorf, K. Revisiting Regulation of Potassium Homeostasis in *Escherichia Coli*: The Connection to Phosphate Limitation. *MicrobiologyOpen* **2017**, *6* (3), e00438. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.438>.
 - (21) Andrews, S. C.; Robinson, A. K.; Rodriguez-Quinones, F. Bacterial Iron Homeostasis. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **2003**, *27*, 215–237. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-6445\(03\)00055-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-6445(03)00055-x).
 - (22) Pontes, M. H.; Groisman, E. A. Protein Synthesis Controls Phosphate Homeostasis. *Genes Dev.* **2018**, *32* (1), 79–92. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.309245.117>.
 - (23) Anjem, A.; Varghese, S.; Imlay, J. A. Manganese Import Is a Key Element of the OxyR Response to Hydrogen Peroxide in *Escherichia Coli*. *Molecular Microbiology* **2009**, *72* (4), 844–858. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06699.x>.
 - (24) Outten, C. E.; O'Halloran, and T. V. Femtomolar Sensitivity of Metalloregulatory Proteins Controlling Zinc Homeostasis. *Science* **2001**, *292* (5526), 2488–2492. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1060331>.
 - (25) Tan, A. T.; Woodworth, R. C. Ultraviolet Difference Spectral Studies of Conalbumin Complexes with Transition Metal Ions. *Biochemistry* **1969**, *8* (9), 3711–3716. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00837a033>.
 - (26) Hervé-Grépinet, V.; Réhault-Godbert, S.; Labas, V.; Magallon, T.; Derache, C.; Lavergne, M.; Gautron, J.; Lalmanach, A.-C.; Nys, Y. Purification and Characterization of Avian β -Defensin 11, an Antimicrobial Peptide of the Hen Egg. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2010**, *54* (10), 4401–4409. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00204-10>.
 - (27) Gong, D.; Wilson, P. W.; Bain, M. M.; McDade, K.; Kalina, J.; Hervé-Grépinet, V.; Nys, Y.; Dunn, I. C. Gallin; an Antimicrobial Peptide Member of a New Avian Defensin Family, the Ovoidensins, Has Been Subject to Recent Gene Duplication. *BMC Immunology* **2010**, *11* (1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2172-11-12>.
 - (28) Hincke, M. T.; Nys, Y.; Gautron, J.; Mann, K.; Rodriguez-Navarro, A. B.; McKee, M. D. The Eggshell: Structure, Composition and Mineralization. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)* **2012**, *17*, 1266–1280.
 - (29) Reyes-Grajeda, J. P.; Moreno, A.; Romero, A. Crystal Structure of Ovocleidin-17, a Major Protein of the Calcified *Gallus Gallus* Eggshell. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279* (39), 40876–40881. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M406033200>.

- (30) Riou, C.; Cordeiro, L.-A.; Gérard, N. Eggshell Matrix Proteins OC-116, OC-17 and OCX36 in Hen's Sperm Storage Tubules. *Animal Reproduction Science* **2017**, *185*, np.
- (31) Mann, K.; Gautron, J.; Nys, Y.; McKee, M. D.; Bajari, T.; Schneider, W. J.; Hincke, M. T. Disulfide-Linked Heterodimeric Clusterin Is a Component of the Chicken Eggshell Matrix and Egg White. *Matrix Biology* **2003**, *22* (5), 397–407. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0945-053X\(03\)00072-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0945-053X(03)00072-6).
- (32) Bertacchini, J.; Mediani, L.; Beretti, F.; Guida, M.; Ghalali, A.; Brugnoli, F.; Bertagnolo, V.; Petricoin, E.; Poti, F.; Arioli, J.; Anselmi, L.; Bari, A.; McCubrey, J.; Martelli, A. M.; Cocco, L.; Capitani, S.; Marmiroli, S. Clusterin Enhances AKT2-Mediated Motility of Normal and Cancer Prostate Cells through a PTEN and PHLPP1 Circuit. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* **2019**, *234* (7), 11188–11199. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.27768>.
- (33) Nishio, S.; Kohno, Y.; Iwata, Y.; Arai, M.; Okumura, H.; Oshima, K.; Nadano, D.; Matsuda, T. Glycosylated Chicken ZP2 Accumulates in the Egg Coat of Immature Oocytes and Remains Localized to the Germinal Disc Region of Mature Eggs. *Biol Reprod* **2014**, *91* (5). <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.114.119826>.
- (34) Bausek, N.; Ruckenbauer, H. H.; Pfeifer, S.; Schneider, W. J.; Wohlrab, F. Interaction of Sperm with Purified Native Chicken ZP1 and ZPC Proteins. *Biol Reprod* **2004**, *71* (2), 684–690. <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.104.028605>.
- (35) Mahlapuu, M.; Håkansson, J.; Ringstad, L.; Björn, C. Antimicrobial Peptides: An Emerging Category of Therapeutic Agents. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **2016**, *6*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2016.00194>.
- (36) Williamson, M. P. The Structure and Function of Proline-Rich Regions in Proteins. *Biochemical Journal* **1994**, *297* (2), 249–260. <https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2970249>.
- (37) Wang, G.; Li, X.; Wang, Z. APD3: The Antimicrobial Peptide Database as a Tool for Research and Education. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2016**, *44* (D1), D1087–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1278>.
- (38) Lei, J.; Sun, L.; Huang, S.; Zhu, C.; Li, P.; He, J.; Mackey, V.; Coy, D. H.; He, Q. The Antimicrobial Peptides and Their Potential Clinical Applications. *Am J Transl Res* **2019**, *11* (7), 3919–3931.
- (39) Ramachandran, R.; Chalasani, A. G.; Lal, R.; Roy, U. A Broad-Spectrum Antimicrobial Activity of *Bacillus subtilis* RLID 12.1 <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/tswj/2014/968487/> (accessed Jun 2, 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/968487>.
- (40) Oyama, L. B.; Girdwood, S. E.; Cookson, A. R.; Fernandez-Fuentes, N.; Privé, F.; Vallin, H. E.; Wilkinson, T. J.; Golyshin, P. N.; Golyshina, O. V.; Mikut, R.; Hilpert, K.; Richards, J.; Wootton, M.; Edwards, J. E.; Maresca, M.; Perrier, J.; Lundy, F. T.; Luo, Y.; Zhou, M.; Hess, M.; Mantovani, H. C.; Creevey, C. J.; Huws, S. A. The Rumen Microbiome: An Underexplored Resource for Novel Antimicrobial Discovery. *npj Biofilms and Microbiomes* **2017**, *3* (1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-017-0042-1>.
- (41) Jan, S.; Baron, F.; Alabdeh, M.; Chaari, W.; Grosset, N.; Cochet, M.-F.; Gautier, M.; Vié, V.; Nau, F. Biochemical and Micrographic Evidence of *Escherichia Coli* Membrane Damage during Incubation in Egg White under Bactericidal Conditions. *J Food Protect* **2013**, *76* (9), 1523–1529. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-418>.
- (42) Los, D. A.; Murata, N. Membrane Fluidity and Its Roles in the Perception of Environmental Signals. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* **2004**, *1666* (1), 142–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2004.08.002>.
- (43) Mann, K. The Chicken Egg White Proteome. *Proteomics* **2007**, *7* (19), 3558–3568. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200700397>.
- (44) Whenham, N.; Lu, T. C.; Maidin, M. B. M.; Wilson, P. W.; Bain, M. M.; Stevenson, M. L.; Stevens, M. P.; Bedford, M. R.; Dunn, I. C. Ovidefensins, an Oviduct-Specific

- Antimicrobial Gene Family, Have Evolved in Birds and Reptiles to Protect the Egg by Both Sequence and Intra-Six-Cysteine Sequence Motif Spacing1. *Biology of Reproduction* **2015**, 92 (6). <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.114.126839>.
- (45) van Dijk, A.; Veldhuizen, E. J. A.; Haagsman, H. P. Avian Defensins. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* **2008**, 124 (1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2007.12.006>.
 - (46) Mageed, A. M. A.; Isobe, N.; Yoshimura, Y. Expression of Avian Beta-Defensins in the Oviduct and Effects of Lipopolysaccharide on Their Expression in the Vagina of Hens. *Poultry Science* **2008**, 87, 979–984. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2007-00283>.
 - (47) Huang, X.; Hu, M.; Zhou, X.; Liu, Y.; Shi, C.; Shi, X. Role of YoaE Gene Regulated by CpxR in the Survival of *Salmonella Enterica* Serovar Enteritidis in Antibacterial Egg White. *mSphere* **2020**, 5 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00638-19>.
 - (48) Pan, J.; Sasanami, T.; Nakajima, S.; Kido, S.; Doi, Y.; Mori, M. Characterization of Progressive Changes in ZPC of the Vitelline Membrane of Quail Oocyte Following Oviductal Transport. *Molecular Reproduction and Development* **2000**, 55 (2), 175–181. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2795\(200002\)55:2<175::AID-MRD6>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2795(200002)55:2<175::AID-MRD6>3.0.CO;2-6).
 - (49) Guyot, N.; Labas, V.; Harichaux, G.; Chessé, M.; Poirier, J.-C.; Nys, Y.; Réhault-Godbert, S. Proteomic Analysis of Egg White Heparin-Binding Proteins: Towards the Identification of Natural Antibacterial Molecules. *Scientific Reports* **2016**, 6 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27974>.
 - (50) Liu, M.; Yu, W.; Ren, F.; Wu, J. Formation and Characterization of Peptides in Egg White during Storage at Ambient Temperature. *Food Chemistry* **2018**, 263, 135–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.04.042>.
 - (51) Mann, K.; Mann, M. In-Depth Analysis of the Chicken Egg White Proteome Using an LTQ Orbitrap Velos. *Proteome Science* **2011**, 9 (1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-5956-9-7>.
 - (52) Sharma, M.; Luthra-Guptasarma, M. Degradation of Proteins upon Storage at Near-Neutral PH: Indications of a Proteolytic/Gelatinolytic Activity Associated with Aggregates. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2009**, 1790 (10), 1282–1294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2009.06.010>.
 - (53) Nys, Y.; Sauveur, B. Valeur nutritionnelle des oeufs <http://prodinra.inra.fr/?locale=fr#!ConsultNotice:77361> (accessed Feb 17, 2016).
 - (54) Sauveur, B. Structure, Composition et Valeur Nutritionnelle de l'oeuf. In *Reproduction des volailles et production d'oeufs*; Lavoisier; Paris, 1988; pp 35–39.
 - (55) Nau, F.; Guérin-Dubiard, C.; Baron, F.; Thapon, J.-L. *Science et technologie de l'oeuf production et qualité*, Technique et Documentation.; Lavoisier; Vol. 1.
 - (56) Ciquel Table de composition nutritionnelle des aliments <https://ciquel.anses.fr/> (accessed Jan 24, 2020).
 - (57) *Egg Science and Technology*; Stadelman, W. J., Cotterill, O. J., Eds.; Macmillan Education UK: London, 1986. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-09142-3>.

Table 1: Main physicochemical characteristics of 10kDa and 400Da egg white filtrates (EWF) and of egg white (EW) (*data from literature⁵³⁻⁵⁷).

	EW	10kDa EWF	400Da EWF
pH	9.3	9.2	8.7
glucose (mM)	25	21.5	4.8
Total N (mM)	1364	2.3	0.69
Na (mM)	67.4 to 80.9*	96.1	62.7
K(mM)	35.8 to 44.2*	44.7	28.7
Ca (mM)	1.2 to 2.9*	0.96	0.24
Iron (mM)	0.003 to 0.018*	<0.00002	0.00044
Mg (mM)	3.7 to 4.9*	3.32	0.92
Zn (mM)	0.005 to 0.018*	0.0005	0.001
Cu (mM)	0.003 to 0.006*	0.00098	0.00072
Mn (mM)	0.001 to 0.002*	<4.18e-06	<4.18e-06

Table 2. Sequences of the peptides identified in 10kDa EWF, 400Da EWR and 400Da EWF, divided in five groups based on the shortest common sequence. Antimicrobial property was predicted from the ADAM database using SVM (Support Vector Machine), or from the cAMP database using SVM, RF (Random Forest), ANN (Artificial Neural network) or DA (Discriminant Analysis); for each prediction method, peptides are regarded as antimicrobial (AMP) or not antimicrobial (NAMP). “Origin” indicates the protein from which each peptide originates, and “Fragment” indicates the positions of the first and last amino acid residues in the protein sequence. Physicochemical properties are either experimental (MW, molecular weight determined by mass spectrometry) or theoretical, predicted using ProtParam tools (MW; pI, isoelectric point; GRAVY, hydrophobicity index; Instability index), or Protein Calculator v3.4 (net charge at pH 7.0 and 9.0). Structure features predicted using APD3 (Antimicrobial Peptide Calculator and Predictor) are indicated as “Comments”. Peptides selected for further study (P1-P4) are indicated in bold.

GROUPS	PEPTIDES	presence in		ADAM Score	cAMP Prediction Score				Fragment	ORIGIN	Physicochemical characteristics						Comments	
		10kDa EWF	400Da EWF		SVM	RF	ANN	DA			Experimental MW	Theoretical MW	pI	Net charge at pH7	Net charge at pH9	GRAVY		Instability index
1	A F V P P V Q R	X	X	-	AMP 1.66	NAMP 0.057	NAMP 0.354	AMP	NAMP 0.020	212-219	chistatin precursor (NP_990231.1)	913.09	913.52	9.79	0.9	0.1	0.225	rich in P
	A F V P P V Q R V	-	X	-	AMP 1.46	NAMP 0.139	NAMP 0.450	AMP	NAMP 0.064	212-220		1012.22	1012.59	9.79	0.9	0.1	0.667	putative helix
	E A F V P P V Q R	X	X	-	AMP 0.99	NAMP 0.008	NAMP 0.277	NAMP	NAMP 0.001	211-219		1042.20	1042.57	6.10	-0.1	-0.9	-0.189	putative helix
	E A F V P P V Q R V R	X	X	-	AMP 0.65	NAMP 0.013	NAMP 0.165	NAMP	NAMP 0.080	211-221		1297.53	1297.74	9.70	0.9	0.1	-0.182	putative helix
2	F V P P V Q R = P1	X	X	-	AMP 2.07	NAMP 0.000	NAMP 0.363	AMP	NAMP 0.012	213-219	zona pellucida sperm binding protein 3 isoform X1 (XP_025009555.1)	842.01	842.49	9.75	0.9	0.1	0.000	91.1.1 unstable
	D P S A W S W G A E A H S	-	X	-	AMP 0.61	NAMP 0.075	NAMP 0.118	NAMP	NAMP 0.018	87-99		1400.43	1400.59	4.35	-1.8	-2.9	-0.846	putative helix
	G D P S A W S W G A E A H S = P2	-	X	-	AMP 0.90	NAMP 0.015	NAMP 0.170	NAMP	NAMP 0.108	86-99		1457.48	1457.61	4.35	-1.8	-2.9	-0.814	3.51 stable
	T P P F G G F = P3	X	X	-	AMP 2.25	AMP 0.999	NAMP 0.432	NAMP	NAMP 0.143	203-209		721.81	722.35	5.19	-0.1	-0.9	0.129	81.2.3 unstable
3	T P P F G G F R	X	X	-	AMP 1.96	AMP 0.690	NAMP 0.338	AMP	NAMP 0.177	203-210	chistatin precursor (NP_990231.1)	878.00	878.45	9.41	0.9	0.1	-0.450	rich in P or G
	T P P F G G F R E A F V P P V Q R V	-	X	-	AMP 1.39	NAMP 0.055	NAMP 0.139	NAMP	NAMP 0.114	203-220		2001.32	2001.07	9.26	0.9	0.1	-0.061	putative helix
	T P P F G G F R E A F V P P V Q R V R	-	X	-	AMP 1.29	NAMP 0.049	NAMP 0.259	NAMP	NAMP 0.178	203-221		2157.51	2157.17	11.70	1.9	1.1	-0.295	putative helix
	H P F I Q H P V H G = P4	-	X	-	AMP 2.31	NAMP 0.390	NAMP 0.240	NAMP	NAMP 0.010	234-243		1168.32	1168.60	7.02	0.6	-0.9	-0.520	31.3.9 stable
4	E I H P F I Q H P V H G F H R	-	X	-	AMP 2.3	NAMP 0.120	NAMP 0.041	NAMP	NAMP 0.075	232-246	chistatin precursor (NP_990231.1)	1851.11	1850.96	7.19	0.9	-0.9	-0.607	rich in H
5.1											ovocleidin-116 precursor (NP_989900.1)	1041.13	1041.53	6.10	-0.1	-0.9	-0.518	putative helix
												1621.78	1621.84	9.60	0.9	0.1	-0.712	putative helix
												2480.78	2480.32	11.70	2.2	1.1	-0.381	putative helix
												1734.94	1734.92	9.60	0.9	0.1	-0.422	putative helix
5												1564.72	1547.79	9.60	0.9	0.1	-0.731	putative helix
											1294.44	1294.69	9.60	0.9	0.1	-0.908	putative helix	
											2528.73	2528.22	6.66	0.4	-0.9	-1.245	putative helix	
											2343.70	2343.23	6.73	0.2	-0.9	0.065	putative helix	
5.2	S T D V P R D P W V W G S A H P Q A Q H T R											2499.89	2499.34	9.49	1.2	0.1	-0.125	putative helix
5.3	V Q Q E V A P A R G V V G M V V P P E G H R A	X	X	-	NAMP -0.61	NAMP 0.105	NAMP 0.250	NAMP	NAMP 0.077	459-481								
	V Q Q E V A P A R G V V G M V V P P E G H R A R	X	X	-	NAMP -0.88	NAMP 0.092	NAMP 0.216	NAMP	NAMP 0.099	459-482								

Figure 1. Flow chart of egg white (EW) fractionation by ultrafiltration and nanofiltration for the preparation of the 10kDa, 1kDa, and 400Da egg-white filtrates (EWFs). Egg white retentates (EWRs) are the fractions retained by the membranes.

Figure 2. *S. Enteritidis* numeration after incubation for 24 h at 45 °C (A) and 30 °C (B) in TSB pH 7.3, egg white (EW) and 10kDa, 1kDa and 400Da egg white filtrates (EWFs). Bacteria were initially inoculated at 10^6 CFU/mL (dotted line). Means and standard deviations were calculated from nine replicates (three biological replicates, each with three technical replicates). Samples with different letters display significantly different mean values ($p < 0.0001$ in A, $p < 0.001$ in B).

Figure 3. *S. Enteritidis* survival after incubation for 24 h at 45 °C (A) and 30°C (B) in 400Da egg white filtrate (EWF) supplemented with increasing levels of the 400Da egg white retentate (EWR). Bacteria were initially inoculated at 10⁶ CFU/mL (dotted line). Means and standard deviations were calculated from nine replicates (three biological replicates, each with three technical replicates). Samples with different letters display significantly different mean values (p<0.001).

Figure 4. Effect of pH, glucose and nitrogen levels on *S. Enteritidis* survival in the 400Da EWF. *S. Enteritidis* was incubated for 24 h at 45 °C (A) or 30 °C (B) in 10kDa EWF at pH 9.2, in 400Da EWF at pH 8.7 and 9.2, in 400Da EWF at pH 9.2 and with addition of a nitrogen (N) source and glucose (Glu) (up to 3 mM N and 25 mM glucose). Bacteria were initially inoculated at 10⁶ CFU/mL (dotted line). Means and standard deviations were calculated from three technical replicates. Samples with different letters display significantly different mean values (p<0.01).

Figure 5. Effect of P1-P4 peptides on *S. Enteritidis* survival in 400Da EWF. *S. Enteritidis* was incubated for 24 h at 45 °C (A) and 30 °C (B) in TSB pH 7.3, 10kDa EWF, 400Da EWF, and 400Da EWF with addition of 100 µg/mL of the P1, P2, P3 or P4 synthetic peptides. Bacteria were initially inoculated at 10⁶ CFU/mL (dotted line). Means and standard deviations were calculated from three technical replicates. Samples with different letters display significantly different mean values (p<0.001).

Figure 6. Effect of peptide concentration on the bactericidal activity of P1 and P2 against *S. Enteritidis* in 400Da EWF at 45 °C. P1 (A) and P2 (B) were added at 0 to 100 µg/mL. Bacteria were initially inoculated at 10⁶ CFU/mL (dotted line). Means and standard deviations were calculated from six replicates (two biological replicates, each with three technical replicates). Samples with different letters display significantly different mean values ($p < 0.01$ for A, and $p < 0.001$ for B).

Figure 7: Effect of both AMPs P1 and P2 on *S. Enteritidis* survival in M63 minimal medium and in 400Da EWF. *S. Enteritidis* was incubated for 24 h at 45°C in M63 at pH 7.8 and 9.2, and in 400Da EWF, with or without addition of 100 µg/mL P1 or P2. Bacteria were initially inoculated at 10⁶ CFU/mL (dotted line). Means and standard deviations were calculated from three technical replicates. Samples with different letters display significantly different mean values (p<0.001).

Figure 8. Synergistic bactericidal effect of the AMPs P1 and P2 against *S. Enteritidis* at 45 °C in 400Da EWF. *S. Enteritidis* was incubated for 24 h at 45 °C in 400Da EWF with addition of P1 (25 µg/mL), P2 (25 µg/mL), or P1+ P2 (12.5 µg/mL P1; 12.5 µg/mL P2). Bacteria were initially inoculated at 10⁶ CFU/mL (dotted line). Means and standard deviations were calculated from three technical replicates. Samples with different letters display significantly different mean values (p<0.001).

TOC Image